



Published in final edited form as:

Thromb Res. 2015 June ; 135(6): 1110–1116. doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2015.04.005.

Is Lipid Lowering Therapy an Independent Risk Factor for Venous Thromboembolism? A Population-Based Case-Control Study

Aneel A. Ashrani, M.D., M.S., Michel K. Barsoum, M.B., Ch.B., Daniel J. Crusan, B.S., Tanya M. Petterson, M.S., Kent R. Bailey, Ph.D., and John A. Heit, M.D.

Divisions of Hematology (AAA, JAH) and Cardiovascular Diseases (MKB, JAH), Department of Internal Medicine; the Divisions of Epidemiology (JAH) and Biomedical Statistics and Informatics (DJC, TMP, KRB), Department of Health Sciences Research, College of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota.

Abstract

Introduction—The independent effect of lipid lowering therapy (LLT) on venous thromboembolism (VTE) risk is uncertain.

Objective—To test statin and non-statin LLT as potential VTE risk factors.

Methods—Using Rochester Epidemiology Project resources, we identified all Olmsted County, MN residents with objectively diagnosed incident VTE (cases) over the 13-year period, 1988–2000 (n=1340), and one to two matched controls (n=1538). We reviewed their complete medical records for baseline characteristics previously identified as independent VTE risk factors, and for statin and non-statin LLT. Using conditional logistic regression, we tested the overall effect of LLT on VTE risk and also separately explored the role of statin versus that of non-statin LLT, adjusting for other baseline characteristics.

Results—Among cases and controls, 74 and 111 received statin LLT, and 32 and 50 received non-statin LLT, respectively. Univariately, and after individually controlling for other potential VTE risk factors (i.e., BMI, trauma/fracture, leg paresis, hospitalization for surgery or medical illness, nursing home residence, active cancer, central venous catheter, varicose veins, prior superficial vein thrombosis, diabetes, congestive heart failure, angina/myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, smoking, anticoagulation), LLT was associated with decreased odds of VTE (unadjusted OR= 0.73; p= 0.03). When considered separately, statin and non-statin

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Address Correspondence to: Aneel A. Ashrani, M.D., M.S., Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street, SW, Rochester, MN 55905, 507-284-3158 (voice), 507-266-4972 (fax), ashrani.aneel@mayo.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Addendum: H.A. Heit and A.A. Ashrani conceived the study idea, and developed it in collaboration with other co-authors. All authors contributed to the study design. T.M. Petterson and K.R. Bailey directed the analysis, which was carried out by D.J. Crusan. All authors participated in the interpretation of data. A.A. Ashrani wrote the initial draft. All authors participated in critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

LLT were each associated with moderate, non-significant lower odds of VTE. After adjusting for angina/myocardial infarction, each was significantly associated with decreased odds of VTE (OR= 0.63, $p < 0.01$ and OR= 0.61, $p = 0.04$, respectively).

Conclusions—LLT is associated with decreased VTE risk after adjusting for known risk factors.

Keywords

Venous thromboembolism; Venous Thrombosis; Pulmonary Embolism; Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA Reductase Inhibitors; Hypolipidemic Agents; Epidemiology

Introduction

Hyperlipidemia is a known risk factor for atherosclerotic coronary heart disease (**CHD**) (1). Several studies suggest that hyperlipidemia is associated with an increased risk for VTE (2–4) while other studies dispute this association (5–8). The association of hyperlipidemia and VTE is biologically plausible, as hyperlipidemia has been associated with increased platelet size and platelet activation (9, 10); endothelial dysfunction (11); activation of coagulation factors (3, 12); impaired fibrinolysis (13); and inactivation of tissue factor pathway inhibitor (14). Moreover, there may be an association between atherosclerotic disease and idiopathic VTE (15–17).

Lipid lowering therapy (**LLT**), including 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors (statins) reduce the risk of CHD (18–22). Furthermore, there is increasing evidence that, in addition to lowering lipids, statins inhibit vascular endothelial activation and improve endothelial function (23–26); inhibit platelet and coagulation factor activation (27–29, 30); reduce tissue factor expression (31, 32, 33), C-reactive protein and coagulation factor VIII (22, 34); fibrin generation and inflammation (35–37); and enhance fibrinolysis (38, 39).

Data on whether LLT reduces the risk of VTE are conflicting. While several studies reported that statins reduce the risk of incident and recurrent VTE (40–55), others were unable to demonstrate a similar association (56–61), or have shown an increased risk of VTE with fibrates (62, 63). Moreover, individuals with hyperlipidemia and CHD (who are commonly on LLT) have other comorbid conditions, for example, diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, congestive heart failure, stroke and are frequently hospitalized for surgery or medical illness, both major VTE risk factors. In addition, individuals on LLT may concomitantly be on aspirin, which is effective in secondary prevention of VTE (64, 65). Thus, it is unclear whether the association between statins and VTE risk is independent of other VTE risk factors that might be present concomitantly. To address this gap in knowledge, we performed a population-based case-control study to test the overall effect of LLT on incident VTE risk after controlling for previously identified VTE risk factors. We also explored the effect of statin and non-statin LLT versus no LLT use on VTE risk.

Methods

Study Setting and Design

Using the longitudinal and population-based resources of the Rochester Epidemiology Project (**REP**) (66), we identified all Olmsted County, MN residents with incident deep vein thrombosis (**DVT**) and/or pulmonary embolism (**PE**) over the 35-year period, 1966–2000, as previously described (67, 68). Rochester, the County seat, is geographically isolated from other urban centers and home to Mayo Clinic, one of the world's largest private medical centers. Thus, County residents receive medical care from very few providers, primarily Mayo Clinic and another group practice, Olmsted Medical Center, with their affiliated hospitals. Since 1907, every Mayo Clinic patient has been assigned a unique identifier. All information (medical history; clinical assessments; consultations; dismissal summaries; surgical procedures; laboratory, radiology, pathology, and autopsy results; correspondence; and death certificates) from every contact (e.g., office, NH, emergency department, hospital inpatient, hospital outpatient) is contained within a unit medical record. Under auspices of the REP, this records-linkage was expanded to include non-Mayo providers of care to County residents (66). We then performed a case-control study nested within the Olmsted County population. For this study, all Olmsted County residents with a first lifetime objectively-diagnosed DVT or PE during the 13-year period, 1988–2000 were included as cases. We limited the VTE cases to this time frame because the first statin (i.e., lovastatin) was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 1987. The REP provides an enumeration of the entire Olmsted County population from which controls can be sampled as described elsewhere (66). Using this system, one to two age- (\pm one year) and sex-matched Olmsted County residents who had an episode of medical care within \pm one year of the case event date and whose medical record number was closest to the case's medical record number were selected as controls as previously described (69–71). Since a patient's medical record number is assigned sequentially and in perpetuity, matching on medical record number assures a similar duration of medical history among cases and matched controls. The study was approved by the Mayo Clinic and Olmsted Medical Center Institutional Review Boards.

