# **RESEARCH PAPER**



# The dominant negative ARM domain uncovers multiple functions of PUB13 in *Arabidopsis* immunity, flowering, and senescence

Jinggeng Zhou<sup>1,2</sup>, Dongping Lu<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Guangyuan Xu<sup>1,3</sup>, Scott A. Finlayson<sup>3,4</sup>, Ping He<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Libo Shan<sup>1,3,5,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Plant Genomics and Biotechnology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>3</sup> Molecular and Environmental Plant Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>5</sup> Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

\* Present address: Center for Agricultural Resources Research, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050021, China.

<sup>†</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: lshan@tamu.edu

Received 3 February 2015; Revised 3 February 2015; Accepted 3 March 2015

# Abstract

Regulating the intensity and duration of immune responses is crucial to combat infections without deleterious side effects. *Arabidopsis* FLS2, the receptor for bacterial flagellin, activates immune signalling by association with its partner BAK1. Upon flagellin (flg22) perception, the plant U-box E3 ubiquitin ligases PUB12 and PUB13 complex with FLS2 in a BAK1-dependent manner, and ubiquitinate FLS2 for protein degradation, thereby down-regulating flagellin signalling. Domain deletion analysis indicates that the ARM domain of PUB13 interacts with the FLS2–BAK1 complex and is phosphorylated by BAK1. Overexpression of the PUB13 ARM domain alone inhibits flg22-induced FLS2–PUB13 association and PUB12/13-mediated FLS2 ubiquitination and degradation in *Arabidopsis*, suggesting that ectopic expression of the ARM domain *in planta* generates a dominant negative effect via blocking the ubiquitination activity. Similar to the *pub12pub13* double mutant, transgenic plants expressing the PUB13 ARM domain display enhanced immune responses compared with wild-type plants. Moreover, PUB13ARM transgenic plants and the *pub12pub13* mutant provides genetic evidence that ectopic expression of the PUB ARM domain serves as an alternative approach to dissect the overlapping functions of closely related *PUB* genes.

Key words: Dominant negative, flowering, phosphorylation, plant-microbe interactions, receptors, senescence, ubiquitination.

# Introduction

Plants and animals rely on the innate immune system to fight against pathogen invasions. The first line of plant immune responses is initiated by detection of the conserved pathogen- or microbe-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs or MAMPs) through pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) (Macho and Zipfel, 2014). *Arabidopsis* flagellin-sensing 2 (FLS2), a plasma membrane-localized receptor-like kinase (RLK), is a PRR of bacterial flagellin (Gomez-Gomez and Boller, 2000). A 22 amino acid peptide corresponding to the N-terminus of flagellin, flg22, is perceived by FLS2 and

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>©</sup> The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

induces FLS2 association with another plasma membranelocalized RLK, BAK1, which was originally identified as the plant growth hormone brassinosteroid (BR) receptor BRI1-associated kinase (Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002; Chinchilla et al., 2007; Heese et al., 2007). BAK1 is required for multiple MAMP-mediated responses and heterodimerizes with other PRRs, including bacterial elongation factor-Tu (EF-Tu) receptor EFR (Roux et al., 2011), and Arabidopsis Pep1 receptor AtPEPR1 (Postel et al., 2010). Upon perception of flg22, Botrytis-induced kinase 1 (BIK1), a receptorlike cytoplasmic kinase in the FLS2-BAK1 complex, is rapidly phosphorylated by BAK1, and subsequently released from the FLS2–BAK1 complex (Lu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2014). Perception of different MAMPs often elicits a series of overlapping immune responses, including membrane depolarization, reactive oxygen species (ROS) burst, cytoplasmic calcium transients, activation of Ca<sup>2+</sup>dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades, ethylene production, transcriptional reprograming, callose deposition, and stomatal closure (Zhang and Zhou, 2010; Wu et al., 2014).

PRR activation and attenuation are also regulated by protein ubiquitination, endocytosis, and degradation (Geldner and Robatzek, 2008; Li et al., 2014). FLS2 has been shown to translocate into intracellular vesicles within 30min of flg22 treatment (Robatzek et al., 2006; Beck et al., 2012). The nonactivated FLS2 constitutively recycles between the plasma membrane and early endosome, probably regulating the abundance of receptor at the plasma membrane and maintaining a constant pool of signalling receptor (Beck et al., 2012). Upon flg22 perception, FLS2 enters a distinct endocytic trafficking pathway followed by sorting into the vacuole for degradation (Beck et al., 2012; Choi et al., 2013). As an important post-translational modification process, protein ubiquitination often marks target proteins for degradation through the vacuole or proteasome, or regulates endosomal sorting of plasma membrane-localized proteins (Vierstra, 2009). The ubiquitination pathway directs covalent conjugation of conserved ubiquitin molecules to specific protein substrates through a stepwise reaction mediated by three distinct classes of enzymes, ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), ubiquitinconjugating enzyme (E2), and ubiquitin-protein ligase (E3) (Dreher and Callis, 2007; Vierstra, 2009). The substrate specificity is dictated by ubiquitin E3 ligases, which are broadly classified into three groups: HECT (homologous to E6-AP C-terminus), RING finger, and U-box (Yee and Goring, 2009). It was previously found that two closely related plant U-box (PUB) E3 ubiquitin ligases PUB12 and PUB13 interact with BAK1, and are recruited to FLS2 upon flg22 perception. BAK1 directly phosphorylates PUB12 and PUB13, and is indispensable for FLS2 and PUB12/13 association. PUB12 and PUB13 polyubiquitinate FLS2 and lead to flg22-induced FLS2 degradation, thereby negatively regulating flg22 signalling (Lu et al., 2011). Interestingly, the pub13 mutant displays certain autoimmune responses, such as spontaneous cell death and accumulation of hydrogen peroxide and the plant defence hormone salicylic acid (SA), when grown under longday (LD, 16h of light/8h of dark) and high light (250  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup>  $s^{-1}$ ) conditions with high humidity (95% relative humidity) treatment (Li *et al.*, 2012). PUB13 also negatively regulates flowering time, suggesting a broad role for PUB13 in control-ling plant immunity and growth (Li *et al.*, 2012).

Several PUBs have been implicated in plant defence and cell death control (Craig et al., 2009; Trujillo and Shirasu, 2010). Two Avr9/Cf9 rapidly elicited (ACRE) genes, ACRE74 (CMPG1) and ACRE276 (PUB17), are required for programmed cell death (PCD) and plant defence in tobacco, tomato, and Arabidopsis (Gonzalez-Lamothe et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2006). In contrast, rice Spotted leaf 11 (SPL11) acts as a negative regulator of plant PCD and pathogen resistance (Zeng et al., 2004), and three closely related Arabidopsis U-box E3 ubiquitin ligases, PUB22, PUB23, and PUB24, also negatively regulate PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) signalling (Trujillo et al., 2008). PUB22 interacts with and ubiquitinates Exo70B2, a subunit of the exocyst complex that mediates vesicle tethering during exocytosis (Stegmann et al., 2012). Exo70B2 is required for full activation of multiple PAMP-triggered responses and resistance against different pathogen infections. Perception of flg22 stabilizes PUB22 and promotes PUB22-mediated ubiquitination and degradation of Exo70B2 via the 26S proteasome, thereby attenuating flg22-mediated signalling (Stegmann et al., 2012).

The largest class of PUBs in Arabidopsis is the ARMADILLO (ARM) repeat domain-containing PUB proteins (Azevedo et al., 2001; Yee and Goring, 2009). The ARM repeat domain is composed of multiple 42 amino acid ARM repeats with significant sequence divergence but a highly conserved right-handed superhelix of  $\alpha$ -helices that is predicted to form a specific protein interaction domain (Samuel et al., 2006). In this study, a series of domain deletion and truncation studies of PUB12 and PUB13 were performed. It is shown that the ARM domain of PUB13 interacts with BAK1 and is phosphorylated by BAK1. Ectopic expression of the PUB13 ARM domain inhibits flg22-induced FLS2-PUB13 association and PUB12/13-mediated FLS2 ubiquitination and degradation in Arabidopsis. Similar to the pub12pub13 double mutant, transgenic plants expressing the PUB13 ARM domain display enhanced immune responses compared with wild-type (WT) plants. Apparently, ectopic expression of the protein-protein interacting ARM domain creates a dominant negative effect and interferes with the endogenous PUB functions, implying a potential alternative to dissect the overlapping functions of multiple closely related PUB genes. Interestingly, similarly to the *pub12pub13* double mutant, PUB13ARM transgenic plants showed enhanced darkinduced senescence accompanied by elevated expression of the stress-induced senescence marker genes, senescence-associated gene 13 (SAG13) and SAG14.

