

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Clin Transplant*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 07.

Published in final edited form as:

Clin Transplant. 2012; 26(4): E438-E446. doi:10.1111/j.1399-0012.2012.01676.x.

Inadequacy of Cardiovascular Risk Factor Management in Chronic Kidney Transplantation -- Evidence from the FAVORIT Study

Myra A. Carpenter^{a,*}, Matthew R. Weir^b, Deborah B. Adey^c, Andrew A. House^d, Andrew G. Bostom^e, and John W. Kusek^f

^aDepartment of Biostatistics, the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC

^bDivision of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

^cDivision of Transplant Nephrology, University of California, Davis, CA

^dDivision of Nephrology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada

^eDivision of Nephrology, Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI

^fDivision of Kidney, Urologic, and Hematologic Diseases, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Abstract

Background—Kidney transplant recipients (KTRs) have increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD). Our objective is to describe the prevalence of CVD risk factors applying standard criteria and use of CVD risk factor lowering medications in contemporary KTRs.

Methods—The Folic Acid for Vascular Outcome Reduction in Transplantation study enrolled and collected medication data on 4,107 KTRs with elevated homocysteine and stable graft function an average of 5 years post-transplant.

Results—CVD risk factors were common (hypertension or use of blood pressure lowering medication in 92%, borderline or elevated LDL or use of lipid-lowering agent in 66%, history of diabetes mellitus in 41%, and obesity in 38%); prevalent CVD was reported in 20% of study participants. National Kidney Foundation blood pressure (BP) guidelines (BP < 130/80 mm Hg) were not met by 69% of participants. Uncontrolled hypertension (BP of 140/90 mm Hg or higher) was present in 44% of those taking anti-hypertension medication; 18% of participants had borderline or elevated LDL, of which 60% were untreated, and 31% of the participants with prevalent CVD were not using an anti-platelet agent.

Conclusion—There is opportunity to improve treatment and control of traditional CVD risk factors in kidney transplant recipients.

Address for Correspondence: Myra A. Carpenter, PhD; Collaborative Studies Coordinating Center; Department of Biostatistics; 137 E. Franklin Street, Suite 203; CB # 8030; University of North Carolina; Chapel Hill, NC 27599-08030, phone: (919) 962-3245, fax: (919) 962-3265, myra_carpenter@unc.edu.

Keywords

Kidney transplantation; cardiovascular disease; cardiovascular risk factors; medications; medical management

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is much more common among kidney transplant recipients than the general population (1–3). This burden is not entirely explained by traditional risk factors such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes (4). Other factors may be involved, particularly those which may influence systemic inflammation including graft rejection, infection, and use of immunosuppressive medications (1–3).

Kidney transplantation reduces CVD risks in patients with end-stage renal disease (5). Compared to patients on a transplant wait list, kidney transplant recipients experience a marked reduction in the CVD death rate, especially from adolescence onward (6). Despite this benefit, kidney transplant recipients, particularly those age 25 to 55 years, have substantially more CVD mortality than their age, gender, and race matched non-dialysis counterparts. Some of the increased risk for CVD in the kidney transplant population could be related to lower levels of kidney function, as compared to the general population (7–9). In the Folic Acid for Vascular Outcome Reduction in Transplantation (FAVORIT) study, we demonstrated increased risk in both CVD and all-cause mortality, with the association between estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and CVD being comparable in magnitude to that of systolic blood pressure and age (10). Consequently, efforts should be focused to control traditional cardiovascular risk factors in kidney transplant recipients including blood pressure, cholesterol, and glucose, and to counsel patients to increase their physical activity, stop smoking, and achieve or maintain acceptable body weight (11).

We describe the use of CVD risk-reducing medications in kidney transplant recipients overall, by country, and in subgroups defined by graft vintage and presence of risk factors including diabetes. As a multi-national study, FAVORIT provides a unique opportunity to consider the similarities and meaningful differences in participant characteristics including CVD risk factors and use of risk factor lowering medications. Participant grouping by graft vintage is useful in considering medications that include agents or classes with varied availability and use over the past two decades.

Materials and Methods

Details regarding the design of the clinical trial and general baseline data have been published elsewhere (12, 13). Briefly, the FAVORIT study was a randomized, double-blind controlled clinical trial to evaluate the impact of homocysteine-lowering vitamin therapy on cardiovascular disease in stable kidney transplant recipients. Study participants were enrolled from August 2002 through January 2007 at 27 clinical sites in the United States, two sites in Canada and one site in Brazil. We report data from the baseline visit. The study was approved by the applicable ethics board or institutional review board at each

participating site, and each study participant provided written informed consent prior to enrollment.

Male and female kidney transplant recipients who were 35 to 75 years of age with elevated total homocysteine levels (11 µmol/L for women; 12 µmol/L for men) and stable graft function were eligible. All participants were required to be at least 6 months post-transplant and have a Cockcroft-Gault (14) estimated creatinine clearance (Ccr) of 30 mL/min or greater (25 mL/min or greater for women recruited after July 2005 to reflect the generally lower Ccr distribution in females). Patients with recent CVD events or CVD-related procedures that would temporarily increase the risk of a cardiovascular event and those with chronic illness limiting life expectancy to less than two years were ineligible.

