antitumor effect through the immune system. Also, it suggests that post-treatment TIL could be a stratification parameter and a surrogate for treatment efficacy in the neoadjuvant setting.

funding

This work was funded by TRANSCAN JCT-2011, French NCI and the Monica Boscolo 2012 research grant.

disclosure

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

references

- 1. Prowell TM, Pazdur R. Pathological complete response and accelerated drug approval in early breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2012; 366: 2438–2441.
- Apetoh L, Ghiringhelli F, Tesniere A et al. Toll-like receptor 4-dependent contribution of the immune system to anticancer chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Nat Med 2007; 13: 1050–1059.
- André F, Dieci MV, Dubsky P et al. Molecular pathways: involvement of immune pathways in the therapeutic response and outcome in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2013; 19: 28–33.

- 4. Demaria S, Volm MD, Shapiro RL et al. Development of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer after neoadjuvant paclitaxel chemotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 2001; 7: 3025–3030.
- Ladoire S, Mignot G, Dabakuyo S et al. In situ immune response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer predicts survival. J Pathol 2011; 224: 389–400.
- Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KI et al. Triple-negative breast cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13: 4429–4434.
- 7. Cortazar P, Zhang L, Untch M et al. Meta-analysis results from the Collaborative Trials in Neoadjuvant Breast Cancer (CTNeoBC). Cancer Res 2012; 72: S1–11.
- Liedtke C, Mazouni C, Hess KR et al. Response to neoadjuvant therapy and longterm survival in patients with triple-negative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 1275–1281.
- Hammond ME, Hayes DF, Dowsett M et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology/ College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for immunohistochemical testing of estrogen and progesterone receptors in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 2784–2795.
- Denkert C, Loibl S, Noske A et al. Tumor-associated lymphocytes as an independent predictor of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 105–113.
- R Development Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www. rproject.org/ (July 15, 2013, date last accessed).
- 12. Loi S, Sirtaine N, Piette F et al. Prognostic and predictive value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in a phase III randomized adjuvant breast cancer trial in node-positive breast cancer comparing the addition of docetaxel to doxorubicin with doxorubicinbased chemotherapy: BIG 02–98. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 860–867.

Annals of Oncology 25: 618–623, 2014 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdt528 Published online 18 December 2013

Association of molecular subtypes with Ki-67 changes in untreated breast cancer patients undergoing pre-surgical trials

S. Gandini¹, A. Guerrieri-Gonzaga², G. Pruneri^{3,5}, D. Serrano², M. Cazzaniga², M. Lazzeroni², P. Veronesi^{4,5}, H. Johansson², B. Bonanni², G. Viale^{3,5} & A. DeCensi^{2,6*}

Divisions of ¹Epidemiology and Biostatistics; ²Cancer Prevention and Genetics; ³Pathology; ⁴Breast Surgery, European Institute of Oncology, Milan; ⁵School of Medicine, University of Milan, Milan; ⁶Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Galliera Hospital, Genoa, Italy

Received 9 August 2013; revised 3 October 2013; accepted 24 October 2013

Background: Ki-67 is increasingly being used as a response biomarker in window of opportunity, pre-surgical trials for breast cancer patients. Since Ki-67 is often higher at surgery than at baseline core biopsy in subjects allocated to placebo, we investigated which factors affected this change.

Patients and methods: We retrieved data from 274 patients who received no active treatment in three consecutive pre-surgical trials from a single institution. We assessed the association between changes in Ki-67 from diagnostic biopsy to surgical specimen and the following factors: age, body mass index, tumor prognostic and predictive factors, including immunohistochemical molecular subtype, number and size of biopsy specimens, time from biopsy to surgery, circulating insulin-like growth factor-I, sex hormone-binding globulin and hsCRP.

