

HHS Public Access

DNA Repair (Amst). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

DNA Repair (Amst). 2015 June ; 30: 46–52. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2015.03.004.

Topoisomerase I deficiency causes RNA Polymerase II accumulation and increases AID abundance in immunoglobulin variable genes

Robert W. Maul, **Huseyin Saribasak**1, **Zheng Cao**, and **Patricia J. Gearhart***

Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Immunology, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, MD 21224

Abstract

Activation-induced deaminase (AID) is a DNA cytosine deaminase that diversifies immunoglobulin genes in B cells. Recent work has shown that RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) accumulation correlates with AID recruitment. However, a direct link between Pol II and AID abundance has not been tested. We used the DT40 B-cell line to manipulate levels of Pol II by decreasing topoisomerase I (Top1), which relaxes DNA supercoiling in front of the transcription complex. Top1 was decreased by stable transfection of a short hairpin RNA against Top1, which produced an accumulation of Pol II in transcribed genes, compared to cells transfected with shcontrol RNA. The increased Pol II density enhanced AID recruitment to variable genes in the λ light chain locus, and resulted in higher levels of somatic hypermutation and gene conversion. It has been proposed by another lab that AID itself might directly suppress Top1 to increase somatic hypermutation. However, we found that in both AID^{+/+} and AID^{-/−} B cells from DT40 and mice, Top1 protein levels were identical, indicating that the presence or absence of AID did not decrease Top1 expression. Rather, our results suggest that the mechanism for increased diversity when Top1 is reduced is that Pol II accumulates and recruits AID to variable genes.

Keywords

DT40; Topoisomerase I; RNA Polymerase II; Activation-induced deaminase; Somatic hypermutation; Gene conversion

^{© 2015} Published by Elsevier B.V.

^{*}Corresponding author: Patricia J. Gearhart; Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Immunology, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, 251 Bayview Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21224; Phone: 410-558-8561; Fax: 410-558-8386; gearhartp@mail.nih.gov.

gearhartp@mail.nih.gov.
1Present address: Department of Basic Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Sifa University, Izmir, Turkey.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

B cells express activation induced-deaminase (AID) to generate diversity in antibody genes. AID converts cytosine to uracil in single-strand DNA, and the rogue uracil initiates a cascade of error-prone repair pathways to introduce mutations into the immunoglobulin loci (reviewed in (1)). Mutations which alter the variable (V) gene coding sequence on the heavy and light chain loci are then selected for increased affinity to antigen, producing a robust antibody response. Mutations also occur in switch regions preceding each constant (C) gene on the heavy chain locus, which initiate heavy chain class switching to further diversify antibodies. While AID primarily targets immunoglobulin genes, off-target events can occur in several oncogenes including *Bcl6*, *Pax5*, and *Myc* (2–4). This potential for AID-induced genomic instability warrants continuing investigation into the mechanisms of AID targeting.

One essential component of AID targeting is the need for transcription (5). In vitro studies have demonstrated that when RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) is paused, AID generates multiple mutations (6). Recently, we reported that AID mutagenesis correlated with Pol II accumulation in the switch and V regions of mice (7, 8). In switch regions, it has been proposed that the DNA sequence forms RNA:DNA hybrids, or R-loops (9), which inhibit transcriptional elongation and increase Pol II accumulation. The paused Pol II complexes then recruit Spt5, a transcription initiation factor, and the RNA exosome, which degrades RNA, to resume elongation (10, 11). Both of these factors have been shown to directly interact with AID, suggesting they play a role in recruiting AID. In V regions, however, there are no R-loops, and it is not known what directs AID to these regions. Nonetheless, Pol II accumulation appears to be involved, as we identified paused Pol II complexes that were associated with Spt5 in germinal center B cells (8). Furthermore, Pol II-Spt5 complexes correlated with AID accumulation, suggesting similar mechanisms of AID targeting in both switch and V regions. However, the hypothesis that increased Pol II density can actively promote AID mutagenesis has not been directly tested in vivo.

