Chimeras of Channelrhodopsin-1 and -2 from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* Exhibit Distinctive Light-induced Structural Changes from Channelrhodopsin-2*

Received for publication, February 13, 2015, and in revised form, March 16, 2015 Published, JBC Papers in Press, March 21, 2015, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M115.642256

Asumi Inaguma^{+§¶}, Hisao Tsukamoto^{+¶}, Hideaki E. Kato^{||1}, Tetsunari Kimura^{+¶}, Toru Ishizuka^{**++}, Satomi Oishi^{||}, Hiromu Yawo^{**++}, Osamu Nureki^{||}, and Yuji Furutani^{+§¶2}

From the [‡]Department of Life and Coordination-Complex Molecular Science, Institute for Molecular Science, 38 Nishigo-Naka, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan, [§]PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 4-1-8 Honcho Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan, [¶]Department of Structural Molecular Science, Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), 38 Nishigo-Naka, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan, [¶]Department of Biophysics and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 2-11-16 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0032, Japan, **CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 5 Sanbancho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0075, and ^{‡‡}Department of Developmental Biology and Neuroscience, Tohoku University Graduate School of Life Sciences, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

Background: Channelrhodopsins, light-gated cation channels, are widely used for optogenetics. **Results:** Channelrhodopsin-1/2 chimeras exhibit light-induced conformational changes with reduced Glu-129 deprotonation (Glu-90 in channelrhodopsin-2).

Conclusion: First and second channelrhodopsin-1 transmembrane helices are prerequisite for converting photostationary state of channelrhodopsin-2 into reduced desensitized state.

Significance: Channelrhodopsin-2 has some structural differences from a channelrhodopsin chimera whose x-ray crystal structure has been solved.

Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) from the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii functions as a light-gated cation channel that has been developed as an optogenetic tool to stimulate specific nerve cells in animals and control their behavior by illumination. The molecular mechanism of ChR2 has been extensively studied by a variety of spectroscopic methods, including lightinduced difference Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, which is sensitive to structural changes in the protein upon light activation. An atomic structure of channelrhodopsin was recently determined by x-ray crystallography using a chimera of channelrhodopsin-1 (ChR1) and ChR2. Electrophysiological studies have shown that ChR1/ChR2 chimeras are less desensitized upon continuous illumination than native ChR2, implying that there are some structural differences between ChR2 and chimeras. In this study, we applied light-induced difference FTIR spectroscopy to ChR2 and ChR1/ChR2 chimeras to determine the molecular basis underlying these functional differences. Upon continuous illumination, ChR1/ChR2 chimeras exhibited structural changes distinct from those in ChR2. In particular, the protonation state of a glutamate residue, Glu-129

(Glu-90 in ChR2 numbering), in the ChR chimeras is not changed as dramatically as in ChR2. Moreover, using mutants stabilizing particular photointermediates as well as time-resolved measurements, we identified some differences between the major photointermediates of ChR2 and ChR1/ChR2 chimeras. Taken together, our data indicate that the gating and desensitizing processes in ChR1/ChR2 chimeras are different from those in ChR2 and that these differences should be considered in the rational design of new optogenetic tools based on channelrhodopsins.

Channelrhodopsin-1 and -2 (ChR1 and ChR2)³ are photoreceptive proteins expressed in the eyespot of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (1–4). They have seven transmembrane helices and possess all-*trans* retinal as their chromophore. Photoisomerization of the retinal chromophore upon light absorption causes conformational changes in these proteins that result in opening of the channel gate and the flow of cations.

Recently, ChR2 and its derivative mutants with particular electrophysiological properties have been developed for use as powerful optogenetic tools, particularly in neuroscience (5–12). Optogenetics is a rapidly growing technique for manipulating specific neural activities by light stimulation, instead of invasive electrode methods. ChR2 is preferentially activated by blue light due to its absorption maximum at ~470 nm. It inwardly conducts protons and Na⁺ ions in a light-dependent manner, resulting in the depolarization of ChR2-expressing cells. Since 2005, ChR2 has been genetically introduced into



^{*} This work was supported in part by the Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) Program of "Creation of Nanosystems with Novel Function through Process Integration" and the Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO) Program of "Chemical Conversion of Light Energy" from the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST). This work was also supported in part by KAKENHI Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant 22770159 and 24650203 (to Y. F.).

¹ Present address: Dept. of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Life and Coordination-Complex Molecular Science, Institute for Molecular Science, 38 Nishigo-Naka, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan. Tel.: 81-564-55-7330; E-mail: furutani@ims.ac.jp.

³ The abbreviations used are: ChR1, channelrhodopsin-1; ChR2, channelrhodopsin-2; DDM, *n*-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside; TM, transmembrane helix.



FIGURE 1. Arrangement of the helices in chimeras of ChR1 and ChR2. *A*, schematic representation of the chimeric channel rhodopsins. The segments from ChR2 are colored *yellow*, and those from ChR1 are colored *purple*. Note that the amino acid sequences of TM3 in ChR1 and ChR2 (colored *gray*) are identical. A chimeric channel rhodopsin that has TM1 and TM2 from ChR1 and the other transmembrane regions from ChR2 is denoted ChR_{2/5}. Also, a chimera that has TM1 to TM5 from ChR1 and the other transmembrane regions from ChR1 are colored channel rhodopsin called C1C2, which is nearly identical to ChR_{5/2}.

nerve cells in various animals to regulate their behavior by light illumination (5, 12, 13).

The time course of the photocurrent upon continuous illumination of ChR2 shows a peak-and-plateau, whereas that of ChR1 shows a rectangular shape (2). The suppression just after the transient maximum photocurrent seen in ChR2 is denoted the "desensitization" (14). A photocurrent without the desensitization process would be favorable for use in optogenetics, but heterologous expression of ChR1 in mammalian cells is usually very difficult. Therefore, ChR2 has been preferred over ChR1 for applications in optogenetics.

In 2009, several types of ChR1/ChR2 chimeras were characterized using electrophysiological techniques (14). One of these chimeras, originally named "channelrhodopsin/wide receiver," consists of the first five transmembrane helices (TM1 to TM5) from ChR1 and the last two transmembrane helices (TM6 and TM7) from ChR2. This chimera is referred to as $ChR_{5/2}$. Another chimera, originally named channelrhodopsin/fast receiver, consists of TM1 and TM2 from ChR1 and TM3 to TM7 from ChR2. This chimera is referred to as $ChR_{2/5}$ (for details, see Figs. 1 and 2). These ChR1/ChR2 chimeras show larger photocurrents than the wild types, and their desensitization is significantly reduced upon continuous illumination (14). These features can overcome the principal disadvantage of ChR2 as an optogenetic tool: that the high level of desensitization that reduces the photocurrent by \sim 80% at the plateau (2, 13, 15, 16). In addition, $ChR_{5/2}$ is relatively sensitive to green light, and ChR_{2/5} exhibits faster on and off kinetics of the channel gating. These properties are advantages for their use in optogenetic applications.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy has revealed the molecular mechanisms underlying the photo-induced structural dynamics of various microbial rhodopsins, such as bacteriorhodopsin and halorhodopsin (17–20). Using FTIR spectroscopy, the molecular mechanisms of ChR2 upon activation and desensitization have also been extensively studied (21–26). After the all-*trans* to 13-*cis* photoisomerization of the retinal chromophore in the ground (closed) state of ChR2, several intermediates (P_1^{500} , P_2^{390} , P_3^{520} , and P_4^{480}) are formed and then return to the ground state. From a comparison between photocurrent and flash photolysis experiments, P_2^{390} and P_3^{520} are considered to be conductive, whereas the desensitization occurs in P_4^{480} , which exhibits a large conformational change of the protein (21, 23).

