Clinical and Experimental Immunology

The wingless-related integration site-5a/secreted frizzled-related protein-5 system is dysregulated in human sepsis

D. M. Schulte,*[†] D. Kragelund,* N. Müller,* I. Hagen,* G. Elke,[‡] A. Titz,* D. Schädler,[‡] J. Schumacher,* N. Weiler,^{†‡} B. Bewig,* S. Schreiber*[†] and M. Laudes*[†] Departments of *Internal Medicine I and [‡]Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Christian-Albrechts University Kiel, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, and [†]Cluster of Excellence Inflammation at Interfaces, Christian-Albrechts University Kiel, Kiel, Germany

Accepted for publication 4 November 2014 Correspondence: M. Laudes, Department of Internal Medicine I, University of Kiel, Arnold Heller Strasse 3, D-24105 Kiel, Germany. Email: matthias.laudes@uk-sh.de

Summary

Sepsis and type 2 diabetes exhibit insulin resistance as a common phenotype. In type 2 diabetes we and others have recently provided evidence that alterations of the proinflammatory wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a/antiinflammatory secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5 system are involved in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. The aim of the present study was to investigate whether this novel cytokine system is dysregulated in human sepsis, which may indicate a potential mechanism linking inflammation to metabolism. In this single-centre prospective observational study, critically ill adult septic patients were examined and proinflammatory wnt5a and wnt5a inhibitor sFRP5 were measured in serum samples by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) at admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) and 5 days later. Sixty sepsis patients were included, and 30 healthy individuals served as controls. Wnt5a levels were found to be increased significantly in septic patients compared to healthy controls $(2.21 \pm 0.33 \text{ versus})$ 0.32 ± 0.03 ng/ml, P < 0.0001). In contrast, sFRP5 was not altered significantly in septic patients $(19.72 \pm 3.06 \text{ versus } 17.48 \pm 6.38 \text{ ng/ml}, P = 0.07)$. On admission to the ICU, wnt5a levels exhibited a significant positive correlation with the leucocyte count ($r_s = 0.3797$, P = 0.004). Interestingly, in patients recovering from sepsis, wnt5a levels declined significantly within 5 days $(2.17 \pm 0.38 - 1.03 \pm 0.28 \text{ ng/ml}, P < 0.01)$. In contrast, if sepsis was worsening, wnt5a levels increased in the same time-period by trend $(2.34 \pm 0.59 3.25 \pm 1.02$ ng/ml, P > 0.05). sFRP5 levels did not change significantly throughout the study period. The wnt5a/sFRP5 system is altered in human sepsis and might therefore be of interest for future studies on molecular pathophysiology of this common human disease.

Keywords: cytokines, human, inflammation

Introduction

Inflammation and metabolism are necessities for life. At the same time, chronic inflammatory and chronic metabolic disorders display two of the greatest threats to human health and welfare worldwide [1]. Evolutionary perspectives have helped understanding of why these two systems seem to be not only closely related but also to interact very effectively with each other [2]. Pathogens and injuries induce a type of classical and high-grade inflammation enhancing the inflammatory response and causing metabolic disturbances. Conversely, metabolic abnormalities, such as excess intake of energy, lead to complications such as type 2 diabetes [3], which many studies suggest is mediated by a state of so called 'low-grade inflammation'.

Both classical high-grade inflammation (also called 'hot inflammation') and low-grade inflammation (also called 'cold inflammation') lead to insulin resistance [4]. Insulin resistance and consequent hyperglycaemia in sepsis at the severe end of the 'hot inflammation' spectrum are detrimental for the clinical outcome [5]. The same holds true for patients with insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes mellitus [6], a clinical example for 'cold', low-grade inflammation. However, there is no rational intervention available for targeting this pathophysiological interaction of inflammation and metabolism. Therefore, it is of importance to define innovative anti-inflammatory strategies for the therapy of severe systemic hot and cold inflammation and their lifethreatening metabolic complications. Instead of focusing upon the known inflammatory players, exploration of parainflammatory processes [7], induced by macrophages, may suggest novel ways to address this problem.

Para-inflammation describes the cross-talk between a 'secondary' adaptive immune response, local tissue stress or (metabolic) malfunction and vice versa, all aimed at restoring tissue functionality [7]. We have shown that the secreted glycopeptide wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a exerts such a 'restoring' effect in human obesity. In that model of cold inflammation, we have demonstrated that wnt5a is secreted by adipose tissue macrophages and alters adipocyte function [8,9]. Interestingly, in rodents, in chronic low-grade inflammation, wnt5a signalling is balanced by its inhibitor secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5 which is secreted, for example, by healthy adipocytes [10-12]. The wnt signalling pathway is highly conserved [12], as is the immunometabolic system. This suggested that our previous findings regarding wnt signalling in cold inflammation may also be relevant to hot inflammation, where an initial study reported that wnt molecules might be important [13]. Therefore, the aim of the present prospective clinical study was to investigate whether the wnt5a/sFRP5 system is dysregulated in human sepsis and might thereby serve as a molecular link between inflammation and metabolism.

