

# **Inhibition of** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* **Swarming Motility by 1-Naphthol and Other Bicyclic Compounds Bearing Hydroxyl Groups**

Hiromu Oura,<sup>a</sup> Yosuke Tashiro,<sup>b</sup> Masanori Toyofuku,<sup>a</sup> Kousetsu Ueda,<sup>a</sup> Tatsunori Kiyokawa,<sup>a</sup> Satoshi Ito,<sup>a</sup> Yurika Takahashi,<sup>c</sup>\* **Seunguk Lee,<sup>c</sup> Hideaki Nojiri,<sup>c</sup> Toshiaki Nakajima-Kambe,<sup>a</sup> Hiroo Uchiyama,<sup>a</sup> Hiroyuki Futamata,<sup>b</sup> Nobuhiko Nomura<sup>a</sup>**

Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan<sup>a</sup>; Department of Applied Chemistry and Biochemical Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Shizuoka University, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan<sup>b</sup>; Biotechnology Research Center, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan<sup>c</sup>

**Many bacteria convert bicyclic compounds, such as indole and naphthalene, to oxidized compounds, including hydroxyindoles and naphthols.** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa***, a ubiquitous bacterium that inhabits diverse environments, shows pathogenicity against animals, plants, and other microorganisms, and increasing evidence has shown that several bicyclic compounds alter the virulence-related phenotypes of** *P. aeruginosa***. Here, we revealed that hydroxyindoles (4- and 5-hydroxyindoles) and naphthalene derivatives bearing hydroxyl groups specifically inhibit swarming motility but have minor effects on other motilities, including swimming and twitching, in** *P. aeruginosa***. Further analyses using 1-naphthol showed that this effect is also associated with clinically isolated hyperswarming** *P. aeruginosa* **cells. Swarming motility is associated with the dispersion of cells from biofilms, and the addition of 1-naphthol maintained biofilm biomass without cell dispersion. We showed that this 1-naphthol-dependent swarming inhibition is independent of changes of rhamnolipid production and the intracellular level of signaling molecule cyclic-di-GMP (c-di-GMP). Transcriptome analyses revealed that 1-naphthol increases gene expression associated with multidrug efflux and represses gene expression associated with aerotaxis and with pyochelin, flagellar, and pilus synthesis. In the present study, we showed that several bicyclic compounds bearing hydroxyl groups inhibit the swarming motility of** *P. aeruginosa***, and these results provide new insight into the chemical structures that inhibit the specific phenotypes of** *P. aeruginosa***.**

**P***seudomonas aeruginosa* is a ubiquitous bacterium that colonizes various environments. This environmental bacterium can survive not only in planktonic form but also in surface-associated communities known as biofilms [\(1\)](#page-9-0). *P. aeruginosa* is also an opportunistic social pathogen that affects humans, animals, and plants and that produces various virulence factors. Because these virulence factors also possess antimicrobial activities, other microbes have multiple strategies to cope with *P. aeruginosa* virulence, such as the production or conversion of chemicals that repress *P. aeruginosa* social behaviors [\(2\)](#page-9-1). Understanding the interactions between external chemicals and *P. aeruginosa* would facilitate the development of virulence inhibitors that do not affect the growth of this bacterium, thereby preventing the development of drug resistance.

*P. aeruginosa* has an exquisite mechanism to creatively use three different types of motilities (swimming, twitching, and swarming) that potentially facilitate colonization in various environmental niches. Motilities are significantly involved in the surface attachment and maturation of biofilms  $(3, 4)$  $(3, 4)$  $(3, 4)$ . Swimming in aqueous environments is mediated through flagella, while twitching on solid surfaces is mediated through type IV pili. Swarming is described as a social phenomenon involving the coordinated and rapid movement of bacteria across a semisolid surface using both flagella and type IV pili [\(5\)](#page-9-4). Swarming is necessary for differentiation into specialized cells through elongation and hyperflagellation [\(6\)](#page-9-5). To reduce surface tension, swarming *P. aeruginosa* cells also secrete rhamnolipids (RLs), amphiphilic glycolipids composed of L-rhamnose and 3-hydroxyalkanoic glycolipids [\(7\)](#page-9-6). The production of RLs is regulated through the Rhl system, a cell density-dependent gene expression system based on quorum sensing [\(7\)](#page-9-6).

Swarming motility is significantly involved in various phenotypes in *P. aeruginosa*. For example, swarming cells are more resistant to antibiotics than nonswarming cells and show increased gene expression for virulence factor production compared to that of swimming cells [\(8,](#page-9-7) [9\)](#page-9-8). Thus, understanding the regulation of swarming motility is important for the development of treatment against this bacterium. Several global analyses have shown that a wide range of genes, including regulatory, metabolic, chemosensory, secretory, and hypothetical genes, affect swarming motility in *P. aeruginosa* [\(9](#page-9-8)[–](#page-9-9)[11\)](#page-9-10). In addition, the transcriptional profiles are quite different in the areas at the center and on the edge of swarming cells, suggesting that swarming cells are a phenotypically heterogeneous population [\(11\)](#page-9-10). Hence, swarming might be a highly organized form of motility involving multiple functions, the regulation of which is remarkably complex. It has been previously reported that *P. aeruginosa* swarming motility is inhibited by several compounds, including branched-chain fatty acid *anteiso*-

Citation Oura H, Tashiro Y, Toyofuku M, Ueda K, Kiyokawa T, Ito S, Takahashi Y, Lee S, Nojiri H, Nakajima-Kambe T, Uchiyama H, Futamata H, Nomura N. 2015. Inhibition of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming motility by 1-naphthol and other bicyclic compounds bearing hydroxyl groups. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:2808 –2818. [doi:10.1128/AEM.04220-14.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.04220-14)

Editor: R. E. Parales

Address correspondence to Nobuhiko Nomura, nomura.nobuhiko.ge@u.tsukuba.ac.jp.

\* Present address: Yurika Takahashi, Biotechnology Research Center and Department of Biotechnology, Toyama Prefectural University, Imizu, Toyama, Japan.

H. Oura and Y. Tashiro contributed equally to this article.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. [doi:10.1128/AEM.04220-14](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.04220-14)

Received 29 December 2014 Accepted 5 February 2015 Accepted manuscript posted online 13 February 2015

 $C_{15:0}$ , cranberry proanthocyanidins and other tannins, and several amino acids, such as arginine [\(12](#page-9-11)[–](#page-9-12)[14\)](#page-9-13). However, the detailed mechanisms underlying swarming inhibition remain unknown.

