



OPEN

SUBJECT AREAS:
MICROBIAL ECOLOGY
CLIMATE-CHANGE IMPACTSReceived
6 November 2014Accepted
26 February 2015Published
20 March 2015Correspondence and
requests for materials
should be addressed to
Z.H. (zhili.he@ou.edu)
or J.Z. (jzhou@ou.edu)

Elevated CO₂ shifts the functional structure and metabolic potentials of soil microbial communities in a C₄ agroecosystem

Jinbo Xiong^{1,2}, Zhili He², Shengjing Shi^{2,3}, Angela Kent⁴, Ye Deng^{2,5}, Liyou Wu², Joy D. Van Nostrand² & Jizhong Zhou^{2,6,7}

¹Faculty of Marine Sciences, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China, ²Institute for Environmental Genomics and Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, the University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, ³Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, ⁴Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61820, ⁵Key Laboratory of Environmental Biotechnology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, CAS, 100085, China, ⁶State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China, ⁷Earth Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720.

Atmospheric CO₂ concentration is continuously increasing, and previous studies have shown that elevated CO₂ (eCO₂) significantly impacts C₃ plants and their soil microbial communities. However, little is known about effects of eCO₂ on the compositional and functional structure, and metabolic potential of soil microbial communities under C₄ plants. Here we showed that a C₄ maize agroecosystem exposed to eCO₂ for eight years shifted the functional and phylogenetic structure of soil microbial communities at both soil depths (0–5 cm and 5–15 cm) using EcoPlate and functional gene array (GeoChip 3.0) analyses. The abundances of key genes involved in carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) cycling were significantly stimulated under eCO₂ at both soil depths, although some differences in carbon utilization patterns were observed between the two soil depths. Consistently, CO₂ was found to be the dominant factor explaining 11.9% of the structural variation of functional genes, while depth and the interaction of depth and CO₂ explained 5.2% and 3.8%, respectively. This study implies that eCO₂ has profound effects on the functional structure and metabolic potential/activity of soil microbial communities associated with C₄ plants, possibly leading to changes in ecosystem functioning and feedbacks to global change in C₄ agroecosystems.

Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) has been increasing at an accelerated pace since the Industrial Revolution, and is nearly 40% higher than it has been at any other time in the last 20 million years¹. Such increases in CO₂ concentration can affect, generally indirectly, soil microbial communities and their functions^{2–4}, and subsequently, their mediated carbon (C) and nutrient cycling^{5–7}. As soil contains the largest terrestrial C pool, shifts in microbial functional potential/activity may have great consequences in C stabilization and storage in soil, leading to either C sequestration or loss^{2,8,9}. Therefore, understanding soil microbial responses to eCO₂ is important for better predicting the contribution of terrestrial ecosystems to future climate⁸.

Unlike C₃ plants, elevated CO₂ (eCO₂) should not directly stimulate the net CO₂ assimilation rate of C₄ plants, as C₄ photosynthetic pathway is already CO₂-saturated under current CO₂ conditions^{10–12}. However, eCO₂ may indirectly promote C₄ plant growth by increasing soil moisture¹⁰. Compared to C₃ plants, our understanding of CO₂ effects on C₄ plants and their associated soil microbial communities is very limited. Although C₄ plants only contribute ~25–30% of the global terrestrial productivity, many of them are ecologically and economically important (e.g., maize for grain, sugarcane and switchgrass for biofuel), and their cultivation is expected to increase in the future^{13,14}. Therefore, it is necessary to understand the response of soil microbial communities to eCO₂ in C₄ agroecosystems.

The impact of eCO₂ on the belowground microbial community is expected to be largely indirect, mediated through changes in soil nutrients, e.g., C, nitrogen (N) and soil properties^{3,15}. As soil physiochemical parameters (e.g., nutrient availability, temperature, soil moisture) vary along the soil depth^{16–18}, microbial communities may

Table 1 | Effects of eCO₂ on soil properties at both depths

| | | Moisture | NO ₃ ⁻ -N | NH ₄ ⁺ -N | Total nitrogen | Total carbon | TC/TN ratio |
|---------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | (%, w/w) | (mg/kg) | (mg/kg) | (w/w, %) | (%, w/w) | |
| 0–5 cm | aCO ₂ | 24.0 ± 2.5 ^B | 1.28 ± 0.11 ^A | 30.4 ± 2.82 ^A | 0.164 ± 0.011 ^A | 2.43 ± 0.192 ^A | 15.23 ± 0.90 ^A |
| | eCO ₂ | 24.1 ± 2.7 ^b | 0.89 ± 0.06 ^b | 36.4 ± 4.07 ^a | 0.165 ± 0.013 ^a | 2.12 ± 0.148 ^a | 13.85 ± 0.61 ^a |
| | <i>P</i> | 0.712 | 0.030 | 0.418 | 0.328 | 0.042 | 0.813 |
| 5–15 cm | aCO ₂ | 36.5 ± 2.7 ^A | 1.04 ± 0.07 ^B | 32.61 ± 1.90 ^A | 0.155 ± 0.008 ^A | 2.24 ± 0.258 ^A | 13.37 ± 0.79 ^A |
| | eCO ₂ | 38.5 ± 2.4 ^a | 2.52 ± 0.59 ^a | 31.34 ± 2.50 ^a | 0.148 ± 0.007 ^a | 1.91 ± 0.101 ^a | 13.04 ± 0.51 ^a |
| | <i>P</i> | 0.037 | 0.023 | 0.267 | 0.879 | 0.823 | 0.615 |

Soil variables from each depth were analyzed separately and significances between treatments (aCO₂ and eCO₂) or two soil depths were tested by *t*-test at the *P* < 0.05 level. A and B indicate significant changes between depths for aCO₂, and a and b for eCO₂.

respond to eCO₂ differently at different depths. Indeed, previous studies in other ecosystems have shown that eCO₂ produces different effects on the microbial functional genes between soil depths (0–5 cm and 5–15 cm). For example, eCO₂ significantly stimulated the abundances of many genes involved in C degradation and N cycling in the soil depth of 0–5 cm, but a majority of these genes remained unchanged in the depth of 5–15 cm⁷, indicating microbial responses to eCO₂ differ along soil depths. Another study reported that soil organic C and N significantly increased in the soil depth of 5–15 cm, but remained unchanged in the depth of 0–5 cm under eCO₂ in comparison to ambient CO₂¹⁹. However, most studies have examined the impact of eCO₂ on soil microbial communities only at one depth (e.g., 0–15 cm). To fully understand the impact of eCO₂ on soil microbial communities and their ecosystem processes, it is necessary to examine the response of soil microbial communities on a finer scale (e.g., different depths).