Topoisomerase I (Top1) is an essential enzyme which maintains proper helical tension in DNA. The maintenance of helical tension is especially important during the process of transcription. As Pol II separates the DNA strands, positive supercoils are generated ahead of the transcribing polymerase, followed by negative supercoils behind it (reviewed in (12)). It has been proposed that Top1 nicks positively supercoiled DNA to relieve the tension and enhance transcription elongation. In fact, it is noteworthy that Top1 cleavage sites are found throughout transcriptionally-active genes but not in silent genes (13, 14). Furthermore, inhibition of Top1 by camptothecin decreased Pol II elongation (15, 16), and increased Pol II density in actively transcribed genes (17). Thus, Top1 is an intricate regulator of Pol II function. To test the role of Pol II density in targeting AID to V genes, we artificially increased Pol II abundance by decreasing Top1 levels in the chicken DT40 B-cell line. We found that increased Pol II density elevated AID mutagenesis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. DT40 cell lines and mice

Four engineered cell lines were used. For somatic hypermutation (SHM), a cell line was used that was surface IgM+ and lacked Vλ pseudogenes. We generated the ΦV− AIDR2 cell line using a DT40cre1 ΦV− AID−/− IgM+ progenitor cell line (18) that had AID reconstituted (AID^{R2}) using the vector pAidGpt. In this vector, an AID cDNA expression cassette was cloned downstream of the chicken β-actin promoter and upstream of an IRES-GPT sequence. For gene conversion (GC), a cell line was used that was surface IgM− and had V λ pseudogenes. The DT40cre1 (called AID^{+/+} hereafter) cell line had a frameshift mutation in the rearranged V-joining (J) gene (19). For western blots of Top1 and AID, two additional cell lines were used: DT40cre1 AID^{-/-} (called AID^{-/-}), and DT40cre1 AID^R with AID reconstituted (called AIDR). For mouse studies, wild type C57BL/6 mice and *Aid−/−* mice (20) on a C57BL/6 background were bred in our mouse colony. All animal procedures were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institute on Aging.

2.2. Top1 shRNA cloning, cell culture, transfection, and western blotting

Short hairpin (sh) RNA constructs were cloned into the pLKO.1 vector (Addgene) which contained a puromycin resistant selectable marker. Two shRNAs against Top1 were tried, using oligonucleotides confirmed by Sigma. The one listed in Supplemental Table S1 was selected, along with a control sequence. Cells were cultured in chicken medium (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% chicken serum, 2 mM Lglutamine, 0.1 μM β-mercaptoethanol, 100 I.U./mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin) at 41°C with 5% CO₂. $\Phi V^- AID^{R2}$ and $AID^{+/+}$ cell lines were stably transfected with 20–40 μg of linearized sh-control or sh-Top1 plasmids at 25 μF and 700 V with gene pulser Xcell (Bio-Rad). After electroporation, cells were incubated in chicken medium overnight, and the next day, puromycin (Sigma Aldrich) was added at a final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml. The cells were then incubated for another 6–8 days. Colonies were picked and cultured in fresh chicken medium for 2–3 days. For western blots, whole cell extracts from 5×10^6 cells were resuspended in 50 μl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, and lysed by addition of 50 μl SDS loading buffer followed by boiling. For Top1 and β-actin, 10 μl of lysate was separated by electrophoresis through a 12% gel. For AID, 40 μl of lysate was separated by electrophoresis through a 20% gel. Proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Biorad) and visualized with antibodies to Top1 (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.), βactin (Sigma-Aldrich), and AID (21).

2.3. Cell division and proliferation

For division, sh-control and sh-Top1 cells were treated with 5 μM carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE, Invitrogen) and analyzed by flow cytometry on days 0, 1, and 2. For proliferation, 1000 cells/well were seeded into 4 individual wells containing 1 ml chicken media followed by incubation at 42°C. On each day, a single well per clone was counted for analysis of cell growth.

2.4. Chromatin immunoprecipitation

μChIP (22) analyses were performed on 0.5 million ΦV^- AID^{R2} sh-control or sh-Top1 cells. Briefly, 0.5 million cells were lysed in 120 μl of SDS-lysis buffer and sonicated to shear the genomic DNA to \sim 500 bp. Chromatin was diluted with 800 μl RIPA buffer and centrifuged to remove precipitates. 800 μl of supernatant was collected, and 100 μl used for input and pulldown experiments. Experiments were performed using 2 subclones from each of 3 independent clones for sh-control and 9 independent clones for sh-Top1. Antibodies against Pol II (Millipore, clone CTD4H8), AID, and non-specific rabbit IgG (Millipore) were used at 2.4 μg per reaction, and were incubated with protein-G dynabeads (Invitrogen). Chromatin samples were incubated with the antibody/bead complex, washed with RIPA buffer, and the bound fraction was eluted by incubation with 50 μg/ml proteinase K. Samples were extracted with phenol/chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, and resuspended in 50 μl of nuclease free H₂O. qPCR reactions were performed using 1 μl of ChIP DNA, *Power* SYBR green mastermix (Invitrogen), and primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. Calculation of % input was performed by deriving the % input value for the experimental antibody ($2^{(Ct(input)-Ct(ChIP)} \times 100$), and subtracting the % input value of the IgG control.

