Research Paper

Confirming a major QTL and finding additional loci responsible for field resistance to brown spot (*Bipolaris oryzae*) in rice

Hiroyuki Sato^{*†1}, Kengo Matsumoto^{†2}, Chihiro Ota², Tomohiro Yamakawa², Junichi Kihara³ and Ritsuko Mizobuchi⁴

- ¹⁾ National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Kyushu Okinawa Agriculture Research Center (NARO/KARC), 496 Izumi Chikugo, Fukuoka 833-0041, Japan
- ²⁾ Mie Prefecture Agricultural Research Institute, 530 Kawakita, Ureshino, Mie 515-2316, Japan
- ³⁾ Faculty of Life and Environmental Science, Shimane University, 1060 Nishikawatsu, Matsue, Shimane 690-8504, Japan
- ⁴⁾ National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences (NIAS), 2-1-2, Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan

Brown spot is a devastating rice disease. Quantitative resistance has been observed in local varieties (e.g., 'Tadukan'), but no economically useful resistant variety has been bred. Using quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis of recombinant inbred lines (RILs) from 'Tadukan' (resistant) × 'Hinohikari' (susceptible), we previously found three QTLs (*qBS2*, *qBS9*, and *qBS11*) that conferred resistance in seedlings in a greenhouse. To confirm their effect, the parents and later generations of RILs were transplanted into paddy fields where brown spot severely occurred. Three new resistance QTLs (*qBSfR1*, *qBSfR4*, and *qBSfR11*) were detected on chromosomes 1, 4, and 11, respectively. The 'Tadukan' alleles at *qBSfR1* and *qBSfR11* and the 'Hinohikari' allele at *qBSfR1* and *qBSfR11* and *qBS*

Key Words: Bipolaris oryzae, brown spot, Oryza sativa L., QTL analysis, field resistance, rice.

Introduction

Brown spot of rice, which is caused by the fungus *Bipolaris* oryzae (Breda de Haan) Shoemaker, is one of the most serious rice diseases worldwide. It can greatly decrease grain yield and quality, and was the main cause of the Bengal famine of 1943 (Padmanabhan 1973). The damage caused by brown spot generally becomes noticeable when the rice is produced in nutrient-deficient or otherwise unfavorable soil conditions (Katara *et al.* 2010). For example, yield losses due to brown spot ranged between 16 and 43% in a silicon-deficient Histosol (Datnoff *et al.* 1991).

In 2012, the area of Japan affected by the brown spot epidemic covered 146,636 ha, the fourth largest area after those of sheath blight (491,932 ha), leaf blast (251,241 ha), and neck blast (209,241 ha) (JPPA 2013). However, disease

Communicated by H. Kato

Received November 7, 2014. Accepted January 8, 2015.

incidence has increased in some regions with silicondeficient soils (NCRC 2010). One reason for the spread of brown spot is that the dose or application frequency of fungicide has decreased to permit low-input sustainable agriculture (NCRC 2010, Yamaguchi *et al.* 2007). The ear blight caused by brown spot has been frequently found in the Niigata region, where such agriculture has been performed over 90,000 ha using blast-resistant multi lines (Nagasawa 2009, Yamaguchi *et al.* 2007).

Although the application of fungicide and silicon soil amendments has reduced the severity of the disease, breeding varieties resistant to brown spot may be a more costeffective alternative for disease control (Sato *et al.* 2008a). Though several studies have examined the genotypic variability of brown spot resistance in rice (Deren *et al.* 1994, Eruotor 1985, Misra 1985, Ohata and Kubo 1974, Yoshii and Matsumoto 1951), little information is available on the inheritance of this resistance (Katara *et al.* 2010, Sato *et al.* 2008a). Among the resistant varieties and lines, the *indica* cultivar 'Tadukan' shows a high level of partial resistance (Ohata and Kubo 1974, Yoshii and Matsumoto 1951). We

^{*}Corresponding author (e-mail: bsato@affrc.go.jp)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work

previously identified three quantitative trait loci (QTLs) that contributed to brown spot resistance at the seedling stage using recombinant inbred lines (RILs) from a cross of 'Tadukan' with 'Hinohikari' (Sato *et al.* 2008a). The objectives of this study were to confirm the effects of these resistance QTLs under field conditions and to introduce them into a regionally adapted variety, such as 'Koshihikari'. Based on the results of our field trials, we discuss the usefulness of marker-assisted selection (MAS) to improve brown spot field resistance in rice.