Measurements

Using explicit criteria, trained and experienced nurse abstractors reviewed all medical records (inpatient, outpatient, emergency department, nursing home, autopsy, death certificate, etc.) in the community (72) for cases and controls who provided consent to review of their medical records for research purposes. All records were reviewed from date first seen by a REP healthcare provider until the earliest of death, date of last medical record follow-up or 2000, as previously performed.(67, 69) For cases, data were recorded on the method of diagnosis and type of incident VTE event (DVT, PE or both; chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension). A DVT was categorized as objectively-diagnosed when symptoms or signs of acute DVT were present and the diagnosis was confirmed by computed tomographic or magnetic resonance imaging contrast venography, compression venous duplex ultrasonography, impedance plethysmography or pathology examination of thrombus removed at surgery or autopsy. A PE was categorized as objectively-diagnosed when symptoms and/or signs of acute PE were present and the

diagnosis was confirmed by pulmonary angiography, a ventilation/perfusion lung scan interpreted as high probability for PE, computed tomographic pulmonary angiography, magnetic resonance imaging or pathology examination of thrombus removed at surgery or autopsy. Mayo Clinic pathologists performed all autopsy examinations and completed the death certificates of persons dying within Olmsted County during the study period.

For Olmsted County residents meeting our criteria for objectively-diagnosed DVT or PE and matched controls, the study nurses also collected data from the medical record on date of incident event (cases) or index episode of care (controls); patient age at incident event (cases) or index episode of care (controls); gender; patient location at incident event onset (cases) or index episode of care (controls) (four categories, defined as community-dwelling, confined to a hospital, community-dwelling but hospitalized in the previous 92 days, or confined to a nursing home [including chronic rehabilitation facility]); body mass index (**BMI**; kg/m²); active cancer (recent tumor burden without curative surgery, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy, excluding non-melanoma skin cancer); serious neurologic disease with leg paresis (stroke or other disease affecting the nervous system with associated leg paresis, or acute stroke with leg paresis requiring hospitalization within the previous three months); any surgery requiring general, spinal, or epidural anesthesia; trauma/fracture resulting in hospital admission (major fracture or severe soft tissue injury); varicose veins (varicose veins, or treated varicose veins [injection sclerotherapy or stripping]); central venous catheter or pacemaker wire; pregnancy or postpartum at the time of the incident event; oral contraceptive use; smoking; anticoagulation with heparin (within 12 hours prior to index date) or warfarin (within 3 days prior to index date); and statin and non-statin LLT use. As aspirin use could not be ascertained accurately via medical record review, we collected data on health conditions where aspirin therapy is typically recommended, including diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure (CHF), angina, and myocardial infarction (MI), ascertained by medical record review; and stroke and peripheral vascular disease (PVD) by ICD-9 codes; and used these as surrogate markers of aspirin use. Hospitalization with or without surgery, nursing home confinement, trauma/fracture, neurological disease with leg paresis, pregnancy or postpartum, oral contraceptive use, hormone therapy and LLT use had to have been documented in the three months prior to the incident VTE event for cases or the index episode of medical care for controls. For subjects to be categorized as having received anticoagulation prophylaxis, they had to receive heparin within 12 hours prior to index date or warfarin within 3 days prior to index date. Smoking status was categorized into “current”, “former”, “ever” and “never” smoker categories, based on the smoking status on the index date. Active cancer had to have been documented in the three months prior to or three months after the incident VTE event for cases or index episode of care for controls. Varicose veins, diabetes mellitus, CHF, angina, MI, stroke, and PVD could be documented any time prior to the incident event/ index date. Body mass index was based on the most recent height and weight measurements prior to the incident VTE event (cases) or index episode of care (controls). If either height or weight was missing, the value was imputed based on case status and 10-year age group (mean value was used). Statin LLT use was defined as any of the following lipid lowering treatments: atorvastatin, cerivastatin, fluvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin. Non-statin LLT use was defined as any of the following lipid

lowering treatments: cholestyramine, clofibrate, colestevlam, colestipol, fenofibrate, gemfibrozil, niacin.

Analysis

We evaluated the overall association of LLT use (i.e., either statin or non-statin use) with the odds of VTE, using conditional logistic regression. To assess whether different classes of LLT have an association with the odds of VTE, statin LLT use and non-statin LLT use were compared to no LLT in the same conditional logistic regression model. We next performed bivariate analyses to estimate the association of LLT with the odds of VTE after separately adjusting the above models for each baseline characteristic previously identified as an independent risk factor for VTE, including BMI, trauma/fracture, hospitalization for surgery or medical illness, active cancer, neurological disease with leg paresis, nursing home confinement, central venous catheter/ pacemaker wire, varicose veins, superficial thrombophlebitis, oral contraceptives, pregnancy/ post-partum phase, hormone replacement therapy, warfarin anticoagulation, heparin anticoagulation and smoking (69). As aspirin has been demonstrated to be effective in secondary VTE prevention (64, 65), we also performed bivariate analyses to estimate the association of LLT with the odds of VTE after adjusting health conditions like diabetes mellitus, CHF, angina, MI, stroke and PVD where aspirin therapy is typically recommended, as a surrogate marker for aspirin use. We also estimated the association of LLT with the odds of VTE in a multivariable model, adjusting for patient age and all of the above VTE risk factors.

Results

Over the 13-year period, 1988–2000, 1417 residents of Olmsted County developed a first lifetime VTE. Of these, 1340 (94.6%) incident VTE events were objectively-diagnosed. The distribution of VTE events by event type was 768 (57.3%) DVT alone, 567 (42.3%) PE with or without DVT, and 5 (0.4%) chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; 134 of 1340 (10%) VTE events were diagnosed at autopsy (128 of which were PE). The mean \pm standard deviation (**SD**) age of the cases and matched controls (n=1538) was 65.0 ± 19.0 and 64.6 ± 18.8 years, respectively. Fifty five percent of cases and controls were females.

