

## Subtyping Novel Zoonotic Pathogen Cryptosporidium Chipmunk Genotype I

# Yaqiong Guo,<sup>a,b</sup> Elizabeth Cebelinski,<sup>c</sup> Christine Matusevich,<sup>d</sup> Kerri A. Alderisio,<sup>e</sup> Marianne Lebbad,<sup>f</sup> John McEvoy,<sup>g</sup> Dawn M. Roellig,<sup>b</sup> Chunfu Yang,<sup>h</sup> Yaoyu Feng,<sup>a</sup> Lihua Xiao<sup>b</sup>

State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, People's Republic of China<sup>a</sup>; Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA<sup>b</sup>; Public Health Laboratory, Minnesota Department of Health, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA<sup>c</sup>; Vermont Department of Health Laboratory, Burlington, Vermont, USA<sup>d</sup>; New York City Department of Environmental Protection, Valhalla, New York, USA<sup>e</sup>; Public Health Agency of Sweden, Solna, Sweden<sup>f</sup>; Department of Veterinary and Microbiological Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota, USA<sup>g</sup>; Division of Global HIV/AIDS, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA<sup>h</sup>

*Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I is an emerging zoonotic pathogen in humans. The lack of subtyping tools makes it impossible to determine the role of zoonotic transmission in epidemiology. To identify potential subtyping markers, we sequenced the genome of a human chipmunk genotype I isolate. Altogether, 9,509,783 bp of assembled sequences in 853 contigs were obtained, with an N50 of 117,886 bp and >200-fold coverage. Based on the whole-genome sequence data, two genetic markers encoding the 60-kDa glycoprotein (gp60) and a mucin protein (ortholog of cgd1\_470) were selected for the development of a subtyping tool. The tool was used for characterizing chipmunk genotype I in 25 human specimens from four U.S. states and Sweden, one specimen each from an eastern gray squirrel, a chipmunk, and a deer mouse, and 4 water samples from New York. At the gp60 locus, although different subtypes were seen among the animals, water, and humans, the 15 subtypes identified differed mostly in the numbers of trinucleotide repeats (TCA, TCG, or TCT) in the serine repeat region, with only two single nucleotide polymorphisms in the nonrepeat region. Some geographic differences were found in the subtype distribution of chipmunk genotype I from humans. In contrast, only two subtypes were found at the mucin locus, which differed from each other in the numbers of a 30-bp minisatellite repeat. Thus, *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I isolates from humans and wildlife are genetically similar, and zoonotic transmission might play a potential role in human infections.

**C***ryptosporidium* is a common pathogen causing enteric diseases in humans and animals. The majority of human cases are caused by five species, including *C. hominis, C. parvum, C. meleagridis, C. felis,* and *C. canis* (1). However, 13 additional species as well as horse and skunk genotypes are occasionally found in humans (1, 2). *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I appears to be an emerging pathogen in humans. Although most *Cryptosporidium* spp. from wildlife are host adapted in nature and chipmunk genotype I was initially found in rodents (chipmunks, squirrels, and deer mice) and watershed runoff in New York (3, 4), it has been subsequently reported in sporadic cases in humans in the United States and Europe (5–8). The extent to which human infections with *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I are zoonotically transmitted is currently unclear, as there are no subtyping tools for tracking this emerging parasite.

The gene for a 60-kDa glycoprotein (gp60), a mucin, has been used extensively in subtyping *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* (2). The use of gp60-based subtyping tools has significantly improved our understanding of the importance of zoonotic transmission in the epidemiology of human *C. parvum* infections in different areas. Subtyping tools targeting the gp60 gene have been developed recently to characterize the transmission of other zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* species such as *C. meleagridis* and *C. ubiquitum* (9–11). In particular, host adaptation at the gp60 locus has been seen in *C. ubiquitum*, and there are geographic differences in the role of different animals in the transmission of *C. ubiquitum* infections in humans (9). Since *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I is genetically distant from *C. parvum*, *C. hominis*, *C. meleagridis*, and

*C. ubiquitum*, gp60 primers are not yet available for subtyping this pathogen.

In this study, to develop a subtyping tool for the characterization of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I, we conducted whole-genome sequencing of one human isolate to identify the gp60 gene and nucleotide sequence encoding another mucin protein, the ortholog of cgd1\_470 in *C. parvum*. Using these two genetic markers, we developed a subtyping tool to compare the genetic similarity among *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I isolates from humans, wildlife, and water.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Specimens.** DNA extracts from 32 *Cryptosporidium* specimens were used in this study, including those from humans, wildlife, and storm runoff from a watershed (Table 1). These specimens were diagnosed as positive

Received 2 December 2014 Returned for modification 7 January 2015 Accepted 28 January 2015

Accepted manuscript posted online 11 March 2015

Citation Guo Y, Cebelinski E, Matusevich C, Alderisio KA, Lebbad M, McEvoy J, Roellig DM, Yang C, Feng Y, Xiao L. 2015. Subtyping novel zoonotic pathogen *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I. J Clin Microbiol 53:1648–1654. doi:10.1128/JCM.03436-14.

