IMMUNOLOGY ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Knockdown of T-bet expression in Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅-specific T-cell-receptor-engineered human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells attenuates effector function

Sidharth S. Jha, Nitya G. Chakraborty, Prashant Singh, Bijay Mukherji and David I. Dorsky Department of Medicine, University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT, USA

doi:10.1111/imm.12431 Received 26 August 2014; revised 05 December 2014; accepted 09 December 2014.

Correspondence: David I. Dorsky, Department of Medicine, University of Connecticut Health Center, 263 Farmington Avenue, Farmington, CT 06030, USA. Email: dorsky@nso2.uchc.edu Senior author: David I. Dorsky

Summary

Gene transfer to create tumour epitope-specific cytolytic T cells for adoptive immunotherapy of cancer remains an area of active inquiry. When the Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅-specific DMF5 T-cell receptor (TCR) is transferred into peripheral human CD4⁺ T cells, the reprogrammed cells exhibit a T helper type 1 (Th1) phenotype with significant multifactorial effector capabilities. The T-bet transcription factor plays an important role in determination of the Th1 differentiation pathway. To gain a deeper understanding of how T-bet controls the outcome of human T-cell reprogramming by gene transfer, we developed a system for examining the effects of short hairpin RNA-mediated T-bet gene knockdown in sorted cell populations uniformly expressing the knockdown construct. In this system, using activated peripheral human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells, T-bet knockdown led to attenuation of the interferon-y response to both antigen-specific and non-specific TCR stimulation. The interleukin-2 (IL-2) antigen-specific response was not attenuated by T-bet knockdown. Also, in TCR-reprogrammed CD8⁺ cells, the cytolytic effector response was attenuated by T-bet knockdown. T-bet knockdown did not cause redirection into a Th2 differentiation pathway, and no increased IL-4, IL-10, or IL-17 response was detected in this system. These results indicate that T-bet expression is required for maintenance of the CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ effector phenotypes in TCR-reprogrammed human T cells. They also suggest that the activation protocol necessary for transduction with retrovectors and lentivectors may commit the reprogrammed cells to the Th1 phenotype, which cannot be altered by T-bet knockdown but that there is, nevertheless, a continuous requirement of T-bet expression for interferon-y gene activation.

Keywords: knockdown; Mart1; T-bet.

Introduction

Early clinical trials of adoptive immunotherapy for human cancers such as melanoma and lymphoma indicate that it is a promising approach.^{1,2} The discovery of ever-increasing numbers of human tumour-specific epitopes has led to the use of T-cell receptor (TCR) gene transfer to create tumour epitope-specific cytolytic T cells (CTL) for adoptive immunotherapy. Therefore, consideration has been given to the redirection of both CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells to recognize MHC class I determinants by engineered expression of MHC class I-restricted TCR genes, which has been

accomplished by several groups in human cells^{1,3–8} and mouse cells.^{9–11} Although the use of TCR-engineered CD8⁺ as anti-tumour CTL has been the focus of studies directed at bringing them into clinical practice, recent work on TCR-engineered CD4⁺ cells has raised interest because of their effector properties that suggest they may play a role in the development of anti-tumour adoptive immunotherapy. Such MHC class I epitope-specific CD4⁺ cells exhibit mixed [T helper type 1 (Th1) and Th2] cytokine profiles,^{4,5,7} may function as CTL-type effectors,^{3,6,12} and may provide cognate help toward the generation of memory CTL in mice.^{9–11} Human CD4⁺ cells reprogrammed with MHC class I-restricted TCR directed against the MART- 1_{27-35} epitope display a Th1 phenotype with potent antigen-stimulated release of interferon- γ (IFN- γ).³ These TCR-engineered CD4⁺ cells exhibit antigen-induced cell proliferation, display a multifunctional effector phenotype, and can provide a cognate helper function for generation of MART- 1_{27-35} CD8⁺ natural CTL.¹² Since the generation of inflammatory Th1 effectors and type 1 CTL appears to be imperative for the development of effective adoptive immunotherapy, it would be useful to better understand the control of the determination of these phenotypes.

Little is known about how T cells reprogrammed by TCR gene transfer differ from anti-tumour T cells derived from patients, whether peripheral or tumour-infiltrative. Furthermore, the optimum conditions for creating potent anti-tumour T cells by TCR gene transfer are not understood and are presently subject to considerable technical constraints. In contrast with transgenic murine systems, human T cells may only be manipulated in vitro, creating hurdles for understanding processes such as events in early activation, but there is considerable knowledge available about certain human anti-tumour T-cell responses, especially for melanomas.^{3,13,14} However, there are significant differences between mice and humans that must be addressed experimentally to refine adoptive immunotherapy with TCR-engineered human T cells. Lack of persistence of efficacy appears to be associated with problems of exhaustion, loss of proliferation potential, activation induced cell death, and lack of a cognate 'help' function for the adopted TCR-transgenic T cells.¹⁵ Although these problems have been studied in murine systems, there is a clear requirement for focused analysis that is human-specific.

Antigen-specific anti-tumour Th1 and type 1 cytotoxic T cells (Tc1) are the principal components of adoptive immunotherapy for cancers. Th1 cells help Tc1 cells by producing interleukin-2 (IL-2) and IFN- γ , $^{6,16-18}$ essential for a potent antigen-specific CD8⁺ CTL response.^{12,19,20} In this sense, the role of the transcription factor T-bet, a principal determinant of both Th1 and Tc1 differentiation, becomes important. Ectopic expression or small interfering RNA-mediated knockdown of either GATA-3 or T-bet can also affect polarization in mouse CD4⁺ T cells.²¹ The transcription factor T-bet directs the Th1 lineage commitment and is required for IFN- γ expression in naive CD4⁺ T cells but not CD8⁺ T cells.^{22,23} In T-bet knockout mice, CD4⁺ cells fail to generate Th1 responses and default to the Th2 pathway.²³ Interferon- γ can, as well, induce the expression of T-bet through the signal transduction and activation of transcription 1 (STAT1) pathway,²⁴ whereas IL-12 drives Th1 commitment through the STAT4 pathway.²⁵ Th2 lineage commitment appears to be driven by GATA-3 through down-regulation of STAT4 and Th2 lineage commitment is suppressed by T-bet through STAT4 induction.²⁶ Recent studies indicate that T-bet

cooperates with the transcription factor Runx during CD4⁺ Th1 differentation to activate the IFN- γ gene and silence IL-4 expression.^{27,28} GATA-3 is expressed in CD4⁺ T cells committed to the Th2 lineage²⁹ through specifying the transcriptional competence of the Th2 cytokine gene cluster, which encodes IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13.^{30,31} Therefore, T-bet primarily acts by opposing GATA-3 action, suggesting that Th2 polarization is really the default mode.