It has been shown that various *P. aeruginosa* phenotypes are regulated through bicyclic compounds synthesized or converted by other organisms [\(2\)](#page-9-1). *P. aeruginosa* possesses an acyl-homoserine lactone-independent quorum-sensing system that utilizes 2-heptyl-3-hydroxy-4(1H)-quinolone (PQS, for *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal) to regulate many virulence factors, including pyocyanin and exoenzymes, biofilm formation, and membrane vesicle formation [\(15](#page-9-14)[–](#page-9-15)[18\)](#page-9-16). Particularly, PQS-regulated phenotypes are controlled through various bicyclic compounds. Farnesol, a cell-to-cell signal in *Candida albicans*, represses PQS-regulated virulence factors through direct binding with the PQS receptor PqsR [\(19\)](#page-9-17). Indole, a signaling molecule in *Escherichia coli* [\(20\)](#page-9-18), also represses PQS-regulated virulence [\(21,](#page-9-19) [22\)](#page-9-20), but the mechanism of this activity remains unknown. Indeed, this effect is not limited to indole as many bicyclic compounds, including hydroxyindoles, isatins, and naphthalene derivatives, such as naphthols, also repress *P. aeruginosa* virulence [\(22\)](#page-9-20). Hydroxyindoles and isatins are oxidized from indole in many bacteria, such as *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Burkholderia* spp. [\(23,](#page-9-21) [24\)](#page-9-22). Naphthalene and naphthalene derivatives are widely used as useful chemicals (insecticide, dyestuff, surfactant, etc.), and several microorganisms convert naphthalene into naphthols [\(25,](#page-9-23) [26\)](#page-9-24). Thus, there are many ubiquitous environmental bicyclic compounds that affect *P. aeruginosa* phenotypes.

It would be interesting to determine how chemical compounds in the environment affect bacterial social behaviors. Understanding the interactions between chemical compounds and *P. aeruginosa* would provide new insights into the regulation of the virulence of this pathogen in both environmental and clinical settings. Here, we investigated the effects of bicyclic compounds, including indole and naphthalene derivatives, on swarming motility, a social behavior of *P. aeruginosa*. The results indicated that bicyclic compounds bearing hydroxyl groups, such as 4-hydroxyindole (4HI), 5-hydroxyindole (5HI), and 1-naphthol, inhibit swarming motility in *P. aeruginosa*. We used 1-naphthol for further analyses and showed that this compound also inhibits biofilm dispersion. Transcriptome analyses suggested that 1-naphthol regulates the expression of various swarming-related and efflux pump-encoding genes in *P. aeruginosa*.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 [\(27\)](#page-9-25) was used as a model organism in this study. PAO1 mutants, including the  $\Delta r h l R$  and  $\Delta m$ exAB-oprM strains, were previously constructed [\(28,](#page-9-26) [29\)](#page-9-27). Clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* were provided from Okayama University, Japan [\(30\)](#page-9-28). For routine culture, *P. aeruginosa* was cultured at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB Lennox; Nacalai, Kyoto, Japan) medium with shaking at 200 rpm or LB plates containing 1.5% (wt/vol) agar. Bicyclic compounds were solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and added to the medium at a final concentration of 500  $\mu$ M. Each liquid culture was initiated at a 660-nm optical density  $OD_{660}$  of 0.01. Growth was measured according to the optical density at 660 nm. The optical density was measured using a Beckman Coulter DU640 spectrophotometer with a 2-mm gap cuvette. *Escherichia coli* DH5 α was used for molecular techniques. When necessary, gentamicin was added at concentrations of  $10 \mu$ g/ml for *E. coli* and 50 μg/ml for *P. aeruginosa*.

**Motility assays.** A swarming motility assay was performed on a petri dish using a previously described method [\(31\)](#page-9-29). Briefly, M9 minimal plates

(0.2% glucose, 0.5% Casamino Acids [CAA], and 0.5% agar) containing various naphthalene derivatives were dried for 1 h. The naphthalene compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and subsequently added to each agar medium at a final concentration of 500  $\mu$ M. An equal amount of DMSO was separately added to agar medium as a control. Stationary-phase cultures of *P. aeruginosa* were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and adjusted to an  $OD_{660}$  of 3.0; an aliquot of  $5 \mu$ l was carefully spotted onto the center of agar plates. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 12 h, and the experiments were performed in triplicate. A filter paper disc assay was conducted to determine whether 1-naphthol would repel swarming bacteria using a previously described method [\(14\)](#page-9-13). Whatman filter paper discs (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA) were soaked with 10  $\mu$ l of 1-naphthol at various concentrations (0 to 50 mM in DMSO). Subsequently, the discs were placed onto M9 medium containing 0.5% agar, and *P. aeruginosa*was spotted onto the center of the agar plates. The bacteria were grown at 30°C for 12 h.

Swimming assays were performed on LB plates containing 0.3% agar as previously described [\(32\)](#page-9-30). Swimming was assessed after 24 h of growth at 30°C. The twitching assays were performed on LB plates containing 1% agar, according to a previously described method [\(13\)](#page-9-12). The twitching assay plate was incubated at 37°C for 24 h.

**Biofilm quantification, visualization, and image analysis.** For the biofilm assays, stationary-phase cultures were inoculated to a final concentration equivalent to an OD<sub>660</sub> of 0.01 into 100  $\mu$ l of LB medium in 96-well microtiter plates made of polyvinylchloride. The plates were incubated at 37°C under aerobic conditions. After 6 h, naphthalene-derivative compounds were added at 500  $\mu$ M in LB liquid medium. After the planktonic cells were removed, crystal violet staining and the quantification of biofilms were performed as previously described [\(3\)](#page-9-2). The biofilm was visualized using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) as previously described [\(33\)](#page-9-31). The cells were grown in Nunc flasks, and the attached biofilm was observed using a Carl Zeiss Pascal laser scanning microscope equipped with a  $63\times11.4$ -numerical aperture Plan-Apochromat objective and a 40 $\times$ /0.80-numerical aperture IR Achroplan W water immersion objective (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For confocal reflection microscopy (CRM), the biofilms were illuminated with a 488- or 514-nm argon laser, and the reflected light was collected through a 470- to 500-nm or 505- to 530-nm band pass filter, respectively, to avoid the influence of auto-fluorescence [\(34\)](#page-10-0). An NT 80/20 half mirror (Carl Zeiss) was used as a beam splitter. The confocal images were analyzed using Carl Zeiss LSM 5 Pascal software (version 3.5; Carl Zeiss). For biovolume quantification, the confocal images were analyzed using the COMSTAT computer program [\(35\)](#page-10-1) with MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA).

**Rhamnolipid analysis.** The cultures were initially adjusted to an OD<sub>660</sub> of 0.01 and grown in M9 minimal medium at 30°C and 200 rpm for 12 h. The concentration of rhamnolipid (3-deoxy-hexose) in the culture supernatant was measured using an orcinol assay as previously described [\(36\)](#page-10-2). The orcinol assay is a colorimetric test to determine the amount of hexose sugars. The culture supernatant  $(10 \text{ to } 300 \text{ µl})$  was diluted with water to obtain a volume of 300  $\mu$ l and extracted twice with 600  $\mu$ l of diethyl ether. The pooled ether fractions were evaporated to dryness, and the pellet was dissolved in 100  $\mu$ l of distilled water and mixed with 100  $\mu$ l of 1.6% orcinol and 800  $\mu$ l of 60% sulfuric acid. After the sample was heated to 80°C and subjected to shaking for 30 min, the absorbance was measured at 421 nm. The content of rhamnose in the samples was determined by comparing results with rhamnose standards that had a defined concentration. Rhamnolipid concentration was calculated based on the assumption that 1  $\mu$ g of rhamnose corresponds to 2.5  $\mu$ g of rhamnolipid [\(37\)](#page-10-3). To harvest a large amount of rhamnolipid, the cultures were initially adjusted to an  $OD_{660}$  of 0.01 and grown in 100 ml of PPGAS medium  $(0.02 \text{ M NH}_4\text{Cl}_2, 0.02 \text{ M KCl}, 0.12 \text{ M Tris-HCl}, 1.6 \text{ mM MgSO}_4, 0.5\%$ [wt/vol] glucose, 1% [wt/vol] peptone, adjusted to pH 7.2) in 500-ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 37°C and 200 rpm for 24 h. The extracted rhamnolipid was added to the swarming medium at a final concentration of 0.5% (wt/vol). Values were normalized to the  $OD_{660}$  value.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



*<sup>a</sup>* F, forward; R, reverse.