Subjects and methods

This prospective observational study was approved by the local ethics committee [no. A161/11, Ethik Kommission der Universität zu Kiel, 24105 Kiel (Germany)]. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject or their legal representative before inclusion into the study.

Study population

Between January and December 2012, 60 consecutive critically ill patients with sepsis were recruited either at the medical or the anaesthesiological/surgical intensive care unit (ICU) (Table 1). Sepsis was defined in accordance with the 'Sepsis Guidelines 2010 of the German Sepsis Society' [14] and 'The international sepsis forum consensus conference on definitions of infection in the intensive care unit' [15]. An age- and sex-matched control cohort of 30 human individuals (basic characteristics in Table 2) was collected in the same time-period by the same department and the same personnel from the cross-sectional FoCus cohort, a population-based study in the North of Germany to study metabolic inflammation (http://www.focus.uni-kiel.de). The sepsis was treated according to standard guidelines. Subjects were included into the study in the morning within 24 h after diagnosis of sepsis, and serum samples were
 Table 1. Basic characteristics, dropouts, focus of infection and antibiotic treatment applied of sepsis patients.

| Male : female 21:17 8:2 0.2762 Age (years) $66\cdot06 \pm 2\cdot29$ $69\cdot8 \pm 3\cdot5$ 0.5263 Weight (kg) $77\cdot71 \pm 3\cdot09$ $79\cdot4 \pm 5\cdot9$ 0.6542 Height (m) $171\cdot2 \pm 1\cdot19$ $175\cdot4 \pm 2\cdot4$ 0.1193 BMI (kg/qm) $26\cdot54 \pm 1\cdot15$ $25\cdot7 \pm 1\cdot66$ 0.8689 Dropout Death 7 Discharge 5 Focus of infection 1 2 1 Liver 0 2 Kidney 3 2 Git 11 2 2 2 3 2 Git 11 2 2 3 2 3 2 Git 11 2 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 4 3 3 3 2 4 3 3 2 4 3 3 2 4 3 3 2 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 4 | | Sepsis improved $(n = 38)$ | Sepsis not improved (n = 10) | <i>P</i> -value |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years) 66.06 ± 2.29 69.8 ± 3.5 0.5263 Weight (kg) 77.71 ± 3.09 79.4 ± 5.9 0.6542 Height (m) 171.2 ± 1.19 175.4 ± 2.4 0.1193 BMI (kg/qm) 26.54 ± 1.15 25.7 ± 1.66 0.8689 Dropout 7 0 2 Death 7 0 2 Kidney 3 2 Git 11 2 Lower extremity 1 0 Unknown 14 3 Antibiotics 2 Vancomycin 6 4 Erythromycin 5 3 Piperacillin and 8 2 tazobactam 2 Ceftriaxone 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 Ciprofloxacin 10 1 Meropenem 9 1 Cefurixim 2 3 Clarithromycin 5 0 Sulphamicillin 1 0 Impenen 1 1 Reference 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 Ciprofloxacin 10 1 Impenen 1 1 Reference 3 2 O 2 3 Clarithromycin 5 0 Sulphamicillin 1 0 Impenen 1 1 Reference 1 1 Reference 1 1 Reference 3 2 Diffucan 1 0 Diffuc | Male : female | 21:17 | 8:2 | 0.2762 |
| Weight (kg) 77.71 ± 3.09 79.4 ± 5.9 0.6542 Height (m) 171.2 ± 1.19 175.4 ± 2.4 0.1193 BMI (kg/qm) 26.54 ± 1.15 25.7 ± 1.66 0.8689 Dropout 7 Discharge 5 Focus of infection 7 25.7 ± 1.66 0.8689 Liver 0 2 3 2 Git 11 2 3 2 Git 11 2 3 2 Lower extremity 1 0 1 0 Unknown 14 3 3 2 Vancomycin 6 4 2 3 Piperacillin and 8 2 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 3 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 3 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 <t< td=""><td>Age (years)</td><td>66.06 ± 2.29</td><td>69.8 ± 3.5</td><td>0.5263</td></t<> | Age (years) | 66.06 ± 2.29 | 69.8 ± 3.5 | 0.5263 |
| Height (m) $171 \cdot 2 \pm 1 \cdot 19$ $175 \cdot 4 \pm 2 \cdot 4$ $0 \cdot 1193$ BMI (kg/qm) $26 \cdot 54 \pm 1 \cdot 15$ $25 \cdot 7 \pm 1 \cdot 66$ $0 \cdot 86 \cdot 89$ Dropout $2 + 5 + 1 \cdot 15$ $25 \cdot 7 \pm 1 \cdot 66$ $0 \cdot 86 \cdot 89$ Dropout $1 + 15$ $25 \cdot 7 \pm 1 \cdot 66$ $0 \cdot 86 \cdot 89$ Dropout $1 + 15$ $25 \cdot 7 \pm 1 \cdot 66$ $0 \cdot 86 \cdot 89$ Dropout $1 + 15$ $25 \cdot 7 \pm 1 \cdot 66$ $0 \cdot 86 \cdot 89$ Dropout $1 + 15$ $25 \cdot 7 \pm 1 \cdot 66$ $0 \cdot 86 \cdot 89$ Discharge 5 5 5 Focus of infection $1 + 12$ $2 + 12 \cdot 19$ Liver 0 2 $3 \cdot 12$ Git 11 2 2 Kidney 3 2 2 Git 11 2 3 Hibiotics 11 4 3 Vancomycin 6 4 2 Vancomycin 5 3 Piperacillin and 8 2 tazobactam 2 3 Ceftriaxone 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 Ciprofloxacin 10 1 Meropenem 9 1 Cefotaxim 2 0 Sulphamicillin 1 0 Imigenem 1 1 Rifampicin 1 0 Neomycin 1 0 Neomycin 1 0 Diffucan 1 1 | Weight (kg) | 77.71 ± 3.09 | 79.4 ± 5.9 | 0.6542 |
