

North American terrestrial CO₂ uptake largely offset by CH₄ and N₂O emissions: toward a full accounting of the greenhouse gas budget

Hanqin Tian · Guangsheng Chen · Chaoqun Lu ·
Xiaofeng Xu · Daniel J. Hayes · Wei Ren · Shufen Pan ·
Deborah N. Huntzinger · Steven C. Wofsy

Received: 15 February 2013 / Accepted: 25 January 2014 / Published online: 14 March 2014
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The terrestrial ecosystems of North America have been identified as a sink of atmospheric CO₂ though there is no consensus on the magnitude. However, the emissions of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases (CH₄ and N₂O) may offset or even overturn the climate cooling effect induced by the CO₂ sink. Using a coupled biogeochemical model, in this study, we have estimated the combined global warming potentials (GWP) of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in North American terrestrial ecosystems and quantified the relative contributions of environmental factors to the GWP changes during 1979–2010. The uncertainty range for contemporary global warming potential has been quantified by synthesizing the existing estimates from inventory, forward modeling, and inverse modeling approaches. Our “best estimate” of net GWP for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes was -0.50 ± 0.27 Pg CO₂ eq/year (1 Pg = 10^{15} g) in North American terrestrial ecosystems during 2001–2010. The emissions of CH₄ and N₂O from terrestrial ecosystems had offset about two thirds (73 % ± 14 %) of the land CO₂ sink in the North American continent, showing large differences across the three countries, with offset ratios of 57 % ± 8 % in US, 83 % ±

This article is part of a Special Issue on “Regional Earth System Modeling” edited by Zong-Liang Yang and Congbin Fu.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10584-014-1072-9) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

H. Tian (✉) · G. Chen · C. Lu · W. Ren · S. Pan
International Center for Climate and Global Change Research and School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences,
Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA
e-mail: tianhan@auburn.edu

X. Xu · D. J. Hayes
Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

D. N. Huntzinger
School of Earth Sciences and Environmental Sustainability, North Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011,
USA

S. C. Wofsy
Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Harvard University, 29 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA 02138,
USA

17 % in Canada and $329 \% \pm 119 \%$ in Mexico. Climate change and elevated tropospheric ozone concentration have contributed the most to GWP increase, while elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration have contributed the most to GWP reduction. Extreme drought events over certain periods could result in a positive GWP. By integrating the existing estimates, we have found a wide range of uncertainty for the combined GWP. From both climate change science and policy perspectives, it is necessary to integrate ground and satellite observations with models for a more accurate accounting of these three greenhouse gases in North America.

1 Introduction

Radiative forcing of climate is increasing at unprecedented rates in Earth's atmosphere, largely due to rapid increases in the atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O (Forster et al. 2007). These three GHGs combined contribute to more than 90 % of anthropogenic climate warming (Hansen et al. 2000). Atmospheric CH₄ and N₂O are two potent greenhouse gases which in sum contribute to more than one quarter of the anthropogenic global warming (Forster et al. 2007; USGCRP 2009). Similar to atmospheric CO₂, atmospheric CH₄ and N₂O concentrations have dramatically increased since the Industrial Revolution (Forster et al. 2007; Rigby et al. 2008). During the past decades, however, less attention has been paid to terrestrial CH₄ and N₂O fluxes relative to the focus on regional CO₂ budget accounting. The global warming potential (GWP) of CH₄ is about 25 (100-year horizon) times larger than that of CO₂, while N₂O is about 298 times (Forster et al. 2007). Therefore, although CH₄ and N₂O concentrations are relatively low in the atmosphere, they are of critical significance in contributing to climate warming. Owing to more available data from field experiments and observations and improved representations of biogeochemical processes in ecosystem models, regional CH₄ and N₂O fluxes have been estimated in a number of recent studies (e.g., Tian et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2010; Li et al. 1996; Miller et al. 2012). However, the concurrent fluxes of all these three gases have not yet been well investigated (Tian et al. 2011a). Since close linkages exist among CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes and one gas flux altered by environmental forces would affect the other two, a systems approach incorporating all three GHGs would be needed to provide an accurate estimate on GWP (Lu and Tian 2013).

The fluxes of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O could be greatly influenced by multiple environmental changes, such as climate, nitrogen deposition, CO₂ fertilization, land use, and land management practices (e.g., nitrogen fertilizer uses and irrigation). Roles of these driving forces on North American CO₂ balance are extensively studied based on the long-term experiments and observations (e.g., FLUXNET sites), remote sensing data, and modeling synthesis activities (Xiao et al. 2011; Hayes et al. 2012; Huntzinger et al. 2012), but only a few studies have investigated their roles on the fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O (e.g., Tian et al. 2010, 2012b; Xu et al. 2010, 2012). Many global change factors could affect the fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O (Huang et al. 2010; Toet et al. 2011; Banger et al. 2012; Dijkstra et al. 2012). For instance, elevated atmospheric CO₂ might stimulate CH₄ emission (Dacey et al. 1994), while reducing or increasing N₂O emission (Ineson et al. 1998; Kettunen et al. 2005); ozone (O₃) pollution might reduce CH₄ emission (Toet et al. 2011), while stimulating or reducing N₂O emission (Kanerva et al. 2008). Meanwhile, interactions among multiple factors may also influence CH₄ and N₂O fluxes. For example, one recent study reported that nitrogen deposition and elevated atmospheric CO₂ might interactively reduce CH₄ emission from wetlands (Pancotto et al. 2010); another study concluded that temperature and elevated atmospheric CO₂ interactively altered seasonal variation of CH₄ emissions (Blankinship et al. 2010). Therefore, it is necessary to study the concurrent fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes under multi-factor global changes (Tian et al. 2011a).