During the baseline visit, data collection included information on health history and medication use, a brief physical examination, and a lipid profile. Kidney graft vintage was defined as the time (years) between the most recent transplant prior to enrollment and date of randomization. Graft vintage tertiles were rounded to nearest whole year to identify cut points for categorization. Cardiovascular disease history was assessed from medical record review and participant report. Height and weight were obtained with shoes removed, but while wearing street clothes. Two blood pressure measurements were taken approximately 5–10 minutes apart, with the average being used for analysis. Blood pressure (BP) was classified as *elevated* if it was 130/80 mm Hg or greater. (15) Participants were considered to have *prevalent* hypertension if regular use of a prescription blood pressure lowering medication was reported or if systolic BP was 140 mm Hg or greater, or diastolic BP was 90 mm Hg or greater. Participants who were taking an anti-hypertensive medication and had a baseline systolic BP of 140 mm Hg or greater or a diastolic BP of 90 mm Hg or greater were considered to have *uncontrolled* hypertension. Obesity was defined by a body mass index of 30 or higher.

Prescription medications taken regularly during the past month were recorded by study staff during participant interview. Risk factor lowering medications categorized and recorded were as follows:

- **blood pressure lowering medications**: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB), beta blockers, dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers, loop diuretics and other diuretics
- **lipid lowering medications**: HMG COA reductase inhibitors, bile acid sequestrants, niacin, gemfibrozil, fenofibrate, bezafibrate, clofibrate, probucol and ezetimibe
- **anti-platelet medications**: aspirin, clopidogrel, ticlopidine and other anti-platelet agents
- **anti-diabetic agents:** insulin, sulfonylureas, rosigliatazone, pioglitazone, metformin, and other anti-diabetic agents

Serum creatinine, homocysteine and lipid panel were analyzed at a central laboratory (Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging, Boston, Massachusetts).

Homocysteine data in this report are from the screening visit which occurred up to 120 days prior to randomization. Homocysteine was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. Baseline creatinine was measured by a modified Jaffe method using an Olympus U400 analyzer that met isotope-dilution mass spectrometry standards. The Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation (16, 17) was used to calculate eGFR that, in turn, was used to classify participants by stage of kidney disease (18). Cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglyceride levels were assessed by direct measurement using an Olympus U400 analyzer. Low density lipoprotein (LDL) was measured directly if triglyceride was 400 mg/dL or higher; otherwise it was calculated. (19) LDL levels of 130 mg/dL (3.4 mmol/L) were considered elevated. (20, 21)

All statistical analyses were computed using SAS, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). P-values for country and disease history comparisons of categorical variable were based on chi-square test for homogeneity unless any cell counts were less than 10 in which case Fisher's Exact Test was used. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) F test was used for evaluating continuous variables, with transformations to normalize variables with severely skewed distributions. Age did not require transformation; homocysteine was transformed using the negative reciprocal; other continuous variables were log transformed.

Results

Study Subjects

A total of 7,273 patients were screened, of which 4,753 met eligibility criteria for Ccr and elevated homocysteine level. Of these, 4,110 participants met additional eligibility criteria and were enrolled. Three subjects with missing medication data were excluded. Baseline participant characteristics are summarized in Table 1. We report on 1,527 women and 2,580 men with a mean age of 52 years (SD=9.4) who were enrolled on average 5 years after kidney transplantation. Statistically significant differences in participant characteristics by country are evident, though the magnitude may not be clinically relevant. Baseline characteristics were also examined by categorized graft vintage (data not shown). Subjects did not differ in age, sex, smoking history, prevalence of hypertension, history of diabetes mellitus, CVD history, and BMI across graft vintage categories. However, there were fewer participants of non-white race among those transplanted more than 6 years (19%) than among those with more recent transplants (29%) and those with graft vintage of 2-6 years (26%, p<0.001). Older graft vintage was also associated with lower mean eGFR (46 ml/min per 1.73 m²; p<0.001) and lower mean triglyceride levels (188 mg/dL; p<0.002) than the intermediate (50 ml/min per 1.73 m²; 197 mg/dL) and younger (51 ml/min per 1.73 m²; 214 mg/dL) graft vintage categories.

CVD, Risk Factors and Medication Use

At study entry, 820 participants (20%) reported a history of CVD, including 566 participants (14%) with previous myocardial infarction or coronary heart disease. Ninety-two percent of those enrolled had prevalent hypertension; 69% had blood pressure of 130/80 mm Hg or higher. Uncontrolled hypertension, history of diabetes mellitus, and obesity were common

risk factors present in 44%, 41% and 38%, respectively in this cohort; elevated LDL (130 mg/dL or higher) was present in 18% of participants.