| BMI (kg/qm) $26\cdot54 \pm 1\cdot15$ $25\cdot7 \pm 1\cdot66$ 0.8689 Dropout 7 5 Discharge 5 Focus of infection 1 Liver 0 2 Kidney 3 2 Git 11 2 Lower extremity 1 0 Unknown 14 3 Antibiotics 7 3 Vancomycin 6 4 Erythromycin 5 3 Piperacillin and 8 2 tazobactam 2 3 Ceftriaxone 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 Ciprofloxacin 10 1 Meropenem 9 1 Cefotaxim 2 3 Clarithromycin 5 0 Sulphamicillin 1 0 Imipenem 1 1 Cirotindamycin 2 0 Cefuraxim 1 0 Imipenem 1 1 Rifampi | Height (m) | 171.2 ± 1.19 | $175 \cdot 4 \pm 2 \cdot 4$ | 0.1193 |
| Dropout 7 Death 7 Discharge 5 Focus of infection 1 Lungs 9 1 Liver 0 2 Kidney 3 2 Git 11 2 Lower extremity 1 0 Unknown 14 3 Antibiotics 7 3 Vancomycin 6 4 Erythromycin 5 3 Piperacillin and 8 2 tazobactam 7 1 Ceftriaxone 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 Ciprofloxacin 10 1 Meropenem 9 1 Cefotaxim 2 3 Clarithromycin 5 0 Sulphamicillin 1 0 Imipenem 1 1 Clindamycin 2 0 Cefuroxim 1 0 | BMI (kg/qm) | $26{\cdot}54\pm1{\cdot}15$ | 25.7 ± 1.66 | 0.8689 |
| Death7Discharge5Focus of infectionLungs9Liver02Kidney32Git112Lower extremity10Unknown143AntibioticsVancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactamCeftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin10114Ciprofloxacin50Sulphamicillin110Imipenem110Gentamycin20Cefuroxim110Rifampicin110Neomycin10Diflucan110 | Dropout | | | |
| Discharge5Focus of infection1Lungs91Liver02Kidney32Git112Lower extremity10Unknown143Antibiotics7Vancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam7Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Death | 7 | | |
| Lungs 9 1 Liver 0 2 Kidney 3 2 Git 11 2 Lower extremity 1 0 Unknown 14 3 Antibiotics Vancomycin 6 4 Erythromycin 5 3 Piperacillin and 8 2 tazobactam 2 1 Ceftriaxone 3 2 Metronidazol 11 4 Ciprofloxacin 10 1 Meropenem 9 1 Ceftraxim 2 3 Clarithromycin 5 0 Sulphamicillin 1 0 Imipenem 1 1 Clindamycin 2 0 Cefturoxim 1 0 Gentamycin 1 0 Neomycin 1 0 Neomycin 1 0 Neomycin 1 0 Neomycin 1 0 Diflucan | Discharge | 5 | | |
| Lungs91Liver02Kidney32Git112Lower extremity10Unknown143Antibiotics7Vancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam7Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Imipenem11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Focus of infection | | | |
| Liver02Kidney32Git112Lower extremity10Unknown143Antibiotics3Vancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Lungs | 9 | 1 | |
| Kidney32Git112Lower extremity10Unknown143Antibiotics3Vancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Liver | 0 | 2 | |
| Git112Lower extremity10Unknown143Antibiotics3Vancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Imipenem11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diffucan11 | Kidney | 3 | 2 | |
| Lower extremity10Unknown143Antibiotics********************************* | Git | 11 | 2 | |
| Unknown143AntibioticsVancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Lower extremity | 1 | 0 | |
| AntibioticsVancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Unknown | 14 | 3 | |
| Vancomycin64Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Antibiotics | | | |
| Erythromycin53Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Vancomycin | 6 | 4 | |
| Piperacillin and82tazobactam2Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Erythromycin | 5 | 3 | |
| tazobactamCeftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | Piperacillin and | 8 | 2 | |
| Ceftriaxone32Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Diflucan11 | tazobactam | | | |
| Metronidazol114Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Ceftriaxone | 3 | 2 | |
| Ciprofloxacin101Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Metronidazol | 11 | 4 | |
| Meropenem91Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Ciprofloxacin | 10 | 1 | |
| Cefotaxim23Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Meropenem | 9 | 1 | |
| Clarithromycin50Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Cefotaxim | 2 | 3 | |
| Sulphamicillin10Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Clarithromycin | 5 | 0 | |
| Imipenem11Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Sulphamicillin | 1 | 0 | |
| Clindamycin20Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Imipenem | 1 | 1 | |
| Cefuroxim10Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Clindamycin | 2 | 0 | |
| Gentamycin11Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Cefuroxim | 1 | 0 | |
| Rifampicin10Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Gentamycin | 1 | 1 | |
| Neomycin10Ceftazidim10Diflucan11 | Rifampicin | 1 | 0 | |
| Ceftazidim 1 0 Diflucan 1 1 | Neomycin | 1 | 0 | |
| Diflucan 1 1 | Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 | |
| | Diflucan | 1 | 1 | |