We examined the effects of knocking down T-bet gene expression using lentivector-expressed T-bet short hairpin RNA (shRNA) in TCR-engineered human peripheral $CD4^+$ $CD25^-$ and $CD8^+$ T cells. T-bet knockdown in both $CD4^+$ $CD25^-$ and $CD8^+$ cells caused attenuation of IFN- γ expression in response to TCR stimulation either non-specifically with anti-CD3 antibody or with antigen, without affecting IL-2 expression or causing stimulated release of Th2 or Th17 cytokines. Furthermore, cytotoxic effector function of TCR-engineered CD8⁺ cells was attenuated by T-bet knockdown. This system for stable shRNA-mediated knockdown of gene expression in TCR-engineered human T cells should allow the further dissection of factors influencing the differentiation and anti-tumour potency of these cells.

Materials and methods

Study population, cell lines, culture medium and reagents

Healthy adult donors were enrolled and consented with Institutional Review Board approval. Culturing and separation of CD4⁺ CD25⁻, CD8⁺ T cells using magnetic beads were described previously.3,12 The antigen-presenting T2 cell line deficient for transporter for antigen presentation (TAP-deficient) was a gift from P. Cresswell, Department of Immunobiology, Yale University.³² Mart-127-35 and MAGE-3271-279 peptides were purchased from NeoMPS (San Diego, CA). Culture medium consisted of Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (IMDM; Invitrogen Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gemini Bioproducts, Calabasas, CA). Recombinant human IL-2 (rIL-2), rIL-4 and rIL-15 were purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). Primary antibodies anti-hCD3 and anti-hCD28 were purchased from eBiosciences (San Diego, CA) and anti-T-bet (sc-21749), anti-lamin-B1 (sc-377000) and anti-perforin-1 (sc-33655) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX). Purified mouse anti-human IFN-y monoclonal antibody (554548) was procured from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). For FACS staining anti-T-bet (561265), anti-hIFN-γ (560371), anti-hIL-4 (560672), anti-hIL-10 (554707), anti-hCD107a (555801) and antigranzyme-B (560213) antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences; anti-hIL-2 antibody (500322) was procured from Biolegend (San Diego, CA).

S. S. Jha et al.

T-bet shRNA lentivector construction

Lentivector pLLU2G to be used for RNAi, employing a U6 RNA polymerase III promoter to drive shRNA expression and a human Ubc promoter to drive enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) expression,33 was obtained from Addgene (Cambridge, MA). Three candidate target sequences (shT-bet2-4) for silencing human T-bet (Gene bank: BC039739.2) were selected by using the shRNA selection tool available at http://sirna.wi.mit.edu/ while the fourth sequence (shT-bet1) was previously described.³⁴ The T-bet shRNA target sequences (Table 1) were inserted into pLLU2G vector between unique HpaI and XhoI sites and DNA sequencing of inserts and surrounding vector sequences was carried out for confirmation.35 Lentivector stocks were prepared by transient co-transfection of 293T cells with lentiviral vector plasmid, helper plasmid $\Delta 8.9$ expressing pol proteins, a plasmid expressing VSV-G protein and a plasmid expressing Rev protein simultaneously using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) as per the manufacturer's protocol.³⁶ The culture medium containing lentivirus was harvested 48 hr post transfection, filtered, then concentrated 40-fold by centrifugation at 56 600 g for 90 min. Concentrated virus suspended in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS was frozen at -80° until use. Lentivector stocks were titered by measuring eGFP⁺ focusforming units on 293T cells and stocks of approximately 1×10^7 focus-forming units/ml were consistently obtained.

Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ epitope TCR retrovector

The Mart-1_{27–35} epitope-specific retrovector TCR, named DMF5, was obtained from a constitutive GaLV envelope PG13 packaging cell line generously provided by Dr Steven Rosenberg (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).^{12,13,37} Viral supernatants were harvested from subconfluent cells in DMEM with 10% FBS.

Transduction of CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells with lentivirus, retrovirus, culture and sorting

 $CD4^+$ $CD25^-$ and $CD8^+$ T cells were activated by culturing with plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies (5 µg each) with 100 U/ml IL-2. After 48 hr, the cells were

Table 1. Four target sequences for knock-down of T-bet gene expression in primary human T cells

Name	Target sequence
shTbet1_FP	5' CGCTTCCAACACGCATATCTT 3'
shTbet2_FP	5' GCCTGGACCCAACTGTCAATT 3'
shTbet3_FP	5' GCCTACCAGAATGCCGAGATT 3'
shTbet4_FP	5' GTCCAACAATGTGACCCAGAT 3'

transduced with lentivector using Polybrene (8 μ g/ml) and centrifugation at 1200 *g* for 90 min at room temperature. The lentivector-transduced infected cells were then cultured for 24 hr in IMDM with 10% FBS and IL-2 before infecting them with DMF5 TCR retrovector as described previously.¹² After 48 hr the infected cells were stained with Mart-1_{27–35} epitope-specific tetramer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) and analysed for both GFP and tetramer expression with the FACScalibur (BD Biosciences). The GFP⁺ lentivector-transduced cells were sorted on the FACS Aria (BD Biosciences) after culturing the cells in IMDM with 10% FBS and IL-15 (100 U/ml) for 7–10 days.

