

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Proteomics*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 June 15.

Published in final edited form as:

J Proteomics. 2015 January 15; 113: 194–205. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2014.09.015.

Urine, peritoneal fluid and omental fat proteomes of reproductive age women: Endometriosis-related changes and associations with endocrine disrupting chemicals

Katherine E. Williams^{a,b,c}, Olga Miroshnychenko^a, Eric B. Johansen^a, Richard K. Niles^a, Rajeshwari Sundaram^d, Kurunthachalam Kannan^e, Matthew Albertolle^a, Yan Zhou^{c,g}, Namrata Prasad^{a,b,c}, Penelope M. Drake^a, Linda C. Giudice^{b,c}, Steven C. Hall^{a,b,c}, H. Ewa Witkowska^{a,b,c}, Germaine M. Buck Louis^d, and Susan J. Fisher^{a,b,c,f,g,h,i,*}

^aSandler-Moore Mass Spectrometry Core Facility, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

^bCenter for Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

^cDepartment of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

^dDivision of Intramural Population Health Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

^eWadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY, USA

^fDivision of Maternal Fetal Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

^gThe Eli & Edythe Broad Center for Regeneration Medicine and Stem Cell Research, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

^hHuman Embryonic Stem Cell Program, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

ⁱDepartment of Anatomy, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

Endometriosis, ectopic growth of the uterine lining (endometrium), which affects 6–11% of reproductive age women, is associated with pelvic pain and infertility. We investigated the peritoneal fluid (PF), urine and omental fat (OF) proteomes of women with endometriosis vs.

^{© 2014} Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author at: Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. Tel.: +1 415 476 5297; fax: +1 415 476 5623. sfisher@cgl.ucsf.edu (S.J. Fisher).

Transparency document

The http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2014.09.015Transparencydocument associated with this article can be found, in the online version.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2014.09.015.

individuals with no surgically visualized endometriosis. All participants were enrolled in the NICHD-funded ENDO Study. A two-step proteomic study was performed. The first, a broad survey, employed a semi-quantitative gel LC-mass spectrometry (MS) workflow: SDS PAGE fractionation, trypsin digestion and LC–MS/MS. The results showed sample integrity but failed to detect any differences between women with and without endometriosis. The second step was a quantitative analysis of OF samples. We employed another sample set (n = 30) from women ± disease and isobaric mass-tag (iTRAQ) chemistry to label peptides and 2D LC–MS/MS for protein identification and quantification. Three proteins—matrix metalloproteinase-9, neutrophil elastase, and FAM49B—were significantly lower in abundance in samples from women with endometriosis. Interestingly, neutrophil elastase and FAM49B levels were associated with higher levels of a subset of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) that were previously measured in the same samples. The results of these experiments showed the feasibility of associating endometriosis with changes in the OF protein repertoire and EDC levels.

Biological significance—Endometriosis, pathological growth of the uterine lining, is associated with significant morbidities, including pain and infertility. However, the causes of this common condition are poorly understood. This study determined whether endometriosis was associated with changes in the protein composition of peritoneal fluid, urine and/or omental fat. A protein of unknown function (FAM49B) and two proteinases (metalloproteinase-9, neutrophil elastase) were down regulated in OF samples from women with versus without endometriosis. These findings suggested proteinase imbalances at sites that were distant from the endometriotic lesions. Additionally, FAM49B and neutrophil elastase levels were associated with higher levels of a subset of environmental chemicals that were quantified in the same samples, suggesting other possible associations. Thus, this work generated hypotheses that will be tested in further studies.

Keywords

Endometriosis; Peritoneal fluid; Urine; Omental fat; Mass spectrometry; Environmental chemicals

1. Introduction

Proteomic signatures lend insights into normal and abnormal processes at cell, tissue and organismal levels. Although genetic and epigenetic profiles yield valuable information, the protein machinery they assemble gives us the best functional insights into the mechanisms underlying biological processes. However, global profiling approaches face the daunting challenge of enormous proteome complexity. To date, mass spectrometry-based techniques have been the primary tools of investigators interrogating the protein repertoire. In this regard, there has been particular interest in the proteomes of human biological fluids as they offer a window into health and disease. Those that are easily obtained—e.g., urine [1], plasma [2] and saliva [3] among others—have been intensely studied as potential sources of biomarkers. In endometriosis research, a rapid, noninvasive technique for diagnosis and follow-up using peripheral biomarkers as surrogates of disease is an active area of investigation (reviewed in [4-6]). For example, urinary enolase I, vitamin p-binding protein, and cytokeratin 19 have been proposed as potential diagnostic biomarkers [7-9], though more work is needed to validate these candidates in larger sample sizes [10]. However, there are several problems associated with proteomic analyses of body fluids, including protein

abundances over several orders of magnitude. In addition, the signals of interest are often from a point source that is distal to where the sample is collected, thereby creating the additional problem of dilution [11]. These difficulties have prompted analyses of more proximal samples, such as peritoneal fluid from women with endometriosis [12-14] or the actual cell type of interest such as fat from obese individuals [15].

Endometriosis, which involves endometrial growth outside the uterus, affects 6–11% of all women of reproductive age [16,17]. A primary cause is thought to be transport and subsequent adherence of endometrial cells/tissue to the peritoneal cavity [18]. However, other theories have been proposed, including lymphatic or vascular metastases [19], immunologic deficits resulting in impaired clearance of ectopic endometrium [20] and coelomic metaplasia [21]. Estrogen, which is necessary for the proliferation and survival of endometriotic tissue, is plentiful in the peritoneal cavity with sources including the ovaries, follicular fluid, and the blood [22]. Endometrial implants elicit an inflammatory response, resulting in a host of pathologies including angiogenesis, adhesions, fibrosis, scarring, neuronal infiltration, and anatomical distortion [16,22-24]. As a result, patients with endometriosis may experience mild to severe pelvic pain and/or infertility.

Endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) are generally defined as substances in the environment, food, and consumer products that interfere with hormone biosynthesis, metabolism, or action resulting in a deviation from normal homeostatic control of reproduction [25]. Seemingly ubiquitous, endocrine disruptors are present in industrial solvents and lubricants [polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polybrominated biphenyls (PBBs), dioxins], pesticides [methoxychlor, chlorpyrifos, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT)], fungicides (vinclozolin), pharmaceutical agents [diethylstilbestrol (DES)] and flame retardants [polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)] [25,26]. A wealth of evidence links exposures to EDCs with causation or exacerbation of endometriosis [25,27-33]. For example, pre-natal exposure of mice to bis-phenol A (BPA) results in female offspring with an endometriosis-like condition [30,32]. Investigators have also evaluated phthalate levels in blood plasma collected from women diagnosed with endometriosis vs. age-matched women without disease, finding potential differences [27,29,31,34]. After adjusting for serum lipid levels, gravidity, and tobacco use, four PCB congeners, which are anti-estrogens, imparted a three-fold risk of developing endometriosis in a cohort of 84 women [28]. Furthermore, an evaluation of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in omental fat (OF) and serum collected from women diagnosed with endometriosis revealed a significant positive association between α -hexachlorocyclohexane levels and endometriosis [33].