In 2012, the three-dimensional structure of a chimeric channelrhodopsin was solved at atomic resolution using x-ray crystallography (27). This structure opened a way to understand the molecular mechanisms of channelrhodopsins in greater detail. Interestingly, the crystal structure was determined using a ChR1/ChR2 chimera. This chimera, called C1C2, consists of TM1 to TM5 from ChR1 and TM6 and TM7 from ChR2. The amino acid sequence of C1C2 is virtually the same as that of $ChR_{5/2}$ (Fig. 2), and it shows a reduced desensitization upon continuous illumination, like $ChR_{5/2}$ (27). The molecular mechanism of ChR2 has been discussed based on the C1C2 structure or a ChR2 homology model (10, 28). However, as noted above, ChR2 has a different amino acid sequence and different photocurrent properties than those of C1C2 (ChR_{5/2}). Furthermore, the light-dependent structural changes exhibited by the chimera C1C2 and a ChR1 homologue from Chlamydomonas augustae have been studied by light-induced difference FTIR spectroscopy. These studies suggested the structural changes that occur during the photocycles of these channelrhodopsins are different from those in ChR2 (29–32).

In this study ChR1/ChR2 chimeras and ChR2 were studied by light-induced difference FTIR spectroscopy with an aim to

ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5 ChR2	MARRPWLLALALAVALAAGSAGASTGSDATVPVATQDGPDYVFHRAHERMLFQTSYTLEN MARRPWLLALALAVALAAGSAGASTGSDATVPVATQDGPDYVFHRAHERMLFQTSYTLEN MSRRPWLLALALAVALAAGSAGASTGSDATVPVATQDGPDYVFHRAHERMLFQTSYTLEN MARRPWLLALALAVALAAGSAGASTGSDATVPVATQDGPDYVFHRAHERMLFQTSYTLEN 	60 60 60 24
	TM1	
ChR1	NGSVICIPNNGQCFCLAWLKSNGT NAEKLAANILQWITFALSALCLMF YGYQTWKSTCGW	120
ChR5/2	NGSVICIPNNGQCFCLAWLKSNGTNAEKLAANILQWITFALSALCLMFYGYQTWKSTCGW	120
CICZ ChR2/5	NGSVICIPNNGQCFCLAWLKSNGTNAEKLAANILQWITFALSALCLMFYGYQTWKSTCGW	120
ChR2	-GSVLVPEDOCYCAGWIESRGTNGAOTASNVLOWLAAGFSILLLMFYAYOTWKSTCGW	81
	TM2 129 136 TM3 167	
ChR1	EEIYVATIEMIKFIIEYFHEFDEPAVIYSSNGNKTVWLRYAEWLLTCPVILIHLSNLTGL	180
C1C2	EETYVATIEMIKFIIEITEVEHEFDEDAVIYSSNGNKTVWLKIAEWLLICPVILIHLSNLTGL	180
ChR2/5	EEIYVATIEMIKFIIEYFHEFDEPAVIYSSNGNKTVWEKIXEWEEICFVIEIHESNEIGE	180
ChR2	EEIYV CA IE <mark>MVKVILE</mark> FF F EFKNPSMLYLATGHRVQWLRYAEWLLT <mark>C</mark> PVILIHLSNLTGL	141
	90 97 128	
	TM4 195 TM5	
ChR1	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLVGGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH	240
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH	240 240 240
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH	240 240 240 240
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5 ChR2	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH	240 240 240 240 201
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5 ChR2	TM4 195 T5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156	240 240 240 240 201
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5 ChR2	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6	240 240 240 240 201
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR1	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHALDLASKNAWS	240 240 240 201 300
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHALDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCROVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIDLMSKNCWG	240 240 240 201 300 300 300
ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR5/2 C1C2 ChR2/5	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHAILDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG	240 240 240 201 300 300 300 300
ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHALIDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIDLMSKNCWG	240 240 240 201 300 300 300 261
ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2	TM4 195 T5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGATSAMATGVVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRRTMGLLVSDIGTIVWGATSAMATGVVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHAILDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG	240 240 240 201 300 300 300 261
ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2	TM4 195 TM5 ANDYNKRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGTAALSK GYVRVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGATSAMAT GYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHAILDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG	240 240 240 201 300 300 300 300 261
ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR1 ChR1 ChR5/2	TM4 195 T5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTAALSK GYVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGYVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM6 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHAILDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVFKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFFILFICF TOF	240 240 240 201 300 300 300 261
ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2	TM4 195 T5 ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGVVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRTMGLLVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGVVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHAILDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG MMGHFLRVKIHEHILLYGDIRKKQKVNVAGQEMEVETMVHEEDDE	240 240 240 201 300 300 300 261
ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR2 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5 ChR1 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR1 ChR5/2 ClC2 ChR2/5	TM4 195 T5 ANDYNKRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGTTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH ANDYNKRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGTAALSKGVVRVIFFLMGLCYGIYTFFNAAKVYIEAYH SNDYSRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGVVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH SNDYSRTMGLUVS DIGTIVWGATSAMATGVVKVIFFCLGLCYGANTFFHAAKAYIEGYH 156 TM7 TVPKGICRDLVRYLAWLYFCSWAMFPVLFLLGPEGFGHINQFNSAIAHAILDLASKNAWS TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG TVPKGRCRQVVTGMAWLFFVSWGMFPILFILGPEGFGVLSVYGSTVGHTIIDLMSKNCWG MMGHFLRVKIHEHILHGDIRKTTKLNIGGTEIEVETLVEDEAEAGAVNKGTGK- 354 LLGHYLRVLIHEHILHGDIRKTTKLNIGGTEIEVETLVEDEAEAGAVNKGTGK- 354	240 240 240 201 300 300 300 261

FIGURE 2. Amino acid sequence alignment of ChR1, ChR2, and their chimeras (ChR_{5/2}, ChR_{2/5}, and C1C2). The amino acid sequences were aligned by ClustalW. The helix regions are *shaded*. The amino acid residues that are different between ChR1 and ChR2 are indicated in *bold*. The *red* residues in C1C2 are different from those in ChR_{5/2}. The residues that are substituted in this work are highlighted in *yellow*. Note that the amino acid sequence of TM3 is identical between ChR1 and ChR2 (*black*).

reveal the molecular basis underlying the differences in electrophysiological properties between them. We also investigated the effects of the mutation of key residues on the photocyclic reaction and photocurrent profiles. Glu-90 in ChR2 is considered to be crucial for gating properties and ion selectivity (22, 28). Glu-129 in the chimeras (corresponding to Glu-90 in ChR2) was substituted with Gln to assign the vibrational bands of protonated carboxylate groups. Asp-195 and Cys-167 (corresponding to Asp-156 and Cys-128 in ChR2, respectively) constitute the so-called "DC-gate" in channelrhodopsins; mutations at these positions affect the kinetics of the P₂ and P₃ intermediates in the photocycle (11, 33). Electrophysiological measurements on DC-gate mutants of ChR_{2/5} and ChR_{5/2} have recently demonstrated that the cation-permeable states are markedly prolonged, like corresponding mutants of ChR2 (34). Here, we spectroscopically investigated DC-gate mutants of the chimeras to compare the structural changes in their P_2 and P_3 intermediates with those of ChR2.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Constructions of ChRs—The cDNA of ChR2 (GenBankTM AB058891/AF461397 (encoding amino acids 1–315)), $ChR_{5/2}$ (chimera of ChR1; GenBankTM AB058890/AF385748 (amino

acids 1-242) and ChR2 (amino acids 204-315)), and ChR_{2/5} (chimera of ChR1 (amino acids 1-164) and ChR2 (amino acids 126-315)) (14) were used in this study. A His₉-tag sequence was fused to the C-terminal end of each ChR construct using a PCR reaction with DNA polymerase KOD Plus Neo (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan). The cDNAs were cloned into the mammalian expression vector pMT (a kind gift from Dr. David Farrens, Oregon Health and Science University) via its EcoRI and NotI sites using In-Fusion (Clontech). Point mutations (E129Q, E136Q, C167S, and D195N in chimeras and E90Q, C128S, and D156N in ChR2) were introduced using conventional PCR methods. The amino acid sequence of C1C2 (27) is virtually the same as the sequence of ChR_{5/2}, with minor differences at position 2 at the N terminus and the last several residues at the C terminus (Fig. 2). It should be noted that the N- and C-terminal residues are far from the retinal and the ion-conducting pathway in the crystal structure of C1C2 (27). An amino acid sequence alignment is shown in Fig. 2.

