

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Mamm Genome. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 March 25.

Published in final edited form as:

Mamm Genome. 2009 July ; 20(7): 395-403. doi:10.1007/s00335-009-9204-7.

The gastrointestinal microbiome: a malleable, third genome of mammals

Ian M. Carroll,

Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA; Center for Gastrointestinal Biology and Disease, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

David W. Threadgill, and

Center for Gastrointestinal Biology and Disease, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA; Department of Genetics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Deborah S. Threadgill

Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7615, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Deborah S. Threadgill: dsthread@ncsu.edu

Abstract

The nonpathogenic, mutualistic bacteria of the mammalian gastrointestinal tract provide a number of benefits to the host. Recent reports have shown how the aggregate genomes of gastrointestinal bacteria provide novel benefits by functioning as the third major genome in mammals along with the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. Consequently, efforts are underway to elucidate the complexity of the organisms comprising the unique ecosystem of the gastrointestinal tract, as well as those associated with other epidermal surfaces. The current knowledge of the gastrointestinal microbiome, its relationship to human health and disease with a particular focus on mammalian physiology, and efforts to alter its composition as a novel therapeutic approach are reviewed.

In recent years there has been renewed interest in the enteric microorganisms that inhabit the mammalian gastrointestinal (GI) tract, commonly referred to as the GI microbiota. In particular, focus has been on the variation that occurs in the microbiota composition and on specific bacterial species that can antagonize or ease intestinal or other diseases. However, the idea that GI microorganisms are associated with a person's well-being is not new. Almost a century ago Ilya Ilyich Mechnikov (also known as Elie Metchnikoff), the 1908 Nobel Laureate in Physiology or Medicine for his work on phagocytosis, postulated in *Prolongation of Life: Optimistic Studies* that certain bacteria could improve the intestinal health of the host (Metchnikoff 1908). With the advent of genome-scale technologies and the ability to perform metagenomics, the dynamic interplay between a host and its GI microbiota and how these interactions contribute to disease are beginning to be elucidated (Turnbaugh et al. 2007).

[©] Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Correspondence to: Deborah S. Threadgill, dsthread@ncsu.edu.

The GI microbiota is a complex community of bacteria, archaea, and eukarya. The bacterial fraction is believed to contain more than 500 different species and can reach numbers of 10^{12} – 10^{14} cells/ml of luminal contents (Ley et al. 2006; Whitman et al. 1998), with the highest densities residing in the colon. In addition to the numbers of bacteria in the GI tract being much greater, the diversity of phyla in the GI tract is also greater than in any other host environment like the skin, oral cavity, or reproductive tract (Dethlefsen et al. 2007; Grice et al. 2009; Nasidze et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2009). In fact, the number of bacterial cells in the GI tract is greater than the total number of somatic cells in the mammalian body by an order of magnitude. With the exceptionally high numbers and such a diverse community of microorganisms, it is not surprising that the cumulative genomes of the GI microbiome are estimated to contain over 100 times as many genes as the mammalian nuclear genome (Gill et al. 2006). The microbiome harbors genes that code the components of many metabolic processes not found in mammalian cells but that are nonetheless essential for optimal health, such as the ability to degrade indigestible dietary components like fiber and plant cell wall polysaccharides (Brulc et al. 2009; Flint et al. 2007; Pryde et al. 2002). Consequently, the relationships between mammalian hosts and their GI microbiota are more mutualistic than commensal. The GI microorganisms have access to an unlimited supply of nutrition and in return the host is provided with readily absorbable nutrients (Rowland et al. 1985). Furthermore, the GI microbiota stimulate normal immune maturation (Bauer et al. 2006; Mazmanian et al. 2005), provide defense against pathogens through pathogen interference (Bernet-Camard et al. 1997), impart GI mucosal barrier stability (Gotteland et al. 2001), and alter the impact of toxicants and xenobiotics (Meinl et al. 2009; Swann et al. 2009). Since the well-being and phenotypic state of a host depends on the intimate association with its GI microbiota, it has been suggested that mammals can be considered as superorganisms, composed of an amalgam of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells (Goodacre 2007; Lederberg 2000).

Coevolution of the GI microbiota with its host

More than 55 bacterial divisions exist on Earth, yet only two of these deep evolutionary phyla, *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes*, are predominant in the GI microbiota, suggesting that the mammalian GI tract is a relatively exclusive environment (Backhed et al. 2005). However, there is an abundance of species from the two primary phyla represented in the mammalian microbiota. Supporting the idea of the GI tract as a unique environment is the fact that GI microorganisms are rarely found living independently outside their host. Conversely, studies on germ-free (GF) mice, which lack all microorganisms, demonstrate the host's dependence on the GI microbiota for normal physiological development and homeostasis. For example, GF mice exhibit elongated villi, an oversized cecum, and altered susceptibility to obesity (Backhed et al. 2007; Thompson and Trexler 1971), conditions that are reversed when GF mice are associated with a specific pathogen-free (SPF) microbiota. The inability of organisms to survive independently (GI microbiota) or to maintain normal health (mammalian hosts) is a strong indication of coevolved mutualism.

Coevolution is defined as the mutual evolutionary influence between species and has been extensively documented for humans and infectious microorganisms (Brunham et al. 1993). For example, the adaptation of *Helicobacter pylori* with its human host has been used to

independently trace the migration of early humans from northern Africa (Linz et al. 2007). The coevolution of hosts with their GI microbiota has resulted in a cooperative relationship that has shaped the biology and genomes of these mutualistic partners (Ley et al. 2006).