# Materials and methods

#### Plant materials and growth conditions

The *pub12* and *pub13* single mutant and *pub12/13* double mutant plants were described previously (Lu *et al.*, 2011). *Arabidopsis* plants were grown in soil (Metro Mix 360) in a growth chamber with 23 °C, 65% relative humidity, 75  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light, and a photoperiod of 12h

light/12h dark for 4 weeks before protoplast isolation and disease assays. For flowering time, plants were grown under 23 °C, 65% relative humidity, 75  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light, and a photoperiod of 16h light/8h dark. To grow *Arabidopsis* seedlings on medium, the seeds were surface-sterilized with 50% bleach for 15 min, washed with sterilized ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and then placed on plates with half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium (1/2 MS) containing 0.5% sucrose, 0.8% agar, and 2.5 mM MES at pH 5.7. The plates were first stored at 4 °C for 3 d in the dark for seed stratification, and then moved to the growth chamber set to the same parameters as the soil-grown plants.

#### Plasmid construction and generation of transgenic plants

The constructs of BAK1, FLS2, and PUB13 in the plant expression vector (pHBT) or protein expression vector (pMAL or pGST) were reported previously (Lu et al., 2010, 2011). The different PUB13 domain truncations were cloned into the plant expression vector, protein expression vector, or modified bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) vector with PCR amplification using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1 available at JXB online. The 35S::FLS2-LUC construct was made by subcloning the fulllength FLS2 gene into the plant expression vector pHBT fused with a Luciferase gene. The PUB13ARM was subcloned into a modified plant transformation binary vector pCB302 derivative under the control of the 35S promoter with an HA epitope tag. The transgenic plants were generated by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation in *Arabidopsis* accession Col-0 plants. The transgenic plants were screened with the herbicide BASTA (resistance conferred by the binary vector) and confirmed by western blotting with an  $\alpha$ -HA antibody. The homozygous lines were selected based on the survival ratio of T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> generation plants after BASTA spray.

#### In vitro *pull-down* assay

Maltose-binding protein (MBP), MBP-BAK1CD, MBP-BAK1CDKm, MBP-FLS2CD, glutathione S-transferase (GST), GST-PUB13, and GST-PUB13ARM proteins were individually expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 strain and purified with a standard amylose or glutathione agarose-based procedure. About 10 µg of MBP, MBP-BAK1CD, or MBP-FLS2CD proteins were incubated with 5 µl of pre-washed amylose beads in 1 ml of pull-down buffer (20 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 3 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% NP-40) for 30 min at 4 °C with gentle shaking. The beads were harvested by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 1 min and then inoculated with 10 µg of GST or GST-tagged proteins in 1 ml of pull-down buffer for 1 h at 4 °C with gentle shaking. The beads were harvested and washed three times with 1 ml of pull-down buffer and once with 1 ml of 50 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5. Bound proteins were released from beads by boiling in 20  $\mu$ l of 2× SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer and analyaed by western blot with an  $\alpha$ -GST antibody.

#### BiFC assay

Protoplast isolation and transfection were performed as described (He *et al.*, 2007). *Arabidopsis* protoplasts were co-transfected with various BiFC constructs as indicated in the figures. Fluorescence signals in protoplasts were visualized under a confocal microscope (Olympus FV1000) 18h after transfection. The following are the filter sets used for excitation (Ex) and emission (Em): yellow fluorescent protein (YFP), 515nm (Ex)/520–550nm (Em); chlorophyll, 488nm (Ex)/560–650nm (Em); bright field, 633nm. Images were captured in a multichannel mode, analysed, and processed with an OLYMPUS FLUOVIEW Version 3.0 Viewer.

#### Co-immunoprecipitation and in vivo ubiquitination assays

The total proteins from  $2 \times 10^5$  transfected protoplasts were isolated with 0.5 ml of extraction buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM

NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche). The samples were vortexed vigorously for 30 s, and then centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was inoculated with  $\alpha$ -FLAG agarose beads for 2h at 4 °C with gentle shaking. The beads were collected and washed three times with washing buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail) and once more with 50 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5. Bound proteins were released from the beads by boiling in SDS–PAGE sample loading buffer and analysed by western blot with an  $\alpha$ -HA antibody.

# In vitro phosphorylation, immunocomplex kinase and in vivo MAPK assavs

For *in vitro* kinase assay, reactions were performed in 30 µl of kinase buffer [20 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM EGTA, 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)] containing 10 µg of fusion proteins with 0.1 mM cold ATP and 5 µCi of  $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP at room temperature for 3 h with gentle shaking. The reactions were stopped by adding 4× SDS loading buffer. The phosphorylation of fusion proteins was analysed by autoradiography after separation by 12% SDS–PAGE.

For immunocomplex kinase assay, ten 2-week-old seedlings were ground with 1 ml of IP buffer (50 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 2 mM NaF, 2 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>3</sub>, 1% Triton, and protease inhibitor cocktail). The samples were vortexed vigorously for 30 s, and then centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was incubated with  $\alpha$ -MPK antibody for 2 h and then with protein-G–agarose beads for another 2 h at 4 °C with gentle shaking. The beads were harvested and washed once with IP buffer and once with kinase buffer. The kinase reactions were performed in 20 µl of kinase buffer with 2 µg of MBP as substrate, 0.1 mM cold ATP, and 5 µCi of [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP at room temperature for 1 h with gentle shaking. The phosphorylation of MBP proteins was analysed by autoradiography after separation by 15% SDS–PAGE.

For detecting MAPK activity *in vivo*, 2-week-old seedlings grown on 1/2 MS medium were transferred to water overnight and then treated with 100 nM flg22 or H<sub>2</sub>O for the times indicated and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The seedlings were homogenized in IP buffer and an equal amount of total protein was electrophoresed by 10% SDS– PAGE. An  $\alpha$ -pERK antibody (1:2000) (Cell Signaling) was used to detect the phosphorylation status of MPK3 and MPK6 with an immunoblot.

#### In vitro ubiquitination assay

The *in vitro* ubiquitination assay was performed as described with some modifications (Lu *et al.*, 2011). The reactions contain 1  $\mu$ g of purified MBP–FLS2CD, 1  $\mu$ g of purified His<sub>6</sub>-E1 (AtUBA1), 1  $\mu$ g of purified His<sub>6</sub>-E2 (AtUBC8), 1  $\mu$ g of His<sub>6</sub>-ubiquitin (Boston Biochem), 1  $\mu$ g of purified GST–PUB, and 1–16  $\mu$ g of purified GST or GST–ARM with 6  $\mu$ l of 5× ubiquitination buffer (0.1 M TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.5 mM DTT, 10 mM ATP) to a final volume of 30  $\mu$ l. The reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 2 h, and then stopped by adding SDS sample buffer and boiled at 100 °C for 5 min. The samples were then separated by 7.5% SDS–PAGE and the ubiquitinated substrates were detected by western blot analysis.

#### Measurement of ROS production

Four leaves of each of six 5-week-old *Arabidopsis* plants were excised into leaf discs of  $0.25 \text{ cm}^2$ , following an overnight incubation in a 96-well plate with 100 µl of H<sub>2</sub>O to eliminate the wounding effect. H<sub>2</sub>O was replaced by 100 µl of reaction solution containing 50 µM luminol and 10 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> horseradish peroxidase (Sigma) supplemented with 100 nM flg22. The luminescence was measured with a luminometer (Perkin Elmer, 2030 Multilabel Reader, Victor X3) immediately after adding the solution, with a 2min interval reading

time for a period of 60 min. The measurement value of ROS production from 24 leaf discs per treatment was indicated as the mean of RLU (relative light units).

#### Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis

Total RNA was isolated from leaves or seedlings after treatment with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA with oligo(dT) primer and reverse transcriptase (New England BioLabs). Real-time RT–PCR analysis was carried out using iTaq SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) supplemented with ROX in an ABI GeneAmp PCR System 9700. The expression of immunity-related genes was normalized to the expression of UBQ10. The primer sequences for RT–PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online.

