# Original Article Association of Leu125Val polymorphisms in the PECAM-1 gene with the risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis

Tao Xia<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Xin Liu<sup>3\*</sup>, Chang Jiang Du<sup>2</sup>, Xin Jin<sup>4</sup>, Xiang Qian Kong<sup>4</sup>, Gang Li<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiac Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, 324 Jing Wu Wei Qi Road, Jinan 250021, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, The Central Hospital of Tai'an, 29 Long Tan Road, Tai'an 271000, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Ultrasound Branch, The Central Hospital of Tai'an, 29 Long Tan Road, Tai'an 271000, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Vascular Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, 324 Jing Wu Wei Qi Road, Jinan 250021, China. \*Co-first authors.

Received November 8, 2014; Accepted January 9, 2015; Epub February 15, 2015; Published February 28, 2015

**Abstract:** Objective: Several published literatures investigated the relation between a polymorphism (Leul25Val) in platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM-1) gene and risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) and did not reach the same conclusion. To shed light on these inconclusive findings, we performed a meta-analysis of studies relating the PECAM-1 genetic polymorphism (Leul25Val) to the risk of CHD. Methods: We identified literatures by searching PubMed, EMBASE, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure databases (CNKI) and Wanfang database in China. Data from eligible studies were extracted for meta-analysis. CHD risk associated with PECAM-1 genetic polymorphism (Leul25Val) was estimated by pooled odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). The software Review Manager (Version 5.2) was used for meta-analysis. Publication bias was tested by funnel plot. Results: A total of 15 studies comprising 3696 cases and 3940 controls fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Our results did not show that Leul25Val polymorphism in PECAM-1 gene was associated with the risk of CHD [(LL+LV) vs VV, OR = 1.15, 95% CI: 0.84-1.56, P = 0.38; (VV+LV) vs LL, OR = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.79-1.17, P = 0.69; V vs L, OR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.92-1.27, P = 0.80, respectively] by a meta-analysis. Conclusion: The results of our meta-analysis suggested that Leul25Val polymorphism in PECAM-1 gene is not a susceptibility marker of CHD.

Keywords: PECAM-1, coronary heart disease, polymorphism

#### Introduction

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a leading cause of death and disability worldwide. CHD is usually caused by atherosclerosis that is characterized by the formation of cholesterol and fatty deposits on the inner walls of the arteries and inflammation [1, 2]. Eventually, the narrowing or blockage of the coronary arteries [3, 4] can lead to acute myocardial infarction, even sudden death. CHD is a complex disease resulting from the interactions between a number of genetic factors and various environmental factors [5, 6].

Inflammation plays a critical role in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis and subsequent CHD [7, 8]. Platelet activation, aggregation and thrombus formation are regarded as key steps in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and CHD [9]. Previous studies indicated that platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM-1), also known as CD31, is a membrane glycoprotein (MW: 130 KDa) and is an immunoglobulin (Ig) and involves inflammation [10, 11]. PECAM-1 is constitutively expressed on the surface of circulating monocytes, platelets, neutrophils, specific classes of T cells, and endothelial cells [12-15]. Several publications suggested that genetic polymorphism (Leu125Val, rs668) of PECAM-1 were associated with the risk of CHD [16-23]. However, some studies did not verify this conclusion [24-31]. In view of the discrepancies in the findings of previous published studies, we aimed to perform a meta-analysis to clarify the association between rs668 in PECAM-1 and CHD.

Publication Year	Author	Ethnicity	Case/Control	VV	LV	LL	V	L
2000	Gardemann et al.	Germany	1170/1330	335/380	563/630	272/320	1233/1390	1107/1270
2001	Sasaoka et al.	Japanese	136/235	29/70	72/120	35/45	130/260	142/210
2003	Song et al.	Chinese	156/75	40/10	82/37	34/28	162/57	150/93
2004	Listì et al.	Italian	96/118	19/31	38/49	39/38	76/111	116/125
2004	Wei et al.	Chinese	144/150	54/29	63/86	27/35	171/144	117/156
2005	Fang et al.	Indian	137/110	32/47	83/52	22/11	147/127	146/74
2006	Pan et al.	Chinese	90/115	36/27	34/57	20/31	106/111	74/119
2007	Chang et al.	Chinese	600/725	223/396	252/147	125/182	698/939	502/511
2007	Xu et al.	Chinese	72/80	29/18	27/40	16/22	85/76	59/84
2008	Kang et al.	Chinese	95/89	25/26	54/50	16/13	104/102	86/76
2008	Wei et al.	Chinese	265/280	83/58	136/158	46/64	302/274	228/286
2009	Listì et al.	Italian	431/119	121/21	208/63	102/35	450/105	412/133
2009	Reschner et al.	Slovenia	142/310	35/91	67/166	40/53	137/348	147/272
2010	Huang et al.	Chinese	62/88	19/13	32/47	11/28	70/73	54/103
2010	Shalia et al.	India	100/116	21/26	44/63	35/27	86/115	114/113