Results: A total of 269 patients with paired measures of Ki-67 at biopsy and surgery were analyzed. Overall, the mean (±SD) change was 2.2 ± 9.2% after a median interval of 41 days (inter-quartile range 33–48). Molecular subtype was the

Tel: +39-0105634501; Fax: +39-01057481090; E-mail: andrea.decensi@galliera.it

© The Author 2013. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

^{*}Correspondence to: Dr Andrea DeCensi, Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, E.O. Ospedali Galliera, Mura delle Cappuccine 14, 16128 Genoa, Italy;

Annals of Oncology

original articles

only factor associated with a significant change of Ki-67 (P = 0.004), with a mean absolute increase of 5.3% [95% confidence interval (Cl): 2.3–8.3, P = 0.0005] in estrogen receptor-negative HER2-positive tumors (n = 36) and 5.4% (95% Cl: 2.9–7.9, P < 0.0001) in triple-negative tumors (n = 78). No significant change in luminal-A (n = 46), luminal-B (n = 85) and luminal-B HER2-positive (n = 24) tumors was observed.

Conclusions: A significant increase in Ki-67 from baseline biopsy to end point surgery in untreated subjects was ascertained in HER2-positive and triple-negative tumors. This biological association suggests a real increase in cancer proliferation, possibly as a result of a biopsy-driven wound healing effect, and should be considered in the design and interpretation of pre-surgical studies.

Registered clinical trial numbers: ISRCTN86894592; ISRCTN16493703.

Key words: Ki 67 antigen, neoadjuvant treatment breast cancer, triple-negative breast cancer, molecular subtype breast cancer, window of opportunity

introduction

Although the sequential phase I-III model developed in advanced disease for cytotoxic chemotherapy remains a common strategy for drug development, for many new targeted therapies, there are limitations in assessing response by traditional methods, such as response rate defined by RECIST criteria, which may lead to erroneous conclusions about a drug's benefit [1]. A method to circumvent this issue is to assess the efficacy of novel agents, including first-in-humans, phase 0, presurgical (window of opportunity) trials during the interval between the diagnostic biopsy and planned surgical resection [2]. The goals of these trials include evaluation of target modulation after drug exposure and pharmacokinetic assessment of a potential anticancer agent. This is at variance with neo-adjuvant trials, in which an investigational agent is given preoperatively along with chemotherapy or hormonal therapy for a longer period of time and the primary end point is pathologic complete response. Both study types may expedite the drug development process by improving the understanding of an agent's biologic effect, validating markers that may predict which subsets of patients will benefit, and targeting patients in subsequent clinical trials.

Ki-67 labeling index modulation has been shown to be an appropriate end point for preoperative studies involving hormonal therapies [3], and a decrease in the pre-surgical levels of Ki-67 serves as an appropriate surrogate marker for outcome in patients who are administered antiestrogen therapy [4, 5] or chemotherapy [6]. However, variability in results can come from the lack of uniformity for measuring Ki-67, including the duration of tissue ischemia, formalin quality, length of fixation and measurement scoring (reviewed in [7]). Interestingly, we noted that Ki-67 is frequently higher in the surgical specimen than in the paired baseline core biopsy in subjects allocated to placebo within different trials [8–10]. This prompted us to investigate the association between several host and tumor factors and the change of Ki-67 in patients participating to three presurgical trials from a single institution.