Cytokine release assays

T2 cells (5000) were pulsed with either control Mage- $3_{271-279}$ peptide or Mart- 1_{27-35} peptide at 25 µg/ml in complete medium for 30 min. To the peptide-pulsed T2 cells a 10-fold excess of engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ or CD8⁺ T cells was added in a volume of 200 µl/well and incubated for 16 hr. The supernatants were collected and cytokines (IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, IL-17 and IFN- γ) were measured by ELISA (R&D Systems).

Intracellular staining of T cells

Engineered T cells co-cultured with peptide-pulsed T2 cells for 1 hr or 5 hr. These cultures were treated with Brefeldin A and incubated for 5 hr or overnight, respectively. The cells were then permeabilized, stained with fluorescent antibodies, and analysed with a MACSQuant (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch, Gladbach, Germany). Flow cytometric data were analysed with FLOWJO (TreeStar, Ashland, OR).

Cytotoxic T-cell degranulation assay

The cytotoxic effector function of engineered CD8⁺ T cells was assessed by an antigen-induced degranulation assay, measuring antigen-induced surface exposure of lysosomal-associated membrane glycoprotein-1 or CD107a.³⁸ The CTL degranulation assay was performed by incubating 1×10^5 CD8⁺ T cells and phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-CD107a antibody with either non-specific plate-bound anti-CD3 antibody (1 µg) or antigen-pulsed T2 cells for 5 hr. Then Brefeldin A was added and the cells were incubated overnight before harvesting the cells for FACS.

Results

Silencing T-bet gene expression in Jurkat human T cells

Jurkat human CD4⁺ T cells were transduced with control lentivector pLLU2G and four vectors specifying different

human T-bet shRNA. For each vector, two million GFPpositive transduced cells were sorted and nuclear protein extracts were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-T-bet antibodies; anti-Lamin B1 was used as a loading control. Of the four T-bet shRNA constructs, two (T-bet2 and T-bet 3) showed effective silencing of T-bet expression in nuclear extracts, and the T-bet2 construct was chosen for further studies (Fig. 1a).

T-bet silencing in Mart- 1_{27-35} TCR-engineered primary human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells

Flow cytometric analysis of CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ cells transduced with either control or T-bet shRNA lentivectors and the F5M1 retrovirus expressing a Mart- 1_{27-35} -specific TCR. In cells transduced with the control vector, T-bet expression following anti-CD3 antibody restimulation was demonstrated by intracellular staining and flow cytometry. Mart- 1_{27-35} TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ cell populations transduced with the T-bet shRNA construct showed significantly reduced numbers of cells expressing T-bet, compared with the cells transduced with the control lentivector, when the cells were restimulated with anti-CD3 antibody (Fig. 1b,c; central panel).

Non-specific stimulation of T-bet silenced Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ TCR-engineered human T cells

Mart-1_{27–35} TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ cells transduced with either control or T-bet knockdown lentivectors were stimulated non-specifically with anti-CD3 antibody, and the IFN- γ response was studied by intracellular staining and flow cytometry. Interferon- γ expression was found to be significantly reduced in the cells transduced with the T-bet shRNA construct (Fig. 1b, c; lowest panel).

Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ antigen-specific stimulation of T-bet silenced TCR-engineered human T cells

CD4⁺ CD25⁻ were transduced with the F5M1 Mart- 1_{27-35} TCR retrovector and lentivectors expressing control or T-bet shRNA and were sorted for GFP expression and exposed to the cognate peptide (Mart- 1_{27-35}) or a control peptide (MAGE- $3_{271-279}$) with T2 antigen-presenting cells (Fig. 2a, b). The cognate Mart- 1_{27-35} peptide on an appropriate antigen-presenting cell triggered a potent release of both IFN- γ and IL-2 from the TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells transduced with the control lentivector (Fig. 2c,d).



Figure 1. Knockdown of T-bet in Mart- 1_{27-35} T-cell receptor (TCR) -engineered primary human T cells causes reduced interferon- γ (IFN- γ) expression. Nuclear protein fractions were isolated from Jurkat cells transduced with or without control lentivirus or lentivirus engineered to express four different short hairpin (sh) RNA sequences to silence T-bet gene expression. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) positive lentivirus-infected cells were sorted to a purity of > 95%. The nuclear protein fractions were resolved on SDS–PAGE and immunoblotted with Anti-T-bet and Anti-Lamin-B antibodies. (a) Nuclear protein fraction: lane C, uninfected Jurkat cells; lane V, Jurkat cells infected with lentivirus harbouring empty vector pLLU2G; lanes T1 to T4, Jurkat cells transduced with lentivirus harbouring pLLU2G vector engineered to express shT-bet1, shT-bet2, shT-bet3 and shTbet4, respectively. (b, c) Primary human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ cells were activated by plate bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies in the presence of interleukin-2 (IL-2). These cells were sorted (top panel) and restimulated with plate bound anti-CD3 antibody (overnight) before intracellular staining for T-bet and IFN- γ . The data shown here are representative of five (for CD4⁺ CD25⁻) and three (for CD8⁺) independent experiments.

S. S. Jha et al.



Figure 2. Decline in interferon- γ (IFN- γ) expression on Mart-1_{27–35} antigen-specific stimulation of T-bet knocked down primary human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells engineered to express Mart-1_{27–35} T-cell receptor (TCR). Primary human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells were activated by plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies in the presence of interleukin-2 (IL-2). These cells were then engineered to express Mart-1_{27–35} TCR infecting them with F5M1 retrovirus and/or lentivirus with control or T-bet short hairpin (sh) RNA. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) -positive lentivirus-infected cells were sorted (a) and Mart-1_{27–35} TCR-engineered cells were estimated by tetramer staining (b). Intracellular IFN- γ (c) and IL-2 (d) expression levels were estimated by co-culture of T2 cells pulsed with either control peptide (MAGE-3_{271–279}) or cognate peptide (Mart-1_{27–35}) with the T-bet knocked-down CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells. Antigen-specific cytokine release of IFN- γ , IL-2, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-17 in supernatant was estimated ~16 hr following co-culture by ELISA (e). The data shown here are representative of five independent experiments.