Editor: P. H. Gilligan

Address correspondence to Yaoyu Feng, yyfeng@ecust.edu.cn, or Lihua Xiao, lxiao@cdc.gov.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JCM.03436-14

TABLE 1 Cryptosporidium chipmunk genotype I specimens used in t	the
study and their subtype identities at the gp60 and mucin loci	

Host	Specimen	Source	gp60 <sup>a</sup>	Mucir	
Human	39969	Maine	XIVaA16G2T2	MCI	
	37187	Vermont	XIVaA17G2T3	MCI	
	37189	Vermont	XIVaA16G2T2	MCI	
	37555	Vermont	XIVaA16G2T2	MCI	
	37763	Vermont	XIVaA14G2T2	MCI	
	37764	Vermont	XIVaA15G2T3	MCI	
	41602	Vermont	XIVaA15G2T3	MCI	
	41604	Vermont	XIVaA16G2T2	MCI	
	39974	Wisconsin	XIVaA16G2T1	b	
	39975	Wisconsin	XIVaA16G2T1	MCI	
	40694	Minnesota	XIVaA16G2T1	MCII	
	40693	Minnesota	XIVaA17G2T2	MCII	
	40695	Minnesota	XIVaA20G2T2	MCII	
	40696	Minnesota	XIVaA20G2T2	MCII	
	40697	Minnesota	XIVaA19G2T2a	MCII	
	40702	Minnesota	XIVaA14G2T1	MCII	
	40703	Minnesota	XIVaA18G2T1b	MCI	
	40705	Minnesota	XIVaA18G2T1b	MCII	
	40706	Minnesota	_	MCII	
	40707	Minnesota	_	MCII	
	40709	Minnesota	XIVaA19G2T2b	MCII	
	39970	Sweden	XIVaA20G2T1	MCI	
	39971	Sweden	XIVaA20G2T1	MCI	
	39972	Sweden	XIVaA20G2T1	MCI	
	40136	Sweden	XIVaA20G2T1	MCI	
Eastern gray squirrel	13469	New York	XIVaA18G2T2	MCII	
Chipmunk	12958	New York	XIVaA18G2T1a	MCII	
Deer mouse	14985	New York	XIVaA18G2T1a	MCI	
Storm water	8060	New York	XVIa (skunk genotype?)	MCII	
	8062	New York	XIIb (C. ubiquitum)	MCII	
	6141	New York	XIVaA15G2T1	MCII	
	6143	New York	XIIb (C. ubiquitum)	MCII	

<sup>a</sup> Letters a and b following the trinucleotide repeat differentiate subtypes which have the same number of trinucleotide repeats but different trinucleotide repeat order.
<sup>b</sup> —, no PCR amplification.

for *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I by DNA sequence analysis of an  $\sim$ 830-bp fragment of the small subunit (SSU) RNA gene (12). They included 25 human specimens from sporadic cases in four U.S. states and Sweden (5–7), 3 wildlife specimens from one eastern gray squirrel, chipmunk, and deer mouse each in a watershed in New York (3), and 4 storm water samples from the same watershed (4).

Whole-genome sequencing of chipmunk genotype I. To identify subtyping markers for chipmunk genotype I, we sequenced the whole genome of one human isolate from Vermont. This specimen was chosen for wholegenome sequencing because of the high number of oocysts observed by microscopy. Oocysts were purified from the specimen by sucrose and cesium chloride density gradients and further purified by immunomagnetic separation. Genomic DNA was extracted from the purified oocysts by a QIAamp DNA minikit (Qiagen Sciences, MD, USA) and amplified using a REPLI-g Midi kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) before whole-genome sequencing using the Illumina TruSeq (v3) library protocol on an Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Because of the premature termination of the sequencing run, only single-end 100-bp reads were available for sequence assembly, which was done using the CLC Genomics Workbench (CLC Bio, Boston, MA). The contigs generated were mapped to published whole-genome sequences of C. parvum using Mauve (http://asap.genetics .wisc.edu/software/mauve/) to identify orthologs of the gp60 (cgd6\_1080) and mucin cgd1\_470 genes.