Expression, Purification, and Reconstitution of ChRs—ChR2, ChR_{5/2}, ChR_{2/5}, and their mutants were expressed in COS-1 cells (a kind gift from Dr. David Farrens, Oregon Health and Science University) as previously described (35). The harvested cell membranes were mixed with 4 mM all-*trans* retinal for ~ 6



h. The membranes were subsequently solubilized in a solution containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 140 mM NaCl, and 1% DDM for 1 h. After centrifugation at 55,000 rpm for 20 min, Ni-Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare) was added to the supernatant. After 2 h of incubation, the sample was loaded into a Bio-spin disposable column (Bio-Rad) and washed with a buffer containing 50 mм Tri-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mм NaCl, 20 mм imidazole, 0.05% DDM, and 5% glycerol and subsequently washed with a buffer containing 50 mM Tri-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, 0.05% DDM, and 5% glycerol. The ChR samples were eluted with a buffer containing 50 mM Tri-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole, 0.05% DDM, and 5% glycerol. For reconstitution of the ChRs into lipid vesicles, a mixture of the purified sample and phosphatidylcholine from egg yolk (Sigma) in a buffer containing 20 mм HEPES (pH 7.0), 140 mм NaCl, and 0.75% CHAPS was dialyzed against a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.0) and 140 mM NaCl using Spectra/ Por7 (MWCO 15,000) (Spectrum Laboratories Inc.). The protein versus lipid molar ratio was 1:50, and the mixture was dialyzed for 8 days. Finally, the sample was centrifuged at 45,000 rpm for 25 min, and the pellet was washed twice and suspended in a buffer containing 2 mM phosphate (pH 7.5), 10 mM NaCl, and 0.05% glycerol to reach to an approximate concentration of 2 mg/ml. For time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy of C1C2 (see Figs. 8 and 9), C1C2 samples were prepared as described previously (27) (see below).

Light-induced FTIR Measurements of the Photostationary State of Channelrhodopsin-A 5-µl aliquot of reconstituted ChR was dried on a nine reflectance attenuated total reflection crystal (DuraSamplIR II, Smiths Detection) and rehydrated with 8 μ l of H₂O water; the drops were placed close to the sample and sealed with a CaF₂ window and a silicone spacer. The sample was kept for >2.5 h to stabilize the hydration level. The sample temperature was controlled using a homemade thermo-jacket circulating water from a thermo-regulated bath (Alpha RA8, Lauda) set to 25 °C. An FTIR spectrometer (Vertex70, Bruker Optics) equipped with a mercury-cadmium-telluride detector was used to measure IR spectra by using the rapid scan mode with a spectral resolution of 4 cm^{-1} . For each measurement, the photostationary state of the ChRs was measured for 30 s with illumination from a metal halide lamp (IMH-250, Sigma-Koki) equipped with a short bandpath filter (460 nm, Sigma-Koki). The samples were rested in the dark for 5 min between measurements so that the ChRs can recover to the ground state, which was measured just before subsequent light illumination. The measurement was repeatedly performed until the data set met a fine signal-to-noise ratio. Then, the FTIR difference spectra (photostationary state minus ground state) were calculated. Because the FTIR difference spectra showed distortion, probably due to continuous illumination of the attenuated total reflection crystal, we bleached the ChR samples with hydroxylamine and performed the same procedure on the next day to measure the distorted background component. Finally, the pure FTIR difference spectra of photoactive ChRs were calculated by subtracting the distorted background component from the previously measured difference spectra.

Time-resolved FTIR Spectroscopy—The step-scan time-resolved FTIR measurement requires repetitive laser irradiation in order to construct a series of interferograms. This process, which gradually degrades the sample, requires a relatively large amount of protein compared with conventional FTIR measurements. Therefore, samples of C1C2, which was used for the previous x-ray crystallographic study (27) and is virtually identical to ChR_{5/2}, were expressed in Sf9 cells, purified after solubilization in DDM detergent micelles, and reconstituted into phosphatidylcholine liposomes in a process similar to that used to prepare the samples for the attenuated total reflection-FTIR experiment. The C1C2 liposomes were suspended in a buffer solution (5 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and 5 mM NaCl) at a final concentration estimated to be \sim 5 optical density at 474 nm. A $30-\mu$ l aliquot of the C1C2 liposomes was deposited onto a CaF₂ window and slowly dried in a refrigerator. Several drops of 20% (v/v) glycerol solution were placed near the C1C2 film sample and sealed using another CaF₂ window with a silicone spacer. Then, the sample was mounted in the temperature-controlled cell. The sample temperature was kept at 25 °C by using a temperature-controlled cell (TFC-M25-3, Harrick) with a thermojacket circulating water from a thermostat bath (Alpha RA8, Lauda).

The photocycles of channelrhodopsins have a slow decay component for P_4 , which lives up to several seconds. Therefore, we introduced an electromagnetic shutter (SH-05, Thorlab) to reduce the repetition rate of a pulsed laser from 10 Hz to 0.25 Hz. The wavelength of the laser pulse was adjusted to 470 nm by use of an OPO system (LT-2214, Lotis TII) with 355 nm of the third harmonic of an Nd:YAG laser (LS-2134UTF, Lotis TII). The pulse duration was \sim 7 ns. An FTIR spectrometer (Vertex80, Bruker Optics) with the step-scan mode was used to achieve a time resolution of 12.5 μ s and to measure a time series of interferograms from $-213.5 \,\mu s$ to $\sim 25 \,m s$ (the total number of time slices is 2000). We introduced an IR filter passing light with frequencies lower than 2000 cm⁻¹ and limited the spectral range to 1950-1000 cm⁻¹ with a spectral resolution of 8 cm⁻¹ to reduce the number of sampling points while collecting an interferogram. Three photoreactions of C1C2 were co-added at each sampling point for a series of interferograms. Eighteen spectra collected before the excitations were averaged as a background for use when calculating the flash-induced difference spectra. We have done 2-4 experiments on one film sample and averaged 92 time-resolved difference spectra in total (corresponding to 276 scans for each spectrum).

Data Analysis for Time-resolved FTIR Spectra—The timeresolved FTIR spectra with 12.5- μ s intervals (1982 spectra) were logarithmically averaged to be 20 spectra per log unit, which reduces the number of spectra (55 spectra) and improves the signal-to-noise ratio at later times. Then the data were analyzed by singular value decomposition, and the number of exponentials for reconstituting the experimental data was estimated. Next, the data were globally fitted using the number of exponentials and an offset component at infinite time. The decay-associated spectra obtained using this data analysis were converted to the intermediate spectra, which exhibit the vibrational bands of the decay intermediate components connected with the estimated time constant on the positive side and with those of the unphotolyzed state on the negative side.





FIGURE 3. Difference spectra between the photostationary state and the dark state of two chimeric channelrhodopsins, compared with that of ChR2. A, ChR_{5/2}, B, ChR_{2/5}. The difference spectra of ChR_{5/2}, ChR_{2/5}, and ChR2 are colored green, magenta, and orange, respectively. The double difference spectra were calculated by subtracting the light-induced difference spectrum of ChR2 with that of each chimera.

