

J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 23.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011 January; 50(1): 32–45. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2010.10.006.

Service Utilization for Lifetime Mental Disorders in U.S. **Adolescents: Results of the National Comorbidity Survey Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A)**

Kathleen Ries Merikangas, Ph.D., Jian-ping He, M.Sc., Marcy E. Burstein, Ph.D., Joel Swendsen, Ph.D., Shelli Avenevoli, Ph.D., Brady Case, M.D., Katholiki Georgiades, Ph.D., Leanne Heaton, Ph.D., Sonja Swanson, Sc.M., and Mark Olfson, M.D., M.P.H. Drs. Merikangas, Burstein, and Heaton, and Ms. He are with the Genetic Epidemiology Research Branch, Intramural Research Program, National Institute of Mental Health. Dr. Swendsen is with the National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), Bordeaux, France. Dr. Avenevoli is with the Division of Developmental Translational Research Branch, National Institute of Mental Health. Dr. Case is with the Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research and New York University School of Medicine. Dr. Georgiades is with McMaster University. Dr. Olfson is with Columbia University Medical Center.

Abstract

Objective—Mental health policy for youth has been constrained by a paucity of nationally representative data concerning patterns and correlates of mental health service utilization in this segment of the population. The objectives of this investigation are to examine the rates and sociodemographic correlates of lifetime mental health service use by severity, type, and number of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey-Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A).

Method—Face-to-face survey of mental disorders from 2002-2004 using a modified version of the fully-structured World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview in a nationally representative sample of 6,483 adolescents aged 13-18 years for whom information on service use was available from both an adolescent and a parent report. Both total and sectorspecific mental health service use was also assessed.

Results—Approximately one-third of adolescents with mental disorders received services for their illness (36.2%). Although disorder severity was significantly associated with an increased likelihood of receiving treatment, half of adolescents with severely impairing mental disorders had never received mental health treatment for their symptoms. Service rates were highest among those with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (59.8%) and behavior disorders (45.4%), but less than one in five affected adolescents received services for anxiety, eating, or substance use

^{© 2010} American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved

Correspondence to: Kathleen Ries Merikangas, Ph.D. National Institute of Mental Health Genetic Epidemiology Research Branch Building 35, Room 1A201, 35 Convent Drive, MSC #3720 Bethesda, MD 20892 Tel: (301) 496 2536 Fax: (301) 480-2915 kathleen.merikangas@nih.gov.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

disorders. Comorbidity and severe impairment were strongly associated with service utilization, particularly among youth with behavior disorders. Hispanic and non-Hispanic black adolescents were less likely than their white counterparts to receive services for mood and anxiety disorders, even when such disorders were associated with severe impairment.

Conclusions—Despite advances in public awareness of mental disorders in youth, a substantial proportion of young people with severe mental disorders have never received specialty mental health care. Marked racial disparities in lifetime rates of mental health treatment highlight the urgent need to identify and combat barriers to the recognition and treatment of these conditions.

Keywords

Epidemiology; adolescents; menta	l disorders; treatment; services	

Introduction

Despite the availability of effective mental health treatments¹, current evidence suggests that only a minority of youth with mental disorders receive mental health care²⁻⁵. This broad and disconcerting inference is supported by several community epidemiological surveys of U.S. youth that have found that only one-fourth to one-half of youth with mental disorders receives professional services. In particular, prior work has indicated that youth are most likely to receive treatment for attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)⁶ and other disruptive behavior disorders⁷, and least likely to be treated for anxiety^{5, 8}. Sociodemographic correlates of service utilization include gender^{5, 9-14}, age¹⁵, parental educational level^{16, 17}, family size¹⁰, family structure^{9, 10}, availability of health insurance⁹, and ethnic minority status^{7, 9, 10, 12, 18}. These surveys which have helped to inform federal and state mental health policy have sampled young people who reside in specific local geographic regions^{4, 9-11, 15, 18, 19} and are therefore not nationally representative. The lack of a national data base on the prevalence, correlates, and service patterns for childhood mental disorders in the U.S. remains a major impediment to the refinement of federal and state mental health policies to reduce the burden of childhood mental disorders.²⁰

Several limitations characterize the existing data on mental health treatment patterns of adolescents in general and among U.S. adolescents in particular. First, although a large number of community studies have examined rates and correlates of service use among youth meeting criteria for specific disorders^{7, 21-24} or for any type of psychiatric problem^{4, 10, 18, 19, 25, 26}, only a few studies^{5, 8, 14} have investigated variation of service use across a broad range of psychiatric disorders. Second, while research has demonstrated that many youth receive mental health services outside of the specialty mental health treatment sector^{4, 7, 14, 18, 19}, very few studies have examined rates of service use across these sectors. Finally, few prior studies have included sufficient numbers to investigate sex and ethnic differences in mental health service patterns. The present study examines data from the National Comorbidity Survey-Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A)²⁷⁻³⁰, a nationally-representative sample of U.S. adolescents using direct interviews to assess a broad range of emotional and behavioral disorders.

The goals of the present paper are to: (1) estimate lifetime service use across service sectors for specific DSM-IV mental disorders; (2) examine associations of severity, comorbidity, and number of classes of lifetime mental disorders with service use; and (3) identify sociodemographic correlates of lifetime service use among youth with mental disorders.

Method

Sample and Procedure

The NCS-A is a nationally-representative face-to-face survey of 10,148 adolescents aged 13-18 years in the continental U.S.^{5, 27} The survey was administered by the professional interview staff of the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan. The background, measures, design, and clinical validity of the NCS-A are described by Merikangas et al²⁷ and Kessler et al^{28, 29}. Briefly, the NCS-A sample was based on a nationally representative household sample (n=904 adolescents) and a school sample (n=9,244 adolescents), with a combined response rate of 82.9%. Parents (or parent surrogates) of adolescents were mailed a self-administered questionnaire (SAQ) that was developed for the survey. The SAQ collected additional information on adolescent sociodemographic characteristics, developmental background, mental and physical health, service use, and other family- and community-level factors ²⁷. The SAQ conditional response rate was 82.5-83.7% in the household and school samples. This study focuses on the subsample of 6,483 adolescent-parent pairs for whom data were available from both the adolescent interview and the parent SAO. This subsample was used because several key elements of service utilization were only collected from one informant (e.g., lifetime number of mental health outpatient visits was present only in the SAQ, whereas disorder-specific service was only collected in the adolescent diagnostic interview). A sample weight was specifically calculated for this subsample to ensure that it was nationally representative with respect to weighting variables such as sex, age, race/ethnicity, family income, urbanicity, and CIDI/DSM-IV disorder estimates from the NCS-A full sample²⁷⁻²⁹. Written informed consent was obtained from parent and adolescent participants. Each respondent was given \$50 for participation. These recruitment and consent procedures were approved by the Human Subjects Committees of Harvard Medical School and University of Michigan.

Measures

Sociodemographic factors—Socio-demographic variables assessed in the NCS-A include age, sex, race/ethnicity, parental marital status, parent education, urbanicity, and geographic region. The 2000 census definitions were used to code urbanicity by distinguishing large metropolitan areas from smaller metropolitan and rural areas. About half of the sample was male (51.3%) and the mean age was 15.9 years. The sample was comprised of 65.6% non-Hispanic White, 15.1% non-Hispanic Black, and 14.4% Hispanic adolescents. A great majority (85.5%) had parents who had completed at least high school, more than two-thirds (69.5%) of the sample lived with currently married or cohabiting parents, 15.5% had parents who were separated, divorced or widowed, and 3.4% of the sample lived with single parents.

Diagnostic assessment—Details of the diagnostic and risk factor measures are described by Merikangas et al.²⁷, and lifetime prevalence rates are reported by Merikangas et al³⁰. Briefly, adolescents were administered a modified version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview Version 3.0 (CIDI), a fully structured interview administered by trained lay interviewers to generate DSM-IV diagnoses²⁹. The major classes of lifetime disorders assessed in the CIDI included mood disorders, anxiety disorders, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder [ADHD], behavior disorders (oppositional defiant disorder [ODD] and conduct disorder [CD]), substance use disorders, and eating disorders. Parents reported information on selected disorders.