Table 1. The characteristics of included studies

#### Methods

#### Search strategy

We carried out a publication search in PubMed, EMBASE, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Wanfang database in China, with the following search terms: "platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1" or "PECAM-1" or "CD31", and "SNP" or "polymorphism" or "polymorphic" or "gene" or "genetic" or "genotype" or "variant" or "mutation" or "mutant", and "coronary artery disease" or "coronary heart disease" or "cardiovascular" or "unstable angina" or "myocardial infarction" or "acute coronary syndrome". The search followed the guidelines of the 2009 preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement. Searches were limited to papers published in the English and Chinese language.

### Selection criteria

Inclusion criteria are as follows: (1) independently published case-control or cohort studies on the relation between PECAM-1 gene polymorphism and CHD; (2) with the genotype data of LL, LV and VV or with comprehensive statistical indicators directly or indirectly: OR or RR (relative risk) values and 95% CI (confidence interval); and (3) similar themes and methods, that is, case-control or cohort studies about the relation of the PECAM-1 gene polymorphism and CHD. The literatures were excluded if relevant data are not available or there is heterogeneity of gene polymorphism in the control population. And studies in which participants did not have documented symptoms or diagnostic evidence of CHD were excluded. For the heterogeneity test method, we use the Q-test and I<sup>2</sup> test of the RevMan 5.2 software.

### Data extraction

Two investigators independently extracted the following data with a standard protocol: 1) first author's name; 2) year of publication; 3) study design; 2) number of participants in the case and control groups; 3) patient characteristics of each group; 4) ethnicity of the study population; 4) method of screening for CHD; and 5) odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval for association with CHD. Discrepancies were resolved through a discussion between the two reviewers. In case of incomplete data on the genotype frequencies, the corresponding author of the respective article was contacted.

### Statistical analysis

For each study, we first examined whether the genotype distribution in controls was consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) by  $x^2$  test. Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan 5.2 software provided by the Cochrane Collaboration. We used Q-test and I<sup>2</sup> test to examine the heterogeneity between each study. Using the heterogeneity test, if P > 0.05, we selected the fixed effects model, and if P < 0.05, we selected the random effects model to merge the OR. P < 0.05 was considered as a

# PECAM-1 polymorphism and CHD

	Case Co		Contr	Control		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight I	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Chang et al.2007	223	600	396	725	8.1%	0.49 [0.39, 0.61]	-
Fang et al.2005	32	137	47	110	6.7%	0.41 [0.24, 0.71]	
Gardemann et al.2000	335	1170	380	1330	8.3%	1.00 [0.84, 1.19]	+
Huang et al. 2010	19	62	13	88	5.4%	2.55 [1.15, 5.67]	
Kang et al. 2008	25	95	26	89	6.2%	0.87 [0.45, 1.65]	
Listì et al. 2009	19	96	31	118	6.2%	0.69 [0.36, 1.32]	+
Listì et al.2004	121	431	21	119	6.8%	1.82 [1.09, 3.05]	
Pan et al.2006	36	90	27	115	6.4%	2.17 [1.19, 3.97]	
Reschner et al. 2009	35	142	91	310	7.2%	0.79 [0.50, 1.24]	
Sasaoka et al.2001	29	136	70	235	6.9%	0.64 [0.39, 1.05]	
Shalia et al. 2010	21	100	26	116	6.2%	0.92 [0.48, 1.76]	
Song et al.2003	40	156	10	75	5.6%	2.24 [1.05, 4.78]	
Wei et al. 2008	54	144	29	150	6.8%	2.50 [1.48, 4.24]	
Wei et al.2004	83	265	58	280	7.5%	1.75 [1.18, 2.57]	
Xu et al 2007	29	72	18	80	5.9%	2.32 [1.15, 4.70]	
Total (95% CI)		3696		3940	100.0%	1.15 [0.84, 1.56]	•
Total events	1101		1243				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.2							
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Eavours [case] Eavours [control]						

Figure 1. Forest plot of CHD and Leu125Val in a dominant model, the horizontal lines correspond to the study-specific OR and 95% CI, respectively. The area of the squares reflects the study-specific weight. The diamond represents the pooled results of OR and 95% CI.

significant difference. Sensitivity analyses were performed using the one-study remove approach to assess the impact of each study on the combined effect as previously described [32]. Assessment of publication bias was carried out by inspection of funnel plot asymmetry.