#### Callose deposition

Three 2-week-old seedlings were incubated with 500 nM flg22 for 12h at room temperature. Seedlings were immediately cleared in alcoholic lactophenol [95% ethanol:lactophenol (phenol:glycerol:lactic acid:H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1:1)=2:1] overnight. Samples were subsequently rinsed with 50% ethanol and H<sub>2</sub>O. Cleared leaves were stained with 0.01% aniline blue in 0.15M phosphate buffer (pH 9.5) and the callose deposits were visualized under a UV filter using a fluorescence microscope. Callose deposits were counted using ImageJ 1.43U software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). The number of deposits was expressed as the mean of six different leaf areas with standard error.

#### Pathogen infection assays

*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (*Pst*) DC3000 and *P. syringae* pv. *maculicola* (*Psm*) ES4326 strains were cultured overnight at 28 °C in KB medium with 50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> rifampicin for DC3000 and 50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin for ES4326. Bacteria were collected, washed, and diluted to the desired density with H<sub>2</sub>O. Four-week-old *Arabidopsis* leaves were infiltrated with bacteria at a concentration of 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> using a needleless syringe. To measure bacterial growth, two leaf discs were ground in 100  $\mu$ l of H<sub>2</sub>O and serial dilutions were plated on KB medium with appropriate antibiotics. Bacterial colony-forming units (cfu) were counted 2 d after incubation at 28 °C. Each data point is shown as triplicates.

*Botrytis cinerea* BO5 was grown on potato dextrose agar (Difco, USA) and was incubated at room temperature. Conidia were re-suspended in distilled water and the spore concentration was adjusted to  $10^5$  spores ml<sup>-1</sup>. Gelatin (0.5%) was added to the conidial suspension before inoculation. The detached *Arabidopsis* leaves were laid on a plastic tray with wet paper at the bottom and 10 µl of spores were dropped onto the centre of each leaf. The tray was covered with a dome to maintain high humidity, and disease development was monitored over a period of 3 d.

#### SA measurement

For SA measurements, 4-week-old plants were infested with *Pst* at a concentration of  $5 \times 10^5$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>, or water as a mock control. Three days later, leaves were harvested and ground in liquid nitrogen. SA was quantified using the method described previously (Lei *et al.*, 2014) with the following modifications. During solvent partitioning, the pH of the aqueous solution was first adjusted to pH 8.0 and then to pH 6.0, and the mass spectrometer was operated in SIM mode only.

#### Trypan blue and DAB staining

For trypan blue staining, the leaves of 4-week-old plants were excised and subsequently immersed in boiled lactophenol (lactic acid:glycerol:liquid phenol:distilled water 1:1:1:1) solution with 0.25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> trypan blue for 1 min. The stained leaves were

destained with 95% ethanol/lactophenol solution, and washed with 50% ethanol. For DAB staining, the leaves of 4-week-old plants were immersed in 1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> DAB (3,3'-diaminobenzidine) (pH 3.8) solution with low vacuum pressure for 30 min, followed by an overnight incubation at room temperature in the dark. The stained leaves were fixed and cleared in alcoholic lactophenol (95% ethanol:lactic acid:phenol 2:1:1) at 65 °C, and rinsed once with 50% ethanol and twice with H<sub>2</sub>O. The destained leaves were subjected to microscopic observation.

## Results

# PUB13 ARM domain interacts with and is phosphorylated by BAK1

PUB13 contains a U-box N-terminal domain (UND), a U-box domain, and a C-terminal ARM repeat domain (Fig. 1A). PUB13 co-immunoprecipitated with BAK1 and FLS2 in Arabidopsis (Lu et al., 2011). To test whether PUB13 directly interacts with BAK1 and/or FLS2, an in vitro pulldown assay was performed with the cytoplasmic domain of BAK1 (BAK1CD) or FLS2 (FLS2CD) fused to MBP immobilized on amylose-agarose beads as the bait against GST-PUB13 fusion proteins. As shown in Fig. 1B, GST-PUB13 was able to be pulled down by MBP-BAK1CD, but not by MBP-FLS2CD or MBP itself, suggesting a physical interaction between PUB13 and BAK1 but not between PUB13 and FLS2. This is consistent with a previous report showing that PUB13 constitutively associates with BAK1, whereas flg22 induces BAK1-dependent PUB13 and FLS2 association (Lu et al., 2011). It has been suggested that the ARM domain mediates protein-protein interaction whereas the U-box domain confers E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (Samuel et al., 2006). It was further examined whether the ARM domain is sufficient to mediate the PUB13 interaction with BAK1. As shown in Fig. 1B, the ARM domain of PUB13 (GST-ARM) was pulled down by MBP-BAK1CD, indicating that the ARM domain mediates a direct interaction with BAK1. Notably, the ARM domain interaction with BAK1 appears to be much stronger than that of full-length PUB13 (Fig. 1B). Pull-down assays were further performed using different truncations of PUB13 with MBP-BAK1CD. The BAK1 interaction with the U-box-ARM domain is similar to that with the ARM domain, and stronger than that with PUB13, but no interaction was detected with the UND (Fig. 1C). These results suggest that the UND may negatively regulate PUB13-BAK1 interaction. To test the in vivo interaction of PUB13ARM and BAK1, BiFC assay was performed. A strong fluorescence signal was detected in the cell periphery with co-transfection of BAK1 fused to the C-terminal half of YFP (BAK1-cYFP) and PUB13ARM fused to the N-terminal half of YFP (ARM-nYFP) in protoplasts, suggesting that PUB13ARM interacts with BAK1 in vivo (Fig. 1D). Neither of the individual constructs nor co-transfection of UND-nYFP or U-box-nYFP with BAK1-cYFP emitted YFP signals in protoplasts. PUB13 is a phosphorylation substrate of BAK1 (Lu et al., 2011). The interaction between the ARM domain of PUB12 or PUB13 and BAK1 was confirmed in a co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay



**Fig. 1.** The PUB13 ARM domain interacts with and phosphorylates BAK1. (A) A schematic protein domain structure of PUB13. PUB13 contains a U-box N-terminal domain (UND), a U-box domain, and a C-terminal ARMADILLO (ARM) repeat domain. The amino acid position is labelled on the top. The PUB13 truncations used for the following experiments are listed. (B and C) The ARM domain interacts with the BAK1 cytosolic domain (CD) in *in vitro* pull-down (PD) assays. (B) GST-fused PUB13 or ARM proteins were incubated with MBP, MBP–BAK1CD, or MBP–FLS2CD amylose beads, and the beads were collected and washed for western blot (WB) of immunoprecipitated proteins with an α-GST antibody (top gel). (C) MBP–BAK1CD was incubated with GST, GST–PUB13, or various truncated forms of PUB13 proteins with glutathione beads, and the beads were collected and washed for WB of immunoprecipitated proteins with an α-HA antibody (top gel). The protein inputs are indicated by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining. (D) The ARM domain interacts with BAK1 with BiFC assay in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. Protoplasts were transfected with various BiFC constructs, and the fluorescence was visualized under a confocal microscope. YFP, yellow fluorescent protein signal; Chlorophyll, autofluorescence signal; BF, bright field. Scale bars=50 μm. (E) The ARM domain is phosphorylated by BAK1. GST–PUB13 or various truncated forms of PUB13 proteins with BAK1 (top panel), and the protein loading is shown by CBB staining (bottom panel). (F) Interaction of PUB13ARM with BAK1 requires BAK1 kinase activity. GST–ARM proteins were incubated with MBP–BAK1CD, or MBP–BAK1CD, or MBP–BAK1CDKm amylose beads for *in vitro* PD assay. The PD precipitates were examined with α-GST antibody in WB (top panel). The protein inputs are shown by CBB staining (middle and bottom panels). The above experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

(Supplementary Fig. S1 at *JXB* online). It was determined which domain(s) of PUB13 mediates the phosphorylation by BAK1 by using an *in vitro* kinase assay employing MBP–BAK1CD as a kinase and different truncated versions of

PUB13 as substrates in the presence of  $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP. Consistent with a previous report (Lu *et al.*, 2011), BAK1CD possesses autophosphorylation activity and could phosphorylate the full-length PUB13 (Supplementary Fig. S2). Apparently,

BAK1CD strongly phosphorylated the ARM domain or U-box-ARM domain yet exhibited little phosphorylation activity towards the UND, UND–U-box, or U-box domain (Fig. 1E). The data indicate that BAK1 phosphorylation mainly occurs on the ARM domain of PUB13. Notably, the BAK1CD kinase-inactive mutant (BAK1CDKm), in which Lys317 was mutated to methionine (K317M), was no longer able to interact with PUB13ARM in an *in vitro* pull-down assay (Fig. 1F), indicating that BAK1 kinase activity is essential in mediating its interaction with PUB13.

