



Identifying Insomnia in Early Pregnancy: Validation of the Insomnia Symptoms Questionnaire (ISQ) in Pregnant Women

Michele L. Okun, PhD^{1,3}; Daniel J. Buysse, MD^{1,2}; Martica H. Hall, PhD^{1,2}

¹School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA; ²Clinical and Translational Science Institute, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA; ³University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, CO

Study Objectives: Although a substantial number of pregnant women report symptoms of insomnia, few studies have used a validated instrument to determine the prevalence in early gestation. Identification of insomnia in pregnancy is vital given the strong connection between insomnia and the incidence of depression, cardiovascular disease, or immune dysregulation. The goal of this paper is to provide additional psychometric evaluation and validation of the Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire (ISQ) and to establish prevalence rates of insomnia among a cohort of pregnant women during early gestation.

Methods: The ISQ was evaluated in 143 pregnant women at 12 weeks gestation. The internal consistency and criterion validity of the dichotomized ISQ were compared to traditional measures of sleep from sleep diaries, actigraphy, and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index using indices of sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value (PPV, NPV), and likelihood ratio (LR) tests.

Results: The ISQ identified 12.6% of the sample as meeting

a case definition of insomnia, consistent with established diagnostic criteria. Good reliability was established with Cronbach $\alpha = 0.86$. The ISQ had high specificity (most > 85%), but sensitivity, PPV, NPV, and LRs varied according to which sleep measure was used as the validating criterion.

Conclusions: Insomnia is a health problem for many pregnant women at all stages in pregnancy. These data support the validity and reliability of the ISQ to identify insomnia in pregnant women. The ISQ is a short and cost-effective tool that can be quickly employed in large observational studies or in clinical practice where perinatal women are seen.

Commentary: A commentary on this article appears in this issue on page 593.

Keywords: actigraphy; insomnia; pregnancy; sleep; validity

Citation: Okun ML, Buysse DJ, Hall MH. Identifying insomnia in early pregnancy: validation of the insomnia symptoms questionnaire (ISQ) in pregnant women. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2015;11(6):645–654.

Pregnant women report substantial sleep disturbances beginning as early as 10 weeks.¹ Among the many sleep complaints associated with pregnancy, difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep or unrefreshing sleep, are the most frequently reported issues.^{1,2} Collectively these sleep symptoms comprise only one aspect of clinical insomnia. According to diagnostic criteria for insomnia, one must have: (1) a difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep, and/or unrefreshing sleep despite adequate opportunity for sleep; (2) the duration of the sleep complaint is at least 1 mo; and (3) complaints of significant impairment in social, occupational, or daily functioning.^{3,4} Insomnia is associated with activation of the stress system resulting in autonomic hyperarousal.^{5,6} It is also linked to various morbidities including depression.^{7–12} Emerging evidence implicates autonomic hyperarousal as a pathway through which the risk of depression is augmented.¹³ This association is particularly relevant for pregnant women because there is evidence that depression is associated with an increased risk for preterm birth (PTB),¹⁴ and prenatal depression negatively impairs maternal-child bonding and neurocognitive development.^{14–17} Having a simple diagnostic tool to identify clinical insomnia in pregnant women could be a first step in reducing the incidence of depression, autonomic hyperarousal, as well as adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as PTB.

BRIEF SUMMARY

Current Knowledge/Study Rationale: Pregnant women are at increased risk for insomnia. Insomnia is associated with an increased risk for adverse health outcomes. Identifying insomnia in pregnant women using a diagnostically valid instrument could assist with the diagnosis of insomnia. Early identification may lead to early intervention, and a subsequent amelioration of risk.

Study Impact: The ISQ provides useful clinical information regarding degree and severity of insomnia symptoms. Given what we know about sleep and adverse pregnancy outcomes, use of the ISQ could help with the dissemination of the importance of sleep and sleep problems during pregnancy.

Pregnant women are attracting greater attention with regard to insomnia. Evidence supports the conviction that an increasing number of women report insomnia symptoms following conception.^{18–20} Although the majority of the evidence indicates that the third trimester confers the greatest risk for developing insomnia,^{19,21} few studies have assessed sleep in early pregnancy and none have evaluated the prevalence of a case definition of insomnia. We contend that a substantial number of women are at risk of developing insomnia in early pregnancy based on the myriad physiological and psychological changes that occur. The first weeks of conception are characterized by marked fluctuations in

immune and endocrine markers^{22–26} known to directly and indirectly affect sleep. Physical symptoms, such as increased need to urinate, backache, and nausea, are recognized disruptors of sleep.^{27,28} Psychological factors, including pregnancy-related anxiety and distress, can also impair a woman's ability to effectively return to sleep.^{1,29} We recently reported that about 30% of nondepressed pregnant women endorsed symptoms of insomnia at 20 w.²⁰ We believe that early pregnancy may be a critical period in which to identify insomnia, given the vast physiological adaptations that occur during this time,^{30–32} as well as the links between symptoms of insomnia and adverse pregnancy outcomes.^{33,34}

Various symptom severity questionnaires have been incorporated into studies of sleep in pregnancy in order to assess the prevalence of insomnia. Several of these correspond to specific diagnostic criteria by assessing sleep complaints, duration and/or daytime consequences. Kizilirmak and colleagues¹⁹ used the Women's Health Initiative Insomnia Rating Scale (WHIRS)³⁵ to identify the prevalence of insomnia in 486 women during pregnancy. Although they found that > 50% of the women scored positive for insomnia sleep symptoms,¹⁹ the WHIRS only assesses the frequency of the sleep symptoms in the past 4 w, but not the daytime impairment which is a key component of diagnostic criteria. Dorheim et al.¹⁸ used the Bergen Insomnia Scale (BIS) in 2,816 women at two times during pregnancy. Similarly, they found that about 61% of women met Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria for insomnia at 32 w gestation.¹⁸ Although the BIS adequately assesses diagnostic insomnia criteria, it does not capture the duration that one has experienced insomnia. Thus, insomnia may be overdiagnosed with this scale. Others have used the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)^{36,37} to indicate prevalence of sleep disturbance and/or insomnia in pregnancy. Although the PSQI may reliably quantify subjective sleep quality in pregnant women,^{38–40} it was not designed to identify insomnia *per se*. The most commonly used measure of insomnia symptoms in pregnant women has been the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI).⁴¹ Several studies of pregnant women report high rates of insomnia symptoms. Swanson et al.⁴² reported that 45% of pregnant women scored above the threshold value, and Fernandez-Alonso et al.⁴³ identified more than 73% in late pregnancy. Manber and colleagues,⁴⁴ however, reported that only 17% of Latina women, across all trimesters, met criteria for insomnia (≥ 10) and that there was no difference across trimesters.

The Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire (ISQ) is a validated 13-item self-report instrument designed to identify insomnia.⁴⁵ However, it has only been validated in a cohort of perimenopausal and postmenopausal women.⁴⁵ The extent to which pregnant women experience insomnia differentially or to a varying degree than other groups of women has not been evaluated. In the current paper, we psychometrically evaluate the ISQ in comparison to sleep data ascertained by frequently used collection methods utilized in pregnant women. Additionally, in light of the goals of the Healthy People 2020 initiative,^{46,47} this tool may be exceptionally valuable in the clinical setting. Both prenatal health care visits and sleep health are highlighted as areas of opportunity in which to reduce present and future morbidity. We further sought to estimate the prevalence of insomnia in early pregnancy, given evidence that insomnia contributes to pregnancy-related morbidity.^{10,48,49}

METHODS

Participants

Study participants were 160 pregnant women between age 18 and 45 y who were recruited from the greater Pittsburgh area from October 2008 through December 2012 as part of a larger study evaluating pregnancy-related sleep disturbances in relation to perinatal outcomes.^{1,50} The women were identified through self-referral, physician referral, local advertising, or enrollment in University research registries. Potential participants were excluded if they self-reported a diagnosis of a mental disorder, sleep disorder, had chronic diseases, including diabetes, human immunodeficiency virus, or uterine abnormalities, or were actively taking antidepressants or receiving other treatments for mental disorders, i.e., therapy. Women were not objectively tested for sleep disturbances, such as obstructive sleep apnea/sleep disordered breathing. Nor were they clinically assessed for restless legs. Data from women who miscarried ($n = 7$) or did not have complete sleep data at 10–12 weeks or ISQ data ($n = 10$) were not included in the current analyses, leaving a final sample of 143 women. All participants provided written consent. Approval was received from the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board.

Procedures

The research design was a prospective observational study, examining daily indices of sleep in early gestation. Participants recorded subjective sleep and daily activity in the Pittsburgh Sleep Diary⁵³ and wore a wrist actigraph (Mini Mitter, Philips Respironics, Bend, OR) on their nondominant arm for 2 w during 10–12 weeks gestation. The assessment period enabled collection of all sleep patterns for the entire time period, often including irregularities due to illness, travel, “on call” nights, etc. All available days with data were included in the descriptive analyses. Subsequent to the diary/actigraphy assessment period, participants completed a series of online questionnaires.

Measures

The ISQ is a 13-item self-report instrument designed to identify insomnia.⁴⁵ ISQ questions are based on DSM-IV criteria for primary insomnia⁴ and are consistent with the American Academy of Sleep Medicine's (AASM) Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC).⁵¹ The ISQ does not assess whether the sleep disturbance occurs exclusive of another sleep disorder, mental disorder, or substance or general medical condition (criteria C-E in DSM-IV for primary insomnia) that allows clinicians to diagnose other DSM-IV insomnia subtypes. However, in the current sample, women were excluded if they reported a diagnosis of a sleep disorder or major medical condition. Hence, it is likely that the women in whom insomnia was diagnosed via the ISQ have primary insomnia. The ISQ and its psychometric properties have been characterized in a cohort of midlife women going through the menopausal transition. Compared to traditionally used methods to identify insomnia, the ISQ has good internal reliability, Cronbach $\alpha = 0.89$, modest sensitivity (50–66.7%), and excellent specificity (90.8–91.0%).

The PSQI³⁶ is an 18-item questionnaire used to measure sleep quality complaints. Seven component scores assess

habitual duration of sleep, nocturnal sleep disturbances, sleep latency, sleep quality, daytime dysfunction, sleep medication usage, and sleep efficiency. The seven components (range 0–3) are summed to yield a measure of global sleep quality with a range of 0 (good sleep quality) to 21 (poor sleep quality). The PSQI and its psychometric properties have been characterized in various populations, including patients with insomnia, depression, and pregnant women.^{39,52} These reports have documented adequate sensitivity and specificity using a cutoff score > 5 to identify those with poor sleep quality in depressed and pregnant women, and a cutoff score > 10 to identify poor sleep quality among people with insomnia.

Other measures are self-reported and actigraphy-assessed sleep variables. Symptoms of insomnia include difficulty falling asleep, difficulty staying asleep, and unrefreshing sleep. Three resultant variables are sleep onset latency (SOL), wake after sleep onset (WASO), and sleep efficiency (SE). These variables are used to quantify the first two symptoms of insomnia. Unrefreshing sleep is defined from a subjective perspective. SOL is circumscribed as the interval between when one “tried to go to sleep” to the onset of sleep. WASO is circumscribed as the total number of minutes spent awake following sleep onset. Time in bed (TIB) is circumscribed as the total amount of time from reported “time tried to go to sleep” to the time of the reported final awakening from sleep. Total sleep time (TST) is circumscribed as minutes of time spent asleep between sleep onset and offset. SE is circumscribed as the ratio of TST to TIB, multiplied by 100.

Sleep diaries⁵³ were used to describe daily measures of self-reported sleep parameters at both a morning and evening recording time. Variables included mean values for SOL, WASO, TST, TIB, and SE calculated from all available sleep diary data.

Wrist actigraphy data (Mini Mitter Actiwatch 64; Philips Respironics) were collected for 14 continuous days via an actigraph worn on the nondominant wrist. Participants were instructed to press the event marker button when “I tried to go to sleep” and when “I got out of bed.” Data were analyzed in 1-min epochs with Actiware version 5.04 software (Philips Respironics) using sleep diary data to corroborate bedtime and wake time. On download, each record was visually inspected for collection quality (i.e., correct number of days and watch removals). The Auto Interval option was set to identify one primary Rest Interval per 24-h period, using the markers as anchor points. This method saves processing time but does not eliminate the need for some manual editing. Periods of time where the watch was not worn during the protocol were excluded from the record prior to export. Sleep parameters calculated from the sleep diary and actigraphy data included SOL, WASO, and SE. These variables were chosen as they are validated actigraphy parameters or have been conventionally used in reports examining quantitative criteria for insomnia: SOL \geq 31 min; WASO \geq 31 min; and SE \leq 85%.^{54–57}

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to characterize the subjective (questionnaires and diary) and actigraphy-assessed sleep measures. Pearson correlations were conducted to assess the discriminant validity between the ISQ and three measures of different constructs: the Inventory of Depressive Symptoms,⁵⁸ the Perceived Stress Questionnaire,⁵⁹ and the Revised Prenatal

Distress Questionnaire.⁶⁰ Traditional psychometric characteristics including internal validity and criterion (concurrent) validity were then examined. Internal reliability was characterized using Cronbach α statistic.⁶¹ Because we did not have the gold standard of a clinical interview, concurrent validity and indicators of diagnostic accuracy (e.g., sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value) were estimated by comparing the dichotomized ISQ to widely used indicators of insomnia (e.g., actigraphy and diary-assessed indicators of difficulty falling and staying asleep).^{54,56} Last, likelihood ratios (LR) were used to evaluate how effectively the ISQ performed as a diagnostic test. This approach has the advantage of being less likely to change with the prevalence of the disorder in different samples as compared to estimates of sensitivity and specificity.⁶² “LR positive” indicates how much more likely it would be to find a positive ISQ in those with insomnia compared to those without insomnia. Generally, LR values > 10 suggest a strongly positive result, \geq 5–10 indicate a moderate result, \geq 2–5 is a small result, and LR values of 1–2 suggest the questionnaire is noninformative.⁶²