In this study, we utilized a two-stage MS-based analysis to investigate the protein repertoire of PF, urine and OF samples that were obtained from women who had been diagnosed with endometriosis and women with no surgically visualized endometriosis. The first stage, a broad survey, utilized a gel LC-MS workflow that consisted of 1D SDS PAGE protein fractionation, in-gel trypsin digestion and 1D LC–MS/MS analysis. The second stage, relative quantification focused on the OF samples, was accomplished by isobaric mass-tag (iTRAQ) labeling at the peptide level and 2D LC–MS/MS to identify and compare protein abundances. The results enabled the identification of proteins whose levels changed in

endometriosis. As a final step, we investigated whether these changes were associated with EDC levels that were previously measured in the same samples [33].

2. Methods

2.1. Sample collection

For the semi-quantitative survey experiments, urine, PF and OF samples were provided by 63 women enrolled in the Endometriosis: Natural History, Diagnosis, and Outcomes (ENDO) Study. Specifically, women undergoing laparoscopy/laparotomy at one of 14 participating clinical sites in the San Francisco Bay Area were recruited for study as previously described [17]. The ENDO Study obtained Institutional Review Board approval from UCSF in addition to a formal NIH signed reliance agreement that was put in place with the UCSF Committee on Human Research. Urine samples were obtained from all study participants, aliquoted and frozen at -80 °C. Peritoneal fluid and OF samples were acquired from women who underwent laparoscopy or laparotomy procedures and frozen at -80 °C. All samples were received blinded as to the women's disease status.

For relative quantification, a second set of 30 blinded OF samples was analyzed. They were obtained in the Salt Lake City Area of the ENDO Study and collected as described above with Institutional Review Board approval from the University of Utah Committee on Human Research.

A complete list of all the samples used in this study (including endometriosis status, donor age, race, primary reason for surgery, gravidity, and parity) is shown in Supplemental Table 1.

2.2. Reagents and chemicals

All standard reagents were obtained from Fisher Scientific unless otherwise specified. HPLC grade acetonitrile and water were obtained from Burdick & Jackson. Mammalian proteinase inhibitor cocktail P8340 was from Sigma. Sequencing grade porcine trypsin was from Promega.

2.3. Sample preparation

Peritoneal fluid samples (~0.5 mL) from 12 women with and 27 without endometriosis were defrosted at room temperature and 20 μ L of proteinase inhibitor cocktail was added. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 ×*g* for 25 min at 10 °C to remove particulate material. Proteins were concentrated using 0.5 mL 3000 molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) centrifugal filter units (Millipore). The retentate was washed two times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and then aliquoted into several fractions that were frozen at -80 °C until use.

Urine samples (2–4 mL) from 17 women with and 44 without endometriosis were defrosted at room temperature and 20 μ L of proteinase inhibitor cocktail was added during the process. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 ×*g* for 25 min at 4 °C. Proteins were purified and concentrated using 5 mL 3000 MWCO centrifugal filter units, washed two times with PBS and frozen at –80 °C until use.

Omental fat samples (n = 17) from 3 women with and 14 women without endometriosis that

were analyzed by the gel LC–MS workflow were processed on ice. Approximately 100 mg of frozen OF were excised from each sample and placed in a tube containing 4 μ L of proteinase inhibitor cocktail. The sample was homogenized using a PowerGen Model 125 Homogenizer (Fisher) in 6 M urea, 250 mM Tris, pH 7.9 then centrifuged at 16,000 ×*g* for 30 min at 4 °C, which produced 3 discrete layers/fractions. A 150 μ L aliquot of the middle (protein-containing) fraction was subjected to chloroform–methanol (1:4, v:v) extraction at room temperature. Proteins were precipitated by the addition of 400 μ L methanol followed by centrifugation.

For the iTRAQ workflow, OF samples from 16 women with and 14 women without endometriosis were excised and transferred to cold lysis buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS and 0.1% SDS; 1 mg tissue:10 mg lysis buffer) in tubes on ice to which 10 μ L of proteinase inhibitor cocktail was added. The samples were homogenized as described above and incubated, with shaking, at room temperature for 1 h, then centrifuged at 16,000 ×*g* for 20 min to remove cell debris. The supernatant (~200 μ L) was transferred to a clean microfuge tube and 6 volumes of cold acetone were added. The solution was incubated at -20 °C for 4 h followed by centrifugation at 9000 ×*g* for 10 min to pellet the precipitated proteins. The pellet was resolubilized in 500 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB, pH 8.5)/0.1% SDS.

Amino acid analysis was performed on aliquots of the PF and urine samples by the Texas A&M University Protein Chemistry Laboratory using a Hewlett Packard AminoQuant System (http://www.tamupcl.com/). The protein concentration of the OF samples was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce).

2.4. SDS-PAGE, in gel protein digestion, and LC–MS/MS

An aliquot of each sample, equivalent to 25 µg protein, was separated by 1D SDS-PAGE using 4–12% Bis-Tris gradient gels (Invitrogen). The gels were stained with Gel Code Coomassie Colloidal G250 (Pierce). After destaining, each gel lane was rastered into 40-45 pieces, 1 mm in diameter, using a manual gel cutter. Each gel plug was transferred to one well of a 96-well microtiter plate. In-gel trypsin digestion was performed using a ProGest Protein Digestion Station (Genomic Solutions) programmed to perform SDS extraction, cysteine reduction with dithiothreitol, alkylation with iodoacetamide, trypsin digestion for 8 h at 37 °C and peptide extraction with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate. The resulting peptides were analyzed using an LTO XL linear ion trap mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher) equipped with an Advance CaptiveSpray ion source (Michrom Bioresources) and a nano2D LC System (Eksigent). Mobile phases A and B were 2% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid and 80% acetonitrile/0.08% formic acid, respectively. Separation was performed on an Onyx Monolithic C18 Column (100 μ m I.D. \times 150 mm, Phenomenex) by using a 17-minute linear gradient from 2% to 50% B at a flow rate of 750 nL/min. Mass spectra were acquired in a data-dependent mode consisting of one survey MS scan (m/z 400–1700) and six MS/MS scans (m/z 100–1500) with dynamic exclusion set to 40 s. Normalized collision energy for CID was set to 35% with activation times of 30 ms.

