CrossMark

Evidence for tunneling in base-catalyzed isomerization of glyceraldehyde to dihydroxyacetone by hydride shift under formose conditions

Liang Cheng, Charles Doubleday¹, and Ronald Breslow¹

Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

Contributed by Ronald Breslow, February 25, 2015 (sent for review February 18, 2015)

Hydrogen atom transfer reactions between the aldose and ketose are key mechanistic features in formose chemistry by which formaldehyde is converted to higher sugars under credible prebiotic conditions. For one of these transformations, we have investigated whether hydrogen tunneling makes a significant contribution to the mechanism by examining the deuterium kinetic isotope effect associated with the hydrogen transfer during the isomerization of glyceraldehyde to the corresponding dihydroxyacetone. To do this, we developed a quantitative HPLC assay that allowed us to measure the apparent large intrinsic kinetic isotope effect. From the Arrhenius plot of the kinetic isotope effect, the ratio of the preexponential factors A_H/A_D was 0.28 and the difference in activation energies $E_{\rm a(D)}-E_{\rm a(H)}$ was 9.1 kJ·mol $^{-1}$. All these results imply a significant quantum-mechanical tunneling component in the isomerization mechanism. This is supported by multidimensional tunneling calculations using POLYRATE with small curvature tunneling.

formose reaction | quantum tunneling | hydride shift | prebiotic reactions

We have described a new mechanism for the formose re-
action (Fig. 1) (1), essentially the same as we had proposed earlier (2) except that the isomerizations of aldose to ketose and the reversal involve a hydride shift rather than an enolization (3–7). Our evidence came from the finding that 2-deuteroglyceraldehyde was converted to 1-deuterodihydroxyacetone under conditions of the formose reaction, with catalysis by Ca^{2+} at pH 12. In 2001 Nagorski and Richard had reported an extensive study of the interconversion of glyceraldehyde and dihydroxyacetone by either enolization or hydride shift and had seen that with Zn^{2+} the hydride shift mechanism was the exclusive process, by a mechanism closely related to our more recent one (8). We also showed that the isomerization of the ketose erythrulose to the aldose aldotetrose in D_2O did not lead to deuterium incorporation, as it would have in an enolization process, so it also uses the hydride shift mechanism (1). The first study of the formose reaction in D_2O was performed by Benner, who saw that no deuterium was incorporated in the intermediates; for some reason he did not invoke hydride shift mechanisms (6).

It should be mentioned that we saw that the presence of formaldehyde in the formose reaction in D_2O led to trapping of any enols formed; without formaldehyde, as in our previous study, there is subsequent deuterium incorporation in dihydroxyacetone, but not significant in glyceraldehyde. We saw that the glyceraldehyde was present almost entirely as its hydrate, a gem diol, but dihydroxyacetone was present mainly as the ketone. This reverses the normally accepted enolization relative rates.

Materials and Methods

In a hydride shift the distance traveled by the proton is small, comparable to the range of its wave character, so we have investigated the possibility that there is a quantum-mechanical tunneling process involved (9). We find that there is indeed tunneling accompanying thermal excitation on the way to the TS (Fig. 1). Useful criteria for tunneling have been proposed by Kim and Kreevoy (10, 11) and have been widely used by Klinman et al. in several different enzyme systems (12, 13). The important criteria are: (i) an activation energy difference $E_{a(D)}-E_{a(H)}>$ 5.0 kJ·mol^{−1}, and (ii) a ratio of Arrhenius pre-exponential factors $A_H/A_D < 0.7$. Borden and Singleton and coworkers have recently emphasized the importance of A factor ratios and isotopic differences in E_a as experimental criteria for tunneling (14–16), although the numerical criteria depend on the type of reaction. The Kreevoy criteria are based on a collinear model of H-transfer. For application to a 1,2-H shift, the $E_{a(D)}-E_{a(H)}$ criterion should be reduced to reflect the smaller loss of C-H/C-D zero point energy (ZPE) in the bent transition state (TS) (14). Nevertheless, the results reported here greatly exceed the Kreevoy criteria.