However, the Mart-1_{27–35} TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells transduced with the T-bet shRNA lentivector exhibited an IL-2 response similar to cells transduced with the control lentivector, but a significantly attenuated IFN- γ response (Fig. 2c,d). The attenuated IFN- γ response to antigen due to T-bet silencing was not only evident from intracellular staining of IFN- γ and IL-2 (Fig. 2c,d) but also by ELISA of culture supernatants (Fig. 2e). Besides confirming the results of the intracellular staining, the cytokine release assay failed to detect any differences in the release of IL-4, IL-10, or IL-17 in the antigen-stimulated CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells due to T-bet silencing (Fig. 2e).

In a similar manner, activated human CD8⁺ cells were transduced with Mart-127-35 TCR F5M1 retrovirus and T-bet knockdown or control lentiviruses. The transduced GFP⁺ CD8⁺ cells were then sorted and subjected to antigen-specific stimulation with T2 antigen-presenting cells pulsed with either Mart-127-35 or control MAGE-3271-279 peptides (Fig. 3a,b). Antigen stimulation elicited a potent IL-2 response from the TCR-engineered CD8⁺ cells that was not affected by T-bet silencing, but the IFN- γ response was significantly attenuated by T-bet silencing when studied by intracellular staining and flow cytometry (Fig. 3c,d). The effect of T-bet silencing on antigen-stimulated release of IFN- γ and IL-2 showed a similar pattern when studied in an antigen-specific cytokine release assay, using ELISA (Fig. 3e). The cytokine release assay failed to detect any differences in the release of IL-4, IL-10 and IL-17 in the antigen-stimulated CD8⁺ cells due to T-bet silencing (Fig. 3e).

T-bet knockdown with Th2 polarizing conditions in human $CD4^+$ $CD25^-$ T cells

In primary human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells engineered to express Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ TCR cultured in the presence of IL-15, knockdown of T-bet did not lead to antigen-triggered elaboration of Th2 cytokines (IL-4 and IL-10). Because the activation and transduction protocol was carried out under slightly Th1-polarizing conditions, we asked if the imposition of Th2-polarizing culture conditions (IL-4 and anti-IFN- γ) after the engineering with TCR and T-bet knockdown vectors would lead to a different outcome. The human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells engineered with TCR and T-bet knockdown vectors, with appropriate controls, were cultured under Th2 polarizing conditions for 48 hr before exposure to antigen, then analysed for intracellular levels of T-bet, IFN-y, IL-4 and IL-10 by FACS (Fig. 4). No antigeninduced intracellular expression of IL-4 (Fig. 4c) or IL-10 (Fig. 4d) was observed in TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells knocked-down for T-bet expression.

Effect of T-bet silencing on CD8⁺ cell cytoxicity

Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ TCR-engineered CD8⁺ cells exhibit antigenspecific cytotoxicity in addition to antigen-triggered cytokine release accompanied by surface display of CD107a, which is a surrogate assay for the detection of cytotoxicity mediated by release of perforin and granzyme into the immune synapse formed between CD8⁺ effectors and their targets.³⁸ T-bet silencing significantly attenuated the CD107a surface display in TCR-engineered CD8⁺ cells stimulated non-specifically with anti-CD3 antibody (Fig. 5a). Also, Figure 5(b) shows that T-bet silencing of Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ TCR-engineered CD8⁺ cells significantly attenuated antigen-stimulated CD107a surface display.

Effect of T-bet knockdown on expression of granzyme-B and perforin-1 in TCR-engineered human $CD4^+$ $CD25^-$ and $CD8^+$ T cells

Antigen-specific cytolytic function has been described for TCR-engineered human CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells,³⁹ and has been associated with both perforin and granzyme-B expression.3 We looked at the affect of T-bet knockdown on antigen-induced expression of granzyme-B and perforin-1 in human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells engineered with the Mart-127-35 TCR (Fig. 6). Intracellular granzyme-B and perforin-1 expression in TCR-engineered human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ cells were analysed by FACS with and without T-bet knock-down (Fig. 6). First, we observed no effect of Mart-127-35 antigen stimulation on granzyme-B-positive CD4⁺ T cells, but the percentage of perforin-1-positive cells increased (Fig. 6a,b). Knockdown of T-bet in these CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells did not affect the number of granzyme-B-positive cells but impaired the increase of perforin-1-positive cells on antigen-specific stimulation (Fig. 6a,b). In TCR-engineered CD8⁺ cells, T-bet knockdown had no significant effect on the number of granzyme-B-positive cells, even though there were significantly more in CD8⁺ than in CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells (Fig. 6c). The antigen-stimulated increase in the perforin-1-positive Mart-127-35 TCR-engineered CD8⁺ T cells were attenuated by T-bet knockdown (Fig. 6d).

Discussion

Adoptive immunotherapy with TCR-engineered T cells appears to offer an attractive approach to the treatment of human cancers. The prospect, however, is fraught with limitations, some of which derive from an incomplete understanding of the fundamental processes involved in TCR gene transfer to peripheral human lymphocytes. As TCR gene transfer using lentivectors and/or retrovectors requires activation of these cells to effect the transduction, the effect of activation appears to affect the subsequent differentiation and effector functions of the transduced cells. Human peripheral CD4⁺ T cells engineered to express an MHC class I-restricted MART-1_{27–35} epitopespecific TCR consistently exhibited a Th1 effector phenotype.^{3,12} This observation led us hypothesize that either