Among all cases and controls, 74 (5.5%) and 111 (7.2%) received statin LLT, and 32 (2.4%) and 50 (3.3%) received non-statin LLT, respectively (Table 1). Seven (0.5%) cases and ten (0.7%) controls were on both statin and non-statin LLT. The prevalence of VTE risk factors among VTE cases and controls is shown in Table 1.

In an unadjusted conditional logistic model comparing any LLT use versus no LLT use, LLT use was associated with decreased odds of VTE [odds ratio (**OR**) =0.73; 95% confidence interval (**CI**): 0.55, 0.96; p-value=0.03] (Table 2). In bivariate analyses comparing LLT use to no LLT and individually controlling for each of the previously-identified VTE risk factors, LLT remained similarly associated with lower odds of VTE (Table 2). The odds of VTE were lower after adjusting for hospitalization (**OR**=0.61; 95% **CI**: 0.44, 0.86; p-value=0.01). However, after controlling for active cancer, the decreased odds of VTE were not as robust (**OR**=0.77; 95% **CI**: 0.57, 1.04; p-value=0.09). Of note, there was a tendency for lower use of LLT in the active cancer group. Of the 333 cases and

49 controls who had active cancer, 17 (5.1%) cancer cases and 3 (6.1%) cancer controls were on LLT. In contrast, 82 (8.1%) of the 1007 non-cancer cases were on LLT; and 148 (9.9%) of the 1489 non-cancer controls were on LLT. Furthermore, bivariate analyses comparing LLT use to no LLT and individually controlling for health conditions like diabetes mellitus, CHF, angina, MI, stroke and PVD where aspirin therapy is typically recommended, LLT remained similarly associated with lower odds of VTE (Table 2). The odds of VTE were lower after adjusting for angina/MI (OR=0.59; 95% CI: 0.44, 0.79; p-value <0.01).

In a multivariable analysis, after adjusting for BMI, trauma/fracture, hospitalization for surgery or medical illness, active cancer, leg paresis, central venous catheter/ pacemaker wire, varicose veins, nursing home confinement, prior superficial thrombosis, oral contraceptive, pregnancy/postpartum, hormone therapy, heparin therapy, warfarin therapy, smoking, diabetes mellitus, CHF, angina, MI, stroke and PVD, LLT use remained associated with a decreased odds of VTE (OR=0.67; 95% CI: 0.43, 1.04) with borderline statistical significance (p=0.08) (Table 2).

We also separately assessed type of LLT (i.e., statin and non-statin) in the same conditional logistic model for an association with VTE. Compared to no LLT use, both statin and non-statin LLT were associated with a moderate but non-significantly lower odds of VTE (unadjusted OR=0.78; p=0.12, and OR=0.72; p=0.16, respectively; Table 3). Similar reductions in the odds of VTE were noted with statin and non-statin LLT after individually adjusting for previously described VTE risk factors and possible aspirin use. When adjusted for hospitalization with or without surgery, statin LLT was significantly associated with reduced odds of VTE (OR= 0.60; p= 0.01); the lower odds of VTE with non-statin LLT use was equal quantitatively, but was not statistically significant (OR= 0.65; p=0.13; Table 3). When adjusted for angina/MI, both statin and non-statin LLT were significantly associated with reduced odds of VTE (OR= 0.63; p= 0.005 and OR=0.61; p=0.04, respectively; Table 3). In multivariable analysis, after adjusting for all previously identified VTE risk factors, statin LLT use was marginally significantly associated with a reduced odds of VTE (OR=0.66; p=0.1); the association with non-statin LLT use was, again, equal quantitatively, but not statistically significant (OR=0.71; p-value=0.36) (Table 3). When statin LLT use was compared to no statin use (i.e., non-statin LLT use or no LLT use combined; data not shown), the results were very similar to those obtained when comparing statin LLT use to no LLT (Table 3), or when comparing any LLT use to no LLT (Table 2).

Discussion

In this study, we found that LLT is associated with reduced odds of VTE. We noted that the VTE risk reductions were very similar for both statin and non-statin LLT, although the association between statin LLT and VTE risk reduction was statistically stronger than that for non-statin LLT. This finding may be attributable to low power to detect such an association, as fewer individuals were on non-statin LLT. We also noted that after controlling for other known risk factors for VTE, with the exception of active cancer and prior superficial vein thrombosis, LLT use was still significantly associated with reduced VTE risk. In particular, adjusting for hospitalization with or without surgery and angina/MI

strengthened the association between LLT use and reduced VTE risk. Furthermore, even after adjusting for BMI and diabetes mellitus which are indirectly associated with hyperlipidemia, LLT use was still significantly associated with reduced VTE risk. LLT use remained significantly associated with reduced VTE risk after adjusting for heparin therapy, warfarin therapy and health conditions where aspirin is typically recommended (e.g., diabetes mellitus, CHF, angina, MI, stroke and PVD). The less robust association of LLT use with decreased VTE risk in individuals after controlling for active cancer may be explained by the lower use of LLT in subjects with active cancer.

The reduced odds of VTE observed with LLT is biologically plausible, as hyperlipidemia has been associated with increased platelet activation (9, 10); endothelial dysfunction (11); activation of coagulation factors (3, 12); impaired fibrinolysis (13); and inactivation of tissue factor pathway inhibitor (14). Thus, lowering of lipids with statin and non-statin LLT use could theoretically reduce risk of VTE. As the number of cases and controls using different classes of non-statin LLT were small individually (Table 1), we elected to pool the different classes of non-statin LLT to evaluate their potential lipid lowering effects on the risk of VTE. Furthermore, statins have been demonstrated to inhibit vascular endothelial activation and improve endothelial function (23–26); inhibit platelet and coagulation factor activation (27–30); reduce tissue factor expression (31–33), C-reactive protein and coagulation factor VIII (22, 34); fibrin generation and inflammation (35–37); and enhance fibrinolysis (38, 39).