Data are given as means \pm standard error of the mean; P > 0.05 = not significant. BMI = body mass index.

Table 2. Basic characteristics of sepsis patients and age-, sex- and body mass index (BMI)-matched healthy controls of wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a and secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5 serum concentration measurements.

| | Control $(n = 30)$ | Sepsis $(n = 48)$ | P-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Male : female | 13:17 | 29:19 | 0.8149 |
| Age (years) | 67.17 | 66.92 | 0.9216 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 26.04 | 26.36 | 0.3688 |
| CRP (mg/l) | 5.04 | 199.7 | <0.0001 |

Data are given as means \pm standard error of the mean; P > 0.05 = not significant. CRP = C-reactive protein.

conserved (= baseline). Wnt5a and sFRP5 serum levels were measured at the time-point of inclusion into the study and 5 days later. Based on the changes of the classical inflammatory infection parameters [leucocytes, C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT)] within the 5 days of observation, patients were divided into two groups: patients with a clinical improvement and an at least 30% decrease in at least one of the classical inflammatory markers between days 0 and 5 of the observational period were classified as 'sepsis improved'; n = 38 patients (Table 1). Conversely, no change or increase of classical inflammatory parameters suggested no sepsis improvement, and patients were thus assigned to the 'sepsis not improved' category; n = 10patients (Table 1). Seven patients died and five were referred to other hospitals within 5 days of admission (dropouts). Inclusion criteria were: male and female patients aged 20-80 years, sepsis, informed consent of patient or legal representative and Caucasian descent. Exclusion criteria were: known HIV, hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. The number of patients suffering from type 2 diabetes was overall low (<20%), and was not statistically significant between controls and sepsis patients (P = 0.230).

Biochemical analysis

Blood samples were taken during the morning hours at baseline and on day 5 of follow-up. Blood cell count was measured using automatic bead cell count (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan; Modell XE-5000). Serum was centrifuged at 677 g for 5 min, aliquoted and stored at -80°C. The following enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) for wntsignalling molecules and sFRP5 proteins were used: wnt5a [Uscn Life Science Inc. Wuhan, China; order number E83549Hu (analytical-sensitivity: 0.051 ng/ml)]; sFRP5 [Uscn Life Science Inc.; order number E92842Hu (analytical-sensitivity: 0.64 ng/ml)]. The ELISAs were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. According to the manufacturer, the wnt5a ELISA and the sFRP5 ELISA had excellent specificity for detection of human wnt5a and sFRP5 and no significant cross-reactivity or interference with analogues was observed. The specificity of the antibodies was confirmed by Western blot experiments. For the wnt5a antibody, two cell line lysates were tested by the manufacturer. HeLa cells produced a positive signal, while HEK293 cells were negative. For the sFRP5 antibody, tissue homogenates from human pancreas and liver tissue were tested separately (personal communications with the supplying company). Insulin and glucose were determined in serum using automated analysers in the Department of Clinical Chemistry of the University Hospital of Schleswig-Holstein in Kiel (Germany). The Homeostatic Model Assessment index (HOMA) was calculated as follows: fasting insulin (μ U/ml) × fasting glucose (mg/dl)/ 405 [16]. The HOMA index is widely used to estimate

insulin resistance, whereby normal insulin sensitivity is associated with values below 3, moderate insulin resistance is associated with values between 3 and 5 and severe insulin resistance is associated with values greater than 5 [17].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.01 and spss version 22 software. Associations between categorical variables were assessed by χ^2 test. Continuous data were analysed for normality of distribution using Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests. In the case of independent-samples group comparison, Student's *t*-test was applied for normally distributed data and the Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used if non-parametric data in one or both groups was present. In the case of group comparison of paired samples the paired *t*-test was applied for normally distributed data, and Wilcoxon's signed-rank test was used if non-parametric data in one or both groups was present. For correlation analysis, Spearman's correlation coefficient was used. Values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical manifestations