In order to reside in the unique, relatively exclusive GI niche, microorganisms must contain a battery of genes that are dedicated to their persistence, such as genes coding for bile-salt hydrolases and mucus/fibronectin binding proteins. These are evident in the genome sequence of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, a prominent member of the GI microbiota, where the representation of predicted glycosylhydrolases far exceeds that of any other sequenced bacterial genome (Xu et al. 2003). In addition, *B. thetaiotaomicron* has the ability to utilize host-derived glycans. Thus, a symbiotic relationship exists where a prominent member of the GI microbiota can break down dietary components for host use, while the host provides an ecosystem with a rich energy source in the form of glycans and other dietary components. Additional evidence for coevolution of GI microbiota and their hosts is displayed in the genomes of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (Altermann et al. 2005) and *L. johnsonii* (Pridmore et al. 2004). The genomes of these two *Lactobacillus* species harbor a number of genes coding for proteins that process indigestible dietary components that can be utilized by the host but lack genes responsible for the synthesis of amino acids and purine nucleotides, indicating a strong dependence on the host.

Similar to the influence of the host on the microbiota, the microorganisms inhabiting the GI tract likely affected mammalian evolution. It has been demonstrated that the human GI microbiome is significantly enriched with genes for the metabolism of glycans, amino acids, and xenobiotics when compared to the human genome (Gill et al. 2006; Meinl et al. 2009; Swann et al. 2009). Thus, the GI microbiome has genes that the mammalian host did not need to evolve independently and allows the host to obtain nutrients from food sources that would otherwise be indigestible (Brulc et al. 2009; Flint et al. 2007; Pryde et al. 2002). Supporting the strong link between the GI microbiome and its host is the fact that the composition of the GI microbiota changes with age, mirroring changes in diet that occur between milk-fed infants and adults and into the elderly years (Mariat et al. 2009; Sela et al. 2008). It is therefore likely that the GI microbiome and use of novel food constituents (Ley et al. 2008).

The gastrointestinal microbiome

Most species of bacteria that inhabit the mammalian GI tract are currently unculturable and amenable only to molecular analysis (Eckburg et al. 2005; Hayashi et al. 2005; Table 1). Until recently, the majority of nucleic acid sequences obtained from analysis of the mammalian GI microbiome were from the 16S rDNA genes, which have been widely used for the qualitative and quantitative analyses of the microbiota constituents (Alexander et al. 2006; Heilig et al. 2002; Sarma-Rupavtarm et al. 2004). Analysis of the mammalian GI microbiome has now entered the metagenomic era (characterization of complex bacterial populations). Metagenomic analysis on the human distal colonic microbiome identified distinctive functional attributes encoded by the member microorganisms (Gill et al. 2006). Thousands of GI microbiome sequences were analyzed from random DNA libraries

generated from fecal specimens collected from one male and one female subject with no known health problems or antibiotic exposure. The GI microbiome of both subjects displayed an enrichment of genes specific to metabolic processes such as energy production and conversion, transport, and metabolism of carbohydrates, amino acids, and coenzymes as well as secondary metabolite biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism. In addition, a metagenomic study of the microbiomes from adults and children at various ages demonstrated that preweaned infants have simpler but more variable microbiota than weaned children or adults, who are more complex but also more uniform (Kurokawa et al. 2007). An important example is *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis*, which has adapted to utilize milk-borne molecules that have little nutritive value to the neonatal host (Sela et al. 2008). New high-throughput sequencing technologies have even supported interrogation of ancient human microbiomes from preserved paleofecal deposits (Tito et al. 2008).

Complementary investigations have focused on the metabolic properties of specific *Bacteroides* spp. since they account for a large proportion of the constituents of the GI microbiota. The genome sequence of *B. thetaiotaomicron*, which represents 12% of GI *Bacteroides* and 6% of the entire GI microbiota based on 16S rDNA analysis, proves its unique niche in the host GI tract (Xu et al. 2003); B. *thetaiotaomicron* contains an unusually large number of genes responsible for the metabolism of carbohydrates. In addition, the genome sequences of *B. vulgatus* and *B. distasonis*, which represent 31 and 0.8% of total GI *Bacteroides*, respectively, prove that many genes in the microbiome are dedicated to the acquisition, breakdown, or synthesis of carbohydrates (Xu et al. 2007).

The importance of the metabolic activities encoded by the GI microbiome is reflected in the fact that the composition of the GI microbiota is strongly influenced by the host's diet. For example, a reduction in dietary carbohydrates leads to reduced levels of *Roseburia* spp., *Eubacterium rectale*, and *Bifidobacterium* spp., but there is no change in *Bacteroides* spp. (Duncan et al. 2007). The levels of some constituents of the GI microbiota can be altered indirectly by diet because of their dependence on the metabolic production of other constituents that are directly affected by diet (Belenguer et al. 2006).

Although diet has a pronounced influence on the GI microbiota, a growing body of evidence suggests that host genetics can also influence the composition of the GI microbiota (Zoetendal et al. 2001). Comparisons of microbiota from mono- and dizygotic twins indicate that host genetic factors may influence the composition of the microbiota (Stewart et al. 2005; Van de Merwe et al. 1983). Host-influenced changes in the composition of the microbiota may be a mechanism by which host genetics contributes to obesity if the selected microbiota have increased capacity for energy harvest from the diet (Turnbaugh et al. 2006). Importantly, individuals with shared phenotypic characteristics, e.g., obesity, can have different microbiota while sharing a "core microbiome" at the gene level (Turnbaugh et al. 2009). The role of host genetics in modulating GI microbiota composition has been confirmed using mice in controlled environments. Inbred strains of mice differ in the quantity of various members of the GI microbiota and these differences are maintained even when mice from different strains cohabitate (Alexander et al. 2006). Similar experiments indicate that gender, age, and presence of pathogenic bacteria in the GI tract influence colonization dynamics (Ge et al. 2006).

The GI microbiota and disease

Although the GI microbiota is essential for the health of the host, it is also associated with a number of intestinal disorders and susceptibility to systemic diseases. The mutualistic relationship of the mammalian host with its GI microbiota is based on tolerance. Reduced or altered host tolerance to GI microorganisms can lead to inflammatory disorders such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Moreover, studies have also correlated the composition of the microbiota with systemic diseases like cancer and obesity.