patients and methods

patient selection

The cohort consisted of 181 breast cancer patients enrolled in the placebo arms of three randomized, placebo-controlled, phase II pre-surgical studies conducted at the European Institute of Oncology (EIO), Milan, between February 2004 and February 2011 [8, 9, 11, 12]. The main characteristics of the subjects included in the randomized trials have been published elsewhere [8, 9, 11, 12]. The participant flow diagram is shown in supplementary File S1 available at Annals of Oncology online. Briefly, in the S162 trial, premenopausal women with a histologically confirmed at tru-cut biopsy stage I-II ER+ breast cancer were randomized to either tamoxifen 10 mg/week (n = 50) or raloxifene 60 mg/day (n = 50) or placebo (n = 25) [12], whereas post-menopausal women were randomized to either exemestane 25 mg/day (n = 50), or celecoxib 800 mg/day (n = 50) or placebo (n = 25) [11] for 6 weeks before surgery. In the S291 trial, 60 pre- and post-menopausal women with a histologically confirmed stage I-II HER2-positive breast cancer were randomized to either lapatinib 1500 mg/day (n = 29) or placebo (n = 31) and treated for 3 weeks before surgery [9]. Finally, in the S425 trial, 200 women with stage I-IIa breast cancer were randomized to either metformin 850 mg/ twice per day (n = 100) or placebo (n = 100) for 4 weeks before surgery [8]. A fourth cohort of 93 breast cancer patients who underwent a tru-cut biopsy and definitive surgery at the EIO but were not eligible for an endocrine treatment because they were triple-negative or pure HER2-positive, or because they refused to participate in these trials, was included. All participants signed an informed consent approved by the EIO Institutional Review Board

pathology

Estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PgR), Ki-67 labeling index and HER2 expression were evaluated by immunohistochemistry, as previously described [13, 14], whereas HER2 was measured according to the FDA recommendation. Specifically, Ki-67 was assessed by two expert pathologists (GP, GV) by IHC according to recent international recommendations [7] using the Mib-1 monoclonal antibody (1:50 dilution; Dako, Denmark), using an automated immunostainer (Dako). We evaluated all the cells in the diagnostic biopsies, and 2000 cells from three high power (×400) microscopic fields randomly selected at the periphery of the tumor in surgical samples, as previously reported [14]. The Ki-67 labeling index was calculated as the percentage of Ki-67 immunoreactive cells over the total number of counted cells. For all the remaining probes used, the immunohistochemical results were scored by recording the percentage of cells showing any definite nuclear (for ER and PgR) and membranous (for HER2) staining. HER2 immunoreactivity assessment was carried out according to the intensity and completeness of cell membrane staining, in a four-tier scale (0-3+), with 2+ cases reflex tested using fluorescence in situ hybridization (PathVysion; Abbott, Chicago, IL). HER2-positive cases were defined as immunohistochemically 3+ or amplified. A molecular subtype classification in five categories was adopted based upon the immunohistochemical assessment of ER, PgR, HER2 and Ki-67 according to the 2011 San Gallen consensus conference [15]: (i) luminal-A, when either one or both of ER and PgR were

present, HER2 was not overexpressed/amplified and Ki-67 was <14% of the cells; (ii) luminal-B HER2-negative, when ER and/or PgR were present, Ki-67 was \geq 14%, HER2 was not overexpressed/amplified; (iii) luminal-B HER2-positive, same as above but with HER2 amplified or overexpressed; (iv) pure HER2-positive, when ER and PgR were absent and HER2 was overexpressed/amplified, irrespective of the Ki-67 level; (v) triple-negative, when ER and PgR were absent and HER2 was not overexpressed/amplified.

statistical methods

Ki-67 changes from baseline tru-cut biopsy to surgery were analyzed using random effects models, taking into account that data were collected from different studies, so each of the four cohorts was treated as a random factor. We evaluated the relationships between the changes in Ki-67 from core biopsy to surgery and the following host and tumor characteristics: age, body mass index, menopausal status, number and maximum size of biopsy specimens at baseline, histological type, tumor diameter, tumor grade, lymphnodal status, peritumoral vascular invasion, ER, PgR, HER2 IHC expression, molecular subtype, circulating testosterone, estradiol, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF-BP3, sex hormone-binding globulin and hsCRP. Molecular subtype was evaluated in the baseline trucut biopsy specimen to avoid category shifts due to the variations in Ki-67 level within each subject, but a sensitivity analysis was carried out also with the molecular subtype assessed at the time of surgery. All multivariate analyses were adjusted for Ki-67 value at baseline biopsy. We also evaluated the interactions between Ki-67 level at baseline and molecular subtype using F-tests based upon type 3 sums on squares. Subgroups and sensitivity analyses were also carried out to investigate the change in Ki-67 within each molecular subtype and the difference in molecular subtype between biopsy and surgery.