S. S. Jha et al.



Figure 3. Decrease in interferon- γ (IFN- γ) expression on Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ antigen-specific stimulation of T-bet knocked down primary human CD8⁺ T cells engineered to express Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ T-cell receptor (TCR). Primary human CD8⁺ T cells were activated by plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies in the presence of interleukin-2 (IL-2). These cells were then engineered to express Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ TCR by infecting them with F5M1 retrovirus and/or lentivirus with control or T-bet short hairpin (sh) RNA. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) -positive lentivirus-infected cells were sorted (a) and Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ TCR engineered cells were estimated by tetramer staining (b). Intracellular IFN- γ (c) and IL-2 (d) expression levels were estimated by co-culture of T2 cells pulsed with either control peptide (MAGE-3₂₇₁₋₂₇₉) or cognate peptide (Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅) with the T-bet knocked down CD8⁺ T cells. Antigen-specific cytokine release of IFN- γ , IL-2, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-17 in supernatant was estimated ~16 hr following co-culture by ELISA (e). The data shown here are representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 4. Effect of T helper type 2 (Th2) polarizing culture conditions on T-bet knocked-down primary human T-cell receptor (TCR) -engineeered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells. Primary human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells were activated by plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies in the presence of interleukin-2 (IL-2) and then engineered with the F5 Mart-127-35 TCR retrovector and control or Tbet short hairpin (sh) RNA lentivectors. These cells were cultured under Th2 polarizing condition [IL-2 at 100 U/ml + IL-4 at 1000 U/ml + anti-human interferon- γ (IFN- γ) at 1 µg/ml] for 48 hr. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) -positive CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells were gated to determine intracellular expression of T-bet (a), IFN- γ (b), IL-4 (c) and IL-10 (d) in T cells co-cultured with T2 cells pulsed with either control peptide (MAGE-3271-279) or cognate peptide (Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅).



the activation conditions or the TCR, itself, were responsible for the polarization and we further hypothesized that by interfering with the expression of a principal determinant of Th1 differentiation, namely T-bet, that we could affect the downstream effector function of the engineered T cells. Also, as T-bet mouse knockouts lack Th1 cells and exhibit a preponderance of Th2 cells,^{22,23} we also hypothesized that by interfering with T-bet expression and Th1 differentiation, we might find that TCRengineered CD4⁺ T cells might express a new phenotype with down-regulated IFN- γ production and up-regulation of Th2 cytokines such as IL-4 or IL-10.

To test these hypotheses we developed a robust system for studying the effects of shRNA-mediated gene knockdown in TCR-engineered human T cells. The system allowed the generation of near-homogeneous populations of shRNA-expressing cells by live sorting for transduced cells that could be further characterized for effector function and stimulated cytokine release in culture and by FACS. We were able to obtain information about the role of T-bet in TCR-engineered human T lymphocytes that allowed comparison with results obtained in mice that could have important implications for the design of more sophisticated approaches to the use of TCR-engineered T cells in cancer therapy.

The evidence shows that we generated stable, nearly homogeneous populations of human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells with reduced T-bet expression achieved through shRNA-mediated knock-down. The T-bet target

sequence proving most effective for shRNA-mediated knockdown of expression is distinct from previously reported T-bet target sequences. The shRNA expression vector chosen expressed GFP driven by the Ubi-C promoter, which is active in human T lymphocytes in early stages of activation, enabled us to perform live sorting and analysis after transduction. A similar approach to achieving gene expression knockdown in human T cells has recently been described.⁴⁰ The effect of the activation methods employed before transduction may have contributed to the differentiated state of the engineered cells, therefore anti-CD3 stimulation of TCR signalling in human T cells would tend to promote Th1 differentiation. Since lentivector and retrovector transduction does not occur in quiescent human T cells, some activation method must be employed for this technical approach, and it seems likely that activation methods other than the one we employed may allow generation of transduced populations with different effector phenotypes.

Previous reports had shown differences in the effects of T-bet knockdown on effector function triggered by polyclonal or antigen-specific TCR stimulation,^{23,41} we chose to study the effects of T-bet knockdown in a system that could be stimulated through the TCR by either method. CD8⁺ T cells from T-bet knockout mice responded normally to polyclonal TCR stimulation,²³ whereas CD8⁺ T cells from OT-1 T-bet knockout mice showed attenuated effector responses to stimulation by the OT-1 cognate OVA₂₅₇₋₂₆₄ epitopic peptide.⁴¹ In our human experimental



Figure 5. Attenuation of cytotoxic effector function in T-bet knocked down primary human CD8⁺ T cells engineered to express Mart-127-35 T-cell receptor (TCR). Primary human CD8⁺ T cells were activated by plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies in the presence of interleukin-2 (IL-2). These cells were then engineered to express Mart-127-35 TCR by infecting them with F5M1 retrovirus and/or lentivirus with control or T-bet short hairpin (sh) RNA. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) -positive lentivirusinfected cells were sorted. These cells were either restimulated with anti-CD3 antibody or co-cultured with T2 cells pulsed with either control peptide (MAGE-3₂₇₁₋₂₇₉) or cognate peptide (Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅). Cytotoxicity or degranulation of these engineered human CD8⁺ T cells was measured by surface exposure of CD107a either on nonspecific anti-CD3 antibody stimulation. (This result is from a single experiment) (a) or Mart-127-35 antigen-specific stimulation (the data shown here are representative of three independent experiments) (b).

system, both forms of TCR stimulation yielded similar results, suggesting that we have identified a distinction between the roles of T-bet in murine and human CD8⁺ effector function.

We found that in primary human Mart- 1_{27-35} TCRengineered T cells (CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺), knockdown of T-bet expression did not interfere with IL-2 release with Mart- 1_{27-35} antigen-specific TCR stimulation. This finding was not consistent with results obtained in murine systems and served as a valuable internal experimental control.^{22,41,42} Although IL-2 is a pleotropic regulator of T-cell differentiation, its expression is not known to be directly controlled by T-bet.⁴³

Interferon- γ gene expression, in contrast, is positively regulated, in part, by T-bet by virtue of the presence of

several T-bet binding sites in the IFN- γ gene regulatory region. As there are numerous other transcription factor binding sites within that region, the positive regulatory effect of T-bet cannot be the sole determinant of IFN- γ expression, but appears to have a prominent role.^{44–48} Furthermore, the present study indicates that, in the case of IFN- γ expression, T-bet does not operate as a permissive determinant, or differentiation switch, but is required for an effective MART-1_{27–35} antigen-specific anti-tumour response.