Insomnia estimates used to evaluate the validity of the ISQ were derived from three sources: PSQI, sleep diaries, and actigraphy. We dichotomized the PSQI global score at a cutoff of > 10 reflecting the values used in samples of insomnia subjects.^{63–65} The decision to use comparison values for SOL (\geq 31 min), WASO (\geq 31 min), and SE ($<$ 85%) from the sleep diaries and PSG was based on assorted reports and suggestions for quantitative insomnia criteria.^{51,54,56} For the sleep diary and actigraphy data, the frequency of the sleep complaint was also gauged in accordance with the RDC: \geq 3 times per week.⁵¹ These analyses were conducted with SPSS Version 21.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). A two-sided probability of $<$ 0.05 was designated as a statistically significant association.

RESULTS

Sleep Characteristics

Participant characteristics are presented in **Table 1**. Twenty women (12.6%) women met diagnostic criteria for insomnia as defined by the ISQ. The women with insomnia were not statistically different from the women without insomnia on any of the participant characteristics. Upon further examination of the specific ISQ criteria, we observed 20 participants (14.0%) had one of the three sleep complaints at least three times per week, 81 (56.6%) with a sleep complaint indicated a duration of greater than 1 mo, and 27 (18.9%) noted significant daytime impairment as a result of the sleep complaint. The subjective and actigraphy-assessed sleep measures for participants by ISQ insomnia criteria are reported in **Table 2**. Women who were identified as having insomnia on the ISQ had higher PSQI scores, indicating poorer sleep quality ($p <$ 0.001). They also had greater diary-assessed WASO ($p =$ 0.001) and poorer diary and actigraphy-assessed SE ($p =$ 0.018 and $p =$ 0.046) than did women who were not identified as having insomnia on the ISQ.

Psychometric and Test Characteristics

The 13-item ISQ had an overall reliability coefficient (Cronbach α) of 0.86 indicating a high degree of internal

Table 1—Participant characteristics.

	+ ISQ Insomnia (n = 20)	- ISQ No Insomnia (n = 123)		df	p
Age	30.4 (5.8)	29.1 (4.6)	t = -1.098	158	0.27
Education			$\chi^2 = 3.60$	4	0.75
≤ High school	3	18			
Some college	5	23			
College	3	40			
Some postgraduate	4	12			
Postgraduate	5	30			
Race			$\chi^2 = 1.60$	3	0.85
Caucasian	11	88			
African American	4	29			
Asian	1	2			
Others	4	1			
Marital status			$\chi^2 = 4.48$	2	0.25
Married/living with partner	13	81			
Never married	1	23			
Separated/divorced/widowed	6	19			
Employment status			$\chi^2 = 1.16$	1	0.28
No	4	14			
Yes	16	109			
Income ^a			$\chi^2 = 13.98$	5	0.12
< \$20,000	2	28			
\$20,000–\$34,999	4	14			
\$35,000–\$49,999	5	7			
\$50,000–\$74,999	1	21			
\$75,000–\$99,999	3	22			
≥ \$100,000	4	24			
Parity ^b			$\chi^2 = 0.49$	1	0.62
Nulliparous	13	72			
Multiparous	6	48			

Data presented as mean (standard deviation) or number. ^aEight Women did not know or chose not to answer. ^bMissing parity from four women. df, degrees of freedom; ISQ, Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire.

consistency.⁶¹ Correlations indicate modest discriminant validity as indicated by small magnitude, but significant correlations between the ISQ and the PSS ($r = 0.14$, $p = 0.09$), the New Prenatal Distress Questionnaire (NuPDQ) ($r = 0.17$, $p = 0.06$), and the IDS (minus the sleep items) ($r = 0.20$, $p = 0.02$). Although the goal would be to observe no significant correlations, given the high collinearity among the three constructs, the low discriminant validity is not surprising.

Criterion validity of the ISQ was assessed by examining the diagnostic accuracy of the ISQ outcome referenced to dichotomized PSQI scores and dichotomized estimates of SOL, WASO, and SE, and with and without frequency criteria considered, from sleep diary and actigraphy (Tables 3–7). Tables 4–7 indicate that the ISQ, when compared to a composite measure derived from the sleep diary consisting of SOL or WASO ≥ 31 min or SE $< 85\%$ and frequency of three or more times per week resulted in fewer true negatives. When comparing the dichotomized ISQ to other sleep measures, most specificities were high (all $> 80\%$) except for diary-assessed SOL (≥ 31 min and three times per week = 62.5%) and any symptom derived

from actigraphy (66.7%). Sensitivities (11.1–77.2%) were much more variable, indicating that the ISQ insomnia definition did not identify insomnia in the same manner in which the other sleep measures identify symptoms of insomnia. The wide range of positive predictive value similarly indicates that traditional sleep measures and methodologies differentially identified previously relied upon measures of insomnia (i.e. true and false positives).

The LRs indicate that the ISQ is more similar to the PSQI and actigraphy-assessed WASO in its ability to identify who has insomnia (LRs > 5). The remaining measures based on the LRs ranging from 1 to 2 do not demonstrate the ISQ to be a useful measure for identifying insomnia as defined by the other methods (Table 8).

DISCUSSION

In the current paper we psychometrically assessed the ISQ in pregnant women and identified the prevalence of insomnia in early gestation. The validation results were not as

Table 2—Subjective and actigraphic sleep characteristics for women who meet the case definition (insomnia) and women who do not meet the case definition (no insomnia) at 10–12 weeks gestation.

	Weeks 10–12		t	df	p
	No Insomnia (n = 123; 87.4%)	Insomnia (n = 20; 12.6%)			
PSQI global score	5.5 ± 2.4	8.6 ± 2.8	-5.13	141	< 0.001
Diary data					
Bedtime (lights out)	23:12 ± 60.1	23:19 ± 61.4	-0.42	140	0.68
SOL (min)	19.6 ± 14.2	26.5 ± 20.6	-1.45	141	0.16
WASO (min)	23.3 ± 17.4	38.4 ± 21.8	-3.47	141	0.001
TST (min)	466.1 ± 52.1	444.4 ± 55.4	1.71	141	0.09
TIB (min)	508.4 ± 53.1	509.4 ± 39.2	-0.05	141	0.96
Sleep efficiency (%)	91.4 ± 4.9	87.0 ± 7.5	2.55	141	0.018
Actigraphy data	(n = 128; 92.5%)	(n = 19; 15.8%)			
Bedtime (event marker pressed)	23:15 ± 65.4	23:24 ± 71.7	-0.48	128	0.63
SOL (min)	14.5 ± 12.2	14.5 ± 9.2	0.018	128	0.99
WASO (min)	90.5 ± 59.4	112.8 ± 57.9	-1.52	128	0.13
TST (min)	394.4 ± 64.9	365.3 ± 69.3	1.78	128	0.077
TIB (min)	482.5 ± 49.1	478.2 ± 42.9	0.36	128	0.94
Sleep efficiency (%)	76.8 ± 11.0	71.2 ± 12.7	2.02	128	0.046