Based on results and data from the North American Carbon Program (NACP) Regional Interim Synthesis (Huntzinger et al. 2012; Hayes et al. 2012), Non-CO₂ GHG regional interim synthesis (Tian et al. 2012b) and model simulations with the Dynamic Land Ecosystem Model (DLEM), this study intends to: 1) estimate the overall GWP of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes in the terrestrial ecosystems of North America; 2) quantify the relative contributions of individual environmental factors to GWP changes during recent 32 years (1979–2010); and 3) identify gaps and uncertainties in existing estimates of the GHG balances for improving climate prediction and guiding climate change policy-making in North America.

2 Methods and data

The DLEM model (Tian et al. 2010, 2011a, b) was used to simultaneously quantify the magnitudes as well as spatial and temporal patterns of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes in the terrestrial ecosystems of North America, and attribute GWP variations to different environmental factors. Additionally previous studies were synthesized to provide an estimate of the uncertainty range of contemporary GWP from GHG fluxes. Magnitudes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O sources and sinks are defined as the vertical land-atmosphere exchanges. In this study, we only estimated these fluxes from the terrestrial biosphere, while the emissions from human activities such as fossil fuel combustion, transportation, and industrial processes etc., are excluded from the estimation.

2.1 DLEM model description

The DLEM model is a highly integrated process-based ecosystem model that couples carbon, nutrients (i.e., nitrogen and phosphorus) and water cycles in terrestrial ecosystems for estimating the hydrological, biogeochemical fluxes and pool sizes at multiple scales from site to region/globe and with time steps ranging from day to year. Through the carbon-nutrient-water coupling, DLEM is capable of simultaneously depicting the biosphere-atmosphere exchange of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O under multiple natural and anthropogenic disturbances. DLEM has been widely applied and evaluated to estimate CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes at multiple sites and regions including China (Ren et al. 2011, 2012; Tian et al. 2011a,b; Lu et al. 2012; Xu and Tian, 2012; Lu and Tian 2013), the southern US (Tian et al. 2012a; Chen et al. 2012, 2013; Zhang et al. 2012), North America (Tian et al. 2010, 2012b; Xu et al. 2010, 2012; Huntzinger et al. 2012), and the global land ecosystem (Melton et al. 2013; Tian et al. 2013). In the Supplementary Material, we briefly present the key processes involved in simulating land-atmosphere exchanges of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O in DLEM. Additional details about the DLEM model can be found in our previous publications (e.g., Tian et al. 2010, 2011a, b; Liu et al. 2013).

2.2 Model input data

The gridded input data sets at a spatial resolution of 32 km×32 km including climate (temperature, precipitation, humidity, and solar radiation), tropospheric ozone (O₃) level, atmospheric CO₂ concentration and nitrogen deposition rate, land use and cropland management practices (i.e., fertilization and irrigation) were generated for driving the DLEM model simulation in North America (including the United States, Canada and Mexico) during 1979–2010 (Tian et al. 2010). Methods of these data generation is described in the Supplementary Material. Additional descriptions of changes in environmental driving factors were also presented in Tian et al. (2010) and Xu et al. (2010, 2012)

2.3 Model simulations and evaluation

For this study, several model experiments were designed to address the effects of individual and combined environmental factors on CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O dynamics. Seven transient experiments include: 1) Combined: changes of all environmental factors are considered; 2) CLM: climate variability alone; 3) LC: land cover change alone; 4) NDEP: nitrogen deposition change alone; 5) Nfer: nitrogen fertilizer use change alone; 6) O₃: tropospheric O₃ change alone; 7) CO₂: atmospheric CO₂ concentration change alone. We aim at investigating the CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes during 1979–2010, but we start model simulations from 1900 to consider the legacy effects before 1979. Seven additional baseline experiments were conducted to remove system errors. The baseline experiments use the transient environmental data during 1900–1978 and the input drivers remain constant after 1978. The simulated CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes under different experiments are the differences between transient and corresponding baseline experiments. The interactive effects among multiple environmental factors were calculated as: Interaction = Combined - CLM - LC - NDEP - Nfer - O₃ - CO₂.

The DLEM model performance for simulating CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were widely evaluated against field observational/experimental data (e.g., the eddy flux towers, the Long Term Ecological Research Network, and other independent sites), other modeling results (e.g., inverse and forward modeling), and regional inventory data (e.g., Forest Inventory and Analysis). The evaluation results for CO₂ fluxes were shown in Chen et al. (2012), Schwalm et al. (2010), Tian et al. (2011a, b, 2012a, c) and Lu et al. (2012), while evaluations for CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were shown in Tian et al. (2010, 2011b, 2012c, 2013), Ren et al. (2011), Lu and Tian (2013) and Xu et al. (2010, 2012). The evaluation results indicated that the DLEM model is able to capture the monthly/seasonal variations in CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes at a relatively high confidence level. The comparisons between model-simulated and field observed factorial contributions to these GHGs were also shown in the above literature. The DLEM model parameterization and implementation are described in the Supplementary Material.