2.5. In-solution trypsin digestion and iTRAQ labeling

For each sample, an aliquot containing 50 μ g of OF proteins was transferred to an Eppendorf tube, concentrated to ~3 μ L and then brought to a volume of 20 μ L by adding an equivalent amount of 1 M TEAB/0.1% SDS. The next steps were cysteine reduction (5 mM tris[2-carboxyethyl]phosphine for 1 h at 60 °C), alkylation (200 mM iodoacetamide for 10 min at room temperature) and digestion (overnight at 37 °C with a 1:50 [w:w] trypsin to protein ratio). The peptides were labeled with iTRAQ 8-plex reagents at ambient temperature for 2 h according to the manufacturer's (AB Sciex) protocol. The 30 samples were randomly apportioned into five sets of 8. Individual sets contained six samples, a duplicate of one of the samples to assess variability and a reference standard—an arbitrarily chosen digest included in every octet that enabled normalization across all the sample sets. Volumes were reduced to ~20 μ L on a Speedvac and SDS was removed by using an SCX cartridge (AB Sciex) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

2.6. 2D LC-MS/MS analysis of iTRAQ-labeled samples

The iTRAQ labeled peptides were separated offline by alkaline reversed phase HPLC [35,36] on a Paradigm MS4 HPLC system (Michrom Bioresources) equipped with a LEAP Technologies PAL autosampler/fraction collector with the following modifications. Mobile phases A and B were 0.1% ammonium hydroxide in water and 0.1% ammonium hydroxide acetonitrile, respectively. Separation was performed using a Zorbax Extend column (4.6 × 100 mm, Agilent) at a flow rate of 700 μ L/min and the following gradient: 2–12% B over 10 min, 12–25% B over 13 min, 25–35% B over 10 min, and 35–40% B over 5 min. Fractions were collected at one minute intervals, solvents were removed by using vacuum centrifugation, and the peptide mixtures were reconstituted in 0.1% formic acid.

An LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher) equipped with an Advance CaptiveSpray ion source (Michrom Bioresources) and a nano2D LC System (Eksigent) was used to analyze the peptide fractions. Mobile phases A and B were 2% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid and 98% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid, respectively. Peptides were applied to a guard column (C18 Acclaim PepMap100, 300 µm I.D. × 5 mm, 5 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size; Dionex) and washed with A, (flow rate: 20 µL/min) for 10 min prior to separation on a C18 Acclaim PepMap100 column (75 μ m I.D. \times 15 cm, 3 μ m particle size, 100 Å pore size; Dionex) using a 60 min linear gradient from 2–40% B at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. A full MS scan (400–1600 m/z) was acquired in the Orbitrap at resolution 30,000 in profile mode, a maximum ion accumulation time of 2 s and a target value of 10^6 . Precursor ion charge state screening was activated. The eight most intense ions were selected for fragmentation by high-energy collision-induced dissociation (HCD) with the isolation width set to 3.0 (m/z). Dynamic exclusion was activated with a duration of 20 s and 3 ppm mass tolerance. In HCD fragmentation, the following parameters were used: full scan with FTMS at resolution 7500 in profile mode, m/z 100–1500, a target value of 10⁵, normalized collision energy of 50%, and an activation time of 100 ms.

2.7. Data processing and database searching

LTQ XL and LTQ Orbitrap Velos raw files were converted to peak lists with extract_msn using Mascot Daemon. For the gel LC–MS workflow, database searching was done using

Mascot v2.1 against the human SwissProt database (v 2009_01, 20,405 entries). Search parameters were trypsin cleavage, a maximum of 2 missed cleavages, fixed modification of carbamidomethyl C, variable modifications, deamidation on N, oxidation on M, pyro-Glu on *N*-terminal Q, precursor mass tolerance 1.5 Da, and fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.8 Da. False discovery rate analysis was performed using a concatenated randomized version of the database. All search results were logged into the MySQL database and were reported using a program created in our laboratory for comparing protein identifications among several samples. Peptide identifications with expectation values less than 0.05 were used and only proteins with 2 or more peptides retained. Proteins were grouped using a standard "parsimony" algorithm that results in the smallest protein list that explains the peptide evidence.

The OF iTRAQ data was analyzed using ProteinPilot software (AB Sciex) with the SwissProt human database (v2012_06, 20,237 entries). Bias corrected and background subtracted relative protein abundance ratios were log transformed and compared using weighted linear regression and adjusted for multiple hypothesis using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg [37].

2.8. Clustering and gene ontology (GO)

Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of samples and proteins was performed using GenePattern [38]. GO analyses were performed using the publically available tools provided by the Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics at Princeton University.

2.9. Immunoblotting

The method used was published [39]. Membranes were incubated with antibodies that recognized MMP9 (Oncogene Research Products), FAM49B (Abcam), ELNE (Abcam) or β -actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), diluted 1:500 (MMP9 and β -actin) or 1:1000 (FAM49B and ELNE) in TBS-Tween. Antibody binding was detected via chemiluminescence.

2.10. Toxicologic analyses

Organochlorine pesticides including hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) and its isomers gammahexachlorocyclohexane (γ -HCH) and beta-hexachlorocyclohexane (β -HCH), were analyzed using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) as described elsewhere [40]. Briefly, 1 g of fat tissue was homogenized with anhydrous sodium sulfate and extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus using dichloromethane and hexane. The extract was concentrated and an aliquot was used for the determination of lipid content by gravimetry. The remaining extract was spiked with ¹³C-labeled internal standards of organochlorine compounds. Lipid was removed from the sample extracts by treatment with concentrated sulfuric acid and by passage through a series of layers of silica gel (Davisil, 100–200 mesh, Aldrich) in the following order: 2 g of silica gel, 1 g of 40% acidic-silica gel, 1 g of silica gel, 1 g of 40% acidic-silica gel, 1 g of silica gel, and a layer of dry sodium sulfate at the top. Then samples were eluted with 100 mL of 20% dichloromethane/hexane. The extract was injected into a gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packed 6890) coupled with a mass selective detector (Hewlett-Packed, series 5973) operating in an electron impact (70 eV) selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode. Quantification was by the isotope dilution method. External calibration standards

were analyzed with every set of samples and recoveries of internal standards were checked against external calibration standards. The detection limits of organochlorines were in the range of 0.01 to 0.2 ng/g, wet weight.

2.11. Statistical analysis of association between altered proteins and EDCs

Previously, we used the approach described above to quantify EDCs in biospecimens from the ENDO Study (urine, fat and peritoneal fluid) that were collected at two sites, The San Francisco Bay Area and Salt Lake City. The results showed that a subset of the analytes were significantly associated with the risk of endometriosis [33]. Here we conducted an exploratory analysis of associations between the proteins that were significantly differentially expressed in samples that were collected from women with endometriosis and EDCs that had the same relationships. These associations were based on linear regression and a permutation test was conducted to assess global associations or no associations of the EDCs considered and the mean level of each protein investigated in the current study.

3. Results

3.1. Overview of data generation

To comprehensively characterize the proteomes of PF, urine, and OF, protein preparation protocols were optimized for each sample type (see the Methods section). A workflow consisting of SDS-PAGE separation, tryptic digestion of the excised bands and LC–MS for protein identification achieved considerable depth of coverage. Fig. 1 shows representative SDS-PAGE banding patterns for the three sample types. Proteins detected at 5% FDR were compiled into a comprehensive inventory of the PF, urine, and OF proteomes of women with and without endometriosis.