IBD

Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), collectively known as IBD, are disorders of unknown cause and are characterized by recurrent intestinal inflammation. IBD is believed to result from one or a combination of factors including genetic-based host susceptibility, the GI microbiota, and a dysfunctional immune response (Sartor 1997). The GI microbiota, which are thought to be a major source of antigens contributing to abnormal immune responses, differ among individuals at different stages of CD and UC (Bibiloni et al. 2006). Differences in the GI microbiota may precede the onset of inflammation (Nones et al. 2009). More recent analyses have found that a subset of individuals with CD and UC have a depletion of commensal bacteria from the phyla *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes*, suggesting that IBD may represent a spectrum of disease states (Frank et al. 2007). The most convincing evidence for the association of GI microbiota with IBD comes from gnotobiotic (defined microbiota) studies in mouse models of colitis (Kuhn et al. 1993), which demonstrated that mucosal inflammation results when interleukin-10 (*Il10*)-deficient mice are colonized by normal members of the GI microbiota. Confirming this association, inflammation is absent from these mutant mice when they are maintained GF.

IBS

IBS is a chronic GI disorder characterized by abdominal pain, cramping, diarrhea, and/or constipation (Quigley 2006; Ringel et al. 2001). Traditionally, IBS has been considered a disorder that is associated with GI hypersensitivity, which leads to pain, and GI motor dysfunction, which leads to diarrhea or constipation. Randomized studies have implicated various factors in this GI disorder, including food allergy, serotonin levels, pathogenic bacterial infection and inflammation, alterations in the normal GI microbiota, and host genetics (Talley 2006). Because IBS is a heterogeneous disorder, it is possible that there are heterogeneous etiologies for the different subtypes of the disorder: diarrhea-predominant IBS (D-IBS), constipationpredominant IBS (C-IBS), mixed-bowel-habit IBS (MIBS), or postinfectious IBS (PI-IBS). Recent investigations have demonstrated that the composition of the GI microbiome of individuals with IBS differs from that of healthy controls (Kassinen et al. 2007), implicating intestinal bacteria in the pathogenesis of this disorder. Moreover, intestinal inflammation has been demonstrated in patients with IBS (Liebregts et al. 2007; O'Mahony et al. 2005), further implicating GI microbiota in the pathogenesis of IBS. However, to date there is no clear picture of how an altered GI microbiota is associated with intestinal inflammation, altered GI hypersensitivity, or motor dysfunction.

Cancer

As might be expected, cancers of the colon are most strongly associated with the GI microbiota. Germ-free Il10-deficient mice are resistant to IBD and subsequent colitisassociated colon cancer (CAC), but if conventionalized, they are highly susceptible to CAC development with the azoxymethane carcinogen model (Uronis et al. 2009). Similarly, transforming growth factor βl (*Tgfb1*) null mice that are colonized by a conventional microbiota develop colon cancer, while those that are raised GF do not (Engle et al. 2002). The susceptibility to colon cancer of mice with perturbed transforming growth factor β (TGFB) signaling, like the Smad3 null, Rag2 null, and Tgfb1 null mouse models (Erdman et al. 2009; Maggio-Price et al. 2006), has been attributed to Helicobacter spp. or other proinflammatory bacteria in the colon. Unlike the TGFB-driven models, Apc^{Min} mice, raised under GF conditions, show only a modest reduction in the number of intestinal polyps (Dove et al. 1997), suggesting that the microbiota can have differential influences on molecularly distinct colon cancers. In addition to modulating the inflammatory system, the GI microbiota also produces butyrate, a potent histone deacetylase inhibitor that can alter epigenetic programming of colonocytes and has been implicated in the prevention of colon cancer (Balamurugan et al. 2008). Differences in the composition of the GI microbiota may also contribute to ethnic differences in colon cancer incidence (O'Keefe et al. 2007). The role of the GI microbiota in cancer susceptibility may even extend beyond the colon; it was recently reported that members of the GI microbiota can increase susceptibility to mammary adenocarcinomas in mice (Rao et al. 2006).

Obesity

The traditional view of obesity is centered on nutrition and host genetics (Rankinen et al. 2006). However, studies using mouse models of obesity as well as GF and gnotobiotic mice have shown a contributing role of the GI microbiota to obesity (Backhed et al. 2007; Ley et al. 2005; Turnbaugh et al. 2007). Consistent with the diet-linked changes in the GI microbiota described above, the ratio of the two predominant bacterial divisions, Bacteroides and Firmicutes, shifts significantly when comparing normal to diet-induced obese mice (Ley et al. 2005). Furthermore, in leptin-deficient obese mice, the number of Bacteroides is 50% lower with a subsequent rise in the quantities of Firmicutes compared to normal littermates. This observation was also found in obese humans where the numbers of organisms from the Bacteroidetes division increased in dieting subjects and correlated with weight loss (Kurokawa et al. 2007). These observations did not demonstrate whether a change in the Bacteroidetes division is the cause of weight gain or whether it was the effect of consuming specific dietary components that selectively enhances the members of this bacterial division. However, a recent study demonstrated that an "obese microbiome" can promote weight gain in ex-GF mice when compared to ex-GF mice associated with a "lean microbiome," demonstrating that the obesity phenotype can be transmissible through the microbiome (Turnbaugh et al. 2008).