2.4 Integration of previous studies and DLEM simulation results

To estimate the uncertainty range, we synthesized many previous estimates on these three GHGs, including inventory data, as well as forward and inverse modeling results for the terrestrial ecosystems in North America. The study region covers the three countries of North America (Canada, USA, and Mexico) and the reference time period was approximately 2001–2010 for contemporary analysis and 1979–2010 for historical change analysis. The data sources mainly include: (1) the contemporary estimate of CO₂ fluxes derived from the NACP-Regional and Continental Interim Synthesis studies that integrated the forward modeling results, inverse modeling results and inventory data at 97 reporting zones (Hayes et al. 2012; Huntzinger et al. 2012), which cover the majority of US states, Canadian managed ecoregions, and Mexican states for which inventory data were available; (2) the contemporary estimate of CH₄ and N₂O budgets in the North American terrestrial ecosystems, derived from a recent synthesis (Tian et al. 2012b); and (3) the gridded, time-series data set of DLEM-simulated CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, as well as the contributions of multiple environmental factors (Tian et al. 2010, 2012b; Xu et al. 2010, 2012). In this study, we combined the synthesized CO₂ flux estimates and DLEM-simulated CH₄ and N₂O fluxes to examine net GWP for these 97 reporting zones. The multi-approach estimations during the 2000s are used to generate a “best-estimate” of the contemporary CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, while the DLEM simulation results are used to identify the contributions of different environmental factors on GHG fluxes

for the period 1979–2010. Due to relatively slow changes in environmental factors, a long-term period is better to represent their effects on GWP.

The uncertainty ranges of the estimated CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, as well as the combined GWP, are expressed as the mean value±2 standard errors. An error propagation method is used when we combine the standard errors from multiple sources without original data (e.g., the integration of standard errors from forward and inverse modeling methods).

2.5 Calculation of GWP

The GWP of a GHG is defined as the ratio of the time-integrated radiative forcing from the instantaneous release of 1 kg of a trace substance relative to that of 1 kg of a reference gas. Negative GWP indicates GHG uptake from the atmosphere and a potential climate cooling effect while positive GWP indicates GHG release to the atmosphere and a potential climate warming effect. The equation to calculate GWP is:

$$GWP = F_{CO_2-C} \times \frac{44}{12} + F_{CH_4-C} \times \frac{16}{12} \times RF_{CH_4} + F_{N_2O-N} \times \frac{44}{28} \times RF_{N_2O} \quad (1)$$

Where F_{CO_2-C} , F_{CH_4-C} and F_{N_2O-N} are annual fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere based on mass of C and N, respectively. The fractions of 44/12, 16/12 and 44/28 convert C and N mass into mass of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O. RF_{CH_4} and RF_{N_2O} are constants indicating radiative forcing of CH₄ and N₂O in terms of a CO₂ equivalent unit, and were assigned to 25 and 298, respectively at 100 year time horizon (Forster et al. 2007).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Contemporary GWP estimates for terrestrial North America

Based on DLEM simulated CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, our “best estimate” of the overall GWP in North American terrestrial ecosystems during 2001–2010 was -0.50 ± 0.27 (mean±2 SE) Pg CO₂ eq/year (1 Pg=10¹⁵ g), ranging from -1.08 Pg CO₂ eq/year in 2008 to 0.34 Pg CO₂ eq/year in 2002 (Table 1; Fig. 1). The overall GWP was calculated from net balance of three gases: CO₂ (-1.83 ± 0.34 Pg CO₂ eq/year), CH₄ (0.52 ± 0.04 Pg CO₂ eq/year), and N₂O (0.82 ± 0.06 Pg CO₂ eq/year). The terrestrial CO₂ sink greatly reduced atmospheric radiative forcing; however, the emissions of CH₄ and N₂O could largely offset this cooling effect by a range of 58 %~138 % (with a mean of 73 %±14 %; Table 1). The overall GWP had a wide range, yet it indicated that North American terrestrial ecosystems were generally contributing to climate cooling during the recent decade.

3.2 Temporal patterns of GWP and contributions from multiple environmental factors


Due to the lack of other available data for simultaneously estimating CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes over a long-term period, we used estimates from the DLEM model alone to analyze temporal patterns of GWP and contributions of multiple environmental factors during 1979–2010. Net GWP was negative during most years due to large carbon uptake in North America, with the largest negative values in 1993 (-1.39 Pg CO₂ eq/year) and 1995 (-1.39 Pg CO₂ eq/year); however, the positive GWP occurred in some years with extreme drought events, such

Table 1 CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes and their overall global warming potential (Pg CO₂ eq/year) in the 2000s as estimated by the DLEM model

Countries	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	Overall GWP	Offset rate by CH ₄ and N ₂ O
US	-1.24±0.41	0.23±0.04	0.48±0.06	-0.53±0.38	57 %±8 %
Canada	-0.54±0.27	0.30±0.07	0.15±0.02	-0.09±0.21	83 %±17 %
Mexico	-0.05±0.23	-0.007±0.001	0.19±0.04	0.13±0.21	329 %±119 %
North America	-1.83±0.34	0.52±0.04	0.82±0.06	-0.50±0.27	73 %±14 %

as 1988 (0.02 Pg CO₂ eq/year), 1994 (0.17 Pg CO₂ eq/year), and 2002 (0.34 Pg CO₂ eq/year) (Fig. 1). The GWP values in these three extreme dry years were significantly ($P<0.01$) higher than those in normal years. It is notable that CH₄ and N₂O emissions offset the CO₂ sink by 115 % and 138 % during 1994 and 2002, respectively, indicating that the terrestrial ecosystems in North America might act as a significant contributor to global warming due to extreme drought events.