3.2. Peritoneal fluid

In total, 769 proteins were identified in the entire set of PF samples (Supplemental Table 2), with an average of 186 proteins detected per sample. This distribution, which is plotted in Fig. 2A, is typical of these types of shotgun proteomics analyses [41]. Likewise, the gel lanes (Fig. 1 and Supplemental Table 2) showed that protein abundances varied within and among samples, observations that were in accord with the distribution. Generally, in LC-MS/MS analyses, high-abundance proteins yield relatively high abundance peptides. Thus, the number of peptides observed and protein coverage correlate with relative protein abundances, which were approximated by the Exponentially Modified Protein Abundance Index, emPAI [42], calculated by the formula: $10_{Nobserved/Nobservable} - 1$, where $N_{observed}$ is the number of experimentally observed peptides and Nobservable is the calculated number of observable peptides for each protein (Supplemental Table 2). Hierarchical clustering and network modeling were used to visualize protein differences among the PF samples and to gain insights into their possible functions. The results are shown in Fig. 3 where the rows correspond to proteins and the columns correspond to subjects. A cluster of 35 proteins (Table 1) was identified as differentially expressed in approximately half of the samples, though this did not correlate with disease status. Their emPAI scores suggested that they were among the more abundant proteins detected. They belonged to several functional

groups including kinases, antioxidants and oxidoreductases, as well as transcriptional and translational pathways.

3.3. Urine

A total of 1025 proteins were identified in the entire set of urine samples (Supplemental Table 3), with an average of 257 proteins per individual specimen (Fig. 2B). Uromodulin, serum albumin, keratins, various IgGs, actin, and collagen were among the proteins detected in the majority of samples. From the composite list of proteins identified in all the urine samples, we selected those that were observed in at least 5 specimens and compared this list to a published urinary proteome with 1543 identifications [43]. We note that these investigators used much larger protein amounts than were available in our study and two separation methods at the protein level (reversed phase HPLC and SDS PAGE). Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software was used to compare the two data sets. A total of 488 common proteins were identified. Distribution of the detected proteins across different subcellular locations and functional categories was very similar for both datasets as defined by the Gene Ontology Project (http://www.geneontology.org/). Finally, we performed hierarchical clustering of the urine protein dataset. No protein subgroups emerged (data not shown).

3.4. Omental fat

In total, the gel LC–MS/MS workflow identified 1176 proteins in the entire set of OF samples (Supplemental Table 4), with an average of 425 proteins per sample, the highest number of identifications per specimen for any of the biological media that were analyzed in this study (Fig. 2C). The typical distribution of proteins detected per sample is slightly skewed due to the lower number of samples in this portion of the study (17). No clustering of the samples due to abundance differences was observed, but this was not unexpected; after unblinding on disease status it was found that only 3 of the 17 samples analyzed were from women with endometriosis. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis showed that the OF proteome functions in an interesting array of pathways including superoxide radical degradation, metabolism, and retinoic acid signaling.

Given the depth of coverage of this proteome, the paucity of cases in our first analysis and that fat is a depot for EDCs, we went on to perform an iTRAQ analysis aimed at identifying proteins that were differentially expressed in a second set of 30 OF samples from endometriosis cases (n = 16) or control donors (n = 14). Previously we showed that concentrations of lipophilic organochlorine pollutants were higher in omental fat than serum [33] and we theorized that this could be associated with endometriosis-associated changes in protein pathways in these cells. From the OF iTRAQ analysis, 3026 proteins (Supplemental Table 5) were identified with 1605 common to all sets. The larger number of identifications was likely due to the fact that peptides were separated by high pH reversed phase chromatography prior to LC–MS/MS analyses of the individual fractions. Proteins detected in 5 women without and 5 women with endometriosis (2544) were compared by using linear regression analysis. Matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9; p = 0.0003; FDR q = 0.06), neutrophil elastase (ELNE; p = 0.0013; FDR q = 0.08) and protein FAM49B (p = 0.0015;

FDR q = 0.2) were found to be in lower abundance in the OF samples obtained from endometriosis patients as compared to control individuals (Fig. 4).

To confirm the differential abundance of these proteins, we applied an immunoblot approach to a randomly chosen subset of the samples (Fig. 5A). The results showed that MMP-9 levels, which varied among the samples from women without endometriosis, were consistently lower in the patient group. The average relative difference in MMP-9 abundance in the patient vs. control samples was 6.5-fold (Fig. 5B), in good agreement with the iTRAQ quantification data (Fig. 4A and Supplemental Table 5). Attempts to use the same approach for the relative quantitation of ELNE and FAM49B were not successful due to antibody cross-reactivity with numerous proteins in OF (data not shown).

3.5. Omental fat: EDC levels and proteins that are differentially expressed in endometriosis

With regard to the associations between chemicals and proteins, two important observations emerged (Table 2). First, levels of five of the chemicals (PBDE #183, PCB151, PCB156, γ -HCH, AND PCB28) measured in omental fat were significantly associated with two of the proteins found at altered levels in women with endometriosis (ELNE and FAM49B). Second, FA49B had the most chemical signals, which included γ -HCH, PBDE #183 and PCB congeners #28, 74, 151, 156, and 201. Finally, PBDE #183 was the only chemical associated with two proteins. The null hypothesis was rejected indicating that FAM49B was associated with at least one of the eight chemicals investigated.

4. Discussion

The women who provided PF, urine and OF were recruited as participants in the ENDO Study funded by the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), an institute of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). This multiyear study was implemented to learn how environmental exposure to EDCs and lifestyle factors may affect women's reproductive health. In particular, the ENDO Study focused on how hormonally active chemicals affect the risk of developing endometriosis. This proteomics study was performed as a first step to determine whether exposure to EDCs associated with endometriosis was correlated with relative abundances of proteins identified in PF, urine or OF in women diagnosed with endometriosis relative to women without disease.

Research regarding the effects of endometriosis on various proteomes has primarily relied on mass spectrometry (MS)-based methods at a global level. The emphasis has been on cataloging the endometrial, urine or PF proteomes and identifying proteins that are differentially expressed between samples collected from women diagnosed with endometriosis as compared to women without disease. To date, the majority of these analyses have utilized differential SDS-PAGE followed by either LC MS/MS using matrixassisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) or electrospray ionization (ESI). For example, the 2D SDS-PAGE patterns of eutopic endometrium from stage II to IV endometriosis cases were compared to equivalent samples from control women [44].