Patient characteristics are outlined in Table 1. In total, 60 critically ill patients with sepsis were enrolled into the study. During follow-up, seven patients died and five were referred to other hospitals, meaning a follow-up dropout rate of 20%. In 17 patients the concurrent source of sepsis remained unclear. The focus had been diagnosed in the gastrointestinal tract for 13 patients, in the lung for 10 patients, in the kidney/urogenital tract for five cases, in the liver for two patients and one affected lower extremity. Antibiotics were administered in different combinations (Table 1). In the 'sepsis improved' group CRP levels exhibited a reduction from 200.4 ± 18.1 to 84.9 ± 10.3 mg/l (P < 0.001), while in the 'sepsis not improved group' CRP levels raised from $197 \cdot 2 \pm 33 \cdot 8$ to $258 \cdot 8 \pm 37 \cdot 5$ mg/l (P = 0.046). With regard to the leucocyte count, a reduction was observed from 13.9 ± 1.0 to $10.5 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{9}$ /l (P = 0.008) in the 'sepsis-improved group', while the 'sepsis not-improved group' exhibited the following parameters: day 0, $16 \cdot 1 \pm 2 \cdot 4 \times 10^{9}$ /l; day 5, $18 \cdot 7 \pm 2 \cdot 5 \times 10^{9}$ /l ($P = 0 \cdot 401$). Finally, the PCT levels were falling in the 'sepsis-improved group' from $15 \cdot 1 \pm 5 \cdot 8$ to $1 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 5$ ng/ml ($P = 0 \cdot 0.89$) during the 5-day observational period, while in the 'sepsis notimproved group' the PCT changed from 37.0 ± 31.6 to 22.7 ± 18.9 ng/ml (P = 0.7618).

Wnt5a serum levels are elevated in sepsis patients

In a previous report, we have shown elevated wnt5a levels in morbidly obese subjects with low-grade

inflammation compared to age- and sex-matched lean controls [18]. In the present study we measured wnt5a serum levels by ELISA in sepsis patients in order to examine whether wnt5a is related specifically to low-grade inflammation of adipose tissue, or whether wnt5a might also be a proinflammatory factor in the much more severe condition of hot inflammation. Therefore, we compared wnt5a serum levels on administration to ICU of sepsis patients to those of age- and sex-matched controls. This analysis revealed a sevenfold increase in wnt5a serum levels in sepsis patients compared to controls (Fig. 1).

Wnt5a serum levels are associated with insulin resistance in sepsis patients

In order to examine whether wnt5a is associated with the degree of insulin resistance in sepsis patients, we measured glucose and insulin levels at admission to the ICU and calculated the HOMA. In the first analysis, we divided the cohort into individuals with mild insulin resistance (HOMA <5) and severe insulin resistance (HOMA >5) and compared serum wnt5a concentrations. In agreement with



Fig. 1. Wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a and secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5 serum concentrations in sepsis patients and age-, sex- and body mass index (BMI)-matched healthy controls. (a) Levels of wnt5a, (b) levels of secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5. For group comparison, the Mann–Whitney *U*-test was applied. Data are given as means \pm standard error of the mean; ****P < 0.0001, n.s. = not significant.



Fig. 2. Wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a serum concentrations in sepsis patients and controls with normal glucose tolerance or mild insulin resistance [Homeostatic Model Assessment index (HOMA) \leq 5] *versus* severe insulin resistance [HOMA > 5]. For group comparison, the Mann–Whitney *U*-test was applied. Data are given as means \pm standard error of the mean; **P* < 0.05.

the hypothesis that wnt5a might be involved in metabolic inflammation, in that analysis individuals with severe insulin resistance exhibited significantly higher wnt5a levels compared to individuals with mild insulin resistance (P < 0.05, Fig. 2). In addition, we performed correlation analysis of wnt5a and HOMA showing a significant positive correlation of wnt5a and HOMA in severe insulin-resistant sepsis patients ($r_s = 0.46$, P = 0.014).

Wnt5a serum levels are correlated positively with the leucocyte count

As was found in previous studies, leucocytes are supposed to be a major source of wnt5a in humans in low-grade inflammation [9] and in hot inflammation (sepsis) [13]. Therefore, we performed correlation analysis of several cellular and humoral inflammatory factors and serum wnt5a concentrations at admission to the ICU (Table 3 and Fig. 3). Strikingly, only the leucocyte count was correlated significantly positively with increasing serum concentrations of wnt5a ($r_s = 0.3797$, P = 0.0039) (Table 3 and Fig. 3).