## Ectopic expression of PUB13ARM blocks PUB13mediated FLS2 ubiquitination and degradation

It was further tested whether ectopic expression of the ARM domain in planta will generate a dominant negative effect on the function of endogenous PUB13 and its closest homologues, such as PUB12. It was first determined whether excess ARM domain protein could interfere with PUB13 ubiquitination activity towards FLS2CD in an in vitro ubiquitination assay. The addition of PUB13ARM proteins into the reactions resulted in a gradually shortened ladder formation of FLS2CD in a dosage-dependent manner (Fig. 2A). Notably, the overall ubiquitination reaction was not affected by PUB13ARM protein as detected with an  $\alpha$ -ubiquitin ( $\alpha$ -Ub) antibody (Fig. 2A). The effect of ARM protein on FLS2 ubiquitination was further tested with an in vivo ubiquitination assay. HA epitope-tagged full-length FLS2 and FLAG epitope-tagged Ub were co-expressed in the presence or absence of GFP-tagged PUB13ARM in Arabidopsis protoplasts. The ubiquitinated FLS2 protein was detected with an  $\alpha$ -HA western blot upon immunoprecipitation with  $\alpha$ -FLAG antibody. As reported, flg22 treatment induced FLS2 ubiquitination as shown by the enhanced ladder-like smear formation (Fig. 2B). Ectopic expression of PUB13ARM-green fluorescent protein (GFP) largely diminished flg22-induced ladder formation, suggesting compromised flg22-induced FLS2 ubiquitination (Fig. 2B). Thus, the PUB13 ARM domain is able to interfere with the ubiquitination activity of full-length PUB13 towards FLS2 in both in vitro and in vivo ubiquitination assays.

Next, it was tested whether PUB13ARM interferes with flg22-induced FLS2-PUB13 association by Co-IP assay (Fig. 2C). FLAG-tagged PUB13 was co-expressed with HA-tagged FLS2, and FLS2 was detected in the precipitates with an  $\alpha$ -HA western blot upon immunoprecipitation with  $\alpha$ -FLAG antibody. Apparently, ectopic expression of PUB13ARM-GFP reduced flg22-induced PUB13-FLS2 association (Fig. 2C). The prolonged flg22 treatment induces FLS2 degradation (Lu et al., 2011). To quantify the FLS2 degradation rate, the firefly luciferase gene (LUC) was fused to the C-terminus of the full-length FLS2 gene under the control of the constitutive Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter. The resulting construct 35S::FLS2-LUC was transfected into Arabidopsis protoplasts and the luciferase activity was monitored upon flg22 treatment (Fig. 2D). A reduction of luciferase activity was observed 2h and 4h after flg22 treatment in the vector control transfection, indicating the occurrence of flg22-induced FLS2-LUC degradation (Fig. 2D). However, ectopic expression of PUB13ARM ameliorated flg22-induced degradation of FLS2-LUC luciferase activity, suggesting that PUB13ARM blocks flg22-induced FLS2 degradation (Fig. 2D). Transgenic plants carrying HA-tagged PUB13ARM under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter were further generated in the WT Arabidopsis Col-0 ecotype. Two independent transgenic lines, 7-2 and 15-1, with moderate protein expression levels of PUB13ARM, were selected for phenotypic and molecular analyses (Supplementary Fig. S3A at JXB online). The PCR analysis indicated that the transgenes did not disrupt the endogenous PUB13 gene (Supplementary Fig. S3B). To examine flg22-induced endogenous FLS2 protein degradation, the FLS2 protein level in these transgenic plants was monitored with  $\alpha$ -FLS2 antibody (Fig. 2E, F). In WT plants, the protein level of FLS2 was reduced by ~20% and 50% at 30 min and 60 min after flg22 treatment, respectively. However, no significant change in the FLS2 protein level was detected in the two lines of PUB13ARM transgenic plants upon flg22 treatment (Fig. 2E, F), which is consistent with the result of FLS2-LUC reporter assays in protoplasts (Fig. 2D). Notably, it appears that the degradation rate of FLS2-LUC in the protoplast-based assay was slower than that of endogenous FLS2 in the seedling assay, probably due to the different protein expression level of FLS2. Taken together, ectopic expression of PUB13ARM blocked flg22-induced FLS2 ubiquitination and degradation in Arabidopsis.

# The PUB13ARM transgenic plants display elevated flg22-mediated immune responses

The pub12pub13 (pub12/13) double mutant showed elevated flg22-mediated defence gene activation, callose deposition, and ROS production (Lu et al., 2011). These immune responses were examined in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. qRT-PCR analysis showed that flg22-mediated induction of the defence genes WRKY30 (At5g24110), AP2 (At3g23230), and FRK1 (At2g19190) was increased ~2-fold in PUB13ARM transgenic plants compared with that in WT plants (Fig. 3A). Similar to the pub12/13 mutant plants, PUB13ARM transgenic plants accumulated >3-fold more callose deposits than WT plants 12h after flg22 treatment (Fig. 3B). In addition, the peak of flg22-induced ROS production in PUB13ARM transgenic plants was ~50% higher than that in WT plants (Fig. 3C). Taken together, the data indicate that flg22-induced immune responses were elevated in PUB13ARM transgenic plants compared with WT plants.

# PUB13ARM transgenic plants display elevated flg22induced MAPK activation

Activation of MAPKs is one of the earliest signalling events following MAMP recognition (Meng and Zhang, 2013). It remains unknown whether PUB13 and its closest homologue PUB12 also regulate flg22-induced MAPK activation. Thus flg22-mediated activation of MAPKs was first examined with  $\alpha$ -pERK antibody that cross-reacts with



Fig. 2. Ectopic expression of PUB13ARM blocks flg22-induced FLS2 ubiquitination and degradation. (A) PUB13ARM blocks PUB13-mediated FLS2 ubiquitination. MBP-FLS2CD-HA was incubated with GST-PUB13 in an in vitro ubiquitination reaction in the presence of different amount of proteins of GST (Control) or GST–ARM. The ubiquitination of FLS2CD was detected by  $\alpha$ -HA antibody (top panel). The overall ubiquitination was detected by α-ubiquitin (Ub) antibody (middle panel). GST protein loading is shown by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining (bottom panel). (B) Ectopic expression of PUB13ARM blocks flg22-induced FLS2 ubiquitination. Arabidopsis protoplasts were transfected with FLAG-Ub, FLS2-HA, and PUB13ARM–GFP or a control vector, and incubated for 10h before 1 µM flg22 treatment for 30 min. The ubiquitinated FLS2 was detected with an α-HA antibody western blot (WB) after immunoprecipitation with  $\alpha$ -FLAG antibody. The total ubiquitinated proteins were detected by an  $\alpha$ -FLAG WB, and the protein levels of FLS2 and ARM were detected by α-HA or α-GFP WB, respectively. Equal protein loading is shown by CBB staining for RuBisCo (RBC). (C) Ectopic expression of PUB13ARM suppresses flg22-induced FLS2-PUB13 association. Arabidopsis protoplasts were transfected with FLS2-HA, PUB13-FLAG, and PUB13ARM-GFP or a control vector, and incubated for 10h before 1 µM flg22 treatment for 10min. The association of FLS2-PUB13 was detected by an α-HA WB after α-FLAG immunoprecipitation. The protein levels of FLS2, PUB13, and ARM were detected by α-HA, α-FLAG, or α-GFP WB, respectively. (D) Ectopic expression of PUB13ARM inhibits flg22-induced FLS2-LUC degradation in protoplasts. The 35S::FLS2-LUC was co-transfected with ARM or a control vector in Arabidopsis protoplasts. The transfected protoplasts were incubated for 12h before 10 µM cycloheximide treatment for 1h, and then treated with 1 µM flg22 for the indicated times (hpt, hours post flg22 treatment). The UBQ–GUS was included as an internal transfection control. The LUC activity was normalized to GUS activity to reflect the relative protein level of FLS2. (E and F) Stable expression of PUB13ARM in transgenic plants blocks flg22-induced FLS2 degradation. ARM7 and ARM15 are two independent PUB13ARM transgenic lines (ARM7-2 and ARM15-1). Two-week-old seedlings were treated with 1 μM flg22 for 0.5 h or 1 h. The endogenous FLS2 proteins were detected by α-FLS2 WB (E) and were quantified by the band intensity (F). Equal protein loading is shown by CBB staining for RBC. The data are shown as the mean  $\pm$ standard error (*n*=3), and the asterisk (\*) indicates a significant difference before and after flg22 treatment (P<0.05). The above experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

phosphorylated *Arabidopsis* MPK3 and MPK6. MPK3 and MPK6 were activated 15 min after flg22 treatment in WT plants, whereas the activation was enhanced in *pub12*, *pub13*, and *pub12/13* mutant seedlings compared with WT plants

