



Published in final edited form as:

J Surg Oncol. 2009 April 1; 99(5): 273–274. doi:10.1002/jso.21231.

Targeted lymph node evaluation in colorectal cancer: A decade of progress!

Anton J. Bilchik, MD, PhD, FACS^{1,2}, Alexander Stojadinovic, MD, FACS^{2,3}, Zev Wainberg, MD¹, and J Randolph Hecht, MD.¹

¹ David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California Los Angeles

² United States Military Cancer Institute, Washington, D.C

³ Department of Surgery, Division of Surgical Oncology, Walter Reed Army Medical Center

Systemic chemotherapy has been shown to improve survival in stage III and stage IV colon cancer (CC), however, current recommendations¹ do not support the routine use of adjuvant chemotherapy in node negative CC (stage II). Despite this, over 20% of stage II CC recur, and recent studies have demonstrated² that some subsets of stage II CC have a lower median survival than stage III CC. This may indicate a high risk group of stage II CC that would benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy. Since lymph node (LN) status is one of the most important prognostic factors, it is possible that the recurrence in stage II CC may be explained by the failure to detect clinically and biologically significant nodal micrometastases (MM). Insufficient nodal resection or pathological evaluation, LN sampling error, and limitations of conventional hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained evaluation are all significant limitations of standard pathological staging of CC. LN's from a resected specimen are typically identified by a combination of visualization and palpation although as many as 70% of LN are <5mm in diameter, and may be the only ones that contain metastases.³ Fat clearance techniques using organic solvents have been used resulting in the identification of more nodal metastases⁴ but these are time consuming and cumbersome and therefore not widely utilized in clinical practice.

Other techniques have also been developed to improve the identification of MM, such as multi-level sectioning, cytokeratin immunohistochemistry (CK-IHC) and reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (qRT) but their prognostic significance is unclear^{5–10}. In a recent metaanalysis,¹¹ although MM were identified in 31.2% and 44% of patients by CK-IHC and qRT negative by H&E, survival was only impacted by qRT suggesting that the biologic and prognostic value of MM requires further investigation. The development of recurrence in node-negative patients could also be attributed to residual MM because of inadequate lymphadenectomy. Pooled analyses of data from randomized trials^{12,13} have demonstrated a strong correlation between survival and the number of lymph nodes examined, independent of other known prognostic factors.

Address correspondence and requests to Anton Bilchik, MD, PhD, FACS, California Oncology Research Institute and UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine, 2811 Wilshire Boulevard, Santa Monica, CA 90403, phone (310) 696-0716, fax (310) 696-0724, e-mail: abilchik@aol.com.

Last year the National Quality Forum (NQF) in conjunction with the Commission on Cancer, the American Society of Clinical Oncology, the American College of Surgeons and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network devoted a substantial effort to improve the quality of CC care in the United States. This culminated in the endorsement of the 12 LN minimum as a proxy measure of quality. Unfortunately, while the prognostic importance of LN status is undisputed, there continues to be debate about the number of LN's needed for adequate evaluation, techniques for sampling and assessment of LN's and the integration of pathologic and molecular features of the primary tumor to improve the selection of patients for chemotherapy. More specific methods for nodal evaluation are therefore needed.

Targeted nodal evaluation was originally described by Morton et al¹⁴ to improve staging accuracy in melanoma and reduce the morbidity of lymphadenectomies in node negative patients. This paradigm is based on the premise that lymphatic drainage from a primary organ site occurs in an orderly and progressive fashion. The sentinel node (SN) is the first node(s) to receive lymphatic drainage from a primary anatomic site, and is therefore the most likely node(s) to harbor a metastasis and is representative of the regional lymphatic basin. Lymphatic mapping and sentinel node biopsy (LM/SNB) is now widely accepted as the standard of care for staging melanoma and breast cancer. Over a decade ago¹⁵ we applied targeted nodal evaluation to colorectal cancer (CRC) specifically to determine whether staging accuracy could be improved. Unlike melanoma however, the procedure was not intended to limit LN resection since the morbidity of a colectomy is not impacted by the number of LN's removed but rather to determine whether focused analysis on a limited number of LN's could improve staging accuracy. Since our original report several techniques of LM have been described in CRC with a wide variation in sensitivity and accuracy.^{16–22} This has been attributed to differences in technique, experience, patient selection and inconsistent definitions of micrometastases (MM) and upstaging.