Impairment criteria embedded in DSM-IV required endorsement of some/a lot/extreme levels of impairment or moderate/severe/very severe levels of symptom severity. Our definition of severe lifetime disorders used higher thresholds of impairment that required endorsement of "a lot" or "extreme" impairment in daily activities, or "severe or very severe" distress. Severe emotional disorders required both distress *and* impairment to be present. Because distress was not assessed for behavior disorders, severe behavior disorders (ODD or CD) and ADHD required severe or very severe levels of impairment as well as endorsement of symptom criteria (i.e., the appropriate number of symptoms for each respective disorder) by both the parent and the adolescent. Parallel severe lifetime eating and substance use disorders were not created because variations in levels of impairment and distress for these disorders were not available⁵.

Although service use was included as an index of impairment in deriving DSM-IV diagnoses, this criterion was removed from the diagnostic algorithms for the purposes of these analyses. Additionally, indices of disability assessed solely for 12-month disorders were not considered in defining lifetime disorder severity. For the present analyses, we created a summary variable of the number of classes of DSM-IV non-severe and severe disorders (0, 1, 2, 3+ classes of disorders).

Service Use

Immediately following questions related to diagnostic criteria in each disorder interview module, respondents were asked whether they ever received disorder-specific treatment. In a separate interview module focusing on services, all respondents were asked whether they had ever received service for emotional or behavioral problems and the settings in which they had received these services. Reports of service use were classified into the following categories: 1) *Mental health specialty*: a psychiatrist in settings such as a mental health clinic, drug or alcohol clinic, and admissions to hospitals and other facilities; 2) *General medical*: service provided by a general practitioner, family physician, pediatrician, any other physician, and emergency room service; 3) *Human services*: a counselor, a religious/spiritual advisor, or mental health crisis hotlines; 4) *CAM*: support groups, self-help groups, or any other healer; 5) *Juvenile justice*: probation officer or juvenile correction officer; 6) *School services*: special school/class for emotional or behavioral problems, school nurse, school counseling, and school medication. We also examined the number of sectors in which youth had received treatment for specific mental disorders. In addition to these qualitative categories, services were examined according to the number of visits made to mental health

outpatient facilities (e.g., community mental health center or drug/alcohol clinic), and to mental health professionals (e.g., psychiatrist, psychologist, social worker, or family counselor) in their lifetime. The number of lifetime visits was categorized as frequent (>20 visits), intermediate (6-20 visits) and limited (<6 visits).

Parents also reported about their child's treatment for emotional or behavior problems using similar questions to those administered in the adolescent diagnostic interview. The analysis of service use in this paper was based on endorsement by the parent or adolescent. Levels of agreement between parent and adolescent report for any mental health treatment (κ =.58, s.e. = .0001) and for any service use (κ =.54. s.e. = .0001) were both acceptable. The service questions for adolescents and parents were primarily derived from the Service Assessment for Children and Adolescents (SACA). Validity of parent and child reports on the SACA are reported by Stiffman et al³¹.

Analysis Procedures

The data were weighted to adjust for the differential probability of selection of respondents within the school and household samples, for differential non-response, and for residual differences between the subsample and the full NCS-A sample as well as the U.S. population on the cross-classification of socio-demographic variables²⁹. Cross tabulations were used to calculate treatment estimates. Logistic regression analyses were used to examine demographic correlates of disorder-specific treatment. In order to examine the predictors of lifetime treatment contact, each model was estimated separately among respondents with a disorder in each of five major diagnostic classes. Logistic regression models included all sociodemographic correlates simultaneously, and adjusted odds ratios are presented. Correlates included: age, sex, race/ethnicity, region, urbanicity, parental marital status, parental education, poverty index ratio, and number of classes of disorders (0, 1, 2, or 3 or more of the 5 classes). Multivariate significance tests were calculated using Wald Chi-Square tests based on coefficient variance-covariance matrices that were adjusted for design effects using the Taylor series method. Statistical significance was based on two-sided design-based tests evaluated at a 0.05 level of significance.

Results

Lifetime Disorder-Specific Service Utilization by DSM-IV Disorder

Table 1 displays the rates of disorder-specific service use among adolescents with DSM-IV mental disorders by sex and age group. Approximately one third (36.2%) of adolescents with any mental disorder received treatment for a particular lifetime disorder. Adolescents with behavior disorders had the highest rates of disorder-specific treatment, with 59.8% of adolescents with ADHD and 45.4% with ODD or CD receiving treatment for their respective disorders in their lifetime. Of adolescents with mood disorders, 37.7% received disorder-specific treatment, while a more modest portion of adolescents with anxiety (17.8%), substance use (15.4%), and eating disorders (12.8%) received treatment. There was a direct association between the number of classes of disorder affecting youth and their rate of service use. Whereas approximately only 20% of adolescents with one class of disorder

received treatment, 51.0% of those with two classes of disorder, and 72.2% of those with 3 or more classes of disorder received services.

Among adolescents diagnosed with any disorder, sex and age had minimal impact overall on the rates of service use across all disorders. However, some specific disorders had greater discrepancies. For panic disorder, females were nearly three times more likely to receive treatment than were males (50.1% vs. 14.3%, χ^2 1=13.5, p=0.0002), and the female-to-male service use ratio for eating disorders was greater than nine-to-one (17.0% vs. 1.8%, χ^2 1=9.8, p=0.008). Conversely, males were more likely than were females to receive treatment for ADHD (64.8% vs. 44.6%, χ^2 1=14.1, p=0.0002). Overall, treatment for anxiety disorders tended to increase with age, with 13.2% of the 13-14 year olds and 25.0% of the 17-18 year olds receiving disorder-specific treatment for an anxiety disorder (χ^2 2=8.5, p=0.014). Although variations in treatment prevalence across age were evident for specific subtypes of anxiety disorders, none of these differences across age groups reached statistical significance.

Table 2 displays the comparison of service use by the severity status of the psychiatric disorder. Overall rates of service use among adolescents with severe DSM-IV disorders were significantly higher than those seen among adolescents with non-severe DSM-IV disorders (26.1% vs. 47.4, p<.0001). There were significant increases in the proportion of youth with mental health service use among those with anxiety disorders, ADHD, and ODD or CD, but the differences in service use for youth with severe versus non-severe mood disorders were negligible. Patterns of service use by sex and age varied little across severe disorders, with one exception: the higher rate of services received by males relative to females with ADHD was not observed among adolescents with severe ADHD (80.8% males; 85.0% females, χ^2 1=0.74, p=0.226) (results not shown but available upon request).

Sociodemographic Correlates of Service Utilization

Table 3 displays the multivariate associations between socio-demographic characteristics and seeking treatment within each disorder class. Females were more likely than males to receive treatment for anxiety disorders, while the reverse was true for ADHD. Increasing age was also associated with treatment of anxiety disorders. Ethnic/racial minorities had lower treatment rates than did non-Hispanic whites for several classes of disorder: (1) Hispanics were less likely to receive treatment for mood and anxiety disorders; (2) non-Hispanic Blacks were less likely to receive treatment for mood disorders; and (3) and other/multiracial ethnic youth were less likely to receive treatment for anxiety and ADHD.

In terms of family characteristics, parental marital status significantly predicted treatment among adolescents with mood or substance use disorders, with higher rates of treatment among adolescents whose parents were previously married relative to adolescents of married or cohabitating parents. Adolescents whose parents did not complete high school or college were more likely to receive services for substance use disorders, and adolescents were less likely to receive treatment for these conditions if they lived in the South as compared to the West. No association was observed between urbanicity or poverty level and disorder-specific services in these multivariate analyses. However, the number of comorbid classes

was strongly associated with service use for mood, anxiety, ODD or CD, and substance use disorders.

