

## **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Cephalalgia*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 August 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Cephalalgia*. 2015 August ; 35(9): 807–815. doi:10.1177/0333102414557703.

### Comparison of Parenteral Treatments of Acute Primary Headache in a Large Academic Emergency Department Cohort

Lucas H. McCarthy, MD, MS<sup>1,2</sup> and Robert P. Cowan, MD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Stanford University, Department of Neurology, Palo Alto, CA

<sup>2</sup>Puget Sound VA Healthcare System, Seattle, WA

#### Abstract

**Objective**—To compare acute primary headache patient outcomes in those initially treated with parenteral opiates or non-opiate recommended headache medications in a large academic medical emergency department (ED).

**Background**—Many acute primary headache patients are not diagnosed with a specific headache type and are treated with opiates and non-specific pain medications in the ED setting. This is inconsistent with multiple expert recommendations.

**Methods**—Electronic charts were reviewed from 574 consecutive patients who visited the ED for acute primary headache (identified by chief complaint and ICD9 codes) and were treated with parenteral medications.

**Results**—Non-opiate recommended headache medications were given first-line to 52.6% and opiates to 22.8% of all subjects. Subjects given opiates first had significantly longer length of stays (median 5.0 vs. 3.9 hours, p < 0.001) and higher rates of return ED visits within 7 days (7.6% vs. 3.0%, p = 0.033) compared with those given non-opiate recommended medications in univariate analysis. Only the association with longer length of stay remained significant in multivariable regression including possible confounding variables.

**Conclusions**—Initial opiate use is associated with longer length of stay compared with nonopiate first-line recommended medications for acute primary headache in the ED. This association remained strong and significant even after multivariable adjustment for headache diagnosis and other possible confounders.

#### Keywords

Migraine; Emergency Department; Primary Headache; Acute; Treatment; Opiates

Corresponding Author: Robert P. Cowan, MD, Stanford University Medical Center, 211 Quarry Rd 2nd Floor, MC 5992, Stanford, CA 94305, rpcowan@stanford.edu, Phone: (650) 724-9753, Fax: (650) 725-0390.

Conflict of Interest: The authors report no conflict of interest.

Institutional Review Board Approval: This study was approved by the Stanford University IRB.

#### Introduction

Headache is the fifth most common cause for emergency department (ED) visits<sup>1</sup> and accounts for approximately 3% of all ED visits in the United States<sup>2</sup>. Secondary headaches, in a nationally representative sample, are seen in about 5% of all ED visits with a chief complaint of headache<sup>3</sup>. The most common ED primary headache diagnosis made is migraine headache in 42–60%, which is closely followed by headache not-otherwise-specified (NOS) in 25–42%, from prior research<sup>4–6</sup>. Many expert reviews recommend non-opiate parenteral medications for first-line treatment of acute primary headaches in the ED supporting the use of serotonin receptor modulators (e.g. dihydroergotamine (DHE) or triptans), dopamine antagonist anti-emetics (e.g. metoclopramide, prochloperazine, or chlorpromazine), acetaminophen, or non-steroidal anti-inflammatories (NSAIDs) for migraine<sup>7–12</sup>, tension-type headaches<sup>13–16</sup> and for other acute primary headache (including headache NOS)<sup>4,5,17,18</sup> (see Table 1).

Despite the many recommendations, parenteral opiates remain one of the most widely used medications for the treatment of acute migraine or primary headache for patients presenting to the emergency departments in the United States. In prior studies, parenteral opiates were given to 25–60% of these patients<sup>3,16,17</sup>. Multiple expert reviews have reported that opiates should not be used as first-line therapy for migraine headaches in the ED or clinic<sup>9,21–24</sup>. A recent American Academy of Neurology recommendation from the Choosing Wisely campaign advises against using opiates, except as a last resort for migraine<sup>25</sup>. Additionally, opiates are not recommended in the treatment of tension type headache<sup>14</sup>, which, after headache NOS and migraine, is the third most common primary headache in the emergency department<sup>3</sup>. Headache persistence and recurrence with opiate use is more common than when using headache specific medications for migraine and tension-type headaches<sup>9</sup>. Migraine specific studies have also shown opiates to be associated with increased length of hospital stay, more rescue medications<sup>26</sup> and increased rates of return ED visits<sup>20,27</sup>.