### Results

#### Flow of included studies

102 literatures were preliminarily detected, which includes 76 Chinese literatures and 36 English literatures; 67 literatures were excluded because of duplicate publication and nonclinical-based research literature. 35 studies appeared to be potentially relevant for inclusion in our study. 18 studies were further excluded because of not to detect the rs668 genotype. Therefore, 17 full-text articles were reviewed. 2 studies were further excluded for no control population. Therefore, a total of 15 articles met the inclusion criteria [16-31].

### Characteristics of included studies

The overall study population included in the current meta-analysis included 7636 subjects, of which 3696 were cases and 3940 were controls. The characteristics of included studies are summarized in **Table 1**. The 15 included

studies were published between 2000 and 2010. Genotyping of Leu125Val in the included studies was performed by either polymerase chain reaction (PCR) sequencing or PCR restriction fragment length polymorphism technique using restriction enzymes Pvull. The genotype distributions among the controls of all studies were in agreement with HWE.

### Meta-analysis

The association between rs668 polymorphism and susceptibility to CHD was analyzed in 15 independent studies. Results of the meta-analysis are shown in **Figures 1-3**. These three figures showed the result of meta-analysis of studies on the correlation between CHD and PECAM-1 polymorphism in 15 case-control studies. The systematic reviews of the included studies can be seen from these three figures, which include the number of case and control groups, weight, OR value, and 95% Cl.

The heterogeneity test of the various studies revealed heterogeneous results (P < 0.001,  $I^2 = 86\%$ ; P = 0.001,  $I^2 = 60\%$ ; and P < 0.001,  $I^2 = 80\%$ , respectively); therefore, we used the random effects model in the analysis. We did not find associations of PECAM-1 Leu125Val with CHD risk in dominant model [(LL+LV) vs VV, OR = 1.15, 95\% Cl: 0.84-1.56, P = 0.38, Figure 1], recessive model [(VV+LV) vs LL, OR = 0.96,

# PECAM-1 polymorphism and CHD

	Case	9	Contr	Control Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% Cl	M-H. Random. 95% Cl
Chang et al.2007	125	600	182	725	10.6%	0.79 [0.61, 1.02]	
Fang et al.2005	22	137	11	110	4.4%	1.72 [0.80, 3.73]	+
Gardemann et al.2000	272	1170	320	1330	11.7%	0.96 [0.79, 1.15]	+
Huang et al. 2010	11	62	28	88	4.2%	0.46 [0.21, 1.02]	
Kang et al. 2008	16	95	13	89	4.2%	1.18 [0.53, 2.63]	_ <del></del>
Listì et al. 2009	39	96	38	118	6.3%	1.44 [0.82, 2.52]	+
Listì et al.2004	102	431	35	119	7.7%	0.74 [0.47, 1.17]	+
Pan et al.2006	20	90	31	115	5.4%	0.77 [0.41, 1.48]	
Reschner et al. 2009	40	142	53	310	7.5%	1.90 [1.19, 3.04]	_ <b>.</b>
Sasaoka et al.2001	35	136	45	235	7.1%	1.46 [0.88, 2.42]	
Shalia et al. 2010	35	100	27	116	6.0%	1.77 [0.98, 3.22]	
Song et al.2003	34	156	28	75	5.9%	0.47 [0.26, 0.85]	
Wei et al. 2008	27	144	35	150	6.3%	0.76 [0.43, 1.33]	+
Wei et al.2004	46	265	64	280	8.1%	0.71 [0.46, 1.08]	
Xu et al 2007	16	72	22	80	4.6%	0.75 [0.36, 1.58]	
Total (95% CI)		3696		3940	100.0%	0.96 [0.79, 1.17]	+
Total events	840		932				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.0							
Test for overall effect: Z =							

**Figure 2.** Forest plot of CHD and Leu125Val in a recessive model, the horizontal lines correspond to the study-specific OR and 95% CI, respectively. The area of the squares reflects the study-specific weight. The diamond represents the pooled results of OR and 95% CI.