Correlates of mental health service use for severe disorders were generally similar to those for DSM-IV disorders with respect to age, sex, parental marital status, and number of classes of disorders. However, Hispanic youth were less likely than non-Hispanic White youth to receive services for severe ADHD, whereas there were no significant differences in mental health services for non-severe ADHD by ethnicity. Two-way interaction terms were derived from demographic and clinical correlates that exhibited significant associations with disorder-specific service use within each DSM-IV disorder class. After controlling for multiple testing with a Bonferroni correction, four interactions were significantly associated with service use: 1) race/ethnicity and urban/rural residence for mood disorders: rural Blacks were less likely than were rural Whites to receive treatment for mood disorders, whereas there were no differences in services by ethnicity among youth who resided in urban areas; 2) ethnicity and number of classes of disorder for mood disorders: there was a greater increase in service use by the number of classes of disorders among Hispanic youth compared to those of other races/ethnicities; 3) sex by ethnicity for anxiety disorders: White males had significantly more treatment for anxiety disorders than did males of other ethnic backgrounds; 4) parental marital status and number of classes of disorders for substance use disorders: there was a greater increase in treatment rates by the number of classes of disorders in youth with divorced parents relative to youth with married parents. There was no significant interaction of sex and race/ethnicity when examining the probability of getting treatment for mood disorders, behavior disorders, ADHD, or substance use disorders. When the reported severity of disorder was included in the models predicting service use, we found that severity predicted service use for the class of anxiety disorders (OR=2.56, 95% CI: 1.60-4.11), ADHD (OR=3.47, 95% CI: 1.29-9.30), and behavior disorders (OR= 5.33, 95% CI: 3.74-7.58), but not for mood disorders. Further, the effect of race/ethnicity and its interaction with sex on service use for anxiety disorders persisted after controlling for the severity of anxiety disorders. There was no three-way interaction between severity and sex and race/ethnicity for service use for any disorder class (results not shown but available upon request).

Service Utilization by Service Sector and Number of Visits

Table 4 displays the rate of any lifetime services (rather than disorder-specific services) by the type of service sectors across each class of DSM-IV psychiatric disorder. Among adolescents with any class of disorder, most were seen in the mental health specialty service sector (46.5%) or in the school setting (35.4%). The pattern of services by sector was largely consistent across disorder classes. Services within each sector increased as a function of number of classes of disorder. Among all adolescents receiving services, 37.9% received services from only one sector, followed by 28.6% from two sectors, 20.3% from three sectors, and 13.2% from four or more service sectors (results not shown but available upon request). Analyses of demographic and clinical predictors of specialty mental health service use revealed that youth with ADHD were 2.69 times as likely to receive mental health vs. other services (95% C.I., 1.94 - 3.72), after controlling for demographic characteristics, specific classes of disorders, and the number of classes of disorders. Not shown, non-

Hispanic Blacks and other ethnic groups were significantly less likely to receive mental health services than their White counterparts.

Table 4 presents the prevalence rates and 95% confidence intervals for the number of lifetime service visits with a health professional by the specific classes of mental disorders and the number of classes. The majority (68.4%) of those with mental disorders had limited service contacts (i.e., less than 6 visits), whereas 16.2% reported intermediate visits (i.e., 6-20 visits), and 15.5% reported frequent visits (i.e., greater than 20 visits). With some variation, this pattern was consistent both across the type and the number of classes of disorder. The proportion of frequent service use increased as the number of classes of disorder increased, 7.3% for those with one class, 21.6% for those with 2 classes, and 35.6% for those with 3 or more classes.

The right side of Table 4 presents the adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the associations between the number of service visits and disorder classes. The most robust effects were observed for behavior disorders. As is shown, ADHD was the only disorder that was significantly associated with more frequent service contacts (OR = 2.38, 95% C.I., 1.33 - 4.27), and similarly but conversely, ADHD was also significantly associated with limited or minimal service contacts (OR = 0.52, 95% C.I., 0.31 - 0.87). Youth with conduct disorder were also significantly less likely to have limited service contacts (OR = 0.58, 95% C.I., 0.36 - 0.95), after controlling for sociodemographic characteristics (i.e., sex, age, race/ethnicity, parent marital status, parent education, region, urbanicity, and poverty index ratio), specific classes of disorders, and the number of classes of disorders.

With regard to sociodemographic correlates of the number of service visits, significant associations were observed for several individual- and family-level characteristics. Concerning individual characteristics, females were less likely than were males to receive frequent services (OR = 0.68, 95% C.I., 0.47 - 0.98), and similarly, Hispanics and non-Hispanic Blacks were significantly less likely than were Whites to receive frequent services (OR = 0.68, 95% C.I., 0.47 - 0.98). In terms of family-level characteristics, youth of parents who were previously married had a higher likelihood of more frequent service visits (OR = 1.95, 95% C.I., 1.38 - 2.75), whereas youth residing in the Northeast (OR = 0.56, 95% C.I., 0.34 - 0.92) or Midwest (OR = 0.79, 95% C.I., 0.64 - 0.97) had a lower likelihood of intermediate service visits relative to youth residing in the West (results not shown but available upon request).

Discussion

Results of the current study show that most youth with mental disorders *do not* receive mental health treatment for their symptoms. The treatment gap is especially pronounced for anxiety^{5, 8, 21} and substance use disorders. Among adolescents with mood disorders, Hispanics and non-Hispanic Blacks were much less likely than were Whites to report having received mental health treatment, and a similar pattern was observed for Hispanic youth with anxiety disorders. Although psychiatric comorbidity and disorder severity increased the probability of receiving treatment, roughly one in two adolescents with comorbid and severely impairing mental disorders had never received mental health treatment for their

symptoms. Over the past several years, a number of public health efforts have sought to increase access to mental health treatment for young people with psychiatric disorders. The State Children's Health Insurance Program (S-CHIP)³², which subsidizes mental health services³³, has expanded access to care for low income and previously uninsured youth. Likewise, over the past two decades, the federal Children's Mental Health Initiative has developed dedicated systems of care for young people with serious emotional disturbances in nearly 150 communities nationwide³⁴. Voluntary national screening programs have also been initiated to enhance detection and mental health referral of adolescents with psychiatric disorders³⁵. Despite these and other policy reforms, it is striking that the rates of mental health treatment are not markedly higher than those of studies including youth of comparable age groups conducted several years ago, though methodologic differences cannot be ruled out ^{4, 12, 15}. A more recent nationally representative survey of 12-month disorders in a broader age group with stricter diagnostic thresholds yielded somewhat higher service rates than those of this more comprehensive study⁵, half of the children with severely impairing disorders still did not receive professional service. These patterns raise serious concern over the slow pace of progress in extending mental health service provision for American youth with mental disorders. The fact that these rates are similar to those of adults with mental disorders also suggests widespread deficiencies in the provision of early mental health care³⁶.

Among adolescents with mood and anxiety disorders, racial/ethnic minority groups tended to receive lower rates of treatment than their White counterparts. This pattern confirms and extends results from prior studies of adults ³⁷⁻⁴¹ and youth^{3-5, 9, 10, 12, 15, 18, 42, 43} in the U.S. Although eliminating racial/ethnic disparities in mental health care is a well established and widely pursued national public health goal⁴⁴, substantial racial/ethnic disparities persist in the treatment of the internalizing disorders. In contrast with externalizing conditions, which are often recognized and addressed due to behaviors observed in school or other public settings, services for mood and anxiety disorders may require self-recognition or close observation by family members. Given these differences in service initiation across broad types of disorder, the lower rates of treatment for internalizing disorders among ethnic minorities may be especially pronounced due to concerns over stigma, perceived lack of culturally-competent or effective services, or financial barriers to service access 10, 11, 18, 42. Vigorous and sustained efforts are required to increase awareness of mood and anxiety disorders in minority communities, combat stigma, broaden the assessment skills and cultural competence of front line clinicians, and expand the access and capacity of mental health services.