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important food crop globally²⁰. To discover the effect of eCO₂ on the agronomy and productivity of important crops in the Midwestern USA, a free air CO₂ enrichment experimental site (SoyFACE) was established in 2001 in a corn-soy agroecosystem (<http://www.igb.illinois.edu/soyface/>). In this study, we examined the response of soil microbial communities to maize fumigated with eCO₂ in this FACE experiment. We hypothesized that eCO₂ would alter the functional composition, structure and metabolic potential of soil microbial communities associated with maize cultivation, and that various microbial functional groups (e.g., autotrophs, heterotrophs, diazotrophs, nitrifiers and denitrifiers) would respond to eCO₂ differentially between soil depths (0–5 cm and 5–15 cm). Our results demonstrated that eCO₂ had significant effects on the functional structure and metabolic potential of soil microbial communities with similar trends in both soil depths, and that many key functional genes involved in C, N, and

P cycling were stimulated by eCO₂. This study provides new insights into our understanding the response of soil microbial communities to eCO₂ in this C₄ agroecosystem.

Results

Effects of eCO₂ on plant yield and soil parameters. The grain biomass increased 12.5% when grown at eCO₂ compared to aCO₂, although this difference was not statistically significant (*P* = 0.25). The effects of eCO₂ on some soil properties were different between soil depths. For example, soil NO₃⁻ level was significantly (*P* < 0.01) decreased in the depth of 0–5 cm under eCO₂ compared to aCO₂ but was significantly (*P* < 0.05) increased in the depth of 5–15 cm under eCO₂. Soil moisture was not significantly different between two CO₂ treatments in the depth of 0–5 cm, but was significantly (*P* < 0.05) increased in the depth of 5–15 cm at eCO₂. eCO₂ did not show any significant impacts on total C, total N, C:N ratio, or NH₄⁺ contents at either soil depth (Table 1).

Microbial metabolic potential. The metabolic capacity of soil communities collected from eCO₂ and aCO₂ conditions were similar across the incubation period of 144 hr in the soil depth of 0–5 cm (Figure 1). However, a significant (*P* < 0.05) stimulation of microbial C utilization capacity by eCO₂ was observed in the soil depth of 5–15 cm after 48 hr of incubation and such eCO₂-stimulated effects became greater overtime and lasted until the end of incubation (Figure 1).

Overview of functional and phylogenetic structure of soil microbial communities. A total of 6,491 genes were detected across 48 samples. The average number of detected genes (i.e., richness) was significantly (*P* = 0.044) greater (2,816 ± 200) under eCO₂ than under aCO₂ (2,202 ± 279) in the soil depth of




Figure 1 | Average well color development (AWCD) of the elevated CO₂ (eCO₂) and ambient CO₂ (aCO₂) samples in the soil depths of 0–5 cm (A) and 5–15 cm (B) measured by EcoPlate system. Error bars indicate ± SE (standard error) of the four blocks within each depth (*n* = 4). *: *P* < 0.05; **: *P* < 0.01 based on *t*-test between aCO₂ and eCO₂ at each time point.




Figure 2 | Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis of elevated CO₂ (eCO₂) and ambient (aCO₂) samples in the soil depths of 0–5 cm (A) and 5–15 cm (B) based on Bray–Curtis values of detected functional genes ($n = 12$).

0–5 cm. This difference was even greater ($P < 0.001$) in the soil depth of 5–15 cm: $3,463 \pm 189$ genes detected under eCO₂, $1,388 \pm 137$ genes detected under aCO₂. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis based on the Bray–Curtis distance revealed that eCO₂ dramatically altered the functional structure of microbial communities at both soil depths (Figure 2), and this was also the case for the phylogenetic structure based on the detected *gyrB* genes on GeoChip (Figure S1). Mantel tests indicated the phylogenetic structure was significantly correlated ($r = 0.813$, $P < 0.001$) with the functional structure. Those patterns were also confirmed by dissimilarity tests, showing significantly distinct functional structures between aCO₂ and eCO₂ at both depths, or between soil depths at both CO₂ levels (Table 2). In addition, PERMANOVA revealed that eCO₂ contributed 11.9% ($P = 0.001$) of the total variation of functional gene structure, while depth explained 5.2% of the variation ($P = 0.014$), and their interaction

explained 3.8% ($P = 0.034$) (Table 3). Similarly, we observed significant differences in the phylogenetic structure due to eCO₂ and depth (Table 3). Furthermore, such a pattern was observed at the functional gene category level, including C, N, P and CH₄ cycling genes (Table S1).

Collectively, these results revealed that the diversity, composition, structure and functional potential of soil microbial communities were predominantly affected by eCO₂ in this maize agroecosystem.

Genes involved in C cycling. A substantial number of Rubisco genes (74 from the soil depth of 0–5 cm and 58 from the depth of 5–15 cm) involved in C fixation were detected, and the abundance (signal intensity) of these genes was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher under eCO₂ than under aCO₂ at both depths (Figure S2). Likewise, under eCO₂, 12 unique *rbcL* genes were detected in the soil depth of 0–5 cm, while 27 unique genes were detected in the soil depth of 5–15 cm, compared with aCO₂ at each depth (data not shown). Genes from the other two CO₂ fixation pathways, CODH and Pcc/Acc, had significantly increased abundances under eCO₂ in the soil depth of 5–15 cm, but their signal intensities did not differ significantly between two CO₂ levels in the soil depth of 0–5 cm (Figure S2).

Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin are the most abundant C sources derived from plant tissues in soil ecosystems. Here, most C degradation genes were significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased under eCO₂ at both depths (Figure 3). For example, alpha-amylase, cellobiase, endoglucanase, vanillin dehydrogenase, endochitinase and phenoloxidase were all stimulated under eCO₂. However, some genes responded differently to eCO₂ along the soil depths (Figure 3). For example, the abundances of all four detected starch degradation genes were significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased under eCO₂ in the soil depth of 0–5 cm, while only signal intensity of alpha-amylase was increased significantly under eCO₂ in the soil depth of 5–15 cm. In addition, eCO₂ increased the abundance of genes involved in CH₄ cycling, including *mcrA* for methane production, and *pmoA* and *mmoX* genes for methane consumption (Figure S3). Apart from *mmoX*, where the abundance was significantly increased only in the soil depth of 5–15 cm, the significant increases of these genes were observed at both soil depths.

Genes involved in N cycling. A total of 519 and 574 genes involved in N cycling were detected under aCO₂ and eCO₂, respectively, in the soil depth of 0–5 cm, and 287 and 570, respectively in the soil depth of 5–15 cm. eCO₂ significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased the abundance of genes involved in N fixation (*nifH*), ammonification (*ureC*), denitrification (*narG*, *nirS/K* and *nosZ*) and assimilatory N reduction (*nasA*) at both depths (Figure 4A and 4B). Additionally, signal intensities of genes involved in nitrification (*amoA* and *hao*), and dissimilatory N reduction to ammonium (*napA* and *nrfA*) were only enhanced under eCO₂ in the soil depth of 5–15 cm (Figure 4B).

Table 2 | Significance tests of the effects of CO₂ and depths on the overall microbial community structure with three different statistical approaches

| | | aCO ₂ vs. eCO ₂ | | 0–5 cm vs. 5–15 cm | |
|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | 0–5 cm | 5–15 cm | aCO ₂ | eCO ₂ |
| Adonis ^a | <i>F</i> | 0.108 | 0.228 | 0.118 | 0.085 |
| | <i>P</i> | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.032 |
| ANOSIM ^b | <i>R</i> | 0.210 | 0.424 | 0.115 | 0.055 |
| | <i>P</i> | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.134 |
| MRPP ^c | δ | 0.514 | 0.453 | 0.483 | 0.484 |
| | <i>P</i> | 0.005 | < 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.022 |

^aNon-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) with the adonis function;

^bAnalysis of similarities ANOSIM;

^cNon-parametric procedure that does not depend on assumptions such as normally distributed data or homogeneous variances, but rather depends on the internal variability of the data.



Table 3 | The effects of eCO₂ and soil depth on the functional and phylogenetic structure of soil microbial community by non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) with the *adonis* function. The functional structure data were based on all detected genes by GeoChip while the phylogenetic structure data were based on *gyrB* only. R² value is the constrained percentage of the parameter

| | CO ₂ | | Depth | | CO ₂ :Depth | |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | R ² | P | R ² | P | R ² | P |
| Functional structure | 0.119 | 0.001 | 0.052 | 0.014 | 0.038 | 0.034 |
| Phylogenetic structure | 0.103 | 0.001 | 0.049 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.155 |

Genes involved in P cycling. GeoChip 3.0 targets genes involved in exopolyphosphatase (Ppx) for inorganic polyphosphate degradation, polyphosphate kinase (Ppk) for polyphosphate biosynthesis in prokaryotes, and phytase for phytate degradation. Abundances of Ppk and Ppx genes were significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) under eCO₂ compared to aCO₂ at both depths, while phytase genes were significantly increased in the soil depth of 0–5 cm under eCO₂ but remained unchanged in the soil depth of 5–15 cm (Figure S4).

Linking microbial functional structure to soil properties. Mantel tests were performed to examine the correlation between the microbial community structure and soil properties (TC, TN, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, and C:N ratio) and corn yield, no significant correlations were detected when all detected genes were considered. We then calculated the correlation between functional categories and soil variables. The results revealed that TN and TC were significantly ($P < 0.05$) correlated with the microbial community structure based on N fixation and nitrification genes, respectively (Table S2), whereas NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺ and C/N ratio did not show significant correlations at the functional category level. We further examined the correlation of soil properties with individual functional gene

families, and found that 30 functional gene families had significant ($P < 0.05$) correlations with soil properties, including those involved in C degradation, N cycling, CH₄ consumption, bioremediation of aromatics, herbicides and pesticides (Table S3). For example, genes involved in C degradation (acetylglucosaminidase, pectinase, xylanase and *amyA* genes), denitrification (*norB*), methane consumption (*mmoX*) and bioremediation/biodegradation of aromatics (*pheA*, *oxdB*, *alkB* and *nagL*), herbicides (*pcpA* and *mhpC*) and hydrocarbons (*cpnA*) were significantly ($P < 0.05$) correlated with soil properties, such as TC, TN and C:N ratio, and corn yield (Table S3).

Discussion

As soil microorganisms play important roles in mediating ecological processes (e.g., nutrient cycling, plant growth), understanding the response of soil microbial communities to eCO₂ is critical to fully assess the impact of eCO₂ on ecosystem functioning and stability and predict future climate change. In this study, we demonstrated that the functional structure and metabolic potential of microbial communities in a C₄ maize agroecosystem were significantly altered under crops fumigated with eCO₂ for eight years. The significant response to eCO₂ was observed in samples of both soil depths, although some




Figure 3 | The abundance of detected key genes involved in C degradation. All data are presented as the mean \pm SE (standard error, $n = 12$). *: $P < 0.05$; **: $P < 0.01$ based on t-test t between aCO₂ and eCO₂.