PCR analysis of gp60 and cgd1\_470 mucin genes. Based on conserved sequences between chipmunk genotype I and C. parvum, nested PCR primers were designed to flank the entire coding region of the gp60 gene and part of the mucin cgd1\_470 gene, with the expected secondary PCR products of 1,072 bp and 966 bp, respectively (Table 2). The total volume of both the primary and secondary PCR mixture was 50 µl, which contained 1 µl of DNA (for primary PCR) or 2 µl of the primary PCR product, 250 nM primary primers or 500 nM secondary primers, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 µM deoxynucleotide triphosphates, 1× GeneAmp PCR buffer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), and 1.5 U of Taq polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI). The amplification was performed on a GeneAmp PCR 9700 thermocycler (Applied Biosystems), consisting of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. To reduce PCR inhibitors, 400 ng/µl of nonacetylated bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was used in the primary PCR. The secondary PCR products were visualized under UV light after 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Sequence analysis. The secondary gp60 PCR products were sequenced using the secondary reverse primer R2 and an intermediary sequence primer R3 (5'-ACC AGA GAT ATA TCT CGG TGC-3'), while the secondary mucin PCR products were sequenced using the secondary forward and reverse primers. The sequencing of all PCR products was performed using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI 3130 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The sequences from each PCR product were assembled by ChromasPro 1.32 (Technelysium), edited in BioEdit 7.04 (www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit /bioedit.html), and aligned with reference sequences using ClustalX 2.1 (www.clustal.org/). To assess the genetic relatedness of chipmunk genotype I to the major Cryptosporidium subtype families, neighbor-joining trees were constructed using the program TREECONW (http: //bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/software/details/Treecon), based on the evolutionary distance calculated by the Kimura two-parameter model. In addition, the amino acid sequence of the gp60 gene of the chipmunk genotype I was aligned with sequences of C. parvum, C. hominis, and C. ubiquitum using ClustalX 2.1. The structure and N- and O-glycosylated sites were predicted using the PSORT II (http://psort.hgc.jp/form2.html), NetNGlyc 1.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/), and YinOYang 1.2 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/YinOYang/) servers, respectively. A potential furin cleavage site in the sequence was predicted with the ProP 1.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ProP/).

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The whole-genome sequence data of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I were submitted to NCBI genome data under accession number SAMN03281121. Nucleotide sequences of the gp60 and mucin cgd1\_470 genes generated in this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KP099078 to KP099097.

#### RESULTS

Whole-genome sequence data. The assembly of Illumina sequence reads consisted of 9,509,783 bp in 853 contigs, with an estimated 227-fold coverage and an N50 of 117,886 bp. Altogether, 9,009,492 bp in 150 contigs were mapped to the reference *C. parvum* genome, giving a 98.98% coverage of its genome. The remaining contigs were mostly small and from the *C. hominis* IbA10G2 subtype, likely the result of mixed infections. One contig (478,353 bp) contained the ortholog of cgd6\_1080, which had a high sequence similarity at the 5' and 3' ends to the gp60 gene of *C. parvum*. Another contig (117,886 bp) covered the mucin protein cgd1\_470 gene of *C. parvum*, with high sequence homology in the second half of the gene. A comparative genomic analysis of the genome will be performed after the annotation of the whole-genome sequences.

Characteristics of gp60 gene of chipmunk genotype I. The gp60 gene retrieved from the whole-genome sequencing data of

Guo	et	al	

		Nucleotide sequence in the primer region	и		
pecies/genotype	Locus	F1	R1	F2	R2
Chipmunk genotype I C. <i>ubiquitum</i> Chipmunk genotype I C. <i>ubiquitum</i>	gp60 gp60 Mucin Mucin	TTTACCCACACATCTGTAACGTCG TTTACCCACACATCTGTAGCGTCG GTCAGGATCATCTTCAACTAAAAC GTCAGGATCATCTTCAACTAAAAC	CCTGTGAGAATATTCTGGAAATTA CCTGTGAGAATATTCTGGAAATTA GGAACTGATGACATCTCTACA AGATTTAATGAGTTCTTTACA	ATAGGTAATAATTACTCAGTATTTAAT ATAGGTAATAATTAGTCAGTCTTTAAT TTCTAGGGTCGGGTC	TACTCTTAAAAGGGCTTAAACTCTTAA TACTTTTTAAAGGGGCTTAAAGCTCTTAA TCGGGAGATATTCCGTATCTC TCGGGGTAATTGCCTTTGTCCT