2.5. Somatic hypermutation

For SHM, 3 sh-control and 9 sh-Top1 independent clones were generated in the ΦV− AIDR2 parent cells. Cells were distributed by limiting dilution into 96-well plates. One week later, 24–36 subclones from each group were picked and put into 24-well plates containing chicken medium. On day 14, cells were washed and stained for surface IgM expression with FITC-labeled mouse anti-chicken IgM (Southern Biotech), and analyzed by flow cytometry. Ten sh-control and 11 sh-Top1 subclones, which represented the average percent of surface IgM loss (IgM+ to IgM−), were selected and cultured for 4 more weeks, for a total of 6 weeks incubation. DNA from all 21 subclones was prepared and cloned into plasmids. The primers shown in Supplemental Table S1 were used for sequencing.

2.6. Gene conversion

For GC, 2 sh-control and 4 sh-Top1 independent clones were generated in the $AID^{+/+}$ parent cells. Cells were distributed by limiting dilution into 96-well plates. One week later, 24 subclones from each group were picked and put into 24-well plates containing chicken medium. On day 14, cells were stained for surface IgM, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Four sh-control and 8 sh-Top1 subclones, which represented the average percent of surface IgM gain (IgM− to IgM+), were selected and cultured for 4 more weeks, for a total of 6 weeks incubation. DNA from all 12 subclones was prepared and cloned into plasmids. The primers listed in Supplemental Table S1 were used for sequencing.

2.7. Western blot and mRNA analyses of AID, Top1, and β**-actin in DT40 and mouse B cells**

For DT40, cell extracts were prepared as described in 2.2, and membranes were stained with antibodies to AID, Top1, and β-actin. For mice, naïve splenic B cells were isolated by negative selection with anti-CD43 and anti-CD11b magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). Cells were plated at a density of 0.5 million cells/ml and stimulated ex vivo with 5 μg/ml *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide (LPS) serotype 0111:B4 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 5 ng/ml

recombinant interleukin-4 (IL-4) (Biolegend) for 0, 6, or 24 h. Total RNA was isolated from cells using RNAeasy columns (Qiagen). cDNA was generated from 100 ng of RNA using oligo dT and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). Levels of AID and β-actin mRNA were determined by RT-PCR using rTaq polymerase (Takara) and primers described in Supplemental Table S1. For westerns, whole-cell extracts from 5×10^6 cells were prepared, separated by gel electrophoresis, and stained with antibodies to Top1 and β-actin.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Cell division and proliferation are unaltered by Top1 deficiency

We previously showed a correlation between high levels of Pol II density and AID mutagenesis in V and switch regions from mice $(7, 8)$. To test if Pol II accumulation directly affected AID targeting, we manipulated Top1 levels in chicken DT40 B cells, which undergo constitutive SHM and GC in the Ig λ locus. We hypothesized that decreasing Top1 levels would increase positive supercoils ahead of transcribing Pol II, resulting in a pile up of Pol II, which may increase AID targeting. $\Phi V^- A ID^{R2}$ and $A ID^{+/+}$ cells were stably transfected with shRNA to the enzyme (sh-Top1) and a control sequence (sh-control). For the ΦV[−] AID^{R2} line used for SHM, 3 clones for sh-control, and 9 clones for sh-Top1 were generated. For the $AID^{+/+}$ line used for GC, 2 clones for sh-control and 4 clones for sh-Top1 were made. Western blot analysis for Top1 and β-actin protein from both lines showed a decrease in Top1 in the sh-Top1 clones compared to sh-control clones (Supplemental Fig. S1). As summarized in Fig. 1A, Top1 protein was significantly reduced by 36% in ΦV [−] AID^{R2} clones, and by 39% in AID^{+/+} clones. It has been recently shown that complete deletion of Top1 inhibits replication fork progression (23). To ensure that our partial Top1 deficiency did not affect replication, we examined cell division and proliferation. For cell division, CFSE dilution was measured for 2 days (Fig. 1B). When added to cells, CFSE covalently couples to intercellular molecules and is diluted by 50% into each daughter cell upon cell division. The number of cell divisions can then be monitored using flow cytometry to analyze mean fluorescence intensity. Comparison of sh-control and sh-Top1 cells from both $\Phi V^- A ID^{R2}$ and $A ID^{+/+}$ clones showed no discernable difference in the decrease in fluorescence. For proliferation, growth curves were generated by plating 1000 cells into 1 ml media and counting the cells every 24 hrs (Fig. 1C). There was no effect on growth between sh-control and sh-Top1 cells. These results show that decreased levels of Top1 did not affect cell division and proliferation, and therefore the DT40 cells should be satisfactory to study SHM and GC processes.