Available data on the association of LLT with VTE are conflicting, with some studies reporting an association of statins with reduced VTE risk (40–52) while others found no association or even an increased risk.(56–59, 61) For example, a clinical trial that randomized apparently healthy individuals with elevated C-reactive protein levels (median age 66 years) to rosuvastatin or placebo (JUPITER trial) found VTE incidence was reduced from 0.32 to 0.18 per 100-person years with rosuvastatin [hazard ratio (**HR**):0.57 (95% CI: 0.37, 0.86)] (47), while another clinical trial that randomized older individuals (median age 75 years) to pravastatin or placebo (PROSPER trial) found no association between pravastatin and VTE (HR= 1.42; 95% CI: 0.80, 2.52).(59) In nested case-control studies, statins were either not associated with idiopathic VTE (56) or were associated with reduced VTE (46, 48) and DVT risk.(45) In cohort studies, statins were variously associated with a decreased risk of DVT,(42) an increased risk of VTE,(57) or not associated with VTE.(58) In several meta-analyses, statins appeared to reduce VTE risk.(49–51) In contrast, in a meta-analysis of published and unpublished randomized statin clinical trials with primary endpoints other than VTE, statins had minimal or no VTE protective effects (OR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78–1.01, $p = 0.08$), and there was no dose-response relationship in VTE risk reduction between higher dose statin therapy to standard dose statin therapy (OR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.80–1.20, $p = 0.87$).(61) Potential reasons for these conflicting results include selected and differing study populations and lipid-lowering drugs, diagnostic uncertainty or misclassification, differing study endpoints and inadequate control for VTE risk factors.

Our study has several important strengths. Due to the unique features of the REP, our study avoids referral bias and other potential distortions of including a too healthy population. We believe that this cohort better reflects “real world” clinical practice in contrast to a highly selected population participating in clinical trials. All VTE cases met strict criteria for

objectively-confirmed acute DVT and/or PE, and we confirmed that controls did not have VTE, based on direct review of their source documents (i.e., imaging, surgical and autopsy reports) rather than depending on administrative codes. We included the entire spectrum of VTE disease occurring in the community, including persons with rapidly fatal and chronic care facility (e.g., nursing home) events who did not reach the hospital. We insured a comparable control group by performing a population-based study where both cases and controls were residents from the same community with similar lifetime access to medical care. The use of LLT within three months prior to the index date was confirmed by reviewing the medical records. We adjusted for all potential VTE risk factors when testing for association between LLT use and VTE.

Our study also has important limitations. The age-, sex- and racial-distribution of Olmsted County is similar to that for Minnesota, the upper mid-west, and the U.S. white population; however, residents of Olmsted County exhibit higher median income and education level compared to these geographic regions.(66, 73, 74) While no single geographic area is representative of all others, the under-representation of minorities may compromise the generalizability of our findings to different racial and ethnic groups. While we required that all risk factors be documented in the medical record prior to the onset of the VTE event, actual use of LLT or aspirin could not be reliably ascertained from the medical records, although we adjusted for medical conditions where aspirin is commonly recommended. We did not ascertain the efficacy of LLT therapy on the lipid profile to address whether the lower VTE risk with LLT use was predominantly due to its lipid lowering properties. We cannot exclude that the observed statistical association between LLT use and lower VTE risk could be due to unmeasured confounding variables (e.g., behavioral and socio-economic factors). Finally, our sample size was too small to test the effect of different types and doses of LLT on VTE risk.

In conclusion, LLT is associated with reduced VTE risk after adjusting for previously-identified VTE risk factors.

Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was supported by grants from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, the National Institutes of Health, under Award Number R01HL66216 and K12HL83797 (a training grant in Vascular Medicine [MKB]) to JAH, and the National Institute on Aging under Award Number R01AG034676, of the National Institutes of Health, and by Mayo Foundation. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Falk, E.; Fuster, V. Atherothrombosis: Disease burden, activity, and vulnerability. In: Fuster, V.; Walsh, RA.; Harrington, RA., et al., editors. *Hurst's The Heart*. 13th ed.. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2011. p. 1215-1223.
2. Kawasaki T, Kambayashi J, Ariyoshi H, Sakon M, Suehisa E, Monden M. Hypercholesterolemia as a risk factor for deep-vein thrombosis. *Thromb Res*. 1997; 88(1):67-73. [PubMed: 9336875]
3. Griffin JH, Fernandez JA, Deguchi H. Plasma lipoproteins, hemostasis and thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost*. 2001; 86(1):386-394. [PubMed: 11487028]
4. Vaya A, Mira Y, Ferrando F, Contreras M, Estelles A, Espana F, et al. Hyperlipidaemia and venous thromboembolism in patients lacking thrombophilic risk factors. *Br J Haematol*. 2002; 118(1):255-259. [PubMed: 12100157]

5. Goldhaber SZ, Grodstein F, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, et al. A prospective study of risk factors for pulmonary embolism in women. *Jama*. 1997; 277(8):642–645. [PubMed: 9039882]
6. Tsai AW, Cushman M, Rosamond WD, Heckbert SR, Polak JF, Folsom AR. Cardiovascular risk factors and venous thromboembolism incidence: the longitudinal investigation of thromboembolism etiology. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002; 162(10):1182–1189. [PubMed: 12020191]
7. Linnemann B, Zgouras D, Schindewolf M, Schwonberg J, Jarosch-Preusche M, Lindhoff-Last E. Impact of sex and traditional cardiovascular risk factors on the risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism: results from the German MAISTHRO Registry. *Blood coagulation & fibrinolysis : an international journal in haemostasis and thrombosis*. 2008; 19(2):159–165. [PubMed: 18277138]
8. Holst AG, Jensen G, Prescott E. Risk factors for venous thromboembolism: results from the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2010; 121(17):1896–1903. [PubMed: 20404252]
9. de Man FH, Nieuwland R, van der Laarse A, Romijn F, Smelt AH, Gevers Leuven JA, et al. Activated platelets in patients with severe hypertriglyceridemia: effects of triglyceride-lowering therapy. *Atherosclerosis*. 2000; 152(2):407–414. [PubMed: 10998469]
10. Pathansali R, Smith N, Bath P. Altered megakaryocyte-platelet haemostatic axis in hypercholesterolaemia. *Platelets*. 2001; 12(5):292–297. [PubMed: 11487381]
11. Sattar N, Petrie JR, Jaap AJ. The atherogenic lipoprotein phenotype and vascular endothelial dysfunction. *Atherosclerosis*. 1998; 138(2):229–235. [PubMed: 9690905]
12. Bladbjerg EM, Marckmann P, Sandstrom B, Jespersen J. Non-fasting factor VII coagulant activity (FVII:C) increased by high-fat diet. *Thromb Haemost*. 1994; 71(6):755–758. [PubMed: 7974344]
13. Atsumi T, Khamashta MA, Andujar C, Leandro MJ, Amengual O, Ames PR, et al. Elevated plasma lipoprotein(a) level and its association with impaired fibrinolysis in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Rheumatol*. 1998; 25(1):69–73. [PubMed: 9458205]
14. Caplice NM, Panetta C, Peterson TE, Kleppe LS, Mueske CS, Kostner GM, et al. Lipoprotein (a) binds and inactivates tissue factor pathway inhibitor: a novel link between lipoproteins and thrombosis. *Blood*. 2001; 98(10):2980–2987. [PubMed: 11698280]
15. Cogo A, Bernardi E, Prandoni P, Girolami B, Noventa F, Simioni P, et al. Acquired risk factors for deep-vein thrombosis in symptomatic outpatients. *Arch Intern Med*. 1994; 154(2):164–168. [PubMed: 8285811]
16. Libertiny G, Hands L. Deep venous thrombosis in peripheral vascular disease. *Br J Surg*. 1999; 86(7):907–910. [PubMed: 10417563]
17. Prandoni P, Bilora F, Marchiori A, Bernardi E, Petrobelli F, Lensing AW, et al. An association between atherosclerosis and venous thrombosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2003; 348(15):1435–1441. [PubMed: 12686699]
18. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet*. 1994; 344(8934):1383–1389. [PubMed: 7968073]
19. Shepherd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, Isles CG, Lorimer AR, MacFarlane PW, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1995; 333(20):1301–1307. [PubMed: 7566020]
20. Lewis SJ, Moya LA, Sacks FM, Johnstone DE, Timmis G, Mitchell J, et al. Effect of pravastatin on cardiovascular events in older patients with myocardial infarction and cholesterol levels in the average range. Results of the Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 1998; 129(9):681–689. [PubMed: 9841599]
21. Tonkin AM, Colquhoun D, Emberson J, Hague W, Keech A, Lane G, et al. Effects of pravastatin in 3260 patients with unstable angina: results from the LIPID study. *Lancet*. 2000; 356(9245):1871–1875. [PubMed: 11130382]
22. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM Jr, Kastelein JJ, et al. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2008; 359(21):2195–2207. [PubMed: 18997196]