T-bet knockout mice lack Th1 CD4⁺ T cells but have prominent Th2 CD4⁺ T-cell responses to antigen,²³ and the mechanism underlying this phenomenon appears to be that GATA-3 drives Th2 differentiation as a default pathway, but T-bet antagonizes the effect of GATA-3, driving Th1 differentiation.^{23,47,49} The generation of antigen-specific Th2 or Treg cells by the manipulation of TCR-engineered cells is certainly a worthy goal with translational implications, and we hypothesized that knock-down of T-bet expression in naive human CD4⁺ cells might lead to Th2-type differentation due to unopposed GATA-3 action. In our system, the effect of knocking-down T-bet expression in TCR-engineered CD4⁺ cells did not vield Th2 cells, as no antigen-stimulated release of IL-4 or IL-10 was detected. As the pre-transduction activation step in our system involved TCR stimulation with anti-CD3 antibody, it may be that the initial period of activation was sufficient to oppose GATA-3 action to activate the Th2-specific regulatory loci. Furthermore, culturing the T-bet knockdown Mart-127-35 TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ cells in Th2 polarizing conditions (IL-4 plus anti-IFN- γ) following transduction (Fig. 4) still did not skew these cells towards the Th2 phenotype. Also, previous work with this system examined the effects of varying the intensity of the antigenic stimulus on differentiation, showing that reduction by four orders of magnitude diminished the intensity of the response but did not skew toward the Th2 phenotype.¹² Our results may reflect important differences between the roles of T-bet in human and mouse T-cell differentiation. A better understanding of the interplay of the various regulatory factors early after activation and T-bet knockdown may be obtained by examination of the state of chromatin remodelling in these engineered cells.

Although T-bet is a principal determinant of CD4⁺ differentiation, and appears to be required for IFN- γ gene expression, the present study shows that it plays a role in the maintenance of human CD8⁺ T-cell effector function. CD107a is a marker expressed on the cell surface following activation-induced degranulation, a necessary precursor of cytolysis by CD8⁺ T cells³⁸ that has been used to characterize TCR-engineered human T cells.^{3,12} Using this assay, we found that knocking down T-bet expression in TCR-engineered CD8⁺ T cells attenuated their cytolytic effector function, which is distinct from IFN- γ or IL-2 Figure 6. Effect of T-bet knockdown on intracellular expression of granzyme-B and perforin-1. Primary human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells were activated by plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies in the presence of interleukin-2 (IL-2). These cells were then engineered to express Mart-127-35 T-cell receptor (TCR) by infecting them with F5M1 retrovirus and lentivirus with control or T-bet short hairpin (sh) RNA. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) positive cells were gated to determine intracellular expression of granzyme-B and perforin-1 in cells co-cultured with T2 cells pulsed with either control peptide (MAGE-3271-279) or cognate peptide (Mart-127-35). (a) and (c), intracellular granzyme-B expression in engineered CD4+ CD25- and CD8⁺ T cells. (b) and (d), intracellular perforin-1 expression in engineered CD4+ CD25and CD8⁺ T cells.

expression. Cytotoxic granules containing perforin and granzyme-B are found in cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer cells,⁵⁰ but perforin and granzyme-B have been found in human Mart-127-35 TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T lymphocytes.³ Activated natural killer cells and CD8⁺ T cells have coordinately induced levels of Eomes, perforin and granzyme-B; however, activated CD4⁺ T cells express lower levels of Eomes, perforin and granzyme-B.⁵¹ We observed that the expression of perforin-1 and granzyme-B in CD8⁺ cells was greater than the CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells, when both were engineered for Mart-127-35 TCR expression. We found that there was no significant effect of T-bet knockdown on granzyme-B expression in human CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells; however, we found that T-bet knockdown attenuated perforin-1 expression in activated TCR-engineered CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ T cells. Differences between mice and humans in this regard have not been reported, but different studies in mouse models have shown that T-bet-deficient mice show reduced expression of either perforin or granzyme-B.51-54 Eomes and T-bet jointly regulate cytotoxicity by causing progressive loss of perforin mRNA in bone marrow natural killer cells from compound mutant Eomes and Tbx21 mice.54 Perforin and granzyme-B levels are not appreciably regulated by T-bet in CD8⁺ T cells from P14 TCR transgenic mice activated either with antigen or non-specifically; Runx3 and Eomes



(but not T-bet) appear to co-operate in perforin expression.⁵³

Our studies indicate that T-bet expression is necessary for Th1/Tc1 effector function involving IFN-y in both CD4⁺ CD25⁻ and CD8⁺ Mart-1₂₇₋₃₅ TCR-engineered peripheral human T cells and also appears to be necessary for robust cytotoxicity of TCR-engineered CD8⁺ cells stimulated specifically or non-specifically. Engineering human peripheral T cells with both shRNA-expressing lentivectors and TCR-expressing retrovectors appears to offer a valid approach for generating and manipulating antigen-specific effector cells that may have translational value. By expanding the repertoire of genes that can be subjected to shRNA-mediated knockdown in this context, it may be possible to develop a deeper understanding of the factors influencing the differentiation of TCR-engineered cells and to offer the possibility of generating T-lymphocyte populations with a variety of effector and regulatory properties.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by PHS grant 1R01 CA 83130 (BM) and by a subcontract from 1P01 CA132681 (David Baltimore P.I.). We thank Evan Jellison, director of the UConn Health Flow Cytometry Core, for his assistance using the FACS ARIA II cell sorter.

Disclosure

None.