Figure 4 | The relative changes of the detected genes involved in N cycling at eCO_2 compared to aCO_2 . A: soil depth of 0–5 cm; B: soil depth of 5–15 cm soil. The signal intensity for each gene detected was normalized by all detected gene sequences using the mean. The percentage of a functional gene in a bracket was the sum of the signal intensity of all detected sequences of this gene divided by the grand sum of signal intensities of the detected N cycling genes, and weighted by the fold change of the signal intensity of this gene at eCO_2 to that at aCO_2 . For each functional gene, colors mean that this gene had a higher (blue) or lower (green) signal intensity at eCO_2 than at aCO_2 with significance at $P < 0.05$ (*). Gray-colored genes were not targeted by this GeoChip, or not detected in those samples. It remains unknown if *nosZ* homologues exist in nitrifiers. Genes and their involved functional processes: N_2 fixation by *nifH* encoding nitrogenase; Nitrification by *amoA* encoding ammonia monooxygenase; Denitrification by *narG* encoding nitrate reductase, *nirS* and *nirK* encoding nitrite reductase, *norB* encoding nitric oxide reductase, and *nosZ* encoding nitrous oxide reductase; Dissimilatory N reduction to ammonium by *napA* for nitrate reductase and *nrfA* for c-type cytochrome nitrite reductase; Ammonification by *gdh* encoding glutamate dehydrogenase and *ureC* encoding urease; Assimilatory N reduction, *nasA* encoding nitrate reductase.

different microbial responsive patterns were observed between the two soil depths, and the abundance of many key functional genes was significantly increased and correlated with soil properties (e.g., nitrate, ammonia, soil C and N). CO_2 was the dominant factor shaping the soil microbial functional structure, although depth and their interaction had significant contributions as well. Therefore, this study provides new insights into our understanding the response of soil microbial communities to eCO_2 in C_4 plant agroecosystems.

The C_4 maize system examined in this study, and the C_3 soybean plots that were the subject of a previous study⁷ were established in 2001 at the SoyFACE site (Champaign, IL, USA), and operated under typical Midwestern crop management practices^{21,38}. While both studies revealed similar responses of soil microbial communities to eCO_2 in the depth of 0–5 cm, a much greater stimulation of abundances of key functional genes involved in C and N cycling was observed in the depth of 5–15 cm in the maize field compared to the soybean field (Figure 5). This discrepancy may be due to the eCO_2 enhanced water use efficiency for maize plants that would mitigate the drought stress on microbial activities²¹. Taken together, our data indicated that eCO_2 may have comparable or even greater effects on microbial functional potential associated with C_4 crops in comparison to C_3 crops, which has not been recognized so far, which highlights the significance of this study.

Elevated CO_2 largely impacts soil microbial communities indirectly through increased plant C input, altered plant litter quality (e.g., C%, N%), and/or modified soil properties (e.g., soil pH and moisture)^{2,4,15,22}. In the limited number of studies on C_4 plants under eCO_2 , the stimulation of plant biomass and/or alteration of litter quality under eCO_2 have not been previously observed^{21,23–25}. Similarly, we did not detect a significant change in maize yield under eCO_2 in this study. However, eCO_2 could significantly improve, although the effect was small, C_4 plant water use efficiency by reducing midday stomatal conductance or under drought^{26,27}, thus indirectly stimulating the growth of C_4 plants by delaying and ameliorating drought stress¹⁰. In 2008, the SoyFACE site experienced ‘atypical’ precipitation year with wet early-season, a single extended

drying event in the mid-season, and rewet late-season²⁵. Soil samples used in this study were collected in the drought period in August 2008. The drought event could cause physiological stresses for maize plants, but growth under eCO_2 might delay or relieve drought-induced reduction of net photosynthetic CO_2 uptake, resulting in higher leaf-level photosynthetic C gain in the drought season²⁵. The enhanced photosynthetic C gain, eventually increased plant C input may enhance C allocation into belowground in the form of root biomass and rhizodeposits under eCO_2 , which may result in the alteration of microbial functional structure and metabolic potential, and especially the stimulation of C degradation and N cycling genes.

Given the previously reported conservation of soil moisture by C_4 crops under eCO_2 ²¹, we hypothesize that microbial activities were constrained by drought conditions under C_3 plants, while the water-use efficiency of C_4 plants mitigated the drought effects on microbial activities under the maize cropping system^{21,26}. A previous study has demonstrated that the efflux of amino acids from maize roots was significantly enhanced under eCO_2 , although plant biomass remained unchanged²⁸. Also, greater soil moisture under eCO_2 , due to the improved water use efficiency of C_4 plants under elevated CO_2 ²⁵, may alter the microbial community structure and function, particularly under drought condition^{29–31}. For example, it has been shown that soil microbial activity was consistently enhanced in tall-grass prairie under eCO_2 treatment due to improved soil water condition, which was closely correlated with soil water content in the depth of 0–5 cm¹⁹. Indeed, in this study, we found that soil moisture was significantly greater under eCO_2 in the depth of 5–15 cm. Therefore, although it is beyond our research scope to identify mechanisms explaining how eCO_2 shifts the microbial community structure and function, this study supports our hypothesis that eCO_2 would alter the functional composition, structure, and metabolic potential of soil microbial communities associated with maize cultivation, possibly through increased soil moisture. Indeed, a recent study also showed that eCO_2 increased soil moisture along with decreased maize evapotranspiration by 7–11%³². We expect this effect to be more evident under drought conditions²¹. Therefore, in




Figure 5 | Comparisons of effects of $e\text{CO}_2$ on the abundance of functional genes with maize and soybean crops in the soil depths of 0–5 cm (open circle) and 5–15 cm (solid circle). Significance was determined using the response ratio analysis⁵ at a 95% confidence interval (CI).

this study, our results support the above hypothesis, evidenced by the comparison of the effects of $e\text{CO}_2$ on the abundance of functional genes between maize and soybean crops. That is, $e\text{CO}_2$ substantially stimulated the functional gene abundances at both depths in this maize FACE experiment (Figure 5), but only minor $e\text{CO}_2$ effects were detected in the 5–15 cm soil planted with soybean at the SoyFACE site⁷.

