

Antibiofilm Peptides Increase the Susceptibility of Carbapenemase-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae Clinical Isolates to β -Lactam Antibiotics

Suzana Meira Ribeiro,^a César de la Fuente-Núñez,^b Beverlie Baquir,^b Célio Faria-Junior,^c Octávio L. Franco,^{a,d} Robert E. W. Hancock^b

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Genômicas e Biotecnologia, Centro de Análises Proteômicas e Bioquímicas, Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brasília, Brasília, Centre for Microbial Diseases and Immunity Research, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada^b; LACEN, Laboratório Central de Saúde Pública do Distrito Federal, Brasília, DF, Brazil^c; S-Inova, Pos-Graduação em Biotecnologia, Universidade Catolica Dom Bosco, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil^d

Multidrug-resistant carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KpC) strains are becoming a common cause of infections in health care centers. Furthermore, *Klebsiella* can develop multicellular biofilms, which lead to elevated adaptive antibiotic resistance. Here, we describe the antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities of synthetic peptides DJK-5, DJK-6, and 1018 against five KpC isolates. Using static microplate assays, it was observed that the concentration required to prevent biofilm formation by these clinical isolates was below the MIC for planktonic cells. More-sophisticated flow cell experiments confirmed the antibiofilm activity of the peptides against 2-day-old biofilms of different KpC isolates, and in some cases, the peptides induced significant biofilm cell death. Clinically relevant combinations of DJK-6 and β -lactam antibiotics, including the carbapenem meropenem, also prevented planktonic growth and biofilm formation of KpC strain1825971. Interestingly, peptide DJK-6 was able to enhance, at least 16-fold, the ability of meropenem to eradicate preformed biofilms formed by this strain. Using peptide DJK-6 to potentiate the activity of β -lactams, including meropenem, represents a promising strategy to treat infections caused by KpC isolates.

Klebsiella pneumoniae is a Gram-negative, encapsulated, opportunistic pathogen of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* (1). It has become a significant cause of infections, especially pneumonia, but also meningitis, sepsis, and urinary tract, device-associated, and surgical wound site infections (2–7). The most severe clinical cases of such infections are often associated with *K. pneumoniae* strains that produce a plasmid-borne carbapenemase (KpC).

The rate of fatality from KpC-producing *K. pneumoniae* is high (>50%) among patients with bloodstream infection (2, 8, 9). The treatment of these infections is difficult since KpC strains are resistant to all β -lactam antibiotics, including carbapenems, which are typically used as a last resort (10). In addition, bacteria harboring carbapenemase genes are often resistant to other antibiotics. As a consequence of this multidrug resistance, failure in treatment contributes to increased rates of morbidity and mortality (9, 11, 12). Tigecycline, aminoglycosides, and the polymyxins (polymyxin B and colistin) are currently the best treatment options for carbapenemase-producing strains (13). However, these strains also have the potential to develop resistance to these antibiotics (14–16).

The treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria is a challenge, and the ability of bacterial pathogens to form biofilms can further complicate this scenario. Biofilms, a distinct microbial lifestyle of bacteria, are surface-associated multicellular communities of bacteria encased in a protective polymeric matrix that can include polysaccharides, proteins, and DNA (17). They represent a substantial problem in the clinic, as they can lead to the development of chronic long-lived infections on body surfaces, including the lung, skin, heart, and bones (18–21), and can also be formed on medical devices (central venous catheters, urinary tract catheters, mechanical heart valve, contact lenses, endotracheal tubes, and implants) (18, 22–25). Biofilms are highly (adaptively) resistant to antibiotics as well as to removal by host immune defense mechanisms and thus are very difficult to eradicate compared to their planktonic (freeswimming) counterparts, leading to increased health care costs (26). Although biofilms have a significant impact on public health, most research to date has focused on developing natural or synthetic antimicrobial agents that inhibit planktonic bacteria. Cationic amphipathic antimicrobial peptides have emerged in recent years as an alternative strategy for the treatment of antibioticresistant bacteria (27). Other so-called host defense peptides function as immunomodulatory agents with anti-infective, anti-inflammatory, and wound-healing properties, independent of direct antimicrobial activity (27).