Table 2 summarizes medication use at baseline. Overall, 89% of participants were taking a blood pressure (BP) - lowering medication, 55% a lipid-lowering agent, and 29% an antidiabetic medication. Of 1,662 participants with a history of diabetes, 72% were prescribed an anti-diabetic agent. Specific medication use frequently differed by graft vintage. The association between graft vintage and use of CVD risk factor lowering medications was inconsistent and unrelated to overall use of BP-lowering medications, whereas lipidlowering agent use was less prevalent and anti-platelet use, primarily aspirin use, was more prevalent among those with grafts in place less than 2 years than in participants with older vintage grafts. For example, among participants with a graft vintage of two or more years, at least 58% took a lipid lowering medication in comparison to 48% of those with grafts in place less than two years. Conversely, anti-platelet use was more prevalent among those more recently transplanted (47%) than among participants with older vintage grafts (40%-42%). Anti-diabetic agent use was most prevalent among those receiving grafts within the past 2 years (33%) versus those with grafts more than 6 years (26%), perhaps reflecting survivor bias. Among immunosuppression medications, while prednisone use was consistently high across all graft vintage categories (90-92%), cyclosporine A use was more prevalent in participants transplanted at least 6 years (68%) whereas use of mycophenolate mofetil (77%) and tacrolimus (59%) were more frequent among those with more recent transplants.

Medical management of risk factors—Medical management of CVD risk factors is summarized in Table 3. Of 2,817 participants with elevated blood pressure (130/80 mm Hg or higher), 90% were using at least one blood pressure lowering medication, and 61% were taking two or more medications. Of those using one or more anti-hypertensive medications, 70% had blood pressure of 130/80 mm Hg or higher and 44% had blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg or greater. Elevated low density lipoprotein (LDL 160 mg/dL) was identified in 209 participants, of which only 85 (41%) reported regular use of a lipid-lowering agent. Of the 2,164 participants who were taking a lipid-lowering agent and had baseline cholesterol data, 87% had a baseline LDL less than 130 mg/dL and only 4% had LDL levels of 160 mg/dL or greater. Only 159 participants reported regular use of more than one lipid lowering medication; of these, 143 (90%) had baseline LDL less than 130 mg/dL.

CVD risk factor lowering medication use was more prevalent among participants with a prior history of CVD events or procedures (Table 4). This association was most evident for use of anti-platelet agents with 69% of subjects with a history of CVD using an anti-platelet agent in comparison to only 36% of those without a CVD history. Also among those with a CVD history, 66% were taking a lipid-lowering medication and 93% were using an anti-hypertensive medication versus 53% and 87%, respectively, of those participants without a history of CV events or procedures. Use of CVD risk factor lowering medications was greater among participants with a history of diabetes in comparison to those without diabetes. Participants with a history of both diabetes and CVD (n=496) were most likely to be using blood pressure lowering medication, lipid-lowering medication, or anti-platelet

agents, whereas those with neither risk factor (n=2,110) were least likely to use these medications.

Discussion

The FAVORIT study reveals that traditional CVD risk factors continue to be overrepresented in the kidney transplant population. Although the burden of CVD (20%), diabetes mellitus (41%), borderline or elevated LDL or use of lipid-lowering medication (66%), and obesity (38%) is substantial approximately 9 out of 10 participants had hypertension defined as blood pressure >140/80 mmHg. Of greatest concern is the level of uncontrolled elevated blood pressure that remains after medical management is attempted: 70% of participants taking at least one blood pressure lowering medication had a blood pressure level that failed to meet the National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKOQI) (15) recommended target of less than 130/80 mm Hg.

The use of cardiovascular risk reducing medications in renal transplant recipients remains a challenging problem. This is in large part due to the numerous medications prescribed, concerns about patient adherence, medication tolerability and drug: drug interactions that are integral to management of the renal transplant recipient. Patients are often taking two or three immunosuppression medications, two or three anti-infective agents, a proton pump inhibitor or an H₂ blocker, as well as other medications for medical co-morbidity management. Common comorbidities include hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. Thus, patients may often be taking 6-10 medications directly related to transplantation before a clinician considers the addition of cardiovascular risk-reducing therapies. Moreover, drugs such as HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) may interact with cyclosporine (22), and cause myopathy or liver enzyme elevations, and bile acid sequestrants may interfere with drug absorption (23). These concerns may limit implementation of these drugs. Additionally, drugs such as renin angiotensin system blockers, which have proven beneficial in the general population for treating heart (24–26) and kidney disease progression (27, 28), may be associated with acute changes in serum creatinine or potassium, and cause a mild reduction in serum hemoglobin (29). As a consequence, clinicians may be willing to tolerate higher levels of blood pressure, and greater degree of lipid abnormalities given concerns about drug: drug interactions, patient tolerability and the concern that prescribing more medications may lead to medication nonadherence.

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death with a functioning graft in the renal transplant population (30). Transplant recipients often present for transplantation with increased CV risk (31), and the majority of patients have hypertension and/or diabetes. Despite the improved life expectancy post-transplantation (30) the underlying CV risks can be compounded by post-transplant risk factors; inadequately controlled hypertension, suboptimal diabetes control, hyperlipidemia, chronic kidney disease and ongoing tobacco use (32). In addition, hyperhomocysteinemia has been a postulated risk factor for atherosclerosis and was the impetus for the implementation of this study (33, 34). Chronic kidney disease in itself has been postulated to contribute to cardiovascular risk (4, 7, 8).

Cardiovascular disease influences the candidacy for transplant. This may explain part of the variation by country in history of CVD that we report. Also, the observed differences by country in the history of diabetes may reflect some variation in definitions, comorbidities, and associated eligibility for transplant between centers.