MS analyses of 48 spots that had unique expression patterns in the cases revealed 70 differentially expressed proteins [44]. Another study used the same experimental approach to identify 11 proteins that were differentially expressed in PF that was collected from endometriosis patients or control individuals; 8 were implicated in endometriosis pathogenesis [12]. The same group used an ELISA approach to confirm downregulation of one of the differentially expressed proteins, hemopexin, in a larger set of case and control PF samples [45]. In similarly designed studies, 12 other proteins were identified as upregulated in PF obtained from patients vs. controls [46,47]. 2D SDS-PAGE and MS/MS were also used to identify differentially expressed proteins in PF from women with endometriosis who were: 1) fertile as compared to their infertile counterparts; or 2) treated with a gonadotropinreleasing hormone (GnRH) analogue as compared to untreated control women [13,14]. The former study showed upregulation of proteins with immune functions in the infertile group and the latter study showed that a GnRH analogue downregulates the expression of proteins in this class. The urine proteome has also been studied in the context of endometriosis, a biospecimen of particular interest in the search for clinically useful biomarkers. One group used MALDI TOF MS to identify disease-specific urinary peptide profiles [48]. In addition, MS approaches identified several isoforms of cytokeratin 19 [9] and matrix metalloproteinase [49] as upregulated in urine from women with biopsy-confirmed endometriosis.

As to the experimental strategy employed in this study, we utilized two MS-based proteomic pipelines to investigate the protein repertoire of PF, urine and OF samples that were obtained from women with and without endometriosis. In total, we analyzed samples from 63 women (17 with and 46 without endometriosis) from one participating site, and another 30 samples (16 women with and 14 without endometriosis) from a second research site. One strategy was a semi-quantitative gel LC-MS workflow that consisted of SDS PAGE protein fractionation, in-gel trypsin digestion and LC-MS/MS analyses. The goal was a broad overview of these samples, which were collected by several clinics. We reasoned that the SDS PAGE step would enable identification of samples that were outliers in terms of protein degradation, a potentially important issue in studies that employ clinical specimens. As was expected, variations in banding patterns among samples of the same type were noted, but frank proteolysis was not evident, suggesting that the specimens in the ENDO bank are generally of high quality. The second workflow utilized an iTRAQ approach for relative quantification of proteins in a second set of OF samples. This enabled not only the identification of differentially expressed proteins, but also a secondary analysis in which the levels of differentially expressed proteins were associated with levels of an EDC panel that was measured in the same samples.

With regard to the results, PF was the only specimen type in which the gel LC–MS/MS approach differentially detected proteins in a subset of the samples. In this regard, both groups of women had surgical indications for laparoscopy/laparotomy. Given the often occult nature of this disease, we were interested in possible associations with this condition. The majority of differentially detected proteins have not been reported as associated with endometriosis. This category included several proteins with metabolic functions such asglucose metabolism (e.g., phosphoglycerate kinase-1, fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A, transaldolase, triosephosphate isomerase, malate dehydrogenase), possible evidence of more

global disease-induced dysregulation, involving the peritoneal cavity. The detection of superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione transferase (omega-1) in PF samples from patient donors could be indicative of oxidative stress, an interesting observation given that reactive oxygen species have been implicated in the progression of endometriosis [50]. Another differentially detected protein, glutathione S-transferase P, which is involved in detoxification, also has functions that could be related to the presence of endometriotic lesions. Thus, the proteins that were differentially detected in patient samples had potential functional relevance to the disease process.

A surprising number of proteins have been reported as having dysregulated expression in these lesions or as playing a role in the disease process. Proteins in the category of disease-related changes in expression include purine nucleotide phosphorylase [51] and peroxiredoxin 6 [52]. Interestingly, a subgroup of women with endometriosis has autoantibodies to native and linear epitope of carbonic anhydrase [53], possible indirect evidence of upregulated expression of this protein as well. Other groups have pointed to disease-associated alterations in post-translational modifications [52], including ubiquitination [54]. In this regard, we also identified ubiquitin in this study.

Several of the differentially detected proteins have been directly implicated, at the level of the endometrium, in the disease process. Overexpression of cofilin-1 in endometrial stromal cells (ESCs) upregulates proliferation, adhesion, invasion and angiogenesis; silencing of this gene attenuates these processes [55]. Elevated levels of macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) have been reported in PF samples from women with endometriosis with higher concentrations correlating with infertility [56]. In the same publication, these investigators reported that anti-MIF inhibited the ability of PF to induce proliferation of microvascular endothelial cells. Other work shows that a MIF antagonist inhibits the development of endometriosis in a nude mouse model [57]. Finally, DJ-1, which is differentially upregulated in endometriosis by mechanisms that include modulation of the PI3/Akt pathway via PTEN [58]. The fact that these proteins were differentially detected in patient PF samples is additional evidence of a role in the pathogenesis of endometriosis.

We note that the differentially expressed proteins detected in PF did not correlate with the diagnosis of endometriosis. Perhaps menstrual cycle phase or disease severity could be among the reasons. In addition, due to the stochastic nature of MS detection, only proteins present at equally high abundance in samples from women with and without endometriosis were detected. In this regard, future experiments employing strategies that deplete higher abundance proteins prior to MS analysis would enable a deeper survey of the PF proteome.

With regard to the iTRAQ approach that was used for relative quantification of proteins in a second set of OF samples, the results showed disease-associated downregulation of MMP-9, ELNE and FAM49B. Observations concerning MMP-9 and ELNE are in contrast to the upregulation of proteinases that is usually associated with endometriotic tissue. For example, an axis involving TNF- α , MMP-9 and a new isoform of steroid receptor coactivator-1 was recently shown to be involved in the progression of endometriosis [59]. In this regard, MIF promotes MMP-9 production [58]. Little information exists about ELNE in this disease, but

the secretory leukocyte inhibitor/ELNE ratio increases [60], possible evidence of a compensatory mechanism. These results suggested that endometriosis has disparate effects on proteinase production by uterine tissue as compared to the peritoneum, which is consistent with cell-type specific actions and possibly proximal vs. distal influences of the disease milieu. The function of FAM49B has yet to be elucidated, but it has been associated with diseases that are related to immune dysregulation, such as multiple sclerosis [61]. Interestingly, immune dysfunction has also been implicated in the pathogenesis of endometriosis [16]. Finally, other hypotheses emerged from this work. For example, coalescing the results of the iTRAQ workflow applied to OF and a previous quantitative analysis of EDCs in the same samples revealed a possible EDC association. Future analyses will explore this theory as well as EDC levels in the context of the proteome at the level of the endometriotic lesions.

5. Conclusions

The 1D LC–MS/MS approach failed to detect proteins in PF, urine or OF samples that were differentially associated with endometriosis. However, this approach revealed a suite of proteins in PF that were detected in only a subset of the samples, some of which have been reported as abnormally expressed in endometriosis or associated with this disease process. Further investigation is needed to determine whether any of these changes are associated with occult or early-stage disease. The iTRAQ approach that was used for relative quantification of proteins in a second set of OF samples showed the disease-associated downregulation of MMP-9, ELNE and FAM49B. Levels of the latter two proteins inversely correlated with the concentration of several chemicals, including PBDE #183, γ -HCH, and PCBs measured in the same samples. Thus, the results of these experiments show the feasibility of associating endometriosis with changes in the protein repertoire and EDC levels.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funded by the Intramural Research Program, *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health (contracts NO1-DK-6-3428; NO1-DK-6-3427; 10001406-02). Ethicon Endo-Surgery, LLC, kindly donated the HARMONIC® ACE 36P shears and scalpel blades for use in the study through a signed Materials Transfer Agreement with the University of Utah and the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. The UCSF Sandler-Moore Mass Spectrometry Core Facility acknowledges support from a Sandler New Technology Award, a gift from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, and NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA082103.

REFERENCES

- Albalat A, Mischak H, Mullen W. Urine proteomics in clinical applications: technologies, principal considerations and clinical implementation. Prilozi. 2011; 32:13–44. [PubMed: 21822176]
- [2]. Prudent M, Tissot JD, Lion N. Proteomics of blood and derived products: what's next? Expert Rev Proteomics. 2011; 8:717–37. [PubMed: 22087657]

- [3]. Vitorino R, Guedes S, Manadas B, Ferreira R, Amado F. Toward a standardized saliva proteome analysis methodology. J Proteomics. 2012; 75:5140–65. [PubMed: 22809520]
- [4]. May KE, Conduit-Hulbert SA, Villar J, Kirtley S, Kennedy SH, Becker CM. Peripheral biomarkers of endometriosis: a systematic review. Hum Reprod Update. 2010; 16:651–74.
 [PubMed: 20462942]
- [5]. Rizner TL. Noninvasive biomarkers of endometriosis: myth or reality? Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2014; 14:365–85. [PubMed: 24649822]
- [6]. Fassbender A, Vodolazkaia A, Saunders P, Lebovic D, Waelkens E, De Moor B, et al. Biomarkers of endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2013; 99:1135–45. [PubMed: 23414923]
- [7]. Cho S, Choi YS, Yim SY, Yang HI, Jeon YE, Lee KE, et al. Urinary vitamin D-binding protein is elevated in patients with endometriosis. Hum Reprod. 2012; 27:515–22. [PubMed: 22158084]
- [8]. Yun BH, Lee YS, Chon SJ, Jung YS, Yim SY, Kim HY, et al. Evaluation of elevated urinary enolase I levels in patients with endometriosis. Biomarkers. 2014; 19:16–21. [PubMed: 24283984]
- [9]. Tokushige N, Markham R, Crossett B, Ahn SB, Nelaturi VL, Khan A, et al. Discovery of a novel biomarker in the urine in women with endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2011; 95:46–9. [PubMed: 21168580]
- [10]. Kuessel L, Jaeger-Lansky A, Pateisky P, Rossberg N, Schulz A, Schmitz AA, et al. Cytokeratin-19 as a biomarker in urine and in serum for the diagnosis of endometriosis—a prospective study. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2014; 30:38–41. [PubMed: 24219598]
- [11]. Wang, C.; Fang, W.; Lee, CS. Recent advances in capillary electrophoresis-based proteomic techniques for biomarker discovery. In: Volpi, N.; Maccari, F., editors. Capillary Electrophoresis of Biomolecules Methods in Molecular Biology. Humana Press; 2013. p. 1-12.
- [12]. Wolfler MM, Meinhold-Heerlein IM, Sohngen L, Rath W, Knuchel R, Neulen J, et al. Twodimensional gel electrophoresis in peritoneal fluid samples identifies differential protein regulation in patients suffering from peritoneal or ovarian endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2011; 95:2764–8. [PubMed: 21497343]
- [13]. Ferrero S, Gillott DJ, Remorgida V, Anserini P, Ragni N, Grudzinskas JG. GnRH analogue remarkably down-regulates inflammatory proteins in peritoneal fluid proteome of women with endometriosis. J Reprod Med. 2009; 54:223–31. [PubMed: 19438164]
- [14]. Ferrero S, Gillott DJ, Remorgida V, Anserini P, Ragni N, Grudzinskas JG. Proteomic analysis of peritoneal fluid in fertile and infertile women with endometriosis. J Reprod Med. 2009; 54:32– 40. [PubMed: 19263878]
- [15]. Perez-Perez R, Garcia-Santos E, Ortega-Delgado FJ, Lopez JA, Camafeita E, Ricart W, et al. Attenuated metabolism is a hallmark of obesity as revealed by comparative proteomic analysis of human omental adipose tissue. J Proteomics. 2012; 75:783–95. [PubMed: 21989264]
- [16]. Giudice LC. Clinical practice. Endometriosis. N Engl J Med. 2010; 362:2389–98. [PubMed: 20573927]
- [17]. Buck Louis GM, Hediger ML, Peterson CM, Croughan M, Sundaram R, Stanford J, et al. Incidence of endometriosis by study population and diagnostic method: the ENDO study. Fertil Steril. 2011; 96:360–5. [PubMed: 21719000]
- [18]. Sampson JA. Peritoneal endometriosis due to the menstrual dissemination of endometrial tissue into the peritoneal cavity. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1927; 14:422–69.
- [19]. Halban J. Hysteroadenosis metastica. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 1924; 37:1205-28.
- [20]. Ulukus M, Arici A. Immunology of endometriosis. Minerva Ginecol. 2005; 57:237–48.[PubMed: 16166933]
- [21]. Meyer R. Uber entzundliche neterope epithelwucherungen im weiblichen Genetalg ebiet und uber eine bis in die Wurzel des Nesocolon ausgedehnte benigne Wucherung des Dar mepithel. Virch Arch Pathol Anat. 1909; 195:487.
- [22]. Bulun SE. Endometriosis. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360:268–79. [PubMed: 19144942]
- [23]. Berkley KJ, Rapkin AJ, Papka RE. The pains of endometriosis. Science. 2005; 308:1587–9.[PubMed: 15947176]
- [24]. Tokushige N, Markham R, Russell P, Fraser IS. Nerve fibres in peritoneal endometriosis. Hum Reprod. 2006; 21:3001–7. [PubMed: 16950827]