It is also hypothesized that various microbial functional groups (e.g., C fixers, C degraders, diazotrophs and denitrifiers) would be stimulated differentially between two soil depths by $e\text{CO}_2$. This study indicated that the abundance of key functional genes involved in C, N and P cycling was significantly stimulated under $e\text{CO}_2$ at both soil depths. First, $e\text{CO}_2$ increased the signal intensity of 75% (15 out of 20) of the detected functional genes involved in C degradation at both soil depths (0–5 cm and 5–15 cm), with 11 genes responding positively to $e\text{CO}_2$ in two depths. These ‘common’ $e\text{CO}_2$ -enriched genes in both soil depths, which are capable of decomposing a variety of C compounds present in plant materials and soil organic matter. Such responses of soil microbial communities to maize fumigated with $e\text{CO}_2$ are generally consistent with previous studies^{2,6,7}. The significantly enhanced C degradation genes under $e\text{CO}_2$ may indicate the stimulation of microbially-mediated C decomposition in soil. However, this does not imply that soil C storage was reduced under $e\text{CO}_2$, since soil C storage and stability are also largely affected by

other factors, such as plant C input (e.g., quality and quantity), plant nutrient uptake (e.g., N, P), soil properties, and size and turnover of different C pools (e.g., native soil C pool, fresh plant litter pool, microbial C pool)^{2,5,23,33,34}. Although some studies showed that $e\text{CO}_2$ led to the loss of soil C^{8,9}, other studies showed an increase in soil C⁵, or no significant effects on soil C content^{2,36}, which is consistent with the current study. Especially in this SoyFACE, previous studies showed that management practices affected soil C and N stocks and dynamics more than $e\text{CO}_2$ -stimulated effects^{34,37}. Such common responses at both soil depths are also reflected in N cycling genes. Although N fertilizer was yearly applied to the maize plots before planting³⁸, key genes involved in N fixation (*nifH*) and ammonification (*ureC*) were significantly increased under $e\text{CO}_2$ compared to a CO_2 . This finding agrees with other studies showing that microbial N fixation or the abundance of N fixation genes increased under $e\text{CO}_2$ ^{2,5,39}. If such increased gene abundances are translated to increased N fixation and ammonification process rates, this may relieve progressive N limitation observed previously in other FACE sites^{40–43}. Also, key genes involved in denitrification were generally stimulated under $e\text{CO}_2$. For example, a previous study showed that the *nirK* abundance increased more than doubled while its diversity was significantly reduced in soil where trembling aspen was grown under $e\text{CO}_2$ ⁴⁴. In contrast, the signal intensity of denitrification genes was not generally stimulated under $e\text{CO}_2$ in a soybean agroecosystem



(Figure 5)⁷, and a similar pattern was detected by qPCR analysis of *amoA* and *nosZ* gene abundances at this site in the same year, showing that eCO₂ has limited effects on N transformations in soybean agroecosystem⁴⁵. Based on the measurement of N₂O fluxes, several studies have reported that denitrification is enhanced at eCO₂^{46,47}, although exceptions were also reported⁴⁸. Such a difference may be complicated by other factors, such as soil moisture, type and aggregate size. For example, the abundance of *nosZ* genes increased in the microaggregates under reduced precipitation but not by eCO₂ or in the whole soil compared to ambient conditions³⁴. If the increased denitrification genes indicate an enhanced denitrification processes under eCO₂, this may result in increased N₂O consumption and production, a possible positive feedback to global change.

However, some differential responses of soil microbial communities to eCO₂ were also detected between the two soil depths. First, three key C fixation genes from three pathways, including Rubisco for the Calvin cycle, CODH for the reductive acetyl-CoA pathway, and PCC/ACC for the 3-hydroxypropionate/malyl-CoA cycle, increased significantly under eCO₂ in the soil depth of 5–15 cm, while only Rubisco genes increased significantly in the depth of 0–5 cm. Second, the abundance of genes involved in nitrification (*amoA* and *hao*) and dissimilatory N reduction (*napA* and *nrfA*) was significantly enhanced in the soil depth of 5–15 cm, but unchanged in the depth of 0–5 cm. Third, the microbial metabolic potential as measured by EcoPlate increased significantly under eCO₂ in the soil depth of 5–15 cm, but was similar in the depth of 0–5 cm. Also, the abundances of four starch degradation genes detected by GeoChip were all significantly increased under eCO₂ in the soil depth of 0–5 cm, but only alpha-amylase gene was stimulated in the depth of 5–15 cm. Additionally, the response of P cycling genes to eCO₂ appeared greater in the soil depth of 0–5 cm than that of 5–15 cm.

Several reasons may contribute to the subtle difference in microbial responses to eCO₂. First, the composition and functional structure of microbial communities were significantly different between the two soil depths, thus resulting in differential functional potential/activity. Second, soil may contain more organic matter from plant residues in the depth of 0–5 cm than that of 5–15 cm, resulting in differences of nutrient availability for microbial growth and activities. Third, many soil physiochemical properties (e.g., O₂ concentration, soil aggregate size, pH, moisture, temperature) may change with depths, and some of them (e.g., soil moisture, temperature) may experience wider fluctuations in the depth of 0–5 cm soil than that of 5–15 cm^{16–18}, thus differentially affecting microbial responses to eCO₂^{19,49,50}. For example, it has been shown that soil microbes responded differently to eCO₂, warming, and their interactions⁴⁹. Similarly, Castro and colleagues studied how microbes responded to multiple climate change factors (eCO₂, warming and precipitation) and found complex responsive patterns with multiple factors⁵⁰. Therefore, our results showed that microbial responses to eCO₂ were consistent overall, and soil depth only had a minor effect, indicating eCO₂ had a much greater impact on microbial structure and function than soil depth.