The AJCC and the UICC distinguishes MM from isolated tumor cells (ITC's) based on size and method of detection. MM identified by H&E between 0.2 and 2 mm are classified as N1mi while ITC's and tumor cell clusters up to 0.2mm are considered N0 i+ (IHC) or N0 mol+ (qRT) depending on the method used^{23,24}. These stricter definitions were recently applied to two prospective multicenter trials with similar results.^{21,22} Stringent pathologic criteria were also used whereby tumor cells identified by IHC without characteristic tumor morphology were not considered positive. Targeted analysis of the SN using IHC upstaged 11% of patients and isolated tumor cells/clusters were found in 23% of patients with stage II CC that would not have been detected by conventional methods. Translational molecular studies also identified MM within the SN via qRT²¹ and identified potential factors in facilitating these MM using RNA and DNA markers. At a mean follow up of 25 months there were no recurrences in patients whose SN was negative by H&E/IHC and qRT suggesting that this may represent a low risk group cured by surgery alone. However since the follow up was relatively short and many patients received chemotherapy it is unclear whether the presence of MM represents a high risk group.

A larger prospective multicenter trial supported by the NCI (2RO1CA090848) will address whether the presence of MM represents a high risk group in the absence of chemotherapy. This trial will also integrate primary tumor characteristics and gene signatures to develop a

more comprehensive staging system since it is likely that some patients may be at high risk for recurrence regardless of the MM environment. Targeted nodal in CRC provides an elegant way of performing focused analysis on a limited number of LN's improving staging accuracy. It will however continue to be investigational until the biologic and prognostic role of MM in CRC is better defined.

Acknowledgments

Supported by NCI grant 2RO1 CA090848-05A2, the Davidow Charitable Fund (Los Angeles, CA), Mrs. Ruth Weil (Los Angeles, CA), the Sequoia Foundation for achievement in the arts and education, Nancy and Bruce Newberg Charitable Fund and Mrs Marguerite Perkins Mautner

References

- Schneider EC, Epstein AM, Malin JL, et al. Developing a system to assess the quality of care: ASCOs National Initiative on Cancer Care Quality. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004; 22:2985. [PubMed: 15284249]
- National Quality Forum Endorses Consensus Standards for Diagnosis and Treatment of Breast and Colorectal Cancer: National Quality Forum; first posted. April 12, 2007 Web based Press Release Available at <http://www.facs.org/cancer/qualitymeasures.html>
- Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Maamoun S, Weber TK, et al. Clinical significance of colorectal cancer: metastases in lymph nodes <5mm in size. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 1996; 3:124–130. [PubMed: 8646511]
- Cawthorn SJ, Gibbs NM, Marks CG. Clearance technique for the detection of lymph nodes in colorectal cancer. *Br J Surg.* 1986; 73:58–60. [PubMed: 3512023]
- Bilchik AJ, Nora DT, Saha S, et al. The use of molecular profiling of early colorectal cancer to predict micrometastases. *Arch Surg.* 2002; 137:1377–1383. [PubMed: 12470104]
- Adell G, Boeryd B, Franlund B, et al. Occurrence and prognostic importance of micrometastases in regional lymph nodes in Dukes' B colorectal carcinoma: an immunohistochemical study. *Eur J Surg.* 1996; 162:637–42. [PubMed: 8891622]
- Mori M, Mimori K, Inoue H, et al. Detection of cancer micrometastases in lymph nodes by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. *Cancer Res.* 1995; 55:3417–20. [PubMed: 7542169]
- Noura S, Yamamoto H, Miyake Y, et al. Immunohistochemical assessment of localization and frequency of micrometastasis in lymph nodes of colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2002; 8:759–67. [PubMed: 11895906]
- Palma RT, Waisberg J, Bromberg SH, et al. Micrometastasis in regional lymph nodes of extirpated colorectal carcinoma: immunohistochemical study using anti-cytokeratin antibodies AE1/AE3. *Colorectal Dis.* 2003; 5:164–8. [PubMed: 12780907]
- Noura S, Yamamoto H, Ohnishi T, et al. Comparative detection of lymph node micrometastasis of stage II colorectal cancer by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemistry. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002; 20:4232–41. [PubMed: 12377967]
- Iddings DM, Ahmad A, Elashoff D, et al. The prognostic effect of micrometastases in previously staged lymph node-negative colorectal carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2006; 13:1386–1392. [PubMed: 17009147]
- Sargent DJ, Goldberg RM, Jacobson SD, et al. A pooled analysis of adjuvant chemotherapy for resected colon cancer in elderly patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2001; 345:1091–1097. [PubMed: 11596588]
- Gill S, Loprinzi C, Sargent D, et al. Pooled analysis of fluorouracil-based adjuvant therapy for stage II and III colon cancer: who benefits and by how much? *J Clin Oncol.* 2004; 22:1797–1806. [PubMed: 15067028]
- Morton DL, Cochran AJ, Thompson JF, et al. Sentinel node biopsy for early-stage melanoma: accuracy and morbidity in MSLT-I, an international multicenter trial. *Ann Surg.* 2005; 242:302–313. [PubMed: 16135917]