We studied the rates of conversion of 2-protioglyceraldehyde and 2-deuteroglyceraldehyde to dihydroxyacetone at three temperatures, 0 °C, 40 °C and 80 °C \pm 1 °C by converting the products and starting materials to their 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones (2,4-DNPH) and using a quantitative HPLC assay with acetone 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone (Ac-2,4-DNPH) as an internal standard. We carried the reactions to only 5–10%, where the data (Table 1) fit straight lines (Fig. 2). Each point for a rate constant represents the average of at least three independent experiments. The reaction was performed with 1.0 mM glyceraldehyde, 0.6 mM Ca(OH) $_2$ at pH 10. The recovered 2-deuteroglyceraldehyde after 40% conversion, as its 2,4-DNPH derivative, showed 95% of one deuterium, close to that in the starting material (98%), so almost no deuterium was lost during the isomerization or the 2,4-DNPH formation.

The kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) in Table 1 are substantial, and may indicate tunneling. E_a values were obtained from the slopes in the plots of lnk vs. 1/T in Fig. 2 using the Arrhenius equation $k = A \exp(-E_a/RT)$ (9). $E_{a(H)}$ was 35.4 kJ·mol^{−1} while $E_{a(D)}$ was 44.5 kJ·mol^{−1}, so the difference of 9.1 kJ·mol^{−1} is well in excess of 5.0 kJ·mol⁻¹, consistent with a tunneling process. For the second criterion, the ratio A_H/A_D was 0.28 (A_H = 97.9, A_D = 344.2), much less than 0.7, which implies tunneling.

This suggestion is supported by ample precedent for tunneling in 1,2-H shifts, particularly in carbenes (17–27), and also in cyclopentadienes (14). The barriers in these reactions vary from ca. 20–130 kJ·mol−¹ . The

Significance

This paper describes experimental and computational evidence that the hydride shift that converts glyceraldehyde to dihydroxyacetone at basic pH with $Ca²⁺$ catalysis proceeds primarily by quantum tunneling. This answers the last question about the mechanism of the formose reaction, in which formaldehyde is converted to higher sugars under these conditions. This reaction has long been seen as an important clue to the formation of molecules needed for life under prebiotic conditions on Earth. Earlier work showed that the mechanism involved a hydride shift, not a deprotonation, and this work confirms those conclusions. It shows the critical role of tunneling, a quantum effect important in chemistry and biology, and in likely prebiotic reactions on Earth or elsewhere, one concern of astrobiology.

Author contributions: L.C., C.D., and R.B. designed research; L.C. and C.D. performed research; L.C., C.D., and R.B. analyzed data; and L.C., C.D., and R.B. wrote the paper.

1 To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: ced3@columbia.edu or [rb33@](mailto:rb33@columbia.edu) [columbia.edu](mailto:rb33@columbia.edu).

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental)

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

2 Fig. 1. Hydride shift mechanism for the isomerization of glyceraldehyde to dihydroxyacetone.

number of examples suggests that tunneling in the formose reaction is not unreasonable.

Experimental and computational details, and full publication information for refs. 28, 29, can be found in [SI Appendix, Material and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1503739112.sapp.pdf).

Computational Results and Discussion

For a quantitative assessment of tunneling in the H-transfer step $1 \rightarrow 2$ in Fig. 1, we carried out calculations with POLYRATE (28), with the GAUSSRATE (30) interface to Gaussian 09 (29). Of the 27 density functionals tested, all overestimated E_a . The best compromise description of KIEs and E_a was given by the B3LYP density functional and $6-31++G^{**}$ basis set (29) with polarized continuum model water solvation (31), with CCSD(T)/ $6-31++G^{**}$ energy corrections (coupled cluster with single, double, and noniterative triple excitations) according to the interpolated single-point energies procedure in POLYRATE. The forward barrier is $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 79.5 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K, and $\Delta_{\text{r}}H^{\circ} = -2.7 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. The rate constant without tunneling, k_{CVT} , is computed by canonical variational transition state theory (CVT). Multidimensional tunneling was computed by small curvature tunneling (SCT). (We examined both SCT and large curvature tunneling. SCT gives the greater amount of tunneling.) The rate constant including SCT tunneling is given by $k_{\text{CVT+SCT}} = \kappa_{\text{SCT}} k_{\text{CVT}}$, where κ_{SCT} is the SCT transmission coefficient.