In summary, this study highlights the necessity and importance of examining the microbial response to eCO₂ in C₄ agroecosystem. The significant stimulation of a great number of key functional genes involved in C and N cycling at both soil depths may indicate the potential of altered C and N dynamics in soils planted with C₄ crops. This study provides new insights into our understanding of soil microbial community responses to eCO₂ in a C₄ maize agroecosystem. However, further studies are needed to understand the mechanism by which microbial structure and function shift at the eCO₂ environment and their feedbacks to ecosystem functioning, stability and services, especially with different C₄ plant species.

Methods

Site description and sample collection. The SoyFACE experimental site at Champaign, IL, USA (40°03'N, 88°12'W, 228 m above sea level) was established in 2001 on tile-drained farmland that had been under cultivation for over 100 years. The

crops are rotated between maize (*Z. mays* cv. 34B43, Pioneer Hi-Bred International) and soybean (*Glycine max*) on a yearly basis. The soil is Drummer–Flanagan series (fine-silty, mixed, mesic Typic Endoaquoll) and organic rich³⁸. Fertilizer was applied to maize fields yearly at a rate of 202 kg N ha⁻¹ (157 kg N ha⁻¹ with 28% 1:1 urea: ammonium nitrate liquid before planting and 45 kg N ha⁻¹ credit from previous soybean N₂ fixation)³⁸. Atmospheric CO₂ of four replicate plots (each with a 20-m diameter) was maintained at the ambient CO₂ (aCO₂, 354 ppm) level, and four replicates are maintained at an elevated (~550 ppm) CO₂ level in a randomized complete block design. To minimize the cross-contamination, aCO₂ and eCO₂ plots in each block were set with a 100-m interval. Three subsamples were collected in each plot at two soil depths (0–5 cm and 5–15 cm) from both CO₂ treatments before harvest in August 2008, resulting in a total of 48 samples (4 plots x 3 subsamples x 2 CO₂ treatments x 2 depths). Soil samples were sieved through a 2-mm sieve to remove visible plant materials. All soil samples were immediately stored at 4°C or -80°C until soil property analysis or DNA extraction.

Plant and soil analysis. Plant yields (grain biomass) were collected and analyzed at the end of the growing season. Soil total C and total N were measured by combustion (Muti N/C 3100, Jena, Germany). Soil NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ were extracted with 20 ml of 2 M KCl and analyzed using a segmented flow analyzer (Skalar Sanplus, Breda, Netherlands).

Analysis of microbial metabolic potential. BioLog EcoPlate™ substrate utilization assays⁵¹ containing 31 sole carbon sources and control wells (without substrates) with three replicates in a 96-well plate were used to evaluate the metabolic potential of soil microbial communities. Three subsamples collected from the same plot were composited together, resulting in 4 biological replicates from aCO₂ and eCO₂ at both depths. The soil suspension was prepared by adding 5.0 g soil to 45 ml of double distilled H₂O, followed by shaking for 45 min with 200 rpm at 4°C. Then samples were allowed to settle for 30 min before the supernatant was collected and serially diluted to 10⁻⁴ based on a pilot experiment. An aliquot of 100 μl of the diluted suspension from each soil sample was then inoculated into each EcoPlate well, and incubated at 25°C for 168 hours with in an OminLog System (BioLog Inc., Hayward, CA, USA). Well color development was automatically measured by OminLog System at 15 min intervals during the incubation. The average well color development (AWCD) presents the potential utilization of various carbon sources by a microbial community. AWCD was calculated by the differences between the OD₅₉₀ of the wells containing individual carbon sources and the control wells according to AWCD = $\sum(C-R)/31$, where C is the OD₅₉₀ value of each well, R is the OD₅₉₀ value of the control well⁵².

DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from 5.0 g of soil samples using the method described previously⁵³. DNA quality was assessed by the ratio of 260/280 nm and 260/230 nm using a ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Inc., Wilmington, NC) and DNA concentration was quantified with a Quant-It™ PicoGreen (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

GeoChip analysis. Purified DNA was amplified using whole community genome amplification (WCGA) and labeled with fluorescent dyes as described previously^{54,55}. The labeled DNA was then hybridized to GeoChip 3.0 at 42°C for 12 hrs⁵⁶. After hybridization, the chips were scanned using a ScanArray 5000® Microarray Analysis System (PerkinElmer, Wellesley, MA) at 95% laser power and 75% PMT (photomultiplier tube gain), and the signal intensity of each spot was measured using ImaGene™ 6.1 Standard Edition (Biodiscovery Inc., El Segundo, CA). Spots with signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) < 2.0 were removed. Probe signal intensities were normalized by their own universal standards in the experiment. A probe was considered positive if it was detected in at least 3 out of 12 replicates. These positive spots were included for further analysis.

Statistical analysis. An unpaired t-test was conducted to test the significances of plant yield between aCO₂ and eCO₂, soil variables between aCO₂ and eCO₂ in each soil depth (0–5 cm or 5–15 cm), microbial metabolic potential between aCO₂ and eCO₂ at each time point in each soil depth, respectively⁵⁷. The functional structure of microbial communities was ordinated using the NMDS based on the Bray-Curtis distance⁵⁸. Non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA), analysis of similarities (ANOSIM), and multi-response permutation procedure (MRPP) were used to evaluate the significance of the functional structure between aCO₂ and eCO₂ at each depth based on the null hypothesis^{58,59}. The effect of eCO₂ on the abundance of a given functional gene was analyzed by computing the response ratio⁵. Also, non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was conducted to quantitatively evaluate the contribution of CO₂ and depth to the microbial community structure using the 'adonis' function⁵⁸. Mantel tests were used to examine the correlation between the microbial community structure and environmental factors (soil properties and corn yield). All of the above analyses were performed with R v.2.8.1 project in the vegan package (v.1.15-1) (www.R-project.org).

1. Pearson, P. N. & Palmer, M. R. Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations over the past 60 million years. *Nature* **406**, 695–699 (2000).