During 1979–2010, we found that interannual variation in overall GWP was largely determined by the fluxes of CO₂, while CH₄ and N₂O emissions showed smaller variation. To identify the possible causes of GWP variation in North American terrestrial ecosystems, we conducted several factorial simulation experiments using the DLEM model. During 1979–2010, the interannual variation of the overall GWP was primarily determined by climate variability ($R^2=0.82$; $P<0.05$; Fig. 2a). Climate change increased GWP by 3.99 Pg CO₂ eq in total during the study period, implying a positive feedback between climate change and global warming. Land use change increased GWP before 1997 and then reduced it after that, with a total increase of GWP by 0.23 Pg CO₂ eq during the entire study period (Fig. 2b). Elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration was the largest contributor to the reduction of GWP (reduced GWP by 9.92 Pg CO₂ eq) in North America, which resulted in a dilemma that increased

**Fig. 1** Interannual variations of global warming potential (Pg CO₂ eq/year) for CO₂ (a), CH₄ (b), N₂O (c) and their overall fluxes (d) during 1979–2010. Note Positive values indicate a potential net contribution to climate warming; the data was based on our previous publications (i.e., Tian et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2010, 2012)




Fig. 2 Annual (a) and cumulative (b) contributions of different environmental factors to changes in global warming potential (Pg CO₂ eq/year) in the terrestrial ecosystems of North America. The secondary Y-axis in (a) is the annual actual global warming potential under combined scenario (contribution of individual factors + baseline); *Combined* combined scenario (include all the environmental factors), *LC* land conversion, *Nfer* N fertilizer use, *O₃* O₃ pollution, *CO₂* atmospheric CO₂, *NDEP* N deposition, *CLM* climate variability, *Interaction* interaction among multiple factors calculated as: $Combined - LC - Nfer - O_3 - CO_2 - NDEP - CLM$

atmospheric CO₂ could directly lead to global warming while indirectly mitigating the warming trend through stimulating plant growth and carbon uptake. Increasing nitrogen deposition slightly reduced GWP by 0.20 Pg CO₂ eq during 1979–2010. Although elevated nitrogen deposition resulted in a small CO₂ sink (0.41 Pg CO₂ eq), it caused an increase in N₂O emission (Xu et al. 2012). Likewise, nitrogen fertilizer use in cropland resulted in a slight decrease in GWP since the nitrogen-stimulated CO₂ sink was slightly larger than the increased N₂O emission, which has also been previously reported (e.g., Del Grosso et al. 2006; Zaehle et al. 2011; Tian et al. 2011a, 2012c). Our previous studies (i.e., Tian et al. 2011a, 2012b) also implied that the nitrogen fertilizer-induced CO₂ sink might be overturned by increasing CH₄ and N₂O sources if present-level or more fertilizer is applied in the near future. Through its effects on restraining plant growth, elevated tropospheric O₃ concentration cumulatively increased GWP by 3.93 Pg CO₂ eq (0.13 Pg CO₂ eq/year) during the entire period, which is

close to the contribution of climate change. Elevated O₃ concentration resulted in large emissions of CO₂ to the atmosphere though it slightly decreased N₂O and CH₄ emissions (Xu et al. 2012).

It is notable that interactive effects among multiple environmental factors resulted in a large net reduction in GWP of 4.07 Pg CO₂ eq during the study period. This effect was close to that from climate change and tropospheric O₃ pollution, implying that the interactive effect among multiple environmental factors is a significant effect and should not be neglected. Recently, some field experiments with a few manipulated environmental factors were conducted to examine GHG responses at several sites, such as the SPRUCE experiment site (<http://mnspruce.ornl.gov/>; experiment: warming + increased atmospheric CO₂; target: CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes), the Duke Forest FACE site (Experiment: CO₂+nitrogen fertilization; target: CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes), and the Aspen FACE Experiment (Experiment: CO₂+ozone; target: CO₂ flux). Future studies should have more field evidence to test model representation of interactive environmental effects.

Although the major sources for terrestrial CH₄ (i.e., wetlands) and N₂O (i.e., cropland) have been slightly shrinking in North America over the past century (Dahl 1990; CCSP 2007; EPA 2011), the changes of other environmental factors such as climate, O₃ pollution, and nitrogen fertilizer uses may still increase GWP. For example, large-scale drought events occurred in 2002 that have reduced the carbon sink by 20 % in the US alone (Xiao et al. 2011), on the other hand, CH₄ and N₂O emissions have not been greatly changed, resulting in a positive GWP in this year (Fig. 1). As estimated (USGCRP 2009), the US average temperature has increased more than 2 °F over the past 50 years and is projected to increase more in the future; the magnitude of resulting GWP change primarily depends on the amount of emitted heat-trapping gases (e.g., CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O) and the sensitivity of climate to these emissions. Our study indicated that the decreased CO₂ sink magnified the GWP increase caused by CH₄ and N₂O emissions under extreme climate events, suggesting GWP could be greatly increased due to more frequent climate extremes across North America in the future.