Treatment rates of ADHD were proportionately greater than those of other disorder groups^{6, 14, 45}. Among youth with ADHD, however, adolescent boys were significantly more likely than girls to receive treatment. Similar service patterns have been described in elementary school children^{46, 47}. The reasons for gender differences in treatment seeking for ADHD are not yet known, though several hypotheses have been proposed. A gender gap in treatment has been attributed to greater impairment ⁴⁸ and more disruptive behaviors^{49, 50} in boys relative to girls with ADHD. It has also been suggested that disproportionately higher rates of the inattentive subtype⁵¹ or other less easily recognized clinical presentations⁵² of ADHD among girls compared to boys may account for this treatment pattern. Alternatively,

parents and teachers may perceive that pharmacological⁵³ or psychosocial⁵⁴ ADHD treatments are less effective in girls versus boys. The current findings underscore the importance of identifying and seeking to eradicate gender-related barriers in the community treatment of ADHD. The prominent role of school-based services in the treatment of ADHD suggests that such efforts should include a focus on improving school-based identification and referral of girls with ADHD.

Consistent with previous research, disorder severity was strongly correlated with mental health treatment.^{4, 10, 12} The highest lifetime rates of treatment were reported among youth with severe ADHD and other behavior disorders. This suggests that aggressive, impulsive, and disruptive behaviors tend to drive or attract mental health care treatment seeking of adolescents. Also consistent with earlier research⁷, psychiatric comorbidity, which is a crude measure of illness complexity, was strongly related to treatment.

Among adolescents who receive mental health treatment, a substantial proportion reported receiving relatively few visits. Although the data do not permit an assessment of treatment effectiveness, the fact that roughly one half of youth with ADHD, behavior disorders, and substance use disorders received six or fewer lifetime outpatient mental health visits suggests that many youth with high service needs may not receive adequate care. A general tendency for youth with ADHD to receive more intensive outpatient care than youth with other disorders may relate to the early onset of ADHD and the effectiveness of stimulants and other pharmacological treatment in ameliorating inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity⁵⁵.

The specialty mental health sector was the dominant locus of mental health care. This portrait contrasts with an earlier epidemiological study of a rural population in which schools were the primary mental health treatment setting². In that study, school-based mental health care was more than four times more common than specialty mental health sector care². In the current study, school-based mental health care was an important treatment setting, especially in the care of ADHD and other behavior disorders¹⁹, though the specialty mental health care was more common for each disorder group. In contrast with UK studies of adolescents¹⁴ and U.S. studies of adults³⁶, care from general medical professionals does not equal or exceed care from mental health specialists among U.S. adolescents. The traditional pathway from primary mental health care to specialty mental health care, which is central to the delivery of adult mental health services⁵⁶, may be comparatively less well-traveled by youth. In order to better understand common service paths of children and adolescents, it will be important to clarify the sequence of health care settings and the role of referral patterns, service availability, child and family preferences, professional competencies, financial considerations, and other factors that shape mental health care treatment pathways for adolescents.

The NCS-A offers several strengths for evaluating mental health service patterns. The large sample size yields sufficient power to examine correlates of service use among several ethnic minority subgroups. The interview collected extensive information on services including the full range of service sectors from the perspectives of both the parent and the youth. It also provides the first data on lifetime service use in a representative sample of

U.S. youth that supplements our recent study of 12-month disorders in a broader age range of youth⁵. However, the current analysis also has several limitations. First, the NCS-A under-represents several segments of the adolescent population including those who are not enrolled in school, are homeless, or do not speak English. The absence of these subgroups likely reduces disorder prevalence rates, particularly among older adolescents²⁹. Second, the cross-sectional methodology precludes analysis of time trends as well as longitudinal predictors of service use. Third, discrepancies in reported service use between parents and adolescents may have led to unreliable estimates of service contacts and correlates. Unlike claims data or other more objective measures of service use, respondent recall of services is susceptible to error and social desirability bias. Fourth, no information was available concerning health care insurance which is likely an important determinant of service use. Fifth, data collection occurred in 2002-2004; however, important changes in community mental health treatment patterns may have occurred in the interim following increases in pharmacological treatments and ensuing safety concerns in youth⁵⁷.

Widespread gaps in mental health care of youth with severe mental disorders pose serious challenges to public health planners and policy makers. Many youth with mental disorders, even severe and impairing disorders, have never received treatment for their conditions. Among those who have received care, treatment is often quite limited. Racial disparities in mental health care of mood and anxiety disorders pose especially urgent mandates for action. Despite calls over the past decade for comprehensive and accessible community-based mental health services for youth and families, particularly minority youth 14, substantial unmet needs for care persist. National shortages of mental health specialists for children remain widely prevalent. Recruitment, training, and promotion of child and adolescent mental health professionals remain leading priorities. Strains on available treatment resources are likely to grow as coverage is extended to large groups of currently uninsured American young people⁵⁸ meeting their mental health care needs will pose critical challenges to health and mental health care professionals.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Mental Health. The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the views of any of the sponsoring organizations, agencies, or U.S. Government.

The National Comorbidity Survey Adolescent Supplemental (NCS-A) and the larger program of related NCS surveys are supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (U01-MH60220) and the National Institute of Drug Abuse (R01 DA016558) with supplemental support from Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF; Grant 044708), and the John W. Alden Trust. The NCS-A was carried out in conjunction with the World Health Organization World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative.

The authors would like to dedicate this paper to Srirangram S. Shreeram, M.D.

References

- Weisz JR, Jensen-Doss A, Hawley KM. Evidence-based youth psychotherapies versus usual clinical care: a meta-analysis of direct comparisons. Am Psychol. Oct; 2006 61(7):671–689. [PubMed: 17032068]
- 2. Burns BJ, Costello EJ, Angold A, et al. Children's mental health service use across service sectors. Health Aff (Millwood). 1995; 14(3):147–159. Fall. [PubMed: 7498888]

3. Flisher AJ, Kramer RA, Grosser RC, et al. Correlates of unmet need for mental health services by children and adolescents. Psychol Med. Sep; 1997 27(5):1145–1154. [PubMed: 9300518]

- 4. Leaf PJ, Alegria M, Cohen P, et al. Mental health service use in the community and schools: results from the four-community MECA Study. Methods for the Epidemiology of Child and Adolescent Mental Disorders Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Jul; 1996 35(7):889–897. [PubMed: 8768348]
- Merikangas KR, He JP, Brody D, Fisher PW, Bourdon K, Koretz DS. Prevalence and treatment of mental disorders among US children in the 2001-2004 NHANES. Pediatrics. Jan; 2010 125(1):75– 81. [PubMed: 20008426]
- Bird HR, Shrout PE, Duarte CS, Shen S, Bauermeister JJ, Canino G. Longitudinal mental health service and medication use for ADHD among Puerto Rican youth in two contexts. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Aug; 2008 47(8):879–889. [PubMed: 18596555]
- Wu P, Hoven CW, Bird HR, et al. Depressive and disruptive disorders and mental health service utilization in children and adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Sep; 1999 38(9): 1081–1090. discussion 1090-1082. [PubMed: 10504806]
- 8. Borges G, Benjet C, Medina-Mora M, Orozco R, Wang P. Treatment of mental disorders for adolescents in Mexico City. Bull World Health Organ. Oct; 2008 86(10):757–764. [PubMed: 18949212]
- Cunningham PJ, Freiman MP. Determinants of ambulatory mental health services use for schoolage children and adolescents. Health Serv Res. Oct; 1996 31(4):409–427. [PubMed: 8885856]
- Pumariega AJ, Glover S, Holzer CE 3rd, Nguyen H. Administrative update: utilization of services.
 II. Utilization of mental health services in a tri-ethnic sample of adolescents. Community Ment Health J. Apr; 1998 34(2):145–156. [PubMed: 9620159]
- 11. Wu P, Hoven CW, Cohen P, et al. Factors associated with use of mental health services for depression by children and adolescents. Psychiatr Serv. Feb; 2001 52(2):189–195. [PubMed: 11157117]
- Kataoka SH, Zhang L, Wells KB. Unmet need for mental health care among U.S. children: variation by ethnicity and insurance status. Am J Psychiatry. Sep; 2002 159(9):1548–1555. [PubMed: 12202276]
- 13. Zwaanswijk M, Van der Ende J, Verhaak PF, Bensing JM, Verhulst FC. Factors associated with adolescent mental health service need and utilization. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Jun; 2003 42(6):692–700. [PubMed: 12921477]
- 14. Ford T. Practitioner review: How can epidemiology help us plan and deliver effective child and adolescent mental health services? J Child Psychol Psychiatry. Sep; 2008 49(9):900–914. [PubMed: 18573144]
- 15. Cohen P, Hesselbart CS. Demographic factors in the use of children's mental health services. Am J Public Health. Jan; 1993 83(1):49–52. [PubMed: 8417606]
- 16. Farmer EM, Stangl DK, Burns BJ, Costello EJ, Angold A. Use, persistence, and intensity: patterns of care for children's mental health across one year. Community Ment Health J. Feb; 1999 35(1): 31–46. [PubMed: 10094508]
- 17. John LH, Offord DR, Boyle MH, Racine YA. Factors predicting use of mental health and social services by children 6-16 years old: findings from the Ontario Child Health Study. Am J Orthopsychiatry. Jan; 1995 65(1):76–86. [PubMed: 7733219]
- 18. Angold A, Erkanli A, Farmer EM, et al. Psychiatric disorder, impairment, and service use in rural African American and white youth. Arch Gen Psychiatry. Oct; 2002 59(10):893–901. [PubMed: 12365876]
- Farmer EM, Burns BJ, Phillips SD, Angold A, Costello EJ. Pathways into and through mental health services for children and adolescents. Psychiatr Serv. Jan; 2003 54(1):60–66. [PubMed: 12509668]
- Hoagwood K, Burns BJ, Kiser L, Ringeisen H, Schoenwald SK. Evidence-based practice in child and adolescent mental health services. Psychiatr Serv. Sep; 2001 52(9):1179–1189. [PubMed: 11533391]
- 21. Essau CA. Frequency and patterns of mental health services utilization among adolescents with anxiety and depressive disorders. Depress Anxiety. 2005; 22(3):130–137. [PubMed: 16175563]

22. Leslie LK, Wolraich ML. ADHD service use patterns in youth. J Pediatr Psychol. Jul; 2007 32(6): 695–710. [PubMed: 17556401]

- 23. Olfson M, Mojtabai R, Sampson NA, et al. Dropout from outpatient mental health care in the United States. Psychiatr Serv. Jul; 2009 60(7):898–907. [PubMed: 19564219]
- Olfson M, Gameroff MJ, Marcus SC, Waslick BD. Outpatient treatment of child and adolescent depression in the United States. Arch Gen Psychiatry. Dec; 2003 60(12):1236–1242. [PubMed: 14662556]
- 25. Birenbaum A, Cohen HJ. On the importance of helping families: policy implications from a national study. Ment Retard. Apr; 1993 31(2):67–74. [PubMed: 8479331]
- 26. Zahner GE, Pawelkiewicz W, DeFrancesco JJ, Adnopoz J. Children's mental health service needs and utilization patterns in an urban community: an epidemiological assessment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Sep; 1992 31(5):951–960. [PubMed: 1400130]
- 27. Merikangas K, Avenevoli S, Costello J, Koretz D, Kessler RC. National comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement (NCS-A): I. Background and measures. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Apr; 2009 48(4):367–369. [PubMed: 19242382]
- 28. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. Design and field procedures in the US National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. Jun; 2009 18(2):69–83. [PubMed: 19507169]
- Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. National comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement (NCS-A): II. Overview and design. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Apr; 2009 48(4):380–385. [PubMed: 19242381]
- 30. Merikangas KR, He JP, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication--Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Oct; 2010 49(10):980–989. [PubMed: 20855043]
- 31. Stiffman AR, Horwitz SM, Hoagwood K, et al. The Service Assessment for Children and Adolescents (SACA): adult and child reports. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Aug; 2000 39(8):1032–1039. [PubMed: 10939232]
- 32. Lurie IZ. Differential effect of the state children's health insurance program expansions by children's age. Health Serv Res. Oct; 2009 44(5 Pt 1):1504–1520. [PubMed: 19656227]
- Kapphahn CJ, Morreale MC, Rickert VI, Walker LR. Financing mental health services for adolescents: a position paper of the Society for Adolescent Medicine. J Adolesc Health. Sep; 2006 39(3):456–458. [PubMed: 16919815]
- 34. Walrath C, Garraza LG, Stephens R, Azur M, Miech R, Leaf P. Trends in characteristics of children served by the Children's Mental Health Initiative: 1994-2007. Adm Policy Ment Health. Nov; 2009 36(6):361–373. [PubMed: 19641987]
- 35. National Research Council and the Institute of Medicine. [October 7, 2010] Preventing mental, emotional and behavioral disorders among young people: Progress and possibilites. 2009. https://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12480.
- 36. Wang PS, Berglund P, Olfson M, Pincus HA, Wells KB, Kessler RC. Failure and delay in initial treatment contact after first onset of mental disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. Jun; 2005 62(6):603–613. [PubMed: 15939838]
- 37. Alegria M, Chatterji P, Wells K, et al. Disparity in depression treatment among racial and ethnic minority populations in the United States. Psychiatr Serv. Nov; 2008 59(11):1264–1272. [PubMed: 18971402]
- 38. Keyes KM, Hatzenbuehler ML, Alberti P, Narrow WE, Grant BF, Hasin DS. Service utilization differences for Axis I psychiatric and substance use disorders between white and black adults. Psychiatr Serv. Aug; 2008 59(8):893–901. [PubMed: 18678687]
- Neighbors HW, Caldwell C, Williams DR, et al. Race, ethnicity, and the use of services for mental disorders: results from the National Survey of American Life. Arch Gen Psychiatry. Apr; 2007 64(4):485–494. [PubMed: 17404125]
- 40. Sussman LK, Robins LN, Earls F. Treatment-seeking for depression by black and white Americans. Soc Sci Med. 1987; 24(3):187–196. [PubMed: 3824001]
- 41. Kessler RC, Demler O, Frank RG, et al. Prevalence and treatment of mental disorders, 1990 to 2003. N Engl J Med. Jun 16; 2005 352(24):2515–2523. [PubMed: 15958807]

42. McMiller WP, Weisz JR. Help-seeking preceding mental health clinic intake among African-American, Latino, and Caucasian youths. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Aug; 1996 35(8): 1086–1094. [PubMed: 8755806]