specimen 37763 consisted of 963 nucleotides and coded for a peptide of 320 amino acids. Except for the 5' and 3' regions, the nucleotide sequence of chipmunk genotype I was significantly different from those of C. parvum, C. hominis, C. ubiquitum, and related species; the amino acid sequences of C. parvum, C. hominis, C. ubiquitum and chipmunk genotype I shared only 45% to 54% identity (Fig. 1). The structure of the gp60 gene was nevertheless similar to the one in C. parvum and C. hominis. The first 19 hydrophobic and hydroxylic amino acids constituted an N-terminal signal peptide with a signal peptide cleavage site between amino acids Ser<sub>19</sub> and Ala<sub>20</sub>, and the last 19 amino acids coded for a transmembrane domain. As in C. parvum, C. hominis, and C. ubiquitum, the hydrophobic C-terminal sequence of the gp60 protein in chipmunk genotype I was likely linked to a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor (9, 13, 14). Following the signal peptide, the deduced protein sequence contained a polyserine track comprising 18 Ser residues, of which the first 17 were all predicted to be O-glycosylated. There were several other Ser and Thr residues throughout the sequence that were predicted to be O-glycosylated. In addition, two potential N-glycosylated sites were identified in contrast to one N-glycosylated site in C. parvum and C. hominis. The conserved amino acid sequence RSRR for the furin cleavage site, which is seen at the end of gp40 region of the gene of most C. parvum and C. hominis subtype families (15), was replaced by the sequence LSKR in chipmunk genotype I.

PCR amplification of gp60 and mucin protein genes of chipmunk genotype I. With primers designed in this study, the nested PCR yielded the expected products for 30 and 31 of the 32 DNA extracts analyzed at the gp60 and mucin loci, respectively (Table 1). All gp60 PCR products were sequenced successfully using R2 and R3 primers, although the use of F2 in sequencing produced mostly noisy sequences because of the presence of a poly(A) track (13 consecutive nucleotide A) shortly after the primer sequence. Except for three water samples, which were originally shown to have mixed Cryptosporidium genotypes (4), the gp60 sequences generated were homologous to the chipmunk genotype I sequence from the whole-genome sequencing. They differed mostly in the number of trinucleotide repeats. Two of the three remaining gp60 sequences from the water sample lacked the trinucleotide repeats, had numerous nucleotide substitutions (single nucleotide polymorphism [SNP]) compared to the reference and were 99% identical to GenBank sequence JX412926 from the gp60 gene of the C. ubiquitum XIIb subtype family. The third sequence had trinucleotide repeats and 79% sequence identity to the gp60 gene of a C. fayeri isolate (GenBank sequence FJ490070) with the maximum query coverage (72%) among the GenBank sequences. In contrast, at the mucin locus, all sequences generated were homologous to the reference sequence from the whole-genome sequencing.

**Subtypes of** *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I. At the gp60 locus, 15 subtypes of chipmunk genotype I were found in the 27 sequences that had high homology to the chipmunk genotype I reference sequence (Table 1). They differed from each other mostly in the number of trinucleotide repeats (TCA, TCG, or TCT) in the serine repeat region, with only two SNPs in the non-repeat region. The subtype family consisting of these 15 subtypes was named XIVa in concordance with the established nomenclature of gp60 subtype families (2, 9). The biggest difference in length within this subtype family was 21 bp. Twelve of the 15 subtypes were found in 23 human specimens (Table 1). Unique