3.3 Spatial characteristics of GWP in North America

The spatial and temporal variations of environmental factors (i.e., nitrogen deposition, atmospheric CO₂, land use and land cover, cropland management, and climate) led to large spatial heterogeneities of CO₂, CH₄, N₂O fluxes and their overall GWP (Fig. 3). The overall GWP was generally negative in most areas of the eastern portion of North America, while positive values concentrated in the western and southern portions. The spatial distribution pattern of the overall GWP was more consistent with that of the CO₂ fluxes than CH₄ and N₂O (Fig. 3a). The highest positive GWP (>100 g CO₂ eq/m²/year) was generally located in wetland areas due to higher CH₄ emissions and in the tropical forests of the eastern Mexico due to the higher CO₂ and N₂O emissions (Tian et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2012). The lowest negative GWP (<-160 g CO₂ eq/m²/year) occurred in the southeastern and northeastern portions of North America. To further clarify the spatial patterns, we divided the study region as countries, reporting zones, and biome types.

There are large variations among the three countries in terms of their contributions to GWP. The US had the highest capability to reduce GWP (-0.53±0.38 Pg CO₂ eq/year) though a wider estimate range was obtained as compared to Canada and Mexico (Table 1). The US has acted as the highest N₂O source and CO₂ sink compared to the other two countries. GWP in Mexico is positive due to higher N₂O emission than the terrestrial CO₂ and CH₄ uptake, where N₂O and CH₄ fluxes offset about 329 %±119 % of the CO₂ sink. A small negative GWP (-0.09±0.21 Pg CO₂ eq/year) was found in Canada, due to a relatively high CO₂ sink and low




Fig. 3 Spatial patterns of combined global warming potential for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in North American terrestrial ecosystems during 2001–2010 (a: pixel level, unit: g CO₂ eq/m²/year; b: reporting zones (delineated as Hayes et al. 2012, unit: Tg CO₂ eq/year/zone)

N₂O emission, which has also been reported in previous studies, such as Chen et al. (2000), Kurz and Apps (1999), Tian et al. (2012b) and Xu et al. (2012). We further divided the three countries into 97 reporting zones based on the boundaries shown in Hayes et al. (2012). At reporting zone level, we found a positive GWP in Alaska, Nevada, Florida, Louisiana and most states in Mexico, indicating these zones were potential contributors to global warming (Fig. 3b); most zones in Canada and in the northern and central US were characterized by a negative GWP. The larger emissions of CH₄ from wetlands and N₂O fluxes from subtropical forests had offset the large CO₂ sinks in Louisiana and Florida. The larger N₂O emissions and smaller CO₂ sinks in subtropical and tropical Mexico make most states in this country a positive GWP.

All biomes except wetland had negative GWP due to environmental changes, indicating a contribution to slow down global warming in these biomes (Table 2). Among them, forest (−0.48 Pg CO₂ eq/year) was the largest CO₂ and CH₄ sink but it did not mitigate climate warming as much as we expected due to higher N₂O emissions than other natural biome types. Wetland was a relatively large CO₂ sink; however, CH₄ emissions exceeded the CO₂ sink, resulting in a

Table 2 CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes and their overall global warming potential (Pg CO₂ eq/year) for major biome types in North America during the recent decade

Variables	Forest ^a	Grassland	Wetland	Cropland	Others ^b	North America
CO ₂	−0.69	−0.12	−0.31	−0.46	−0.27	−1.85
CH ₄	−0.04	−0.02	0.61	0.01	−0.04	0.52
N ₂ O	0.25	0.11	0.07	0.23	0.15	0.81
Overall GWP	−0.48	−0.02	0.37	−0.22	−0.16	−0.50

^a Part of forest is included in wetland as woody wetland. Biome-level GWP may not sum to the totals due to rounding

^b Others include shrubland, tundra, desert, urban lawn and forest, and bare ground

positive GWP of 0.37 Pg CO₂ eq/year. Due to intensive management practices such as irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer use, cropland became a very important CO₂ sink over recent decades (Hayes et al. 2012), although it only covers about 11 % of the total land area of North America. Despite having the highest N₂O emissions, the overall GWP of three gases in cropland was still negative and only second to forest, contributing to a reduction of climate warming under current environmental conditions. Grassland played a nearly neutral role to the overall GWP changes in North America. Other biome types (e.g., shrubland, urban lawn, and tundra) also had a negative GWP.

3.4 Uncertainty ranges of overall GWP

The DLEM simulation showed that 73±14 % of the North American terrestrial CO₂ sink was offset by CH₄ and N₂O emissions in the 2000s. If we include results from other continental-scale reports, the estimate spread would be wider. Given the CO₂ sink estimates from forward modeling (i.e., 1.87±1.51 Pg CO₂ eq/year), inverse modeling (i.e., 3.41±1.39 Pg CO₂ eq/year), and inventory data (1.21 Pg CO₂ eq/year) (Hayes et al. 2012; Huntzinger et al. 2012), the overall GWP of three GHGs was -0.53, -2.07, and 0.13 Pg CO₂ eq/year, respectively. The CH₄ and N₂O emissions could offset 71 %, 39 %, and 111 % of the cooling effects from CO₂ uptake as estimated by above approaches, respectively. It implies more research is needed to reconcile the estimated magnitude of CO₂ balance in North American terrestrial ecosystems. If we consider the multi-source estimates of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes (Potter et al. 2006; CCSP 2007; Zhuang et al. 2007; Xiao et al. 2011; Hayes, et al. 2012; Huntzinger et al. 2012; Tian et al. 2012b), the spread of the offset ratio would be even broader (27–130 %, Supplementary Material Table S1). We found that CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes ranged between -3.56– -1.17, 0.16–0.50, and 0.80–1.02 Pg CO₂ eq/year, respectively (Fig. S3). The narrower range for N₂O is due to less available data for comparisons. The DLEM-estimated terrestrial contribution to alleviate climate warming in terms of GWP (-0.50±0.27 Pg CO₂ eq/year) is slightly smaller than the mean value of all the existing estimates (-0.90±1.33 Pg CO₂ eq/year; Table S1).