*<sup>b</sup>* The added restriction sites in the primers are underlined.

**Cyclic di-GMP reporter assay.** The intracellular cyclic-di-GMP (c-di-GMP) level was quantified using a reporter plasmid containing the promoter of *cdrA* [\(38\)](#page-10-4). The DsRed-based promoter probe plasmid, pMEX-DsRed, was constructed by reference to a previous report [\(39\)](#page-10-5). The region of the DsRed gene was amplified from pDsRed-Express (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA) by PCR using the primer pair GfpF1/dsredR1 [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). The amplified fragment was digested with NcoI/XhoI and subcloned into a similarly digested pET15b plasmid (Novagen). For the construction of pMEX-DsRed, the promoter region of *cdrA* was amplified by PCR with PAcdrAPF/PAcdrAPR primers [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0), digested by BamHI and EcoRI, and inserted into the multicloning site of pMEX9-DsRed. A region containing a ribosome binding site-fused DsRed gene was amplified by PCR with the primer pair pET15b-kpn/dsredR2 [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0), digested with KpnI/ SacI, and cloned into similarly digested pMEX9 plasmid to replace the *xylE* reporter gene with a gene encoding DsRed. Cells grown in M9 medium containing gentamicin were collected, and fluorescence (excitation, 554 nm; emission, 586 nm) was measured at 37°C with a fluorometer (Varioskan Flash; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Data are presented as arbitrary fluorescence intensity units normalized to cell growth  $(OD<sub>600</sub>)$ . DsRed expression of cells harboring promoterless pMEX9-DsRed was used as a reference.

**Whole transcriptome analysis using a tiling array.** A microarray analysis of PAO1 chromosomes was performed as previously described [\(40\)](#page-10-6) using the database sequencing of PAO1 (GenBank accession number [NC\\_002516\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore?term=NC_002516) with 25-mer perfect-match probes overlapped and offset by 11 nucleotides. The synthesis, fragmentation, labeling, and detection of cDNA were performed as described previously [\(41\)](#page-10-7). Briefly, total RNA was extracted in parallel from two independent M9 liquid cultures (the turbidity of each culture was 0.6 at 660 nm) using RNAProtect Bacteria reagent (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and NucleoSpin RNA II (Macherey-Nagel GmbH and Co., KG, Düren, Germany). After DNA degradation with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega, Madison, WI) and purification using NucleoSpin RNA II, single-stranded cDNA was synthesized using random primers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), SuperScript II (Invitrogen), and deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), including dUTP (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). The synthesis of cDNA was performed in the presence of actinomycin D (ActD) to prevent the generation of spurious second-strand cDNA, as previously described [\(42\)](#page-10-8). The template RNA was degraded using NaOH, and the cDNA was purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Fragmentation, labeling, and detection were performed as previously described [\(40\)](#page-10-6).

**Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis.** RNA was extracted from a P. aeruginosa PAO1 culture (OD<sub>660</sub> of 0.6 in M9 medium) using an RNA extraction kit (Promega), and the DNA was removed using a Turbo DNase kit (Life Technologies). The cDNA synthesis and real-time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) analysis were performed as previously described with some modifications  $(43)$ . Briefly, 1  $\mu$ g of RNA was used for reverse transcription using RT SuperScript II (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with random hexamers. The cDNA was used as a template for PCR with the primers shown in [Table 1.](#page-2-0) Real-time RT-PCR was conducted using a LightCycler (Roche) and a LightCycler FastStart DNA Master Plus SYBR green I kit. The *proC* gene was used as an internal control [\(44\)](#page-10-10), and the data were normalized to *proC* expression.

**Determination of MICs of antibiotics.**Comparative MIC determinations were performed with LB medium-agar containing diluted antibiot-



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**FIG 1** Inhibition of *P. aeruginosa* swarming motility by bicyclic compounds. The cells were inoculated at the center of a plate containing M9 swarming medium and 0.5% agar with or without each compound and incubated at 30°C for 12 h. (A) The effects of various compounds on swarming motility. Each compound was added at 500  $\mu$ M, with the exception of 1,2-dihydroxynaphthalene, which was added to the medium at  $250 \mu M$ . Three independent experiments were performed, and representative data are shown. (B) Dose-dependent effect of 1-naphthol  $(0, 5, 50,$  and  $500 \mu M)$  on swarming motility. Three independent experiments were performed, and representative data are shown.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**FIG 2** Effects of bicyclic compounds on swimming motility (left) and twitching motility (right) in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. Each compound was added at a concentration of 500  $\mu$ M. Three independent experiments were performed, and representative data are shown.

ics. Cells were grown in LB medium until they reached the stationary phase and then diluted to an optical density at 660 nm  $OD_{660}$  of 0.1. Five microliters of diluted cells was spotted onto LB medium-agar plates containing several concentrations of antibiotics and grown at 37°C for 24 h.

**Microarray data accession number.** The two sets of the array data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) of the NCBI [\(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under GEO series accession number [GSE60343.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE60343)

### **RESULTS**

**The growth of** *P. aeruginosa* **with bicyclic compounds.** To determine whether bicyclic compounds show toxicity to *P. aeruginosa*, bacterial growth was examined in the absence and presence of bicyclic compounds, including indole, 4HI, 5HI, naphthalene, 1-naphthol, 2-naphthol, 1,2-dihydroxynaphthalene (1,2-DHN), 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene (2,3-DHN), and 1-aminonaphthalene. All compounds, except 1,2-DHN, showed no effect at 500  $\mu$ M on growth either in liquid culture or on agar plates, and 1,2-DHN did not repress bacterial growth at 250  $\mu$ M under this experimental condition. This concentration (500  $\mu$ M) is similar to the extracellular indole concentration observed in the supernatant of *E. coli* grown in a rich medium [\(20\)](#page-9-18).

**Inhibition of swarming motility by bicyclic compounds.** The effect of bicyclic compounds on the swarming motility of PAO1 on 0.5% agar was examined, and the results showed that several bicyclic compounds, including 4HI, 5HI, 1-naphthol, 1,2-DHN, 2,3-DHN, and 1-aminonaphthalene, inhibited swarming motility

[\(Fig. 1A\)](#page-2-1). Interestingly, indole and naphthalene, which do not have a functional group, did not inhibit swarming motility. Furthermore, 2-naphthol, a structural analog of 1-naphthol, with a hydroxyl group at the neighboring carbon, showed markedly less inhibition of motility than 1-naphthol. These results suggest that the occurrence and position of a hydroxyl group largely affect the inhibition of swarming motility. Further analyses were conducted using 1-naphthol, which completely inhibited PAO1 swarming motility. Similarly, 1-naphthol inhibited the swarming motility of *P. aeruginosa* in a dose-dependent manner, and swarming was completely abolished at concentration of 50  $\mu$ M or more [\(Fig.](#page-2-1) [1B\)](#page-2-1). When swarming-inhibited cells on 1-naphthol swarming plates (12 h) were transferred to new swarming plates without 1-naphthol, the cells resumed active swarming motility, suggesting that 1-naphthol did not induce mutations in the genes required for swarming motility (data not shown). Furthermore, 1-naphthol and all compounds tested did not inhibit swimming motility and had minor effects on the twitching motility of PAO1 [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0), suggesting that motility inhibition by 1-naphthol is effective for swarming and that 1-naphthol does not affect the function of flagella, which are required for swimming.

