



## Draft Genome Sequence of New Leprosy Agent Mycobacterium lepromatosis

Xiang Y. Han,a Nipun A. Mistry,b Erika J. Thompson,c Hong-Li Tang,c Kanhav Khanna,c Li Zhangb

Department of Laboratory Medicine, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA<sup>a</sup>; Department of Bioinformatics, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA<sup>b</sup>; Sequencing and Microarray Facility, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA<sup>c</sup>

Mycobacterium lepromatosis is a newly discovered cause of leprosy. Here, we present a near-complete genome of M. lepromatosis from strain FJ924 obtained from a patient who died of leprosy. The genome contained 3,215,823 nucleotides and matched ~87% with the Mycobacterium leprae genome. This genome is likely the smallest of all mycobacterial genomes known to date.

Received 17 April 2015 Accepted 23 April 2015 Published 21 May 2015

Citation Han XY, Mistry NA, Thompson EJ, Tang H-L, Khanna K, Zhang L. 2015. Draft genome sequence of new leprosy agent *Mycobacterium lepromatosis*. Genome Announc 3(3):e00513-15. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00513-15.

**Copyright** © 2015 Han et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license. Address correspondence to Xiang Y. Han, xhan@mdanderson.org.

eprosy is caused by the well-known *Mycobacterium leprae* (1) and the newly discovered *Mycobacterium lepromatosis* (2). The bacilli differed at least 9.1% and diverged ~10 million years ago from their last common ancestor (3, 4). This difference contrasts with the clonal nature of worldwide *M. leprae* strains (5–7). Several studies have shown that *M. lepromatosis* is the long-elusive second agent of leprosy (8–14). The organism has been identified so far in leprosy patients from Mexico (8–10), Canada (11), Brazil (12), Burma (12), and Singapore (13). It is likely the dominant cause of leprosy in Mexico (9). Dual infections with both *M. lepromatosis* and *M. leprae* have been described (8, 12, 13). Like *M. leprae*, *M. lepromatosis* has not been cultivated in medium so far.

The present draft genome of *M. lepromatosis* was sequenced from strain FJ924 that was purified and enriched initially from autopsy liver tissue (2, 3). Due to exhaustions of the fresh organism and extracted DNA, the sequenced DNA was extracted from scraped bacilli on a glass slide smear that had been dried, stained (Kinyoun method), and archived for 6 years. The extraction yielded ~3 ng DNA by use of the QIAamp kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). A whole-genome library was then constructed using the KAPA kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA), enriched by six PCR cycles, and sequenced on the HiSeq 2000 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

Sixty-nine million reads with paired ends were generated. The reads were filtered to remove human sequences (14 million, ~20%) and matched to the closest *M. leprae* Br4923 genome (5) with BLAST v2.2.26 (14) for enrichment and removal of contaminant bacterial DNA. The matched reads (11 million) were assembled *de novo* (Velvet v1.2.10) (15); the contigs were aligned manually and through use of Bowtie 2 v2.1.0 (16) to the *M. leprae* genome for orders, orientations, and gap closure. A tentative draft genome resulted, which was refined through GapFiller v1-10 (BaseClear BV, Leiden, The Netherlands) (17) to capture unique sequences from all the 69 million reads. Eventually, a draft genome consisting of 3,215,823 nucleotides from 39 final contigs was obtained. From the 11.5 million mapped reads, 500-fold cov-

erage of the genome was achieved. As a quality indicator, the genome contained all 20 genes and pseudogenes (22,814-bp) that were sequenced previously from the same strain (3). This assessment and the low number of gaps hinted that this draft genome was nearly complete.

This *M. lepromatosis* genome matched ~87% overall with the *M. leprae* genome (3,268,071 nucleotides) (4,5). Being also 52 kb (~1.6%) smaller, it was likely the smallest of all mycobacterial genomes known to date. This genome should complement another draft *M. lepromatosis* genome (3,206,741 nucleotides of 126 contigs) reported several weeks earlier by a separate team (18). Decoding the genome of *M. lepromatosis* should be useful for the research and care for leprosy.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession no. LAWX00000000. The version described in this paper is version LAWX01000000.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported in part by a University Cancer Foundation grant from the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant P30 CA016672 for M. D. Anderson's core Sequencing and Microarray Facility and core Bioinformatics Shared Resource, by the NIH grant CA143883 for M. D. Anderson's TCGA Genome Data Analysis Center, and by the Mary K. Chapman Foundation.