<i>C.parvum</i>	I	MRLSLIIVLL	SVIVSAVFSA	PAVPLRG <b>T</b> LK	DVPVEG <u>SSSS</u>	SSSSSSSSS	SSSSSSSST	<u>S</u> <u>T</u> VAPANK
<i>C.hominis</i>		MRLSLIIVLL	SVIVSAVFSA	PAVPLRG <b>T</b> LK	DV <u>S</u> VEG <u>SSSS</u>	SSSSSSSTV	APAPKKER <u>T</u> V	EGG <u>T</u> EGKNGE
Chipmunk genotype		MRLTLIIVLL	SVIFSAVFSA	PAVPLRGTLR	DAVQDNDA <u>SS</u>	SSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	SSSSSST	<u>T</u> AAPRAV
<i>C.ubiquitum</i>		MRFLLAIVSL	SVFISVVFSA	PGVPLRG <b>T</b> LK	EDDS <u>T</u> NV	STTTAAPKKI	IVR <u>ST</u> EEG <u>TT</u>	P <u>T</u> AP <u>TTT</u> P
<i>C.parvum</i>	I	AR <b>T</b> G-EDAEG	SQDSSG <b>T</b> E-A	SGSQ-G <b>S</b> EEE	G <b>S</b> EDDG	Q <b>TS</b> AAS	QP <b>TT</b> PAQ <b>S</b> EG	-A <u>TT</u> ETIEA <u>T</u>
<i>C.hominis</i>		SSPGSEEQDG	GKEDGGKE-D	GGKEDGGKED	GGKENGEGDT	VDGVQ <b>T</b> GSGS	Q-V <b>T</b> P <b>S</b> E <b>S</b> AG	TATE <b>STATTT</b>
Chipmunk genotype		AKVSADEGSV	GAGDGKKETD	SADNTQDGEE	SGKEDG	EDE <b>N</b> ET	Q-TQP <b>T</b> ESAG	PD <b>S</b> GVTP
<i>C.ubiquitum</i>		<u>STT</u> AP <b>T</b> AAP <b>T</b>	<b>T</b> V <u>STT</u> AP <u>S</u> -G	<u>S</u> GVDP <b>TST</b> DG	DEK <b>T</b> D <b>T</b>	D <b>T</b> G <b>S</b> G <b>T</b>	<b>T</b> DE	TVTTTPD
<i>C.parvum</i>	I	PKEECG <b>T</b> SFV	MWFGEGTPAA	TLKCGAYTIV	YAPIKDQTDP	APRYISGEVT	SVTFEKSDNT	VKIKVNGQDF
<i>C.hominis</i>		PKEECG <b>T</b> SFV	MWFEKGTPVA	TLKCGDYTIV	YAPIKDQTDP	APRYISGEVT	SVSFEKSEST	VTIKVNGKEF
Chipmunk genotype		<b>S</b> GADCGTSFV	MWFT <u>S</u> GVPV <u>S</u>	TLECGAYTII	YAPV <b>T</b> GNRNP	APRYISGKV <b>T</b>	AV <b>T</b> VDS <b>S</b> D-S	NKLKVNNRDF
<i>C.ubiquitum</i>		PMEKCGTSFV	MWFVSGVPVT	TLECGSYTMV	YGPVE <b>N</b> ETNP	AARYVSGTVT	TVTYDASN	KKLMVNNQEF
C.parvum	I	STLSA <b>N</b> SSSP	TENGG <u>S</u> AG	QA <b>SS</b> R <b>S</b> RRSL	SEETSEAAAT	VDLFAFTLDG	GKRIEVAVPN	VEDASKRDKY
C.hominis		S <b>T</b> LSA <b>N</b> SSSP	<u>T</u> KDNGESSDS	HVQS <mark>RSRR</mark> SL	AEENGETVAT	VDLFAFTLDG	GRRIEVAVPK	DENADKRSEY
Chipmunk genotype		STLS <b>T</b> DSNSP	TENQD	SS <mark>LSKR</mark> SL	QEE-SAEVET	TNLYSFTLKG	GRSIDVGVPA	V <u>S</u> DPQKRDKY
C.ubiquitum		ATLSTDSSQP	<u>TT</u> ATT	AP <mark>AARL</mark> LA	EDTVTEAVTM	TDLYTFTLKG	GKAISVGVPA	NQDESKRDKY
<i>C.parvum</i>	I	SLVADDKPFY	TGANSGTT	NGVYRLNENG	DLVDKDNTVL	LKDAG-SSAF	G <mark>LRYIVPSVF</mark>	AIFAALFVL
<i>C.hominis</i>		SLVADDKPFY	TGANSGIT	NGVYKLDENG	NLVDKDNEVL	LKDAG-SSAF	G <mark>FKYIVPSVF</mark>	AIFAALFVL
Chipmunk genotype		TLSSGDETFY	<u>S</u> GTNSGVN	DGIFKLNEDG	DLVDKDNKVV	LKDA <b>MIS</b> SAF	GFRYVIPSVL	AVFAAFFML
<i>C.ubiquitum</i>		SLSADNOVFY	TGTASNSGVT	SGIFKLNENG	DLVDPSNTVV	LKDAD-SAAF	GFRYTIPSVF	AIFAAFFMP

FIG 1 Deduced amino acid sequence of the gp60 gene of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I compared with sequences of *C. parvum* (GenBank accession no. AF022929, *C. hominis* (GenBank accession no. ACQ82748), and *C. ubiquitum* (9). Potential N-glycosylation sites are indicated in boldface and italic type, and predicted O-glycosylation sites are indicated in boldface and underlined type. The first 19 amino acids coding for a signal peptide are highlighted in green, and the last 17 amino acids for a transmembrane domain are highlighted in red. The classic furin cleavage site sequence RSRR in the C terminus of gp40 is highlighted in purple. Dashes denote amino acid deletions.

subtypes were generally seen in different geographic regions. However, humans in neighboring states were sometimes infected with identical subtypes. Thus, four specimens from Maine and Vermont belonged to the subtype XIVaA16G2T2, whereas three specimens from Wisconsin and Minnesota belonged to the subtype XIVaA16G2T1. As expected, multiple subtypes were seen in humans in Minnesota and Vermont, where more specimens were available for analysis. However, all four human specimens in Sweden collected over a 6-year period had the same subtype (XIVaA20G2T1). Two subtypes were found among the three wildlife specimens from New York, and both were different from those in humans elsewhere (Table 1). The only storm water sample that produced a *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I sequence also had a different subtype.