The above-described analyses relied on a normal distribution of the Ki-67 change. Such an assumption was graphically checked on residuals from saturated models, including all significant variables. Analyses with mixed effect models were carried out using PROC MIXED with the SAS Software* (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Two-sided P values below the 5% threshold were regarded as statistically significant.

Using as an example of window of opportunity trial, the study of lapatinib in HER2-positive breast cancer [9], sample size calculations for new trials based on different scenarios of Ki-67 changes were carried out using PASS 2008 (Hintze J, 2008. NCSS, LLC, Kaysville, UT).

results

The main subject and tumor characteristics are summarized in a supplementary File S2, available at *Annals of Oncology* online. The median and inter-quartile range (IQR) interval from biopsy to surgery was 41 days (33–48). Overall, the median patient's age was 51, half patients were premenopausal and over 55% had grade 3 tumors. The number and size of baseline biopsy specimens was available in over 50% of the samples.

Overall, the median change in Ki-67 was 0 (IQR, -2, 6) and the mean (±SD) change was $2.2 \pm 9.2\%$. There was no influence of host and tumor characteristics on Ki-67 changes except for molecular subtype, which significantly correlated with the change of Ki-67 from baseline biopsy to end point surgery (P = 0.004, adjusted for baseline Ki-67 level). Table 1 illustrates the median and mean levels of Ki-67 at baseline biopsy and end point surgery and its changes by molecular subtype. As expected, Ki-67 levels were highest HER2-positive and triple-negative tumors. The median change was 0% for all molecular subtypes except for pure HER2-positive tumors, which showed a median absolute increase

Table 1.	Median (IQR)	and mean	(SD) Ki	-67 level a	it baseline and
surgery, cl	hange (Δ) and	percentage	change	by molect	ular subtype

	п	Median Ki-67 (IQR)	Mean (SD)		
Overall					
Baseline biopsy	269	26 (15, 43)	31.3 (21.3)		
End point surgery		28 (17, 42)	33.4 (22.4)		
Change		0 (-2, 6)	2.2 (9.2)		
%change		0 (-6.7, 28.6)	13.58 (45.14)		
Luminal-A					
Baseline biopsy	46	10 (7, 11)	8.8 (2.8)		
End point surgery		10 (8, 14)	10.8 (5.2)		
Change		0 (0, 4)	1.9 (4.8)		
%change		0 (0, 50)	29.55 (70.02)		
Luminal-B	Luminal-B				
Baseline biopsy	85	20 (17, 29)	24.5 (11.4)		
End point surgery		22 (18, 30)	25 (12.8)		
Change		0 (-5, 4)	0.5 (10.2)		
%change		0 (-16.67, 22.22)	6.79 (45.5)		
Luminal-B					
HER2-positive					
Baseline biopsy	24	28 (21.5, 35)	27.5 (9.9)		
End point surgery		28 (24, 35)	29.8 (10)		
Change		0 (-1.5, 7.5)	2.3 (7)		
%change		0 (-5.26, 32.78)	15.6 (33.3)		
HER2-positive					
Baseline biopsy	36	30 (24.5, 45)	33.3 (13.8)		
End point surgery		35.5 (29.5, 45)	38.4 (15.8)		
Change		4 (0, 9)	5.1 (9.4)		
%change		15.2 (0, 31.7)	18.37 (27.88)		
Triple-negative					
Baseline biopsy	78	50 (30, 75)	52 (22.9)		
End point surgery		53.5 (39, 80)	54.9 (23.3)		
Change		0 (-2, 10)	2.8 (10.4)		
%change		0 (-3.8, 23.1)	8.74 (32.31)		

of 4% (IQR, 0–9%), whereas the mean change in Ki-67, after adjustment for the baseline value, was 5.3% [95% confidence interval (CI): 2.3, 8.3; P = 0.0005] for pure HER2-positive tumors and 5.4% (95% CI: 2.9, 7.9; P < 0.0001) for triple-negative tumors (Figure 1).