By combining DLEM-estimated CH₄ and N₂O fluxes with CO₂ flux estimates obtained from inverse modeling, forward modeling, and inventory-based estimates (Hayes et al. 2012; Huntzinger et al. 2012; King et al. 2012), we also examined the spatial variation in GWP uncertainty (Supplementary Material Fig. S3). All three methods showed a positive GWP in Alaska and some zones in the southern portion of North America, while most zones in the north-central US were characterized by negative GWP. According to the inventory-based estimate, most of the zones in Mexico, south-central US, West Pacific regions, and northern Canada had positive GWP. However, in terms of the inverse modeling results, all of the reporting zones in Canada and the US except Florida showed negative GWP. This indicated that the spatial pattern of estimated GWP still has large uncertainty. Therefore, further studies are needed to identify our knowledge gaps, diminish uncertainty ranges, and find convergence among GHG estimates from different approaches.

3.5 Implications, limitations and research needs

Both CH₄ and N₂O emissions were predicted to greatly increase in the near future due to environmental changes over different continents (US EPA 2012; Zaehle et al. 2011; Schulze et al. 2009; Koven et al. 2011; Tian et al. 2012b). These predictions imply that climate warming may be accelerated by GHG emissions from North American terrestrial ecosystems, especially in the years with extreme climate events. Some management practices, such as nitrogen fertilizer use and manure application, have been reported to greatly increase carbon sequestration in cropland (CCSP 2007); however, our studies indicated these practices would also greatly increase N₂O emission after a long-term application, especially when nitrogen

saturation or fertilizer overuses occur (Tian et al. 2012a, b; Del Grosso et al. 2006). Nitrogen fertilizer has been widely used in planted forests in the US (Fox et al. 2007); however, it is still uncertain how this affects N₂O and CH₄ fluxes though it increases carbon storage. Therefore, a comprehensive approach should be used to evaluate the consequences of these management practices on the combined GHG balance in North America in the future.

As a process-based terrestrial ecosystem model, DLEM builds on the understanding of biogeochemical processes controlling C, N and water dynamics and can be used to simulate the dynamics of multiple ecosystem components (Tian et al. 2010). However, substantial uncertainties might exist in the ecosystem modeling results because some processes are still underrepresented (Hayes et al. 2012; Banger et al. 2012). For example, the hydrological processes in wetlands are not well-represented yet in most terrestrial ecosystem models, which could result in large uncertainties in simulating GHG fluxes, especially for CH₄ and CO₂ in wetlands (Riley et al. 2011; Sulman et al. 2012; Melton et al. 2013). In addition, the model driving data also vary substantially. For example, there exist several series of climate data (e.g., CRU, NCEP1, NCEP2, CRUNCEP, etc.) and land use and land cover data (Spahni et al. 2011; Huntzinger et al. 2012), which may produce different modeling results. Besides, larger uncertainties also come from differences among various approaches.

To narrow down the estimate range, we need a better understanding of critical biogeochemical processes which control land–atmosphere GHG exchanges, interactions among multiple environmental factors, and classification and distribution of key vegetation cover types, such as natural wetland and inundation extent. A synthesis of the available data from multiple sources and a framework allowing full accounting of all three GHG fluxes will be applicable and urgent for global change research. Generating consistent input data, as well as conducting data-model integration and model-model intercomparison are important ways to decrease the existing uncertainties. Based on the standard model simulation procedures and consistent input data sets, the intercomparisons will identify and quantify uncertainty sources for various estimates of GHG budgets resulting from different model representations, structures and parameterizations. For land-atmosphere CO₂ fluxes, several model-data integration and model-model intercomparison projects have been or are being conducted for the North America, for example, the NACP and Multi-scale Synthesis and Terrestrial Model Intercomparison Project (MsTMIP) (Huntzinger et al. 2013). Unfortunately, there are no such synthesis activities for N₂O and CH₄ for this region. Therefore, for future research, we call for multi-constraint synthesis including inventories, field observations, inverse and forward modeling to achieve a “best estimate” of GHG balance and better understand the underlying mechanisms responsible for land-atmosphere GHG exchange in North America.

Acknowledgments This study has been supported by NASA Interdisciplinary Science Program (NNX10AU06G, NNX11AD47G), US Department of Energy NICCR Program (DUKE-UN-07-SC-NICCR-1014), NASA Atmospheric Chemistry Modeling and Analysis Program, NASA Terrestrial Ecology Program. We thank four anonymous reviewers for their precious comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Banger K, Tian H, Lu C (2012) Do nitrogen fertilizers stimulate or inhibit methane emissions from rice fields? *Glob Chang Biol* 18:3259–3267. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02762.x