Missing or insufficient actigraphy data from 13 women. Bedtime (lights out) for actigraphy was determined by participant pressing the event marker as she turned out the lights. df, degrees of freedom; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; SOL, sleep onset latency; TIB, time in bed; TST, total sleep time; WASO, wake after sleep onset.

encouraging as we would have liked to observe. The validation criteria are not methods used to independently identify clinical insomnia; they are often used in conjunction with other measures or clinical interview. Thus, although sensitivities were low, this may be a function of the criteria. Our group previously validated this questionnaire in a cohort of midlife women⁴⁵ with similar results. We identified 12.6% of pregnant women in early gestation, who self-reported no insomnia disorder upon enrollment, who subsequently met the diagnostic criteria for insomnia. These findings support previous evidence that pregnancy confers increased risk for the development of symptoms of insomnia that are analogous to a case-definition of insomnia.^{18,19,43} The utility of this tool in pregnancy may be to identify those with the most severe sleep problems that persist. This may be clinically relevant given the emerging evidence that sleep disturbance increases risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes.^{33,66,67}

Insomnia is associated with significant morbidities including an increased risk for depression,¹⁸ stress,^{65,68} and inadequate or excessive gestational weight gain.^{69,70} Although depression and stress are well known consequences of insomnia, fewer studies have evaluated insomnia in relation to gestational weight gain. Women are expected to gain adequate weight to support the growing fetus.⁷¹ However, too little or too much weight gain can pose serious health threats, including gestational diabetes, hypertension, and metabolic irregularities, all of which can contribute to obesity.^{70,72,73} Each of these specific morbidities are important as they are independent risk factors for adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes, such as preterm birth, small- and large-for gestational-age infants, and future disease.^{14,74–77} Moreover, insomnia, depression,^{83,84} and stress⁸⁵ are all independently

Table 3—Cross-tabulations between Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index global score (cutoff > 10) and Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire classification of subjects who do and do not meet the case definition (insomnia) from 10–12 weeks.

ISQ	PSQI Global Score		Total
	≤ 10	> 10	
No insomnia	116	7	123
Insomnia	14	6	20
Total	130	13	

Fisher exact Chi-square = 12.3, p < 0.001. ISQ, Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.

associated with immune dysregulation. Several studies in the literature confirm that exaggerated inflammation, specifically chronic low-grade inflammation, is one biological pathway linked to adverse pregnancy outcomes.^{26,86–88}

The majority of the research has focused on the third trimester when most women experience myriad physical and psychosocial changes. These include a dramatic increase in body size, physical discomfort, frequent need to urinate, nausea and backache, an increase in restless legs syndrome, as well as sleep disordered breathing.^{89,90} Psychosocially, a woman is often influenced by demands of life, work, and family. She may experience increased levels of stress and distress.^{44,91} All of these influences may disrupt sleep. However, we contend that an emphasis regarding sleep concerns should be placed on the first 20 w gestation. During this period, the consequences of insomnia, regardless of where they are derived, may have the most profound effect. Prior to 20 w a dominant

Table 4—Cross-tabulations between sleep onset latency from sleep diary (≥ 31 minutes) and actigraphy (≥ 31 minutes) and Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire classification of subjects who do (insomnia) and do not meet the case definition (no insomnia) from 10–12 weeks.

ISQ	Sleep Onset Latency					
	Sleep Diary			Actigraphy		
	< 31 min or ≥ 31 min but < 3 \times /w	≥ 31 min & $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w	Total	< 31 min or ≥ 31 min but < 3 \times /w	≥ 31 min & $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w	Total
No insomnia	120	3	123	122	1	123
Insomnia	19	1	20	20	0	20
Total	139	4	143	142	1	143

Fisher exact Chi-square = 0.42, $p = 0.52$ Fisher exact Chi-square = 0.16, $p = 0.86$

Data from sleep diaries were assessed for sleep complaint of ≥ 31 min and frequency of $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w to identify parameters more closely resembling Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition and research diagnostic criteria. ISQ, Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire.

Table 5—Cross-tabulations between wake after sleep onset from sleep diary (≥ 31 min) and actigraphy (≥ 31 min) and Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire classification of subjects who do (insomnia) and do not meet the case definition (no insomnia) at 10–12 weeks.

ISQ	Wake After Sleep Onset					
	Sleep Diary			Actigraphy		
	< 31 min or ≥ 31 min but < 3 \times /w	≥ 31 min & $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w	Total	< 31 min or ≥ 31 min but < 3 \times /w	≥ 31 min & $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w	Total
No insomnia	62	61	123	5	118	123
Insomnia	8	12	20	3	17	20
Total	70	73	143	8	135	143

Fisher exact Chi-square = 0.75, $p = 0.39$ Fisher exact Chi-square = 3.90, $p = 0.08$

Data from sleep diaries were assessed for sleep complaint of ≥ 31 minutes and frequency of $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w to identify parameters more closely resembling Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition and research diagnostic criteria. ISQ, Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire.

Table 6—Cross-tabulations between sleep efficiency from sleep diary ($\geq 85\%$) and actigraphy ($\geq 85\%$) and Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire classification of subjects who do (insomnia) and do not meet the case definition (no insomnia).

ISQ	Sleep Efficiency					
	Sleep Diary			Actigraphy		
	$\geq 85\%$ of < 85% but < 3 \times /w	< 85% & $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w	Total	$\geq 85\%$ of < 85% but < 3 \times /w	< 85% & $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w	Total
No insomnia	115	8	123	35	88	123
Insomnia	19	1	20	7	13	20
Total	134	9	143	42	101	143

Fisher exact Chi-square = 0.07, $p = 0.80$ Fisher exact Chi-square = 0.36, $p = 0.60$

ISQ, Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire.

Table 7—Cross-tabulations between any sleep symptom and Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire classifications of subjects who do (insomnia) and do not meet the case definition (no insomnia).

ISQ	Any Sleep Symptom and Frequency $\geq 3\mathbf{\times}$ /w					
	Sleep Diary			Actigraphy		
	No	Yes	Total	No	Yes	Total
No insomnia	47	76	123	4	119	123
Insomnia	6	14	20	2	18	20
Total	53	90	143	6	137	143

Fisher exact Chi-square = 0.50, $p = 0.62$ Fisher exact Chi-square = 1.95, $p = 0.20$

ISQ, Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire.

Table 8—Evaluation of the Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire as a diagnostic screening tool.