(Fig. 4A). An immunocomplex kinase assay was further carried out to determine the activation of endogenous MPK3 and MPK4. The MPK3 and MPK4 proteins were immunoprecipitated with  $\alpha$ -MPK3 or  $\alpha$ -MPK4 antibody from WT



**Fig. 3.** Elevated flg22-mediated immune responses in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. (A) Expression of flg22-induced genes in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Real-time RT–PCR analysis of 2-week-old seedlings after 0.5 h or 6 h treatment with 100 nM flg22. The expression of *WRKY30*, *AP2*, and *FRK1* was normalized to the expression of *UBQ10*. The data are shown as the mean  $\pm$ SE (*n*=3), and asterisks (\*) indicate a significant difference between WT and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. (*P*<0.05). (B) Callose deposition in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Two-week-old seedlings were collected for aniline blue staining 12 h after treatment with 500 nM flg22. Callose deposits were counted using ImageJ 1.43U software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). The data are shown as the mean  $\pm$ SE (*n*=6). (C) ROS production in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Leaf discs from 5-week-old plants were treated with 100 nM flg22, and ROS production was detected at the indicated time points. The data are shown as the mean  $\pm$ SE from 24 leaf discs. The above experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

or *pub12/13* mutant seedlings treated or not with fig22, and subjected to an *in vitro* kinase assay using myelin basic protein as a substrate in the presence of  $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP. Consistent with the detection by  $\alpha$ -pERK antibody, fig22 treatment elicited a stronger activation of MPK3 and MPK4 in *pub12/13* mutants compared with WT plants (Supplementary Fig. S4A, B at *JXB* online). Importantly, two independent lines of PUB13ARM transgenic plants also exhibited enhanced fig22-mediated MPK3 and MPK6 activation compared with WT plants (Fig. 4B). Notably, the elevated MAPK activation in PUB13ARM transgenic plants resembled that detected in the *pub12/13* double mutant and was more pronounced than that in *pub12* or *pub13* single mutants, suggesting that ectopic expression of PUB13ARM may interfere with both *PUB12* and *PUB13* functions.

# PUB13ARM transgenic plants show enhanced disease resistance

The *pub12/13* mutant is more resistant to infections by *Psm* ES4326 and *Pst* DC3000 compared with WT plants (Lu *et al.*, 2011). It was tested whether PUB13ARM transgenic plants were also more resistant to bacterial infections. At 2 d or 4 d after inoculation, the *in planta* bacterial population of *Psm* ES4326 in two independent lines of PUB13ARM transgenic plants or the *pub12/13* mutant was ~5- to 10-fold



**Fig. 4.** PUB12/13 negatively regulate MAPK activation. (A and B) Enhanced MAPK activity in *pub12/13* mutant and PUB13ARM transgenic plants as detected by  $\alpha$ -pERK antibody. Two-week-old seedlings were treated with 100nM flg22 for 15 min or 45 min. Phosphorylated MPK3 (pMPK3) and MPK6 (pMPK6) were detected by  $\alpha$ -pERK western blot (WB). Protein loading is shown by Coomassie Briliant Blue (CBB) for RBC. The above experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

lower than that in WT plants (Fig. 5A). A similar result was observed with Pst DC3000 infection (Fig. 5B). Thus, similar to the pub12/13 double mutant, PUB13ARM transgenic plants are more resistant to Psm and Pst infections than WT plants. In addition, the infection by the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis cinerea BO5 was also examined in pub12/13 mutant and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Three days after infection, leaves detached from WT plants formed a large lesion at the infection sites. In contrast, the lesion area on the leaves of pub12/13 mutant and PUB13ARM transgenic plants was  $\sim 50\%$  smaller than that on WT leaves (Fig. 5C), suggesting that both pub12/13 mutant and PUB13ARM transgenic plants were more resistant to necrotrophic Botrytis infection than WT plants in the growth conditions used here. It was reported that the *pub13* mutant exhibited spontaneous cell death and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation under the growth condition of 22 °C, 70% relative humidity, and 250  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light with a photoperiod of 16h light/8h dark. High humidity treatment (95% relative humidity for 48 h) further aggravated these phenotypes (Li et al., 2012). Notably, 250  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light is a relatively high intensity for Arabidopsis growth. When grown under the condition of 23 °C, 65% relative humidity, 75 µE m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light, with a photoperiod of 12h light/12h dark, neither pub13 nor pub12/13 mutant plants exhibited detectable cell death and  $H_2O_2$  accumulation (Fig. 5D, E). The plant defence hormone SA was indicated to be involved in PUB13regulated defence (Li et al., 2012). Endogenous SA levels were measured in WT and PUB13ARM transgenic plants without and with Pst DC3000 infection, and no statistically significant difference was detected between WT and PUB13ARM transgenic plants (Fig. 5F). In addition, the transcript level of PR1, a marker gene in the SA signalling pathway, and *PDF1.2*, a marker gene in the ethylene (ET) and jasmonic acid (JA) signalling pathways, remained similar among WT, pub12/13 mutant, and PUB13ARM transgenic plants under the growth conditions used (Fig. 5G). In addition, the flg22induced FLS2 degradation was comparable in the WT and

the *sid2* mutant deficient in SA biosynthesis (Supplementary Fig. S5A at *JXB* online), suggesting that flg22-induced FLS2 degradation is largely SA independent. This is further substantiated by the earlier observations that *eds1*, *npr1*, and *pad4* mutants deficient in SA signalling and plants expressing *NahG* (a salicylate hydroxylase) show normal flg22-mediated resistance (Zipfel *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, the flg22-induced MAPK activation and ROS production were also elevated in the *sid2pub13* mutant compared with the *sid2* mutant (Supplementary Fig. S5B, C). Taken together, the data indicate that flg22-induced PUB13-mediated early defence responses are not completely due to the elevated SA level in the *pub13* mutant.

# PUB12 and PUB13 negatively regulate stress-induced leaf senescence

The phenotypes of pub12/13 mutant and PUB13ARM transgenic plants were not obviously different from those of WT plants at the 4-week-old stage in the growth conditions used here (Fig. 6A). However, it was noticed that mutant and transgenic plants were prone to be stressed. After a 4 d period of dark treatment, pub12 and pub13 single mutant or pub12/13 double mutant plants senescenced earlier with more vellowing leaves than WT plants (Fig. 6A). Thus, it appears that stresses, including increased light intensity, high humidity, and dark treatment, probably induce cell death and/or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in *pub13* and *pub12* mutant plants. These observations indicate that PUB12 and PUB13 may play a role in stress-induced senescence and cell death regulation. To test this, the transcript levels of several SAGs were measured, among which SAG12 is a commonly used marker for age-dependent leaf senescence whereas SAG13 and SAG14 are stress-induced senescence markers (Du et al., 2013). Importantly, the pub12, pub13, and pub12/13 mutants exhibited a WT level of SAG12 expression whereas they showed dramatically enhanced transcript levels of SAG13 and SAG14 upon dark treatment (Fig. 6B). The data suggest that PUB12 and PUB13 are involved in stress-induced leaf senescence regulation. Similar to the pub12/13 double mutant, PUB13ARM transgenic plants also showed early senescence with elevated transcript levels of SAG13 and SAG14 after dark treatment (Fig. 6A, B). To determine the role of SA in PUB13-regulated leaf senescence, *sid2* and *sid2pub13* mutants were subjected to dark treatment. Similar to pub13, the sid2pub13 mutant, but not sid2, showed yellowing leaves after 4 d dark treatment (Supplementary Fig. S6A at JXB online), indicating that PUB13-mediated senescence is SA independent.