Since the number of luminal-A and B tumors varied between baseline biopsy and surgery as a result of the variations in Ki-67 around the threshold level of 14%, we carried out a sensitivity analysis to look at the association between the molecular subtypes at the time of surgery and the Ki-67 change. The interaction between baseline Ki-67 level and any molecular subtype on Ki-67 change was significant (P = 0.004). Specifically, for luminal-B HER2-negative tumors, women with higher levels of Ki-67 at baseline exhibited a decrease, whereas those with lower baseline levels showed a slight increase in Ki-67 (P = 0.01; data not shown).

Subgroup analysis showed that the change in Ki-67 between triple-negative and HER2-positive tumors was not significantly different (P = 0.76). Likewise, the Ki-67 changes in luminal-A, luminal-B and luminal-B HER2-positive tumors were not significantly different among the three groups. As a result, a subgroup analysis was carried out by pooling subtypes into two



Figure 1. Means and 95% confidence interval of Ki-67 change (end point surgery minus baseline biopsy) by molecular subtype in untreated patients. Estimates of changes are adjusted for baseline Ki-67 value from a random effect model. *P* values are for molecular subtype. Means are least square means of Ki-67 change from a mixed effect model with each cohort treated as random factor and adjusted for Ki-67 at baseline.

Main end point	Sample size per arm	Mean change (SD) on placebo	Mean change (SD) on active arm
Absolute change in Ki-67 from baseline	324	0 (9)	$-3(17)^{a}$
-	82	3 (9)	-3 (17)
Percentage change in Ki-67 from baseline	163	0 (30)	-10 (34)
	42	10 (3)	-10 (34)

classes, with a mean increase in Ki-67 of 5.2% (95% CI: 3.3, 7.1; P < 0.0001) in the triple-negative and pure HER2-positive group versus a mean change of -0.06% (95% CI: -1.6, 1.5; P = 0.9) in the other three molecular subtypes (P = 0.0002 between groups, adjusted for baseline Ki-67). The relationship between the interval from core biopsy to surgery and the change in Ki-67 within HER2-positive and triple-negative tumors is shown in a supplementary File S3, available at *Annals of Oncology* online. There was a non-significant trend to an increase in Ki-67 over time in both subtypes.

Table 2 illustrates how the sample size in a window of opportunity trial is influenced by the change in the placebo arm. The treatment effect assumption is a 3% absolute decrease in the median Ki-67 between biopsy and surgery based on a prior trial of lapatinib in HER2-positive tumors [9]. If there is no change in Ki-67 in the placebo arm (conventional hypothesis), a sample size of 324 patients per arm is required to have 80% power and a two-sided 5% significance level to detect such an effect. If, however, Ki-67 increases by 3% in the placebo arm, the sample size is reduced to nearly one-quarter (n = 82 per arm) as a result of the increase in the placebo arm (new hypothesis). If the main end point is the percentage changes of Ki-67, the sample size is even smaller.

discussion

We report a significant association between selected breast cancer molecular subtypes and change in Ki-67 from baseline biopsy to end point surgery in subjects who received no treatment in window of opportunity trials. Specifically, Ki-67 significantly increased by an average of 5% in subjects with pure HER2-positive and in triple-negative tumors, whereas there was no change in luminal-A, luminal-B and luminal-B HER2-positive tumors. This specific association suggests a real biological phenomenon such as an increase in cancer proliferation in these cancer subtypes rather than an analytical artefact or a tissue sampling bias.