Materials and Methods

Fungal isolation and inoculation

The Iga-2 strain of *Bipolaris oryzae* was isolated from rice leaves collected in a research field at the Mie Prefecture Agricultural Research Institute (MPARI, Iga, Mie, Japan) using the technique described by Kihara and Kumagai (1994). The stock culture is maintained in MPARI. Inoculation, culture of the mycelia, and induction of conidiophore formation under irradiation with black light lamps were also based on the methods of Kihara and Kumagai (1994).

Plant materials

We used 110 RILs in the F_8 generation derived from crosses between 'Tadukan' (resistant) and 'Hinohikari' (susceptible) in the present resistance testing and QTL mapping for field resistance to brown spot. The RILs were advanced from different F₂ plants by means of single-seed descent; an intermediate generation (F_5) had been previously used to identify three QTLs for brown spot resistance at the seedling stage (Sato et al. 2008a). On the basis of our initial field resistance and mapping results, we selected one RIL (THRIL50) that possessed putative QTLs for field resistance to brown spot, and crossed it with a susceptible variety, 'Koshihikari'. One F₁ plant was backcrossed three times with 'Koshihikari' to introduce the QTLs into the 'Koshihikari' background. We obtained 19 near-isogenic lines (NILs) in the BC₃F₅ generation, which were grown to evaluate their field resistance.

Field evaluation of brown spot resistance

In 2012 and 2013, brown spot resistance was evaluated for QTL mapping in a paddy field at MPARI, with two replications, following the procedure of Matsumoto *et al.* (2014). To initiate the disease in the field, spreader plants (cv. 'Mienoyume', susceptible) that had been inoculated with the Iga-2 strain were planted at a rate of three to five plants per hill, at a spacing of 30×30 cm among the plants. Then, each RIL and its parents were transplanted (11 hills, 30×15 cm) between the spreader rows. Disease scores were recorded 113 days after transplanting, using a scale from 0 to 9 (**Supplemental Table 1**). In 2013, the brown spot field resistance of the 19 NILs was examined using the same method.

DNA marker assays

Total DNA was extracted from the leaves using the CTAB method (Murray and Thompson 1980). To construct a linkage map, we used 169 polymorphic markers: 162 rice simple-sequence repeat (SSR) markers and 7 sequencetagged site (STS) markers. SSR and STS analysis were carried out according to the protocol of Sato et al. (2008a). Linkage groups and the order of the markers were determined using version 3 of the MAPMAKER/EXP software (Lander et al. 1987). The resulting genetic linkage map was visualized by using a Microsoft Excel macro, MapDraw (Liu and Meng 2003). QTL analysis was performed using version 2.5 of Windows QTL cartographer (Wang et al. 2006) with the default composite interval mapping and control parameters, model 6 of the standard model, five control markers, a 10-cM window size, and the forward and backward regression model. We used genome-wide threshold values ($\alpha = 0.05$) to detect putative QTLs on the basis of the results of 1000 permutations. To survey the genotypes of NILs that harbored putative brown spot resistance QTLs, single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis was employed. A 384-plex set of SNP markers was selected from diverse accessions of cultivated Asian rice (Ebana et al. 2010). Genotyping was performed by using the GoldenGate BeadArray technology platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). These SNPs were detected by using the Illumina Bead Station 500G system. All experimental procedures for the SNP typing followed the manufacturer's instructions.

Results

Phenotypic analysis of parental lines and their progeny

Distinct differences in brown spot field resistance were observed between the parental varieties, 'Tadukan' and 'Hinohikari': the mean disease scores in 2012 and 2013 were 2.2 ± 0.5 and 5.3 ± 0.8 , respectively (**Fig. 1**, 2). The disease score ratings of the RIL populations in 2012 and 2013 were normally distributed, and a certain number of



Fig. 1. Brown spot lesions observed in the Mie paddy field in 2012. The images were taken 109 days after transplanting. (A) Resistant variety 'Tadukan', (B) Susceptible variety 'Hinohikari'.



Fig. 2. Distribution of brown spot disease scores for 110 F_8 RILs (Tadukan/Hinohikari) based on a 0 to 9 rating scale (**Supplemental Table S1**) in 2012 and 2013. The mean trait values for parents of the RILs, 'Tadukan' and 'Hinohikari', are indicated as black and white arrowheads, respectively.

lines exhibited transgressive segregation in both directions, which indicated that the inheritance of field resistance was quantitative (**Fig. 2**).