RESULTS

Different Conformational Changes at the Photostationary States of ChR2, ChR_{5/2}, and ChR_{2/5}—The difference infrared absorption spectra of the photostationary state (under continuous illumination with 460 nm light) minus the ground state (in the dark) were compared between ChR2 and ChR_{5/2} (Fig. 3A). The difference spectrum of ChR2 is very similar to the previously reported spectra of ChR2 in the photostationary state and the P_4 intermediate spectrum constructed from time-resolved spectra (23, 25, 26). Our result also confirms that ChR2 under continuous illumination with blue light, denoted as the photostationary state, is composed mainly of the long-lived intermediate P_4 (25, 26). Interestingly, the difference spectrum of ChR_{5/2} is somewhat different from that of ChR2. Differences in the 1738- and 1718-cm $^{-1}$ bands in the C=-O stretching region of the carboxyl groups, the 1687, 1651, and 1662-cm⁻¹ bands in the amide I region, the 1537-cm⁻¹ band in the regions of the amide II or C=O stretching modes of the retinal chromophore, and the 1234 and 1176-cm⁻¹ bands in the region of the C-C stretching modes of the retinal chromophore were evident in the double difference spectrum (Fig. 3A). This means that replacement of the ChR2 segment with the corresponding ChR1 segment from the N terminus to TM5 altered the lightinduced structural changes in the retinal chromophore, protein backbone, and some carboxyl groups of Asp or Glu side chains under the continuous light illumination. The positive 1315cm⁻¹ band is also characteristic of the photostationary state of $ChR_{5/2}$, which is probably assignable to a vibrational mode of a side chain.

Next we measured the light-induced difference infrared absorption spectra of $ChR_{2/5}$ because arrangement of TM1 and TM2 is characteristic of the crystal structure of channelrhodopsin (27). Our data clearly show that the introduction of TM4 and TM5 of ChR1 has little effect on the photostationary state because the difference spectrum of $ChR_{2/5}$ is almost identical to that of $ChR_{5/2}$ (Fig. 3*B*). It strongly suggests that TM1 and TM2 are responsible for the difference in structural changes that occur during continuous illumination between ChR2 and ChR1/ChR2 chimeras.

Protonation and Hydrogen-bonding Change of Asp and Glu Residues in Chimeric Channelrhodopsins-In the region of the carboxyl group C=O stretching vibration (Fig. 4), there are clear differences between ChR2 and the ChR1/ChR2 chimeras. Previous reports have assigned the negative bands at 1738 and 1718 cm^{-1} to Asp-156 and Glu-90, respectively, in ChR2 (25, 26, 33). Consistent with these previous reports, the 1718-cm⁻¹ band disappeared in the difference spectra of the E90Q mutant of ChR2 (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, the intensity of the negative band at 1718 cm⁻¹ decreased to approximately half in ChR_{2/5} and almost diminished in ChR_{5/2} even though both chimeras possess Glu-129 (corresponding to Glu-90 in ChR2) (Fig. 4A). Instead, the negative band at 1738 cm^{-1} (ChR_{5/2}) or 1736 cm^{-1} $(ChR_{2/5})$ and the positive band at 1757 cm⁻¹ increased in intensity. The frequency of the former band indicates that the C=O group of the carboxyl side chain of Glu-129 in the chimeras forms a hydrogen bond in the ground state and that of the later band indicates weak or no hydrogen bond in the photostationary state.

The considerable differences between ChR2 and the ChR1/ ChR2 chimeras were observed in the frequency region corresponding to the C=O stretching mode of carboxyl groups. Therefore, we needed to confirm the origins of these bands in $ChR_{5/2}$ and $ChR_{2/5}$ because Glu-90 and Asp-156 in ChR2 are located on TM2 and TM4, respectively, both of which are replaced to those from ChR1 in $ChR_{5/2}$ and one of which is replaced in $ChR_{2/5}$. We also assessed another nearby Glu residue at position 136 (corresponding to Glu-97 in ChR2) (see Fig. 10*A*).





FIGURE 4. Comparison of the light-induced difference spectra of two chimeric channelrhodopsins ($ChR_{5/2}$ and $ChR_{2/5}$) with that of ChR2 in the frequency region of the C=O stretching vibration of carboxyl group (1800–1670 cm⁻¹) and the symmetric COO⁻ stretching vibration (1450–1360 cm⁻¹). *A*, $ChR_{5/2}$ (green), $ChR_{2/5}$ (magenta), and ChR2 (orange). *B*, ChR2 (orange) and its E90Q mutant (red). *C*, $ChR_{5/2}$ (green) and its E129Q (red) and E136Q (blue) mutants. *D*, $ChR_{2/5}$ (magenta) and its E129Q (red) and E136Q (blue) mutants. *E* and *F*, the symmetric COO⁻ stretching region of *C* and *D* with the double difference spectra between the E129Q or E136Q mutant and the chimeras, respectively.

The negative band at 1738 cm⁻¹ was not affected by mutations E129Q or E136Q on TM2 of $ChR_{5/2}$ (Fig. 4*C*), which means that the band is not from Glu-129 or Glu-136. As for $ChR_{2/5}$, the negative band at 1736 cm⁻¹ is preserved in E129Q, although with decreased intensity, and is almost unaffected by E136Q mutation (Fig. 4*D*). On the other hand, the small 1720cm⁻¹ band in $ChR_{2/5}$ disappeared in the E129Q mutant, which supports the idea that the origin of the band is Glu-129 in the chimera, like Glu-90 in ChR2 (Fig. 4*D*). It should be noted that the E136Q mutation increased the intensity of the bands at 1726 cm⁻¹ in $ChR_{5/2}$ and 1720 cm⁻¹ in $ChR_{2/5}$, suggesting that Glu-129 is connected with Glu-136 through some indirect



FIGURE 5. Light-induced difference spectra of two chimeric channelrhodopsins (ChR_{5/2} and ChR_{2/5}) containing mutations at the DC gate (Asp-195 and Cys-167) in the frequency region of the C=O stretching vibration of carboxyl group (1800–1670 cm⁻¹). *A*, ChR_{5/2} (green) and its D195N mutant (blue). *B*, ChR_{2/5} (magenta) and its D195N mutant (blue). *C*, ChR_{5/2} (green) and its C167S mutant (red). *D*, ChR_{2/5} (magenta) and its C167S mutant (red).

interaction. There is no obvious counterpart of the negative band at 1720 cm⁻¹ in the protonated carboxylate region, whereas a positive band at 1394 cm⁻¹ was observed in the symmetric COO⁻ stretching region (Fig. 4*F*). Therefore, Glu-129 may be partially deprotonated in the photostationary state in $ChR_{2/5}$. It should be noted that the positive band was not observed in $ChR_{5/2}$ (Fig. 4*E*), which did not show a negative band around at 1720 cm⁻¹. The E136Q mutant of $ChR_{5/2}$ showed the negative band at 1726 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4*C*), whereas it did not exhibit a clear band in the symmetric COO⁻ stretching region (Fig. 4*E*). Thus, in the E136Q mutant of $ChR_{5/2}$, Glu-129 may keep its protonation state at the photostationary state.