Our study captures a different population than the recent study (11) that retrospectively evaluated cardiovascular medication use in 14,236 transplant patients from the PORT (Patient Outcomes in Renal Transplantation) study. Pilmore and colleagues report a study that included a lower proportion of patients with cardiovascular disease (4.7% previous MI, 6.8% a revascularization procedure, and 3.7% history of stroke) and smaller percentage of diabetes at the time of transplant (27.9%) than was observed in our chronic stable renal transplant cohort. Therefore, participants in our prospective FAVORIT study were inherently at higher risk for cardiovascular events than the patients in the retrospective PORT study.

Anti-platelet therapy is a mainstay of cardiovascular disease prevention and is standard of care in patients with known CVD. The overall use of acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) was suboptimal for a population with high CVD risk, but varied by graft vintage. The greater use of ASA among recent recipients (45%) in comparison to those greater than six years from transplant (38%) may reflect either greater confidence in using ASA early post transplantation, transplanting a higher risk population, or possibly ASA being stopped for intolerance or other reasons and not being restarted in patients who were further out from transplant. Another consideration is that the ASA use may be an extension of its use to maintain graft patency, unrelated to use as a cardiovascular risk lowering agent. Our study demonstrates that those patients at greatest risk for a cardiovascular event, those with diabetes with known CVD, are most likely to be using antiplatelet therapy (73%) in contrast to the lowest risk patients, the non-diabetic, with no history of CVD (29%).

Hypertension is a leading cause of chronic kidney disease and a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Blood pressure control in kidney transplant recipients is particularly challenging. The KDOQI (15) recommendations included that most transplant recipients be treated with a regimen drawn from calcium channel blockers, diuretics, ACEi, ARBs, and beta blockers to reach a target blood pressure less than 130/80 mm Hg. Patients less than two years from transplant were more likely to be on a beta-blocker and less likely to be on a diuretic than participants greater than six years out from transplant. The more frequent use of beta blockers in the population of subjects within two years of transplant may reflect a practice of using perioperative beta blockers for cardioprotection. The use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers may have been limited in the past out of concern for elevating the serum creatinine and causing hyperkalemia. This is most problematic in the first 6–12 weeks post-transplant. Use of ACEi and ARBs are felt to offer both renal and cardio-protective benefits once renal function has stabilized. Our cohort includes only patients with stable renal function, greater than six months from transplant and therefore reflects the greater comfort level in using these agents. Nevertheless, the use of this class of agent was relatively low in our cohort despite the purported benefit of ACEi and ARB in stabilizing renal function.

The focus of this paper is to evaluate the use of cardiovascular disease risk-lowering medications in stable renal transplant recipients. Of greatest interest is the frequency of use of these medications in patients with a known history of cardiovascular disease and those at greatest risk for cardiovascular events, specifically diabetic patients. Beta-blocker use for those with known cardiovascular disease imparts improved survival. While our study identified a sizable proportion of participants with a history of CVD who were not taking a beta blocker, we did not ascertain the reasons why such medications were not prescribed or being used by participants.

The strength of this study is in the design, size, and diversity. It aimed to capture a specific population of renal transplant recipients with elevated homocysteine and to evaluate cardiovascular events in a rigorous and standardized fashion. The FAVORIT trial is the largest known trial designed specifically to evaluate cardiovascular outcomes in renal transplant recipients. The mixture of clinical sites and large number of participants provides an excellent cohort for examining contemporary characteristics of renal transplant recipients and associations of the characteristics with cardiovascular and renal outcomes.

The main issue is what is the impediment to maximizing appropriate care of the renal transplant patient? There are likely several contributing factors, not the least of which is who is taking primary responsibility of the patient's medical care? Primary care physicians are often reluctant to adjust medication out of concern for disturbing the delicate balance of medications inherent to a transplant recipient. Transplant physicians are often focused on the primary issues related to managing renal function. There is also concern about polypharmacy with the number of medications a patient must take and the associated drug interactions. Improvement in care of renal transplant patients should not be overlooked as health care systems and guidelines are updated. For example, electronic medical record systems might be customized to prompt transplant physicians to consider CV risk reduction strategies at specific milestones post-transplant. As concerns about the early risks of infection and rejection are lessened, increasing attention can focus on prevention of CVD and other longer term complications. A collaborative management approach is essential to optimize medical management of the kidney transplant recipient.

Acknowledgments

We extend our gratitude to the participants in the study and to the doctors, nurses, and administrative staff in hospitals and clinical centers in Brazil, Canada, and the United States who assisted with trial conduct. FAVORIT INVESTIGATORS: Deborah Adey, MD (University of California, San Francisco); Edward Alfrey, MD (Southern Illinois University); Paul Bolin, Jr., MD (East Carolina University); Andrew Bostom, MD (Rhode Island Hospital); Daniel C. Brennan, MD, FACP (Washington University-St. Louis); Barbara Bresnahan, MD (Medical College of Wisconsin); Edward Cole, MD (University of Toronto); David Conti, MD (Albany Medical Center); Fernando Cosio, MD (Mayo Clinic); Gabriel Danovitch, MD (University of California-Los Angeles); Alfredo Fabrega, MD (Banner Good Samaritan Transplant Services); Lorenzo Gallon, MD (Northwestern University); Andrew House, MD (London Health Sciences Center); Lawrence Hunsicker, MD (University of Iowa); Bertram Kasiske, MD (Hennepin County Medical Center); Clifton Kew, MD (University of Alabama-Birmingham); Matthew Koch, MD (private practice); M.S. Anil Kumar, MD (Reata Pharmaceuticals); Mariana Markell, MD (SUNY Health Science Center); Arthur Matas, MD (University of Minnesota); Douglas Norman, MD (Oregon Health Sciences University); Akinlolu Ojo, MD (University of Michigan); Alvaro Pacheco-Silva, MD, PhD (Universidade Federal de Sao Paulo); Alice Peng, MD (Cedars-Sinai Health System); Todd Pesavento, MD (Ohio State University); John Pirsch, MD (University of Wisconsin-Madison); Ajay Singh, MD (Brigham and Women's Hospital); Stephen Smith, MD (Duke University); John Vella, MD (Maine Medical Center); Matthew Weir, MD (University of Maryland); Muhammad Yaqub, MD (Indiana University).