References

- 1 Morgan RA, Dudley ME, Yu YY et al. High efficiency TCR gene transfer into primary human lymphocytes affords avid recognition of melanoma tumor antigen glycoprotein 100 and does not alter the recognition of autologous melanoma antigens. J Immunol 2003; 171:3287–95.
- 2 Grupp SA, Kalos M, Barrett D et al. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells for acute lymphoid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2013; 368:1509–18.
- 3 Chhabra A, Yang I, Wang P et al. CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells transduced to express MHC class I-restricted epitope-specific TCR synthesize Th1 cytokines and exhibit MHC class I-restricted cytolytic effector function in a human melanoma model. J Immunol 2008; 181:1063–70.
- 4 Clay TM, Custer MC, Sachs J, Hwu P, Rosenberg SA, Nishimura MI. Efficient transfer of a tumor antigen-reactive TCR to human peripheral blood lymphocytes confers antitumor reactivity. J Immunol 1999; 163:507–13.
- 5 Roszkowski JJ, Lyons GE, Kast WM, Yee C, Van Besien K, Nishimura MI. Simultaneous generation of CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ melanoma-reactive T cells by retroviral-mediated transfer of a single T-cell receptor. *Cancer Res* 2005; 65:1570–6.
- 6 Tsuji T, Yasukawa M, Matsuzaki J et al. Generation of tumor-specific, HLA class I-restricted human Th1 and Tc1 cells by cell engineering with tumor peptide-specific T-cell receptor genes. Blood 2005; 106:470–6.
- 7 Zhao Y, Zheng Z, Robbins PF, Khong HT, Rosenberg SA, Morgan RA. Primary human lymphocytes transduced with NY-ESO-1 antigen-specific TCR genes recognize and kill diverse human tumor cell lines. J Immunol 2005; 174:4415–23.
- 8 Willemsen RA, Sebestyen Z, Ronteltap C, Berrevoets C, Drexhage J, Debets R. CD8α coreceptor to improve TCR gene transfer to treat melanoma: down-regulation of tumor-specific production of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10. J Immunol 2006; 177:991–8.
- 9 Kessels HW, Schepers K, van den Boom MD, Topham DJ, Schumacher TN. Generation of T cell help through a MHC class I-restricted TCR. J Immunol 2006; 177:976–82.
- 10 Morris EC, Tsallios A, Bendle GM, Xue SA, Stauss HJ. A critical role of T cell antigen receptor-transduced MHC class I-restricted helper T cells in tumor protection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102:7934–9.
- 11 Kuball J, Schmitz FW, Voss RH et al. Cooperation of human tumor-reactive CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells after redirection of their specificity by a high-affinity p53A2.1-specific TCR. Immunity 2005; 22:117–29.
- 12 Ray S, Chhabra A, Chakraborty NG et al. MHC-I-restricted melanoma antigen specific TCR-engineered human CD4⁺ T cells exhibit multifunctional effector and helper responses, in vitro. Clin Immunol 2010; 136:338–47.
- 13 Johnson LA, Heemskerk B, Powell DJ Jr, Cohen CJ, Morgan RA, Dudley ME, Robbins PF, Rosenberg SA. Gene transfer of tumor-reactive TCR confers both high avidity and tumor reactivity to nonreactive peripheral blood mononuclear cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. J Immunol 2006; 177:6548–59.
- 14 Nishimura MI, Avichezer D, Custer MC et al. MHC class I-restricted recognition of a melanoma antigen by a human CD4⁺ tumor infiltrating lymphocyte. Cancer Res 1999; 59:6230–8.
- 15 Ray S, Chhabra A, Mehrotra S, Chakraborty NG, Ribas A, Economou J, Mukherji B. Obstacles to and opportunities for more effective peptide-based therapeutic immunization in human melanoma. *Clin Dermatol* 2009; 27:603–13.
- 16 Nishimura T, Iwakabe K, Sekimoto M et al. Distinct role of antigen-specific T helper type 1 (Th1) and Th2 cells in tumor eradication in vivo. J Exp Med 1999; 190:617– 27.
- 17 Trinchieri G. Interleukin-12 and the regulation of innate resistance and adaptive immunity. Nat Rev Immunol 2003; 3:133–46.
- 18 Chamoto K, Kosaka A, Tsuji T *et al.* Critical role of the Th1/Tc1 circuit for the generation of tumor-specific CTL during tumor eradication *in vivo* by Th1-cell therapy. *Cancer Sci* 2003; 94:924–8.
- 19 Keene JA, Forman J. Helper activity is required for the *in vivo* generation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes. J Exp Med 1982; 155:768–82.
- 20 Bevan MJ. Helping the CD8⁺ T-cell response. Nat Rev Immunol 2004; 4:595-602.
- 21 Tan AH, Goh SY, Wong SC, Lam KP. T helper cell-specific regulation of inducible costimulator expression via distinct mechanisms mediated by T-bet and GATA-3. J Biol Chem 2008; 283:128–36.
- 22 Szabo SJ, Kim ST, Costa GL, Zhang X, Fathman CG, Glimcher LH. A novel transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment. *Cell* 2000; 100:655–69.
- 23 Szabo SJ, Sullivan BM, Stemmann C, Satoskar AR, Sleckman BP, Glimcher LH. Distinct effects of T-bet in TH1 lineage commitment and IFN-γ production in CD4 and CD8 T cells. *Science* 2002; **295**:338–42.