QTLs for field resistance

The 162 SSR and 7 STS polymorphic markers were used for map construction. This map covered a total genetic distance of 1286.4 cM and provided partial linkage groups for all chromosomes, except for small gaps in chromosomes 4, 6, and 8 (Data not shown). Three QTLs for field resistance (qBSfR1, qBSfR4, and qBSfR11) were identified based on the data from 2012 and 2013 (Table 1). These QTLs were located on chromosomes 1, 4, and 11. The 'Tadukan' alleles of *qBSfR1* and *qBSfR11* explained 10.4 to 12.3% and 17.9 to 19.2% of the total phenotypic variation, respectively; *qBSfR4* from 'Hinohikari' accounted for 10.2 to 10.8% of the total variation. The position of the major QTL *qBSfR11* coincided with that of the resistance allele qBS11 that was detected in 'Tadukan' at the seedling stage (Fig. 3; Sato et al. 2008a). However, the two other QTLs differed from the resistance alleles *qBS2* and *qBS9* reported by Sato *et al.* (2008a) (Fig. 3). Thus, in spite of the difference in growth stages analyzed in the two studies, a major resistance QTL from 'Tadukan' was detected in both experiments, whereas the relatively minor QTLs detected in the present study did not correspond to those in the previous study (Sato et al. 2008a).

Verification of QTLs for field resistance

To verify the effects of the 'Tadukan' resistance QTLs, 19 NILs from the BC₃F₅ generation, which were derived from the same BC₃F₁ plant, were genotyped using SNP markers and their disease phenotypes were evaluated in a field test (**Table 2**). 'Koshihikari', the susceptible recurrent parent of the NILs, is a parent of 'Hinohikari' ('Aichi 40'/ 'Koshihikari'); there were no markers around the *qBSfR4* region that were polymorphic between 'Koshihikari' and 'Hinohikari' (data not shown). Since all of the 19 lines possessed the same allele as 'Koshihikari' at the *qBSfR4* locus, we have not further explored the effectiveness of *qBSfR4* itself.

The mean disease scores of 'Tadukan' and 'Koshihikari' were 2.5 and 6.0, respectively. Two lines that possessed the 'Tadukan' allele of *qBSfR1* showed slightly lower disease scores (i.e., slightly better resistance) than 'Koshihikari', with values of 5.0 for R307-240-4 and 5.5 for R307-147-2. However, the difference was not significant for either line. Among the 12 lines that possessed the major resistance QTL from 'Koshihikari' (qBSfR11), 11 lines had significantly lower disease scores than 'Koshihikari' (3.0 to 4.0) and were considered resistant; R307-89-9, with a disease score of 5.5, was considered to be as susceptible as 'Koshihikari'. From this comparison of the phenotypes and graphical genotypes, it seems likely that *qBSfR11* lies around the SNP marker interval; AE11005627-AE11000941. Although 5 lines (R225-1, -2, -3, and -4, and R306-1) harbored both of the 'Tadukan' resistance QTLs (*qBSfR1* and *qBSfR11*), they showed disease scores (3.5 to 4.0) that were not significantly different from the 11 lines that possessed only *qBSfR11* from 'Tadukan' (3.0 to 4.0), so no practical gene pyramiding effect was observed in our experiment.

Discussion

Many agriculturally important rice varieties are susceptible to brown spot, and show yield losses of 16 to 43% in inoculated plots (Datnoff *et al.* 1991, Yamaguchi *et al.* 1992). No major genes conferring immunity to brown spot have been identified, possibly because of the absence of physiological races of the fungus (Eruotor 1985, Sreegharan and Menon 1974). However, a few resistant varieties, such as 'Tadukan', offer sufficiently high quantitative resistance that they will be agriculturally useful (Eruotor 1985, Ohata and Kubo

Table 1. Putative QTLs for field resistance to brown spot of rice detected by using RILs (Tadukan/Hinohikari)

Years	QTL	Chromosome	Peak position (cM)	Nearest marker	LOD score	Varience explained of total (%)	Additive effect ^a
2012	qBSfR 1	1	40.7	RM10604	4.8	10.4	-0.3
	qBSfR 4	4	91.4	RM273	4.3	10.2	0.3
	qBSfR 11	11	52.4	RM26992	8.0	17.9	-0.4
2013	qBSfR 1	1	44.7	RM10604	4.9	12.3	-0.4
	qBSfR 4	4	92.4	RM273	4.8	10.8	0.3
	qBSfR 11	11	56.6	RM27096	8.6	19.2	-0.5

^a Negative values mean that the 'Tadukan' allele decreases the disease score (i.e. improves field resistace).