The negative bands at 1738 cm⁻¹ in ChR_{5/2} and 1736 cm⁻¹ in ChR_{2/5} were downshifted to 1699 cm⁻¹ and 1697 cm⁻¹ in their respective D195N mutants (Fig. 5, *A* and *B*). In these mutants the additional positive bands at 1687 cm⁻¹ were observed as the counterpart in the photostationary state (Fig. 5, *A* and *B*). These features were also seen in the D115N mutant of bacteriorhodopsin (corresponding to the D195N mutants of the ChR chimeras) (36). These results most likely support the assignment of the negative bands at 1736 and 1738 cm⁻¹ to Asp-195 in ChR_{5/2} and ChR_{2/5}, respectively. Asp-195 is proposed to be a part of the so-called DC-gate, with Cys-167 as a counterpart (Asp-156 and Cys-128 in ChR2 numbering) (33), although direct interaction between the two residues is not observed in the ChR crystal structure (27) (see Fig. 10*B*). There-



FIGURE 6. Light-induced difference spectra of the D195N mutants of two chimeric channelrhodopsins compared with that of the corresponding D156N mutant of ChR2. *A*, ChR_{5/2}. *B*, ChR_{2/5}. The difference spectra of the Asp mutants of ChR_{5/2}, ChR_{2/5}, and ChR2 are colored *green*, *magenta*, and *orange*, respectively. The double difference spectra were calculated by subtracting the light-induced difference spectrum of ChR2 with that of each chimera.

fore, we analyzed the C167S mutants of the chimeras as well. The negative band at 1738 cm⁻¹ in ChR_{5/2} was up-shifted to 1749 cm⁻¹ in its C167S mutant, with the positive counterpart occurring at 1741 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 5*C*). The mutation probably causes the upshift of the C=O stretching vibration of Asp-195 through some indirect interaction. A similar feature was observed in the C167S mutant of ChR_{2/5} (Fig. 5*D*). From these results, we conclude that the negative bands at 1738 cm⁻¹ in ChR_{5/2} and 1736 cm⁻¹ in ChR_{2/5} originate from the C=O stretching mode of Asp-195 in both chimeras, as it does in ChR2 (25, 33).

What is the origin of the broad positive bands observed at 1757 cm^{-1} in the chimeric channelrhodopsins? These bands were downshifted to 1720 and 1711 cm⁻¹ in the D195N mutants of ChR_{5/2} and ChR_{2/5}, respectively (Fig. 5, A and B). The negative bands at 1738 cm⁻¹ in $ChR_{5/2}$ and 1736 cm⁻¹ in $ChR_{2/5}$ were downshifted to 1699 and 1697 cm⁻¹ in their respective D195N mutants (Fig. 5, A and B). The counterparts of the negative bands at 1738 cm⁻¹ in ChR_{5/2} and 1736 cm⁻¹ in $ChR_{2/5}$ were observed at 1687 cm⁻¹ in both of the mutants. Therefore, some part of the positive bands at 1757 cm^{-1} should not originate from Asp-195. The bands were downshifted to 1724 cm^{-1} in the C167S mutants of ChR_{5/2} and ChR_{2/5} (Fig. 5, C and D). Although the origin of the band could not be fully assigned by these experiments, it is probably due to protonation of the carboxylate group of an Asp or Glu residue in the chimeras and the mutants in the photostationary state. By analogy with other microbial rhodopsins (37, 38), it is assumed that possible candidates are Glu-162 and Asp-292, both of which are located at positions suitable to be counterions of the protonated Schiff base (see Fig. 10A). However, several groups recently reported various protonation mechanisms of these residues (23, 28, 31). Thus, further studies of mutants at these residues in

the chimeras will be needed to completely determine the origin of the broad positive band at 1757 cm^{-1} .

Different Conformational Changes at the Photostationary States of the DC-gate Mutants Stabilizing P_2 or P_3 Intermediates—The long-lived intermediate P_4 is a major component in the photostationary state of ChR2 (21, 26). The differences between the spectrum of ChR2 and the spectra of the chimeras may be attributed to a variety of conformational changes that occur upon P_4 formation. However, there is another possibility that the differences between the spectra are due to different intermediate components preserved in the photostationary state; that is, the lifetimes of the intermediates in ChR_{2/5} and ChR_{5/2} might be considerably different from those of the intermediates in ChR2. Actually, a ChR1 homologue from *C. augustae* accumulates P_2 intermediate at the photostationary state (29).

To verify these possibilities as the cause for the large differences in spectral changes between the chimeras and ChR2, we examined two mutants that stabilize the P₂ or P₃ state in the photostationary state. Previous studies have demonstrated that replacement of Asp-156, a part of the DC gate (see above), with a non-polar residue extends the lifetime of P_3 by >35,000-fold (11). Thus, the major fraction in the photostationary state of the mutant was expected to be P_3 (39). According to these reports, we analyzed spectral changes of D195N mutants of the chimeras in wavenumber regions other than the carboxylic acid region. As shown in Fig. 6, a large positive peak was observed at 1529 cm^{-1} in the spectra of the D195N mutants of the chimeras. The peak most likely originates from the ethylenic C==O stretching mode of the retinal chromophore. The frequency of this mode is well known to correlate with the wavelength of the visible absorption maximum of retinal proteins (40). The downshift of the band from 1552 cm^{-1} to 1529 cm^{-1} indicates





FIGURE 7. Light-induced difference spectra of the C167S mutants of two chimeric channelrhodopsins compared with that of corresponding ChR2 C128S mutant. *A*, ChR_{5/2}. *B*, ChR_{2/5}. The difference spectra of the Cys mutants of ChR_{5/2}, ChR_{2/5}, and ChR2 are colored *green*, *magenta*, and *orange*, respectively. The double difference spectra were calculated by subtracting the light-induced difference spectrum of ChR2 with that of each chimera.

the accumulation of a red-shifted intermediate, which is most likely the P_3 state, which has an absorption maximum around at 520 nm. The intensities of the pair of bands at 1552 and 1529 cm⁻¹ are much larger in ChR_{5/2} and ChR_{2/5} than in ChR2 (Fig. 6). This can be explained in two ways. One possibility is that the mutation-induced accumulation of the P_3 state is more predominant in the chimeras. The increase of the positive band at 1173 cm⁻¹, which originates from the C-C stretching vibration of the retinal and exhibits a greater intensity in protonated retinal, supports this possibility. The other possibility is overlap of the amide II mode in the same frequency region due to a larger conformational change of the protein backbone in the chimeras. This possibility is also supported by the larger spectral change in the amide I modes at around 1635 and 1651 cm⁻¹.

Previous studies on ChR2 showed that substitution of Cys-128 (corresponding to Cys-167 in the chimeras), which is potentially a counterpart to Asp-156 of the DC gate, with Ser causes a large accumulation of the P_2 intermediate, whose absorption maximum is located at 390 nm, after illumination with 450-nm light (8). Therefore, the difference infrared spectra induced by continuous illumination with 460-nm light would be expected to reflect a dominant accumulation of the P₂ intermediate. That is the case, as shown in Fig. 7. Unlike in the D195N mutants (Fig. 6), the ethylenic C=O stretch of the retinal chromophore exhibited a much reduced intensity at 1529 cm⁻¹ in the photostationary state of the C128S mutant of ChR2 as well as in the corresponding C167S mutants of ChR_{2/5} and ChR_{5/2}. There are significant differences between the difference spectra of the C167S mutants of the chimeras and the C128S mutant of ChR2 (Fig. 7, A and B). The double difference spectra exhibit large bands in the amide I and II region. The negative bands at 1664 and 1533 cm^{-1} in the double difference spectra are considered to correspond to the bands at 1662 and 1535 cm⁻¹ in the ground state of the C128S mutant of ChR2, respectively. The positive band at 1655 cm⁻¹ and the negative band at 1641 cm⁻¹ were considered to originate from the photostationary state and the ground state of the C167S mutant of ChR_{5/2}, respectively. Because these bands were not observed in the C167S mutant of ChR_{2/5} (Fig. 7*B*), the spectral change suggests a characteristic structural change in the P₂ intermediate, which would be caused by a structural difference between ChR_{5/2} and ChR_{2/5} in TM4 and TM5.

From these results we conclude that spectral difference observed in $ChR_{5/2}$ and $ChR_{2/5}$ (Fig. 3) is attributed to the difference in structural changes in the P_4 state. Namely, it was revealed that the structural changes of the chimeras were different not only in the P_4 state but also in the P_2 and P_3 states from those of ChR2 by analyzing the light-induced difference spectra of the DC-gate mutants.