One mechanism by which the microbiome contributes to obesity appears to be through modulation of host metabolism. Ex-GF mice that are conventionalized with a normal GI microbiota have a dramatic increase in weight compared to their GF littermates, even though they consume less food. The GI microbiota suppress expression of angiopoietin-like 4

(*Angpt14*, formerly called fasting-induced adipose factor or *Fiaf*), which causes the deposition of triglycerides in adipocytes. GF mice lacking *Angpt14* lose resistance to diet-induced obesity (Backhed et al. 2007), which implicates the GI microbiota as a contributor to obesity not only by increasing the capacity for harvesting sugars from the diet, but also by modulating the host's processing and storage of fats. The GI microbiota can also have direct effects on host physiology. For example, the GI microbiota can convert choline into methylamines, thus reducing the availability of choline in mice maintained on a high-fat diet (Dumas et al. 2006). Consequences of choline metabolism by the microbiota are mimicking choline-deficient diets and inducing nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

Other systemic diseases

The GI microbiota also has pronounced effects on host physiology beyond the intestinal tract. Metabolomic differences in the urine of GF and normal microbiota-colonized rats (Nicholls et al. 2003) and among humans with different microbiota compositions (Li et al. 2008) can be readily detected. A more detailed metabolic analysis of various biofluids showed that the microbiota impact the homeostasis of a variety of organ systems in mice, including altering liver levels of glycine and bile acids and kidney levels of hippurate, betaine, and choline (Claus et al. 2008). Similarly, the microbiota cause changes in a variety of blood metabolites (Wikoff et al. 2008). The blood metabolomic changes elicited by the GI microbiota are similar to those of a drug-like phase II response in the host, suggesting that the microbiota may also impact drug metabolizing-capability of the host. The type of microbiota also can have differential influences on host physiology (Martin et al. 2007). Colonization of GF mice with human neonatal GI microbiota, as opposed to adult GI microbiota, causes changes in a highly correlated metabolome network in the plasma and urine, indicative of lipid metabolism.

With the range of physiological and metabolic changes to the host attributed to the GI microbiota, it is not surprising that systemic diseases can also be influenced by members of the GI microbiota. Epidemiological studies have shown that allergic diseases correlate with the use of antibiotics and alterations to the GI microbiota (Shreiner et al. 2008). Confirming the link between antibiotic use and allergic diseases, mice with antibiotic disruption of the GI microbiota have elevated sensitivity to T-cell-mediated airway allergic response that requires IL13 (Noverr et al. 2005). More striking are the potential links between the GI microbiota and cardiovascular and neurological diseases (Ordovas and Mooser 2006; Parracho et al. 2005). For example, the GI microbiota influence myocardial metabolism in response to nutrient deprivation (Crawford et al. 2009) and diet-induced improvements in hypercholesterolemia (Martinez et al. 2009). In addition, children with autistic spectrum disorders have higher levels of *Clostridium histolyticum*, a known toxin-producer, than healthy controls (Parracho et al. 2005).

Microbiota-based therapies

Because the microbiota play an important role in GI and other diseases, numerous studies have attempted to exploit beneficial bacteria (probiotics) or compounds that stimulate beneficial bacteria (prebiotics) as therapies. Probiotics are live microorganisms that when administered in adequate numbers confer a health benefit on the host. It is believed that

increasing the numbers of specific organisms within the GI microbiota can have a beneficial effect, either directly by regulating the host immune system (O'Mahony et al. 2001; Peran et al. 2005; Vinderola et al. 2005) or indirectly by altering the composition or activity of the GI microbiota (Tannock et al. 2000).

Most probiotic-mediated therapies to date have focused on IBD. Many different probiotics are effective at reducing inflammation in various mouse models of IBD (Prisciandaro et al. 2009), demonstrating that there is no generalized mode of action for the beneficial effects of probiotics. Modulation of the microbiota as a treatment for IBD has been confirmed in clinical trials where antibiotics can alleviate inflammatory symptoms and it is a common treatment for IBD patients (Bibiloni et al. 2005). Although early probiotic trials in human patients with IBD were criticized for their experimental design, a number of double-blind placebo-controlled trials for IBS have been performed and clearly demonstrate the beneficial effects of probiotics (Gawronska et al. 2007; Guyonnet et al. 2007).

Although recent clinical trials have demonstrated the therapeutic benefit of probiotics for treating GI illnesses, the underlying mechanisms contributing to their beneficial effects remain relatively unknown. An emerging aspect of probiotic therapy is the heterologous expression of novel therapeutic molecules in natural constituents of the GI microbiota. Because probiotics in their natural form can reduce symptoms of GI disease in mouse models and in human clinical trials (Ouwehand et al. 2002), it is tempting to speculate that more effective probiotics can be created through genetic engineering. Initial studies using genetically engineered probiotics demonstrated how recombinant lactic acid bacteria can enhance lipid digestion (Drouault et al. 2002) and prevent HIV infection (Chang et al. 2003) and be used to deliver foreign antigens to mucosal surfaces (Seegers 2002). In addition, it has been demonstrated that a recombinant strain of *Lactococcus lactis* producing mouse IL10 is capable of reducing inflammation in the *ll10*-deficient mouse model (Steidler et al. 2000). Although this approach failed as a therapeutic in clinical trials, this study demonstrated the power of probiotics as delivery vehicles for replacing molecules depleted in vivo. Similarly, heterologous expression of trefoil factors in L. lactis acts as a potent prophylactic for the treatment of acute colitis in a chemically induced mouse model of colitis (Vandenbroucke et al. 2004). More recently, Lactobacillus gasseri, genetically engineered to overexpress the antioxidant superoxide dismutase, was shown to decrease inflammation and disease in the Il10 mouse model of colitis (Carroll et al. 2007).