Unspecified diagnosis of primary headache in the ED may have a significant effect on treatment choice. Headache NOS makes up a large portion of headache patients in nationwide emergency departments<sup>4–6</sup>, but this is likely due to a vast under-diagnosis of more specific headache types. Up to 89% of ED diagnosis of non-migraine headache were changed to migraine or transformed migraine in a single center chart review<sup>28</sup>. Non-specific pain medications like opiates are likely overused in this headache NOS population due to under-diagnosis of specific headache types such as migraine or tension headache. Thus, a large proportion of those with headache NOS could likely be more effectively diagnosed and specifically treated in the ED following prior research recommendations.

Without a clear algorithm or treatment recommendations for primary headache patients in the ED, treatment is based on the individual physician's discretion. We provide analysis of a large number of primary headache patients who were diagnosed and treated at a single large academic ED with various parenteral medications (at the discretion of the treating emergency physician) and explore differences in medication treatment choice and final diagnosis, revisit rates, and length of hospital stay. Since parenteral medications, rather than oral agents, are the most well studied and effective medications for treatment of acute

primary headache in the ED<sup>9,21</sup>, we limited our analysis to parenteral agents. To our knowledge this is the largest comprehensive review of primary headache patients with parenteral treatment and return visit data in an ED population.

Our objectives were to determine if non-opiate first-line recommended medications for acute primary headache were associated with improved patient outcomes regardless of specific headache diagnosis. Our pre-determined treatment categories of interest were parenteral opiates compared with non-opiate recommended first-line headache medications derived from prior expert and society reviews and guidelines (see Methods and Table 1). Our primary outcome measures were length of hospital stay and return ED visits for recurrent headache. Secondary outcomes included discharge pain scores and total number of headache medications used. Potential confounders including orders for neuroimaging (a measure of diagnostic uncertainty), specific headache discharge diagnosis, and initial triage headache pain scores were included for multivariable analysis. We hypothesized based on prior migraine specific research that acute primary headache subjects initially given opiates would have longer hospital stays and more frequent return visits compared with those initially given non-opiate first-line recommended medications.

#### Methods

#### **Study Design**

The study is a retrospective electronic chart review using data collected and stored in a clinical research database (using STRIDE)<sup>29</sup>. Study dates (May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 to September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012) were chosen based on the first date (May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011) where complete records of ED visits including medications given were regularly included in the research database. This study was approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board.

#### Data Collection

All study data was obtained directly from the electronic medical record (EMR) clinical research database and was entered into the EMR for routine clinical care. ICD9 codes that were entered into the EMR for clinical care (by providers) and billing (by professional medical coders) were used to document headache types and co-occurring diagnosis. Medications given were entered electronically into the EMR when administered by nursing staff as part of routine clinical care. Pain scores were recorded in the EMR as routine patient care by nursing staff from patient-reported pain values 0 - 10 (10 is worst pain, 0 is no pain). Length of stay was calculated from the difference between the recorded discharge time and the ED arrival time.

#### Subjects

All consecutive patients aged 18 and older who presented to the Stanford Emergency Department during the study period with a chief complaint of headache or migraine and a discharge diagnosis of headache were considered for inclusion. Discharge diagnosis of headache was determined by an ICD9 code of headache NOS (784.0), tension headache (307.81), migraine (346.\*), or other headache syndrome (339.\*). Subjects were excluded from analysis if any secondary cause for headache was identified by the researchers in review of their ICD9 coded problem lists. Reasons for exclusion were derived from the definitions of primary headache from the International Classification of Headache Disorders<sup>30</sup>. Additional subjects were excluded if they had a significant diagnosis that would limit options for headache treatment such as current pregnancy, recent hemorrhage, coagulopathy, or acute or chronic renal failure.

Subject data was anonymized before download from the clinical research database and no demographic information or personally identifiable information was obtained for this research.

#### Non-Opiate First-Line Recommended Medications

Parenteral medications were categorized as first-line recommended based on a compilation of prior evidence-based society and expert recommendations for treatment of acute migraine, tension and other primary headache (see Table 1). Medications were classified as first-line recommended treatments if they were included as recommended in both a recent systematic review of acute migraine treatments<sup>9</sup> and also in the recent Canadian Headache Society guideline for acute migraine treatment in the ED<sup>31</sup> or were recommended as best evidence parenteral treatment of acute tension-type headaches by the European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS) guideline<sup>14</sup>. Intranasal lidocaine, intravenous magnesium, droperidol, ergotamine, and promethazine were excluded from the final recommended list as they were not included as recommended agents in both migraine reviews. Aspirin and acetaminophen were included as recommended parenteral treatment of tension headache from the EFNS guidelines.