# PUB13ARM transgenic plants show early flowering

The *pub13* mutant displayed early flowering compared with WT plants under 12h light/12h dark or 16h light/8h dark (LD) photoperiods (Li *et al.*, 2012). Similar to the *pub13* mutant, *pub12* and *pub12/13* mutant plants and PUB13ARM transgenic plants flowered ~3 d earlier than the WT (Fig. 7A). The rosette leaf number at flowering of two lines of PUB13ARM transgenic plants was three to four less than



**Fig. 5.** Enhanced disease resistance in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. (A and B) Growth of *Pseudomonas syringae* in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Four-week-old *Arabidopsis* leaves were hand-inoculated with *P. syringae* pv. *maculicola* ES4326 or *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 strain at  $5 \times 10^5$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. Bacterial counting was performed 2 d and 4 d post-inoculation (dpi). An asterisk (\*) indicates a significant difference (*P*<0.05) between WT and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. (C) Lesion development of *Botrytis cinerea* in PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Five-week-old plants were infected with *B. cinerea* BO5 at the concentration of  $10^5$  spores ml<sup>-1</sup>. Disease symptoms were recorded and the area of infection was measured 3 dpi. An asterisk (\*) indicates a significant difference with *P*<0.05 between WT and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. (D) Cell death and (E) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in the leaves of 4-week-old plants were examined by trypan blue and DAB staining respectively. (F) SA levels in WT and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Four-week-old *Arabidopsis* leaves were hand-inoculated with *Pst* DC3000 strain at  $5 \times 10^5$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. SA was analysed 3 dpi. The data are shown as the mean ±SE (*n*=4). (G) The expression of *PR1* and *PDF1.2* in 4-week-old plants was normalized to the expression of *UBQ10*. The data are shown as the mean ±SE (*n*=3). The plants were grown under 23 °C, 65% relative humidity, 75  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light, and a photoperiod of 12 h light/12 h dark. The above experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

that of WT plants (Fig. 7B). Signal transduction pathways regulating flowering time converge at the integrators including flowering locus T (FT), suppressor of overexpression of constans 1 (SOC1), and LEAFY (LFY), and the expression level of these integrators determines the exact flowering time (Seo et al., 2009). qRT-PCR analysis indicated that the transcript levels were elevated by ~4-fold for FT and 2-fold for SOC1 in PUB13ARM transgenic plants and the pub12/13 mutant compared with WT plants (Fig. 7C). The flowering time of the WT, and *pub13*, *sid2*, and *sid2pub13* mutants was further compared. Although pub13 mutant plants flowered at 8 weeks post-germination, earlier than WT plants, the sid2 mutant flowered later than WT plants (Supplementary Fig. S6B) as reported (Martinez et al., 2004). However, both the WT and the sid2pub13 mutant flowered at ~9 weeks post-germination, which was earlier than the sid2 mutant (Supplementary Fig. S6C). Therefore, early flowering was still observed in the *sid2pub13* mutant compared with the sid2 mutant.

## Discussion

The ARM repeat domain, composed of multiple tandem repeats of an ~40 amino acid motif, was first discovered in Drosophila β-catenin, and later was found in all eukaryotic organisms (Samuel et al., 2006). The Arabidopsis genome encodes ~94 ARM repeat-containing proteins, 41 of which belong to the PUB family (Samuel et al., 2006). Although the ARM repeat domain is predicted to be involved in protein-protein interactions, few interacting proteins have been identified for plant ARM repeat proteins. In this study, it is shown that the kinase domain of the receptor-like kinase BAK1 physically interacts with the ARM domain of PUB13 in vivo and in vitro. This interaction is specific since the PUB13 ARM domain does not interact directly with the FLS2 kinase domain. Interestingly, the interaction with BAK1 for the ARM domain of PUB13 is much stronger than that for the full-length PUB13 (Fig. 1B and 1C), suggesting that other region(s) of PUB13 may negatively regulate the



**Fig. 6.** PUB12/13 negatively regulate leaf senescence. (A) Dark-induced senescence in *pub* mutant and ARM transgenic plants. Four-week-old WT, *pub* mutants, and PUB13ARM transgenic plants were subjected to a 4 d dark treatment. Yellowing leaves at the same positions are indicated by the white-coloured arrows. (B) Expression of senescence-associated genes (SAG) in WT, *pub* mutants, and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. The expression of *SAG12*, *SAG13*, and *SAG14* was normalized to the expression of *UBQ10*. The data are shown as the mean ±SE (*n*=3), and the asterisks (\*) indicate a significant difference compared with WT plants (*P*<0.05). The above experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

ARM-BAK1 interaction. PUB13 and some other PUBs have a plant-specific N-terminal UND with unknown functions. It is possible that the UND regulates ARM-BAK1 interaction. BAK1 interacts and phosphorylates the PUB13 ARM domain. It was attempted to define BAK1 phosphorylation motif/residues in the ARM domain with truncations of individual ARM repeats. It appears that BAK1 probably phosphorylates the PUB13 ARM domain at multiple sites and could strongly phosphorylate each individual ARM repeat (data not shown). Consistently, mass spectrometry analysis identified many phosphorylation sites scattered in the ARM domain by BAK1 (data not shown). Thus, it is challenging to address the consequence of BAK1 phosphorylation on PUB13 with mutational analysis. It was shown previously that BAK1 is required for flg22-induced FLS2-PUB13 association and FLS2 degradation, and the kinase inhibitor could block flg22-induced FLS2-PUB13 association (Lu et al., 2011). It is likely that PUB13 phosphorylation by BAK1 leads to its association with FLS2 for receptor ubiquitination and degradation.

Among 64 Arabidopsis PUB proteins, 41 of them contain the ARM repeat domain. The functions of the majority of ARM domain-containing PUB proteins have not been elucidated (Yee and Goring, 2009). This might be due in part to the functional redundancy of closely related PUB protein family members. For example, three ARM-containing *Arabidopsis* PUBs, PUB22, PUB23, and PUB24, play a redundant role in PTI signalling (Trujillo *et al.*, 2008), and PUB22 and PUB23 also have a combinatory function in response to drought stress (Cho *et al.*, 2008). PUB12 and PUB13 with 65% amino acid identity and 79% similarity possess functional redundancy in fine-tuning flg22-mediated immune responses (Lu et al., 2011). Notably, PUB12/13 and PUB22/23/24 target different components in FLS2 signalling, suggesting that ubiquitination regulates multiple steps in plant innate immunity. Nevertheless, there is a pressing need for the generation of PUB mutants with the possibility to block the functions of multiple closely related members. In this study, it was shown that ectopic expression of the ARM domain of PUB13 acts as a dominant negative mutant that interferes with activities of endogenous PUB12 and PUB13 proteins. The PUB13 ARM domain strongly interacts with BAK1, thereby blocking BAK1 interaction and phosphorylation of endogenous PUB12 and PUB13. Alternatively, the PUB13 ARM domain may directly interfere with the function of endogenous PUB12 and PUB13. This leads to the attenuation of PUB12/13-FLS2 association and PUB12/13-mediated FLS2 ubiquitination and degradation (Fig. 8). Consistent with this hypothesis, stable transgenic plants overexpressing the PUB13 ARM domain resemble the *pub12/13* double mutant with elevated immunity-related gene expression, ROS production, and callose deposition upon flg22 treatment and enhanced resistance to bacterial infections compared with WT plants (Fig. 3). It was further demonstrated here that both PUB13ARM transgenic plants and pub12/13 mutant plants showed enhanced MAPK activation upon flg22 treatment (Fig. 4), consistent with the regulation of the upstream FLS2 receptor by PUB12 and PUB13. Thus, ectopic expression of the ARM domain could provide an alternative approach to study the complex function of PUBs and other ARM domain-containing proteins.