References

- Kasiske BL. Cardiovascular disease after renal transplantation. Semin Nephrol. 2000; 20:176. [PubMed: 10746859]
- Kasiske BL, Chakkera HA, Roel J. Explained and unexplained ischemic heart disease risk after renal transplantation. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2000; 11:1735. [PubMed: 10966499]
- 3. Ojo AO. Cardiovascular complications after renal transplantation and their prevention. Transplantation. 2006; 82:603. [PubMed: 16969281]
- 4. Sarnak MJ, Levey AS, Schoolwerth AC, Coresh J, Culleton B, Hamm LL, et al. Kidney disease as a risk factor for development of cardiovascular disease: a statement from the American Heart Association Councils on Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, High Blood Pressure Research, Clinical Cardiology, and Epidemiology and Prevention. Circulation. 2003; 108:2154. [PubMed: 14581387]
- Meier-Kriesche HU, Schold JD, Srinivas TR, Reed A, Kaplan B. Kidney transplantation halts cardiovascular disease progression in patients with end-stage renal disease. Am J Transplant. 2004; 4:1662. [PubMed: 15367222]
- Foley RN, Collins AJ. End-stage renal disease in the United States: an update from the United States Renal Data System. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2007; 18:2644. [PubMed: 17656472]
- Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu CY. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. N Engl J Med. 2004; 351:1296. [PubMed: 15385656]
- Meier-Kriesche HU, Baliga R, Kaplan B. Decreased renal function is a strong risk factor for cardiovascular death after renal transplantation. Transplantation. 2003; 75:1291. [PubMed: 12717218]
- Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, Woodward M, Levey AS, de Jong PE, et al. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. Lancet. 2010; 375:2073. [PubMed: 20483451]
- Weiner, DE.; Carpenter, MA.; Ivanova, A.; Hunsicker, L.; Kusek, JW.; Levey, AS., et al. Baseline kidney function and risk of CVD and all cause mortality in stable kidney transplant recipients. Joint Conference - Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism and Cardiovascular Disease Epidemiology and Prevention; Atlanta, GA. 2011.
- Pilmore HL, Skeans MA, Snyder JJ, Israni AK, Kasiske BL. Cardiovascular disease medications after renal transplantation: results from the patient outcomes in renal transplantation study. Transplantation. 2011; 91:542. [PubMed: 21301401]
- Bostom AG, Carpenter MA, Hunsicker L, Jacques PF, Kusek JW, Levey AS, et al. Baseline characteristics of participants in the Folic Acid for Vascular Outcome Reduction in Transplantation (FAVORIT) Trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009; 53:121. [PubMed: 19022547]
- Bostom AG, Carpenter MA, Kusek JW, Hunsicker LG, Pfeffer MA, Levey AS, et al. Rationale and design of the Folic Acid for Vascular Outcome Reduction In Transplantation (FAVORIT) trial. Am Heart J. 2006; 152:448.e1. [PubMed: 16923411]
- Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron. 1976; 16:31. [PubMed: 1244564]
- K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines on hypertension and antihypertensive agents in chronic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004; 43:S1. [PubMed: 15114537]
- 16. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009; 150:604. [PubMed: 19414839]
- Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Greene T, Zhang YL, Beck GJ, Froissart M, et al. Comparative performance of the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) and the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study equations for estimating GFR levels above 60 mL/min/1.73 m2. Am J Kidney Dis. 2010; 56:486. [PubMed: 20557989]
- K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002; 39:S1. [PubMed: 11904577]