Fig. 3. Positions of the QTLs for brown spot resistance in the rice chromosomes. The dotted line shows a gap in chromosome 4. Circles and bars represent the LOD peaks of the QTLs and their 1.5-LOD support intervals (Dupuis and Siegmund 1999), respectively.

1974, Yoshii and Matsumoto 1951). Despite their usefulness, genetic information about their resistance has been limited until our previous and present studies. Previously, we identified QTLs for resistance at the seedling stage in a greenhouse study by using an earlier generation of the 'Tadukan' and 'Hinohikari' RILs than those that were used in the present study (Sato *et al.* 2008a). In the present study, we aimed to confirm the location and effect of these previously reported resistance QTLs and identify additional QTLs for resistance under field conditions.

By using the newly constructed partial linkage map, we were able to identify three QTLs (qBSfR1, qBSfR4, and qBSfR11) for field resistance in the RILs (Fig. 3, Table 1). The 'Tadukan' alleles at the qBSfR1 and qBSfR11 loci significantly decreased the disease score (Table 1). We previously reported that three QTLs (qBS2, qBS9, and qBS11) contributed to resistance at the seedling stage and that 'Tadukan' provided the resistance alleles for qBS9 and qBS11 (Sato *et al.* 2008a). Of these QTLs, only the major QTL on chromosome 11 was stably found in both the greenhouse and field studies, whereas the minor loci were detected in only one of the two environments. This discrepancy could be due to differences in the growth stage or growth conditions. Similarly, the *indica* rice variety 'Kasalath'

showed moderate resistance to brown spot at the seedling stage in a greenhouse, and genetic analysis revealed a gene on chromosome 9 that conferred moderate resistance (Sato *et al.* 2008b). However, the resistance during early vegeta-tive stages was not found in an infected field (Matsumoto *et al.* 2014). Thus, to confirm the effect of resistance QTLs in a rice breeding program, it would be necessary to conduct field trials to confirm greenhouse results.

We created 19 NILs that contained the two resistance QTLs (qBSfR1 and qBSfR11) from 'Tadukan' in the susceptible variety 'Koshihikari', and evaluated their phenotypes in a field test (Table 2). Since the 11 NILs that possessed the *qBSfR11* allele region from 'Tadukan' showed significantly higher field resistance than the parent (Table 2), this QTL appears likely to be agronomically useful. Based on the genotype of the "susceptible" line that harbors the 'Tadukan' qBSfR11 region, R307-89-9, it is likely that qBSfR11 lies around the SNP marker interval; AE11005627-AE11000941 (Table 2). In future work, this genetic information will facilitate the precise mapping and cloning of one or more resistance genes in the region. On the other hand, as none of the NILs that possessed the 'Tadukan' qBSfR1 allele showed significantly improved disease scores (Table 2), *qBSfR1* is likely to be a false field resistance locus.