Concurrent Confirmation Measure	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	LR	NR
PSQI (> 10)	46.2	89.2	30.0	94.3	4.28	0.60
SOL – diary (≥ 31 min) & > 3 \times /w	25.0	86.3	5.0	97.6	1.82	0.87
WASO – diary (≥ 31 min) & > 3 \times /w	16.2	88.6	60.0	50.0	1.41	0.95
SE – diary (< 85%) & > 3 \times /w	11.1	85.8	5.0	93.4	0.78	1.04
Any symptom & > 3 \times /w (diary)	15.5	88.6	70.0	38.2	1.37	0.95
SOL – actigraphy (≥ 31 min) & > 3 \times /w	12.6	62.5	85.0	95.9	0.34	1.40
WASO – actigraphy (≥ 31 min) & > 3 \times /w	77.2	97.5	85.0	95.9	31.2	0.23
SE – actigraphy (< 85%) & > 3 \times /w	12.9	83.3	65.0	28.4	0.77	1.05
Any symptom & > 3 \times /w (actigraphy)	13.1	66.7	9.0	3.20	0.39	1.30

LR, likelihood ratio for a positive test; NR, likelihood ratio for a negative test; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; SE, sleep efficiency; SOL, sleep onset latency; WASO, wake after sleep onset.

proinflammatory profile is necessary for early pregnancy success. *In vitro* experiments in which cytokines inhibit trophoblast invasion, it is postulated that an exacerbated inflammatory response interferes with normal trophoblast invasion.⁹² This would result in subsequent disruption of the remodeling of the maternal vessels of the maternal vascular bed, an abnormality present in preeclampsia, preterm birth, and pregnancies with intrauterine growth restriction.³³ These physiological processes are further affected by the remarkable fluctuations in immune and endocrine markers, especially inflammatory cytokines, progesterone, and estrogen, all of which have a substantial direct and indirect effect on sleep.^{93–100} We assert that there is a need to identify pregnant women with insomnia, especially in early pregnancy, because insomnia could increase the risk of adverse outcomes to the mother and to the developing fetus.³³

The methods used to validate the ISQ (sleep diaries and/or actigraphy) are more commonly used than PSG when diagnosing insomnia, as well as when ascertaining sleep in pregnant women.⁶⁶ Actigraphy is significantly correlated with PSG on most variables,^{101,102} but PSG only captures 1 to 2 nights of sleep which is insufficient to diagnose insomnia.¹⁰³ Sleep diaries and actigraphy are commonly used to provide a detailed, prospective account about quantitative sleep disturbances and their variability.¹⁰⁴ However, quantitative information alone is insufficient to diagnose insomnia as there must be concomitant daytime impairment.⁴ It is also well established that subjective and objective measures of sleep are often discrepant in patients with insomnia,¹⁰⁵ which partially explains the low degree of agreement between the quantitative values derived from diary and actigraphy. The PSQI, however, has been validated to adequately identify insomnia even though it was not designed as a measure of insomnia.³⁶ A benefit of the ISQ is that it incorporates criteria consistent with the DSM-IV and RDC criteria.

The presence of insomnia should not be viewed as an isolated symptom, especially in women. In many situations, insomnia may be the result of another primary disorder, such as obstructive sleep apnea, restless legs syndrome, or depression.¹⁰⁶ The presence of comorbid sleep disorders may have negative consequences for outcomes.¹⁰⁷ The identification of one or any of these disorders may require fundamentally different treatment options, such as pharmacologic treatment or

behavioral intervention. A positive ISQ (indicating insomnia) might prompt the search for other comorbid conditions.

There are several strengths to this study. We have a large cohort of pregnant women recruited in early pregnancy who are ethnically and socioeconomically diverse. This increases the generalizability and utility of the ISQ. The short duration of the ISQ suggest that it could have substantial clinical applicability. Rather than collecting data over several weeks, identification could take place within a few minutes. The availability of 2 w of continuous sleep diary and actigraphy data afforded the opportunity to examine longer, more representative periods of sleep, compared to polysomnographic sleep studies, whose expense and participant burden limits data collection to fewer nights.¹⁰³ A strength was the use of DSM-IV⁴ and RDC,¹⁰⁴ which allowed for the identification of a case-definition of insomnia. However, since the inception of the ISQ new recommendations from DSM-5 and International Classification of Sleep Disorders, Third Edition have been published. This is particularly relevant to the duration of insomnia. The scoring algorithm will have to be revised to account for these changes.

We acknowledge limitations including the absence of a clinical diagnosis as a gold standard for further validation of the ISQ in pregnant women. A comparison of the ISQ with clinical interviews is needed. One such feasible comparison would be with the Brief Insomnia Questionnaire (BIQ), which is a 32-question fully structured interviewer-administered questionnaire developed using all diagnostic systems.¹⁰⁸ Consistent with information gleaned during diagnostic interviews and from the BIQ, it might prove useful to include question(s) regarding opportunity/circumstances for sleep as the ISQ undergoes further refinement. This may become particularly salient when used in a postpartum sample. Inclusion of this item may improve its sensitivity since it is a criterion that is specific for the diagnosis of insomnia. The ISQ does not assess insomnia due to another medical or mental condition and because comorbidity was not evaluated in this report, it is possible that some of the women may have insomnia due to another medical or mental condition. Again, a positive ISQ could prompt a researcher or clinician to search for other conditions. We also acknowledge that the measures used to test for criterion and discriminant validity were insufficient because these constructs heavily overlap. Sleep diaries, the PSQI, and

actigraphy only measure certain symptoms of insomnia. Thus, it is not surprising that the criterion validity was low. Likewise, stress and depression are predictors, as well as symptoms of insomnia, so we would expect discriminant validity to be poor.¹³ Additional instruments that are orthogonal to the ISQ are needed to further validate this measure in pregnant women.

In summary, we conducted a psychometric validation of the ISQ in a pregnancy cohort. We identified 12.6% of the sample as meeting diagnostic criteria for insomnia. Although the validation criteria were not as convincing as we might have liked, the data do suggest that in comparison with data collected from sleep diaries and actigraphy, the ISQ is a cost-effective self-report instrument with high utility for use in large observational studies as a screening instrument of insomnia.

Relevance to Clinical Practice

The incidence of insomnia varies depending on the strictness of the criteria used.¹⁰⁹ Even when the most relaxed criteria are utilized, a strong association is detected between insomnia and adverse health outcomes.¹⁰⁹ Given that pregnant women are at higher risk for experiencing insomnia,¹¹⁰ it is imperative to incorporate brief but accurate methods to identify insomnia in order to stave off additional risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes. In line with the Healthy People 2020 initiatives emphasizing prenatal care⁴⁶ and sleep health,⁴⁷ we contend that the ISQ would serve as ideal instrument and should be added to the prenatal care regiment. Ferraro and colleagues⁷⁰ highlight that sleep may be a talking point for practitioners to assess and advise on during prenatal care. Currently, the allotted time for a new obstetrics patient, for example, is about 23 min, but in a survey of 705 obstetrics and gynecology physicians, the time they needed to adequately address sleep concerns, in addition to their other clinical responsibilities, was approximately 33 min.¹¹¹ Currently, there is “no time” to talk about sleep in prenatal healthcare. If time were made, however, and a positive screen was ascertained, a clinician could provide a pamphlet on sleep and associated links for treatment options. This is already a standard practice for a variety of disorders, conditions, or procedures.