Future prospects

Renewed appreciation for the role of GI microorganisms in health and disease has led to the Human Gut Microbiome Initiative (Turnbaugh et al. 2007). The objective of this program is to sequence and compare the genomes of hundreds of species representing the bacterial divisions known to comprise the human distal GI microbiota. Analyzing the combined genomes of numerous GI microorganisms will answer important questions about the evolution and flexibility of the GI microbiome, including the extent of lateral gene transfer between autochthonous (permanent) and allochthonous (transient) GI microorganisms, the identification of essential genes required for survival in the GI ecosystem, and the mechanisms of interaction between the GI microbiota and the host immune system. Equally

important will be the insights gained into the mechanisms by which the GI microbiota modulate a diverse array of host phenotypes. Many phenotypes that have been attributed to the host in functional genomic studies may in fact result from host-microbiota interactions. The GI microbiome is the most malleable of the mammalian "genomes," whose composition has important implications for mammalian functional genomics and links to health and disease outcome. An improved knowledge of the composition and function of the GI microbiota of humans and model organisms will allow this important third genome of mammals to be exploited for new therapeutic approaches to prevent or treat not only GI diseases but also systemic diseases like cancer and obesity.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NCI Grants CA084239 and CA105417 and NIDDK center Grant DK34987.

References

- Alexander DA, Orcutt RP, Henry JC, Baker J Jr, Bissahoyo AC, et al. Quantitative PCR assays for mouse enteric flora reveal strain-dependent differences in composition that are influenced by the microenvironment. Mamm Genome. 2006; 17:1093–1104. [PubMed: 17091319]
- Altermann E, Russell WM, Azcarate-Peril MA, Barrangou R, Buck BL, et al. Complete genome sequence of the probiotic lactic acid bacterium *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:3906–3912. [PubMed: 15671160]
- Backhed F, Ley RE, Sonnenburg JL, Peterson DA, Gordon JI. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. Science. 2005; 307:1915–1920. [PubMed: 15790844]
- Backhed F, Manchester JK, Semenkovich CF, Gordon JI. Mechanisms underlying the resistance to diet-induced obesity in germ-free mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:979–984. [PubMed: 17210919]
- Balamurugan R, Rajendiran E, George S, Samuel GV, Ramakrishna BS. Real-time polymerase chain reaction quantification of specific butyrate-producing bacteria, *Desulfovibrio* and *Enterococcus faecalis*, in the feces of patients with colorectal cancer. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2008; 23:1298– 1303. [PubMed: 18624900]
- Bauer E, Williams BA, Smidt H, Verstegen MW, Mosenthin R. Influence of the gastrointestinal microbiota on development of the immune system in young animals. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol. 2006; 7:35–51. [PubMed: 16875418]
- Belenguer A, Duncan SH, Calder AG, Holtrop G, Louis P, et al. Two routes of metabolic crossfeeding between *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* and butyrate-producing anaerobes from the human gut. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72:3593–3599. [PubMed: 16672507]
- Bernet-Camard MF, Lievin V, Brassart D, Neeser JR, Servin AL, et al. The human *Lactobacillus acidophilus* strain LA1 secretes a nonbacteriocin antibacterial substance(s) active in vitro and in vivo. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1997; 63:2747–2753. [PubMed: 9212421]
- Bibiloni R, Fedorak RN, Tannock GW, Madsen KL, Gionchetti P, et al. VSL#3 probiotic-mixture induces remission in patients with active ulcerative colitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2005; 100:1539– 1546. [PubMed: 15984978]
- Bibiloni R, Mangold M, Madsen KL, Fedorak RN, Tannock GW. The bacteriology of biopsies differs between newly diagnosed, untreated Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients. J Med Microbiol. 2006; 55:1141–1149. [PubMed: 16849736]
- Brulc JM, Antonopoulos DA, Miller ME, Wilson MK, Yannarell AC, et al. Gene-centric metagenomics of the fiber-adherent bovine rumen microbiome reveals forage-specific glycoside hydrolases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106:1948–1953. [PubMed: 19181843]
- Brunham RC, Plummer FA, Stephens RS. Bacterial antigenic variation, host immune response, and pathogen-host coevolution. Infect Immun. 1993; 61:2273–2276. [PubMed: 8500868]

- Carroll IM, Andrus JM, Bruno-Barcena JM, Klaenhammer TR, Hassan HM, et al. Anti-inflammatory properties of *Lactobacillus gasseri* expressing manganese superoxide dismutase using the interleukin 10-deficient mouse models of colitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2007; 293:G729–G738. [PubMed: 17640978]
- Chang TL, Chang CH, Simpson DA, Xu Q, Martin PK, et al. Inhibition of HIV infectivity by a natural human isolate of *Lactobacillus jensenii* engineered to express functional twodomain CD4. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100:11672–11677. [PubMed: 12972635]
- Claus SP, Tsang TM, Wang Y, Cloarec O, Skordi E, et al. Systemic multicompartmental effects of the gut microbiome on mouse metabolic phenotypes. Mol Syst Biol. 2008; 4:219. [PubMed: 18854818]
- Crawford PA, Crowley JR, Sambandam N, Muegge BD, Costello EK, et al. Regulation of myocardial ketone body metabolism by the gut microbiota during nutrient deprivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009 Epub ahead of print.
- Dethlefsen L, McFall-Ngai M, Relman DA. An ecological and evolutionary perspective on humanmicrobe mutualism and disease. Nature. 2007; 449:811–818. [PubMed: 17943117]
- Dove WF, Clipson L, Gould KA, Luongo C, Marshall DJ, et al. Intestinal neoplasia in the ApcMin mouse: independence from the microbial and natural killer (beige locus) status. Cancer Res. 1997; 57:812–814. [PubMed: 9041176]
- Drouault S, Juste C, Marteau P, Renault P, Corthier G. Oral treatment with *Lactococcus lactis* expressing *Staphylococcus hyicus* lipase enhances lipid digestion in pigs with induced pancreatic insufficiency. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002; 68:3166–3168. [PubMed: 12039786]
- Dumas ME, Barton RH, Tove A, Cloarec O, Blancher C, et al. Metabolic profiling reveals a contribution of gut microbiota to fatty liver phenotype in insulin-resistant mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103:12511–12516. [PubMed: 16895997]
- Duncan SH, Belenguer A, Holtrop G, Johnstone AM, Flint HJ, et al. Reduced dietary intake of carbohydrates by obese subjects results in decreased concentrations of butyrate and butyrateproducing bacteria in feces. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007; 73:1073–1078. [PubMed: 17189447]
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, et al. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science. 2005; 308:1635–1638. [PubMed: 15831718]
- Engle SJ, Ormsby I, Pawlowski S, Boivin GP, Croft J, et al. Elimination of colon cancer in germ-free transforming growth factor beta 1-deficient mice. Cancer Res. 2002; 62:6362–6366. [PubMed: 12438215]
- Erdman SE, Rao VP, Poutahidis T, Rogers AB, Taylor CL, et al. Nitric oxide and TNF-alpha trigger colonic inflammation and carcinogenesis in *Helicobacter hepaticus*-infected, Rag2-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106:1027–1032. [PubMed: 19164562]
- Flint HJ, Duncan SH, Scott KP, Louis P. Interactions and competition within the microbial community of the human colon: links between diet and health. Environ Microbiol. 2007; 9:1101–1111. [PubMed: 17472627]
- Frank DN, St Amand AL, Feldman RA, Boedeker EC, Harpaz N, et al. Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human inflammatory bowel diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:13780–13785. [PubMed: 17699621]
- Gawronska A, Dziechciarz P, Horvath A, Szajewska H. A randomized double-blind placebocontrolled trial of *Lactobacillus* GG abdominal pain disorders in children. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2007; 25:177–184. [PubMed: 17229242]
- Ge Z, Feng Y, Taylor NS, Ohtani M, Polz MF, et al. Colonization dynamics of altered Schaedler flora is influenced by gender, aging, and *Helicobacter hepaticus* infection in the intestines of Swiss Webster mice. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72:5100–5103. [PubMed: 16820515]
- Gill SR, Pop M, Deboy RT, Eckburg PB, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. Science. 2006; 312:1355–1359. [PubMed: 16741115]