Page 9

- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem. 1972; 18:499. [PubMed: 4337382]
- 20. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA. 2001; 285:2486. [PubMed: 11368702]
- 21. Eknoyan G, Levin A, Levin N. K/DOQ1 clinical practice guidelines for managing dyslipidemias in chronic kidney disease. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 2003; 41:S6.
- 22. Lemahieu WPD, Hermann M, Asberg A, Verbeke K, Holdaas H, Vanrenterghem Y, et al. Combined Therapy with Atorvastatin and Calcineurin Inhibitors: No Interactions with Tacrolimus. American Journal of Transplantation. 2005; 5:2236. [PubMed: 16095503]
- Jacobson TA, Armani A, McKenney JM, Guyton JR. Safety Considerations with Gastrointestinally Active Lipid-Lowering Drugs. American Journa of Cardiology. 2007; 99:47C.
- 24. Cowan BR, Young AA, Anderson C, Doughty RN, Krittayaphong R, Lonn E, et al. Left Ventricular Mass and Volume With Telmisartan, Ramipril, or Combination in Patients With Previous Atherosclerotic Events or With Diabetes Mellitus (from the ONgoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination With Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial [ONTARGET]). The American Journal of Cardiology. 2009; 104:1484. [PubMed: 19932779]
- 25. Konstam MA, Neaton JD, Dickstein K, Drexler H, Komajda M, Martinez FA, et al. Effects of high-dose versus low-dose losartan on clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure (HEAAL study): a randomised, double-blind trial. The Lancet. 2009; 374:1840.
- 26. Pitt B, Segal R, Martinez FA, Meurers G, Cowley AJ, Thomas I, et al. Randomised trial of losartan versus captopril in patients over 65 with heart failure (Evaluation of Losartan in the Elderly Study, ELITE). The Lancet. 1997; 349:747.
- Haller H, Ito S, Izzo JL, Januszewicz A, Katayama S, Menne J, et al. Olmesartan for the Delay or Prevention of Microalbuminuria in Type 2 Diabetes. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011; 364:907. [PubMed: 21388309]
- 28. Tobe SW, Clase CM, Gao P, McQueen M, Grosshennig A, Wang X, et al. Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes With Telmisartan, Ramipril, or Both in People at High Renal Risk: Results From the ONTARGET and TRANSCEND Studies. Circulation. 2011; 123:1098. [PubMed: 21357827]
- Gossmann J, Thürmann P, Bachmann T, Weller S, Kachel HG, Schoeppe W, et al. Mechanism of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor-related anemia in renal transplant recipients. Kidney International. 1996; 50:973. [PubMed: 8872973]
- 30. Wolfe RA, Ashby VB, Milford EL, Ojo AO, Ettenger RE, Agodoa LY, et al. Comparison of mortality in all patients on dialysis, patients on dialysis awaiting transplantation, and recipients of a first cadaveric transplant. N Engl J Med. 1999; 341:1725. [PubMed: 10580071]
- Gowdak LHW, de Paula FJ, César LAM, Martinez Filho EE, Ianhez LE, Krieger EM, et al. Screening for significant coronary artery disease in high-risk renal transplant candidates. Coronary Artery Disease. 2007; 18:553. [PubMed: 17925609]
- 32. Sung RS, Althoen M, Howell TA, Ojo AO, Merion RM. Excess risk of renal allograft loss associated with cigarette smoking. Transplantation. 2001; 71:1752. [PubMed: 11455254]
- Ueland PM, Refsum H, Beresford SAA, Vollset SE. The controversy over homocysteine and cardiovascular risk. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2000; 72:324. [PubMed: 10919921]
- Wrone EM, Zehnder JL, Hornberger JM, McCann LM, Coplon NS, Fortmann SP. An MTHFR variant, homocysteine, and cardiovascular comorbidity in renal disease. Kidney International. 2001; 60:1106. [PubMed: 11532106]

Table 1

Baseline Characteristics by Country

Characteristic	Overall n=4107	United States n=2997	Brazil n= 612	Canada n= 498	p-value
Age yr	52 ± 9.4	52 ± 9.4	49 ± 8.5	53 ± 10.2	<0.001
Female sex	1527 (37.2 %)	1144 (38.2 %)	214 (35.0 %)	169 (33.9 %)	0.091
Non-white race	998 (24.5 %)	749 (25.2 %)	181 (29.6 %)	68 (13.7 %)	<0.001
Graft Vintage yr	5 ± 5.0	5 ± 4.9	5 ± 3.9	7 ± 6.5	<0.001
Smoking history					
Never smoker	2001 (49.3 %)	1496 (50.1 %)	282 (46.1 %)	223 (48.4 %)	su
Current smoker	450 (11.1 %)	334 (11.2 %)	64 (10.5 %)	52 (11.3 %)	
Former smoker	1611 (39.7 %)	1159 (38.8 %)	266 (43.5 %)	186 (40.3 %)	
Blood Pressure < 130/80 mm Hg	1255 (30.8 %)	1028 (34.5 %)	74 (12.1 %)	153 (32.1 %)	<0.001
Prevalent hypertension	3778 (92.0 %)	2765 (92.3 %)	569 (93.0 %)	444 (89.3 %)	0.053
History of diabetes	1662 (40.5 %)	1332 (44.5 %)	178 (29.1 %)	152 (30.6 %)	<0.001
Medical History					
Previous MI/CHD	566 (13.8 %)	470 (15.7 %)	46 (7.5 %)	50(10.2%)	<0.001
Previous stroke/CBVD	271 (6.6 %)	215 (7.2 %)	44 (7.2 %)	12 (2.5 %)	<0.001
Previous AAA repair/LEAD	163 (4.0 %)	146 (4.9 %)	8 (1.3 %)	9 (1.8 %)	us
Previous CVD (any of above)	820 (20.0 %)	664 (22.2 %)	91 (14.9 %)	65 (13.3 %)	<0.001
Previous renal arterial revascularization	67 (1.6 %)	28 (0.9 %)	32 (5.2 %)	7 (1.4 %)	us
Body Mass Index (kg/m²)	29 ± 6.2	30 ± 6.5	27 ± 4.5	28 ± 5.9	<0.001
Lipid Profile					
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.8 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 1.3	4.6 ± 1.0	<0.001
HDL (mmol/L)	1.2 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.4	0.002
LDL (mmol/L)	2.6 ± 0.9	2.6 ± 0.9	2.9 ± 1.0	2.4 ± 0.7	<0.001
Triglycerides (mmo/L)	2.2 ± 2.1	2.3 ± 2.3	2.1 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 1.5	us
Screening homocysteine (mmol/L)					
Female	16.2 ± 5.7	16.2 ± 5.7	16.1 ± 6.8	16.5 ± 4.2	0.086
Male	17.6 ± 6.5	17.5 ± 6.6	17.6 ± 7.2	17.8 ± 5.1	us
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	49 ± 17.7	49 ± 17.7	52 ± 17.5	46 ± 17.7	<0.001
CKD Stage					