A previous clinical study in 32 breast cancer patients provides strong evidence for a real biopsy-driven wound healing stimulation of cancer proliferation in aggressive tumor subtypes. Tagliabue et al. [16] compared histological sections of primary breast cancers with residual tumors found in re-excision specimens and found that only the tumors overexpressing HER2 exhibited a 10% absolute Ki-67 increase after a mean interval of 5 weeks, whereas no change was noted in HER2-negative tumors. Moreover, drainage fluids collected from breast cancer patients shortly after surgery were particularly active in

stimulating HER2-positive cell lines, whereas wound-induced *in vitro* proliferation was blunted when these cell lines were treated with trastuzumab before drainage fluid was added. Likewise, changes in gene expression of angiogenesis, proliferation and apoptosis and increased Ki-67 were noted in the control group in a pre-operative trial in ER-positive breast cancer [17], suggesting that local effects of wound healing may influence the interpretation of perioperative window of opportunity trials.

The strengths of our study are the large series of patients from a single institution and the strict compliance with the recommendations of the international working group for Ki-67 assessment in breast cancer, which included our laboratory as a member [7].

A study limitation is the pooling of patients from randomized trials with those who were not eligible or refused to participate in those trials to enrich our series with triple-negative and HER2-positive tumors. In addition, the Ki-67 differences between molecular subtypes may not be completely independent of study characteristics. Random effect models were used to take into account this heterogeneity. However, in order to avoid any selection bias, the results should be validated using independent data, also in light of the known variability of the Ki-67 antigen expression [7]. Moreover, given the different sources of our study populations, prospectively studies adequately designed and powered to investigate the change in Ki67 should confirm our finding.

Our data are at variance with those of Romero et al. [18], who showed a decrease in Ki-67 on surgical samples compared with core biopsy. Reasons for discrepancies with that study may depend on their smaller sample size (50 versus 270 cases), a different scoring system (assessment of hot spots in their study versus mean labeling index at the tumor periphery in our study), the lack of molecular subtype assessment and a crude analysis unadjusted for baseline values.

The implications of our study are threefold. First, interpretation of results of pre-surgical trials should consider the increase in Ki-67 in the placebo arm in HER2-positive and triple-negative tumors, and therefore lead to separated analyses (or trials) between highly proliferating and low proliferating molecular subtypes. In the former subtypes, where the use of a no treatment control arm is mandatory, a blunting of the expected increase in Ki-67 in the experimental arm may be regarded as an indication of activity. For instance, in a pre-surgical trial of metformin, the drug effect on Ki-67 change was evident only in certain subgroups, such as women with insulin resistance and women with HER2-positive tumors, and manifested itself as a blunting of Ki-67 in the metformin arm relative to the increase in the placebo arm [8]. Conversely, in the low proliferating tumor subtypes, the placebo arm might not be necessary given the stability of Ki-67 at least up to a 9-week interval.

A second implication regards the sample size calculation in the study design, which may greatly vary depending on the increase in Ki-67 in the placebo arm in highly proliferating tumors subtypes. Our simulation based on a prior study of lapatinib in HER2-positive tumors [9], where the mean (\pm SD) absolute pre-post treatment change of Ki-67 was $-3 \pm 17\%$ in the lapatinib-treated patients, indicates that the sample size can be reduced by four times simply by assuming a $3 \pm 9\%$ absolute increase over time in the place bo arm rather than the conventional hypothesis of a 0 \pm 9% change.

A third practical implication involves the possibility of tailoring the waiting list for surgery based on the molecular subtype as defined at the core biopsy, so that pure HER2-positive and triple-negative tumors may benefit from a shorter waiting due to their highly proliferating potential. Although there is no evidence that an increase in Ki-67 over a few weeks has a prognostic influence, prior data have suggested that these subtypes, especially triple-negative tumors, may benefit from an adjuvant treatment starting as soon as possible after surgery [19].