2. He, Z. *et al.* Metagenomic analysis reveals a marked divergence in the structure of belowground microbial communities at elevated CO₂. *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 564–575 (2010).
3. Zak, D. R., Pregitzer, K. S., King, J. S. & Holmes, W. E. Elevated atmospheric CO₂, fine roots and the response of soil microorganisms: a review and hypothesis. *New Phytol.* **147**, 201–222 (2000).
4. Drigo, B. *et al.* Impacts of 3 years of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on rhizosphere carbon flow and microbial community dynamics. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 621–636 (2013).
5. Luo, Y., Hui, D. & Zhang, D. Elevated CO₂ stimulates net accumulations of carbon and nitrogen in land ecosystems: a meta-analysis. *Ecology* **87**, 53–63 (2006).
6. Xu, M. *et al.* Elevated CO₂ influences microbial carbon and nitrogen cycling. *BMC Microbiol.* **13**, 124 (2013).
7. He, Z. *et al.* Distinct responses of soil microbial communities to elevated CO₂ and O₃ in a soybean agro-ecosystem. *ISME J.* **8**, 714–726 (2014).
8. Carney, K. M., Hungate, B. A., Drake, B. G. & Megonigal, J. P. Altered soil microbial community at elevated CO₂ leads to loss of soil carbon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 4990–4995 (2007).
9. Heimann, M. & Reichstein, M. Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks. *Nature* **451**, 289–292 (2008).
10. Leakey, A. D. *et al.* Elevated CO₂ effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. *J. Exp. Bot.* **60**, 2859–2876 (2009).
11. Wang, D., Heckathorn, S. A., Wang, X. & Philpott, S. M. A meta-analysis of plant physiological and growth responses to temperature and elevated CO₂. *Oecologia* **169**, 1–13 (2012).
12. Twine, T. E. *et al.* Impacts of elevated CO₂ concentration on the productivity and surface energy budget of the soybean and maize agroecosystem in the Midwest USA. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 2838–2852 (2013).
13. Somerville, C., Youngs, H., Taylor, C., Davis, S. C. & Long, S. P. Feedstocks for lignocellulosic biofuels. *Science* **329**, 790–792 (2010).
14. Pingali, P. L. *Meeting world maize needs: technological opportunities and priorities for the public sector.* (International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, 2001).
15. He, Z. *et al.* The phylogenetic composition and structure of soil microbial communities shifts in response to elevated carbon dioxide. *ISME J.* **6**, 259–272 (2012).
16. Fierer, N., Schimel, J. P. & Holden, P. A. Variations in microbial community composition through two soil depth profiles. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **35**, 167–176 (2003).
17. Griffiths, R. I., Whiteley, A. S., O'Donnell, A. G. & Bailey, M. J. Influence of depth and sampling time on bacterial community structure in an upland grassland soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **43**, 35–43 (2003).
18. Xiong, J. *et al.* Assessing the microbial community and functional genes in a vertical soil profile with long-term arsenic contamination. *PLoS One* **7**, e50507 (2012).
19. Rice, C. W., Garcia, F. O., Hampton, C. O. & Owensby, C. E. Soil microbial response in tallgrass prairie to elevated CO₂. *Plant Soil* **165**, 67–74 (1994).
20. Rosegrant, M. W., Paisner, M. S., Meijer, S. & Witcover, J. Global food projections to 2020: Emerging trends and alternative futures. *International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC, USA* (2001).
21. Leakey, A. D. *et al.* Photosynthesis, productivity, and yield of maize are not affected by open-air elevation of CO₂ concentration in the absence of drought. *Plant Physiol.* **140**, 779–790 (2006).
22. Adair, E. C., Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E. & Knops, J. M. Interactive effects of time, CO₂, N, and diversity on total belowground carbon allocation and ecosystem carbon storage in a grassland community. *Ecosystems* **12**, 1037–1052 (2009).
23. Ainsworth, E. A. & Long, S. P. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytol.* **165**, 351–372 (2005).
24. BALL, A. Microbial decomposition at elevated CO₂ levels: effect of litter quality. *Glob. Change Biol.* **3**, 379–386 (1997).
25. Markelz, R. C., Strellner, R. S. & Leakey, A. D. Impairment of C₄ photosynthesis by drought is exacerbated by limiting nitrogen and ameliorated by elevated [CO₂] in maize. *J. Exp. Bot.* **62**, 3235–3246 (2011).
26. Conley, M. M. *et al.* CO₂ enrichment increases water-use efficiency in sorghum. *New Phytol.* **151**, 407–412 (2001).
27. Wall, G. *et al.* Elevated atmospheric CO₂ improved sorghum plant water status by ameliorating the adverse effects of drought. *New Phytol.* **152**, 231–248 (2001).
28. Phillips, D. A., Fox, T. C. & Six, J. Root exudation (net efflux of amino acids) may increase rhizodeposition under elevated CO₂. *Glob. Change Biol.* **12**, 561–567 (2006).
29. Hu, S., Firestone, M. K. & Chapin III, F. S. Soil microbial feedbacks to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **14**, 433–437 (1999).
30. Manzoni, S., Schimel, J. P. & Porporato, A. Responses of soil microbial communities to water stress: results from a meta-analysis. *Ecology* **93**, 930–938 (2012).
31. Schimel, J. P., Gullledge, J. M., Clein-Curley, J. S., Lindstrom, J. E. & Braddock, J. F. Moisture effects on microbial activity and community structure in decomposing birch litter in the Alaskan taiga. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **31**, 831–838 (1999).
32. Hussain, M. Z. *et al.* Future carbon dioxide concentration decreases canopy evapotranspiration and soil water depletion by field-grown maize. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 1572–1584 (2013).
33. Feng, X., Simpson, A. J., Schlesinger, W. H. & Simpson, M. J. Altered microbial community structure and organic matter composition under elevated CO₂ and N fertilization in the duke forest. *Glob. Change Biol.* **16**, 2104–2116 (2010).
34. Pujol Pereira, E. I., Chung, H., Scow, K. & Six, J. Microbial communities and soil structure are affected by reduced precipitation, but not by elevated carbon dioxide. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **77**, 482–488 (2013).