23. Alonso R, Mata P, De Andres R, Villacastin BP, Martinez-Gonzalez J, Badimon L. Sustained long-term improvement of arterial endothelial function in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia patients treated with simvastatin. *Atherosclerosis*. 2001; 157(2):423–429. [PubMed: 11472743]
24. Tsunekawa T, Hayashi T, Kano H, Sumi D, Matsui-Hirai H, Thakur NK, et al. Cerivastatin, a hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme a reductase inhibitor, improves endothelial function in elderly diabetic patients within 3 days. *Circulation*. 2001; 104(4):376–379. [PubMed: 11468195]
25. de Jongh S, Lilien MR, op't Roodt J, Stroes ES, Bakker HD, Kastelein JJ. Early statin therapy restores endothelial function in children with familial hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002; 40(12):2117–2121. [PubMed: 12505222]
26. Wilson SH, Herrmann J, Lerman LO, Holmes DR Jr, Napoli C, Ritman EL, et al. Simvastatin preserves the structure of coronary adventitial vasa vasorum in experimental hypercholesterolemia independent of lipid lowering. *Circulation*. 2002; 105(4):415–418. [PubMed: 11815421]
27. Thompson PD, Moyna NM, White CM, Weber KM, Giri S, Waters DD. The effects of hydroxy-methyl-glutaryl co-enzyme A reductase inhibitors on platelet thrombus formation. *Atherosclerosis*. 2002; 161(2):301–306. [PubMed: 11888512]
28. Ma LP, Nie DN, Hsu SX, Yin SM, Xu LZ, Nunes JV. Inhibition of platelet aggregation and expression of alpha granule membrane protein 140 and thromboxane B2 with pravastatin therapy for hypercholesterolemia. *J Assoc Acad Minor Phys*. 2002; 13(1):23–26. [PubMed: 11852667]
29. Puccetti L, Pasqui AL, Pastorelli M, Bova G, Cercignani M, Palazzuoli A, et al. Time-dependent effect of statins on platelet function in hypercholesterolaemia. *Eur J Clin Invest*. 2002; 32(12): 901–908. [PubMed: 12534449]
30. Undas A, Brummel KE, Musial J, Mann KG, Szczeklik A. Simvastatin depresses blood clotting by inhibiting activation of prothrombin, factor V, and factor XIII and by enhancing factor Va inactivation. *Circulation*. 2001; 103(18):2248–2253. [PubMed: 11342472]
31. Colli S, Eligini S, Lalli M, Camera M, Paoletti R, Tremoli E. Vastatins inhibit tissue factor in cultured human macrophages. A novel mechanism of protection against atherothrombosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1997; 17(2):265–272. [PubMed: 9081680]
32. Baetta R, Camera M, Comparato C, Altana C, Ezekowitz MD, Tremoli E. Fluvastatin reduces tissue factor expression and macrophage accumulation in carotid lesions of cholesterol-fed rabbits in the absence of lipid lowering. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2002; 22(4):692–698. [PubMed: 11950712]
33. Camera M, Toschi V, Comparato C, Baetta R, Rossi F, Fuortes M, et al. Cholesterol-induced thrombogenicity of the vessel wall: inhibitory effect of fluvastatin. *Thromb Haemost*. 2002; 87(4): 748–755. [PubMed: 12008961]
34. Adams NB, Lutsey PL, Folsom AR, Herrington DH, Sibley CT, Zakai NA, et al. Statin therapy and levels of hemostatic factors in a healthy population: the MultiEthnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis : JTH*. 2013; 11(6):1078–1084. [PubMed: 23565981]
35. Albert MA, Danielson E, Rifai N, Ridker PM. Effect of statin therapy on C-reactive protein levels: the pravastatin inflammation/CRP evaluation (PRINCE): a randomized trial and cohort study. *Jama*. 2001; 286(1):64–70. [PubMed: 11434828]
36. van Haelst PL, van Doormaal JJ, May JF, Gans RO, Crijs HJ, Cohen Tervaert JW. Secondary prevention with fluvastatin decreases levels of adhesion molecules, neopterin and C-reactive protein. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2001; 12(6):503–509. [PubMed: 11711273]
37. Rosenson RS, Tangney CC. Antiatherothrombotic properties of statins: implications for cardiovascular event reduction. *Jama*. 1998; 279(20):1643–1650. [PubMed: 9613915]
38. Dangas G, Smith DA, Unger AH, Shao JH, Meraj P, Fier C, et al. Pravastatin: an antithrombotic effect independent of the cholesterol-lowering effect. *Thromb Haemost*. 2000; 83(5):688–692. [PubMed: 10823263]
39. Seljeflot I, Tonstad S, Hjermann I, Arnesen H. Improved fibrinolysis after 1-year treatment with HMG CoA reductase inhibitors in patients with coronary heart disease. *Thromb Res*. 2002; 105(4): 285–290. [PubMed: 12031821]
40. Grady D, Wenger NK, Herrington D, Khan S, Furberg C, Hunninghake D, et al. Postmenopausal hormone therapy increases risk for venous thromboembolic disease. The Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000; 132(9):689–696. [PubMed: 10787361]