Author Manuscript

Characteristic	Overall n=4107	United States n=2997	Brazil n= 612	Canada n= 498	p-value
Stage 1T (eGFR 90+ ml/min/1.73 m^2)	114 (2.8 %)	80 (2.7 %)	22 (3.6 %)	12 (2.5 %)	<0.001
Stage 2T (eGFR 60–89 ml/min/1.73 m^2)	823 (20.5 %)	591 (20.2 %)	150 (24.5 %)	82 (17.1 %)	
Stage 3T (eGFR 30–59 ml/min/1.73 m^2)	2563 (63.8 %)	1877 (64.2 %)	389 (63.6 %)	297 (61.9 %)	
Stage 4T (eGFR 15–29 ml/min/1.73 m^2)	505 (12.6 %)	372 (12.7 %)	48 (7.8 %)	85 (17.7 %)	
Stage 5T (eGFR <29 ml/min/1.73 m^2)	10 (0.2 %)	3 (0.1 %)	3 (0.5 %)	4 (0.8 %)	

Note: Values expressed as mean ± standard deviation or number (percent) of non-missing data.

cardiovascular disease; HDL-high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-calculated or direct low density lipoprotein cholesterol; eGFR-estimated glomerular filtration rate; CKD-chronic kidney disease. Abbreviations: MI/CHD-myocardial infarction/coronary heart disease; CBVD-cerebrovascular disease; AAA-abdominal aortic aneurysm repair; LEAD-lower extremity arterial disease; CVD-

Table 2

Medication Use by Graft Vintage

				G	raft Vir	ntage (y	(
	Ovei	'all	0.5 -	\ddot{c}	2_	و	^	<u> </u>	
Medication	Z	%	Z	*%	Z	*%	Z	*%	p-value ^{**}
Immunosuppressive	4085	100	1194	100	1486	100	1405	100	su
Cyclosporine A	2081	51	405	34	718	48	958	68	<0.001
Tacrolimus	1551	38	703	59	632	43	216	15	<0.001
Sirolimus	342	×	151	13	136	6	55	4	<0.001
Mycophenolate Mofetil	2672	65	919	LL	1119	75	634	45	<0.001
Azathioprine	733	18	102	6	162	11	469	33	<0.001
Prednisone	3720	91	1080	90	1346	91	1294	92	ns
Blood Pressure Lowering	3620	89	1041	87	1326	89	1253	89	ns
ACE Inhibitors	1336	33	291	24	521	35	524	37	<0.001
Angiotensin Receptor Blockers	545	13	114	10	196	13	235	17	<0.001
Beta Blockers	2306	56	739	62	851	57	716	51	<0.001
DHP-CCB	1430	35	419	35	502	34	509	36	ns
NDHP-CCB	242	9	09	5	80	5	102	٢	0.014
Loop Diuretics	1222	30	351	29	418	28	453	32	0.095
Other Diuretics	401	10	85	٢	161	11	155	11	0.001
Lipid Lowering	2264	55	577	48	857	58	830	59	<0.001
HMG COA Reductase Inhibitors	2146	53	539	45	816	55	161	56	<0.001
Other Lipid Lowering	290	٢	71	9	110	٢	109	8	0.078
Anti-Platelet Agents	1744	43	564	47	623	42	557	40	<0.001
Aspirin	1685	41	541	45	607	41	537	38	<0.001
Clopidogrel	66	7	36	ю	28	7	35	7	ns
Other Anti-platelet	34	1	٢	1	6	-	18	1	0.045
Anti-Coagulants	197	S	58	S	99	4	73	S	ns
Warfarin	193	S	57	5	65	4	11	S	su
Heparin	9	0	1	0	1	0	4	0	su
Anti-Diabetic Agents	1196	29	391	33	443	30	362	26	<0.001

	Ove	rall	0.5 -	5	5	9	Ā	و	
Medication	Z	%	Z	*%	Z	*%	Z	*%	p-value
Insulin	904	22	310	26	326	22	268	19	<0.00
Sulfonylureas	312	×	87	٢	117	8	108	8	ns
Rosigliatazone	74	7	22	2	36	7	16	-	us
Pioglitazone	62	2	18	2	27	7	17	1	su
Metformin	68	2	21	2	24	2	23	7	ns
Other Anti-Diabetic Agents	30	-	ŝ	0	17	-	×	-	ns

Abbreviations: ACE Inhibitors: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; DHP-CCB: Dihydropyridine Calcium Channel Blockers; NDHP-CCB: Non-Dihydropyridine Calcium Channel Blockers.