Case	•	Control O		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H. Random, 95% CI	
698	1200	939	1450	8.5%	0.76 [0.65, 0.89]	-	
147	274	127	220	6.4%	0.85 [0.59, 1.21]	-+	
1233	2340	1390	2660	8.9%	1.02 [0.91, 1.14]	+	
70	124	73	176	5.2%	1.83 [1.15, 2.91]		
104	190	102	178	5.8%	0.90 [0.60, 1.36]		
76	192	111	236	6.1%	0.74 [0.50, 1.09]	+	
450	862	105	238	7.1%	1.38 [1.04, 1.85]		
106	180	111	230	6.0%	1.54 [1.04, 2.28]		
137	284	348	620	7.2%	0.73 [0.55, 0.97]		
130	272	260	470	7.0%	0.74 [0.55, 1.00]		
86	200	115	232	6.1%	0.77 [0.52, 1.12]	+	
162	312	57	150	5.9%	1.76 [1.18, 2.62]	——	
171	288	144	300	6.7%	1.58 [1.14, 2.19]		
302	530	274	560	7.7%	1.38 [1.09, 1.76]		
85	144	76	160	5.3%	1.59 [1.01, 2.51]		
	7392		7880	100.0%	1.08 [0.92, 1.27]	•	
3957		4232					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 68.55, df = 14 (P < 0.00001); l <sup>2</sup> = 80%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.94 (P = 0.35)							
	Case Events 698 147 1233 70 104 76 450 106 137 130 86 162 171 302 85 3957 7; Chi <sup>2</sup> = :0.94 (P =	Case       Events     Total       698     1200       147     274       1233     2340       70     124       104     190       76     192       450     862       106     180       137     284       130     272       86     200       162     312       171     288       302     530       85     144       7392       3957     ''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	Case     Contr       Events     Total     Events       698     1200     939       147     274     127       1233     2340     1390       70     124     73       104     190     102       76     192     111       450     862     105       106     180     111       137     284     348       130     272     260       86     200     115       162     312     57       171     288     144       302     530     274       85     144     76       7392       3957     4232       07; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 68.55, df = 14 (Ferminet and the ferminet and the fer	Case     Control       Events     Total     Events     Total       698     1200     939     1450       147     274     127     220       1233     2340     1390     2660       70     124     73     176       104     190     102     178       76     192     111     236       450     862     105     238       106     180     111     230       137     284     348     620       130     272     260     470       86     200     115     232       162     312     57     150       171     288     144     300       302     530     274     560       85     144     76     160       7392     780       3957     4232     175     150       3957     4232     100     100       70, Chi <sup>2</sup> = 68	Case     Control       Events     Total     Events     Total     Weight       698     1200     939     1450     8.5%       147     274     127     220     6.4%       1233     2340     1390     2660     8.9%       70     124     73     176     5.2%       104     190     102     178     5.8%       76     192     111     236     6.1%       450     862     105     238     7.1%       106     180     111     230     6.0%       137     284     348     620     7.2%       130     272     260     470     7.0%       86     200     115     232     6.1%       162     312     57     150     5.9%       171     288     144     300     6.7%       302     530     274     560     7.7%       85     144     76     160 <td>Case     Control     Veight     M-H. Random, 95% CI       698     1200     939     1450     8.5%     0.76 [0.65, 0.89]       147     274     127     220     6.4%     0.85 [0.59, 1.21]       1233     2340     1390     2660     8.9%     1.02 [0.91, 1.14]       70     124     73     176     5.2%     1.83 [1.15, 2.91]       104     190     102     178     5.8%     0.90 [0.60, 1.36]       76     192     111     236     6.1%     0.74 [0.50, 1.09]       450     862     105     238     7.1%     1.38 [1.04, 1.85]       106     180     111     230     6.0%     1.54 [1.04, 2.28]       137     284     348     620     7.2%     0.73 [0.55, 0.97]       130     272     260     470     7.0%     0.74 [0.55, 1.00]       86     200     115     232     6.1%     0.77 [0.52, 1.12]       162     312     57     150     5.9%     1.76 [1.18, 2.62] &lt;</td>	Case     Control     Veight     M-H. Random, 95% CI       698     1200     939     1450     8.5%     0.76 [0.65, 0.89]       147     274     127     220     6.4%     0.85 [0.59, 1.21]       1233     2340     1390     2660     8.9%     1.02 [0.91, 1.14]       70     124     73     176     5.2%     1.83 [1.15, 2.91]       104     190     102     178     5.8%     0.90 [0.60, 1.36]       76     192     111     236     6.1%     0.74 [0.50, 1.09]       450     862     105     238     7.1%     1.38 [1.04, 1.85]       106     180     111     230     6.0%     1.54 [1.04, 2.28]       137     284     348     620     7.2%     0.73 [0.55, 0.97]       130     272     260     470     7.0%     0.74 [0.55, 1.00]       86     200     115     232     6.1%     0.77 [0.52, 1.12]       162     312     57     150     5.9%     1.76 [1.18, 2.62] <	