Α



**Fig. 7.** PUB12/13 negatively regulate plant flowering time. (A) Flowering phenotype. Photos were taken of 8-week-old WT, *pub* mutants, and PUB13ARM transgenic plants grown under 23 °C, 65% relative humidity, 75  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light, and a photoperiod of 16 h light/8 h dark. (B) Leaf number of WT, *pub* mutants, and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. The leaf number of the tested plants was counted when the first flower bud appeared. An asterisk (\*) indicates a significant difference (*P*<0.05) compared with the leaf number of WT plants. (C) Expression of flowering marker genes in the WT, *pub* mutants, and PUB13ARM transgenic plants. The expression of *FT* and *SOC1* was normalized to the expression of *UBQ10*. The data are shown as the mean ±SE (*n*=3), and the asterisks (\*) indicate a significant difference compared with WT plants (*P*<0.05). The above experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

The phenotypic resemblance between PUB13ARM transgenic plants and pub12/13 mutant plants extends to the regulation of flowering. Both plants showed early flowering and elevated expression of flowering marker genes compared with WT plants (Fig. 7). It has been shown that SPL11, the rice orthologue of Arabidopsis PUB13, regulates flowering via interaction with SPIN1, a K homology domain protein involved in RNA binding (Vega-Sanchez et al., 2008). SPIN1 represses flowering since overexpression of SPIN1 caused late flowering independently of daylength (Vega-Sanchez et al., 2008). In contrast to the Arabidopsis pub13 mutant with an early flowering phenotype (Li et al., 2012) (Fig. 7), the rice spl11 mutant showed delayed flowering under LD conditions (Vega-Sanchez et al., 2008). SPL11 monoubiquitinated SPIN1; however, rather than controlling SPIN1 protein stability, SPL11 regulates SPIN1 mainly at the transcriptional level (Vega-Sanchez et al., 2008). The results indicate that a different mechanism may exist for PUB13 regulation of Arabidopsis flowering time. Ubiquitination has been reported to modulate flowering time by regulating the stability and accumulation of CONSTANS (CO; a photoperiodic protein), GIGANTEA (GI; a circadian-associated protein), and FLC (a negative regulator of the autonomous and vernalization pathways) (Liu et al., 2012). PUB13 positively regulates the flowering suppressor FLC to delay flowering under LD conditions (Liu et al., 2012). However, the detailed mechanism of how PUB13 regulates FLC is unknown. Although immunity and flowering are two seemingly independent pathways in plants, emerging evidence suggests that the two pathways are probably interconnected. Similar to the dual functions of PUB13 in immunity and flowering, the Arabidopsis small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) E3 ligase SIZ1 is a negative regulator of both immunity and flowering time (Lee et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2008). HopW1-1-interacting 3 (WIN3) was reported to promote plant innate immunity and delay flowering time in Arabidopsis (Wang et al., 2011). These findings suggest that tightly controlled flowering time may associate with plant fitness to defend against pathogen attacks.

PUB13ARM transgenic plants and *pub12/13* mutant plants are also more sensitive to dark-induced senescence with elevated transcript levels of stress-induced senescence marker genes (Fig. 6). Leaf senescence is a type of PCD that allows plants to mobilize nutrients from senescing cells to actively growing tissues (Zhang *et al.*, 2013). Another ARM



**Fig. 8.** A model of dominant negative function of ectopic expression of the PUB13 ARM domain in plant immunity. In WT plants, perception of flg22 by FLS2 induces formation of the FLS2–BAK1 complex, release of BIK1, and activation of downstream immune responses. The ARM domain of PUB13 interacts with BAK1 and is phosphorylated by BAK1. PUB13 associates with FLS2 during flagellin-induced formation of the FLS2–BAK1 complex, mediates the ubiquitination of FLS2, and directs FLS2 for degradation, resulting in the attenuation of immune signalling. In the PUB13ARM transgenic plants, the overexpressed PUB13ARM proteins strongly interact with BAK1 and prevent BAK1 interaction with endogenous PUB13 and probably PUB12, or alternatively interfere with the function of endogenous PUB13 and PUB12. Therefore, the ectopically expressed PUB13ARM proteins block PUB12/13-mediated FLS2 ubiquitination and degradation, resulting in elevated immune responses. P, phosphate; Ub, ubiquitin; PM, plasma membrane.

domain-containing PUB protein, PUB44, also regulates leaf senescence. The Arabidopsis pub44 mutant, also termed senescence-associated ubiquitin ligase1 (saul1), showed early senescence when challenged with low light (20  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) (Vogelmann et al., 2012). Interestingly, saul1/pub44 mutationmediated senescence depends on the PAD4-dependent and NPR1-independent SA pathway. Accumulating evidence has suggested that plant defence and leaf senescence share some components in SA signalling and regulation. An SA catabolic enzyme SA 3-hydroxylase regulates onset and progression of leaf senescence (Zhang et al., 2013). Several SAGs have been identified as defence-related genes, such as SAG26, SAG29, elicitor-activated gene 3-2 (ELI3-2), nitrilase 2 (NIT2), osmotin 34 (AtOSM34), sucrose-induced receptor kinase 1 (SIRK1), and WRKY6 (Quirino et al., 1999; Robatzek and Somssich, 2002). It has been shown that mutation of a leucine-rich repeat RLK, RPK1, resulted in significant delay in age- and stress hormone abscisic acid-induced senescence (Lee et al., 2011). It will be interesting to test whether PUB13 and/or PUB44 control leaf senescence through regulating RPK1 or other abovementioned proteins by ubiquitination-mediated degradation.

# Supplemtentary data

Supplemental data ara available at JXB online.

Figure S1. PUB12ARM and PUB13ARM interact with BAK1 in Co-IP assays.

Figure S2. PUB13 is phosphorylated by BAK1.

Figure S3. Confirmation of PUB13ARM transgenic plants. Figure S4. PUB12/13 negatively regulate MAPK activation.

Figure S5. Flg22-induced immune responses in the WT, and *pub13*, *sid2*, and *sid2pub13* mutants.

Figure S6. Dark-induced senescence and flowering time of the WT, and *pub13*, *sid2*, and *sid2pub13* mutants. Table S1. Primers used for cloning and RT–PCR.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Antje Heese for providing  $\alpha$ -FLS2 antibody, Dr Guo-Liang Wang for *sid2pub13* seeds. and Vincent Provasek for greenhouse management. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (R01GM097247) and The Robert A. Welch Foundation (A-1795) to LS, and from the NIH (R01GM092893) and USDA NIFA (2012-67013-19433) to PH.

## References

Azevedo C, Santos-Rosa MJ, Shirasu K. 2001. The U-box protein family in plants. *Trends in Plant Science* **6**, 354–358.

Beck M, Zhou J, Faulkner C, MacLean D. Robatzek S. 2012. Spatio-temporal cellular dynamics of the Arabidopsis flagellin receptor reveal activation status-dependent endosomal sorting. *The Plant Cell* **24**, 4205–4219.

Chinchilla D, Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Kemmerling B, Nurnberger T, Jones JD, Felix G, Boller T. 2007. A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. *Nature* **448**, 497–500.

Cho SK, Ryu MY, Song C, Kwak JM, Kim WT. 2008. Arabidopsis PUB22 and PUB23 are homologous U-Box E3 ubiquitin ligases that play combinatory roles in response to drought stress. *The Plant Cell* **20**, 1899–1914.

Choi SW, Tamaki T, Ebine K, Uemura T, Ueda T, Nakano A. 2013. RABA members act in distinct steps of subcellular trafficking of the Flagellin Sensing2 receptor. *The Plant Cell* **25**, 1174–1187.

**Craig A, Ewan R, Mesmar J, Gudipati V, Sadanandom A.** 2009. E3 ubiquitin ligases and plant innate immunity. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **60**, 1123–1132.

Dreher K, Callis J. 2007. Ubiquitin, hormones and biotic stress in plants. Annals of Botany 99, 787–822.

Du J, Li M, Kong D, Wang L, Lv Q, Wang J, Bao F, Gong Q, Xia J, He Y. 2013. Nitric oxide induces cotyledon senescence involving co-operation

of the NES1/MAD1 and EIN2-associated ORE1 signalling pathways in Arabidopsis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65,** 4051–4063.

Geldner N, Robatzek S. 2008. Plant receptors go endosomal: a moving view on signal transduction. *Plant Physiology* **147**, 1565–1574.

**Gomez-Gomez L, Boller T.** 2000. FLS2: an LRR receptor-like kinase involved in the perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Cell* **5**, 1003–1011.

**Gonzalez-Lamothe R, Tsitsigiannis DI, Ludwig AA, Panicot M, Shirasu K, Jones JD.** 2006. The U-box protein CMPG1 is required for efficient activation of defense mechanisms triggered by multiple resistance genes in tobacco and tomato. *The Plant Cell* **18**, 1067–1083.

**He P, Shan L, Sheen J.** 2007. The use of protoplasts to study innate immune responses. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **354**, 1–9.

Heese A, Hann DR, Gimenez-Ibanez S, Jones AM, He K, Li J, Schroeder JI, Peck SC, Rathjen JP. 2007. The receptor-like kinase SERK3/BAK1 is a central regulator of innate immunity in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **104**, 12217–12222.