The Arrhenius $E_{a(H)}$ and $E_{a(D)}$ for $1 \rightarrow 2$ computed over 0–80 °C are, respectively, 74.4 and 80.8 kJ·mol−¹ . The difference is 6.4 kJ·mol⁻¹, in moderate agreement with experiment. The computed A_H/A_D is 0.43, and the A factors of ~10^{12.7} are in the typical unimolecular range. The observed A values of $\sim 10^2$ are consistent with a large loss of entropy in forming 1 from glyceraldehyde hydrate, which was not pursued.

Fig. 3 shows Arrhenius plots of $ln(KIE)$ vs. 1,000/T for experiment (red), and KIEs computed in the absence of tunneling (black) and inclusion of SCT tunneling (blue). [KIEs computed by CVT and CVT+SCT include a small contribution from the equilibrium isotope effect for conversion of glyceraldehyde hydrate to 1. For this equilibrium, $\Delta_{r}G^{\circ}$ _(D) – $\Delta_{r}G^{\circ}$ _(H) is nearly constant at 0.4 kJ/mol and is due almost entirely to enthalpy. The additive contribution to ln(KIE) in Fig. 3 ranges from 0.25 at 200 K to 0.14 at 353 K.] Whereas neither calculation agrees quantitatively with experiment, CVT+SCT is much closer than

Table 1. Kinetic rate constants and KIEs for the isomerization of glyceraldehydes to dihydroxyacetone

Temp, °C	$k_{\rm H}$ (h ⁻¹)*	k_{D} (h ⁻¹)*	KIE $(k_H/k_D)^{\dagger}$
0	$0.07 + 0.02$	$0.005 + 0.001$	$14.9 + 4.0$
40	$0.30 + 0.02$	$0.03 + 0.01$	$9.3 + 2.6$
80	$2.52 + 0.44$	$0.49 + 0.23$	5.1 ± 1.8

Conditions: glyceraldehyde 1 mM, $Ca(OH)_2$ 0.6 mM, pH 10.

*Results \pm SEM are the average of at least three independent experiments. KIE values were calculated based on unrounded rate constants.

Fig. 2. Arrhenius plots of 2-protioglyceraldehyde (Left, filled black squares), 2-deuteroglyceraldehyde (Left, open blue squares), and intrinsic KIEs (Right, filled red circles) on the hydride transfers. The intrinsic KIEs were fitted to the Arrhenius equation ([SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1503739112.sapp.pdf), Eq. S3) to obtain an isotope effect on the energy of activation $[E_{a(H)}$, $E_{a(D)}]$ and on the preexponential factors (A_H, A_D) . Each point represents the average of at least three independent experiments. See [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1503739112.sapp.pdf) for experimental details.

CVT. The CVT+SCT line curves upward at lower temperature where tunneling makes a greater contribution to the rate. This has been reported in other reactions in which tunneling is important (16, 32). The current calculations provide computational evidence in Fig. 3 and Table 2, amplified in *[SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1503739112.sapp.pdf)*, that tunneling dominates the reaction.

Table 2 shows some important features of the reaction. The KIE predicted by CVT is only 2.9–3.9 over 0–80 °C, because in this bent TS the difference between H and D ZPE loss at the TS is only 3.1 kJ·mol⁻¹, less than the 5 kJ·mol⁻¹ expected for a linear TS (10, 11). The contribution of SCT tunneling to the rate can be estimated as $(\kappa_{SCT} - 1)/\kappa_{SCT}$. (More precisely, this is the fraction of the rate contributed by the quantum correction to motion along the reaction path, including transmission and reflection.) In the third column (% tunneling), these contributions range from 56% at 80 °C to 99% at −73 °C. These are similar to the contributions of CVT+SCT tunneling reported by Borden and coworkers for 1,5 sigmatropic H shifts in cyclopentadienes

Fig. 3. Arrhenius plots of ln(KIE) vs. 1,000/T for the H-transfer step in Fig. 1, computed by POLYRATE with the GAUSSRATE interface to Gaussian, using B3LYP/6–31++G** with PCM water solvation. Red: experimental points \pm 1 SD; black: computed by canonical variational transition state theory (CVT); blue: computed by CVT+SCT.

Table 2. CVT+SCT hydride transfer characteristics

 $*k_H/k_D$ computed by CVT+SCT (CVT KIEs in parentheses).

^{+ 9}% tunneling = (κ_{SCT} – 1)/ κ_{SCT} (shown for H only).