15. Bilchik AJ, Giuliano AE, Essner R, Bostick PJ, Kelemen P, Foshag LJ, Sostrin S, Turner RR, Morton DL. Universal application of intraoperative lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymphadenectomy in solid neoplasms. *Cancer J Sci Am*. 1998; 4(6):351–358. [PubMed: 9853133]
16. Bilchik AJ, Nora DT, Sobin LH, et al. Effect of lymphatic mapping on the new Tumor-Node-Metastasis classification for colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003; 21:668–672. [PubMed: 12586804]
17. Joosten JJ, Strobbe LJ, Wauter CA, et al. Intraoperative lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node concept in colorectal carcinoma. *Br J Surg*. 1999; 86:482–6. [PubMed: 10215818]
18. Merrie AE, van Rij AM, Phillips LV, et al. Diagnostic use of sentinel node in colon cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2001; 44:410–7. [PubMed: 11289289]
19. Bertagnolli M, Miedema B, Redston M, et al. Sentinel node staging of resectable colon cancer: results of a multicenter study. *Ann Surg*. 2004; 240:624–30. [PubMed: 15383790]
20. Redston M, Compton CC, Miedema BW, et al. Analysis of micrometastatic disease in sentinel lymph nodes from resectable colon cancer: results of Cancer and Leukemia Group B Trial 80001. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006; 24:878–883. [PubMed: 16418493]
21. Bilchik AJ, Hoon DSB, Saha S, Weise D, DiNome M, Turner R, Koyanga K, McCarter M, Shen P, Iddings D, Chen SL, Gonzales M, Elashoff D, Morton DL. Prognostic Impact of Micrometastases In Colon Cancer: Interim Results of A Prospective Multicenter Trial. *Annals of Surg*. 2007; 246(4):568–577.
22. Stojadinovic A, Nissan A, Protic M, Adair CF, Prus D, Usaj S, Howard RS, Radovanovic D, Breberina M, Shriver CD, Grinbaum R, Nelson JM, Brown TA, Freund HR, Potter JF, Peretz T, Peoples GE. Prospective randomized study comparing sentinel lymph node evaluation with standard pathologic evaluation for the staging of colon carcinoma: results from the United States Military Cancer Institute Clinical Trials Group Study GI-01. *Ann Surg*. 2007 Jun; 245(6):846–57. [PubMed: 17522508]
23. Green, FL.; Page, DL.; Fleming, ID., et al., editors. *Cancer Staging Manual*. 6. New York, NY: Springer Verlag; 2002.
24. Sobin, LH.; Wittekind, C. *TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors*. 6. New York, NY: Wiley; 2002.