At the mucin locus, two subtypes were seen: MCI and MCII. They differed from each other only in the number of a 30-bp minisatellite repeat. These two subtypes were present in all types of specimens and all geographic regions (Table 1).

Genetic relationship among gp60 subtypes of chipmunk genotype I. A neighbor-joining tree was constructed with the gp60 sequences from the study and some major subtype families of *Cryptosporidium* spp. In this tree, all 27 gp60 sequences of chipmunk genotype I clustered into one major group, the sequence from storm water sample 8060 with only 72% sequence identity to the reference of chipmunk genotype I formed a separate branch, and the sequences from storm water samples 6143 and 8062 clustered within the XIIb subtype family of *C. ubiquitum* (Fig. 2). Within the *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I group (the XIVa subtype family), two geographical clusters were seen. Specimens from New York, Maine, and Vermont, the three Northeast U.S. states, clustered together, whereas specimens from Minnesota and Wisconsin, the two Midwest states, and Sweden formed another cluster (Fig. 2, inset).

### DISCUSSION

In this study, two genetic markers for subtyping Cryptosporidium chipmunk genotype I from whole-genome sequence data generated by Illumina sequencing, including the gp60 and cgd1\_470 mucin genes, were identified. Both genes have nucleotide sequences that are substantially different from those of C. parvum and C. hominis, which explains the inability of regular PCR primers to amplify DNA of Cryptosporidium chipmunk genotype I. Nevertheless, both genes maintain some of the characteristics typical of mucin genes, coding for proteins rich in serine with heavy O-linked glycosylation. Like in other Cryptosporidium species such as C. parvum, C. hominis, and C. ubiquitum, the gp60 gene of chipmunk genotype I also has a signal peptide, a transmembrane domain, and a GPI anchor. However, it is not yet clear whether the gp60 protein of chipmunk genotype I has a furin cleavage site. The classic furin cleavage sequence RSRR is not present at the gp40 end of the protein. Nevertheless, it has an LSKR sequence at the same location, a sequence very similar to the ISKR sequence in the C. hominis Ie subtype family, which is also cleaved by furin (15). In contrast, the gp60 protein of C. ubiquitum apparently lacks the



FIG 2 Phylogenetic relationship among the subtypes of *C. parvum*, *C. hominis*, *C. ubiquitum*, and chipmunk genotype I by a neighbor-joining analysis of the gp60 gene using distances calculated by the Kimura two-parameter model. Numbers on branches are percent bootstrapping values (>50) using 1,000 replicates.

furin cleavage site (9). In *C. parvum* and *C. hominis*, the gp60 precursor protein is processed at the furin cleavage site into gp40 and gp15, possibly by a subtilisin-like serine protease (cgd6\_4840) (16). As the process plays a key role in the invasion of sporozoites (15), the difference in the proteolytic cleavage of gp60 may potentially be responsible for some biologic differences among *Cryptosporidium* species.

As expected, the gp60 gene of chipmunk genotype I is highly polymorphic, generating 15 subtypes among the 30 isolates analyzed from humans, wildlife, and storm water. Nevertheless, subtypes differ only in the number of tandem repeats (TCA/TCG/ TCT) and comprise a single subtype family. In contrast, the gp60 gene in most other *Cryptosporidium* species characterized, including *C. parvum*, *C. hominis*, *C. meleagridis*, *C. cuniculus*, *C. tyzzeri*, *C. fayeri*, and *C. ubiquitum*, has multiple subtype families that differ substantially in the sequence of the nonrepeat regions (9, 11, 14, 17–19). Previously, host adaptation has been seen among subtype families within *C. parvum*, *C. tyzzeri*, and *C. ubiquitum* (2, 9, 20). Whether there is an absence of host adaptation within the *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I remains to be determined, as the number of animal specimens characterized is small, and the animal subtypes identified did not match those found in humans elsewhere. Nevertheless, the genetic similarity of human and animal isolates shown in this study clearly indicates that zoonotic transmission of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I is possible.