Energy (kJ·mol−¹) at which H and D tunneling makes the maximum contribution to κ_{SCT} , relative to the ZPE-corrected energy of 1_H and 1_D as the zero of energy. H and D ZPE-corrected barriers are, respectively, 80.0 and 83.1 kJ·mol $^{-1}$.

(14). Because the current calculation underestimates the KIEs, this column is effectively a lower bound on the contribution of tunneling.

With a large computed tunneling contribution, should Arrhenius curvature be expected at 0–80 °C? Curvature would require that the energy region over which tunneling contributes to the transmission coefficient change substantially over the experimental temperature range. The last two columns of Table 2 give the energies $E_{\text{max}}^{\text{H}}$ and $E_{\text{max}}^{\text{H}}$ (relative to 1_{H} and 1_{D} as the zero of energy) at which tunneling makes its maximum contribution to κ_{SCT} . These energies are nearly constant over 0–80 °C, consistent with a lack of obvious curvature in this temperature range, even though the tunneling contribution is large. Table 2 suggests that curvature

- 1. Appayee C, Breslow R (2014) Deuterium studies reveal a new mechanism for the formose reaction involving hydride shifts. J Am Chem Soc 136(10):3720–3723.
- 2. Breslow R (1959) On the mechanism of the formose reaction. Tetrahedron Lett 1(21): 22–26.
- 3. Wohl A, Neuberg C (1900) Zur kenntniss des glycerinaldehyds. Ber Dtsch Chem Ges 33(3):3095–3110.
- 4. De Wit G, Kieboom APG, van Bekkum H (1979) Enolisation and isomerisation of monosaccharides in aqueous, alkaline solution. Carbohydr Res 74(1):157–175.
- 5. Yengar R, Rose IA (1983) Methylglyoxal synthase uses the trans isomer of triose-1,2 enediol 3-phosphate. J Am Chem Soc 105(10):3301–3303.
- 6. Kim H-J, et al. (2011) Synthesis of carbohydrates in mineral-guided prebiotic cycles. J Am Chem Soc 133(24):9457–9468.
- 7. Isbell HS (1973) Enolization and Oxidation Reactions of Reducing Sugars. Carbohydrates in Solution, ed Isbell HS (American Chemical Society, Washington, DC), pp 70–87.
- 8. Nagorski RW, Richard JP (2001) Mechanistic imperatives for aldose-ketose isomerization in water: Specific, general base- and metal ion-catalyzed isomerization of glyceraldehyde with proton and hydride transfer. J Am Chem Soc 123(5):794–802.
- 9. Bell RP (1980) The Tunnel Effect in Chemistry (Chapman & Hall, New York).
- 10. Kim Y, Kreevoy MM (1992) The experimental manifestations of corner-cutting tunneling. J Am Chem Soc 114(18):7116–7123.
- 11. Kwart H (1982) Temperature dependence of the primary kinetic hydrogen isotope effect as a mechanistic criterion. Acc Chem Res 15(12):401–408.
- 12. Kohen A, Klinman JP (1998) Enzyme catalysis: Beyond classical paradigms. Acc Chem Res 31(7):397–404.
- 13. Cha Y, Murray CJ, Klinman JP (1989) Hydrogen tunneling in enzyme reactions. Science 243(4896):1325–1330.
- 14. Shelton GR, Hrovat DA, Borden WT (2007) Tunneling in the 1,5-hydrogen shift reactions of 1,3-cyclopentadiene and 5-methyl-1,3-cyclopentadiene. J Am Chem Soc 129(1):164–168.
- 15. Datta A, Hrovat DA, Borden WT (2008) Calculations find that tunneling plays a major role in the reductive elimination of methane from hydridomethylbis(trimethylphosphine)platinum: How to confirm this computational prediction experimentally. J Am Chem Soc 130(9):2726–2727.
- 16. Gonzalez-James OM, et al. (2010) Experimental evidence for heavy-atom tunneling in the ring-opening of cyclopropylcarbinyl radical from intramolecular 12C/13C kinetic isotope effects. J Am Chem Soc 132(36):12548–12549.

would begin to appear around -40 °C, as $E_{\text{max}}^{\text{H}}$ decreases by more than 7 kJ·mol⁻¹ below E_{max}^H at 0 °C. [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1503739112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.1503739112.sapp.pdf), Fig. S8 makes this clearer by showing that the entire H-tunneling region shifts dramatically to include a much larger fraction of the barrier at −40 °C than at 0° C.