- 24 Lighvani AA, Frucht DM, Jankovic D et al. T-bet is rapidly induced by interferongamma in lymphoid and myeloid cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98:15137–42.
- 25 Usui T, Nishikomori R, Kitani A, Strober W. GATA-3 suppresses Th1 development by downregulation of Stat4 and not through effects on IL-12Rβ2 chain or T-bet. *Immunity* 2003; 18:415–28.
- 26 Usui T, Preiss JC, Kanno Y, Yao ZJ, Bream JH, O'Shea JJ, Strober W. T-bet regulates Th1 responses through essential effects on GATA-3 function rather than on IFNG gene acetylation and transcription. J Exp Med 2006; 203:755–66.
- 27 Djuretic IM, Levanon D, Negreanu V, Groner Y, Rao A, Ansel KM. Transcription factors T-bet and Runx3 cooperate to activate Ifng and silence II4 in T helper type 1 cells. *Nat Immunol* 2007; 8:145–53.
- 28 Naoe Y, Setoguchi R, Akiyama K, Muroi S, Kuroda M, Hatam F, Littman DR, Taniuchi I. Repression of interleukin-4 in T helper type 1 cells by Runx/Cbf beta binding to the Il4 silencer. J Exp Med 2007; 204:1749–55.
- 29 Zheng W, Flavell RA. The transcription factor GATA-3 is necessary and sufficient for Th2 cytokine gene expression in CD4 T cells. *Cell* 1997; 89:587–96.
- 30 Lee GR, Fields PE, Flavell RA. Regulation of IL-4 gene expression by distal regulatory elements and GATA-3 at the chromatin level. *Immunity* 2001; 14:447–59.
- 31 Lee HJ, Takemoto N, Kurata H, Kamogawa Y, Miyatake S, O'Garra A, Arai N. GATA-3 induces T helper cell type 2 (Th2) cytokine expression and chromatin remodeling in committed Th1 cells. J Exp Med 2000; 192:105–15.
- 32 Androlewicz MJ, Anderson KS, Cresswell P. Evidence that transporters associated with antigen processing translocate a major histocompatibility complex class I-binding peptide into the endoplasmic reticulum in an ATP-dependent manner. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993; 90:9130–4.
- 33 Dann CT, Alvarado AL, Hammer RE, Garbers DL. Heritable and stable gene knockdown in rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103:11246–51.
- 34 Kaminuma O, Kitamura F, Miyatake S et al. T-box 21 transcription factor is responsible for distorted T_H2 differentiation in human peripheral CD4⁺ T cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009; 123:813–823 e813.
- 35 Rubinson DA, Dillon CP, Kwiatkowski AV et al. A lentivirus-based system to functionally silence genes in primary mammalian cells, stem cells and transgenic mice by RNA interference. Nat Genet 2003; 33:401–6.
- 36 Lois C, Hong EJ, Pease S, Brown EJ, Baltimore D. Germline transmission and tissuespecific expression of transgenes delivered by lentiviral vectors. *Science* 2002; 295:868– 72.
- 37 Johnson LA, Morgan RA, Dudley ME et al. Gene therapy with human and mouse T-cell receptors mediates cancer regression and targets normal tissues expressing cognate antigen. Blood 2009; 114:535–46.
- 38 Betts MR, Brenchley JM, Price DA, De Rosa SC, Douek DC, Roederer M, Koup RA. Sensitive and viable identification of antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells by a flow cytometric assay for degranulation. *J Immunol Methods* 2003; 281:65–78.
- 39 Zhao Y, Bennett AD, Zheng Z et al. High-affinity TCRs generated by phage display provide CD4⁺ T cells with the ability to recognize and kill tumor cell lines. J Immunol 2007; 179:5845–54.
- 40 Monroe KM, Yang Z, Johnson JR, Geng X, Doitsh G, Krogan NJ, Greene WC. IFI16 DNA sensor is required for death of lymphoid CD4 T cells abortively infected with HIV. Science 2014; 343:428–32.
- 41 Sullivan BM, Juedes A, Szabo SJ, von Herrath M, Glimcher LH. Antigen-driven effector CD8 T cell function regulated by T-bet. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003; 100:15818–23.
- 42 Jang EJ, Park HR, Hong JH, Hwang ES. Lysine 313 of T-box is crucial for modulation of protein stability, DNA binding, and threonine phosphorylation of T-bet. J Immunol 2013; 190:5764–70.
- 43 Liao W, Lin JX, Leonard WJ. Interleukin-2 at the crossroads of effector responses, tolerance, and immunotherapy. *Immunity* 2013; 38:13–25.
- 44 Beima KM, Miazgowicz MM, Lewis MD, Yan PS, Huang TH, Weinmann AS. T-bet binding to newly identified target gene promoters is cell type-independent but results in variable context-dependent functional effects. J Biol Chem 2006; 281:11992–2000.
- 45 Jenner RG, Townsend MJ, Jackson I, Sun K, Bouwman RD, Young RA, Glimcher LH, Lord GM. The transcription factors T-bet and GATA-3 control alternative pathways of T-cell differentiation through a shared set of target genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106:17876–81.
- 46 Lovett-Racke AE, Rocchini AE, Choy J, Northrop SC, Hussain RZ, Ratts RB, Sikder D, Racke MK. Silencing T-bet defines a critical role in the differentiation of autoreactive T lymphocytes. *Immunity* 2004; 21:719–31.
- 47 Kanhere A, Hertweck A, Bhatia U, Gokmen MR, Perucha E, Jackson I, Lord GM, Jenner RG. T-bet and GATA3 orchestrate Th1 and Th2 differentiation through lineage-specific targeting of distal regulatory elements. *Nat Commun* 2012; 3:1268.
- 48 Lazarevic V, Glimcher LH, Lord GM. T-bet: a bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Nat Rev Immunol 2013; 13:777–89.
- 49 Hwang ES, Szabo SJ, Schwartzberg PL, Glimcher LH. T helper cell fate specified by kinase-mediated interaction of T-bet with GATA-3. *Science* 2005; 307:430–3.

Knockdown of T-bet in TCR-engineered human T cells

- 50 Lieberman J. The ABCs of granule-mediated cytotoxicity: new weapons in the arsenal. Nat Rev Immunol 2003; 3:361–70.
- 51 Pearce EL, Mullen AC, Martins GA et al. Control of effector CD8⁺ T cell function by the transcription factor Eomesodermin. Science 2003; 302:1041–3.
- 52 Glimcher LH, Townsend MJ, Sullivan BM, Lord GM. Recent developments in the transcriptional regulation of cytolytic effector cells. Nat Rev Immunol 2004; 4:900–11.
- 53 Cruz-Guilloty F, Pipkin ME, Djuretic IM, Levanon D, Lotem J, Lichtenheld MG, Groner Y, Rao A. Runx3 and T-box proteins cooperate to establish the transcriptional program of effector CTLs. J Exp Med 2009; 206:51–9.
- 54 Intlekofer AM, Takemoto N, Wherry EJ et al. Effector and memory CD8⁺ T cell fate coupled by T-bet and eomesodermin. Nat Immunol 2005; 6:1236–44.