Medications given to each subject were sorted by their recorded administration times (recorded by nursing routinely on administration) and medications given first (alone or in combination if administered within 5 minutes of the first medication, a cutoff used in prior study<sup>32</sup>) were used for comparison. Combinations of medications were categorized as opiates or non-opiate first-line recommended agents or non-opiate other medications. Combinations of initial medications that included first-line recommended agents with opiates (e.g. metoclopramide and morphine) were included in the opiates category.

#### **Diagnostic and Outcome Variables**

Variables attainable from our dataset with known or suspected association with headache treatment and outcomes were included for evaluation for possible confounding in our analysis. These included headache severity, number of medications given, neuroimaging performed, duration of hospital stay, and return ED visits. Headache pain severity was dichotomized into severe (7–10) and not severe (0–6) based on prior research into the numeric pain scale<sup>33</sup>. Missing data in our dataset was found only for pain scores (17% of encounters did not have pain scores recorded) and missing values were imputed to the median values for initial (median 5) and discharge (median 2) pain scores for analysis

Outcome variables of interest were based on prior study associations with migraine treatment and included length of stay and early return visits. Duration of ED stay was dichotomized for ease of interpretation as a "long stay" based on the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the

duration of stay in the study population (6 hours). Return visits were dichotomized as "early return visit" or not, to assess for recurrent headache with ED return visit within 7 days of initial visit, which is similar to previous study<sup>27</sup>. In analysis of return visits, only a subject's

# initial visit, which is similar to previous study<sup>27</sup>. In analysis of return visits, only a subject's first early return visit after their index visit was counted in the analysis and subsequent return visits were censored from analysis.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 10 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, Texas). Subject data was included only from their first ED visit during the study period and any subsequent encounter data was only used to determine days until return ED visit. Descriptive statistics for non-parametric variables are listed as medians with interquartile range (25%–75%). Alpha was set at 0.05 for statistical significance and hypothesis testing was two-tailed. Comparison between non-parametric variables (e.g. hours of stay) were performed using the Mann-Whitney Rank-Sum test and proportions with the Chi-square test. Univariate logistic regressions were used to compare binary outcomes with reporting of odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals and p values. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with the primary study outcomes of a long ED stay (> 6 hours) and rate of early return visits. The final multivariable models only included pre-specified diagnostic or initial patient level variables with significant univariate associations with the outcome. Statistical interaction was measured in the final models by including the interaction terms in the logistic regression.

#### Results

#### Subjects

There were a total of 2,849 unique subjects seen in the ED during our study period where the chief complaint and discharge diagnosis included headache or migraine. Of those encounters, 1,114 (39.1%) had an alternative primary discharge diagnosis or a possible secondary headache etiology. The most common alternative or secondary headache diagnosis was fever or infection in 524 (18.4%) followed by trauma in 294 (10.3%) and pre-existing or incident intracranial lesions in 77 (2.7%). Furthermore, 415 (14.6%) were excluded for a diagnosis that would have limited or influenced initial medication choice for a primary headache such as pregnancy, active bleeding, coagulopathy or renal or hepatic failure. Of the remaining 1,320 unique subjects, we excluded 744 (56.4%) from analysis who received an oral headache medication rather than parenteral medication as their first-line treatment. The remaining 574 subjects that were included for final analysis had all visited the ED during our study period with a chief complaint of headache and a principle discharge diagnosis of headache, without significant complicating comorbidities, and received a parenteral first-line headache treatment.

#### Diagnosis

At their initial ED visit during the study period, subjects had discharge diagnosis of headache NOS in 420 (73.2%), migraine headache in 138 (24.0%), tension headache 11 (1.9%), cluster headache in 5 (0.9%) and occipital neuralgia in 1 subject. One subject was coded with both migraine and tension headaches. The most common non-headache co-

occurring diagnostic codes were, in order of frequency: nausea and/or vomiting, dizziness and giddiness, hypertension, numbness, neck pain, chest pain, generalized weakness, dehydration, near syncope, and photophobia.

#### **Medication Treatments**

Non-opiate first-line recommended parenteral headache agents were given as initial agents to 303 (52.6%) subjects and opiates were given as initial agents to 131 (22.8%) of subjects. Opiates were more likely to be given to subjects with headache NOS compared with those diagnosed with migraine (25.5% vs. 13.8%, p = 0.002). Table 2 shows the distribution of initial parenteral medications given to included subjects at their initial visit, with separation between headache NOS or migraine diagnosis. Those given opiates first had a higher total number of headache medication doses given during their stay compared with those given non-opiate first-line recommended medications (median 5 vs. 3, p < 0.001).