Conformational Changes of a ChR1/ChR2 Chimera, Observed by Time-resolved FTIR Spectroscopy-Based on the FTIR spectra of the photostationary states of ChR2 and the ChR1/ChR2 chimeras as well as spectra of their mutants, we have demonstrated that the structural changes upon formation of the P₂, P₃, and P₄ intermediates are considerably different between ChR2 and ChR1/ChR2 chimeras. However, the structural changes of the intermediate states are more directly captured by the time-resolved spectroscopic method. Such timeresolved measurements have been reported on ChR2 (23, 28). We conducted time-resolved FTIR spectroscopic measurements on the ChR1/ChR2 chimera C1C2, which is virtually identical to ChR_{5/2} and was used for the crystallographic study (27). C1C2 samples were obtained through large scale expression in Sf9 cells and studied by time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy with $12.5 - \mu s$ time resolution.

The time-resolved IR spectral data of C1C2 obtained at times up to \sim 25 ms were composed of \sim 2000 time slices. These data were reduced to 55 slices by logarithmically averaging the data





FIGURE 8. **Time-resolved FTIR measurement of a ChR1/ChR2 chimera, C1C2, which is nearly identical to ChR**_{5/2}. The intermediate spectra (*blue*) are reconstituted from decay-associated spectra obtained by global exponential fitting with three time constants: 92 μ s (*A*), 177 μ s (*B*), and 1.98 ms (*C*) as well as *D* (the spectral component at the infinite time).

to 20 points per log unit. Then, the data were analyzed using a singular value decomposition method to determine the minimal number of spectral components for reconstituting the data and, thus, remove the noise components. Three singular values were found to exceed those of the noise components. Then, the data were globally fitted by three exponentials and an offset at the end time. The time constants of the three components were estimated to be 92 μ s, 180 μ s, and 2.0 ms. Finally, the decay-associated spectra were obtained from the analysis.

Fig. 8 shows the intermediate spectra (IS₁, IS₂, IS₃, and IS_{offset}). All of these spectra were calculated from the decayassociated spectra using an irreversible unidirectional kinetic model. Consistent with the previous time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy on ChR2 (23), IS₁ is assumed to be composed of intermediates P₁ and P₂. IS₂ and IS₃ are mainly composed of intermediates P₂ and P₃, respectively. IS_{offset} is composed of intermediates P₃ and P₄. Actually, the IS₂ spectrum looks very similar to the light-induced difference spectrum of the P₂-stabilizing C167S mutant of ChR_{5/2} (Fig. 7). The IS₃ spectrum does not exhibit a large band around at 1535 cm⁻¹, which is characteristic of the P₃ intermediate of the D195N mutant of ChR_{5/2}. This suggests that a large negative band of amide II canceled the positive band at 1535 cm⁻¹. The presence of the negative amide



FIGURE 9. Upper panel, the spectral region of the carboxyl group C—O stretching vibration in the time-resolved FTIR spectra of a ChR1/ChR2 chimera, C1C2, which is virtually identical to ChR_{5/2}. The intermediate spectra are reconstituted from decay-associated spectra obtained by global exponential fitting with three time constants: 92 μ s (A), 177 μ s (B), and 1.98 ms (C), as well as D (the spectral component at the infinite time). These spectra are reproduced from Fig. 8. Lower panel, time courses of the absorption changes at 1759 cm⁻¹ (red), 1719 cm⁻¹ (green), and 1736 cm⁻¹ (blue) are shown with their global exponential fitting lines (thick lines, E).

II band is supported by a strong negative amide-I band at 1659 cm⁻¹, which indicates α helical structure and would originate from C=O groups of the main chain hydrogen-bonded with the N-H groups of the amide II band.

We did not measure the time-resolved data for ChR2, due to lack of sufficient protein sample, but our data on C1C2 can be compared with the ChR2 data in previous reports (23, 28). The comparison reveals intriguing differences in the C=O stretching





FIGURE 10. **The x-ray crystal structure of C1C2**. *A*, along the cation-conducting pathway. *B*, in the DC-gate region. Glu-129, Glu-136, Cys-167, and Asp-195, which were substituted in this study, correspond to Glu-90, Glu-97, Cys-128, and Asp-156 in ChR2, respectively. TM2, TM3, and TM4 from ChR1 are colored *purple*, whereas TM6 and TM7 from ChR2 are colored *yellow*. The all-*trans* retinal chromophore, which forms the Schiff base linkage with Lys-296, is rendered as a *stick model*.

vibration of carboxylic acid groups (Fig. 9). The positive band at 1759 cm⁻¹ was found to retain stronger intensity than that of ChR2, especially in the earlier time region (23), but another time-resolved study of ChR2 showed a trend for the 1759-cm⁻¹ band that is similar to the trend in our data (28). It would be considered that an Asp or Glu residue was protonated upon formation of the P₂ intermediate and that the lifetime of its protonated state was prolonged until formation of the P₃ intermediate in C1C2. The C=O stretching vibration of Glu-129 at 1719 cm⁻¹ did not show substantial spectral changes in the observed time region. This strongly suggests that Glu-129 does not change its protonation state during the photocycle of C1C2, which shows a clear contrast with Glu-90 in ChR2 (23, 28). Glu-90 is considered to be a key residue for the gating of ChR2, although there is still some debate about the functional role of the residue.

DISCUSSION

Continuous light-induced difference spectroscopy and time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy clearly demonstrated that the light-induced conformational changes of chimeric channelrhodopsins $(ChR_{5/2} (or C1C2) and ChR_{2/5})$ are somewhat different from those of ChR2. Moreover, the similarity of the difference spectra of $\mathrm{ChR}_{\mathrm{5/2}}$ and $\mathrm{ChR}_{\mathrm{2/5}}$ revealed that introduction of the N terminus, TM1, and TM2 of ChR1 is sufficient to cause most of the differences between the structural changes. Our data from mutants stabilizing the P₃ (D195N) and P₂ (C167S) states also revealed differences in the structural changes of each photointermediate. We also succeeded in assigning two C=O stretching vibrations around at 1720 and 1738 cm^{-1} in the chimeras to the carboxyl groups of Glu-129 and Asp-195 (Glu-90 and Asp-156 in ChR2), respectively. In the following paragraphs, we discuss the relevance of IR spectral changes to the molecular mechanism of the photoreaction of channelrhodopsins.

Deprotonation of Glu-129 and Its Correlation with Desensitization—A small, but significant difference was seen in the C==O stretching band of the carboxyl group of Glu-129 (Glu-90 in ChR2). The location of Glu-129 in the C1C2 crystal structure is shown in Fig. 10A. The intensity of the negative band around at 1720 cm⁻¹ was decreased depending on the increase in the lengths of the segments of ChR1 present in the chimera (ChR_{5/2} < ChR_{2/5} < ChR2) (Figs. 3 and 4). The light-

induced difference spectra of ChR1 from *C. augustae* that were recently reported did not show a prominent negative band at around 1720 cm⁻¹ (29). Therefore, we conclude that deprotonation of Glu-129 in the photostationary state is partially hampered by TM1 and TM2 of ChR1 and almost completely hampered by the addition of TM4 and TM5 of ChR1.

It should be noted that there is an interesting correlation between these bands and the photocurrent profiles of these chimeric channelrhodopsins. The intensities of the Glu-129 (Glu-90 in ChR2) bands were lower in the chimeras as the number of segments from ChR1 increased. Disappearance of the 1720 $\rm cm^{-1}$ band in the D195N mutant of $\rm ChR_{2/5}$ is probably due to enrichment of the P3 intermediate state in the photostationary state. It indicates that Glu-129 may be deprotonated during the transition from P_3 to P_4 in $ChR_{2/5}$ and that is the reason why the 1720-cm⁻¹ band was not seen in the D195N mutant. Given the fact that these bands do not appear in the P₃-rich photostationary state (in the D195N mutant) but do appear in the P_4 -rich photostationary state (Fig. 5, A and B), it was assumed that deprotonation of Glu-129 is correlated with the closing of the channel pore and the desensitization of the channel. The authors of a previous time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy study of ChR2 also proposed this interpretation (23). This study is the first to systematically demonstrate the correlation between desensitization and deprotonation of the Glu residue by using chimeric channelrhodopsins.