Figure 3. Forest plot of CHD and Leu125Val in an allele model, the horizontal lines correspond to the study-specific OR and 95% CI, respectively. The area of the squares reflects the study-specific weight. The diamond represents the pooled results of OR and 95% CI.

95% CI: 0.79-1.17, P = 0.69, Figure 2], and allele model (V vs L, OR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.92-1.27, P = 0.80, Figure 3), respectively, by a meta-analysis.

#### Test of sensitivity

For the sensitivity analysis, we deleted one single study from the overall pooled analysis each



**Figure 4.** Begg's funnel plot for publication bias tests. Each point represents a separate study for the indicated association. Log or represents natural logarithm of OR. Vertical line represents the mean effects size.

time to check the influence of the removed data set to the overall ORs. The pooled ORs and 95% CIs were not significantly altered when any part of the study was omitted, which indicated that any single study had little impact on the overall ORs.

### Publication bias

We utilized RevMan 5.2 software to analyze the publication bias; the funnel plot (**Figure 4**) showed that the points are evenly distributed and symmetrical, and most of the points are within the 95% confidence interval. And the shape of funnel plots showed no obvious asymmetry. It indicates that there is no publication bias, and the result of the study is credible.

### Discussion

In this meta-analysis, we found a polymorphism Leu125Val in PECAM-1 gene was not associated with CHD by the pooled results from 15 published studies. Current evidences indicate that a large number of genetic variations of low effect size are likely to contribute to overall risk. Pooled odds ratios calculated from meta-analysis of individual studies represent a means to generate sample sizes to examine with sufficient power whether candidate gene polymorphisms are associated with risk of a particular disease.

It has been suggested that PECAM-1 phosphorylation plays a pivotal role in platelet function and collagen-mediated activation, thereby affecting the risk of thrombus formation and potentially subsequent development of CHD. And polymorphisms of the PECAM-1 have been shown to be closely related to CHD. In the present study, we combined the results of 15 studies to pool analyze the relation between Leu125Val polymorphism and CHD. The result showed that there was not association of CHD with rs668 polymorphism of PEC-AM-1 gene.

The characteristic of metaanalysis is to combine comparable studies to increase the sample size and statisti-

cal power and draw a more compelling result. However, meta-analysis confounds factors such as publication bias, method of sampling, different genetic backgrounds of subjects, different protocols and quality of analysis. In the present study, we did not found the publication bias. All of the studies checked genotypes for quality control. Genotype distribution of controls in all studies was consistent with HWE. In addition, sensitivity analysis also showed that omission of any single study did not have significant impact on the combined ORs. This made the results of this meta-study more reliable to some extent.

However, there remained some limitations in this meta-analysis. Firstly, in the present study, we were unable to perform gender-specific analysis for the candidate SNP as genotype frequencies in most of included studies were not stratified for individual genders. Secondly, the included studies were relatively heterogenous regarding ethnicity and age. Finally, distribution of cardiovascular risk factors such as plasma lipids, blood pressure and prevalence of diabetes and obesity were not uniformly expressed in all studies, hence hindering the possibility of adjusting combined ORs for the respective parameters.

In conclusion, evidence from the current metaanalysis suggested that rs668 SNP were not found to be significantly associated with the presence of CHD. Further large studies taking into account the effects of gender, ethnicity and age are warranted to obtain a more robust assessment of the association between PECAM-1 SNP and risk of CHD.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2012-HM063) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20090131110059).

## Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Gang Li, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, The Central Hospital of Tai'an, 29, Long Tan Road, Tai'an 271000, China; Department of Vascular Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital affiliated to Shandong University, 324, Jing Wu Wei Qi Road, Jinan 250021, China. E-mail: ligang13166@163.com

# References

- [1] Li S, Guo YL, Xu RX, Zhang Y, Zhu CG, Sun J, Qing P, Wu NQ, Jiang LX, Li JJ. Association of plasma PCSK9 levels with white blood cell count and its subsets in patients with stable coronary artery disease. Atherosclerosis 2014 234: 441-5.
- [2] Bhanushali AA, Das BR. Promoter variants in interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha and risk of coronary artery disease in a population from Western India. Indian J Hum Genet 2013; 19: 430-6.
- [3] Bidulescu A, Liu J, Chen Z, Hickson DA, Musani SK, Samdarshi TE, Fox ER, Taylor HA, Gibbons GH. Associations of adiponectin and leptin with incident coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke in african americans: the jackson heart study. Front Public Health 2013; 1: 16.
- [4] Zhang C, Yi W, Cai Y, Fang S, Jiang X, Wen A, Wu Q. Percutaneous transluminal radiofrequency closure of the coronary artery in animal studies. Exp Ther Med 2013; 6: 1044-1048.
- [5] Wu SH, Neale MC, Acton AJ Jr, Considine RV, Krasnow RE, Reed T, Dai J. Genetic and environmental influences on the prospective correlation between systemic inflammation and coronary heart disease death in male twins. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2014; 34: 2168-74.
- [6] Polfus LM, Smith JA, Shimmin LC, Bielak LF, Morrison AC, Kardia SL, Peyser PA, Hixson JE. Genome-wide association study of gene by smoking interactions in coronary artery calcification. PLoS One 2013; 8: e74642.

- [7] Guo W, Liu H, Li L, Yang M, Du A. Regulation of lovastatin on a key inflammation-related microRNA in myocardial cells. Chin Med J (Engl) 2014; 127: 2977-81.
- [8] O'Sullivan JF, Neylon A, McGorrian C, Blake GJ. MicroRNA Expression in Coronary Artery Disease. Microrna 2014; 2: 205-11.
- [9] Williams MS, Rogers HL, Wang NY, Ziegelstein RC. Do platelet-derived microparticles play a role in depression, inflammation, and acute coronary syndrome? Psychosomatics 2014; 55: 252-60.
- [10] Moraes LA, Vaiyapuri S, Sasikumar P, Ali MS, Kriek N, Sage T, Gibbins JM. Antithrombotic actions of statins involve PECAM-1 signaling. Blood 2013; 122: 3188-96.
- [11] Mohan CC, Chennazhi KP, Menon D. In vitro hemocompatibility and vascular endothelial cell functionality on titania nanostructures under static and dynamic conditions for improved coronary stenting applications. Acta Biomater 2013; 9: 9568-77.
- [12] Busch R, Strohbach A, Rethfeldt S, Walz S, Busch M, Petersen S, Felix S, Sternberg K. New stent surface materials: the impact of polymerdependent interactions of human endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, and platelets. Acta Biomater 2014; 10: 688-700.
- [13] Mohan CC, Chennazhi KP, Menon D. In vitro hemocompatibility and vascular endothelial cell functionality on titania nanostructures under static and dynamic conditions for improved coronary stenting applications. Acta Biomater 2013; 9: 9568-77.
- [14] Zhang X, Xu Y, Thomas V, Bellis SL, Vohra YK. Engineering an antiplatelet adhesion layer on an electrospun scaffold using porcine endothelial progenitor cells. J Biomed Mater Res A 2011; 97: 145-51.
- [15] Ming Z, Hu Y, Xiang J, Polewski P, Newman PJ, Newman DK. Lyn and PECAM-1 function as interdependent inhibitors of platelet aggregation. Blood 2011; 117: 3903-6.
- [16] Gardemann A, Knapp A, Katz N, Tillmanns H, Haberbosch W. No evidence for the CD31 C/G gene polymorphism as an independent risk factor of coronary heart disease. Thromb Haemost 2000; 83: 629.
- [17] Sasaoka T, Kimura A, Hohta SA, Fukuda N, Kurosawa T, Izumi T. Polymorphisms in the platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM-1) gene, Asn563Ser and Gly670Arg, associated with myocardial infarction in the Japanese. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2001; 947: 259-69.
- [18] Song FC, Chen AH, Tang XM, Zhang WX, Qian XX, Li JQ, Lu Q. Association of platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 gene polymorphism with coronary heartdisease. Di Yi Jun Yi Da Xue Xue Bao 2003; 23: 156-8.