- Blankinship JC, Brown JR, Dijkstra P, Hungate BA (2010) Effects of interactive global changes on methane uptake in annual grassland. *J Geophys Res* 115:1–9
- CCSP (2007) The first state of the carbon cycle report: the North American carbon budget and implications for the global carbon cycle. A report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research. In: King AW, Dilling L, Zimmerman GP et al (eds) National oceanic and atmospheric administration. National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, p 242
- Chen J, Chen W, Liu J, Cihlar J, Gray S (2000) Annual carbon balance of Canada's forests during 1895–1996. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 14:839–849
- Chen G, Tian HQ, Zhang C et al (2012) Drought in the Southern United States over the 20th century: variability and its impacts on terrestrial ecosystem productivity and carbon storage. *Clim Chang* 114:379–397
- Chen G, Tian H, Huang C, Prior SA, Pan S (2013) Integrating a process-based ecosystem model with Landsat imagery to assess impacts of forest disturbance on terrestrial carbon dynamics: case studies in Alabama and Mississippi. *J Geophys Res* 118:1208–1224
- Dacey JWH, Drake BG, Klug MJ (1994) Stimulation of methane emission by carbon dioxide enrichment of marsh vegetation. *Nature* 370:47–49
- Dahl TE (1990) Wetlands losses in the United States 1780s to 1980s. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C., p 13
- Del Grosso SJ, Parton WJ, Mosier AR, Walsh MK, Ojima DS, Thornton PE (2006) DAYCENT national-scale simulations of nitrous oxide emissions from cropped soils in the United States. *J Environ Qual* 35:1451–1460
- Dijkstra FA, Prior SA, Runion GB et al (2012) Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and increased temperature on methane and nitrous oxide fluxes: evidence from field experiments. *Front Ecol Environ* 10:520–527
- Forster P, Ramaswamy V, Artaxo P et al (2007) Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. In: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z (eds) *Climate change 2007: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Fox TR, Allen HL, Albaugh TJ, Rubilar RA, Carlson C (2007) Tree nutrition and forest fertilization of pine plantations in the southern United States. *South J Appl For* 31:5–11
- Hansen J, Sato M, Ruedy R, Lacis A, Oinas V (2000) Global warming in the twenty-first century: an alternative scenario. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:9875–9880
- Hayes DJ, Turner DP, Stinson G et al (2012) Reconciling estimates of the contemporary North American carbon balance among terrestrial biosphere models, atmospheric inversions, and a new approach for estimating net ecosystem exchange from inventory-based data. *Glob Chang Biol* 18:1282–1299
- Huang Y, Sun W, Zhang W, Yu Y, Su Y, Song C (2010) Marshland conversion to cropland in northeast China from 1950 to 2000 reduced the greenhouse effect. *Glob Chang Biol* 16:680–695
- Huntzinger DN, Post WM, Wei Y et al (2012) North American Carbon Program (NACP) regional interim synthesis: terrestrial biospheric model intercomparison. *Ecol Model* 232:144–157
- Huntzinger DN, Schwalm C, Michalak AM et al (2013) The North American Carbon program multi-scale synthesis and terrestrial model intercomparison project—part 1: overview and experimental design. *Geosci Model Dev* 6:2121–2133. doi:10.5194/gmd-6-2121-2013
- Ineson P, Coward P, Hartwig U (1998) Soil gas fluxes of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ beneath *Lolium perenne* under elevated CO₂: the Swiss free air carbon dioxide enrichment experiment. *Plant Soil* 198:89–95
- Kanerva T, Palojarvi A, Rämöä K, Manninen S (2008) Changes in soil microbial community structure under elevated tropospheric O₃ and CO₂. *Soil Biol Biochem* 40:2502–2510
- Kettunen R, Saarnio S, Martikainen PJ, Silvola J (2005) Elevated CO₂ concentration and nitrogen fertilisation effects on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes and biomass production of *Phleum pratense* on farmed peat soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 37:739–750
- King AW, Hayes DJ, Huntzinger DN, West TO, Post WM (2012) North American carbon dioxide sources and sinks: magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty. *Front Ecol Environ* 10:512–519
- Koven CD, Ringer B, Friedlingstein P, Ciais P, Cadule P, Khvorostyanov D, Krinner G, Tamocai C (2011) Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:14769–14774
- Kurz WA, Apps MJ (1999) A 70-year retrospective analysis of carbon fluxes in the Canadian forest sector. *Ecol Appl* 9:526–547
- Li CS, Narayanan V, Harriss RC (1996) Model estimates of nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural lands in the United States. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 10:297–306
- Liu M, Tian H, Yang Q, Yang J, Song X, Lohrenz SE, Cai WJ (2013) Long-term trends in evapotranspiration and runoff over the drainage basins of the Gulf of Mexico during 1901–2008. *Water Resour Res* 49:1988–2012
- Lu C, Tian H (2013) Net greenhouse gas balance in response to nitrogen enrichment: perspectives from a coupled biogeochemical model. *Glob Chang Biol* 19:571–588
- Lu C, Tian H, Liu M, Ren W, Xu X, Chen G, Zhang C (2012) Effect of nitrogen deposition on China's terrestrial carbon uptake in the context of multifactor environmental changes. *Ecol Appl* 22:53–75