There were some geographic differences in the distribution of gp60 subtypes in the present study. The neighboring states of Maine and Vermont and of Minnesota and Wisconsin had common subtypes. In the neighbor-joining analysis of nucleotide sequences, subtypes from the northeast U.S. states of New York, Vermont, and Maine clustered into one major group, while the remaining subtypes from Midwest states and Sweden formed a second group. The segregation of the two groups is mainly due to the presence of one nucleotide substitution in the nonrepeat region of the gene. In addition, the genetic diversity of chipmunk genotype I in Minnesota and Vermont appears to be higher than that in Sweden; all four specimens from the latter had the same subtype that was not seen in other areas. The reason for the reduced subtype diversity of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I in Sweden is not clear. Europe has no chipmunks or deer mice and only one native squirrel species, the red squirrel (*Sciurus vulgaris*), which has been identified as a host for *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I (21). This reduced host diversity might be partially responsible for the limited subtype diversity of the *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I in Sweden.

The gp60 PCR primers designed in this study appear to have broad specificity as some PCR products amplified from water samples are from other Cryptosporidium spp. Two samples that were previously diagnosed as having chipmunk genotype I and C. ubiquitum by DNA sequence analysis of the SSU RNA gene generated only gp60 sequences of the C. ubiquitum XIIb subtype family. This is not surprising, as the primer sequences in the study were chosen from intergenic regions flanking the gp60 gene that were conserved in C. parvum, C. hominis, C. ubiquitum, and chipmunk genotype I. As C. ubiquitum has nucleotide sequences very similar to those of the chipmunk genotype I in the primer regions (Table 2), the PCR apparently amplified only the C. ubiquitum sequence in the two samples with mixed C. ubiquitum and chipmunk genotype I populations. The PCR primers can apparently also amplify the gp60 gene of another unknown Cryptosporidium sp., as a new subtype family which was related to XIVa and named XVIa was amplified from water sample 8060. Because the sample had a concurrent Cryptosporidium skunk genotype (W13) based on the analysis of the SSU RNA gene, this new gp60 subtype sequence might be from this parasite. Thus, only one of the four water samples analyzed in the study produced the gp60 gene sequence (XIVa subtype family) of the Cryptosporidium chipmunk genotype I.

The mucin protein gene cgd1\_470 of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I has a much lower sequence polymorphism than the gp60 gene. The two subtypes, MCI and MCII, found at the mucin protein locus differ from each other only in the number of a 30-bp minisatellite repeat. In wildlife, MCI was found in a deer mouse, and MCII was found in an eastern gray squirrel and a chipmunk. However, all storm water samples belong to MCII. In human specimens, except for specimens from Minnesota where MCII was the dominant subtype, specimens from other regions all belonged to MCI. The finding of the same mucin protein subtype in humans and animals also supports the zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I.

In conclusion, a subtyping tool based on two markers for genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I has been developed. The application of this new tool thus far suggests that *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I isolates from humans and wildlife are genetically similar; therefore, zoonotic transmission might play a potential role in the epidemiology of human infections with this emerging pathogen. Further studies are needed to confirm the geographic difference in the distribution of subtypes and delineate the role of various wildlife and drinking water in human infections.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31229005, 31110103901, and 31425025), the Water Special Project (2014ZX07104006), the National Special Fund for State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering (no. 2060204), and the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