ABBREVIATIONS

BIS, Bergen Insomnia Scale
 DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition
 ISI, Insomnia Severity Index
 ISQ, Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire
 LR, likelihood ratio
 NuPDQ, New Prenatal Distress Questionnaire
 PNV, negative predictive value
 PPV, positive predictive value
 PTB, preterm birth
 PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index
 RDC, Research Diagnostic Criteria
 SE, sleep efficiency
 SOL, sleep onset latency
 TIB, time in bed
 TST, total sleep time

WASO, wake time after sleep onset

WHIRS, Women's Health Initiative Insomnia Rating Scale

REFERENCES

- Okun ML, Kline CE, Roberts JM, Wettlaufer B, Glover K, Hall M. Prevalence of sleep deficiency in early gestation and its associations with stress and depressive symptoms. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2013;22:1028–37.
- Okun ML, Coussons-Read ME. Sleep disruption during pregnancy: how does it influence serum cytokines? *J Reprod Immunol* 2007;73:158–65.
- American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *The International Classification of Sleep Disorders, Second Edition (ICSD-2): Diagnostic and Coding Manual*. Westchester, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine, 2005.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 2000.
- de Zambotti M., Covassin N, De Min TG, Sarlo M, Stegagno L. Sleep onset and cardiovascular activity in primary insomnia. *J Sleep Res* 2011;20:318–25.
- Farina B, Dittoni S, Colicchio S, et al. Heart rate and heart rate variability modification in chronic insomnia patients. *Behav Sleep Med* 2014;12:290–306.
- Althuis MD, Fredman L, Langenberg PW, Magaziner J. The relationship between insomnia and mortality among community-dwelling older women. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998;46:1270–3.
- Ancoli-Israel S. The impact and prevalence of chronic insomnia and other sleep disturbances associated with chronic illness. *Am J Manag Care* 2006;12:S221–9.
- Cheek RE, Shaver JL, Lentz MJ. Lifestyle practices and nocturnal sleep in midlife women with and without insomnia. *Biol Res Nurs* 2004;6:46–58.
- Perlis ML, Smith LJ, Lyness JM, et al. Insomnia as a risk factor for onset of depression in the elderly. *Behav Sleep Med* 2006;4:104–13.
- Specchio LM, Prudenzano MP, de Tommaso M, et al. Insomnia, quality of life and psychopathological features. *Brain Res Bull* 2004;63:385–91.
- Violani C, Lucidi F, Devoto A, Lombardo C, De Santo RM. Insomnia and its comorbidities in chronic kidney disease. *Semin Nephrol* 2006;26:61–3.
- Fernandez-Mendoza J, Vgontzas AN. Insomnia and its impact on physical and mental health. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2013;15:418.
- Wisner KL, Sit DK, Hanusa BH, et al. Major depression and antidepressant treatment: impact on pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. *Am J Psychiatry* 2009;166:557–66.
- Goodman SH, Gotlib IH. Risk for psychopathology in the children of depressed mothers: a developmental model for understanding mechanisms of transmission. *Psychol Rev* 1999;106:458–90.
- Graignic-Philippe R, Dayan J, Chokron S, Jacquet AY, Tordjman S. Effects of prenatal stress on fetal and child development: a critical literature review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2014;43:137–62.
- Lindgren K. Relationships among maternal-fetal attachment, prenatal depression, and health practices in pregnancy. *Res Nurs Health* 2001;24:203–17.
- Dorheim SK, Bjorvatn B, Eberhard-Gran M. Insomnia and depressive symptoms in late pregnancy: a population-based study. *Behav Sleep Med* 2012;10:152–66.
- Kizilirmak A, Timur S, Kartal B. Insomnia in pregnancy and factors related to insomnia. *Sci World J*. 2012;2012:197093.
- Okun ML, Kiewra K, Luther JF, Wisniewski SR, Wisner KL. Sleep disturbances in depressed and nondepressed pregnant women. *Depress Anxiety* 2011;28:676–85.
- Krystal AD. Insomnia in women. *Clin Cornerstone* 2003;5:41–50.
- Gaunt G, Ramin K. Immunological tolerance of the human fetus. *Am J Perinatol* 2001;18:299–312.
- Hegde UC, Ranpura S, D'Souza S, Raghavan VP. Immunoregulatory pathways in pregnancy. *Ind J Biochem Biophys* 2001;38:207–19.
- Piccinni MP, Maggi E, Romagnani S. Role of hormone-controlled T-cell cytokines in the maintenance of pregnancy. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2000;28:212–5.
- Robinson DP, Klein SL. Pregnancy and pregnancy-associated hormones alter immune responses and disease pathogenesis. *Hormones Behav* 2012;62:263–71.
- Wadhwa PD, Culhane JF, Rauh V, Barve SS. Stress and preterm birth: neuroendocrine, immune/inflammatory, and vascular mechanisms. *Matern Child Health J* 2001;5:119–25.
- Baratte-Beebe KR, Lee K. Sources of midsleep awakenings in childbearing women. *Clin Nurs Res* 1999;8:386–97.