Goodacre R. Metabolomics of a superorganism. J Nutr. 2007; 137:259S-266S. [PubMed: 17182837]

Gotteland M, Cruchet S, Verbeke S. Effect of *Lactobacillus* ingestion on the gastrointestinal mucosal barrier alterations induced by indometacin in humans. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2001; 15:11–17. [PubMed: 11136273]

- Grice EA, Kong HH, Conlan S, Deming CB, Davis J, et al. Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin microbiome. Science. 2009; 324:1190–1192. [PubMed: 19478181]
- Guyonnet D, Chassany O, Ducrotte P, Picard C, Mouret M, et al. Effect of a fermented milk containing *Bifidobacterium animalis* DN-173 010 on the health-related quality of life and symptoms in irritable bowel syndrome in adults in primary care: a multicentre, randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2007; 26:475–486. [PubMed: 17635382]
- Hayashi H, Takahashi R, Nishi T, Sakamoto M, Benno Y. Molecular analysis of jejunal, ileal, caecal and recto-sigmoidal human colonic microbiota using 16S rRNA gene libraries and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism. J Med Microbiol. 2005; 54:1093–1101. [PubMed: 16192442]
- Heilig HG, Zoetendal EG, Vaughan EE, Marteau P, Akkermans AD, et al. Molecular diversity of *Lactobacillus* spp. and other lactic acid bacteria in the human intestine as determined by specific amplification of 16S ribosomal DNA. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002; 68:114–123. [PubMed: 11772617]
- Kassinen A, Krogius-Kurikka L, Makivuokko H, Rinttila T, Paulin L, et al. The fecal microbiota of irritable bowel syndrome patients differs significantly from that of healthy subjects. Gastroenterology. 2007; 133:24–33. [PubMed: 17631127]
- Kuhn R, Lohler J, Rennick D, Rajewsky K, Muller W. Interleukin-10-deficient mice develop chronic enterocolitis. Cell. 1993; 75:263–274. [PubMed: 8402911]
- Kurokawa K, Itoh T, Kuwahara T, Oshima K, Toh H, et al. Comparative metagenomics revealed commonly enriched gene sets in human gut microbiomes. DNA Res. 2007; 14:169–181. [PubMed: 17916580]

Lederberg J. Infectious history. Science. 2000; 288:287-293. [PubMed: 10777411]

- Ley RE, Backhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, et al. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:11070–11075. [PubMed: 16033867]
- Ley RE, Peterson DA, Gordon JI. Ecological and evolutionary forces shaping microbial diversity in the human intestine. Cell. 2006; 124:837–848. [PubMed: 16497592]
- Ley RE, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Turnbaugh PJ, Ramey RR, et al. Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. Science. 2008; 320:1647–1651. [PubMed: 18497261]
- Li M, Wang B, Zhang M, Rantalainen M, Wang S, et al. Symbiotic gut microbes modulate human metabolic phenotypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105:2117–2122. [PubMed: 18252821]
- Liebregts T, Adam B, Bredack C, Roth A, Heinzel S, et al. Immune activation in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology. 2007; 132:913–920. [PubMed: 17383420]
- Linz B, Balloux F, Moodley Y, Manica A, Liu H, et al. An African origin for the intimate association between humans and *Helicobacter pylori*. Nature. 2007; 445:915–918. [PubMed: 17287725]
- Maggio-Price L, Treuting P, Zeng W, Tsang M, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, et al. *Heliobacter* infection is required for inflammation and colon cancer in SMAD3-deficient mice. Cancer Res. 2006; 66:828– 838. [PubMed: 16424015]
- Mariat D, Firmesse O, Levenez F, Guimaraes VD, Sokol H, et al. The Firmicutes/Bacteroides ratio of the human microbiota changes with age. BMC Microbiol. 2009; 9:123. [PubMed: 19508720]
- Martin FP, Dumas ME, Wang Y, Legido-Quigley C, Yap IK, et al. A top-down systems biology view of microbiomemammalian metabolic interactions in a mouse model. Mol Syst Biol. 2007; 3:112. [PubMed: 17515922]
- Martinez I, Wallace G, Zhang C, Legge R, Benson AK, et al. Diet-induced metabolic improvements in a hamster model of hypercholesterolemia are strongly linked to alterations of the gut microbiota. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75:4175–4184. [PubMed: 19411417]
- Mazmanian SK, Liu CH, Tzianabos AO, Kasper DL. An immunomodulatory molecule of symbiotic bacteria directs maturation of the host immune system. Cell. 2005; 122:107–118. [PubMed: 16009137]
- Meinl W, Sczesny S, Brigelius-Flohe R, Blaut M, Glatt H. Impact of gut microbiota on intestinal and hepatic levels of phase 2 xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes in the rat. Drug Metab Dispos. 2009; 37:1179–1186. [PubMed: 19282396]
- Metchnikoff, E. The prolongation of life: optimistic studies. G. P. Putnam's Sons; New York: 1908.