35. Jastrow, J. D. *et al.* Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide increases soil carbon. *Glob. Change Biol.* **11**, 2057–2064 (2005).
36. Hungate, B. A. *et al.* CO₂ elicits long-term decline in nitrogen fixation. *Science* **304**, 1291–1291 (2004).
37. Moran, K. K. & Jastrow, J. D. Elevated carbon dioxide does not offset loss of soil carbon from a corn–soybean agroecosystem. *Environ. Pollu.* **158**, 1088–1094 (2010).
38. Leakey, A., Bernacchi, C., Dohleman, F., Ort, D. & Long, S. Will photosynthesis of maize (zea mays) in the US corn belt increase in future [CO₂] rich atmospheres? An analysis of diurnal courses of CO₂ uptake under free-air concentration enrichment (FACE). *Glob. Change Biol.* **10**, 951–962 (2004).
39. Drake, J. E. *et al.* Increases in the flux of carbon belowground stimulate nitrogen uptake and sustain the long-term enhancement of forest productivity under elevated CO₂. *Ecol. Lett.* **14**, 349–357 (2011).
40. Johnson, D. W. Progressive N limitation in forests: review and implications for long-term responses to elevated CO₂. *Ecology* **87**, 64–75 (2006).
41. Finzi, A. C. *et al.* Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem processes under elevated CO₂ in a warm-temperate forest. *Ecology* **87**, 15–25 (2006).
42. Reich, P. B. *et al.* Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to CO₂. *Nature* **440**, 922–925 (2006).
43. Norby, R. J., Warren, J. M., Iversen, C. M., Medlyn, B. E. & McMurtrie, R. E. CO₂ enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen availability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 19368–19373 (2010).
44. Kelly, J. J. *et al.* Elevated atmospheric CO₂ impacts abundance and diversity of nitrogen cycling functional genes in soil. *Microb. Ecol.* **65**, 394–404 (2013).
45. Pujol Pereira, E. I. *et al.* Soil nitrogen transformations under elevated atmospheric CO₂ and O₃ during the soybean growing season. *Environ. Pollu.* **159**, 401–407 (2011).
46. Baggs, E., Richter, M., Hartwig, U. & Cadisch, G. Nitrous oxide emissions from grass swards during the eighth year of elevated atmospheric pCO₂ (Swiss FACE). *Glob. Change Biol.* **9**, 1214–1222 (2003).
47. Robinson, D. & Conroy, J. P. A possible plant-mediated feedback between elevated CO₂, denitrification and the enhanced greenhouse effect. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **31**, 43–53 (1998).
48. Barnard, R., Barthes, L., Le Roux, X. & Leadley, P. W. Dynamics of nitrifying activities, denitrifying activities and nitrogen in grassland mesocosms as altered by elevated CO₂. *New Phytol.* **162**, 365–376 (2004).
49. Hayden, H. L. *et al.* Changes in the microbial community structure of bacteria, archaea and fungi in response to elevated CO₂ and warming in an Australian native grassland soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* **14**, 3081–3096 (2012).
50. Castro, H. F., Classen, A. T., Austin, E. E., Norby, R. J. & Schadt, C. W. Soil microbial community responses to multiple experimental climate change drivers. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**, 999–1007 (2010).
51. Garland, J. L. Analysis and interpretation of community-level physiological profiles in microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **24**, 289–300 (1997).
52. Garland, J. L., & Mills, A. L. Classification and characterization of heterotrophic microbial communities on the basis of patterns of community-level, sole-carbon-source utilization. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **57**, 2351–2359 (1991).
53. Zhou, J., Bruns, M. A. & Tiedje, J. M. DNA recovery from soils of diverse composition. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **62**, 316–322 (1996).
54. Wu, L., Liu, X., Schadt, C. W. & Zhou, J. Microarray-based analysis of subnanogram quantities of microbial community DNAs by using whole-community genome amplification. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**, 4931–4941 (2006).
55. Xiong, J. *et al.* Microbial communities and functional genes associated with soil arsenic contamination and the rhizosphere of the arsenic-hyperaccumulating plant *Pteris vittata* L. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**, 7277–7284 (2010).
56. He, Z. *et al.* GeoChip 3.0 as a high-throughput tool for analyzing microbial community composition, structure and functional activity. *ISME J.* **4**, 1167–1179 (2010).
57. Ruxton, G. D. The unequal variance t-test is an underused alternative to Student's t-test and the Mann–Whitney U test. *Behav. Ecol.* **17**, 688–690 (2006).
58. Anderson, M. J. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral. Ecol.* **26**, 32–46 (2001).
59. Biondini, M. E., Mielke Jr, P. W. & Berry, K. J. Data-dependent permutation techniques for the analysis of ecological data. *Vegetatio* **75**, 161–168 (1988).

Acknowledgments

Assistance with sample collection was provided by Ariane L. Peralta, Yu-rui Chang, Sara F. Paver, Diana N. Flanagan, and Anthony C. Yannarell. This work is supported by the US Department of Agriculture (Project 2007-35319-18305) through the NSF-USDA Microbial Observatories Program, and by Oklahoma Applied Research Support (OARS), Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology (OCAST) through AR062-034 and AR11-035, and the KC Wong Magna Fund of Ningbo University.



Author contributions

All authors contributed to the data set, discussed the results and commented on the manuscript. Z.H., A.K. and J.Z. designed this study. J.X. did the experiments, and J.X. and Y.D. did data analysis. J.X., Z.H. and S.S. wrote this paper with help from A.K. J.D.V., L.W. and J.Z.

Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/scientificreports>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Xiong, J. *et al.* Elevated CO₂ shifts the functional structure and metabolic potentials of soil microbial communities in a C₄ agroecosystem. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 9316; DOI:10.1038/srep09316 (2015).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>