Recently, it was shown that some cationic amphipathic peptides could prevent and/or eradicate bacterial biofilms in a manner that was independent of direct antimicrobial activity against free-swimming bacteria (28–30). Other studies demonstrated that the antibiofilm peptides 1018 (a synthetic variant of host defense

Received 13 January 2015 Returned for modification 15 March 2015 Accepted 12 April 2015

Accepted manuscript posted online 20 April 2015

Citation Ribeiro SM, de la Fuente-Núñez C, Baquir B, Faria-Junior C, Franco DL, Hancock REW. 2015. Antibiofilm peptides increase the susceptibility of carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates to **β**-lactam antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:3906–3912. doi:10.1128/AAC.00092-15.

Address correspondence to Octávio L. Franco, ocfranco@gmail.com, or Robert E. W. Hancock, bob@hancocklab.com.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.00092-15

TABLE 1 Susceptibility of KPC-producing K. pneumoniae isolates to different antibiotics^a

	MIC and susceptibility of KpC isolate:									
	1450421		1789769		1825971		2144392		2210477	
Antibiotic	MIC	Interpretation	MIC	Interpretation	MIC	Interpretation	MIC	Interpretation	MIC	Interpretation
Amikacin	≤16	S	≤16	S	32	Ι	≤16	S	32	Ι
Ampicillin	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R
Ampicillin-sulbactam	>16/8	R	>16/8	R	>16/8	R	>16/8	R	>16/8	R
Aztreonam	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R
Cefepime	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R
Cefotaxime	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R
Cefotetan	>32	S	>32	R	>32	R	32	Ι	32	R
Ceftazidime	>16	R	>16	R	16	R	8	Ι	>16	R
Ceftriaxone	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R
Cefuroxime	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R
Cephalothin	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R
Ciprofloxacin	>2	R	>2	R	>2	R	>2	R	>2	R
Ertapenem	>4	R	>4	R	>4	R	>4	R	>4	R
Gentamicin	> 8	R	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R
Imipenem	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R	>8	R	>8	R	>8	R
Levofloxacin	>4	R	≤ 2	S	4	Ι	>4	R	>4	R
Meropenem	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R	>8	R	8	R	>8	R
Nitrofurantoin	>64	R	>64	R	64	Ι	>64	R	>64	R
Piperacillin	>64	R	>64	R	>64	R	>64	R	>64	R
Piperacillin-tazobactam	>64	R	>64	R	>64	R	>64	R	>64	R
Tetracycline	$>\!\!8$	R	8	Ι	8	Ι	≤ 4	S	$>\!\!8$	R
Tigecycline	≤ 2	S	≤ 2	S	≤ 2	S	≤ 2	S	≤ 2	S
Tobramycin	8	Ι	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R	$>\!\!8$	R
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	>2/38	R	$\leq 2/38$	S	>2/38	R	$\leq 2/38$	S	>2/38	R

^a S, susceptible; I, intermediary; R, resistant.

peptides) and DJK-5 and DJK-6 (both designed D-enantiomeric protease-resistant peptides) had the ability to work synergistically with several conventional antibiotics, thus uncovering a novel approach to potentiating antibiotic action against bacterial biofilms (31, 32). Based on these studies, we hypothesized that the small cationic antibiofilm peptides 1018, DJK-5, and DJK-6 could both prevent biofilm formation and target preformed biofilms formed by carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae*. The objective of this study was to investigate the biofilm-forming ability of KpC clinical strains isolated from patients at Brasilia Hospital and to test the activity of antibiofilm peptides against these isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. Clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were obtained from hospitalized patients in Brasilia, Brazil, between 2010 and 2012. The isolate 1825971 was obtained from an endotracheal aspirate, and the isolates 1789769, 2144392, 2210477, and 1450421 were obtained from blood. The isolates were suspended in Mueller-Hinton broth and incubated for 18 to 24 h at 36°C. They were confirmed as *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains using the MicroScan WalkAway automated system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Standard biochemical tests were also used to confirm the species (33). Isolates were preserved at -70° C with the addition of 8% dimethyl sulfoxide.

Peptide synthesis. DJK-5 (VQWRAIRVRVIR-NH₂) and DJK-6 (VQ WRRIRVWVIR-NH₂), both D-enantiomeric peptides, and the peptide 1018 (VRLIVAVRIWRR-NH₂) were synthesized by CPC Scientific using solid-phase 9-fluorenylmethoxy carbonyl (Fmoc) chemistry and purified to 95% using reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Peptide mass was confirmed by mass spectrometry.