- [25]. Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Bourguignon JP, Giudice LC, Hauser R, Prins GS, Soto AM, et al. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: an Endocrine Society scientific statement. Endocr Rev. 2009; 30:293–342. [PubMed: 19502515]
- [26]. Pellacani C, Buschini A, Galati S, Mussi F, Franzoni S, Costa LG. Evaluation of DNA damage induced by 2 polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants (BDE-47 and BDE-209) in SK-N-MC cells. Int J Toxicol. 2012; 31:372–9. [PubMed: 22710639]
- [27]. Cobellis L, Latini G, De Felice C, Razzi S, Paris I, Ruggieri F, et al. High plasma concentrations of di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate in women with endometriosis. Hum Reprod. 2003; 18:1512–5. [PubMed: 12832380]
- [28]. Louis GM, Weiner JM, Whitcomb BW, Sperrazza R, Schisterman EF, Lobdell DT, et al. Environmental PCB exposure and risk of endometriosis. Hum Reprod. 2005; 20:279–85. [PubMed: 15513976]
- [29]. Reddy BS, Rozati R, Reddy BV, Raman NV. Association of phthalate esters with endometriosis in Indian women. BJOG. 2006; 113:515–20. [PubMed: 16637895]
- [30]. Signorile PG, Spugnini EP, Mita L, Mellone P, D'Avino A, Bianco M, et al. Pre-natal exposure of mice to bisphenol A elicits an endometriosis-like phenotype in female offspring. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2010; 168:318–25. [PubMed: 20350546]
- [31]. Kim SH, Chun S, Jang JY, Chae HD, Kim CH, Kang BM. Increased plasma levels of phthalate esters in women with advanced-stage endometriosis: a prospective case-control study. Fertil Steril. 2011; 95:357–9. [PubMed: 20797718]
- [32]. Signorile PG, Spugnini EP, Citro G, Viceconte R, Vincenzi B, Baldi F, et al. Endocrine disruptors in utero cause ovarian damages linked to endometriosis. Front Biosci (Elite Ed). 2012; 4:1724–30. [PubMed: 22201988]
- [33]. Buck Louis GM, Chen Z, Peterson CM, Hediger ML, Croughan MS, Sundaram R, et al. Persistent lipophilic environmental chemicals and endometriosis: the ENDO Study. Environ Health Perspect. 2012; 120:811–6. [PubMed: 22417635]
- [34]. Buck Louis GM, Peterson CM, Chen Z, Croughan M, Sundaram R, Stanford J, et al. Bisphenol A and phthalates and endometriosis: the endometriosis: Natural History, Diagnosis and Outcomes Study. Fertil Steril. 2013; 100:162–9. e1–2. [PubMed: 23579005]
- [35]. Dowell JA, Frost DC, Zhang J, Li L. Comparison of two-dimensional fractionation techniques for shotgun proteomics. Anal Chem. 2008; 80:6715–23. [PubMed: 18680313]
- [36]. Wang Y, Yang F, Gritsenko MA, Wang Y, Clauss T, Liu T, et al. Reversed-phase chromatography with multiple fraction concatenation strategy for proteome profiling of human MCF10A cells. Proteomics. 2011; 11:2019–26. [PubMed: 21500348]
- [37]. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B (Methodol). 1995; 57:289–300.
- [38]. Reich M, Liefeld T, Gould J, Lerner J, Tamayo P, Mesirov JP. GenePattern 2.0. Nat Genet. 2006; 38:500–1. [PubMed: 16642009]
- [39]. Zhou Y, McMaster M, Woo K, Janatpour M, Perry J, Karpanen T, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor ligands and receptors that regulate human cytotrophoblast survival are dysregulated in severe preeclampsia and hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets syndrome. Am J Pathol. 2002; 160:1405–23. [PubMed: 11943725]
- [40]. Johnson-Restrepo B, Kannan K, Rapaport DP, Rodan BD. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polychlorinated biphenyls in human adipose tissue from New York. Environ Sci Technol. 2005; 39:5177–82. [PubMed: 16082945]
- [41]. Rudnick PA, Clauser KR, Kilpatrick LE, Tchekhovskoi DV, Neta P, Blonder N, et al. Performance metrics for liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry systems in proteomics analyses. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2010; 9:225–41. [PubMed: 19837981]
- [42]. Ishihama Y, Oda Y, Tabata T, Sato T, Nagasu T, Rappsilber J, et al. Exponentially modified protein abundance index (emPAI) for estimation of absolute protein amount in proteomics by the number of sequenced peptides per protein. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2005; 4:1265–72. [PubMed: 15958392]

- [43]. Adachi J, Kumar C, Zhang Y, Olsen JV, Mann M. The human urinary proteome contains more than 1500 proteins, including a large proportion of membrane proteins. Genome Biol. 2006; 7:R80. [PubMed: 16948836]
- [44]. Rai P, Kota V, Deendayal M, Shivaji S. Differential proteome profiling of eutopic endometrium from women with endometriosis to understand etiology of endometriosis. J Proteome Res. 2010; 9:4407–19. [PubMed: 20690674]
- [45]. Wolfler MM, Meinhold-Heerlein IM, Henkel C, Rath W, Neulen J, Maass N, et al. Reduced hemopexin levels in peritoneal fluid of patients with endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2013; 100:777– 81. [PubMed: 23755951]
- [46]. Ferrero S, Gillott DJ, Remorgida V, Anserini P, Leung KY, Ragni N, et al. Proteomic analysis of peritoneal fluid in women with endometriosis. J Proteome Res. 2007; 6:3402–11. [PubMed: 17676783]
- [47]. Ferrero S, Gillott DJ, Remorgida V, Anserini P, Ragni N, Grudzinskas JG. Peritoneal fluid proteome in women with different ASRM stages of endometriosis. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2008; 24:433–41. [PubMed: 18850380]
- [48]. El-Kasti MM, Wright C, Fye HK, Roseman F, Kessler BM, Becker CM. Urinary peptide profiling identifies a panel of putative biomarkers for diagnosing and staging endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2011; 95:1261–6. e1–6. [PubMed: 21255776]
- [49]. Becker CM, Louis G, Exarhopoulos A, Mechsner S, Ebert AD, Zurakowski D, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases are elevated in the urine of patients with endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2010; 94:2343–6. [PubMed: 20378112]
- [50]. Ngo C, Chereau C, Nicco C, Weill B, Chapron C, Batteux F. Reactive oxygen species controls endometriosis progression. Am J Pathol. 2009; 175:225–34. [PubMed: 19498006]
- [51]. Kao LC, Germeyer A, Tulac S, Lobo S, Yang JP, Taylor RN, et al. Expression profiling of endometrium from women with endometriosis reveals candidate genes for disease-based implantation failure and infertility. Endocrinology. 2003; 144:2870–81. [PubMed: 12810542]
- [52]. Stephens AN, Hannan NJ, Rainczuk A, Meehan KL, Chen J, Nicholls PK, et al. Posttranslational modifications and protein-specific isoforms in endometriosis revealed by 2D DIGE. J Proteome Res. 2010; 9:2438–49. [PubMed: 20199104]
- [53]. D'Cruz OJ, Wild RA, Haas GG Jr, Reichlin M. Antibodies to carbonic anhydrase in endometriosis: prevalence, specificity, and relationship to clinical and laboratory parameters. Fertil Steril. 1996; 66:547–56. [PubMed: 8816615]
- [54]. Hull ML, Escareno CR, Godsland JM, Doig JR, Johnson CM, Phillips SC, et al. Endometrial– peritoneal interactions during endometriotic lesion establishment. Am J Pathol. 2008; 173:700– 15. [PubMed: 18688027]
- [55]. Xu YL, Wang DB, Liu QF, Chen YH, Yang Z. Silencing of cofilin-1 gene attenuates biological behaviours of stromal cells derived from eutopic endometria of women with endometriosis. Hum Reprod. 2010; 25:2480–8. [PubMed: 20713416]
- [56]. Kats R, Collette T, Metz CN, Akoum A. Marked elevation of macrophage migration inhibitory factor in the peritoneal fluid of women with endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2002; 78:69–76. [PubMed: 12095493]
- [57]. Khoufache K, Bazin S, Girard K, Guillemette J, Roy MC, Verreault JP, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor antagonist blocks the development of endometriosis in vivo. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e37264. [PubMed: 22649515]
- [58]. Rai P, Shivaji S. The role of DJ-1 in the pathogenesis of endometriosis. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e18074. [PubMed: 21445310]
- [59]. Han SJ, Hawkins SM, Begum K, Jung SY, Kovanci E, Qin J, et al. A new isoform of steroid receptor coactivator-1 is crucial for pathogenic progression of endometriosis. Nat Med. 2012; 18:1102–11. [PubMed: 22660634]
- [60]. Shimoya K, Moriyama A, Ogata I, Nobunaga T, Koyama M, Azuma C, et al. Increased concentrations of secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor in peritoneal fluid of women with endometriosis. Mol Hum Reprod. 2000; 6:829–34. [PubMed: 10956555]