The Effect of Mutations in the DC Gate of the Chimeric Channelrhodopsins—From previous reports on ChR2, it is well known that one mutation in the DC gate, D156A, delays the decay of the P_3 state, whereas a mutation in another part of the DC gate, C128S, stabilizes the P_2 state (8, 11). A previous FTIR spectroscopy study on ChR2 suggested that Asp-156 and Cys-128 formed a hydrogen-bonding interaction (33). In this model the carboxyl group of Asp-156 is the hydrogen-bond acceptor and the S-H group of Cys-128 is the donor. However, an S-H stretching vibration at 2577 cm⁻¹ was tentatively assigned to Cys-167 in the ground state of the chimera C1C2; this frequency is higher than the S-H stretching vibrations in microbial rhodopsins (32). Therefore, it was concluded that Cys-167 does not form a hydrogen bond with Asp-195 in C1C2, which is consistent with the x-ray crystal structure (27).

In this study the DC gate mutants of the chimeric channelrhodopsins were investigated by FTIR spectroscopy for the first time. The continuous light-induced difference spectra clearly showed that the D195N mutants and the C167S mutants stabilized the P₃ state and the P₂ state, respectively. In particular, the carboxyl C=O stretching bands at 1741 (+) and 1749 (-) cm^{-1} were observed in the C167S mutants (Fig. 5C), which were tentatively assigned to Asp-195. The higher frequency shift of the band suggests disruption of some interaction between Cys-167 and Asp-195. Therefore, these residues are likely to be connected by some sort of indirect interaction. There is a space nearby these residues that could be occupied by a water molecule (Fig. 10*B*). The presence of a water molecule there was also proposed by a molecular dynamics simulation study (41). It is possible that a hydrogen-bonding network through a water molecule exists in the DC gates of the chimeric channelrhodopsins.



Transient Protonation of a Carboxylate Group in the Photocycles of the Chimeric Channelrhodopsins-A broad positive band at around 1757 cm^{-1} was observed in the photostationary state of the chimeras (Fig. 4). This band was also observed in the time-resolved spectra of C1C2 (Fig. 9). It may partially originate from Asp-195 and be the result of an upshift of the 1738-cm⁻¹ band upon photoreaction, as shown in the previous time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy on ChR2 (23). However, it seems to downshift to 1720 $\rm cm^{-1}$ in the D195N mutant of $\rm ChR_{5/2}$ and to 1711 cm⁻¹ in ChR_{2/5}, as shown in Fig. 5, A and B. Therefore, it would be attributed to transient protonation of an unknown carboxylate group. Interestingly, the band at 1757 cm^{-1} seems to downshift to 1724 $\rm cm^{-1}$ in the C167S mutants of $\rm ChR_{5/2}$ and ChR_{2/5} (Fig. 5C). Such a downshift was not observed in the early time of the photocycle, as shown in Fig. 9. Therefore, the downshifts would be caused by mutation-induced structural changes around the DC gate, not by accumulation of the P₂ or P₃ intermediate states. A possible candidate for the band may be Glu-162 and Asp-292 (Glu-123 and Asp-253 in ChR2), which are located at suitable positions to be the counterions of the protonated Schiff base. In the previous report on ChR2 (23), protonation of Asp-253 was observed at 1695 cm^{-1} , which is a much lower frequency than the 1757-cm⁻¹ band observed here. A strong interaction between the protonated Asp-253 and the negatively charged Glu-123 was proposed to explain the extremely low frequency of the 1695-cm⁻¹ band of Asp-253 in ChR2 (23). If the origin of the 1757-cm⁻¹ band is either Glu165 or Asp-292 in the chimeras, a strong interaction between Glu-162 and Asp-292 does not exist in the photostationary states, whereas a relatively weak interaction may exist in the photostationary states of the DC gate mutants. Recently, a similar positive band was observed at 1730 cm^{-1} in ChR1 from *C. augustae* (30). This band was tentatively assigned to the proton acceptor for the Schiff base (Glu-169 and Asp-299 correspond to Glu-162 and Asp-292 in the chimeras, respectively).

Conclusion—The molecular mechanism of ChR2 has been extensively studied by light-induced FTIR spectroscopy, electrophysiological methods, and computational methods, including molecular dynamics simulation. Furthermore, the x-ray crystal structure of a ChR1/ChR2 chimera has provided helpful information for understanding the experimental and theoretical data. However, ChR2 has some structural differences from ChR1/ChR2 chimeras, whose light-induced structural changes have been proven to be significantly different from those of ChR2. Therefore, careful consideration is needed to interpret ChR2 behavior based on the crystal structure of the ChR1/ChR2 chimera C1C2. In particular, the consideration is important for the rational design of new optogenetic tools based on ChR2.

Acknowledgments—We thank Prof. Tsuneo Urisu for the opportunity to pursue this collaborative work, Hisashi Yoshida and Tomonori Toyoda at the Equipment Development Center in the Institute for Molecular Science for constructing an electronic circuit and a shutter controller, Dr. Víctor A. Lórenz-Fonfría for using a data analysis program, and Dr. David Farrens for providing COS-1 cells and the pMT expression vector. We thank Enago for the English language review.

REFERENCES

- Nagel, G., Ollig, D., Fuhrmann, M., Kateriya, S., Musti, A. M., Bamberg, E., and Hegemann, P. (2002) Channelrhodopsin-1: a light-gated proton channel in green algae. *Science* 296, 2395–2398
- Nagel, G., Szellas, T., Huhn, W., Kateriya, S., Adeishvili, N., Berthold, P., Ollig, D., Hegemann, P., and Bamberg, E. (2003) Channelrhodopsin-2, a directly light-gated cation-selective membrane channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 13940–13945
- Sineshchekov, O. A., Jung, K. H., and Spudich, J. L. (2002) Two rhodopsins mediate phototaxis to low- and high-intensity light in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 8689–8694
- Suzuki, T., Yamasaki, K., Fujita, S., Oda, K., Iseki, M., Yoshida, K., Watanabe, M., Daiyasu, H., Toh, H., Asamizu, E., Tabata, S., Miura, K., Fukuzawa, H., Nakamura, S., and Takahashi, T. (2003) Archaeal-type rhodopsins in Chlamydomonas: model structure and intracellular localization. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **301**, 711–717
- Boyden, E. S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G., and Deisseroth, K. (2005) Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 1263–1268
- Berndt, A., Lee, S. Y., Ramakrishnan, C., and Deisseroth, K. (2014) Structure-guided transformation of channelrhodopsin into a light-activated chloride channel. *Science* 344, 420–424
- Berndt, A., Schoenenberger, P., Mattis, J., Tye, K. M., Deisseroth, K., Hegemann, P., and Oertner, T. G. (2011) High-efficiency channelrhodopsins for fast neuronal stimulation at low light levels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 108, 7595–7600
- Berndt, A., Yizhar, O., Gunaydin, L. A., Hegemann, P., and Deisseroth, K. (2009) Bi-stable neural state switches. *Nat. Neurosci.* 12, 229–234
- Wen, L., Wang, H., Tanimoto, S., Egawa, R., Matsuzaka, Y., Mushiake, H., Ishizuka, T., and Yawo, H. (2010) Opto-current-clamp actuation of cortical neurons using a strategically designed channelrhodopsin. *PLoS ONE* 5, e12893
- Wietek, J., Wiegert, J. S., Adeishvili, N., Schneider, F., Watanabe, H., Tsunoda, S. P., Vogt, A., Elstner, M., Oertner, T. G., and Hegemann, P. (2014) Conversion of channelrhodopsin into a light-gated chloride channel. *Science* 344, 409–412
- Yizhar, O., Fenno, L. E., Prigge, M., Schneider, F., Davidson, T. J., O'Shea, D. J., Sohal, V. S., Goshen, I., Finkelstein, J., Paz, J. T., Stehfest, K., Fudim, R., Ramakrishnan, C., Huguenard, J. R., Hegemann, P., and Deisseroth, K. (2011) Neocortical excitation/inhibition balance in information processing and social dysfunction. *Nature* 477, 171–178
- Zhang, F., Wang, L. P., Boyden, E. S., and Deisseroth, K. (2006) Channelrhodopsin-2 and optical control of excitable cells. *Nat. Methods* 3, 785–792
- Ishizuka, T., Kakuda, M., Araki, R., and Yawo, H. (2006) Kinetic evaluation of photosensitivity in genetically engineered neurons expressing green algae light-gated channels. *Neurosci. Res.* 54, 85–94
- Wang, H., Sugiyama, Y., Hikima, T., Sugano, E., Tomita, H., Takahashi, T., Ishizuka, T., and Yawo, H. (2009) Molecular determinants differentiating photocurrent properties of two channelrhodopsins from chlamydomonas. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 5685–5696
- Lin, J. Y. (2011) A user's guide to channelrhodopsin variants: features, limitations and future developments. *Exp. Physiol.* 96, 19–25
- Lin, J. Y., Lin, M. Z., Steinbach, P., and Tsien, R. Y. (2009) Characterization of engineered channelrhodopsin variants with improved properties and kinetics. *Biophys. J.* 96, 1803–1814
- Radu, I., Schleeger, M., Bolwien, C., and Heberle, J. (2009) Time-resolved methods in biophysics. 10. Time-resolved FT-IR difference spectroscopy and the application to membrane proteins. *Photochem Photobiol. Sci.* 8, 1517–1528
- Gerwert, K., Freier, E., and Wolf, S. (2014) The role of protein-bound water molecules in microbial rhodopsins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1837, 606–613
- Furutani, Y., Fujiwara, K., Kimura, T., Kikukawa, T., Demura, M., and Kandori, H. (2012) Dynamics of dangling bonds of water molecules in *pharaonis* halorhodopsin during chloride ion transportation. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 2964–2969