In conclusion, we have shown a significant increase in Ki-67 after a 6-week interval from baseline core biopsy to end point surgery in untreated subjects with pure HER2-positive and triple-negative tumors participating in pre-surgical trials. This selective association suggests a real increase in cancer proliferation, possibly as a result of the biopsy-driven wound healing effect, which should be taken into account in designing and interpreting window of opportunity trials.

funding

This work was supported by grants from the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC), Italian League against Cancer (LILT), the Italian Ministry of Health (RF-09), and a contract from the Italian Foundation for Cancer Research (FIRC). AIRC: IG 12072; LILT: 14/08; MINISTRY OF HEALTH: RF-2009-153226.

disclosure

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

references

- Schramm N, Englhart E, Schlemmer M et al. Tumor response and clinical outcome in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors under sunitinib therapy: comparison of RECIST, Choi and volumetric criteria. Eur J Radiol 2013; 82: 951–958.
- Kalinsky K, Hershman DL. Cracking open window of opportunity trials. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 2573–2575.
- Decensi A, Robertson C, Viale G et al. A randomized trial of low-dose tamoxifen on breast cancer proliferation and blood estrogenic biomarkers. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003; 95: 779–790.
- Decensi A, Guerrieri-Gonzaga A, Gandini S et al. Prognostic significance of Ki-67 labeling index after short-term presurgical tamoxifen in women with ER-positive breast cancer. Ann Oncol 2010; 22: 582–587.
- Dowsett M, Smith IE, Ebbs SR et al. Prognostic value of Ki67 expression after short-term presurgical endocrine therapy for primary breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007; 99: 167–170.
- Yerushalmi R, Woods R, Ravdin PM et al. Ki67 in breast cancer: prognostic and predictive potential. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 174–183.
- Dowsett M, Nielsen TO, A'Hern R et al. Assessment of Ki67 in breast cancer: recommendations from the International Ki67 in Breast Cancer Working Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011; 103: 1656–1664.
- Bonanni B, Puntoni M, Cazzaniga M et al. Dual effect of metformin on breast cancer proliferation in a randomized presurgical trial. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 2593–2600.
- Decensi A, Puntoni M, Pruneri G et al. Lapatinib activity in premalignant lesions and HER-2-positive cancer of the breast in a randomized, placebo-controlled presurgical trial. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2011; 4: 1181–1189.
- Dowsett M, Bundred NJ, Decensi A et al. Effect of raloxifene on breast cancer cell Ki67 and apoptosis: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial in postmenopausal patients. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2001; 10: 961–966.

- Aristarco V, Gandini S, Johansson H et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled, phase II, presurgical biomarker trial of celecoxib or exemestane in postmenopausal breast cancer patients. In Proceedings of the 102nd Annual Meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research, Orlando, FL, 2–6 April 2011. Philadelphia, PA: AACR; 2011.
- Serrano D, Lazzeroni M, Gandini S et al. A randomized phase II pre-surgical trial of weekly low-dose tamoxifen versus raloxifene versus placebo in premenopausal women with estrogen receptor positive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2013; 15: R47.
- Viale G, Regan MM, Maiorano E et al. Prognostic and predictive value of centrally reviewed expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors in a randomized trial comparing letrozole and tamoxifen adjuvant therapy for postmenopausal early breast cancer: BIG 1-98. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 3846–3852.
- 14. Viale G, Giobbie-Hurder A, Regan MM et al. Prognostic and predictive value of centrally reviewed Ki-67 labeling index in postmenopausal women with endocrineresponsive breast cancer: results from Breast International Group Trial 1-98 comparing adjuvant tamoxifen with letrozole. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 5569–5575.

- Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Coates AS et al. Strategies for subtypes—dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the St. Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2011. Ann Oncol 2011; 22: 1736–1747.
- Tagliabue E, Agresti R, Carcangiu ML et al. Role of HER2 in wound-induced breast carcinoma proliferation. Lancet 2003; 362: 527–533.
- Morrogh M, Andrade VP, Patil AJ et al. Differentially expressed genes in window trials are influenced by the wound-healing process: lessons learned from a pilot study with anastrozole. J Surg Res 2012; 176: 121–132.
- Romero Q, Bendahl PO, Klintman M et al. Ki67 proliferation in core biopsies versus surgical samples—a model for neo-adjuvant breast cancer studies. BMC Cancer 2011; 11: 341.
- Colleoni M, Bonetti M, Coates AS et al. Early start of adjuvant chemotherapy may improve treatment outcome for premenopausal breast cancer patients with tumors not expressing estrogen receptors. The International Breast Cancer Study Group. J Clin Oncol 2000; 18: 584–590.