Wnt5a serum levels decrease if sepsis is improved

In the next step, we compared wnt5a levels at admission to the ICU between 'sepsis-improved' $(2 \cdot 17 \pm 0.38 \text{ ng/ml})$ and 'sepsis not-improved' $(2 \cdot 34 \pm 0.59 \text{ ng/ml})$ groups. In that analysis, no significant difference could be observed (Figs 4 and 5) at baseline. The degree of insulin resistance given by the HOMA index was also not different between these two

| | Day 0: correlation | | No. of XY | Day 5: correlation | | No. of |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------|--------------------|---------|----------|
| | coefficient | P-value | pairs | coefficient | P-value | XY pairs |
| wnt5a versus leucocytes | 0.3797 | 0.0039 | 56 | 0.2264 | 0.1493 | 42 |
| wnt5a <i>versus</i> CRP | 0.0172 | 0.9059 | 50 | 0.1433 | 0.3906 | 38 |
| wnt5a <i>versus</i> PCT | 0.3550 | 0.0638 | 28 | 0.4048 | 0.3268 | 8 |
| sFRP5 versus leucocytes | 0.2128 | 0.1154 | 56 | -0.0986 | 0.5344 | 42 |
| sFRP5 versus CRP | 0.0879 | 0.5437 | 50 | -0.2011 | 0.2260 | 38 |
| sFRP5 versus PCT | 0.1629 | 0.4077 | 28 | -0.4524 | 0.2675 | 8 |

Table 3. Correlation analysis of wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a and secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5 with common cellular and humoral markers of inflammation.

Spearman's r_s , P < 0.05 = significant, P > 0.05 = not significant. CRP = C-reactive protein; PCT = procalcitonin; (wnt)-5a = wingless-related integration site; (sFRP)-5 = secreted frizzled-related protein.

groups at baseline (P = 0.493). Furthermore, we analysed the wnt5a serum levels between days 0 and 5 in the sepsis patients. In the 'sepsis-improved' group wnt5a serum levels decreased significantly (Fig. 4) between days 0 (2.17 ± 0.38 ng/ml) and 5 (1.03 ± 0.28 ng/ml, P = 0.002). In contrast, the wnt5a levels in the 'sepsis not-improved' group tended to increase (Fig. 5) [day 0 (2.34 ± 0.59 ng/ml) and day 5 (3.25 ± 1.02 ng/ml, statistically not significant].

Failure of compensatory sFRP5 induction in sepsis patients

We next measured anti-inflammatory sFRP5 in the same serum samples, as this wnt5a inhibitor was found to show compensatory up-regulation in human chronic low-grade inflammation [19]. sFRP5 levels at baseline were 19.72 ± 3.06 ng/ml. This was not significantly different to those in age- and sex-matched healthy controls (Fig. 1), indicating no induction of sFRP5 in sepsis patients. Patients in whom sepsis worsened during the time–course of the study tended to exhibit lower sFRP5 serum levels at the beginning (14.49 \pm 3.43 *versus* 21.10 \pm 3.75 ng/ml); however, this effect did not reach statistical significance. At



Fig. 3. Correlation analysis of serum wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a levels and leucocyte count at baseline (day 0). Spearman's correlation was applied. Data are given as Spearman's correlation coefficient $r_s = 0.3797$, P = 0.0039.

the end of the study period, sFRP5 serum levels accounted to 18.54 ± 3.63 ng/ml in the subjects were sepsis-improved and 15.57 ± 5.18 ng/ml in the subjects with no improvement, which was not statistically significant different from baseline.

Discussion

Several high-profile clinical trials of new forms of sepsis treatment have published negative results during recent years, leading researchers to the conclusion that sepsis studies require new directions [20]. Important reasons for the failures of new investigative drugs have been suggested to lie in problems in design and implementation of those trials [21–26]. It is thought that key pathophysiological mechanisms that drive sepsis have not been understood fully [27]. Most concepts and understandings of mechanisms and treatment targets in sepsis are based on the idea of the involvement of the classical immune system and cytokine storms. New ideas include the wider use of biomarker-guided therapeutic approaches and immuneadjuvant therapies [28], while suppression of apoptosis has also been suggested as a new therapeutic target [29].

It is well recognized that sepsis confers a high risk of morbidity and mortality and also features concomitant endocrine and metabolic disturbances [4]. In particular, insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia seem to have a causal link to adverse outcomes in sepsis [5]. Therefore, there is an unmet clinical need to describe para-inflammatory mechanisms in the time-course of sepsis, potentially inducing the link to metabolism. In an earlier study we identified wnt5a to be secreted by adipose tissue macrophages [9]. Expression of wnt5a was also reported in haemophagocytic macrophages of sepsis patients [13]. Interestingly, in the same study, Pereira et al. detected wnt5a in peripheral blood samples of sepsis patients by immunoprecipitation and Western blot [13]. Together, these findings suggest that wnt5a might serve as a novel proinflammatory factor in hot and cold inflammation. Therefore, in the present study in a larger independent cohort we aimed to measure wnt5a serum levels at different time-points during the course of human sepsis to gain further insights into