**Jin JB, Jin YH, Lee J, et al**. 2008. The SUMO E3 ligase, AtSIZ1, regulates flowering by controlling a salicylic acid-mediated floral promotion pathway and through effects on FLC chromatin structure. *The Plant Journal* **53**, 530–540.

Kadota Y, Sklenar J, Derbyshire P, et al. 2014. Direct regulation of the NADPH oxidase RBOHD by the PRR-associated kinase BIK1 during plant immunity. *Molecular Cell* **54**, 43–55.

Lee IC, Hong SW, Whang SS, Lim PO, Nam HG, Koo JC. 2011. Age-dependent action of an ABA-inducible receptor kinase, RPK1, as a positive regulator of senescence in Arabidopsis leaves. *Plant and Cell physiology* **52**, 651–662.

Lee J, Nam J, Park HC, et al. 2007. Salicylic acid-mediated innate immunity in Arabidopsis is regulated by SIZ1 SUMO E3 ligase. *The Plant Journal* **49**, 79–90.

Lei J, Finlayson S, Salzman RA, Shan L, Zhu-Salzman K. 2014. BOTRYTIS-INDUCED KINASE1 modulates Arabidopsis resistance to green peach aphids via PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT4. *Plant Physiology* **165**, 1657–1670.

Li B, Lu D, Shan L. 2014. Ubiquitination of pattern recognition receptors in plant innate immunity. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **15**, 737–746.

Li J, Wen J, Lease KA, Doke JT, Tax FE, Walker JC. 2002. BAK1, an Arabidopsis LRR receptor-like protein kinase, interacts with BRI1 and modulates brassinosteroid signaling. *Cell* **110**, 213–222.

Li W, Ahn IPO, Ninh Y, et al. 2012. The U-box/ARM E3 ligase PUB13 regulates cell death, defense, and flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **159**, 239–250.

Lin W, Li B, Lu D, Chen S, Zhu N, He P, Shan L. 2014. Tyrosine phosphorylation of protein kinase complex BAK1/BIK1 mediates Arabidopsis innate immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **111**, 3632–3637.

Liu J, Li W, Ning Y, Shirsekar G, Cai Y, Wang X, Dai L, Wang Z, Liu W, Wang GL. 2012. The U-Box E3 ligase SPL11/PUB13 is a convergence point of defense and flowering signaling in plants. *Plant Physiology* **160**, 28–37.

Lu D, Lin W, Gao X, Wu S, Cheng C, Avila J, Heese A, Devarenne TP, He P, Shan L. 2011. Direct ubiquitination of pattern recognition receptor FLS2 attenuates plant innate immunity. *Science* **332**, 1439–1442.

Lu D, Wu S, Gao X, Zhang Y, Shan L, He P. 2010. A receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase, BIK1, associates with a flagellin receptor complex to initiate plant innate immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **107**, 496–501.

Macho AP, Zipfel C. 2014. Plant PRRs and the activation of innate immune signaling. *Molecular Cell* 54, 263–272.

Martinez C, Pons E, Prats G, Leon J. 2004. Salicylic acid regulates flowering time and links defence responses and reproductive development. *The Plant Journal* **37**, 209–217.

Meng X, Zhang S. 2013. MAPK cascades in plant disease resistance signaling. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **51**, 245–266.

Nam KH, Li J. 2002. BRI1/BAK1, a receptor kinase pair mediating brassinosteroid signaling. *Cell* **110**, 203–212.

Postel S, Kufner I, Beuter C, Mazzotta S, Schwedt A, Borlotti A, Halter T, Kemmerling B, Nurnberger T. 2010. The multifunctional leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase BAK1 is implicated in Arabidopsis development and immunity. *European Journal of Cell Biology* **89**, 169–174.

Quirino BF, Normanly J, Amasino RM. 1999. Diverse range of gene activity during Arabidopsis thaliana leaf senescence includes pathogen-independent induction of defense-related genes. *Plant Molecular Biology* **40**, 267–278.

**Robatzek S, Chinchilla D, Boller T.** 2006. Ligand-induced endocytosis of the pattern recognition receptor FLS2 in Arabidopsis. *Genes and Development* **20**, 537–542.

Robatzek S, Somssich IE. 2002. Targets of AtWRKY6 regulation during plant senescence and pathogen defense. *Genes and Development* **16**, 1139–1149.

Roux M, Schwessinger B, Albrecht C, Chinchilla D, Jones A, Holto N, Malinovsky FG, Tor M, de Vries S, Zipfel C. 2011. The Arabidopsis leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinases BAK1/SERK3 and BKK1/SERK4 are required for innate immunity to hemibiotrophic and biotrophic pathogens. *The Plant Cell* **23**, 2440–2455.

Samuel MA, Salt JN, Shiu SH, Goring DR. 2006. Multifunctional arm repeat domains in plants. *International Review of Cytology* **253**, 1–26.

Seo E, Lee H, Jeon J, Park H, Kim J, Noh YS, Lee I. 2009. Crosstalk between cold response and flowering in Arabidopsis is mediated through the flowering-time gene SOC1 and its upstream negative regulator FLC. *The Plant Cell* **21**, 3185–3197.

Stegmann M, Anderson RG, Ichimura K, Pecenkova T, Reuter P, Zarsky V, McDowell JM, Shirasu K, Trujillo M. 2012. The ubiquitin ligase PUB22 targets a subunit of the exocyst complex required for PAMPtriggered responses in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **24**, 4703–4716.

Trujillo M, Ichimura K, Casai C, Shiras K. 2008. Negative regulation of PAMP-triggered immunity by an E3 ubiquitin ligase triplet in Arabidopsis. *Current Biology* **18**, 1396–1401.

Trujillo M, Shirasu K. 2010. Ubiquitination in plant immunity. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **13**, 402–408.

Vega-Sanchez ME, Zeng L, Chen S, Leung H, Wang GL. 2008. SPIN1, a K homology domain protein negatively regulated and ubiquitinated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase SPL11, is involved in flowering time control in rice. *The Plant Cell* **20**, 1456–1469.

Vierstra RD. 2009. The ubiquitin–26S proteasome system at the nexus of plant biology. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **10**, 385–397.

Vogelmann K, Drechsel G, Bergler J, Subert C, Philippa K, Soll J, Engelmann JC, Engelsdorf T, Voll LM, Hoth S. 2012. Early senescence and cell death in Arabidopsis saul1 mutants involves the PAD4-dependent salicylic acid pathway. *Plant Physiology* **159**, 1477–1487.

Wang GF, Seabolt S, Hamdoun S, Ng G, Park J, Lu H. 2011. Multiple roles of WIN3 in regulating disease resistance, cell death, and flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **156**, 1508–1519.

Wu S, Shan L, He P. 2014. Microbial signature-triggered plant defense responses and early signaling mechanisms. *Plant Science* **228**, 118–126.

Yang CW, Gonzalez-Lamothe R, Ewan RA, Rowland O, Yoshioka H, Shenton M, Ye H, O'Donnell E, Jones JD, Sadanandom A. 2006. The E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of arabidopsis PLANT U-BOX17 and its functional tobacco homolog ACRE276 are required for cell death and defense. *The Plant Cell* **18**, 1084–1098.

**Yee D, Goring DR.** 2009. The diversity of plant U-box E3 ubiquitin ligases: from upstream activators to downstream target substrates. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **60**, 1109–1121.

Zeng LR, Qu S, Bordeos A, Yang C, Baraoidan M, Yan H, Xie Q, Nahm BH, Leung H, Wang GL. 2004. Spotted leaf11, a negative regulator of plant cell death and defense, encodes a U-box/armadillo repeat protein endowed with E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. *The Plant Cell* **16**, 2795–2808.

Zhang J., Li W, Xiang T, *et al.* 2010. Receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases integrate signaling from multiple plant immune receptors and are targeted by a Pseudomonas syringae effector. *Cell Host and Microbe* **7**, 290–301.

Zhang J, Zhou JM. 2010. Plant immunity triggered by microbial molecular signatures. *Molecular Plant* **3**, 783–793.

Zhang K, Halitschke R, Yin C, Liu CJ, Gan SS. 2013. Salicylic acid 3-hydroxylase regulates Arabidopsis leaf longevity by mediating salicylic acid catabolism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **110**, 14807–14812.

Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Navarro L, Oakeley EJ, Jones JD, Felix G, Boller T. 2004. Bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis through flagellin perception. *Nature* **428**, 764–767.