28. Lee KA, Zaffke ME, McEnany G. Parity and sleep patterns during and after pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000;95:14–8.
29. Palagini L, Gemignani A, Banti S, Manconi M, Mauri M, Riemann D. Chronic sleep loss during pregnancy as a determinant of stress: impact on pregnancy outcome. *Sleep Med* 2014;15:853–9.
30. Burton GJ, Woods AW, Jauniaux E, Kingdom JC. Rheological and physiological consequences of conversion of the maternal spiral arteries for uteroplacental blood flow during human pregnancy. *Placenta* 2009;30:473–82.
31. Capeless EL, Clapp JF. Cardiovascular changes in early phase of pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989;161:1449–53.
32. Feinsilver SH, Hertz G. Respiration during sleep in pregnancy. *Clin Chest Med* 1992;13:637–44.
33. Okun ML, Roberts JM, Marsland AL, Hall M. How disturbed sleep may be a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2009;64:273–80.
34. Okun ML, Luther JF, Wisniewski SR, Sit D, Prairie BA, Wisner KL. Disturbed Sleep, a Novel Risk Factor for Preterm Birth? *J Womens Health* 2011;21:54–60.
35. Levine DW, Kripke DF, Kaplan RM, et al. Reliability and validity of the Women's Health Initiative Insomnia Rating Scale. *Psychol Assess* 2003;15:137–48.
36. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989;28:193–213.
37. Neau JP, Texier B, Ingrand P. Sleep and vigilance disorders in pregnancy. *Eur Neurol* 2009;62:23–9.
38. Okun ML, Schetter CD, Glynn LM. Poor sleep quality is associated with preterm birth. *Sleep* 2011;34:1493–8.
39. Skouteris H, Wertheim EH, Germano C, Paxton SJ, Milgrom J. Assessing sleep during pregnancy: a study across two time points examining the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and associations with depressive symptoms. *Womens Health Issues* 2009;19:45–51.
40. Strange LB, Parker KP, Moore ML, Strickland OL, Bliwise DL. Disturbed sleep and preterm birth: a potential relationship? *Clin Exper Obstet Gynecol* 2009;36:166–8.
41. Bastien CH, Vallieres A, Morin CM. Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Med* 2001;2:297–307.
42. Swanson LM, Pickett SM, Flynn H, Armitage R. Relationships among depression, anxiety, and insomnia symptoms in perinatal women seeking mental health treatment. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2011;20:553–8.
43. Fernandez-Alonso AM, Trabalon-Pastor M, Chedraui P, Perez-Lopez FR. Factors related to insomnia and sleepiness in the late third trimester of pregnancy. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2012;286:55–61.
44. Manber R, Steidtmann D, Chambers AS, Ganger W, Horwitz S, Connelly CD. Factors associated with clinically significant insomnia among pregnant low-income Latinas. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2013;22:694–701.
45. Okun ML, Kravitz HM, Sowers MF, Moul DE, Buysse DJ, Hall M. Psychometric evaluation of the Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire: a self-report measure to identify chronic insomnia. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2009;5:41–51.
46. US Department of Health and Human Services. Maternal, Infant, and Child Health. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2014. <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/maternal-infant-and-child-health>
47. US Department of Health and Human Services. Sleep Health. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2014. <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/sleep-health>
48. Grandner MA, Perlis ML. Insomnia as a cardiometabolic risk factor. *Sleep* 2013;36:11–2.
49. Laugsand LE, Strand LB, Platou C, Vatten LJ, Janszky I. Insomnia and the risk of incident heart failure: a population study. *Eur Heart J* 2013;35:1382–93.
50. Okun ML, Tolge M, Hall M. Low socioeconomic status negatively affects sleep in pregnant women. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2014;43:160–7.
51. Edinger JD, Bonnet MH, Bootzin RR, et al. Derivation of research diagnostic criteria for insomnia: report of an American Academy of Sleep Medicine Work Group. *Sleep* 2004;27:1567–96.
52. Carpenter JS, Andrykowski MA. Psychometric evaluation of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. *J Psychosom Res* 1998;45:5–13.
53. Monk TH, Reynolds CF, Kupfer DJ, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Diary. *J Sleep Res* 1994;3:111–20.
54. Lichstein KL, Durrence HH, Taylor DJ, Bush AJ, Riedel BW. Quantitative criteria for insomnia. *Behav Res Ther* 2003;41:427–45.
55. Edinger JD. Classifying insomnia in a clinically useful way. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004;65:36–43.
56. Lineberger MD, Carney CE, Edinger JD, Means MK. Defining insomnia: quantitative criteria for insomnia severity and frequency. *Sleep* 2006;29:479–85.
57. Natale V, Plazzi G, Martoni M. Actigraphy in the assessment of insomnia: a quantitative approach. *Sleep* 2009;32:767–71.
58. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Ibrahim HM, et al. The 16-Item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003;54:573–83.
59. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Social Behav* 1983;24:385–96.
60. Lobel M. The Revised Prenatal Distress Questionnaire. 1996. State University of New York at Stony Brook.
61. Cronbach LJ. Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika* 1951;16:297–309.
62. Flemons WW, Whitelaw WA, Brant R, Remmers JE. Likelihood ratios for a sleep apnea clinical prediction rule. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;150:1279–85.
63. Backhaus J, Jughanns K, Broocks A, Riemann D, Hohagen F. Test-retest reliability and validity of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index in primary insomnia. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;53:737–40.
64. Germain A, Moul DE, Franzen PL, et al. Effects of a brief behavioral treatment for late-life insomnia: preliminary findings. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2006;2:403–6.
65. Hall M, Buysse DJ, Nowell PD, et al. Symptoms of stress and depression as correlates of sleep in primary insomnia. *Psychosom Med* 2000;62:227–30.
66. August EM, Salihi HM, Biroscak BJ, Rahman S, Bruder K, Whiteman VE. Systematic review on sleep disorders and obstetric outcomes: scope of current knowledge. *Am J Perinatol* 2012;20:323–34.
67. Haney A, Buysse DJ, Rosario BL, Chen YF, Okun ML. Sleep disturbance and cardiometabolic risk factors in early pregnancy: a preliminary study. *Sleep Med* 2014;15:444–50.
68. Cuadros JL, Fernandez-Alonso AM, Cuadros-Celorrío AM, et al. Perceived stress, insomnia and related factors in women around the menopause. *Maturitas* 2012;72:367–72.
69. Abeysena C, Jayawardana P. Sleep deprivation, physical activity and low income are risk factors for inadequate weight gain during pregnancy: a cohort study. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2011;37:734–40.
70. Ferraro ZM, Chaput JP, Gruslin A, Adamo KB. The potential value of sleep hygiene for a healthy pregnancy: a brief review. *ISRN Family Med* 2014;2014:928293.
71. Restall A, Taylor RS, Thompson JM, et al. Risk factors for excessive gestational weight gain in a healthy, nulliparous cohort. *J Obes* 2014;2014:148391.
72. American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Obesity in Pregnancy. Committee on Obstetric Practice, 2013. <http://www.acog.org/Resources-And-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Obesity-in-Pregnancy>.
73. IOM. Weight Gain During Pregnancy. 2009. <http://www.iom.edu/Reports/2009/Weight-Gain-During-Pregnancy-Reexamining-the-Guidelines.aspx>.
74. Austin MP, Leader L. Maternal stress and obstetric and infant outcomes: epidemiological findings and neuroendocrine mechanisms. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2000;40:331–7.
75. Djelantik AA, Kunst AE, van der Wal MF, Smit HA, Vrijkotte TG. Contribution of overweight and obesity to the occurrence of adverse pregnancy outcomes in a multi-ethnic cohort: population attributive fractions for Amsterdam. *BJOG* 2012;119:283–90.
76. Field T, Diego M, Hernandez-Reif M, et al. Depressed pregnant black women have a greater incidence of prematurity and low birthweight outcomes. *Infant Behav Dev* 2009;32:10–6.
77. Heude B, Thiebaugeorges O, Goua V, et al. Pre-pregnancy body mass index and weight gain during pregnancy: relations with gestational diabetes and hypertension, and birth outcomes. *Matern Child Health J* 2012;16:355–63.
78. Cover H, Irwin M. Immunity and depression: insomnia, retardation, and reduction of natural killer cell activity. *J Behav Med* 1994;17:217–23.
79. Irwin M, Clark C, Kennedy B, Christian GJ, Ziegler M. Nocturnal catecholamines and immune function in insomniacs, depressed patients, and control subjects. *Brain Behav Immun* 2003;17:365–72.
80. Laugsand LE, Assvold BO, Vatten LJ, et al. Metabolic factors and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein: the HUNT study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2012;19:1101–10.
81. Sakami S, Ishikawa T, Kawakami N, et al. Coemergence of insomnia and a shift in the Th1/Th2 balance toward Th2 dominance. *Neuroimmunomodulation* 2002;10:337–43.
82. Savard J, Laroche L, Simard S, Ivers H, Morin CM. Chronic insomnia and immune functioning. *Psychosom Med* 2003;65:211–21.
83. Benson S, Janssen OE, Hahn S, et al. Obesity, depression, and chronic low-grade inflammation in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Brain Behav Immun* 2008;22:177–84.