- 43. Zahner GE, Daskalakis C. Factors associated with mental health, general health, and school-based service use for child psychopathology. Am J Public Health. Sep; 1997 87(9):1440–1448. [PubMed: 9314794]
- 44. Gould SR, Roberts MC, Beals SE. Do state mental health plans address the New Freedom Commission's goals for children's mental health? Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. Dec; 2009 12(4): 295–309. [PubMed: 19475508]
- 45. Schneider H, Eisenberg D. Who receives a diagnosis of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder in the United States elementary school population? Pediatrics. Apr; 2006 117(4):e601–609. [PubMed: 16585277]
- 46. Polanczyk G, Jensen P. Epidemiologic considerations in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a review and update. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. Apr; 2008 17(2):245–260. vii. [PubMed: 18295145]
- 47. Graetz BW, Sawyer MG, Baghurst P, Ettridge K. Are ADHD gender patterns moderated by sample source? J Atten Disord. Aug; 2006 10(1):36–43. [PubMed: 16840591]
- 48. Greenhill LL, Swanson JM, Vitiello B, et al. Impairment and deportment responses to different methylphenidate doses in children with ADHD: the MTA titration trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Feb; 2001 40(2):180–187. [PubMed: 11211366]
- 49. Gaub M, Carlson CL. Gender differences in ADHD: a meta-analysis and critical review. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Aug; 1997 36(8):1036–1045. [PubMed: 9256583]
- Biederman J, Kwon A, Aleardi M, et al. Absence of gender effects on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: findings in nonreferred subjects. Am J Psychiatry. Jun; 2005 162(6):1083– 1089. [PubMed: 15930056]
- 51. Biederman J, Mick E, Faraone SV, et al. Influence of gender on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children referred to a psychiatric clinic. Am J Psychiatry. Jan; 2002 159(1):36–42. [PubMed: 11772687]
- 52. Ohan JL, Johnston C. Gender appropriateness of symptom criteria for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, oppositional-defiant disorder, and conduct disorder. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2005; 35(4):359–381. Summer. [PubMed: 15886870]
- 53. Pisecco S, Huzinec C, Curtis D. The effect of child characteristics on teachers' acceptability of classroom-based behavioral strategies and psychostimulant medication for the treatment of ADHD. J Clin Child Psychol. Sep; 2001 30(3):413–421. [PubMed: 11501257]
- 54. Vanheusden K, van der Ende J, Mulder CL, van Lenthe FJ, Verhulst FC, Mackenbach JP. Beliefs about mental health problems and help-seeking behavior in Dutch young adults. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. Mar; 2009 44(3):239–246. [PubMed: 18719849]
- 55. Pliszka S. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Jul; 2007 46(7): 894–921. [PubMed: 17581453]
- 56. Marino S, Gallo JJ, Ford D, Anthony JC. Filters on the pathway to mental health care, I. Incident mental disorders. Psychol Med. Nov; 1995 25(6):1135–1148. [PubMed: 8637944]
- 57. Libby AM, Orton HD, Valuck RJ. Persisting decline in depression treatment after FDA warnings. Arch Gen Psychiatry. Jun; 2009 66(6):633–639. [PubMed: 19487628]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Rates of lifetime disorder-specific mental health service use among adolescents with a DSM-IV disorder by sex and age group

		Total	Š	Sex		Age	
DSM-IV Disorder	No. of cases	LOGAL	Female	Male	13-14 yr	15-16 yr	$17\text{-}18~\mathrm{yr}$
		% (SE)	(SE) %	(3E) %	(3S) %	% (SE)	(3S) %
Any mood disorders	921	37.7 (2.3)	41.5 (4.2)	31.5 (4.0)	44.2 (7.1)	30.8 (3.6)	42.4 (5.1)
Major depressive disorder or dysthymia	741	39.4 (3.1)	40.9 (4.8)	36.5 (4.4)	44.3 (8.6)	34.1 (4.6)	43.1 (5.7)
Bipolar I or II	192	22.2 (4.8)	26.5 (5.4)	17.9 (6.2)	24.4 (8.6)	17.2 (7.5)	28.2 (8.4)
Any anxiety disorders	1506	17.8 (1.4)	20.3 (1.7)	14.5 (2.0)	13.2 (2.2)	18.1 (1.9)	25.0 (3.2)
Agoraphobia	142	7.0 (3.7)	8.0 (5.2)	4.8 (2.8)	1.4 (1.1)	11.4 (7.5)	5.7 (4.5)
Generalized anxiety disorder	61	43.8 (10.1)	39.9 (11.1)	52.5 (22.8)	22.2 (16.3)	50.1 (15.6)	47.4 (14.4)
Social phobia	355	12.1 (1.8)	11.4 (2.3)	13.2 (4.1)	12.9 (4.4)	5.9 (1.9)	21.1 (4.6)
Specific phobia	954	6.5 (1.1)	7.2 (1.5)	5.6 (1.3)	8.8 (2.5)	5.0 (1.4)	5.0 (1.7)
Panic disorder	149	33.8 (6.4)	50.1 (9.0)	14.3 (5.3)	20.7 (10.2)	32.9 (8.7)	47.9 (10.8)
Separation anxiety disorder	397	6.9 (1.4)	8.8 (2.2)	4.1 (2.3)	5.6 (2.0)	6.9 (2.2)	9.1 (3.7)
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder	617	59.8 (3.1)	(4.6)	64.8 (3.4)	57.9 (4.5)	59.6 (4.7)	63.4 (5.6)
Behavior Disorders	974	45.4 (2.0)	46.5 (4.7)	44.6 (2.8)	48.1 (5.7)	48.0 (3.8)	38.4 (5.3)
Oppositional defiant disorders	488	53.8 (4.6)	49.4 (6.8)	57.5 (4.9)	55.67.7)	54.5 (6.3)	48.8 (5.8)
Conduct disorder	969	32.3 (3.0)	30.6 (4.9)	33.5 (3.0)	25.4 (5.1)	39.3 (4.0)	28.9 (5.1)
Any substance use disorders	999	15.4 (3.2)	15.2 (6.5)	15.5 (3.7)	37.4 (15.4)	12.3 (3.1)	11.4 (2.9)
Alcohol abuse/dependence	385	11.9 (2.9)	8.7 (2.4)	13.9 (4.4)	0.3 (0.3)	17.8 (5.3)	8.7 (2.5)
Drug abuse/dependence	200	18.3 4.0)	18.1 (7.7)	18.5 (4.1)	40.1 (15.9)	13.4 (3.5)	14.7 (3.5)
Eating disorders	176	12.8 (3.7)	17.0 (5.4)	1.8 (1.3)	4.7 (2.9)	11.7 (4.0)	30.4 (9.5)
Any class ^a	2899	36.2 (1.6)	34.9 (2.2)	37.4 (1.9)	35.0 (3.0)	36.5 (2.1)	37.2 (2.4)
1 class	1692	19.9 (1.5)	18.1 (2.1)	21.7 (2.2)	22.2 (3.1)	20.6 (2.2)	15.0 (2.0)
2 classes	892	51.0 (2.2)	49.7 (3.8)	52.2 (3.7)	50.5 (4.0)	49.2 (3.7)	53.9 (4.3)
3+ classes	439	72.2 (4.0)	76.6 (5.1)	68.1 (5.4)	70.5 (8.8)	74.0 (4.5)	71.3 (5.7)

Note.

 $[^]a$ Excluding eating disorders

Merikangas et al. Page 16

Table 2

Rate comparisons of lifetime disorder-specific mental health service use by severity status of the disorder

	Non-Severe Cases	re Cases	Severe Cases	Cases	
Mental Disorders	No. of cases	% (SE)	No. of cases	% (SE)	Wald-F (df=1) [p-value]
Any mood disorders	646	37.8 (3.4)	275	37.7 (5.5)	0.0 [.946]
Major depressive disorder or dysthymia	561	38.8 (4.0)	180	41.1 (6.0)	0.1 [.769]
Bipolar I or II	76	20.9 (5.4)	95	23.3 (6.4)	0.1 [.742]
Any anxiety disorders	1108	13.6 (1.5)	398	29.1 (3.7)	18.1 [.0001]
Agoraphobia	142	7.0 (3.7)	$^{142}^{b}$	7.0 (3.7)	ı
Generalized anxiety disorder	32	29.7 (12.8)	29	59.3 (14.0)	2.0 [.163]
Social phobia	267	9.3 (1.7)	88	21.3 (5.3)	6.1 [.018]
Specific phobia	914	5.5 (0.9)	40	28.7 (12.1)	10.3 [.003]
Panic disorder	149	33.8 (6.4)	149 ^b	33.8 (6.4)	ı
Separation anxiety disorder	371	7.0 (1.4)	26	5.8 (4.1)	0.1 [.775]
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder	505	55.0 (3.6)	112	81.6 (5.9)	9.4 [.004]
Behavior Disorders	299	32.0 (2.1)	307	72.0 (4.4)	66.5 [<.0001]
Oppositional defiant disorders	296	35.2 (4.9)	196	78.3 (4.8)	28.3 [<.0001]
Conduct disorder	534	26.2 (2.8)	161	54.1 (6.6)	19.7 [.0001]
Any substance use disorders	999	15.4 (3.2)	_q 999	15.4 (3.2)	1
Alcohol abuse/dependence	385	11.9 (2.9)	385 ^b	11.9 (2.9)	•
Drug abuse/dependence	500	18.3 4.0)	q_{00}	18.3 (4.0)	1
Eating disorders	176	12.8 (3.7)	176 ^b	12.8 (3.7)	1
Any class ^a	1611	26.1 (1.7)	1288	47.4 (2.2)	61.2 [<.0001]