Of the 574 study subjects, 140 (24.4%) were treated initially with an alternative parenteral medication (non-opiate and non-recommended first-line agent). The most common was ondansetron, which was given as the initial parenteral agent without concomitant analgesics in 72 (12.5%) of all primary headache subjects, including 23 (16.7%) of those with migraine and 48 (11.4%) of those with headache NOS. Other common alternative first line medications given without concomitant analgesics included promethazine in 33 (5.7%) and lorazepam in 10 (1.7%) of all primary headache subjects.

Table 3 shows univariate associations with choice of initial medication treatment and variables known to associate with headache treatment.

#### Neuroimaging

Neuroimaging (CT Head or MRI Brain) was performed in a total of 266 of the 574 initial subject visits (46.3%). Subjects diagnosed with headache NOS were much more likely to receive neuroimaging compared with those diagnosed with migraine headache (53.7% vs. 23.7%, p < 0.001). Neuroimaging was significantly more likely to be performed in subjects who were given parenteral opiates first compared with those given first-line recommended parenteral agents first (Table 3).

#### **Pain Scores**

The average initial pain score was 5.5 out of 10 (median 6), and 235 (40.9%) of study subjects had severe headaches (7–10 out of 10 on the numeric pain scale). Subjects given opiates initially had significantly higher initial pain scores but were not more likely to have a severe headache at presentation compared with subjects given first-line recommended headache agents (Table 3). The average discharge pain score was 2.3 out of 10 (median 2) for included subjects at their initial visit. On discharge, subjects given opiates first had similar pain scores compared with those given first-line recommended headache agents, and had similar proportions that were pain free at discharge (Table 3).

#### Length of Stay

Median length of hospital stay for all subjects was 4.5 hours (IQR: 3.2 - 6.4). Length of stay was significantly longer for those diagnosed with headache NOS compared with migraine headache diagnosis (median 4.8 vs. 3.7, p < 0.001). Length of stay was also longer for those subjects with neuroimaging performed compared with those without neuroimaging (median 5.5 vs. 3.8 hours, p < 0.001) and in those with initial severe headache pain compared with those without severe initial headache (median 4.7 vs. 4.3 hours, p = 0.037). Long length of stays were significantly associated with both neuroimaging and headache NOS diagnosis, but not with initial headache severity (Table 4).

Those given opiates initially had univariate associations with significantly longer ED length of stays (Table 3) and were significantly more likely to have a long ED stay (Table 4). Subjects given opiates initially had a univariate 3.9 times higher odds of having a long stay compared with those given first-line recommended medications (OR 3.9, 95% CI: 2.5 - 6.1, p < 0.001). This association with opiate use and longer length of stays remained strong and significant in the multivariable model after adjustment for possible confounders including headache diagnosis and neuroimaging performed (Table 4).

#### **Return Visits**

Of the 574 unique subjects included in analysis, 69 (12.0%) had at least one readmission for headache during our study period, and 20 (3.5%) subjects had an early return visit (return within 7 days) after their initial visit. In Table 5, we see that there was no statistically significant association with migraine headache diagnosis or initial headache severity and early return visits. Subjects who had neuroimaging had significantly higher rates of early return visits compared with those who did not have neuroimaging (see Table 5).

Those given opiates first were more likely to have early return visits than those given firstline recommended agents (7.6% vs. 3.0%, p = 0.033, Table 3). Subjects given opiates first had a 2.7 times higher likelihood of an early return visit (OR 2.7, 95% CI: 1.1 - 6.8, p = 0.035) in univariate analysis. In multivariable analysis, the addition of the neuroimaging variable significantly attenuated the association with early return visits and opiate initial use and the association was no longer statistically significant (p = 0.15, see Table 5). The interaction term between opiates given first and neuroimaging performed was not statistically significant upon inclusion into the model (p = 0.45).

#### Discussion

Primary headache patients presenting to an academic medical center ED are treated with a variety of medications and commonly with opiates. Our retrospective analysis suggests that the initial use of parenteral opiates for acute primary headaches is associated with an increased length of stay and increased rates of return visits and is consistent with prior reports that looked specifically at migraine headache treatment<sup>26,20,27</sup>. Our analysis shows this association holds for not only migraine but for a large proportion of patients with acute primary headache who present to the emergency department with a diagnosis of headache NOS. Encouraging the initial use of first-line recommended headache medications rather

McCarthy and Cowan

than opiates in all acute primary headaches (regardless of migraine diagnosis), as encouraged in prior literature, could improve patient outcomes.