- [19] Listì F, Candore G, Lio D, Cavallone L, Colonna-Romano G, Caruso M, Hoffmann E, Caruso C. Association between platelet endothelial cellular adhesion molecule 1 (PECAM-1/CD31) polymorphisms and acute myocardial infarction: a study in patients from Sicily. Eur J Immunogenet 2004; 31: 175-8.
- [20] Wei H, Fang L, Chowdhury SH, Gong N, Xiong Z, Song J, Mak KH, Wu S, Koay E, Sethi S, Lim YL, Chatterjee S. Platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 gene polymorphism and its soluble level are associated with severe coronary artery stenosis in Chinese Singaporean. Clin Biochem 2004; 37: 1091-7.
- [21] Fang L, Wei H, Chowdhury SH, Gong N, Song J, Heng CK, Sethi S, Koh TH, Chatterjee S. Association of Leu125Val polymorphism of platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM-1) gene & soluble level of PECAM-1 with coronary artery disease in Asian Indians. Indian J Med Res 2005; 121: 92-9.
- [22] Pan M, Jiang WP, Liu ZH, Yang XJ, Zhu JH, Yuan J, Wu Xiang. Relation between polymorphism of platelet endothelial cell adhesion mole-cule-1 (PECAM-1) gene and coronary artery disease in Chinese population. Jiang Su Yi Yao 2006; 32: 366-368.
- [23] Xu Y, Shi YY. Association of polymorphism of platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM-1) gene with coronary artery disease. Qiqhar Yi Xue Yuan Xue Bao 2007; 28: 2712-2714.
- [24] Kang AC, Ao CH, Guo HY, Qi LT, Huo Y. Association of platelet endothelial cellular adhesion molecule-1 gene polymorphisms and its plasma level with severe coronary atherosclerosis. Zhonghua Lao Nian Duo Qi Guan Ji Bing Za Zhi 2008; 7: 84-86.
- [25] Chang ZT, Chen J, Cheng LX, Zhao F, Mao XB, Yang Y, Hu P. Relation between polymorphism of platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 gene Leul25Val and coronary heart disease. Chin J Geriatr Heart Brain Vessel Dis 2007; 9: 664-667.

- [26] Wei YS, Lan Y, Liu YG, Meng LQ, Xu QQ, Xie HY. Platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 gene polymorphism and its soluble level are associated with ischemic stroke. DNA Cell Biol 2009; 28: 151-8.
- [27] Listì F, Caruso C, Balistreri CR, Grimaldi MP, Caruso M, Caimi G, Hoffmann E, Lio D, Candore G. PECAM-1/CD31 in infarction and longevity. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007; 1100: 132-9.
- [28] Listì F, Caruso C, Di Carlo D, Falcone C, Boiocchi C, Cuccia M, Candore G. Association between platelet endothelial cellular adhesion molecule-1 polymorphisms and atherosclerosis: results of a study on patients from northern Italy. Rejuvenation Res 2010; 13: 237-41.
- [29] Reschner H, Milutinovic A, Petrovič D. The PECAM-1 gene polymorphism - a genetic marker of myocardial infarction. Cent Eur J Biol 2009; 4: 515-20.
- [30] Shalia KK, Mashru MR, Soneji SL, Shah VK, Payannavar S, Walvalkar A, Mokal RA, Mithbawkar SM, Kudalkar KV, Abraham A, Thakur PK. Leucine125Valine (Leu125Val) Gene Polymorphism of Platelet Endothelial Cell Adhesion Molecule-1 (PECAM-1) and Myocardial Infarction in Indian Population. Indian J Clin Biochem 2010; 25: 273-9.
- [31] Zhang Y, Tian Y, Dong J, Li L, Dong Z, Deng X. Application of a multiplex SNP genotyping system in predicting genetic susceptibility to CAD in Chinese people of Han ethnicity. Med Sci Monit 2010; 16: BR38-95.
- [32] Hamirani YS, Wong A, Kramer CM, Salerno M. Effect of Microvascular Obstruction and Intramyocardial Hemorrhage by CMR on LV Remodeling and Outcomes After Myocardial Infarction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2014; 7: 940-952.