Note: Data for 21 participants with missing graft vintage are omitted from this table.

* Denominator is number of participants in the vintage category. ** P-value is from the Cochran-Armitage test for trend; ns: p >0.10.

-
₽
-
<u> </u>
t
_
~
0
\simeq
_
<
\leq
_ a
Mar
Man
Manu
Manu
Manus
Manusc
Vanuscr
Manuscri
Manuscrip
Manuscrip

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Risk Factor Lowering Medication Use by Risk Factor Status

	0 _w	srall	United	States	Brs	Izi	Can	ada	
Baseline Status	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	p-value
Systolic BP									
130 mmHg	2221 (91 %)	219 (9 %)	1572 (91 %)	153 (9 %)	410 (91 %)	42 (9 %)	239 (91 %)	24 (9 %)	us
< 130 mmHg	1383 (85 %)	249 (15 %)	1085 (86 %)	174 (14 %)	125 (78 %)	35 (22 %)	173 (81 %)	40 (19 %)	0.009
Diastolic BP									
80 mmHg	1622 (88 %)	212 (12 %)	984 (88 %)	129 (12 %)	442 (89 %)	56 (11 %)	196 (88 %)	27 (12 %)	us
< 80 mmHg	1981 (89 %)	255 (11 %)	1673 (89 %)	198 (11 %)	92 (81 %)	21 (19 %)	216 (86 %)	36 (14 %)	0.011
SBP 130 or DBI	90 mm Hg								
Yes	2526 (90 %)	291 (10%)	1764 (90 %)	192 (10 %)	478 (89 %)	60 (11 %)	284 (88 %)	39 (12 %)	su
No	1078 (86 %)	177 (14 %)	893 (87 %)	135 (13 %)	57 (77 %)	17 (23 %)	128 (84 %)	25 (16 %)	0.044
Low-density lipop	rotein								
4.1 mmol/L	85 (41 %)	124 (59 %)	56 (40 %)	84 (60 %)	25 (45 %)	31 (55 %)	4 (31 %)	6 (69 %)	ns
3.4 – 4.1 mmol/L	191 (39 %)	296 (61 %)	126 (39 %)	196 (61 %)	52 (41 %)	76 (59 %)	13 (35 %)	24 (65 %)	ns
< 3.4 mmol/L	1888 (59 %)	1318 (41 %)	1438 (61 %)	909 (39 %)	154 (36 %)	272 (64 %)	296 (68 %)	137 (32 %)	<0.001
Prevalent CVD									
Yes	569 (69 %)	251 (31 %)	458 (69 %)	206 (31 %)	62 (68 %)	29 (32 %)	49 (75 %)	16 (25 %)	ns
No	1175 (36 %)	2099 (64 %)	872 (37 %)	1457 (63 %)	197 (38 %)	324 (62 %)	106 (25 %)	318 (75 %)	<0.001

clofibrate, probucol and ezetimibe. For prevalent cardiovascular disease (CVD): aspirin, clopidogrel, ticlopidine and other anti-platelet agents.

Carpenter et al.

Table 4

CVD Risk Factor Lowering Medication Use by Prevalent CVD and History of Diabetes

				History	of CVD					Ž	Histor	y of CVD			
	0 <u>v6</u>	rall	DM H	story	No DM	History		Over	all	<u>DM Hi</u> s	tory	No DM Hi	story		
Medication	Z	*%	Z	*%	Z	*%	p-value	Z	*%	Z	*%	Z	*%	p-value ^{**}	p-value [†]
Blood Pressure Lowering	764	93	468	94	296	91	0.096	2862	87	1032	89	1830	87	0.111	<0.001
ACE Inhibitors	295	36	183	37	112	35	0.497	1043	32	389	33	654	31	0.154	0.025
Angiotensin Receptor Blockers	113	14	75	15	38	12	0.173	433	13	191	16	242	11	<0.001	0.667
Beta Blockers	569	69	341	69	228	70	0.623	1741	53	621	53	1120	53	0.882	<0.001
Other Blood Pressure Lowering	524	64	336	68	188	58	0.005	2000	61	762	65	1238	59	<0.001	0.138
Lipid Lowering	539	66	336	68	203	63	0.149	1723	53	668	57	1055	50	<0.001	<0.001
HMG COA Reductase Inhibitors	507	62	315	64	192	59	0.242	1636	50	634	54	1002	48	<0.001	<0.001
Other Lipid Lowering	87	11	57	11	30	6	0.310	202	9	85	7	117	9	0.045	<0.001
Anti-Platelet Agents	569	69	362	73	207	64	0.006	1175	36	553	48	622	29	<0.001	<0.001
* Denominator is number of participants	with no	n-missi	ne medie	cation da	ta in the e	orrespon	ding column.								
* *)			4	2								

P-value is from Pearson chi-square test if expected cell counts > 10; else from Fisher's Exact Test for comparing medication use by DM status within history of CVD stratum.

⁺P-value is from Pearson chi-square test if expected cell counts > 10; else from Fisher's Exact Test for comparing medication use among those with a history of CVD verses those without a history of CVD.