The association between longer length of stay with initial opiate use likely reflects, in part, a class effect as opiates have shown less headache pain reduction, higher rates of headache recurrence, and the increased sedation compared with first-line recommended specific headache medications<sup>9,34</sup>. Furthermore, the associations between length of stay and return visit rate with opiate use are modified by diagnostic uncertainty. As seen in our study, there were significantly higher rates of opiate use in subjects with headache NOS diagnosis and those with neuroimaging performed. The diagnostic uncertainty as to whether the patient has a secondary headache disorder (e.g. subarachnoid hemorrhage) drives the decision to order neuroimaging and likely influences initial medication choices. This includes avoiding medications that can worsen intracranial bleeding such as NSAIDs and likely avoiding migraine-specific medications such as triptans or DHE. This diagnostic uncertainty then likely influences the final diagnosis coding, discharge prescriptions, and follow-up recommendations that, in turn, modify patient outcomes. Neuroimaging is a significant confounding factor in our analysis that attenuates the relationships between initial opiate use and subject outcomes which likely represents their shared associations with diagnostic uncertainty.

Although migraine specific serotonin receptor agonist medications (e.g. DHE or triptans) and dopaminergic anti-emetics were used more frequently in subjects with migraine, they were likely far underutilized in our study population, which is, again, consistent across the literature of ED headache treatment. The high utilization of ondansetron in headache subjects is concerning given its lack of evidence in treatment of headache or headache related nausea compared with the dopaminergic anti-emetics (e.g. prochloperazine or metoclopramide) and given a number of case reports that suggest ondansetron may initiate migraine headache<sup>35</sup>.

Because our analysis is retrospective, we are limited in our ability to attribute cause and effect to the relationship of medication use and outcome. Given that the emergency physicians chose medical treatments at their own discretion, there could be a bias of treatment of certain patients (e.g. patients with known or self-described refractory headaches treated with opiates first line). Additionally, we did not analyze the subjects' list of adverse drug reactions or allergies which may have biased treatment decisions and increased opiate use if they were allergic or intolerant to first-line recommended agents in the past. Furthermore, secondary headache or complicating comorbid diagnoses were more commonly seen in our study population than expected. This may reflect the fact that headache is a common complaint in patients with multiple other diagnosis (e.g. upper respiratory infection, trauma), and may be over-documented in our system as a chief complaint when there are other inciting events (e.g. fall, infection). Also, the diagnosis of migraine headache, compared with headache NOS, was less common in our study than in prior reports, which may be due to differences in our patient population, physician diagnostic and documentation practices, or billing and coding criteria.

Headache is a common reason for admission to the emergency department and while there are many recommendations on treatment of specific headache types (e.g. acute migraine), the use of medications for primary headache NOS in ED patients still varies widely. Opiates are commonly used despite multiple expert recommendations against their first-line use in treating acute primary headaches. Further study is needed to evaluate if changes to standard practice medical treatment of all types of acute primary headache disorders in the ED could improve patient outcomes, reduce costs of care, and improve ED efficiency.

#### Acknowledgments

Financial Support: The project was supported in part by an NIH CTSA award number UL1 RR025744.

The authors are grateful for the work of Gomathi Krishnan, PhD in data extraction from the electronic medical record research database (STRIDE).

#### References

- Silberstein SD, Holland S, Freitag F, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: pharmacologic treatment for episodic migraine prevention in adults: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Headache Society. Neurology. 2012; 78:1337–1345. [PubMed: 22529202]
- McCaig LF, Burt CW. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2001 emergency department summary. Adv Data. 2003:1–29. [PubMed: 12822264]
- Goldstein JN, Camargo CA, Pelletier AJ, et al. Headache in United States emergency departments: demographics, work-up and frequency of pathological diagnoses. Cephalalgia. 2006; 26:684–690. [PubMed: 16686907]
- Sahai-Srivastava S, Desai P, Zheng L. Analysis of headache management in a busy emergency room in the United States. Headache. 2008; 48:931–938. [PubMed: 18549371]
- 5. Barton CW. Evaluation and treatment of headache patients in the emergency department: a survey. Headache. 1994; 34:91–94. [PubMed: 8163373]
- Vinson DR. Treatment patterns of isolated benign headache in US emergency departments. Ann Emerg Med. 2002; 39:215–222. [PubMed: 11867972]
- Kelley NE, Tepper DE. Rescue therapy for acute migraine, part 1: triptans, dihydroergotamine, and magnesium. Headache. 2012; 52:114–128. [PubMed: 22211870]
- Kelley NE, Tepper DE. Rescue therapy for acute migraine, part 2: neuroleptics, antihistamines, and others. Headache. 2012; 52:292–306. [PubMed: 22309235]
- Kelley NE, Tepper DE. Rescue therapy for acute migraine, part 3: opioids, NSAIDs, steroids, and post-discharge medications. Headache. 2012; 52:467–482. [PubMed: 22404708]
- Silberstein SD. Practice parameter: Evidence-based guidelines for migraine headache (an evidence-based review): Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2000; 55:754–762. [PubMed: 10993991]
- Taggart E, Doran S, Kokotillo A, et al. Ketorolac in the treatment of acute migraine: a systematic review. Headache. 2013; 53:277–287. [PubMed: 23298250]
- Colman I, Brown MD, Innes GD, et al. Parenteral metoclopramide for acute migraine: metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2004; 329:1369–1373. [PubMed: 15550401]
- Weinman D, Nicastro O, Akala O, et al. Parenteral treatment of episodic tension-type headache: a systematic review. Headache. 2014; 54:260–268. [PubMed: 24433525]
- Bendtsen L, Evers S, Linde M, et al. EFNS guideline on the treatment of tension-type headache report of an EFNS task force. Eur J Neurol. 2010; 17:1318–1325. [PubMed: 20482606]
- 15. Trainor A, Miner J. Pain treatment and relief among patients with primary headache subtypes in the ED. Am J Emerg Med. 2008; 26:1029–1034. [PubMed: 19091265]

Page 9

- Friedman BW, Grosberg BM. Diagnosis and management of the primary headache disorders in the emergency department setting. Emerg Med Clin North Am. 2009; 27:71–87. viii. [PubMed: 19218020]
- Friedman BW, Adewunmi V, Campbell C, et al. A randomized trial of intravenous ketorolac versus intravenous metoclopramide plus diphenhydramine for tension-type and all nonmigraine, noncluster recurrent headaches. Ann Emerg Med. 2013; 62:311–318. e4. [PubMed: 23567060]
- 18. Miner JR, Smith SW, Moore J, et al. Sumatriptan for the treatment of undifferentiated primary headaches in the ED. Am J Emerg Med. 2007; 25:60–64. [PubMed: 17157685]
- 19. Vinson DR, Hurtado TR, Vandenberg JT, et al. Variations among emergency departments in the treatment of benign headache. Ann Emerg Med. 2003; 41:90–97. [PubMed: 12514688]
- 20. Colman L, Rothney A, Wright SC, et al. Use of narcotic analgesics in the emergency department treatment of migraine headache. Neurology. 2004; 62:1695–1700. [PubMed: 15159464]
- Gelfand AA, Goadsby PJ. A Neurologist's Guide to Acute Migraine Therapy in the Emergency Room. The Neurohospitalist. 2013; 2:51–59. [PubMed: 23936605]
- 22. Holland S, Silberstein SD, Freitag F, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: pharmacologic treatment for episodic migraine prevention in adults: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Headache Society. Neurology. 2012; 78:1346–1353. [PubMed: 22529203]
- Rothrock JF. Opiate and opioid ("narcotic") therapy for acute migraine headache. Headache. 2010; 50:1255–1256. [PubMed: 20649661]
- Gilmore B, Michael M. Treatment of acute migraine headache. Am Fam Physician. 2011; 83:271– 280. [PubMed: 21302868]
- Langer-Gould AM, Anderson WE, Armstrong MJ, et al. The American Academy of Neurology's Top Five Choosing Wisely recommendations. Neurology. 2013; 81(11):1004–1011. [PubMed: 23430685]
- Griffith JD, Mycyk MB, Kyriacou DN. Metoclopramide versus hydromorphone for the emergency department treatment of migraine headache. J Pain. 2008; 9:88–94. [PubMed: 17981511]
- Tornabene SV, Deutsch R, Davis DP, et al. Evaluating the use and timing of opioids for the treatment of migraine headaches in the emergency department. J Emerg Med. 2009; 36:333–337. [PubMed: 18280084]
- 28. Maizels M. Headache evaluation and treatment by primary care physicians in an emergency department in the era of triptans. Arch Intern Med. 2001; 161:1969–1973. [PubMed: 11525699]
- 29. Lowe HJ, Ferris TA, Hernandez PM, et al. STRIDE--An integrated standards-based translational research informatics platform. AMIA Annu Symp Proc. 2009:391–395. [PubMed: 20351886]
- Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The International Classification of Headache Disorders: 2nd edition. Cephalalgia. 2004; 24(Suppl 1):9–160. [PubMed: 14979299]
- Orr SL, Aube M, Becker WJ, et al. Candian Headache Society systematic review and recommendations on the treatment of migraine pain in emergency settings. Cephalalgia. 2014; 0:1–14.
- 32. Colman I, Rothney A, Wright SC, et al. Use of narcotic analgesics in the emergency department treatment of migraine headache. Neurology. 2004; 62:1695–1700. [PubMed: 15159464]
- Downie WW, Leatham PA, Rhind VM, et al. Studies with pain rating scales. Ann Rheum Dis. 1978; 37:378–381. [PubMed: 686873]
- 34. Levin M. Opioids in headache. Headache. 2014; 54:12-21. [PubMed: 24127913]
- Khan RB. Migraine-type headaches in children receiving chemotherapy and ondansetron. J Child Neurol. 2002; 17:857–858. [PubMed: 12585731]

- Acute Primary headache is often diagnosed and treated as non-specific headache in the ED.
- Opiates are still commonly used for acute primary headache treatment and nonopiate first-line recommended headache medications are likely underutilized in the ED.
- Initial opiate use associates with longer length of stays and higher rates of early return visits compared with non-opiate first-line recommended headache medications
- Opiate use associates highly with diagnostic uncertainty, including higher rates of neuro-imaging utilization and non-specific headache diagnosis, which modified the association with length of stay and early return visits.

#### Table 1

Non-Opiate First-Line Recommended Parenteral Agents for Acute Primary Headache in the ED from Prior Research:

| Anti-dopaminergic Anti-emetics:      |
|--------------------------------------|
| <ul> <li>Prochlorperazine</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>Metachlopramide</li> </ul>  |
| •Chlorpromazine                      |
| NSAIDs:                              |
| •Ketoralac                           |
| •Aspirin                             |
| Acetaminophen:                       |
| •Acetaminophen                       |
| Serotonin Receptors Agonists: *      |
| •Triptans                            |
| •Dihydroergotamine (DHE)             |

<sup>\*</sup>Migraine headache specific treatment

#### Table 2

Initial Treatment with Parenteral Medication by Headache Diagnosis - alone or in initial combination

| Initial Medication Given:                       | All Primary Headache (N = 574)<br>n (% of total) | Headache NOS (N = 420)<br>n (% of total) | Migraine (N = 138)<br>n (% of total) |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Opiates:                                        | 131 (22.8)                                       | 108 (25.7)                               | 19 (13.8)                            |
| •Morphine                                       | 73 (12.7)                                        | 63 (15.0)                                | 8 (5.8)                              |
| •Dilaudid                                       | 57 (9.9)                                         | 44 (10.5)                                | 11 (8.0)                             |
| •Fentanyl                                       | 1 (0.2)                                          | 1 (0.2)                                  | 0 (0)                                |
| Non-Opiate First-Line Recommended: <sup>1</sup> | 303 (52.6)                                       | 216 (51.4)                               | 79 (57.2)                            |
| •Metochlopramide                                | 142 (24.7)                                       | 107 (25.5)                               | 32 (23.2)                            |
| •NSAIDs (Keterolac)                             | 97 (16.9)                                        | 60 (14.3)                                | 35 (25.4)                            |
| •Proochloperazine                               | 69 (12.0)                                        | 52 (12.4)                                | 15 (10.9)                            |
| •Triptans or DHE                                | 11 (1.9)                                         | 4 (1.0)                                  | 6 (4.4)                              |
| •Acetaminophen                                  | 1 (0.2)                                          | 1 (0.2)                                  | 0 (0)                                |
| Other Non-Opiate Medications: <sup>2</sup>      | 140 (24.4)                                       | 99 (23.6)                                | 40 (29.0)                            |

 $^{I}\mathrm{Does}$  not include Opiate combinations. There was no use of Chlorpromazine or IV Aspirin in our cohort.

<sup>2</sup>Does not include Opiate combinations. Other medications given without concurrent parenteral headache medications included (in frequency order): Other Anti-emetics (Ondansetron and Promethazine), Benzodiazepines, and Antihistamines.

#### Table 3

#### Subject Characteristics Comparison by Initial Parenteral Medication

| Subject Variable                                | Opiates (n = 131) | Non-Opiate First-Line Recommended<br>Agent (n = 303) | Univariate P value |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Initial and Diagnostic Variables:               |                   |                                                      |                    |
| •Headache NOS Diagnosis – %                     | 82.1              | 69.7                                                 | 0.007              |
| •Pain Score at Triage – median (IQR)            | 7 (4–9)           | 6 (2–8)                                              | 0.005              |
| ○ Severe Pain at Triage - %                     | 47.3              | 38.3                                                 | 0.081              |
| •Neuro-Imaging Performed – %                    | 63.4              | 38.0                                                 | < 0.001            |
| Outcome Variables:                              |                   |                                                      |                    |
| •Total Headache Medication Doses – median (IQR) | 5 (3-8)           | 3 (2–5)                                              | < 0.001            |
| •Pain Score at Discharge – median (IQR)         | 2 (0–5)           | 2 (0-4)                                              | 0.26               |
| ○ Pain Free at Discharge – %                    | 30.4              | 34.0                                                 | 0.52               |
| •Length of Stay (hours) – median (IQR)          | 5.0 (3.6 - 8.1)   | 3.9 (2.8 – 5.3)                                      | < 0.001            |
| ○ Long Length of Stay (>6 hours)- %             | 45.0              | 17.9                                                 | < 0.001            |
| •Return Visit within 7 Days – %                 | 7.6               | 3.0                                                  | 0.033              |

IQR = Interquartile Range  $(25^{th} - 75^{th} \text{ percentile})$ 

McCarthy and Cowan

Table 4

Long Length of Stay Associations

| Dependent Variable                          | Long Stay (>6 hours)<br>n (% of Total) | Univariate OR (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable Model <sup>*</sup><br>Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P value |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Initial Medication Given                    |                                        |                        |         |                                                          |         |
| •Opiates                                    | 59 (45.0)                              | 3.9 (2.5–6.1)          | < 0.001 | 3.0(1.9-4.9)                                             | < 0.001 |
| Diagnostic Variables:                       |                                        |                        |         |                                                          |         |
| <ul> <li>Headache NOS Diagnosis</li> </ul>  | 92 (28.7)                              | 1.9(1.1-3.2)           | 0.023   | 1.2 (0.6 – 2.1)                                          | 0.62    |
| <ul> <li>Severe Headache</li> </ul>         | 51 (29.0)                              | 1.3 (0.8 - 2.0)        | 0.23    | I                                                        | I       |
| <ul> <li>Neuro-Imaging Performed</li> </ul> | 84 (42.0)                              | 5.3(3.2-8.7)           | < 0.001 | 4.4 (2.6 – 7.3)                                          | < 0.001 |
|                                             |                                        |                        |         |                                                          |         |

\* Multivariable logistic regression model included only variables with significant univariate associations with the outcome McCarthy and Cowan

Table 5

Early Return Visits Associations

| Dependent Variable:                         | Early Return Visits (within 7 days)<br>n (% of Total) | Univariate OR (95% CI) | P value | Multivariable Model <sup>*</sup><br>Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P value |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Initial Medication Given:                   |                                                       |                        |         |                                                          |         |
| <ul> <li>Opiates</li> </ul>                 | 10 (8.7)                                              | 2.7 (1.1 – 6.8)        | 0.035   | $2.0\ (0.8-5.2)$                                         | 0.15    |
| Diagnostic Variables:                       |                                                       |                        |         |                                                          |         |
| <ul> <li>Headache NOS Diagnosis</li> </ul>  | 16 (5.0)                                              | $1.9\ (0.6-6.7)$       | 0.31    | I                                                        |         |
| <ul> <li>Severe Headache</li> </ul>         | 9 (5.1)                                               | $1.3 \ (0.5 - 3.3)$    | 0.55    | I                                                        | i       |
| <ul> <li>Neuro-Imaging Performed</li> </ul> | 15 (7.5)                                              | 4.7 (1.5 – 14.3)       | 0.007   | 3.9 (1.3 – 12.5)                                         | 0.018   |
|                                             |                                                       |                        |         |                                                          |         |

\* Multivariable logistic regression model included only variables with significant univariate associations with the outcome