84. Christian LM, Franco A, Glaser R, Iams JD. Depressive symptoms are associated with elevated serum proinflammatory cytokines among pregnant women. *Brain Behav Immun* 2009;23:750–54.
85. Coussons-Read ME, Okun ML, Nettles CD. Psychosocial stress increases inflammatory markers and alters cytokine production across pregnancy. *Brain Behav Immun* 2007;21:343–50.
86. Challis JR, Lockwood CJ, Myatt L, Norman JE, Strauss JF III, Petraglia F. Inflammation and pregnancy. *Reprod Sci* 2009;16:206–15.
87. El Shazly S, Makhseed M, Azizieh F, Raghupathy R. Increased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in placentas of women undergoing spontaneous preterm delivery or premature rupture of membranes. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 2004;52:45–52.
88. Qiu C, Luthy DA, Zhang C, Walsh SW, Leisenring WM, Williams MA. A prospective study of maternal serum C-reactive protein concentrations and risk of preeclampsia. *Am J Hypertens* 2004;17:154–60.
89. Facco FL. Sleep-disordered breathing and pregnancy. *Semin Perinatol* 2011;35:335–9.
90. Lee KA. Sleep during pregnancy and postpartum. In: Lee-Chiong T, ed. *Sleep Medicine: Essentials and Review*. Oxford University Press, 2007:629–35.
91. Dorheim SK, Garthus-Niegel S, Bjorvatn B, Eberhard-Gran M. Personality and perinatal maternal insomnia: a study across childbirth. *Behav Sleep Med* 2014 Aug 30. [Epub ahead of print].
92. Mor G. Inflammation and pregnancy: the role of Toll-like receptors in trophoblast-immune interaction. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008;1127:121–8.
93. Driver HS, Shapiro CM. A longitudinal study of sleep stages in young women during pregnancy and postpartum. *Sleep* 1992;15:449–53.
94. Lancel M, Faulhaber J, Schiffelholz T, et al. Allopregnanolone affects sleep in a benzodiazepine-like fashion. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1997;282:1213–8.
95. Lancel M, Faulhaber J, Holsboer F, Rupprecht R. Progesterone induces changes in sleep comparable to those of agonistic GABAA receptor modulators. *Am J Physiol* 1996;271:E763–72.
96. Luisi S, Petraglia F, Benedetto C, et al. Serum allopregnanolone levels in pregnant women: changes during pregnancy, at delivery, and in hypertensive patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:2429–33.
97. Pazos M, Sperling RS, Moran TM, Kraus TA. The influence of pregnancy on systemic immunity. *Immunol Res* 2012;54:254–61.
98. Piccinni MP, Scaletti C, Maggi E, Romagnani S. Role of hormone-controlled Th1- and Th2-type cytokines in successful pregnancy. *J Neuroimmunol* 2000;109:30–3.
99. Saareanta T, Polo O. Hormones and breathing. *Chest* 2002;122:2165–82.
100. Teran-Perez G, Arana-Lechuga Y, Esqueda-Leon E, Santana-Miranda R, Rojas-Zamorano JA, Velazquez MJ. Steroid hormones and sleep regulation. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 2012;12:1040–8.
101. McCall C, McCall WV. Objective vs. subjective measurements of sleep in depressed insomniacs: first night effect or reverse first night effect? *J Clin Sleep Med* 2012;8:59–65.
102. McCall C, McCall WV. Comparison of actigraphy with polysomnography and sleep logs in depressed insomniacs. *J Sleep Res* 2012;21:122–7.
103. American Sleep Disorders Association. Practice parameters for the use of polysomnography in the evaluation of insomnia. Standards of Practice Committee of the American Sleep Disorders Association. *Sleep* 1995;18:55–7.
104. Buysse DJ, Ancoli-Israel S, Edinger JD, Lichstein KL, Morin CM. Recommendations for a standard research assessment of insomnia. *Sleep* 2006;29:1155–73.
105. Carskadon MA, Dement WC, Mitler MM, Guilleminault C, Zarcone VP, Spiegel R. Self-reports versus sleep laboratory findings in 122 drug-free subjects with complaints of chronic insomnia. *Am J Psychiatry* 1976;133:1382–8.
106. Pavlova M, Sheikh LS. Sleep in women. *Semin Neurol* 2011;31:397–403.
107. Pien GW, Schwab RJ. Sleep disorders during pregnancy. *Sleep* 2004;27:1405–7.
108. Roth T, Coulouvrat C, Hajak G, et al. Prevalence and perceived health associated with insomnia based on DSM-IV-TR; International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision; and Research Diagnostic Criteria/International Classification of Sleep Disorders, Second Edition criteria: results from the America Insomnia Survey. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011;69:592–600.
109. Ohayon MM. Epidemiology of insomnia: what we know and what we still need to learn. *Sleep Med Rev* 2002;6:97–111.
110. Balserak BI, Lee K. Sleep disturbances and sleep-related disorders in pregnancy. In: Kryger MH, Roth T, Dement WC, eds. *Principles and practices of sleep medicine*. St. Louis: Elsevier, 2011:1572–86.
111. O'Connell VA, Youcha S, Pellegrini V. Physician burnout: the effect of time allotted for a patient visit on physician burnout among OB/GYN physicians. *J Med Pract Manage* 2009;24:300–13.

SUBMISSION & CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

Submitted for publication October, 2014

Submitted in final revised form February, 2015

Accepted for publication February, 2015

Address correspondence to: Michele L. Okun, PhD, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, 1420 Austin Bluffs Parkway, Osborne Center A-408, Colorado Springs, CO 80918; Fax: (719) 255-3013; Email: mokun@uccs.edu

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

This was not an industry supported study. Dr. Buysse has served as a paid consultant on scientific advisory boards for Eisai, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Philips Respironics, Purdue Pharma, Sanofi-Aventis, and Takeda. He has also spoken at single-sponsored educational meetings for Sanofi-Aventis and Servier. The other authors have indicated no financial conflicts of interest. The work associated with this manuscript was conducted at the University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA.