J.L. Ferracane^{1*} and W.V. Giannobile^{2,3}

¹Department of Restorative Dentistry, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR, USA; ²Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of Dentistry, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; and ³Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; *corresponding author, ferracan@ohsu.edu

KEY WORDS: adhesive dentistry, tissue engineering, nanomaterials, restorative materials, regenerative medicine, antimicrobials.

J Dent Res 93(12):1185-1186, 2014

he ideal material for restoring or replacing lost oral tissues-whether bone, tooth, or soft tissue-may be elusive, but efforts to develop new and improved options continue at a rapid pace. The literature is abundant with citations describing new approaches to the production of biocompatible and structurally sufficient materials that can be applied to replace or repair dental, oral, and craniofacial tissues, targeting clinical efficiency and effectiveness. The trend for many years has been to develop materials that would not injure local or generalized tissues. While restoring form and function, these approaches were more in the line of inactive "space fillers" that do not significantly influence the biology of the oral environment (Fernandez-Yague et al., 2014; Lai et al., 2014). The use of dental amalgams and resin composites for direct restorations-as well as materials for indirect restorations composed of metals based on alloys of gold or other corrosion-resistant metals, porcelain, and other ceramics-has been widespread. The use of biocompatible materials, such as titanium and its alloys, has been used as implants for single- and multiple-tooth restoration and osseous anchorage for fixation devices. Inert polymers or biodegradable materials have been used as membranes for enhancing periodontal healing or sealing materials for endodontic apices. Dentistry has progressed tremendously because of the judicious use of these materials, especially to enhance the aesthetic outcomes for millions of patients.

However, examples of materials in regenerative medicine that are stimulatory of biomimetic processes are of great interest while still having difficulties of predictability in the clinical arena. For many years, calcium hydroxide has been used by clinicians for restorations in close proximity to the pulp. Experience with this lining material has provided guidance to usher in a new era of oral biomaterials development where the goal is to produce materials that are bioactive instead of simply biocompatible. Such materials operate on a very local scale,

DOI: 10.1177/0022034514556537

© International & American Associations for Dental Research

Novel Biomaterials and Technologies for the Dental, Oral, and Craniofacial Structures

enhancing the replacement of hard tissue lost to disease. But the need for materials that can re-create tissues on a macroscale is great. These materials, designed to bioengineer lost tissue, require specific design elements. These include a biocompatible matrix material that provides structure for new tissue to grow within, in a well-defined spatial orientation; these also include bioactive molecules as an additive or *via* targeted cellular recruitment from the site that can promote regeneration in a temporal manner to produce the new living tissue. These new materials may contain additives on the nanoscale that deliver some of these essential components or additional molecules and ions that have an antimicrobial, tissue-anabolic, or remineralizing potential.

This special issue of the Journal of Dental Research contains a collection of timely articles designed to provide a review of some of these important new material developments, as well as examples of novel, state-of-the-art research work in these critical areas. Thematically, this issue covers several areas in materials development. It presents novel materials designed to replace or bioengineer lost oral tissues but with enhanced properties and performance expectations compared with current dental restorative materials (Ivanovski et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Tatara et al., 2014; Tevlin et al., 2014). These include materials designed to be more resistant to mechanical failure and chemical/ enzymatic degradation from bacterial biofilms (Feitosa et al., 2014; Kitagawa et al., 2014). The issue addresses important new advances in ceramic and composite materials for CAD-CAM applications (Kitagawa et al., 2014; Ruse and Sadoun, 2014) and new adhesives and cements with enhanced properties and resistance to degradation at the tooth-restoration interface (Bacchi et al., 2014; Dailing et al., 2014; Osorio et al., 2014). It further highlights new pursuits for engineering materials that can regenerate lost periodontal tissues through the precise delivery of bioactive molecules or pluripotent cells in a spatiotemporal scheme (Arany et al., 2014). Similarly, the regeneration of dental pulp for endodontic applications and bone for craniofacial reconstruction is reviewed, and new approaches are identified (Albuquerque et al., 2014; Dissanayaka et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2014). The use of nanotechnology to produce ion-releasing

particles, tubes, and fibers for delivering appropriate growth or antimicrobial agents is a common theme in these works.

This issue points out the tremendous promise of current approaches to engineer new materials for the repair and replacement of oral tissues, and it highlights the significant advances to date. It is no longer sufficient to simply fill spaces with non-offending or biologically inert materials. The drive for the future is the development of materials that influence their biological environment through defined, controllable pathways that yield predictable clinical outcomes to help our patients (Chirra and Desai, 2012). The level of excitement within the biomaterials research community is obvious. Thanks to all of those outstanding scientists and researchers for contributing to this work. Please enjoy this special issue!

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors received no financial support and declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- Albuquerque MPT, Valera MC, Nakashima M, Nör JE, Bottino MC. 2014. Tissue-engineering-based strategies for regenerative endodontics. *J Dent Res* 93:1222-1231.
- Arany PR, Huang GX, Gadish O, Feliz J, Weaver JC, Kim J, et al. 2014. Multi-lineage MSC differentiation via engineered morphogen fields. J Dent Res 93:1250-1257.
- Bacchi A, Dobson A, Ferracane JL, Consani R, Pfeifer CS. 2014. Thiourethanes improve properties of dual-cured composite cements. *J Dent Res* 93:1320-1325.
- Chirra HD, Desai TA. 2012. Emerging microtechnologies for the development of oral drug delivery devices. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 64(14):1569-1578.

- Dailing EA, Lewis SH, Barros MD, Stansbury JW. 2014. Construction of monomer-free, highly crosslinked, water-compatible polymers. J Dent Res 93:1326-1336.
- Dissanayaka WL, Zhu L, Hargreaves KM, Jin L, Zhang C. 2014. Scaffoldfree prevascularized microtissue spheroids for pulp regeneration. *J Dent Res* 93:1296-1303.
- Feitosa SA, Palasuk J, Kamocki K, Geraldeli S, Gregory RL, Platt JA, et al. 2014. Doxycycline-encapsulated nanotube-modified dentin adhesives. J Dent Res 93:1270-1276.
- Fernandez-Yague MA, Abbah SA, McNamara L, Zeugolis DI, Pandit A, Biggs MJ. 2014. Biomimetic approaches in bone tissue engineering: integrating biological and physicomechanical strategies. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* [Epub ahead of print 9/16/2014] (in press).
- Guo T, Li Y, Cao G, Zhang Z, Chang S, Czajka-Jakubowska A, et al. 2014. Fluorapatite-modified scaffold on dental pulp stem cell mineralization. J Dent Res 93:1290-1295.
- Ivanovski S, Vaquette C, Gronthos S, Hutmacher DW, Bartold PM. 2014. Multiphasic scaffolds for periodontal tissue engineering. J Dent Res 93:1212-1221.
- Kim JH, Park CH, Perez RA, Lee HY, Jang JH, Lee HH, et al. 2014. Advanced biomatrix designs for regenerative therpy of periodontal tissues. J Dent Res 93:1203-1211.
- Kitagawa R, Kitagawa H, Izutani N, Hirose N, Hayashi M, Imazato S. 2014. Development of an antibacterial root canal filling system containing MDPB. J Dent Res 93:1277-1282.
- Lai WF, Oka K, Jung HS. 2014. Advanced functional polymers for regenerative and therapeutic dentistry. *Oral Dis* [Epub ahead of print 9/18/2014] (in press).
- Osorio R, Osorio E, Medina-Castillo AL, Toledano M. 2014. Polymer nanocarriers for dentin adhesion. *J Dent Res* 93:1258-1263.
- Ruse D, Sadoun MJ. 2014. Resin-composite blocks for dental CAD/CAM applications. J Dent Res 93:1232-1234.
- Tatara AM, Wong ME, Mikos AG. 2014. *In vivo* bioreactors for mandibular reconstruction. *J Dent Res* 93:1196-1202.
- Tevlin R, McArdle A, Atashroo D, Walmsley G, Senarath-Yapa K, Zielins E, et al. 2014. Biomaterials for craniofacial bone engineering. J Dent Res 93:1187-1195.