Fig. 4. Changes in wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a, secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5 and common cellular and humoral inflammatory markers in critically ill septic patients in whom sepsis improved between days 0 and 5. (a) C-reactive protein (CRP); (b) leucocytes; (c) procalcitonin (PCT); (d) wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a; (e) secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5. For group comparison, the paired *t*-test was applied in the case of normal distribution of data and Wilcoxon's signed-rank test in the case of non-parametric data in one or both groups. Data are given as means ± standard error of the mean; ****P* < 0.001, ***P* < 0.01, **P* < 0.05, n.s. = not significant.

wnt5a biology. In contrast to the earlier report by Pereira *et al.*, we used a quantitative approach by ELISA instead of a semi-quantitative analysis by Western blot. In parallel, for the first time we measured the wnt5a inhibitor sFRP5 in the same serum samples. In that analysis we observed wnt5a induction in human sepsis *in vivo*, fitting with the hypothesis that wnt5a is a proinflammatory molecule, which could be causally involved in the pathogenesis of this systemic inflammatory disease. Furthermore, we observed that measurements of wnt5a levels at baseline do not predict the outcome (improvement *versus* non-improvement/worsening) of the sepsis; however, wnt5a serum levels reflect the course of the disease with decreased levels of wnt5a when sepsis is improved.

Which tissue is the major source of wnt5a cannot be concluded from our clinical study. However, several findings suggest that leucocytes might be a major contributor to the increased serum wnt5a levels: (i) we and others have shown earlier that human monocytes and macrophages express wnt5a *in vivo* [9,13]; (ii) leucocytes are major cellular effectors in inflammatory processes in early sepsis [30]; and (iii) wnt5a serum levels were found to be correlated positively with the leucocyte count in the present study.

Wnt5a might be important in the link between metabolic and inflammatory reactions in the context of human sepsis, as previous reports suggest wnt5a to inhibit insulin receptor signalling [10]. In agreement with these data, in the present study we detected increased levels of wnt5a in sepsis patients with severe insulin resistance compared to subjects with only a mild insulin resistance. This finding underlines the hypothesis that wnt5a is a potential factor in the development of the so-called metabolic inflammation.

A significant decrease in classical proinflammatory markers within the first 5 days of the diseases indicates an improvement of the sepsis (Fig. 4). In parallel, we found that wnt5a serum levels also decline significantly when sepsis improved (Fig. 4). As the major source of wnt5a are



Fig. 5. Changes in wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a, secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5 and common cellular and humoral inflammatory markers in critically ill septic patients in whom sepsis did not improve between days 0 and 5. (a) C-reactive protein (CRP); (b) leucocytes; (c) procalcitonin (PCT); (d) wingless-related integration site (wnt)-5a; (e) secreted frizzled-related protein (sFRP)-5. For group comparison, the paired *t*-test was applied in the case of normal distribution of data and Wilcoxon's signed-rank test in the case of non-parametric data in one or both groups. Data are given as means \pm standard error of the mean; **P* < 0.05; n.s. = not significant.

supposed to be leucocytes, together these findings indicate that wnt5a might serve as a novel cytokine in the human innate immune system.

In contrast to proinflammatory wnt5a, the serum concentrations of anti-inflammatory sFRP5 were neither increased at the time-point of the diagnosis nor did they change significantly during the time-course of sepsis (Figs 1, 4 and 5). This is in contrast to what we found in a model of chronic inflammation: in a present report from our group wnt5a was found to be elevated in serum samples of human subjects with psoriasis, a chronic inflammatory disease known to be associated with severe metabolic complications. In this study, increased sFRP5 concentrations were detected in serum samples of psoriasis patients, suggesting that under chronic inflammatory circumstances the human organism is, at least in part, able to prevent overwhelming wnt5a activity [19]. Taking these findings into consideration, it might be speculated that under chronic inflammatory conditions the human organism is able to prevent overwhelming wnt5a activity by producing sFRP5 and that this compensatory mechanism is not activated in the early stages of acute high-grade inflammation.

Interestingly, sFRP5 has been patented recently to restore normal metabolic function (US20110274689), and might therefore be a novel therapeutic option for metabolic abnormalities associated with acute inflammatory diseases to mimic the compensatory sFRP5 up-regulation found under chronic inflammatory conditions [19]. Thus, the wnt5a/sFRP5 system might be of clinical relevance in the future, suggesting that more research should be carried out into this novel cytokine system.

In conclusion, the data reported in the present study suggest that the wnt5a/sFRP5 system might be an interesting subject for future studies of the pathogenesis of human sepsis and the development of novel therapies targeting it.

Acknowledgements

We are particularly grateful to the team of the Medical ICU as well as to the team of the Anesthesiological and Surgical ICU for supporting the data and serum collection. The authors especially thank all the participants in this study and their contribution to the research. We thank Katrin Neumann for technical assistance. This study was funded by a grant from Fresenius Kabi Deutschland GmbH to M. L. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclosure

None of the authors has something to disclose.