- Nasidze I, Li J, Quinque D, Tang K, Stoneking M. Global diversity in the human salivary microbiome. Genome Res. 2009; 19:636–643. [PubMed: 19251737]
- Nicholls AW, Mortishire-Smith RJ, Nicholson JK. NMR spectroscopic-based metabonomic studies of urinary metabolite variation in acclimatizing germ-free rats. Chem Res Toxicol. 2003; 16:1395– 1404. [PubMed: 14615964]
- Nones K, Knoch B, Dommels YE, Paturi G, Butts C, et al. Multidrug resistance gene deficient (mdr1a (-/-)) mice have an altered caecal microbiota that precedes the onset of intestinal inflammation. J Appl Microbiol. 2009 Epub ahead of print.
- Noverr MC, Falkowski NR, McDonald RA, McKenzie AN, Huffnagle GB. Development of allergic airway disease in mice following antibiotic therapy and fungal microbiota increase: role of host genetics, antigen, and interleukin-13. Infect Immun. 2005; 73:30–38. [PubMed: 15618138]
- O'Keefe SJ, Chung D, Mahmoud N, Sepulveda AR, Manafe M, et al. Why do African Americans get more colon cancer than Native Africans? J Nutr. 2007; 137:175S–182S. [PubMed: 17182822]
- O'Mahony L, Feeney M, O'Halloran S, Murphy L, Kiely B, et al. Probiotic impact on microbial flora, inflammation and tumour development in IL-10 knockout mice. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2001; 15:1219–1225. [PubMed: 11472326]
- O'Mahony L, McCarthy J, Kelly P, Hurley G, Luo F, et al. Lactobacillus and bifidobacterium in irritable bowel syndrome: symptom responses and relationship to cytokine profiles. Gastroenterology. 2005; 128:541–551. [PubMed: 15765388]
- Ordovas JM, Mooser V. Metagenomics: the role of the microbiome in cardiovascular disease. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2006; 17:157–161. [PubMed: 16531752]
- Ouwehand AC, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Probiotics: an overview of beneficial effects. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2002; 82:279–289. [PubMed: 12369194]
- Parracho HM, Bingham MO, Gibson GR, McCartney AL. Differences between the gut microbiota of children with autistic spectrum disorders and that of healthy children. J Med Microbiol. 2005; 54:987–991. [PubMed: 16157555]
- Peran L, Camuesco D, Comalada M, Nieto A, Concha A, et al. Preventative effects of a probiotic, *Lactobacillus salivarius* ssp. *salivarius*, in the TNBS model of rat colitis. World J Gastroenterol. 2005; 11:5185–5192. [PubMed: 16127750]
- Pridmore RD, Berger B, Desiere F, Vilanova D, Barretto C, et al. The genome sequence of the probiotic intestinal bacterium *Lactobacillus johnsonii* NCC 533. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004; 101:2512–2517. [PubMed: 14983040]
- Prisciandaro L, Geier M, Butler R, Cummins A, Howarth G. Probiotics and their derivatives as treatments for inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2009 Epub ahead of print.
- Pryde SE, Duncan SH, Hold GL, Stewart CS, Flint HJ. The microbiology of butyrate formation in the human colon. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2002; 217:133–139. [PubMed: 12480096]
- Quigley EM. Germs, gas and the gut; the evolving role of the enteric flora in IBS. Am J Gastroenterol. 2006; 101:334–335. [PubMed: 16454839]
- Rankinen T, Zuberi A, Chagnon YC, Weisnagel SJ, Argyropoulos G, et al. The human obesity gene map: the 2005 update. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2006; 14:529–644. [PubMed: 16741264]
- Rao VP, Poutahidis T, Ge Z, Nambiar PR, Boussahmain C, et al. Innate immune inflammatory response against enteric bacteria *Helicobacter hepaticus* induces mammary adenocarcinoma in mice. Cancer Res. 2006; 66:7395–7400. [PubMed: 16885333]
- Ringel Y, Sperber AD, Drossman DA. Irritable bowel syndrome. Annu Rev Med. 2001; 52:319–338. [PubMed: 11160782]
- Rowland IR, Mallett AK, Wise A. The effect of diet on the mammalian gut flora and its metabolic activities. Crit Rev Toxicol. 1985; 16:31–103. [PubMed: 3910354]
- Sarma-Rupavtarm RB, Ge Z, Schauer DB, Fox JG, Polz MF. Spatial distribution and stability of the eight microbial species of the altered schaedler flora in the mouse gastrointestinal tract. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004; 70:2791–2800. [PubMed: 15128534]
- Sartor RB. The influence of normal microbial flora on the development of chronic mucosal inflammation. Res Immunol. 1997; 148:567–576. [PubMed: 9588836]
- Seegers JF. Lactobacilli as live vaccine delivery vectors: progress and prospects. Trends Biotechnol. 2002; 20:508–515. [PubMed: 12443872]