- Melton JR, Wania R, Hodson EL et al (2013) Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from a model inter-comparison project (WETCHIMP). *Biogeosciences* 10:753–788
- Miller SM, Kort EA, Hirsch AI et al (2012) Regional sources of nitrous oxide over the United States: seasonal variation and spatial distribution. *J Geophys Res*. doi:10.1029/2011JD016951
- Pancotto VA, Bodegom, PM van, Hal JR van et al. (2010) N deposition and elevated CO₂ on methane emissions: differential responses of indirect effects compared to direct effects through litter chemistry feedbacks. *J Geophys Res* 115. doi:10.1029/2009JG001099
- Potter CS, Klooster S, Hiatt S et al (2006) Methane emissions from natural wetlands in the United States: satellite-derived estimation based on ecosystem carbon cycling. *Earth Interact* 10:Paper 10–Paper 022
- Ren W, Tian H, Tao B et al (2012) Impacts of ozone pollution and climate change on net primary productivity and carbon storage of China's forest ecosystems as assessed by using a process-based ecosystem model. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 20(3):391–406
- Ren W, Tian H, Xu X et al (2011) Spatial and temporal patterns of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in China's croplands in response to multifactor environmental changes. *Tellus B* 63:222–240
- Rigby M, Prinn RG, Fraser PJ et al (2008) Renewed growth of atmospheric methane. *Geophys Res Lett* 35, L22805. doi:10.1029/2008GL036037
- Riley WJ, Subin ZM, Lawrence DM et al (2011) Barriers to predicting changes in global terrestrial methane fluxes: analyses using CLM4Me, a methane biogeochemistry model integrated in CESM. *Biogeosciences* 8:1925–1953
- Schulze ED, Luyssaert S, Ciais P et al (2009) Importance of methane and nitrous oxide for Europe's terrestrial greenhouse-gas balance. *Nat Geosci* 2:842–850
- Schwalm CR, Williams CA, Schaefer K et al (2010) A model-data intercomparison of CO₂ exchange across North America: Results from the North American Carbon Program Site Synthesis. *J Geophys Res*. doi:10.1029/2009JG001229
- Spahni R, Wania R, Neef L et al (2011) Constraining global methane emissions and uptake by ecosystems. *Biogeosciences* 8:1643–1665
- Sulman BN, Desai AR, Schroeder NM, Ricciuto D, Barr A, Richardson AD, Flanagan LB, Lafleur PM, Tian H, Chen G, Grant RF, Poulter B, Verbeek H, Ciais P, Ringeval B, Baker I, Schaefer K, Luo Y, Weng E (2012) Impact of hydrological variations on modeling of peatland CO₂ fluxes: results from the North American Carbon Program site synthesis. *J Geophys Res* 117(G1):G01031. doi:10.1029/2011JG001862
- Tian H, Xu X, Liu M et al (2010) Spatial and temporal patterns of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems of North America during 1979–2008: application of a global biogeochemistry model. *Biogeosciences* 7:2673–2694
- Tian H, Xu X, Lu C et al. (2011a) Net exchanges of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O between China's terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere and their contributions to global climate warming. *J Geophys Res* G02011, doi: 10.1029/2010JG001393
- Tian H, Melillo J, Lu C et al (2011b) China's terrestrial carbon balance: contributions from multiple global change factors. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles*. doi:10.1029/2010GB003838
- Tian H, Chen G, Zhang C et al (2012a) Century-scale responses of ecosystem carbon storage and flux to multiple environmental changes in the southern United States. *Ecosystems* 15:674–694
- Tian H, Lu C, Chen G et al (2012b) Contemporary and projected biogenic fluxes of methane and nitrous oxide in terrestrial ecosystems of North America. *Front Ecol Environ* 10:528–536
- Tian H, Lu C, Melillo J et al (2012c) Food benefit and climate warming potential of nitrogen fertilizer uses in China. *Environ Res Lett*. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/044020
- Tian H, Chen G, Lu C et al (2013) Global land-atmosphere exchange of methane and nitrous oxide: magnitude and spatiotemporal patterns. *Biogeosci Discuss* 10:19811–19865
- Toet S, Ineson P, Peacock S, Ashmore M (2011) Elevated ozone reduces methane emissions from peatland mesocosms. *Glob Chang Biol* 17:288–296
- US EPA (2011) Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse gas emissions and sinks: 1990–2009. United States Environmental Protection Agency. EPA 430-R-11-005. Available at: <http://epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/usinventoryreport.html>. Accessed 09 Dec 2012
- US EPA (2012) Summary report: global anthropogenic Non-CO₂ greenhouse gas emissions: 1990–2030. EPA, Office of Atmospheric Programs, Washington, DC
- USGCRP (2009) Global climate change impacts in the United States. In: Karl TR, Melillo M, Peterson TC (eds) United States global change research program. Cambridge University Press, New York
- Xiao J, Zhuang Q, Law BE et al (2011) Assessing net ecosystem carbon exchange of U.S. Terrestrial ecosystems by integrating eddy covariance flux measurements and satellite observations. *Agr For Meteorol* 151:60–69
- Xu X, Tian H (2012) Methane exchange between marshland and the atmosphere over China during 1949–2008. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles*. doi:10.1029/2010GB003946
- Xu X, Tian H, Zhang C et al (2010) Attribution of spatial and temporal variations in terrestrial methane flux over North America. *Biogeosciences* 7:3637–3655
- Xu X, Tian H, Chen G et al (2012) Multiple factor controls on terrestrial N₂O flux over North America from 1979 through 2010. *Biogeosciences* 9:1351–1366

- Zachle S, Ciais P, Friend AD, Prieur V (2011) Carbon benefits of anthropogenic reactive nitrogen offset by nitrous oxide emissions. *Nature Geosci* 4. doi:[10.1038/NGEO1207](https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO1207)
- Zhang C, Tian H, Chen G et al (2012) Impacts of urbanization on carbon balance in terrestrial ecosystems of the Southern United States. *Environ Pollut* 164:89–101
- Zhuang Q, Melillo JM, McGuire AD et al (2007) Net emissions of CH₄ and CO₂ in Alaska: implications for the region's greenhouse gas budget. *Ecol Appl* 17:203–212