Annals of Oncology 25: 623–628, 2014 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdt532 Published online 7 January 2014

Characteristics and clinical outcome of T1 breast cancer: a multicenter retrospective cohort study

G. Houvenaeghel^{1*}, A. Goncalves², J. M. Classe³, J. R. Garbay⁴, S. Giard⁵, H. Charytensky⁶,
M. Cohen¹, C. Belichard⁷, C. Faure⁸, S. Uzan⁹, D. Hudry¹⁰, P. Azuar¹¹, R. Villet¹², P. Gimbergues¹³,
C. Tunon de Lara¹⁴, M. Martino¹, E. Lambaudie¹, C. Coutant¹⁰, F. Dravet³, M. P. Chauvet⁵,
E. Chéreau Ewald^{1,9}, F. Penault-Llorca¹⁵ & B. Esterni¹⁶

¹Department of Surgery, Institut Paoli Calmettes, Aix Marseille Université, Marseille and CRCM; ²Department of Oncology, Institut Paoli Calmettes, Aix Marseille Université, Marseille; ³Department of Surgery, Institut René Gauducheau, Nantes; ⁴Department of Surgery, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif; ⁵Department of Surgery, Centre Oscar Lambret, Lille; ⁶Department of Surgery, Centre Claudius Regaud, Toulouse; ⁷Department of Surgery, Centre René Huguenin, Saint Cloud; ⁸Department of Surgery, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon; ⁹Department of Surgery, Hôpital Tenon, Paris; ¹⁰Department of Surgery, Centre Georges François Leclerc, Dijon; ¹¹Department of Surgery, Hôpital de Grasse, Grasse; ¹²Department of Surgery, Hôpital des Diaconnesses, Paris; ¹³Department of Surgery, Centre Jean Perrin, Clermont Ferrand; ¹⁴Department of Surgery, Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux; ¹⁵Department of Pathology, Centre Jean Perrin, Clermont Ferrand; ¹⁶Biostatistic, Department of Surgery, Institut Paoli Calmettes, Marseille and CRCM, France

Received 25 July 2013; revised 23 October 2013; accepted 24 October 2013

Background: A subgroup of T1N0M0 breast cancer (BC) carries a high potential of relapse, and thus may require adjuvant systemic therapy (AST).

Patients and methods: Retrospective analysis of all patients with T1 BC, who underwent surgery from January 1999 to December 2009 at 13 French sites. AST was not standardized.

Results: Among 8100 women operated, 5423 had T1 tumors (708 T1a, 2208 T1b and 2508 T1c 11–15 mm). T1a differed significantly from T1b tumors with respect to several parameters (lower age, more frequent negative hormonal status and positive HER2 status, less frequent lymphovascular invasion), exhibiting a mix of favorable and poor prognosis factors. Overall survival was not different between T1a, b or c tumors but recurrence-free survival was significantly higher in T1b than in T1a tumors (P = 0.001). In multivariate analysis, tumor grade, hormone therapy and lymphovascular invasion were independent prognostic factors.

Conclusion: Relatively poor outcome of patients with T1a tumors might be explained by a high frequency of risk factors in this subgroup (frequent negative hormone receptors and HER2 overexpression) and by a less frequent administration of AST (endocrine treatment and chemotherapy). Tumor size might not be the main determinant of prognosis in T1 BC. **Key words:** adjuvant systemic therapy, breast cancer, prognosis, T1NOMO

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

^{*}Correspondence to: Dr Gilles Houvenaeghel, Institut Paoli Calmettes, 232 Bd de Sainte Marguerite, 13009 Marseille, France. Tel: +33-4-91-22-35-32; Fax: +33-4-91-22-36-13; E-mail: houvenaeghelg@ipc.unicancer.fr