Conclusion

Our conclusion is that there is a large tunneling contribution to the hydride shift for $1 \rightarrow 2$ (Fig. 3). The calculations imply that at least 56–80% of the reaction occurs by tunneling over 0–80 °C. This description applies to high pH where the coordination of a calcium ion to the carbonyl group and the alkoxide ion make the hydride migration the only chemical step. It is likely that this is also true in the proposed isomerization of a ketose to an aldose in the later step of the formose reaction under those conditions. However, at neutral pH with no metal ion such a migration would need to accompany the motion of a proton in a hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl and the carbonyl, as perhaps in the triose phosphate isomerization in biochemistry. Such situations require their own investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors thank Prof. Judith P. Klinman, Dr. Shenshen Hu, and Dr. Hui Zhu at Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, and Dr. Vijay Ramalingam and Dr. Chandrakumar Appayee at the Department of Chemistry, Columbia University for helpful discussions. Support of this work by NASA Grant NNX12AD89G (to R.B.) is gratefully acknowledged. C.D. acknowledges National Science Foundation CHE-1213976 for financial support, and Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment Grant TG-CHE090070 for computer support on the Gordon computer at the San Diego Supercomputer Center.

- 17. Wierlacher S, Sander W, Liu MTH (1993) Photolysis of alkylhalodiazirines and direct observation of benzylchlorocarbene in cryogenic matrices. J Am Chem Soc 115(20): 8943–8953.
- 18. Dix EJ, Herman MS, Goodman JL (1993) The 1,2-hydrogen rearrangement of methylchlorocarbene – contribution of quantum-mechanical tunneling. J Am Chem Soc 115(22):10424–10425.
- 19. Dix EJ, Goodman JL (1994) The contribution of quantum-mechanical tunneling to the 1,2-hydrogen rearrangement of methylbromocarbene. Res Chem Int 20(2):149–157.
- 20. Merrer DC, Moss RA, Liu MTH, Banks JT, Ingold KU (1998) Benzylchlorocarbene: Origins of Arrhenius curvature in the kinetics of the 1,2-H shift rearrangement. J Org Chem 63(9):3010–3016.
- 21. Ford F, Yuzawa T, Platz MS, Matzinger S, Fulscher M (1998) Rearrangement of dimethylcarbene to propene: Study by laser flash photolysis and ab initio molecular orbital theory. J Am Chem Soc 120(18):4430–4438.
- 22. Albu TV, et al. (2002) Dynamics of 1,2-hydrogen migration in carbenes and ring expansion in cyclopropylcarbenes. J Phys Chem A 106(21):5323–5338.
- 23. Schreiner PR, et al. (2008) Capture of hydroxymethylene and its fast disappearance through tunnelling. Nature 453(7197):906–909.
- 24. Schreiner PR, et al. (2011) Methylhydroxycarbene: Tunneling control of a chemical reaction. Science 332(6035):1300–1303.
- 25. Ley D, Gerbig D, Wagner JP, Reisenauer HP, Schreiner PR (2011) Cyclopropylhydroxycarbene. J Am Chem Soc 133(34):13614–13621.
- 26. Ley D, Gerbig D, Schreiner PR (2012) Tunnelling control of chemical reactions—the organic chemist's perspective. Org Biomol Chem 10(19):3781–3790.
- 27. Ley D, Gerbig D, Schreiner PR (2013) Tunneling control of chemical reactions: C-H insertion versus H-tunneling in tert-butylhydroxycarbene. Chem Sci 4(2):677–684.
- 28. Zheng J, et al. (2010) POLYRATE Version 2010-A (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis).
- 29. Frisch MJ, et al. (2009) Gaussian 09, Revision D.01 (Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT).
- 30. Zheng J, et al. (2009) GAUSSRATE Version 2009-A (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis).
- 31. Tomasi J, Mennucci B, Cammi R (2005) Quantum mechanical continuum solvation models. Chem Rev 105(8):2999–3093.
- 32. Greer EM, Cosgriff CV, Doubleday C (2013) Computational evidence for heavy-atom tunneling in the Bergman cyclization of a 10-membered-ring enediyne. J Am Chem Soc 135(28):10194–10197.