3.2. Top1 knockdown increases Pol II accumulation and AID recruitment to Vλ **genes**

Although cell division and proliferation were unaffected by Top1 knockdown, we predicted that transcription would be altered. Accordingly, Pol II density was measured in $\Phi V^- AID^{R2}$ cells by ChIP within the Igλ, γ-actin, and β-globin loci from sh-control and sh-Top1 cells. Cells with sh-Top1 had a significant accumulation of Pol II in $V\lambda$ and $C\lambda$ genes, compared to cells with the sh-control RNA (Fig. 2A and B). A significant increase was also seen in the promoter of the transcribed γ-actin gene, but not in the non-transcribed β-globin gene, which confirms previous reports that Top1 travels with elongating Pol II complexes (13, 14). To determine if increased Pol II density elevated recruitment of AID, we performed ChIP with

anti-AID antibodies. The results showed a significant increase in AID in the V λ gene in the Top1 knockdown cells compared to control cells (Fig. 2C). AID was absent in C λ , γ -actin, and β-globin genes, consistent with the notion that additional factors are required to recruit AID to sites of paused Pol II. For example, we and others have reported that Pol II accumulation is associated with Spt5 (8, 10, 24); however we could not detect Spt5 in DT40 cells due to technical limitations, as commercial rabbit anti-Spt5 antibodies did not precipitate chicken Spt5. Taken together, Top1 deficiency promoted Pol II accumulation and increased AID targeting to Vλ genes.

3.3. Pol II accumulation dictates levels of SHM and GC

V gene diversification in DT40 cells occurs by two mechanisms: SHM (25) and GC (26, 27). To determine if the elevated AID levels in shTop1 cells affected diversity, we analyzed point mutations and conversion tracks in VJλ genes. For SHM, we used a cell line with no pseudogenes ($\Phi V^- AID^{R2}$, surface IgM⁺), where AID deamination events are processed into single point mutations within the one functional, rearranged $VJ\lambda$ gene (Fig. 3A). Mutations were analyzed by two techniques (Fig. 3B). First, flow cytometry was used to assay cells that lost surface IgM after two weeks in culture due to mutations introducing stop codons in the V gene (IgM+ to IgM−). Analysis of sh-control and sh-Top1 clones revealed a significant increase in IgM− cells when Top1 levels were reduced. Second, DNA sequencing was used to assay the total population of cells (independent of IgM status) to detect all the mutations in VJλ genes. There was a significant increase in mutations from sh-Top1 clones compared to sh-control clones. Additionally, no mutation events were identified within the $C\lambda$ gene (data not shown) even with elevated Pol II levels, consistent with lack of AID localization by ChIP.

For GC, we used a cell line with pseudogenes (AID+/+, surface IgM−), where AID deamination events are processed into DNA strand breaks to initiate homologous recombination in the rearranged VJ λ gene using any of the 25 Φ V genes for template repair (Fig. 3C). Similar to SHM, these events can be scored by flow cytometry and DNA sequencing (Fig. 3D). For flow cytometry, GC rescues surface IgM expression by reversing a frame shift mutation within the V λ gene (IgM⁻ to IgM⁺). Analysis of sh-control and sh-Top1 clones showed a significant increase in $IgM⁺$ revertants when Top1 was reduced. For sequencing, VJλ genes from the total population of cells (independent of IgM status) were analyzed. There was a significant increase in conversion frequency from sh-Top1 versus shcontrol clones. Thus, Pol II accumulation, induced by Top1 deficiency, increased AID targeting to VJλ genes, which then escalated mutagenesis.