Note

 $[^]a\mathrm{Excluding}$ eating disorders

 $[^]b{\rm No~severe~definition}$

Merikangas et al. Page 17

Table 3

Disorder-Specific Mental Health Service Use ^a by Sociodemographic Factors and Classes of Disorder

		Disord	Disoluci Class, Aujusica Ouus Matio (25 /0 C1)	/₀ CI)	
Correlates	Mood	Anxiety	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity	Behavior	Substance Use
Sex					
Male	0.59 (0.33 - 1.05)	0.59 (0.33 - 1.05) 0.67 (0.45 - 1.00)	1.81 (1.06 - 3.08)	1.05 (0.72 - 1.53)	2.01 (0.89 - 4.57)
Female	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
χ^{21} [p-value]	3.4 [0.066]	4.1 [0.044]	5.1 [0.024]	0.1 [0.786]	3.0 [0.085]
Age					
	1.01 (0.84 - 1.22)	1.16 (1.02 - 1.31)	1.06 (0.91 - 1.23)	0.92 (0.81 - 1.05)	0.83 (0.62 - 1.10)
χ^{21} [p-value]	0.0 [0.901]	5.6 [0.018]	0.6 [0.457]	1.5 [0.221]	1.8 [0.181]
Race/Ethnicity					
Hispanic	0.47 (0.29 - 0.78)	0.24 (0.09 - 0.65)	0.80 (0.36 - 1.80)	0.75 (0.40 - 1.41)	2.09 (0.72 - 6.11)
Non-Hispanic Black	0.23 (0.14 - 0.40)	0.60 (0.34 - 1.08)	0.58 (0.22 - 1.52)	0.77 (0.40 - 1.45)	1.56 (0.23 - 10.56)
Other	0.61 (0.22 - 1.68)	0.47 (0.26 - 0.83)	0.32 (0.14 - 0.73)	0.68 (0.39 - 1.20)	1.57 (0.40 - 6.10)
Non-Hispanic White	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
χ^{23} [p-value]	36.1 [0.000]	14.8 [0.002]	8.3 [0.040]	3.2 [0.361]	2.2 [0.525]
Parent's marital status c					
Previously married	3.07 (1.61 - 5.84)	1.41 (0.85 - 2.33)	1.19 (0.61 - 2.31)	1.95 (1.14 - 3.31)	2.69 (1.22 - 5.91)
Never married	2.05 (0.65 - 6.46)	0.81 (0.33 - 1.95)	0.50 (0.13 - 2.00)	0.97 (0.31 - 3.04)	0.46 (0.10 - 2.01)
Married/Cohabiting	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
χ^{23} [p-value]	13.6 [0.004]	2.3 [0.516]	3.0 [0.389]	6.6[0.085]	11.4 [0.010]
Region					
Northeast	1.40 (0.83 - 2.36)	1.29 (0.70 - 2.36)	0.67 (0.27 - 1.65)	1.67 (0.71 - 3.91)	1.37 (0.48 - 3.92)
Midwest	1.27 (0.85 - 1.88)	1.04 (0.61 - 1.79)	0.69 (0.34 - 1.42)	1.01 (0.56 - 1.84)	0.80 (0.23 - 2.79)
South	1.26 (0.73 - 2.18)	0.85 (0.49 - 1.46)	1.32 (0.50 - 3.48)	1.23 (0.66 - 2.29)	0.26 (0.07 - 0.91)
West	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
χ^{23} [p-value]	2.3 [0.514]	2.9 [0.408]	7.0 [0.070]	2.2 [0.532]	9.8 [0.020]
Urbanicity					
	7000	700000000000000000000000000000000000000	7000000	11 000	0000

Author Manuscript

Merikangas et al.

		Disord	Disorder Class, Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)	% CI)	
Correlates	Mood	Anxiety	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity	Behavior	Substance Use
Other	1.68 (0.96 - 2.93)	0.94 (0.43 - 2.06)	0.52 (0.26 - 1.07)	0.77 (0.46 - 1.28)	0.34 (0.12 - 0.97)
Rural	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
χ^{22} [p-value]	7.0 [0.031]	1.8 [0.409]	3.4 [0.179]	1.6 [0.441]	5.3 [0.070]
Parent's education					
< High school	0.67 (0.25 - 1.82)	0.65 (0.29 - 1.46)	0.52 (0.24 - 1.13)	0.70 (0.36 - 1.36)	3.02 (1.13 - 8.06)
High school grad	0.76 (0.43 - 1.35)	0.83 (0.49 - 1.41)	0.50 (0.25 - 1.02)	0.69 (0.35 - 1.35)	1.53 (0.49 - 4.78)
Some college	0.99 (0.65 - 1.50)	1.00 (0.56 - 1.78)	0.75 (0.36 - 1.57)	0.81 (0.46 - 1.42)	3.83 (1.67 - 8.77)
College grad	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
χ^{23} [p-value]	1.5 [0.686]	1.9[0.591]	5.1 [0.164]	2.0 [0.567]	15.0 [0.002]
Poverty index ratio (PIR)					
PIR <=1.5	1.02 (0.43 - 2.44)	1.41 (0.67 - 2.98)	0.96 (0.49 - 1.87)	1.04 (0.55 - 1.95)	0.62 (0.16 - 2.38)
PIR <=3	1.02 (0.50 - 2.09)	1.10 (0.60 - 2.03)	0.78 (0.39 - 1.56)	1.28 (0.64 - 2.55)	0.75 (0.30 - 1.87)
PIR <=6	0.75 (0.37 - 1.53)	1.16 (0.72 - 1.89)	0.79 (0.34 - 1.82)	1.56 (0.95 - 2.55)	0.59 (0.21 - 1.61)
PIR >6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
χ^{23} [p-value]	1.6[0.660]	0.9[0.819]	0.6 [0.897]	4.1 [0.251]	1.2 [0.754]
Class of disorder ^d					
0 class	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
1 class	1.90 (1.03 - 3.50)	1.73 (1.06 - 2.82)	1.56 (0.79 - 3.05)	2.17 (1.19 - 3.95)	3.54 (0.92 - 13.53)
2 classes	3.61 (1.84 - 7.09)	2.51 (1.51 - 4.19)	1.83 (0.80 - 4.23)	1.93 (1.07 - 3.51)	4.38 (1.86 - 10.36)
3+ classes	3.97 (1.86 - 8.47)	2.48 (1.18 - 5.20)	1.17 (0.46 - 2.98)	5.16 (2.58 - 10.32)	7.75 (2.35 - 25.58)
χ^{23} [p-value]	20.5 [0.000]	15.0 [0.002]	3.0 [0.392]	23.4 [0.000]	16.0 [0.001]

Page 18

aAmong those with specific disorder

 $^{^{}b}$ Adjusted odd ratios and 95% confidence intervals from models containing all variables in the table

 $^{^{}c}$ Unknown marital status not shown

dMinus the class of disorder of interest

Author Manuscript

Table 4

Lifetime service rates by sector and association between mental health specialty service use and DSM-IV disorder classes