	- J.C.				-		~			~				
						Genotype of SN	VP Marker in th	ne BC ₃ F ₅ lines ^a						
Lines		qBSfR .	I region			qBSfR 4	region			qb	SSfR 11 regio	u		Disease
5	AE01003285 (7.8) ^b	$P0634_{-1}$ (9.5) ^b	ad01004243 (10.0) ^b	ah01000747 (10.7) ^b	$\frac{P0709_{-}2}{(19.7)^{b}}$	ad04008446 (22.2) ^b	ad04008616 (23.5) ^b	ad04009558 (25.8) ^b	$\begin{array}{c} \text{AE11002117} \\ (17.9)^{b} \end{array}$	ah11000824 (21.0) ^b	P0511 (22.9) ^b	AE11005627 8 (25.3) ^b	ah11000941 (25.6) ^b	score ^c
Tadukan	А	А	А	А	A	А	А	А	А	Α	А	А	А	$2.5 \pm 0.7 **$
Koshihikari	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	6.0 ± 0.0
R307-240-4	А	А	A	А	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	5.0 ± 0.0
R307-147-2	А	А	А	А	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	5.5 ± 0.7
R307-89-9	В	в	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	В	В	5.5 ± 0.7
R82-1	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	А	А	$4.0\pm0.0\ \ast$
R82-2	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	в	А	V	А	A	3.5 ± 0.7 **
R82-3	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	Υ	А	3.5 ± 0.7 **
R82-4	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	A	А	А	3.5 ± 0.7 **
R92-2	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	А	V	А	A	3.5 ± 0.7 **
R92-3	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	Υ	А	$4.0\pm0.0~*$
R103-1	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	А	А	3.5 ± 0.7 **
R103-2	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	Υ	А	$3.0 \pm 0.0 **$
R103-3	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	А	А	$3.5 \pm 0.7 **$
R103-4	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	А	A	А	A	$3.0 \pm 0.0 **$
R138-2	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	А	Α	А	$3.0 \pm 0.0 **$
R225-1	А	А	А	А	В	В	В	В	В	А	А	А	A	$3.5 \pm 0.7 **$
R225-2	А	A	А	А	В	В	В	В	В	A	A	А	А	3.5 ± 0.7 **
R225-3	А	А	Υ	Α	В	В	В	В	В	А	A	Α	А	$4.0 \pm 0.0 *$
R225-4	А	А	А	А	В	В	В	В	В	А	А	А	A	3.5 ± 0.7 **
R306-1	А	А	А	А	В	В	В	В	В	А	А	А	А	3.5 ± 0.7 **
^{<i>a</i>} Genotypes ^{<i>b</i>} Numbers ii ver.1.0.	of the SNP main parentheses the	arkers are re side the SI	presented by NP markers i	A (gray shadin ndicate their ph	ıg) for homo ıysical map J	zygous 'Tadu oosition (Mbp	kan' and B (v) in the chroi	vhite) for hor mosomes, acc	nozygotes 'Kc ording to the 1	shihikari'. 10 number of Inte	ernational R	tice Genome So	equence Pro	ject (IRGSP)

Table 2. Genotypes of 13 SNP markers and disease scores for brown spot of rice in the BC₃F₅ lines (Koshihikari*3//Tadukan/Hinohikari)

BS Breeding Science Vol. 65 No. 2

^c Disease scores for brown spot are showed as Mean \pm SD. *, **indicate a significant difference from 'Koshihikari' (Dunnet's test, P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively).

QTLs for rice brown spot resistance

Katara *et al.* (2010) recently identified 10 QTLs for field resistance in double-haploid lines derived from CT9993/ IR62266, and 3 of these QTLs (on chromosomes 2, 9, and 11) were located in similar marker intervals to those of the QTLs in our previous and present studies (*qBS2*, *qBS9*, *qBS11*, and *qBSfR11*). In addition, *qBSfR4* from the present study was near the *BSq4.1v* locus mapped by Katara *et al.* (2010). This work in India confirms that QTL analysis for brown spot resistance can provide an effective framework for MAS in a rice breeding program. The QTL *qBSfR11* whose existence and location we confirmed in the present study could be useful in MAS-supported breeding to enhance resistance to brown spot disease. Now we promote strongly the MAS in our breeding programs to breed the practical varieties provided with resistance in near future.

Acknowledgments

We thank the field managers (Mie Prefecture Agricultural Research Institute) for their support during our study. We also thank Mrs. T. Inoue for her technical assistance in the SSR and STS analysis. We are grateful to Dr. T. Ando and Dr. S. Fukuoka (National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Japan) for their support during the SNP analysis. This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan (Project for "Development of mitigation and adaptation techniques to global warming in the sectors of agriculture, forestry, and fisheries", Rice #2006 and #3003).