3.4. Top1 expression is unaffected by AID levels in DT40 and mouse cells

We have presented data here that Pol II abundance regulates AID accumulation and mutagenesis. In this scenario, anything that increases Pol II on V genes, such as Top1 reduction, will raise the mutation frequency. However, Honjo and colleagues proposed another mechanism to explain how Top1 knockdown increases mutagenesis (28, 29). They suggest that AID protein edits a microRNA that reduces the translation of Top1 mRNA. Diminished Top1 protein would amplify non-B structures in DNA, which undergo cleavage by the residual Top1 protein. DNA strand breaks then become substrates for SHM and class

switch recombination. According to their model, $AID^{+/+}$ cells will have less Top1 protein compared to AID−/− cells. We therefore tested this hypothesis to see if AID altered Top1 protein in DT40 cells. Western blot analyses for AID, Top1, and β-actin were performed on AID^{-/−}, AID^{+/+}, and AID^R DT40 cells (Fig. 4A). AID protein levels were different among the three strains, with cells reconstituted with AID (AID^R) expressing the most protein. However, Top1 expression was identical in all three lines. To repeat the experiments of Honjo and colleagues using mice (29), we then measured AID, Top1, and β-actin levels in *Aid−/−* and *Aid+/+* primary mouse splenic B cells, before and after activation with LPS and IL-4 (Fig. 4B). AID and β-actin mRNA was assessed by RT-PCR, and Top1 and β-actin protein was quantified by western blots. At 0, 6, and 24 h after stimulation, AID mRNA was absent in *Aid−/−* cells, and increased in *Aid+/+* cells. Top1 protein levels were unchanged by the presence or absence of AID, and were independent of AID upregulation after stimulation. Thus, in contrast to the results of Kobayashi et al. (29), AID had no detectable effect on Top1 protein levels. The reason for this discrepancy in data is not clear.

4. Conclusion

Recent evidence suggests that Pol II and Spt5 are responsible for targeting AID to V and switch regions in mice $(7, 8, 10, 30)$. The current theory is that when Pol II is paused, Spt5 accumulates and recruits AID. Attempts to identify what controls transcription and AID activity in the immunoglobulin loci have focused on the 3′ enhancer elements downstream of C genes. In mice, deletion of this region in the *Igh* locus diminishes Pol II and ablates Spt5 and AID recruitment (8, 31). In DT40 cells, deletions in this region in the $Ig\lambda$ locus modulate transcription and reduce mutagenesis (32–34). Thus, a definitive role for the 3′ enhancer region in transcription and mutation is unclear at this time. What is clear, however, is that Pol II is critical to initiate the assembly and activity of the mutation complex. For assembly, we demonstrate here that Top1 deficiency increased Pol II accumulation over transcribed Vλ, Cλ, and γ-actin genes, and magnified AID protein over the Vλ gene. For activity, Pol II abundance generates single-strand DNA (8, 9, 35, 36), which is the substrate for AID. Thus, Pol II has a dual role in both instigating recruitment of Spt5 and AID, and producing single-strand DNA for AID to act on. Pol II accumulation might be due to cis DNA sequences around the V gene that regulate transcription, perhaps by delaying promoter release of the initiating polymerases (8). The identification of such sequences may unlock the mystery of why V genes are a magnet for AID-induced mutagenesis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank William Yang for technical assistance, Jean Marie Buerstedde and Hiroshi Arakawa for generously providing DT40 cell lines, and Ranjan Sen and David Wilson III for critical reading of the manuscript. This research was supported entirely by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute on Aging.