[61]. Gilli F, Navone ND, Perga S, Marnetto F, Caldano M, Capobianco M, et al. Loss of braking signals during inflammation: a factor affecting the development and disease course of multiple sclerosis. Arch Neurol. 2011; 68:879–88. [PubMed: 21403007]



Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE separation of proteins from peritoneal fluid, urine and omental fat samples. Each specimen type had a unique banding pattern that suggested differences in protein composition



Fig. 2. The number of peritoneal fluid (A), urine (B) or omental fat (C) proteins that were identified in individual samples



Fig. 3. Heat map depicting unsupervised hierarchical clustering of the 39 peritoneal fluid samples (columns). Individual proteins (rows) were mapped in red (identified) or green (not identified). The colored portion of the dendrogram depicts proteins that were differentially expressed in samples collected from patients who were diagnosed with endometriosis

Williams et al.



Fig. 4. iTRAQ analyses of peritoneal fat samples from women with endometriosis as compared to unaffected women revealed disease-associated decreases in protein abundances. The downregulated proteins were: (A) matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), fold change = -7.6; (B) neutrophil elastase (ELNE), fold change = -3.5; and (C) FAM49B, fold change = -1.3

MMP-9





Control

20461

2017

22031 22491 21711 20781

Fig. 5.

Immunoblot verification of differential expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP9) in OF from women with endometriosis. Sample identification numbers are shown at the top of each lane (A). Lanes 1–5 contained OF from women with endometriosis; lanes 6–10 contained OF from control patients. MMP-9 levels were normalized to the signal from the β -actin loading control (B).

Table 1 Proteins detected in peritoneal fluid that were determined to be differentially expressed in ${\sim}50\%$ of the samples

Accession	Swiss-Prot ID	Swiss-Prot ID Molecular weight Protein name		
P63104	1433Z_HUMAN	27,899	14-3-3 protein zeta/delta	
P00568	KAD1_HUMAN	21,735	Adenylate kinase isoenzyme 1	
P06733	ENOA_HUMAN	47,350	Alpha-enolase	
P13929	ENOB_HUMAN	47,168	Beta-enolase	
P53004	BIEA_HUMAN	33,692	Biliverdin reductase A precursor	
P07738	PMGE_HUMAN	30,027	Bisphosphoglycerate mutase	
P07451	CAH3_HUMAN	29,707	Carbonic anhydrase 3	
P04040	CATA_HUMAN	59,816	Catalase	
O00299	CLIC1_HUMAN	27,117	Chloride intracellular channel protein 1	
P23528	COF1_HUMAN	18,588	Cofilin-1	
P13716	HEM2_HUMAN	36,728	Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	
P68104	EF1A1_HUMAN	50,451	Elongation factor 1-alpha 1	
P30043	BLVRB_HUMAN	22,088	Flavin reductase	
P04075	ALDOA_HUMAN	39,720	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A	
P09211	GSTP1_HUMAN	23,438	Glutathione S-transferase P	
P78417	GSTO1_HUMAN	27,833	Glutathione transferase omega-1	
P62826	RAN_HUMAN	24,448	GTP-binding nuclear protein Ran	
P00492	HPRT_HUMAN	24,661	Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase	
P00338	LDHA_HUMAN	36,819	L-Lactate dehydrogenase A chain	
P07195	LDHB_HUMAN	36,769	L-Lactate dehydrogenase B chain	
P14174	MIF_HUMAN	12,508	Macrophage migration inhibitory factor	
P40925	MDHC_HUMAN	36,500	Malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic	
P30043	BLVRB_HUMAN	22,088	Flavin reductase	
P15531	NDKA_HUMAN	17,309	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase A	
P22392	NDKB_HUMAN	17,401	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase B	
P62937	PPIA_HUMAN	18,098	Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A	
P30041	PRDX6_HUMAN	25,002	Peroxiredoxin-6	
P00558	PGK1_HUMAN	44,854	Phosphoglycerate kinase 1	
Q99497	PARK7_HUMAN	20,050	Protein DJ-1	
P06703	S10A6_HUMAN	10,230	Protein S100-A6	
P00491	PNPH_HUMAN	32,325	Purine nucleoside phosphorylase	
P00441	SODC_HUMAN	16,023	Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn]	
P37837	TALDO_HUMAN	37,688	Transaldolase	
P60174	TPIS_HUMAN	26,807	Triosephosphate isomerase	
P62988	UBIQ_HUMAN	8560	Ubiquitin	

Table 2
Associations between down-regulated proteins detected in OF from women with
endometriosis with significant persistent EDCs in plasma

EDC	Matrix metalloproteinase-9		Neutrophil elastase			Protein FAM49B			
	Coeff	p value	R ²	Coeff	p value	\mathbb{R}^2	Coeff	p value	\mathbb{R}^2
γ-HCH	35.81	0.92	0	-103.94	0.43	0.02	-0.31	0.01	0.23
PBDE183	124.16	0.14	0.13	-55.71	0.03	0.15	-0.05	0.03	0.16
PBDE47	-323.17	0.23	0.09	-4.35	0.96	0	0.02	0.78	0
PCB201	303.96	0.21	0.1	-40.09	0.63	0.01	-0.09	0.26	0.05
PCB156	-41.78	0.80	0	18.67	0.68	0.01	0.08	0.04	0.14
PCB151	373.04	0.34	0.06	-72.53	0.55	0.01	-0.23	0.04	0.14
PCB74	-91.32	0.66	0.01	5.32	0.92	0	0.04	0.43	0.02
PCB28	178.53	0.36	0.05	25.96	0.65	0.01	-0.11	0.04	0.15