**Inhibition of swarming motility in clinical isolates of** *P. aeruginosa***.** Hyperswarmers, which naturally emerge inside biofilms originally developed from normal swarming cells, have been observed in *P. aeruginosa* [\(45\)](#page-10-11). To determine whether the effect of 1-naphthol on swarming motility is relevant for other *P. aeruginosa* cells, we isolated clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa*, including hyperswarming strains, from patients with urinary tract infections (isolate numbers 227, 233, 287, 401, 428, and 433). The results of the swarming assay showed that both 287 and 401 exhibited hyperswarming motility compared with the motility of PAO1, and  $500 \mu M$  1-naphthol completely inhibited the swarming motility of these clinical isolates [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-3-1). Notably, treatment with 500  $\mu$ M 1-naphthol did not inhibit the growth of these clinical isolates (data not shown). These results suggest that 1-naphthol could be used as a strong inhibitor against swarming motility in *P. aeruginosa* strains with hyperswarming phenotypes.

**Repellent effect of 1-naphthol on swarming cells.** To further evaluate whether 1-naphthol affects bacterial cells exhibiting swarming motility, filter paper discs were soaked with various concentrations of 1-naphthol solution (0 to 5 mM) and subsequently placed onto 0.5% agar plates inoculated with *P. aeruginosa*. When swarming cells approached the discs, an altered course of direction and migration around the discs soaked with more than 50  $\mu$ M 1-naphthol was observed [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-4-0), suggesting that 1-naphthol acts as a repellent.

**Inhibition of biofilm dispersion.** Biofilm development is a



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**FIG 3** Effects of 1-naphthol on swarming motility of various clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. The cells were inoculated at the center a plate containing M9 medium and 0.5% agar with or without 500  $\mu$ M 1-naphthol and incubated for 12 h at 30°C. Three independent experiments were performed, and representative data are shown.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**FIG 4** Effects of 1-naphthol on swarming cells. The filter paper discs were soaked with various concentrations of 1-naphthol (0 to 50 mM) and placed onto M9 medium containing 0.5% agar, followed by inoculation with *P. aeruginosa*. White arrows indicate edges of swarming cells around filter paper discs.

sequential process involving planktonic cell attachment, microcolony formation, biofilm maturation, and subsequent biofilm dispersion. Several studies have previously demonstrated that swarming motility is required for biofilm dispersion [\(45,](#page-10-11) [46\)](#page-10-12), and it is assumed that 1-naphthol inhibits the dispersion of biofilm. To confirm this hypothesis, the time course of biofilm formation with and without 1-naphthol was examined using crystal violet staining. The results showed that the addition of 1-naphthol inhibited the dispersion of the matured biofilm, whereas the control biofilm dispersed after 9 h [\(Fig. 5A\)](#page-4-1). CLSM analysis revealed that 1-naphthol inhibited the dispersal of 12-h biofilm, whereas in the absence of 1-naphthol, the control biofilm was dispersed [\(Fig. 5B\)](#page-4-1). The biofilm quantification analysis using COMSTAT indicated that the addition of 1-naphthol increased biofilm biomass 15-fold compared with the control (0.3  $\pm$  0.02 versus 0.02  $\pm$  0.005  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>/  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>). Additionally, exposure to naphthalene as a negative control (no inhibition of swarming) did not inhibit the biofilm dispersal, which was similar to that of the control. These results indicate that 1-naphthol inhibits the dispersion of biofilm in *P. aeruginosa*.

**Rhamnolipid production.** Extracellular amphiphilic biosurfactant rhamnolipids promote swarming motility [\(47\)](#page-10-13), and it is assumed that the inhibition of rhamnolipid production could be the mechanism underlying the inhibition of swarming motility through 1-naphthol. Rhamnolipid production was examined by orcinol assay, and the result showed  $A_{420}/OD_{660}$  values of 0.38  $\pm$ 0.13 and 0.33  $\pm$  0.06 in the absence and presence of 1-naphthol, respectively, suggesting that rhamnolipid production was not changed by 1-naphthol. The *rhlR* mutant, used as a negative control in this assay, did not produce rhamnolipid  $(A_{420}/OD_{660},$  $0.02 \pm 0.04$ ). To further investigate the effect of 1-naphthol on rhamnolipid production, the expression of the rhamnolipid synthetic gene *rhlA* was examined by qRT-PCR. The expression of



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**FIG 5** Effects of 1-naphthol on biofilm dispersion in *P. aeruginosa*. (A) Effect of 1-naphthol at 6 h on biofilm dispersion in PAO1. Biofilms attached to the wells were quantified at each time point. More than three independent experiments were performed, and the data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviations of triplicate assays. (B) Visualization of biofilm at 12 h through CLSM and the quantification of biomass using the COMSTAT program. Scale bar, 20  $\mu$ m. Three independent experiments were performed, and representative data are shown.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



*rhlA* in the presence of 1-naphthol was 1.3-fold ( $\pm$  0.3-fold) that of expression in its absence. These results suggest that the swarming inhibition through 1-naphthol does not result from defects in rhamnolipid production.

**Intracellular level of c-di-GMP.** It has also been reported that several amino acids, such as L-arginine, inhibited swarming motility in *P. aeruginosa* through the enhancement of intracellular c-di-GMP [\(12\)](#page-9-11). Intracellular levels of c-di-GMP inversely affect swarming motility and biofilm formation [\(48\)](#page-10-14). Since 1-naphthol inhibited swarming motility and maintained the amount of biofilm formation at later stages of development in *P. aeruginosa*, it is assumed that the intracellular c-di-GMP level is increased by 1-naphthol. A fluorescence-based reporter plasmid was constructed by reference to a previous report [\(38\)](#page-10-4), and the intracellular c-di-GMP levels were examined in the absence and presence of 1-naphthol. The level of c-di-GMP with 1-naphthol was 0.79-fold  $\pm$  0.54-fold that of the level without it, suggesting that the inhibitive effect of 1-naphthol on swarming is not associated with the intracellular c-di-GMP level.

**Whole transcriptional analysis with 1-naphthol.** To reveal the genetic mechanism of swarming inhibition through 1-naphthol on a global basis, we performed DNA microarray analysis using exponential cells grown in minimal medium. The expression of 119 genes was significantly affected more than 2-fold upon the addition of 1-naphthol; 18 genes were upregulated [\(Table 2\)](#page-5-0), and 101 genes were downregulated [\(Table 3\)](#page-6-0). Notably, multidrug efflux transporter genes (*mexAB* and *mexF*) and fluorescent siderophore pyoverdine synthesis-related genes (*pvdQ*, *pvdT*, *pvdN*, and *pvdO*) were highly expressed [\(Table 2\)](#page-5-0). The *nalC* gene [\(Table](#page-5-0) [2\)](#page-5-0), a positive regulator of the efflux pump MexAB-OprM [\(49\)](#page-10-15), showed the highest upregulation with 1-naphthol.