References

- 1. Maul RW, Gearhart PJ. Refining the Neuberger model: Uracil processing by activated B cells. Eur J Immunol. 2014; 44:1913–1916. [PubMed: 24920531]
- 2. Liu M, Duke JL, Richter DJ, Vinuesa CG, Goodnow CC, Kleinstein SH, Schatz DG. Two levels of protection for the B cell genome during somatic hypermutation. Nature. 2008; 451:841–845. [PubMed: 18273020]
- 3. Chiarle R, Zhang Y, Frock R, Lewis S, Molinie B, Ho Y, Myers D, Choi V, Compagno M, Malkin D, Neuberg D, Monti S, Giallourakis C, Gostissa M, Alt FW. Genome-wide translocation sequencing reveals mechanisms of chromosome breaks and rearrangements in B cells. Cell. 2011; 147:107–119. [PubMed: 21962511]
- 4. Klein I, Resch W, Jankovic M, Oliveira TY, Yamane A, Nakahashi H, Di Virgilio M, Bothmer A, Nussenzweig A, Robbiani DF, Casellas R, Nussenzweig M. Translocation-capture sequencing reveals the extent and nature of chromosomal rearrangements in B lymphocytes. Cell. 2011; 147:95–106. [PubMed: 21962510]
- 5. Peters A, Storb U. Somatic hypermutation of immunoglobulin genes is linked to transcription initiation. Immunity. 1996; 4:57–65. [PubMed: 8574852]
- 6. Canugovi C, Samaranayake M, Bhagwat AS. Transcriptional pausing and stalling causes multiple clustered mutations by human activation-induced deaminase. FASEB J. 2009; 23:34–44. [PubMed: 18772346]
- 7. Rajagopal D, Maul RW, Ghosh A, Chakraborty T, Khamlichi AA, Sen R, Gearhart PJ. Immunoglobulin switch mu sequence causes RNA polymerase II accumulation and reduces dA hypermutation. J Exp Med. 2009; 206:1237–1244. [PubMed: 19433618]
- 8. Maul RW, Cao Z, Venkataraman L, Giorgetti CA, Press JL, Denizot Y, Du H, Sen R, Gearhart PJ. Spt5 accumulation at variable genes distinguishes somatic hypermutation in germinal center B cells from ex vivo-activated cells. J Exp Med. 2014; 211:2297–2306. [PubMed: 25288395]
- 9. Huang FT, Yu K, Balter BB, Selsing E, Oruc Z, Khamlichi AA, Hsieh CL, Lieber MR. Sequence dependence of chromosomal R-loops at the immunoglobulin heavy-chain Smu class switch region. Mol Cell Biol. 2007; 27:5921–5932. [PubMed: 17562862]
- 10. Pavri R, Gazumyan A, Jankovic M, Di Virgilio M, Klein I, Ansarah-Sobrinho C, Resch W, Yamane A, Reina San-Martin B, Barreto V, Nieland TJ, Root DE, Casellas R, Nussenzweig MC. Activation-induced cytidine deaminase targets DNA at sites of RNA polymerase II stalling by interaction with Spt5. Cell. 2010; 143:122–133. [PubMed: 20887897]
- 11. Basu U, Meng FL, Keim C, Grinstein V, Pefanis E, Eccleston J, Zhang T, Myers D, Wasserman CR, Wesemann DR, Januszyk K, Gregory RI, Deng H, Lima CD, Alt FW. The RNA exosome targets the AID cytidine deaminase to both strands of transcribed duplex DNA substrates. Cell. 2011; 144:353–363. [PubMed: 21255825]
- 12. Baranello L, Kouzine F, Levens D. DNA topoisomerases beyond the standard role. Transcription. 2013; 4:232–237. [PubMed: 24135702]
- 13. Gilmour DS, Pflugfelder G, Wang JC, Lis JT. Topoisomerase I interacts with transcribed regions in Drosophila cells. Cell. 1986; 44:401–407. [PubMed: 3002635]
- 14. Stewart AF, Schutz G. Camptothecin-induced in vivo topoisomerase I cleavages in the transcriptionally active tyrosine aminotransferase gene. Cell. 1987; 50:1109–1117. [PubMed: 2887294]
- 15. Stewart AF, Herrera RE, Nordheim A. Rapid induction of c-fos transcription reveals quantitative linkage of RNA polymerase II and DNA topoisomerase I enzyme activities. Cell. 1990; 60:141– 149. [PubMed: 2153054]
- 16. Ljungman M, Hanawalt PC. The anti-cancer drug camptothecin inhibits elongation but stimulates initiation of RNA polymerase II transcription. Carcinogenesis. 1996; 17:31–35. [PubMed: 8565133]
- 17. Teves SS, Henikoff S. Transcription-generated torsional stress destabilizes nucleosomes. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2014; 21:88–94. [PubMed: 24317489]