We disclose no conflicts of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

- Hansen GHA. 1874. Undersøgelser Angående Spedalskhedens Årsager (On the etiology of leprosy). Norsk Mag Laegervidenskaben 4:1–88 (In Norwegian.)
- Han XY, Seo Y-H, Sizer KC, Schoberle T, May GS, Spencer JS, Li W, Nair RG. 2008. A new *Mycobacterium* species causing diffuse lepromatous leprosy. Am J Clin Pathol 130:856–864. http://dx.doi.org/10.1309/ AJCPP72FJZZRRVMM.
- 3. Han XY, Sizer KC, Thompson EJ, Kabanja J, Li J, Hu P, Gómez-Valero L, Silva FJ. 2009. Comparative sequence analysis of *Mycobacterium leprae* and the new leprosy-causing *Mycobacterium lepromatosis*. J Bacteriol 191: 6067–6074. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00762-09.

- Han XY, Silva FJ. 2014. On the age of leprosy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8:e2544. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002544.
- 5. Cole ST, Eiglmeier K, Parkhill J, James KD, Thomson NR, Wheeler PR, Honoré N, Garnier T, Churcher C, Harris D, Mungall K, Basham D, Brown D, Chillingworth T, Connor R, Davies RM, Devlin K, Duthoy S, Feltwell T, Fraser A, Hamlin N, Holroyd S, Hornsby T, Jagels K, Lacroix C, Maclean J, Moule S, Murphy L, Oliver K, Quail MA, Rajandream MA, Rutherford KM, Rutter S, Seeger K, Simon S, Simmonds M, Skelton J, Squares R, Squares S, Stevens K, Taylor K, Whitehead S, Woodward JR, Barrell BG. 2001. Massive gene decay in the leprosy bacillus. Nature 409:1007–1011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35059006
- 6. Monot M, Honoré N, Garnier T, Zidane N, Sherafi D, Paniz-Mondolfi A, Matsuoka M, Taylor GM, Donoghue HD, Bouwman A, Mays S, Watson C, Lockwood D, Khamesipour A, Dowlati Y, Jianping S, Rea TH, Vera-Cabrera L, Stefani MM, Banu S, Macdonald M, Sapkota BR, Spencer JS, Thomas J, Harshman K, Singh P, Busso P, Gattiker A, Rougemont J, Brennan PJ, Cole ST. 2009. Comparative genomic and phylogeographic analysis of Mycobacterium leprae. Nat Genet 41: 1282–1289. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.477.
- Schuenemann VJ, Singh P, Mendum TA, Krause-Kyora B, Jäger G, Bos KI, Herbig A, Economou C, Benjak A, Busso P, Nebel A, Boldsen JL, Kjellström A, Wu H, Stewart GR, Taylor GM, Bauer P, Lee OY, Wu HH, Minnikin DE, Besra GS, Tucker K, Roffey S, Sow SO, Cole ST, Nieselt K, Krause J. 2013. Genome-wide comparison of medieval and modern *Mycobacterium leprae*. Science 341:179–183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1238286.
- 8. Han XY, Sizer KC, Velarde-Félix JS, Frias-Castro LO, Vargas-Ocampo F. 2012. The leprosy agents *Mycobacterium lepromatosis* and *Mycobacterium leprae* in Mexico. Int J Dermatol 51:952–959. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-4632.2011.05414.x.
- 9. Vera-Cabrera L, Escalante-Fuentes WG, Gomez-Flores M, Ocampo-

- Candiani J, Busso P, Singh P, Cole ST. 2011. A case of diffuse lepromatous leprosy associated with "*Mycobacterium lepromatosis*." J Clin Microbiol 49:4366–4368. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.05634-11.
- Han XY, Jessurun J. 2013. Severe leprosy reactions due to Mycobacterium lepromatosis. Am J Med Sci 345:65–69. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ MAJ.0b013e31826af5fb.
- 11. Jessamine PG, Desjardins M, Gillis T, Scollard D, Jamieson F, Broukhanski G, Chedore P, McCarthy A. 2012. Leprosy-like illness in a patient with *Mycobacterium lepromatosis* from Ontario, Canada. J Drugs Dermatol 11:229–233.
- 12. Han XY, Aung FM, Choon S-E, Werner B. 2014. Analysis of the leprosy agents *Mycobacterium leprae* and *Mycobacterium lepromatosis* in four countries. Am J Clin Pathol 142:524–532. http://dx.doi.org/10.1309/AJCP1GLCBE5CDZRM.
- Han XY, Sizer KC, Tan HH. 2012. Identification of the leprosy agent Mycobacterium lepromatosis in Singapore. J Drugs Dermatol 11:168–172.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- Zerbino DR. 1 September 2010. Using the velvet *de novo* assembler for short-read sequencing technologies. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. Chapter 11:Unit 11.5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi1105s31.
- 16. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.
- 17. Boetzer M, Pirovano W. 2012. Toward almost closed genomes with Gap-Filler. Genome Biol 13:R56. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r56.
- Singh P, Benjak A, Schuenemann VJ, Herbig A, Avanzi C, Busso P, Nieselt K, Krause J, Vera-Cabrera L, Cole ST. 2015. Insight into the evolution and origin of leprosy bacilli from the genome sequence of *My-cobacterium lepromatosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:4459–4464. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421504112.