The expression of various genes was repressed through

1-naphthol [\(Table 3\)](#page-6-0). Nine genes related to the type VI protein secretion system were repressed more than 2-fold. Particularly, *hcpA*, *hcpB*, and *hcpC* were significantly repressed with 1-naphthol, showing 6.3-, 5.9-, and 5.6-fold repression, respectively. The pyochelin synthesis operon (*pch*) was repressed through 1-naphthol. Although 1-naphthol represses PQS synthesis in rich medium [\(22\)](#page-9-20), the PQS synthesis operon (*pqs*) and PQS-regulated virulence-coding genes, including the pyocyanin synthesis operon (*pch*), were not repressed in minimal medium, with the exception of pyochelin. Flagellar-related genes (*flgC*, *flgD*, and *flgG*), aerotaxis-related genes (*aer* and *aer2*), type IV pilus-related genes (*pilA* and *pilB*), and several chemotaxis transducer-coding genes were repressed (2- to 4-fold). Many of the genes associated with the metabolism and transport of small molecules were repressed.

**Transcriptional analysis through qRT-PCR with 1-naphthol or 4-HI.** To verify the results of the microarray and investigate the effects of 4HI on gene expression, qRT-PCR analysis was conducted [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-8-0). The expression of both *mexB* and *nalC* was increased in the presence of not only 1-naphthol but also 4HI. The expression of the pyochelin synthesis gene *pchG* was significantly inhibited with either 1-naphthol or 4HI [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-8-0). Swarming motility requires both flagella and pili, and the qRT-PCR analysis showed that the expression of the related genes was partially repressed through 1-naphthol and 4HI: 0.58-fold (*flgG*) and 0.56 fold (*pilB*) with 1-naphthol and 0.61-fold (*flgG*) and 0.76-fold (*pilB*) with 4HI. The expression of *aer* was also repressed with 1-naphthol and 4HI (0.68- and 0.65-fold, respectively).

**The effect of 1-naphthol on resistance.**MexAB-OprM contributes to the intrinsic resistance of bacteria to a number of antibiotics, including fluoroquinolones,  $\beta$ -lactams, tetracycline, macrolides, chloramphenicol, novobiocin, trimethoprim, and sulfonamides [\(50\)](#page-10-16). As 1-naphthol increased the expression of *mexA* and *mexB*

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**TABLE 3** List of the genes downregulated with 500  $\mu$ M naphthol in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1

Gene function and locus no.	Gene name	Fold change	Product or function <sup>a</sup>
Type VI protein secretion system			
PA0263	hcpc	$-5.6$	Type VI protein secretion system component
PA1512	hcpA	$-6.3$	Type VI protein secretion system component
PA1656	hsiA2	$-2.8$	Predicted component of the type VI protein secretion system
PA1657	hsiB2	$-4.0$	Predicted component of the type VI protein secretion system
PA1658	hsiC2	$-4.2$	Predicted component of the type VI protein secretion system
PA1659	hsiC2	$-2.8$	Predicted component of the type VI protein secretion system
PA1660	hsiG2	$-2.0$	Predicted component of the type VI protein secretion system
PA1666	lip2	$-2.3$	
PA5267	hcpB	$-5.9$	Predicted component of the type VI protein secretion system Type VI protein secretion system component
Pyochelin synthesis			
PA4224	pchG	$-3.2$	Pyocherin biosynthesis protein
PA4225	pchF	$-3.0$	Pyocherin biosynthesis protein
PA4226	pchE	$-2.7$	Dihydroaeruginoic acid synthesis
PA4228	pchD	$-3.0$	Pyocherin biosynthesis protein
PA4229	pchC	$-2.2$	Pyocherin biosynthesis protein
PA4230	pchB	$-2.5$	Salicylate biosynthesis protein
PA4231	pchA	$-2.0$	Salicylate biosynthesis isochorismate synthase
Metabolism			
PA0895	aruC	$-2.0$	N-Succinylglutamate 5-semialdehyde dehydrogenase
PA1562	acnA	$-2.1$	Aconitate hydratase
PA1984	exaC	$-2.2$	Probable aldehyde dehydrogenase
PA2007	maiA	$-3.6$	Maleylacetoacetate isomerase
PA2008	fahA	$-3.6$	Fumarylacetoacetase
PA2009	hmgA	$-4.5$	Homogentisate 1,2-dioxygenase
PA2014	liuB	$-2.9$	Methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase
PA2015	liuA	$-5.0$	Putative isovaleryl-CoA dehydrogenase
PA2016	liuR	$-2.9$	Regulator of liu genes
PA2247	bkdA1	$-2.1$	2-Oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase
PA2248	bkdA2	$-2.4$	2-Oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase
PA2249	bkdB	$-3.1$	Branched-chain alpha-keto acid dehydrogenase
PA2250	lpdV	$-2.6$	Lipoamide dehydrogenase
PA2321		$-2.1$	Gluconokinase
PA2554		$-2.5$	Probable short-chain dehydrogenase
PA2555		$-4.0$	Probable AMP-binding enzyme
PA3183		$-3.8$	Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase
PA3194	zwf edd	$-2.4$	
			Phosphogluconate dehydratase
PA3195	gapA	$-2.9$	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
PA3559		$-2.3$	Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase
PA3584	glpD	$-3.6$	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
PA3723	yqjM	$-2.1$	Probable FMN oxidoreductase
PA3924		$-3.4$	Probable medium-chain acyl-CoA ligase
PA4022	hdhA	$-2.2$	Hydrazone dehydrogenase
PA5056	phaCl	$-2.3$	Poly(3-hydroxyalkanoic acid) synthase
PA5435		$-3.4$	Probable transcarboxylase subunit
PA5436		$-2.9$	Probable biotin carboxylase subunit of a transcarboxylase
Cell motility and secretion			
PA0176	aer2	$-2.0$	Aerotaxis transducer
PA0178		$-2.4$	Probable two-component sensor
PA0179		$-2.1$	Probable two-component response regulator
PA1078	flgC	$-2.0$	Flagellar basal-body rod protein
PA1079	flgD	$-2.4$	Flagellar basal-body rod modification protein
		$-2.3$	Flagellar basal-body rod protein
PA1082	flgG		
PA1561	aer	$-2.7$	Aerotaxis receptor Probable chemotaxis transducer
PA2573		$-2.1$	
PA2788		$-4.1$	Probable chemotaxis transducer
PA2867		$-2.2$	Chemotaxis transducer
PA4309	pctA	$-2.9$	Chemotaxis transducer
PA4310	pctB	$-1.8$	Chemotaxis transducer

(Continued on following page)

#### **TABLE 3** (Continued)



*<sup>a</sup>* CoA, coenzyme A; FMN, flavin mononucleotide.