- 18. Arakawa H, Saribasak H, Buerstedde JM. Activation-induced cytidine deaminase initiates immunoglobulin gene conversion and hypermutation by a common intermediate. PLoS Biol. 2004; 2:E179. [PubMed: 15252444]
- 19. Arakawa H, Hauschild J, Buerstedde JM. Requirement of the activation-induced deaminase (AID) gene for immunoglobulin gene conversion. Science. 2002; 295:1301–1306. [PubMed: 11847344]
- 20. Muramatsu M, Kinoshita K, Fagarasan S, Yamada S, Shinkai Y, Honjo T. Class switch recombination and hypermutation require activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID), a potential RNA editing enzyme. Cell. 2000; 102:553–563. [PubMed: 11007474]
- 21. Kohli RM, Maul RW, Guminski AF, McClure RL, Gajula KS, Saribasak H, McMahon MA, Siliciano RF, Gearhart PJ, Stivers JT. Local sequence targeting in the AID/APOBEC family differentially impacts retroviral restriction and antibody diversification. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285:40956–40964. [PubMed: 20929867]
- 22. Dahl JA, Collas P. A rapid micro chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (microChIP). Nature protocols. 2008; 3:1032–1045.
- 23. Tuduri S, Crabbe L, Conti C, Tourriere H, Holtgreve-Grez H, Jauch A, Pantesco V, De Vos J, Thomas A, Theillet C, Pommier Y, Tazi J, Coquelle A, Pasero P. Topoisomerase I suppresses genomic instability by preventing interference between replication and transcription. Nature cell biology. 2009; 11:1315–1324.
- 24. Yamane A, Resch W, Kuo N, Kuchen S, Li Z, Sun HW, Robbiani DF, McBride K, Nussenzweig MC, Casellas R. Deep-sequencing identification of the genomic targets of the cytidine deaminase AID and its cofactor RPA in B lymphocytes. Nat Immunol. 2011; 12:62–69. [PubMed: 21113164]
- 25. Sale JE, Calandrini DM, Takata M, Takeda S, Neuberger MS. Ablation of XRCC2/3 transforms immunoglobulin V gene conversion into somatic hypermutation. Nature. 2001; 412:921–926. [PubMed: 11528482]
- 26. Kim S, Humphries EH, Tjoelker L, Carlson L, Thompson CB. Ongoing diversification of the rearranged immunoglobulin light-chain gene in a bursal lymphoma cell line. Mol Cell Biol. 1990; 10:3224–3231. [PubMed: 2111450]
- 27. Buerstedde JM, Reynaud CA, Humphries EH, Olson W, Ewert DL, Weill JC. Light chain gene conversion continues at high rate in an ALV-induced cell line. EMBO J. 1990; 9:921–927. [PubMed: 2155784]
- 28. Kobayashi M, Sabouri Z, Sabouri S, Kitawaki Y, Pommier Y, Abe T, Kiyonari H, Honjo T. Decrease in topoisomerase I is responsible for activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) dependent somatic hypermutation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108:19305–19310. [PubMed: 22080610]
- 29. Kobayashi M, Aida M, Nagaoka H, Begum NA, Kitawaki Y, Nakata M, Stanlie A, Doi T, Kato L, Okazaki IM, Shinkura R, Muramatsu M, Kinoshita K, Honjo T. AID-induced decrease in topoisomerase 1 induces DNA structural alteration and DNA cleavage for class switch recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:22375–22380. [PubMed: 20018730]
- 30. Wang L, Wuerffel R, Feldman S, Khamlichi AA, Kenter AL. S region sequence, RNA polymerase II, and histone modifications create chromatin accessibility during class switch recombination. J Exp Med. 2009; 206:1817–1830. [PubMed: 19596805]
- 31. Rouaud P, Vincent-Fabert C, Saintamand A, Fiancette R, Marquet M, Robert I, Reina-San-Martin B, Pinaud E, Cogne M, Denizot Y. The IgH 3′ regulatory region controls somatic hypermutation in germinal center B cells. J Exp Med. 2013; 210:1501–1507. [PubMed: 23825188]
- 32. Kothapalli NR, Collura KM, Norton DD, Fugmann SD. Separation of mutational and transcriptional enhancers in Ig genes. J Immunol. 2011; 187:3247–3255. [PubMed: 21844395]
- 33. Buerstedde JM, Alinikula J, Arakawa H, McDonald JJ, Schatz DG. Targeting of somatic hypermutation by immunoglobulin enhancer and enhancer-like sequences. PLoS Biol. 2014; 12:e1001831. [PubMed: 24691034]
- 34. Kohler KM, McDonald JJ, Duke JL, Arakawa H, Tan S, Kleinstein SH, Buerstedde JM, Schatz DG. Identification of core DNA elements that target somatic hypermutation. J Immunol. 2012; 189:5314–5326. [PubMed: 23087403]
- 35. Ronai D, Iglesias-Ussel MD, Fan M, Li Z, Martin A, Scharff MD. Detection of chromatinassociated single-stranded DNA in regions targeted for somatic hypermutation. J Exp Med. 2007; 204:181–190. [PubMed: 17227912]
- 36. Parsa JY, Ramachandran S, Zaheen A, Nepal RM, Kapelnikov A, Belcheva A, Berru M, Ronai D, Martin A. Negative supercoiling creates single-stranded patches of DNA that are substrates for AID-mediated mutagenesis. PLoS Genet. 2012; 8:e1002518. [PubMed: 22346767]

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplemental Table S1 lists the primers used for shRNA, ChIP, DNA sequencing, and RT-PCR.