41. Herrington DM, Vittinghoff E, Lin F, Fong J, Harris F, Hunninghake D, et al. Statin therapy, cardiovascular events, and total mortality in the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS). *Circulation*. 2002; 105(25):2962–2967. [PubMed: 12081988]
42. Ray JG, Mamdani M, Tsuyuki RT, Anderson DR, Yeo EL, Laupacis A. Use of statins and the subsequent development of deep vein thrombosis. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001; 161(11):1405–1410. [PubMed: 11386889]
43. Doggen CJ, Lemaitre RN, Smith NL, Heckbert SR, Psaty BM. HMG CoA reductase inhibitors and the risk of venous thrombosis among postmenopausal women. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2004; 2(5): 700–701. [PubMed: 15099273]
44. Lacut K, Oger E, Le Gal G, Couturaud F, Louis S, Leroyer C, et al. Statins but not fibrates are associated with a reduced risk of venous thromboembolism: a hospital-based case-control study. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol*. 2004; 18(4):477–482. [PubMed: 15312155]
45. Huerta C, Johansson S, Wallander MA, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Risk factors and short-term mortality of venous thromboembolism diagnosed in the primary care setting in the United Kingdom. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2007; 167(9):935–943. [PubMed: 17502535]
46. Ramcharan AS, Van Stralen KJ, Snoep JD, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, Rosendaal FR, Doggen CJ. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, other lipid-lowering medication, antiplatelet therapy, and the risk of venous thrombosis. *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis : JTH*. 2009; 7(4):514–520. [PubMed: 19036068]
47. Glynn RJ, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM Jr, Kastelein JJ, et al. A randomized trial of rosuvastatin in the prevention of venous thromboembolism. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2009; 360(18):1851–1861. [PubMed: 19329822]
48. Sorensen HT, Horvath-Puho E, Sogaard KK, Christensen S, Johnsen SP, Thomsen RW, et al. Arterial cardiovascular events, statins, low-dose aspirin and subsequent risk of venous thromboembolism: a population-based case-control study. *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis : JTH*. 2009; 7(4):521–528. [PubMed: 19192118]
49. Agarwal V, Phung OJ, Tongbram V, Bhardwaj A, Coleman CI. Statin use and the prevention of venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis. *International journal of clinical practice*. 2010; 64(10): 1375–1383. [PubMed: 20716146]
50. Squizzato A, Galli M, Romualdi E, Dentali F, Kamphuisen PW, Guasti L, et al. Statins, fibrates, and venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis. *European heart journal*. 2010; 31(10):1248–1256. [PubMed: 20031958]
51. Pai M, Evans NS, Shah SJ, Green D, Cook D, Crowther MA. Statins in the prevention of venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Thrombosis research*. 2011; 128(5): 422–430. [PubMed: 21641019]
52. Rabinowich L, Steinvil A, Leshem-Rubinow E, Berliner S, Zeltser D, Rogowski O, et al. Adherence to statins is associated with reduced incidence of idiopathic venous thromboembolism: real-life data from a large healthcare maintenance organisation. *Heart*. 2012; 98(24):1817–1821. [PubMed: 23038788]
53. Biere-Rafi S, Hutten BA, Squizzato A, Ageno W, Souverein PC, de Boer A, et al. Statin treatment and the risk of recurrent pulmonary embolism. *European heart journal*. 2013; 34(24):1800–1806. [PubMed: 23396492]
54. Nguyen CD, Andersson C, Jensen TB, Gjesing A, Schjerning Olsen AM, Malta Hansen C, et al. Statin treatment and risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism: a nationwide cohort study. *BMJ open*. 2013; 3(11):e003135.
55. Schmidt M, Cannegieter SC, Johannesdottir SA, Dekkers OM, Horvath-Puho E, Sorensen HT. Statin use and venous thromboembolism recurrence: a combined nationwide cohort and nested case-control study. *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis : JTH*. 2014; 12(8):1207–1215. [PubMed: 24818818]
56. Yang CC, Jick SS, Jick H. Statins and the risk of idiopathic venous thromboembolism. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2002; 53(1):101–105. [PubMed: 11849201]
57. Smeeth L, Douglas I, Hall AJ, Hubbard R, Evans S. Effect of statins on a wide range of health outcomes: a cohort study validated by comparison with randomized trials. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2009; 67(1):99–109. [PubMed: 19006546]

58. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database. *BMJ*. 2010; 340:c2197. [PubMed: 20488911]
59. Freeman DJ, Robertson M, Brown EA, Rumley A, Tobias ES, Frolich M, et al. Incident venous thromboembolic events in the Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER). *BMC geriatrics*. 2011; 11:18. [PubMed: 21510886]
60. Delluc A, Tromeur C, Le Moigne E, Nowak E, Mottier D, Le Gal G, et al. Lipid lowering drugs and the risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism. *Thrombosis research*. 2012; 130(6):859–863. [PubMed: 22939687]
61. Rahimi K, Bhala N, Kamphuisen P, Emberson J, Biere-Rafi S, Krane V, et al. Effect of statins on venous thromboembolic events: a meta-analysis of published and unpublished evidence from randomised controlled trials. *PLoS medicine*. 2012; 9(9):e1001310. [PubMed: 23028261]
62. Clofibrate and niacin in coronary heart disease. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 1975; 231(4):360–381.
63. Keech A, Simes RJ, Barter P, Best J, Scott R, Taskinen MR, et al. Effects of long-term fenofibrate therapy on cardiovascular events in 9795 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the FIELD study): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2005; 366(9500):1849–1861. [PubMed: 16310551]
64. Becattini C, Agnelli G, Schenone A, Eichinger S, Bucherini E, Silingardi M, et al. Aspirin for preventing the recurrence of venous thromboembolism. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2012; 366(21):1959–1967. [PubMed: 22621626]
65. Brighton TA, Eikelboom JW, Mann K, Mister R, Gallus A, Ockelford P, et al. Low-dose aspirin for preventing recurrent venous thromboembolism. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2012; 367(21):1979–1987. [PubMed: 23121403]
66. Melton LJ 3rd. History of the Rochester Epidemiology Project. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 1996; 71(3):266–274. [PubMed: 8594285]
67. Silverstein MD, Heit JA, Mohr DN, Petterson TM, O'Fallon WM, Melton LJ 3rd. Trends in the incidence of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a 25-year population-based study. *Arch Intern Med*. 1998; 158(6):585–593. [PubMed: 9521222]
68. Heit JA, Farmer SA, Petterson TM, Bailey KR, Melton LJ III. Novel Risk Factors for Venous Thromboembolism (VTE): A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *Blood*. 2005; 106(11):1618.
69. Heit JA, Silverstein MD, Mohr DN, Petterson TM, O'Fallon WM, Melton LJ 3rd. Risk factors for deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a population-based case-control study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000; 160(6):809–815. [PubMed: 10737280]
70. Heit JA, Leibson CL, Ashrani AA, Petterson TM, Bailey KR, Melton LJ 3rd. Is diabetes mellitus an independent risk factor for venous thromboembolism?: a population-based case-control study. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*. 2009; 29(9):1399–1405.
71. Barsoum MK, Heit JA, Ashrani AA, Leibson CL, Petterson TM, Bailey KR. Is progestin an independent risk factor for incident venous thromboembolism? A population-based case-control study. *Thrombosis research*. 2010; 126(5):373–378. [PubMed: 20833412]
72. Kurland LT, Molgaard CA. The patient record in epidemiology. *Scientific American*. 1981; 245(4):54–63. [PubMed: 7027437]
73. St Sauver JL, Grossardt BR, Yawn BP, Melton LJ 3rd, Rocca WA. Use of a medical records linkage system to enumerate a dynamic population over time: the Rochester epidemiology project. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2011; 173(9):1059–1068. [PubMed: 21430193]
74. St Sauver JL, Grossardt BR, Leibson CL, Yawn BP, Melton LJ 3rd, Rocca WA. Generalizability of epidemiological findings and public health decisions: an illustration from the Rochester Epidemiology Project. *Mayo Clinic proceedings*. 2012; 87(2):151–160. [PubMed: 22305027]

Highlights

- Lipid lowering therapy (LLT) is associated with decreased odds of VTE.
- This association persisted even after controlling for other VTE risk factors.
- Both statin and non-statin LLT had similar but non-significant lower odds of VTE.

TABLE 1

Baseline Characteristics of Venous Thromboembolism Cases and Controls

Baseline Characteristic	Cases (n=1340)	Controls (n=1538)
Statin lipid lowering therapy alone, <i>n</i> (%)	67 (5.0)	101 (6.6)
Lovastatin, <i>n</i> (%)	25 (37.3)	35 (34.7)
Simvastatin, <i>n</i> (%)	21 (31.3)	33 (32.7)
Atorvastatin, <i>n</i> (%)	11 (16.4)	17 (16.8)
Pravasatatin, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (10.4)	12 (11.9)
Fluvastatin, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (6.0)	5 (5.0)
Non-statin lipid lowering therapy alone, <i>n</i> (%)	25 (1.9)	40 (2.6)
Niacin, <i>n</i> (%)	11 (44.0)	19 (47.5)
Gemfibrozil, <i>n</i> (%)	10 (40.0)	16 (40.0)
Cholestyramine, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (16.0)	7 (17.5)
Cholestipol, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (4.0)	0 (0.0)
Both statin and non-statin therapy, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (0.5)	10 (0.7)
Age, <i>mean</i> ± <i>SD</i>	65.0 (19.0)	64.6 (18.8)
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	744 (55.5)	850 (55.3)
Body mass index (BMI, kg/m ²), <i>mean</i> ± <i>SD</i>	27.9 ± 7.0	26.7 ± 5.3
Trauma / fracture, <i>n</i> (%)	171 (12.8)	40 (2.6)
Hospitalized +/- surgery [†] , <i>n</i> (%)	613 (45.7)	138 (9.0)
Active cancer, <i>n</i> (%)	333 (24.9)	49 (3.2)
Neurological disease <i>n</i> (%)	93 (6.9)	13 (0.8)
Pacemaker/vascular catheterization <i>n</i> (%)	240 (17.9)	51 (3.3)
Varicose veins, <i>n</i> (%)	365 (27.2)	354 (23.0)
Nursing home confinement [§] , <i>n</i> (%)	170 (12.7)	104 (6.8)
Prior Superficial Thrombosis, <i>n</i> (%)	203 (15.1)	84 (5.5)
Oral Contraceptives (Females Only), <i>n</i> (%)	61 (8.2)	41 (4.8)
Females, Age 18–45, <i>n</i> (%)	54 (43.2)	38 (26.8)
Females, Age 46+, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (0.8)	2 (0.3)
Pregnancy/Postpartum (Females Only), <i>n</i> (%)	25 (3.4)	10 (1.2)
Females, Age 18–45, <i>n</i> (%)	25 (20.0)	10 (7.0)
Estrogen/Progesterone/Oral Contraceptives [*] , <i>n</i> (%)	227 (16.9)	176 (11.4)
Females, Age 18–45, <i>n</i> (%)	65 (52.0)	46 (32.4)
Females, Age 46+, <i>n</i> (%)	138 (22.7)	126 (18.2)
Pulmonary Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	57 (4.3)	23 (1.5)
Diabetes, <i>n</i> (%)	168 (12.5)	142 (9.2)
CHF, <i>n</i> (%)	243 (18.1)	152 (9.9)
PFO, <i>n</i> (%)	24 (1.8)	22 (1.4)
Angina/MI, <i>n</i> (%)	338 (25.2)	289 (18.8)
Congenital Heart Disease, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (0.7)	1 (0.1)
Cardiomyopathy, <i>n</i> (%)	29 (2.2)	24 (1.6)

Baseline Characteristic	Cases (n=1340)	Controls (n=1538)
Valvular Heart Disease, n (%)	165 (12.3)	137 (8.9)
Stroke, n (%)	239 (17.8)	184 (12.0)
Peripheral Vascular Disease, n (%)	166 (12.4)	144 (9.4)
Ever Smoker, n (%)	699 (52.2)	759 (49.3)
Current Smoker, n (%)	177 (13.2)	209 (13.6)
Former Smoker, n (%)	522 (39.0)	550 (35.8)
Never Smoker, n (%)	641 (47.8)	779 (50.7)
Warfarin, n (%)	44 (3.3)	43 (2.8)
Heparin, n (%)	53 (4.0)	6 (0.4)

[†]In hospital or within 92 days of previous hospitalization compared to no hospitalization in the past 92 days. Includes patients hospitalized with surgery or for acute medical illness.