[\(Table 3\)](#page-6-0), we hypothesized that 1-naphthol would also affect the resistance of bacteria to antibiotics. When MICs were examined, the addition of 1-naphthol increased resistance against tetracycline and the fluoroquinolone ofloxacin. MICs for tetracycline in the absence and presence of 500  $\mu$ M 1-naphthol were 64 and 128  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively, and these values for ofloxacin were 8 and 16  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively. The resistance to the aminoglycoside gentamicin was not affected by MexAB-OprM, and the MIC (64  $\mu$ g/ml) was not changed after the addition of 500  $\mu$ M 1-naphthol. These results suggest that the presence of 500  $\mu$ M 1-naphthol increased MexAB-OprM-related antibiotic resistance. Furthermore, to determine whether MexAB-OprM is associated with 1-naphthol efflux, the growth of wild-type



<span id="page-8-0"></span>without 1-naphthol and 4-hydroxyindole. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of the transcript levels in cells at the exponential phase was performed. The values are compared to the control (0.5% DMSO). The data are expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (error bars) from triplicate samples.

(WT) and  $\Delta$ *mexAB-oprM* bacteria was compared under several concentrations of 1-naphthol. The WT bacteria grew well, but the ΔmexAB-oprM strain did not grow in the presence of 1,250 μM 1-naphthol, suggesting that MexAB-OprM is associated with resistance to 1-naphthol.

### **DISCUSSION**

Swarming is significantly associated with various phenotypes of *P. aeruginosa*; swarming cells are more resistant to antibiotics, and virulence factor expression is higher than that in planktonic cells [\(8,](#page-9-7) [9\)](#page-9-8). Therefore, the inhibition of swarming motility is significantly important to control the behavior of *P. aeruginosa*. In the present study, we revealed that hydroxyindoles and hydroxynaphthalenes drastically inhibit the swarming motility of *P. aeruginosa* without affecting growth. Particularly, 1-naphthol exhibits a strong inhibitory effect against swarming motility in various strains, such as hyperswarming clinical isolates.

We previously reported that various bicyclic compounds (indole, naphthalene, and their derivatives) repress PQS synthesis and PQSregulated phenotypes, including membrane vesicle production and pyocyanin synthesis [\(22\)](#page-9-20). The detailed mechanism underlying this effect remains unknown, but the inhibition through indole does not involve the binding of receptor PqsR to PQS. Here, we showed that some bicyclic compounds repress swarming motility in *P. aeruginosa*. Interestingly, indole and naphthalene did not affect swarming motility, while the hydric compounds of these molecules successfully repressed this activity [\(Fig. 1A\)](#page-2-1). Lee et al. reported that indole repressed swarming motility [\(21\)](#page-9-19), and this discrepancy might reflect the use of different media. These authors also showed that 7-hydroxyindole abolished swarming motility, and this result is consistent with the results of the present study showing that the hydric groups of bicyclic compounds strengthen inhibitory activity against *P. aeruginosa* swarming. Notably, the potency of swarming inhibition is different between the naphthalene derivatives 1-naphthol and 2-naphthol [\(Fig. 1A\)](#page-2-1), suggesting that the presence and structural location of the hydroxyl groups are important to inhibit *P. aeruginosa* swarming motility.

Swarming motility is controlled through Rhl [\(32,](#page-9-30) [51\)](#page-10-17), and Rhlregulated rhamnolipid synthesis is required for swarming motility in *P. aeruginosa* [\(45,](#page-10-11) [48\)](#page-10-14). In this study, we showed that rhamnolipid production was equivalent with or without 1-naphthol, using colorimetric analysis and qRT-PCR analysis, suggesting that the inhibitive effect of 1-naphthol on swarming does not result from defects in rhamnolipid production. The decreased intracellular level of c-di-GMP is also an important factor in swarming motility behavior in *P. aeruginosa* [\(52\)](#page-10-18). A plasmid-based reporter analysis showed that intracellular level of c-di-GMP was not altered by 1-naphthol. The synthesis of c-di-GMP from two molecules of GTP is catalyzed by diguanylate cyclases (DGCs), and the breakdown of c-di-GMP is catalyzed by phosphodiesterases (PDEs) [\(53\)](#page-10-19).We also confirmed that expression of genes encoding neither DGCs nor PDEs was altered by 1-naphthol in microarray analysis. Hence, it is suggested that swarming inhibition by 1-naphthol is independent of changes in the intracellular level of c-di-GMP. Furthermore, it has been reported that PQS and phenazine-1-carboxylic acid (PCA), a precursor of pyocyanin whose synthesis is positively regulated by PQS, repress swarming motility via a pathway different from that of c-di-GMP [\(51\)](#page-10-17). 1-Naphthol repressed PQS and pyocyanin production in LB medium [\(22\)](#page-9-20), whereas genes coding for the synthesis of PQS, PCA, and pyocyanin were not altered, based on microarray analysis in minimal medium, suggesting that swarming inhibition by 1-naphthol does not alter PCA synthesis. However, little is known about the downstream mechanism to repress swarming motility by PCA as well as 1-naphthol. Thus, our data indicated that the inhibitive effect of 1-naphthol on swarming motility in minimal medium is via a yet known mechanism independent of rhamnolipid production and c-di-GMP level; whether the inhibitory effect of 1-naphthol is linked with the mechanism of PCA-dependent swarming repression remains unknown.

Microarray analysis showed that the expression of flagellarrelated protein synthesis genes, including *flgC*, *flgD*, and *flgG*, was repressed with 1-naphthol [\(Table 2\)](#page-5-0). Although both swimming and swarming motility require flagellum-driven motility, 1-naphthol significantly inhibited swarming motility but did not affect swimming motility in *P. aeruginosa*, suggesting that something other than the flagella greatly contributes to the inhibition of swarming through 1-naphthol. Expression levels of *pilA* and *pilB*, required for both swarming and twitching motility, were repressed through 1-naphthol, and twitching motility was slightly repressed by 1-naphthol. The repression of pilus synthesis by 1-naphthol is considered to be one of the means by which it represses swarming motility, but this cannot be the complete explanation of the mechanism that represses swarming motility. The synergetic effect of pilus synthesis repression with a yet unknown mechanism probably causes the inhibition of swarming by 1-naphthol in *P. aeruginosa*.

Interestingly, the resistance to tetracycline and ofloxacin increases the response to 1-naphthol, probably due to upregulation of *mexAB* expression. Although it has been reported that swarming cells develop antibiotic resistance  $(8, 9)$  $(8, 9)$  $(8, 9)$ , little is understood about the effect of efflux pump overexpression on swarming motility. Therefore, it remains unknown whether the inhibitory effect of 1-naphthol on swarming motility is due to upregulation of *mexAB*. Because the resistance to 1-naphthol decreased in the -*mexAB-oprM* strain compared to that in the WT strain, MexAB-OprM may be associated with the efflux of 1-naphthol in response to 1-naphthol in the milieu. Hence, the resistance to tetracycline

and ofloxacin may be the side effect of eliminating 1-naphthol from cells.

In conclusion, the results of the present study revealed that hydroxyindoles and naphthalene derivatives influence the social behavior and swarming motility of *P. aeruginosa*. While naphthalene derivatives are ubiquitous in natural environments, information about the effects of these compounds on bacteria is scarce. Thus, the results of the present study could provide a better understanding of the bacterial response to these compounds and contribute useful information for the design of novel agents to control the phenotypes of the opportunistic pathogen *P. aeruginosa*.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Arne Heydorn for kindly providing the COMSTAT program and Reiko Kariyama and Hiromi Kumon for kindly providing clinical isolates.