- Sela DA, Chapman J, Adeuya A, Kim JH, Chen F, et al. The genome sequence of *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis* reveals adaptations for milk utilization within the infant microbiome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105:18964–18969. [PubMed: 19033196]
- Shreiner A, Huffnagle GB, Noverr MC. The "Microbiota Hypothesis" of allergic disease. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2008; 635:113–134. [PubMed: 18841708]
- Steidler L, Hans W, Schotte L, Neirynck S, Obermeier F, et al. Treatment of murine colitis by Lactococcus lactis secreting interleukin-10. Science. 2000; 289:1352–1355. [PubMed: 10958782]
- Stewart JA, Chadwick VS, Murray A. Investigations into the influence of host genetics on the predominant eubacteria in the faecal microbiota of children. J Med Microbiol. 2005; 54:1239– 1242. [PubMed: 16278440]
- Swann J, Wang Y, Abecia L, Costabile A, Tuohy K, et al. Gut microbiome modulates the toxicity of hydrazine: a metabonomic study. Mol Biosyst. 2009; 5:351–355. [PubMed: 19396371]
- Talley NJ. Irritable bowel syndrome. Intern Med J. 2006; 36:724–728. [PubMed: 17040359]
- Tannock GW, Munro K, Harmsen HJ, Welling GW, Smart J, et al. Analysis of the fecal microbiota of human subjects consuming a probiotic product containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* DR20. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000; 66:2578–2588. [PubMed: 10831441]
- Thompson GR, Trexler PC. Gastrointestinal structure and function in germ-free or gnotobiotic animals. Gut. 1971; 12:230–235. [PubMed: 4928173]
- Tito RY, Macmil S, Wiley G, Najar F, Cleeland L, et al. Phylotyping and functional analysis of two ancient human microbiomes. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3:e3703. [PubMed: 19002248]
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, et al. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature. 2006; 444:1027–1031. [PubMed: 17183312]
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Hamady M, Fraser-Liggett CM, Knight R, et al. The human microbiome project. Nature. 2007; 449:804–810. [PubMed: 17943116]
- Turnbaugh PJ, Backhed F, Fulton L, Gordon JI. Diet-induced obesity is linked to marked but reversible alterations in the mouse distal gut microbiome. Cell Host Microbe. 2008; 3:213–223. [PubMed: 18407065]
- Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Duncan A, et al. A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. Nature. 2009; 457:480–484. [PubMed: 19043404]
- Uronis JM, Muhlbauer M, Herfarth HH, Rubinas TC, Jones GS, et al. Modulation of the intestinal microbiota alters colitisassociated colorectal cancer susceptibility. PLoS ONE. 2009; 4:e6026. [PubMed: 19551144]
- Van de Merwe JP, Stegeman JH, Hazenberg MP. The resident faecal flora is determined by genetic characteristics of the host. Implications for Crohn's disease? Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 1983; 49:119–124. [PubMed: 6684413]
- Vandenbroucke K, Hans W, Van Huysse J, Neirynck S, Demetter P, et al. Active delivery of trefoil factors by genetically modified *Lactococcus lactis* prevents and heals acute colitis in mice. Gastroenterology. 2004; 127:502–513. [PubMed: 15300583]
- Vinderola G, Matar C, Perdigon G. Role of intestinal epithelial cells in immune effects mediated by gram-positive probiotic bacteria: involvement of toll-like receptors. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2005; 12:1075–1084. [PubMed: 16148174]
- Whitman WB, Coleman DC, Wiebe WJ. Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998; 95:6578–6583. [PubMed: 9618454]
- Wikoff WR, Anfora AT, Liu J, Schultz PG, Lesley SA, et al. Metabolomics analysis reveals large effects of gut microbiota on mammalian blood metabolites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 106:3698–3703. [PubMed: 19234110]
- Xu J, Bjursell MK, Himrod J, Deng S, Carmichael LK, et al. A genomic view of the human-Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron symbiosis. Science. 2003; 299:2074–2076. [PubMed: 12663928]
- Xu J, Mahowald MA, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, Hamady M, et al. Evolution of symbiotic bacteria in the distal human intestine. PLoS Biol. 2007; 5:e156. [PubMed: 17579514]
- Zhou X, Westman R, Hickey R, Hansmann MA, Kennedy C, et al. Vaginal microbiota of women with frequent vulvovaginal candidiasis. Infect Immun. 2009 Epub ahead of print.

Zoetendal EG, Akkermans ADL, Akkermans-van Vliet WM, de Visser JAGM, de Vos WM. The host genotype affects the bacterial community in the human gastrointestinal tract. Microb Ecol Health Dis. 2001; 13:129–134.

Table 1	
Comparison of human and mouse GI microbiota composition	

Phylum	Percentage of total sequence	
	Human	Mouse
Aquificae		
Thermotogae		
Thermodesulfobacteria		
Deinococcus-Thermus	0.01	1.15
Chrysiogenetes		
Chloroflexi	0.003	
Thermomicrobia		
Nitrospira		
Deferribacteres	0.03	
Cyanobacteria	0.014	
Chlorobi		
Proteobacteria	3.7	15.2
Firmicutes	65	52.5
Actinobacteria	2	0.35
Planctomycetes	0.005	
Chlamydiae	0.008	0.01
Spirochaetes	0.63	0.3
Fibrobacteres		
Acidobacteria		
Bacteroidetes	26.7	28.2
Fusobacteria	0.12	0.75
Verrucomicrobia	1.5	1.25
Dictyoglomi		
Gemmatimonadetes		
Lentisphaerae	0.005	
BRC1		
OP10		
OP11		
TM7	0.14	0.15
WS3		
Dehalococcoides		
SR1		
OD1		
Unclassified bacteria	0.09	0.05

Bold = major phyla