* Nineteen cases and two controls where males are on estrogen/progesterone.

[§]Two cases are missing nursing home confinement

Table 2

Risk of Venous Thromboembolism with Lipid Lowering Therapy (Either Statin or Non-Statins) Compared to No Lipid Lowering Therapy

Model	Lipid Lowering Therapy versus no lipid therapy		
	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value
Unadjusted	0.73	(0.55, 0.96)	0.03
Adjusted for [‡] :			
BMI	0.70	(0.53, 0.93)	0.01
Trauma / fracture	0.75	(0.56, 0.99)	0.05
Hospitalized +/- surgery [†]	0.61	(0.44, 0.86)	0.01
Active cancer	0.77	(0.57, 1.04)	0.09
Neurological disease, with leg Paresis	0.72	(0.54, 0.96)	0.03
Pacemaker/ catheter	0.63	(0.47, 0.86)	0.003
Varicose veins	0.75	(0.57, 0.99)	0.04
Nursing home* [§]	0.75	(0.57, 0.99)	0.04
Prior Superficial Thrombosis	0.75	(0.56, 1.00)	0.05
Oral Contraceptives	0.73	(0.55, 0.96)	0.03
Pregnancy/Postpartum	0.73	(0.55, 0.96)	0.03
Estrogen/Progesterone/Oral Contraceptives	0.73	(0.55, 0.97)	0.03
Diabetes	0.70	(0.53, 0.93)	0.01
CHF	0.71	(0.54, 0.95)	0.02
Angina/MI	0.59	(0.44, 0.79)	0.0005
Stroke	0.68	(0.51, 0.91)	0.008
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0.70	(0.53, 0.92)	0.01
Ever Smoker	0.73	(0.55, 0.97)	0.03
Warfarin	0.73	(0.55, 0.96)	0.02
Heparin	0.73	(0.55, 0.97)	0.03
All of the above factors	0.67	(0.43, 1.04)	0.08

[‡] Modeling was done using conditional logistic, however all models were adjusted for age at event or index since the matching was within ± 1 year; there were no missing values.

[†] In hospital or within 92 days of previous hospitalization compared to no hospitalization in the past 92 days. Within the 3 months prior, the hospitalization occurred in the context of a surgery or an acute medical illness (2 level variable).

* All nursing home patients including those in hospital or in hospital in the previous 92 days.

[§] Two cases are missing nursing home confinement data

Table 3

Risk of Venous Thromboembolism with Statin and Non-Statins Lipid Lowering Therapy Compared Individually to No Lipid Lowering Therapy

Model	Statin Lipid Lowering Therapy			Non-Statins Lipid Lowering Therapy		
	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value
Unadjusted	0.78	(0.57, 1.07)	0.12	0.72	(0.45, 1.14)	0.16
Adjusted for [†] : BMI	0.76	(0.55, 1.04)	0.09	0.71	(0.44, 1.13)	0.15
Trauma / fracture	0.78	(0.57, 1.08)	0.14	0.75	(0.47, 1.21)	0.24
Hospitalized [†] /– surgery [†]	0.60	(0.41, 0.89)	0.01	0.65	(0.37, 1.13)	0.13
Active cancer	0.86	(0.61, 1.20)	0.36	0.71	(0.43, 1.18)	0.19
Neurological disease, with Leg paresis	0.80	(0.58, 1.10)	0.17	0.68	(0.42, 1.10)	0.12
Pacemaker/ catheter	0.65	(0.46, 0.92)	0.01	0.71	(0.43, 1.17)	0.18
Varicose veins	0.80	(0.58, 1.10)	0.16	0.74	(0.46, 1.17)	0.20
Nursing home ^{*§}	0.80	(0.59, 1.10)	0.17	0.72	(0.45, 1.15)	0.17
Prior Superficial Thrombosis	0.80	(0.58, 1.11)	0.18	0.72	(0.45, 1.16)	0.18
Oral Contraceptives	0.78	(0.57, 1.07)	0.12	0.72	(0.45, 1.14)	0.16
Pregnancy/Postpartum	0.78	(0.57, 1.07)	0.12	0.72	(0.45, 1.14)	0.16
Estrogen/Progestin/Oral Contraceptives	0.79	(0.58, 1.09)	0.15	0.71	(0.45, 1.14)	0.16
Diabetes	0.75	(0.54, 1.02)	0.07	0.72	(0.45, 1.15)	0.17
CHF	0.74	(0.54, 1.03)	0.07	0.75	(0.47, 1.21)	0.24
Angina/MI	0.63	(0.45, 0.87)	0.005	0.61	(0.38, 0.99)	0.04
Stroke	0.73	(0.53, 1.00)	0.05	0.69	(0.43, 1.10)	0.11
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0.75	(0.55, 1.03)	0.08	0.69	(0.43, 1.10)	0.12
Ever Smoker	0.78	(0.57, 1.07)	0.13	0.72	(0.45, 1.14)	0.16
Warfarin	0.77	(0.57, 1.06)	0.11	0.72	(0.45, 1.14)	0.16
Heparin	0.79	(0.57, 1.09)	0.15	0.73	(0.46, 1.18)	0.20

Model	Statin Lipid Lowering Therapy		Non-Statin Lipid Lowering Therapy	
	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value	95% Confidence Interval
All of the above factors	0.66	(0.41, 1.08)	0.10	(0.34, 1.47)
				0.36

[‡]Modeling was done using conditional logistic, however all models were adjusted for age at event or index since the matching was within ± 1 year; there were no missing values.

[†] In hospital or within 92 days of previous hospitalization compared to no hospitalization in the past 92 days. Within the 3 months prior, the hospitalization occurred in the context of a surgery or an acute medical illness (2 level variable).

* All nursing home patients including those in hospital or in hospital in the previous 92 days.

[§]Two cases are missing nursing home confinement data.