Supplemental Fig. S1 shows western blots for Top1 and β-actin from all clones transfected with sh-control and sh-Top1.

Highlights

- **•** Knockdown of Topoisomerase I increased RNA Polymerase II and AID in Vλ genes.
- **•** Pol II accumulation elevated somatic hypermutation and gene conversion in DT40 cells.
- **•** Topoisomerase I was unaffected by AID levels.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 1.

Effects of Top1 knockdown on cell division and proliferation. For ΦV− AIDR2 cells, 3 shcontrol and 9 sh-Top1 clones were tested, and for $AID^{+/+}$ cells, 2 sh-control and 4 sh-Top1 clones were tested. (A) Western blot analysis comparing Top1 levels in sh-control and sh-Top1 clones. Representative blots are shown. Bar graphs represent the average Top1/β-actin ratio; error bars depict standard deviation (SD). P value, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test. (B) Cell division experiments tracking the dilution of CFSE dye over time. Representative flow cytometry analysis of fluorescence intensity in a sh-control clone on days 0 (black), 1 (green), and 2 (orange). Bar graphs represent the average mean intensity; error bars indicate SD. Value for d 1 includes cells in both peaks. (C) Cell proliferation. Cells were counted on days 0–4.

Fig. 2.

ChIP analyses for RNA Pol II and AID. (A) Map of Igλ locus in ΦV− AIDR2 cells. TATA box, green triangle; VJ and C exons, yellow boxes. Red line indicates the area being amplified during ChIP assay. (B) RNA Pol II analysis on 2 subclones from each of 3 shcontrol (gray) and 9 sh-Top1 (red) clones; error bars show SD. * , P $\quad 0.05$ (unpaired twotailed Student's *t* test). (C) AID analysis on 2 subclones from 3 sh-control (gray) and 9 sh-Top1 (red) clones; error bars depict SD. *, P = 0.02 (unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test).

Fig. 3.

Somatic hypermutation and gene conversion. (A) Map of Ig λ locus in $\Phi V^- AID^{R2}$ cells. TATA box, and VJ and C exons are shown. Double arrow represents the area amplified for sequencing. (B) SHM. Left graph; flow cytometry analysis of 3 sh-control (gray) or 9 sh-Top1 (red) independent clones, with 24–36 subclones analyzed per clone. P value, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test. Right table; sequence analysis from 10 sh-control and 11 sh-Top1 subclones. P value, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test. (C) Map of Ig λ locus in AID^{+/+} cells. Yellow boxes represent the position of VJ and C exons, and black boxes show the position of V pseudogenes. Double arrow indicates the region sequenced. (D) GC. Left graph; flow cytometry analysis using 2 sh-control (gray) or 4 sh-Top1 (red) independent clones, with 24 subclones analyzed per clone. P value, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test. Right table; sequence analysis from 4 sh-control and 8 sh-Top1 subclones. P value, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test.

B Mouse

Fig. 4.

Top1 protein levels in DT40 and mouse B cells. (A) DT40 cells. Protein levels of AID, Top1, and β-actin are shown in representative western blots for AID^{-/-}, AID^{+/+}, or AID^R cell lines. Bar graph depicts the average Top1/β-actin ratio from 3 independent experiments; error bars represent SD. (B) Mouse cells. Splenic B cells were stimulated with LPS and IL-4 for 0, 6, and 24 h. mRNA levels by RT-PCR are shown in representative panels for AID and β-actin from *Aid−/−* and *Aid+/+* cells. Similar results were seen in 2 additional mice per genotype (data not shown). Protein levels of Top1 and β-actin are shown in representative western blots for *Aid−/−* and *Aid+/+* cells. Bar graph depicts the average Top1/β-actin ratio from 3 independent experiments with 1 mouse per experiment; error bars show SD.