Literature Cited

- Datnoff, L.E., R.N. Raid, G.H. Snyder and D.B. Jones (1991) Effect of calcium silicate on blast and brown spot intensities of rice. Plant Dis. 75: 729–732.
- Deren, C.W., L.E. Datnoff, G.H. Snyder and F.G. Martin (1994) Silicon concentration, disease response and yield components of rice genotypes grown on flooded organic Histosol. Crop Sci. 34: 733–737.
- Dupuis, J. and D. Siegmund (1999) Statistical methods for mapping quantitative trait lici from a dense set of markers. Genetics 151: 373–386.
- Ebana, K., J. Yonemaru, S. Fukuoka, H. Iwata, H. Kanamori, N. Namiki, H. Nagasaki and M. Yano (2010) Genetic structure revealed by a whole-genome single-nucleotide polymorphism survey of diverse accessions of cultivated Asian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Breed. Sci. 60: 390–397.
- Eruotor, P.G. (1985) Varietal reaction of rice to isolates of *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*. Indian Phytopath. 39: 62–64.
- JPPA (2013) Epidemic and controlling areas in 2012. In: Japan Plant Protection Association (eds.) Catalogue of agricultural chemicals, Tokyo, pp. 572–574.
- Katara, J.L., H. Sonah, R.K. Deshmukh, R. Chaurasia and A.S. Kotasthane (2010) Molecular analysis of QTLs associated with resistance to

Breeding Science **BS** Vol. 65 No. 2

brown spot in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Indian J. Genet. 70: 17-21.

- Kihara, J. and T. Kumagai (1994) Ecotypes of the fungus *Bipolaris oryzae* with various responses of the mycochrome system. Physiol. Plant. 92: 689–695.
- Lander, E.S., P.Green, J.Abrahamson, A.Barlow, M.J.Daly, S.E. Lincoln and T.Etoh (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1: 174–181.
- Liu, R.H. and J.L. Meng (2003) MapDraw: a Microsoft Excel macro for drawing genetic linkage maps based on given genetic linkage data. Heraditas 25: 317–321.
- Matsumoto, K., C. Ota, T. Yamakawa and H. Sato (2014) A new method for evaluating field resistance to brown spot in rice and selection of standard rice varieties for evaluating the resistance. Breed. Res. 16 (Suppl. 1): 109.
- Misra, A.K. (1985) Effect of intercepting populations of resistant cultivars on reducing brown spot disease build up in a susceptible rice cultivar. Indian Phytopath. 38: 66–69.
- Murray, M.G. and W.F. Thompson (1980) Rapid isolation of highmolecular-weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 8: 4321–4325.
- Nagasawa, Y. (2009) A core technology of low-input sustainable agriculture, Koshihikari BL. *In*: Kubota co. (eds.) U, dreaming of agriculture, Hyogo, West Japan ver. 20. pp. 3–4.
- NCRC (2010) Silicon amendments stabilized the effect of fungicide to brown spot disease in rice. Niigata prefecture agriculture forest and fisheries research results information. http://www.ari.pref.niigata. jp/nourinsui/seika10/index.html.
- Ohata, K. and C. Kubo (1974) Studies on the mechanism of disease resistance of rice varieties to *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*. Bull. Shikoku Agric. Exp. Stn. 28: 17–57.
- Padmanabhan, S.Y. (1973) The great Bengal famine. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 11: 11–26.
- Sato, H., I.Ando, H.Hirabayashi, Y.Takeuchi, S.Arase, J.Kihara, H.Kato, T.Imbe and H.Nemoto (2008a) QTL analysis of brown spot resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Breed. Sci. 58: 93–96.
- Sato, H., I. Ando, Y. Takeuchi, H. Hirabayashi, S. Arase, J. Kihara and H. Nemoto (2008b) Genetic analysis of brown spot resistance in rice using the 39 chromosome segment substitution lines (CSSLs) derived from crossing Koshihikari and Kasalath. Breed. Res. 10 (Suppl. 1): 274.
- Sreegharan, A. and M.R. Menon (1974) Studies on the isolates of *Helminthosporium oryzae*. Indian Phytopath. 27: 131–133.
- Wang, S., C.J. Basten and Z.-B. Zeng (2006) Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC. http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/WQTLCart.htm. Cited 23 Oct 2006.
- Yamaguchi, J., M. Matsuzaki and M. Inada (1992) An epiphytoic of brown spot at seedling in Saga prefecture in 1991. Kyushu Agric. Res. 54: 81.
- Yamaguchi, Y., K. Nakano and R. Saito (2007) An outbreak of rice brown spot at Niigata prefecture Kaetsu district in 2005 and 2006. The Association for Plant Protection of Hokuriku 59: 4.
- Yoshii, H. and M. Matsumoto (1951) Studies on the resistance to Helminthosporiose of the rice varieties introduced to Japan (1). Bull. Matsuyama Agric. College 6: 25–60.