References

- 1 Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. Nature 2006; 444:860–7.
- 2 Sondergaard L. Homology between the mammalian liver and the Drosophila fat body. Trends Genet 1993; **9**:193.
- 3 Calay ES, Hotamisligil GS. Turning off the inflammatory, but not the metabolic, flames. Nat Med 2013; **19**:265–7.
- 4 Hillenbrand A, Weiss M, Knippschild U, Wolf AM, Huber-Lang M. Sepsis-induced adipokine change with regard to insulin resistance. Int J Inflam 2012; 2012:972368.
- 5 Van Cromphaut SJ, Vanhorebeek I, Van den Berghe G. Glucose metabolism and insulin resistance in sepsis. Curr Pharm Des 2008; 14:1887–99.
- 6 Dailey G. Overall mortality in diabetes mellitus: where do we stand today? Diabetes Technol Ther 2011; **13** (Suppl. 1):S65–74.
- 7 Medzhitov R. Origin and physiological roles of inflammation. Nature 2008; **454**:428–35.
- 8 Bilkovski R, Schulte DM, Oberhauser F *et al.* Role of WNT-5a in the determination of human mesenchymal stem cells into preadipocytes. J Biol Chem 2010; 285:6170–8.
- 9 Bilkovski R, Schulte DM, Oberhauser F *et al.* Adipose tissue macrophages inhibit adipogenesis of mesenchymal precursor cells via wnt-5a in humans. Int J Obes 2011; **35**:1450–4.
- 10 Ouchi N, Higuchi A, Ohashi K et al. Sfrp5 is an anti-inflammatory adipokine that modulates metabolic dysfunction in obesity. Science 2010; 329:454–7.
- 11 Mori H, Prestwich TC, Reid MA *et al.* Secreted frizzled-related protein 5 suppresses adipocyte mitochondrial metabolism through WNT inhibition. J Clin Invest 2012; **122**:2405–16.
- 12 Laudes M. Role of WNT signalling in the determination of human mesenchymal stem cells into preadipocytes. J Mol Endocrinol 2011; 46:R65–72.
- 13 Pereira C, Schaer DJ, Bachli EB, Kurrer MO, Schoedon G. Wnt5A/ CaMKII signaling contributes to the inflammatory response of

macrophages and is a target for the antiinflammatory action of activated protein C and interleukin-10. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2008; **28**:504–10.

- 14 Reinhart K, Brunkhorst FM, Bone HG et al., German Interdisciplinary Association for I, Emergency Care M, German Sepsis S. [Prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up care of sepsis. First revision of the S2k Guidelines of the German Sepsis Society (DSG) and the German Interdisciplinary Association for Intensive and Emergency Care Medicine (DIVI)]. Anaesthesist 2010; 59:347–70.
- 15 Calandra T, Cohen J, International Sepsis Forum Definition of Infection in the ICUCC. The international sepsis forum consensus conference on definitions of infection in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2005; 33:1538–48.
- 16 Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985; 28:412–9.
- 17 Rehman J, Considine RV, Bovenkerk JE *et al.* Obesity is associated with increased levels of circulating hepatocyte growth factor. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; **41**:1408–13.
- 18 Schulte DM, Muller N, Neumann K *et al.* Pro-inflammatory wnt5a and anti-inflammatory sFRP5 are differentially regulated by nutritional factors in obese human subjects. PLOS ONE 2012; 7:e32437.
- 19 Gerdes S, Laudes M, Neumann K, Baurecht H, Mrowietz U. Wnt5a – a potential factor linking psoriasis to metabolic complications. Exp Dermatol 2014; 23:439–40.
- 20 Cohen J, Opal S, Calandra T. Sepsis studies need new direction. Lancet Infect Dis 2012; **12**:503–5.
- 21 Kotsaki A, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ. Emerging drugs for the treatment of sepsis. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs 2012; 17:379–91.
- 22 Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Septic shock evaluating another failed treatment. N Engl J Med 2012; **366**:2122–4.
- 23 Williams SC. After Xigris, researchers look to new targets to combat sepsis. Nat Med 2012; 18:1001.
- 24 Dolgin E. Trial failure prompts soul-searching for critical-care specialists. Nat Med 2012; 18:1000.
- 25 Angus DC. The search for effective therapy for sepsis: back to the drawing board? JAMA 2011; **306**:2614–5.
- 26 Vincent JL. The rise and fall of drotrecogin alfa (activated). Lancet Infect Dis 2012; **12**:649–51.
- 27 Ward PA. New approaches to the study of sepsis. EMBO Mol Med 2012; **4**:1234–43.
- 28 Hotchkiss RS, Monneret G, Payen D. Immunosuppression in sepsis: a novel understanding of the disorder and a new therapeutic approach. Lancet Infect Dis 2013; 13:260–8.
- 29 Harjai M, Bogra J, Kohli M, Pant AB. Is suppression of apoptosis a new therapeutic target in sepsis? Anaesth Intensive Care 2013; 41:175–83.
- 30 Stearns-Kurosawa DJ, Osuchowski MF, Valentine C, Kurosawa S, Remick DG. The pathogenesis of sepsis. Annu Rev Pathol 2011; 6:19–48.