- Furutani, Y., Okitsu, T., Reissig, L., Mizuno, M., Homma, M., Wada, A., Mizutani, Y., and Sudo, Y. (2013) Large spectral change due to amide modes of a β-sheet upon the formation of an early photointermediate of middle rhodopsin. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **117**, 3449–3458
- 21. Bamann, C., Kirsch, T., Nagel, G., and Bamberg, E. (2008) Spectral characteristics of the photocycle of channelrhodopsin-2 and its implication for channel function. *J. Mol. Biol.* **375**, 686–694
- Eisenhauer, K., Kuhne, J., Ritter, E., Berndt, A., Wolf, S., Freier, E., Bartl, F., Hegemann, P., and Gerwert, K. (2012) In channelrhodopsin-2 Glu-90 is crucial for ion selectivity and is deprotonated during the photocycle. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 6904–6911
- Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A., Resler, T., Krause, N., Nack, M., Gossing, M., Fischer von Mollard, G., Bamann, C., Bamberg, E., Schlesinger, R., and Heberle, J. (2013) Transient protonation changes in channelrhodopsin-2 and their relevance to channel gating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, E1273–E1281
- Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A., and Heberle, J. (2014) Channelrhodopsin unchained: structure and mechanism of a light-gated cation channel. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1837, 626–642
- Radu, I., Bamann, C., Nack, M., Nagel, G., Bamberg, E., and Heberle, J. (2009) Conformational changes of channelrhodopsin-2. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131, 7313–7319
- Ritter, E., Stehfest, K., Berndt, A., Hegemann, P., and Bartl, F. J. (2008) Monitoring light-induced structural changes of Channelrhodopsin-2 by UV-visible and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 35033–35041
- Kato, H. E., Zhang, F., Yizhar, O., Ramakrishnan, C., Nishizawa, T., Hirata, K., Ito, J., Aita, Y., Tsukazaki, T., Hayashi, S., Hegemann, P., Maturana, A. D., Ishitani, R., Deisseroth, K., and Nureki, O. (2012) Crystal structure of the channelrhodopsin light-gated cation channel. *Nature* 482, 369–374
- Kuhne, J., Eisenhauer, K., Ritter, E., Hegemann, P., Gerwert, K., and Bartl, F. (2014) Early formation of the ion-conducting pore in channelrhodopsin-2. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 10.1002/anie.201410180
- Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A., Muders, V., Schlesinger, R., and Heberle, J. (2014) Changes in the hydrogen-bonding strength of internal water molecules and cysteine residues in the conductive state of channelrhodopsin-1. *J. Chem. Phys.* 141, 22D507
- Muders, V., Kerruth, S., Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A., Bamann, C., Heberle, J., and Schlesinger, R. (2014) Resonance Raman and FTIR spectroscopic characterization of the closed and open states of channelrhodopsin-1. *FEBS Lett.*

588, 2301–2306

- Ogren, J. I., Mamaev, S., Russano, D., Li, H., Spudich, J. L., and Rothschild, K. J. (2014) Retinal chromophore structure and Schiff base interactions in red-shifted channelrhodopsin-1 from *Chlamydomonas augustae*. *Biochemistry* 53, 3961–3970
- Ito, S., Kato, H. E., Taniguchi, R., Iwata, T., Nureki, O., and Kandori, H. (2014) Water-containing hydrogen-bonding network in the active center of channelrhodopsin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136, 3475–3482
- Nack, M., Radu, I., Gossing, M., Bamann, C., Bamberg, E., von Mollard, G. F., and Heberle, J. (2010) The DC gate in channelrhodopsin-2: crucial hydrogen bonding interaction between C128 and D156. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 9, 194–198
- Hososhima, S., Sakai, S., Ishizuka, T., and Yawo, H. (2015) Kinetic evaluation of photosensitivity in bi-stable variants of chimeric channelrhodopsins. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0119558
- Tsukamoto, H., and Farrens, D. L. (2013) A constitutively activating mutation alters the dynamics and energetics of a key conformational change in a ligand-free G protein-coupled receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 28207–28216
- Kandori, H., Shimono, K., Shichida, Y., and Kamo, N. (2002) Interaction of Asn105 with the retinal chromophore during photoisomerization of *pharaonis* phoborhodopsin. *Biochemistry* **41**, 4554–4559
- Braiman, M. S., Mogi, T., Marti, T., Stern, L. J., Khorana, H. G., and Rothschild, K. J. (1988) Vibrational spectroscopy of bacteriorhodopsin mutants: light-driven proton transport involves protonation changes of aspartic acid residues 85, 96, and 212. *Biochemistry* 27, 8516–8520
- Furutani, Y., Iwamoto, M., Shimono, K., Kamo, N., and Kandori, H. (2002) FTIR spectroscopy of the M photointermediate in *pharaonis* phoborhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* 83, 3482–3489
- Bamann, C., Gueta, R., Kleinlogel, S., Nagel, G., and Bamberg, E. (2010) Structural guidance of the photocycle of channelrhodopsin-2 by an interhelical hydrogen bond. *Biochemistry* 49, 267–278
- Aton, B., Doukas, A. G., Callender, R. H., Becher, B., and Ebrey, T. G. (1977) Resonance Raman studies of the purple membrane. *Biochemistry* 16, 2995–2999
- Watanabe, H. C., Welke, K., Sindhikara, D. J., Hegemann, P., and Elstner, M. (2013) Towards an understanding of channelrhodopsin function: simulations lead to novel insights of the channel mechanism. *J. Mol. Biol.* 425, 1795–1814