			3 2	Service Rates by S	Service Rates by Sectors, % $(95\% \text{ CI})^a$			Mental Health Specialty vs. Other sector	Health s. Other
Disorder Classes WA T WA Cade	No. of Cases	Mental Health Specialty	General Medical	Human Service	Other Sector CAM	Juvenile Justice	School Service	Adjusted Odds Ratio $(95\%$ CI) $^{\mathcal{C}}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Adjusted} \\ \text{Odds} \\ \text{Ratio} \\ \text{(95\%} \\ \text{CI)}^d \end{array}$
Mood disorders	921	58.8 (53.9 - 63.6)	26.3 (21.8 - 31.3)	24.8 (20.3 - 29.8)	16.9 (13.2 - 21.4)	8.7 (5.0 - 14.7)	39.8 (35.4 - 44.5)	1.64 (1.19 - 2.27)	1.17 (0.81 - 1.70)
esc Psych	1506	40.0 (36.0 - 44.2)	18.2 (15.7 - 21.1)	16.8 (14.2 - 19.7)	11.7 (9.1 - 14.9)	5.4 (3.8 - 7.7)	28.9 (26.1 - 31.9)	1.15 (0.87 - 1.53)	0.95 (0.68 - 1.34)
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder of Y	617	68.1 (61.3 - 74.2)	32.8 (27.9 - 38.1)	22.7 (17.8 - 28.4)	16.0 (12.5 - 20.3)	14.3 (9.5 - 20.8)	63.2 (55.5 - 70.3)	2.69 (1.94 - 3.72)	2.18 (1.51 - 3.15)
Behavior disorders	974	64.6 (59.4 - 69.5)	28.3 (23.6 - 33.5)	28.4 (24.6 - 32.5)	16.9 (13.3 - 21.3)	21.3 (15.6 - 28.4)	53.5 (48.9 - 58.1)	2.15 (1.56 - 2.94)	1.46 (1.04 - 2.05)
Substance disorders as:	999	58.8 (50.3 - 66.8)	25.1 (20.0 - 31.0)	21.6 (17.1 - 27.0)	19.2 (14.6 - 24.8)	21.3 (14.5 - 30.2)	43.7 (37.9 - 49.8)	1.91 (1.33 - 2.74)	1.19 (0.71 - 2.00)
Any class b	2899	46.5 (43.0 - 49.9)	20.7 (18.3 - 23.3)	18.2 (16.4 - 20.1)	12.6 (10.7 - 14.7)	9.5 (7.0 - 12.9)	35.4 (32.8 - 38.1)	1.74 (1.33 - 2.28)	:
Class	1692	33.3 (29.6 - 37.2)	15.3 (13.0 - 17.8)	13.2 (10.4 - 16.5)	8.3 (6.8 - 10.2)	3.9 (2.8 - 5.3)	23.2 (20.1 - 26.7)	1.19 (0.90 - 1.58)	1.00
2 classes 2 April 2	768	59.8 (54.5 - 64.8)	23.9 (19.6 - 28.8)	20.7 (17.0 - 25.0)	15.9 (12.6 - 19.9)	16.8 (11.2 - 24.5)	50.7 (44.3 - 57.1)	2.10 (1.44 - 3.04)	1.46 (0.87 - 2.47)
. 3+ classes	439	73.3 (65.0 - 80.2)	35.5 (29.1 - 42.6)	32.4 (25.3 - 40.3)	23.0 (17.0 - 30.4)	18.7 (12.2 - 27.6)	55.6 (49.0 - 62.1)	3.82 (2.28 - 6.38)	1.69 (0.73 - 3.87)

lote:

 $[\]boldsymbol{a}_{\text{Proportions}}$ of service in each service sector among those with any DSM-IV disorder

 $^{^{}b}$ Excluding eating disorder

 $^{^{\}mathcal{C}}$ Crude odds ratios among adolescents who received any treatment

d Adjusted odds ratios controlling for demographic characteristics (sex, age, race/ethnicity, parent marital status and education, region, urbanicity, and poverty index ratio), specific classes of disorders among adolescents who received any treatment

Author Manuscript

Table 5

Lifetime rates of mental health specialty service visit^a by frequency and associations between frequency of visits and DSM-IV disorder classes

Disorder Classes Mood disorders Anxiety disorders Artention deficit hyneractivity disorder 617		ont					
		1111	Intermediate	Limited	Frequent	Intermediate	Limited
		- 29.4)	23.1 (17.8 - 29.4) 17.8 (14.1 - 22.3)	59.1 (53.3 - 64.6) 1.43 (0.88 - 2.31)	1.43 (0.88 - 2.31)	0.74 (0.51 - 1.07)	1.03 (0.70 - 1.53)
		- 20.0)	12.8 (10.7 - 15.2)	15.2 (11.4 - 20.0) 12.8 (10.7 - 15.2) 72.0 (68.1 - 75.5) 1.63 (0.92 - 2.87) 0.73 (0.46 - 1.17) 0.96 (0.65 - 1.43)	1.63 (0.92 -2.87)	0.73 (0.46 - 1.17)	0.96 (0.65 - 1.43)
	26.3 (20.9	- 32.4)	26.3 (20.9 - 32.4) 23.9 (19.2 - 29.3)	49.8 (42.7 - 56.9) 2.38 (1.33 - 4.27) 1.13 (0.73 - 1.74) 0.52 (0.31 - 0.87)	2.38 (1.33 - 4.27)	1.13 (0.73 - 1.74)	0.52 (0.31 - 0.87)
Conduct or oppositional defiant disorders 974	24.9 (19.5	- 31.2)	24.9 (19.5 - 31.2) 24.1 (19.8 - 29.0)	51.1 (45.4 - 56.6) 1.66 (0.83 - 3.31) 1.36 (0.91 - 2.01) 0.58 (0.36 - 0.95)	1.66 (0.83 - 3.31)	1.36 (0.91 - 2.01)	0.58 (0.36 - 0.95)
Substance disorders 666	24.3 (18.1	- 32.0)	24.3 (18.1 - 32.0) 18.8 (14.4 - 24.2)	56.9 (49.1 - 64.3) 1.62 (0.76 - 3.46) 0.77 (0.49 - 1.21) 0.90 (0.51 - 1.58)	1.62 (0.76 - 3.46)	0.77 (0.49 - 1.21)	0.90 (0.51 - 1.58)
Any Class d 2899	15.5 (12.8	- 18.5)	15.5 (12.8 - 18.5) 16.2 (14.2 - 18.4) 68.4 (65.4 - 71.2)	68.4 (65.4 - 71.2)	ı	:	:
1 class 1692	7.3 (5.3 - 9.8)	- 9.8)	11.9 (10.1 - 14.1) 80.8 (78.3 - 83.1)	80.8 (78.3 - 83.1)	1.00	1.00	1.00
2 classes 768	21.6 (16.4 - 27.9)	- 27.9)	21.9 (16.6 - 28.4)	21.9 (16.6 - 28.4) 56.5 (50.1 - 62.6) 1.68 (0.74 - 3.81)	1.68 (0.74 - 3.81)	1.44 (0.76 - 2.69)	0.55 (0.29 - 1.06)
3+ classes 439	35.6 (27.7 - 44.3)	- 44.3)		$22.5 \ (16.3 - 30.3) 41.9 \ (35.4 - 48.8) 1.37 \ (0.33 - 5.61) 1.45 \ (0.61 - 3.43) 0.51 \ (0.18 - 1.43)$	1.37 (0.33 - 5.61)	1.45 (0.61 - 3.43)	0.51 (0.18 - 1.43)

Note:

^dVisits made to mental health outpatient facilities (e.g., community mental health center or drug/alcohol clinic), and to mental health professionals (e.g., psychiatrist, psychologist, social worker, or family counselor) in their lifetime; categorized as frequent (>20 visits), intermediate (6-20 visits) and limited (<6 visits)

 $^{^{\}it b}$ Proportions of visit frequency groups in each category among those with any DSM-IV disorder

cAdjusted odds ratios of visit frequency groups by DSM-IV disorder class controlling for demographic characteristics (sex, age, race/ethnicity, parent marital status and education, region, urbanicity, and poverty index ratio), specific classes of disorders, and number of classes of disorders among adolescents who received any treatment

 $d_{\rm Excluding}$ eating disorder