#### REFERENCES

- Ryan U, Fayer R, Xiao L. 2014. *Cryptosporidium* species in humans and animals: current understanding and research needs. Parasitology 141: 1667–1685. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0031182014001085.
- 2. Xiao L. 2010. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis: an update. Exp Parasitol 124:80–89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2009.03.018.
- Feng Y, Alderisio KA, Yang W, Blancero LA, Kuhne WG, Nadareski CA, Reid M, Xiao L. 2007. *Cryptosporidium* genotypes in wildlife from a new york watershed. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:6475–6483. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01034-07.
- Jiang J, Alderisio KA, Xiao L. 2005. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* genotypes in storm event water samples from three watersheds in New York. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:4446–4454. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /AEM.71.8.4446-4454.2005.
- Feltus DC, Giddings CW, Schneck BL, Monson T, Warshauer D, McEvoy JM. 2006. Evidence supporting zoonotic transmission of *Cryp*tosporidium spp. in Wisconsin. J Clin Microbiol 44:4303–4308. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01067-06.
- Insulander M, Silverlas C, Lebbad M, Karlsson L, Mattsson JG, Svenungsson B. 2013. Molecular epidemiology and clinical manifestations of human cryptosporidiosis in Sweden. Epidemiol Infect 141:1009–1020. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268812001665.
- Lebbad M, Beser J, Insulander M, Karlsson L, Mattsson JG, Svenungsson B, Axen C. 2013. Unusual cryptosporidiosis cases in Swedish patients: extended molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium viatorum* and *Cryptosporidium* chipmunk genotype I. Parasitology 140:1735–1740. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S003118201300084X.
- ANOFEL Cryptosporidium National Network. 2010. Laboratory-based surveillance for *Cryptosporidium* in France, 2006-2009. Euro Surveill 15: pii=19642. http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId =19642.
- Li N, Xiao LH, Alderisio K, Elwin K, Cebelinski E, Chalmers R, Santin M, Fayer R, Kvac M, Ryan U, Sak B, Stanko M, Guo YQ, Wang L, Zhang LX, Cai JZ, Roellig D, Feng YY. 2014. Subtyping *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum*, a zoonotic pathogen emerging in humans. Emerg Infect Dis 20:217–224. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2002.121797.
- Stensvold CR, Beser J, Axen C, Lebbad M. 2014. High applicability of a novel method for gp60-based subtyping of *Cryptosporidium meleagridis*. J Clin Microbiol 52:2311–2319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00598-14.
- Baroudi D, Khelef D, Goucem R, Adjou KT, Adamu H, Zhang H, Xiao L. 2013. Common occurrence of zoonotic pathogen *Cryptosporidium meleagridis* in broiler chickens and turkeys in Algeria. Vet Parasitol 196:334– 340. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.02.022.
- Xiao L, Sulaiman IM, Ryan UM, Zhou L, Atwill ER, Tischler ML, Zhang X, Fayer R, Lal AA. 2002. Host adaptation and host-parasite co-evolution in *Cryptosporidium*: implications for taxonomy and public health. Int J Parasitol 32:1773–1785. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(02)00197-2.
- Cevallos AM, Zhang X, Waldor MK, Jaison S, Zhou X, Tzipori S, Neutra MR, Ward HD. 2000. Molecular cloning and expression of a gene encoding *Cryptosporidium parvum* glycoproteins gp40 and gp15. Infect Immun 68: 4108–4116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.68.7.4108-4116.2000.
- Strong WB, Gut J, Nelson RG. 2000. Cloning and sequence analysis of a highly polymorphic *Cryptosporidium parvum* gene encoding a 60kilodalton glycoprotein and characterization of its 15- and 45-kilodalton zoite surface antigen products. Infect Immun 68:4117–4134. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/IAI.68.7.4117-4134.2000.
- Wanyiri JW, O'Connor R, Allison G, Kim K, Kane A, Qiu J, Plaut AG, Ward HD. 2007. Proteolytic processing of the *Cryptosporidium* glycoprotein gp40/15 by human furin and by a parasite-derived furin-like protease activity. Infect Immun 75:184–192. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /IAI.00944-06.

- Wanyiri JW, Techasintana P, O'Connor RM, Blackman MJ, Kim K, Ward HD. 2009. Role of CpSUB1, a subtilisin-like protease, in *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in vitro. Eukaryot Cell 8:470–477. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/EC.00306-08.
- Power ML, Cheung-Kwok-Sang C, Slade M, Williamson S. 2009. Cryptosporidium fayeri: Diversity within the GP60 locus of isolates from different marsupial hosts. Exp Parasitol 121:219–223. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/j.exppara.2008.10.016.
- Feng Y, Lal AA, Li N, Xiao L. 2011. Subtypes of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in mice and other small mammals. Exp Parasitol 127:238–242. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.exppara.2010.08.002.
- 19. Chalmers RM, Robinson G, Elwin K, Hadfield SJ, Xiao L, Ryan U,

Modha D, Mallaghan C. 2009. *Cryptosporidium* sp. rabbit genotype, a newly identified human pathogen. Emerg Infect Dis 15:829–830. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1505.081419.

- Kváč M, McEvoy J, Loudova M, Stenger B, Sak B, Kvetonova D, Ditrich O, Raskova V, Moriarty E, Rost M, Macholan M, Pialek J. 2013. Coevolution of *Cryptosporidium tyzzeri* and the house mouse (*Mus musculus*). Int J Parasitol 43:805–817. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013 .04.007.
- Kvac M, Hofmannova L, Bertolino S, Wauters L, Tosi G, Modry D. 2008. Natural infection with two genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* in red squirrels (*Sciurus vulgaris*) in Italy. Folia Parasitol (Praha) 55:95–99. http: //dx.doi.org/10.14411/fp.2008.012.