This study was supported in part through funding from Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (25241020) to N.N. from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, and Technology of Japan. This research was also funded through the Japan Science and Technology Agency, CREST, and ALCA.

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- 1. **Costerton J, Stewart P, Greenberg E.** 1999. Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. Science **284:**1318 –1322. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5418.1318) [/10.1126/science.284.5418.1318.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5418.1318)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. **Tashiro Y, Yawata Y, Toyofuku M, Uchiyama H, Nomura N.** 2013. Interspecies interaction between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other microorganisms. Microbes Environ **28:**13–24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1264/jsme2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME12167) [.ME12167.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME12167)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. **O'Toole G, Kolter R.** 1998. Flagellar and twitching motility are necessary for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development. Mol Microbiol **30:**295– 304. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.01062.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.01062.x)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. **Shrout JD, Chopp DL, Just CL, Hentzer M, Givskov M, Parsek MR.** 2006. The impact of quorum sensing and swarming motility on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation is nutritionally conditional. Mol Microbiol **62:**1264 –1277. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05421.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05421.x)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span>5. **Fraser GM, Hughes C.** 1999. Swarming motility. Curr Opin Microbiol **2:**630 –635. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274\(99\)00033-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274(99)00033-8)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>6. **Harshey RM.** 2003. Bacterial motility on a surface: many ways to a common goal. Annu Rev Microbiol **57:**249 –273. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1146](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.091014) [/annurev.micro.57.030502.091014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.091014)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>7. **Daniels R, Vanderleyden J, Michiels J.** 2004. Quorum sensing and swarming migration in bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev **28:**261–289. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2003.09.004) [//dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2003.09.004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2003.09.004)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>8. **Lai S, Tremblay J, Déziel E.** 2009. Swarming motility: a multicellular behaviour conferring antimicrobial resistance. Environ Microbiol **11:** 126 –136. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01747.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01747.x)
- 9. **Overhage J, Bains M, Brazas MD, Hancock RE.** 2008. Swarming of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a complex adaptation leading to increased production of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance. J Bacteriol **190:** 2671–2679. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01659-07.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01659-07)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>10. **Overhage J, Lewenza S, Marr AK, Hancock RE.** 2007. Identification of genes involved in swarming motility using a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 mini-Tn*5*-*lux* mutant library. J Bacteriol **189:**2164 –2169. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01623-06) [.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01623-06.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01623-06)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span><span id="page-9-10"></span>11. **Tremblay J, Deziel E.** 2010. Gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming motility. BMC Genomics **11:**587. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-587) [/1471-2164-11-587.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-587)
- 12. **Bernier SP, Ha D-G, Khan W, Merritt JH, O'Toole GA.** 2011. Modulation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* surface-associated group behaviors by individual amino acids through c-di-GMP signaling. Res Microbiol **162:** 680 –688. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2011.04.014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2011.04.014)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span><span id="page-9-12"></span>13. **Inoue T, Shingaki R, Fukui K.** 2008. Inhibition of swarming motility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by branched-chain fatty acids. FEMS Microbiol Lett **281:**81–86. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01089.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01089.x)
- 14. **O'May C, Tufenkji N.** 2011. The swarming motility of *Pseudomonas*

*aeruginosa* is blocked by cranberry proanthocyanidins and other tannincontaining materials. Appl Environ Microbiol **77:**3061–3067. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02677-10) [.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02677-10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02677-10)

- <span id="page-9-14"></span>15. **Pesci E, Milbank J, Pearson J, McKnight S, Kende A, Greenberg E, Iglewski B.** 1999. Quinolone signaling in the cell-to-cell communication system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad SciUSA **96:**11229 – 11234. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.20.11229.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.20.11229)
- 16. **Mashburn LM, Whiteley M.** 2005. Membrane vesicles traffic signals and facilitate group activities in a prokaryote. Nature **437:**422–425. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03925) [.doi.org/10.1038/nature03925.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03925)
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>17. **Tashiro Y, Uchiyama H, Nomura N.** 2012. Multifunctional membrane vesicles in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Environ Microbiol **14:**1349 –1362. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02632.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02632.x)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>18. **Dubern JF, Diggle SP.** 2008. Quorum sensing by 2-alkyl-4-quinolones in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other bacterial species. Mol Biosyst **4:**882– 888. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b803796p.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b803796p)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>19. **Cugini C, Calfee MW, Farrow JM, III, Morales DK, Pesci EC, Hogan DA.** 2007. Farnesol, a common sesquiterpene, inhibits PQS production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol Microbiol **65:**896 –906. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05840.x) [.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05840.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05840.x)
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>20. **Wang D, Ding X, Rather P.** 2001. Indole can act as an extracellular signal in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol **183:**4210 –4216. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.14.4210-4216.2001) [/JB.183.14.4210-4216.2001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.14.4210-4216.2001)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>21. **Lee J, Attila C, Cirillo S, Cirillo J, Wood T.** 2009. Indole and 7-hydroxyindole diminish *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence. Microbial Biotechnol **2:**75–90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7915.2008.00061.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7915.2008.00061.x)
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>22. **Tashiro Y, Toyofuku M, Nakajima-Kambe T, Uchiyama H, Nomura N.** 2010. Bicyclic compounds repress membrane vesicle production and *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal synthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. FEMS Microbiol Lett **304:**123–130. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.01897.x) [.01897.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.01897.x)
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>23. **Ensley B, Ratzkin B, Osslund T, Simon M, Wackett L, Gibson D.** 1983. Expression of naphthalene oxidation genes in *Escherichia coli*results in the biosynthesis of indigo. Science **222:**167–169. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.6353574) [/science.6353574.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.6353574)
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>24. **Rui L, Reardon K, Wood T.** 2005. Protein engineering of toluene orthomonooxygenase of *Burkholderia cepacia* G4 for regiospecific hydroxylation of indole to form various indigoid compounds. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **66:**422–429. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1698-z.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1698-z)
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>25. **Samanta SK, Chakraborti AK, Jain RK.** 1999. Degradation of phenanthrene by different bacteria: evidence for novel transformation sequences involving the formation of 1-naphthol. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **53:**98 – 107. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530051621.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530051621)
- <span id="page-9-25"></span><span id="page-9-24"></span>26. **Garikipati SVBJ, McIver AM, Peeples TL.** 2009. Whole-cell biocatalysis for 1-naphthol production in liquid-liquid biphasic systems. Appl Environ Microbiol **75:**6545–6552. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00434-09.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00434-09)
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>27. **Holloway BW, Krishnapillai V, Morgan AF.** 1979. Chromosomal genetics of *Pseudomonas*. Microbiol Rev **43:**73–102.
- 28. **Maseda H, Sawada I, Saito K, Uchiyama H, Nakae T, Nomura N.** 2004. Enhancement of the *mexAB-oprM* efflux pump expression by a quorumsensing autoinducer and its cancellation by a regulator, MexT, of the *mexEF-oprN* efflux pump operon in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **48:**1320 –1328. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.4.1320-1328.2004) [.1320-1328.2004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.4.1320-1328.2004)
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>29. **Toyofuku M, Nomura N, Fujii T, Takaya N, Maseda H, Sawada I, Nakajima T, Uchiyama H.** 2007. Quorum sensing regulates denitrification in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. J Bacteriol **189:**4969 –4972. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00289-07) [//dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00289-07.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00289-07)
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>30. **Tashiro Y, Nomura N, Nakao R, Senpuku H, Kariyama R, Kumon H, Kosono S, Watanabe H, Nakajima T, Uchiyama H.** 2008. Opr86 is essential for viability and is a potential candidate for a protective antigen against biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol **190:** 3969 –3978. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.02004-07.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.02004-07)
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>31. **Tremblay J, Richardson A-P, Lépine F, Déziel E.** 2007. Self-produced extracellular stimuli modulate the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming motility behaviour. Environ Microbiol **9:**2622–2630. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01396.x) [.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01396.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01396.x)
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>32. **Köhler T, Curty LK, Barja F, van Delden C, Pechère J-C.** 2000. Swarming of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is dependent on cell-to-cell signaling and requires flagella and pili. J Bacteriol **182:**5990 –5996. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.182.21.5990-5996.2000) [.1128/JB.182.21.5990-5996.2000.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.182.21.5990-5996.2000)
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>33. **Tashiro Y, Inagaki A, Ono K, Inaba T, Yawata Y, Uchiyama H, Nomura N.** 2014. Low concentrations of ethanol stimulate biofilm and pellicle

formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem **78:** 178 –181. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2014.877828.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2014.877828)

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>34. **Yawata Y, Nomura N, Uchiyama H.** 2008. Development of a novel biofilm continuous culture method for simultaneous assessment of architecture and gaseous metabolite production. Appl Environ Microbiol **74:** 5429 –5435. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00801-08.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00801-08)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>35. **Heydorn A, Nielsen AT, Hentzer M, Sternberg C, Givskov M, Ersboll BK, Molin S.** 2000. Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT. Microbiology **146:**2395–2407.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>36. **Koch AK, Käppeli O, Fiechter A, Reiser J.** 1991. Hydrocarbon assimilation and biosurfactant production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants. J Bacteriol **173:**4212–4219.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>37. **Ochsner UA, Fiechter A, Reiser J.** 1994. Isolation, characterization, and expression in *Escherichia coli* of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa rhlAB* genes encoding a rhamnosyltransferase involved in rhamnolipid biosurfactant synthesis. J Biol Chem **269:**19787–19795.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>38. **Rybtke MT, Borlee BR, Murakami K, Irie Y, Hentzer M, Nielsen TE, Givskov M, Parsek MR, Tolker-Nielsen T.** 2012. Fluorescence-based reporter for gauging cyclic di-GMP levels in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Appl Environ Microbiol **78:**5060 –5069. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00414-12) [.00414-12.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00414-12)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>39. **Toyofuku M, Zhou S, Sawada I, Takaya N, Uchiyama H, Nomura N.** 2014. Membrane vesicle formation is associated with pyocin production under denitrifying conditions in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. Environ Microbiol **16:**2927–2938. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12260.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12260)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>40. **Shintani M, Takahashi Y, Tokumaru H, Kadota K, Hara H, Miyakoshi M, Naito K, Yamane H, Nishida H, Nojiri H.** 2010. Response of the *Pseudomonas* host chromosomal transcriptome to carriage of the IncP-7 plasmid pCAR1. Environ Microbiol **12:**1413–1426. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02110.x) [.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02110.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02110.x)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>41. **Miyakoshi M, Nishida H, Shintani M, Yamane H, Nojiri H.** 2009. High-resolution mapping of plasmid transcriptomes in different host bacteria. BMC Genomics **10:**12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-12.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-12)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>42. **Takahashi Y, Shintani M, Takase N, Kazo Y, Kawamura F, Hara H, Nishida H, Okada K, Yamane H, Nojiri H.** 2015. Modulation of primary cell function of host *Pseudomonas* bacteria by the conjugative plasmid pCAR1. Environ Microbiol **17:**134 –155. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12515) [-2920.12515.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12515)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>43. **Tashiro Y, Sakai R, Toyofuku M, Sawada I, Nakajima-Kambe T, Uchiyama H, Nomura N.** 2009. Outer membrane machinery and alginate synthesis regulators control membrane vesicle production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol **191:**7509 –7519. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00722-09) [.00722-09.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00722-09)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>44. **Savli H, Karadenizli A, Kolayli F, Gundes S, Ozbek U, Vahaboglu H.** 2003. Expression stability of six housekeeping genes: a proposal for resistance gene quantification studies of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by real-time quantitative RT-PCR. J Med Microbiol **52:**403–408. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.05132-0) [.1099/jmm.0.05132-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.05132-0)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>45. **Boles BR, Thoendel M, Singh PK.** 2005. Rhamnolipids mediate detachment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from biofilms. Mol Microbiol **57:**1210 – 1223. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04743.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04743.x)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>46. **Barraud N, Schleheck D, Klebensberger J, Webb JS, Hassett DJ, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S.** 2009. Nitric oxide signaling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms mediates phosphodiesterase activity, decreased cyclic di-GMP levels, and enhanced dispersal. J Bacteriol **191:**7333–7342. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00975-09) [.org/10.1128/JB.00975-09.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00975-09)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>47. **Déziel E, Lépine F, Milot S, Villemur R.** 2003. *rhlA* is required for the production of a novel biosurfactant promoting swarming motility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: 3-(3-hydroxyalkanoyloxy)alkanoic acids (HAAs), the precursors of rhamnolipids. Microbiology **149:**2005–2013. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26154-0) [.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26154-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26154-0)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>48. **Caiazza NC, Merritt JH, Brothers KM, O'Toole GA.** 2007. Inverse regulation of biofilm formation and swarming motility by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. J Bacteriol **189:**3603–3612. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01685-06) [.01685-06.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01685-06)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>49. **Cao L, Srikumar R, Poole K.** 2004. MexAB-OprM hyperexpression in NalC-type multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: identification and characterization of the *nalC* gene encoding a repressor of PA3720- PA3719. Mol Microbiol **53:**1423–1436. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04210.x) [-2958.2004.04210.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04210.x)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>50. **Schweizer H.** 2003. Efflux as a mechanism of resistance to antimicrobials in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and related bacteria: unanswered questions. Genet Mol Res **2:**48 –62.
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>51. **Ha D-G, Merritt JH, Hampton TH, Hodgkinson JT, Janecek M, Spring DR, Welch M, O'Toole GA.** 2011. 2-Heptyl-4-quinolone, a precursor of the *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal molecule, modulates swarming motility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol **193:**6770 –6780. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.05929-11) [.org/10.1128/JB.05929-11.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.05929-11)
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>52. **Kuchma SL, Brothers KM, Merritt JH, Liberati NT, Ausubel FM, O'Toole GA.** 2007. BifA, a cyclic-di-GMP phosphodiesterase, inversely regulates biofilm formation and swarming motility by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. J Bacteriol **189:**8165–8178. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00586-07) [.00586-07.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00586-07)
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>53. **Hengge R.** 2009. Principles of c-di-GMP signalling in bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol **7:**263–273. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2109.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2109)