Antibiotic susceptibility of *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates, screening for carbapenemases, and detection of bla_{KPC} genes. Susceptibility testing was performed using the MicroScan WalkAway automated method. The susceptibility breakpoints were interpreted in accordance to the CLSI protocols (34). Resistance to carbapenem antibiotics was due to the production of a carbapenemase, which was detected using the modified Hodge test (35). *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 was used as a negative control, and *K. pneumoniae* IOC (a carbapenemase-producing strain from the culture collection of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) was used as a positive control. Specific primers were used under standard PCR conditions to detect and confirm the presence of genes encoding *K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase, bla_{KPC} genes (F, 5'-TGTCACT GTATCGCCGTC and R, 5'-CTCAGTGCTCTACAGAAAACC) (36). The strains used as controls for the modified Hodge test were also used as negative and positive controls for PCR.

Biofilm formation and MIC of planktonic cells and biofilms. K. pneumoniae biofilm formation was assessed both in rich media, i.e., Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (BD Difco) and Todd-Hewitt broth (BD Difco), and in BM2 minimal medium [62 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 7 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2 mM MgSO₄, 10 μM FeSO₄, 0.5% glucose]. Overnight cultures of K. pneumoniae strains grown in LB broth were diluted 1 in 100 in each of these media, and 100 µl per well was added into 96-well round-bottom microplates. Planktonic cell growth was assessed by measuring absorbance at 600 nm. Nonadherent planktonic cells were removed from microtiter wells and washed twice with deionized water. Adherent cells were stained with 0.01% crystal violet for 20 min. The microplate wells were washed twice with deionized water, air dried, and solubilized with 110 µl of 70% ethanol. Biofilm formation was assessed by measuring the absorbance at 595 nm using a microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc., United States). Three biological replicates were performed for each experiment involving eight technical replicates. After establishing the best conditions for biofilm growth, antimicrobial

KpC isolate	Growth (mean absorbance \pm SD) in:									
	Luria broth		Todd-Hewitt broth		BM2 glucose					
	Planktonic cells	Biofilm cells	Planktonic cells	Biofilm cells	Planktonic cells	Biofilm cells				
1450421	1.29 ± 0.04	0.20 ± 0.05	1.48 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.03	1.17 ± 0.06	1.28 ± 0.11				
1789769	1.18 ± 0.05	0.05 ± 0.03	1.53 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.02	1.22 ± 0.06	0.80 ± 0.07				
1825971	1.30 ± 0.06	0.27 ± 0.06	1.51 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.02	1.15 ± 0.04	0.79 ± 0.02				
2144392	1.20 ± 0.05	0.02 ± 0.01	1.52 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.02	1.16 ± 0.06	0.62 ± 0.04				
2210477	1.25 ± 0.07	0.15 ± 0.03	1.46 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.06	1.13 ± 0.01	1.18 ± 0.04				

TABLE 2 Assessment of biofilm formation of KPC-producing K. pneumoniae isolates in different media^a

^{*a*} Adherence to the surfaces of the wells of 96-well microtiter plates was examined. After incubation for 24 h at 37°C, the growth of planktonic cells was measured as the absorbance at 600 nm (A_{600}), while biofilm cell growth was assessed at A_{595} after crystal violet staining and ethanol extraction.

peptides were evaluated for their ability to inhibit biofilm formation. The microdilution method for cationic peptides (37) was used to determine the MIC for planktonic cells and the minimal biofilm inhibitory concentration (MBIC) to inhibit biofilm formation by 50% (MBIC₅₀) or 100% (MBIC₁₀₀).

Checkerboard assay. Synergy between DJK-6 and various antibiotics was assessed by checkerboard titration in 96-well microplates, wherein the peptide was diluted along the rows of a microtiter tray and the antibiotics were diluted along the columns. Planktonic growth and biofilm formation were assessed as described above. The results were expressed as the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FIC), which is determined as follows: FIC = $([A]/MIC_A) + ([B]/MIC_B)$, where MIC_A and MIC_B are the MICs of antibiotic A and peptide B alone and [A] and [B] are the MICs of A and B when in combination. The FIC index was interpreted as follows: FIC ≤ 0.5 , synergistic effect; $0.5 < FIC \leq 1$, additive effect; $1 < FIC \leq 2$, indifferent effect. The same approach was used to calculate biofilm inhibition in combination using the $MBIC_{100}$ in place of the MIC. All FIC calculations were determined taking the next-lowest MBIC₁₀₀ value (e.g., for >64 μ g · ml⁻¹, we used 128 μ g · ml⁻¹). The antibiotics used in this assay included imipenem (Merck), meropenem (Sigma-Aldrich), cefotaxime (Sigma-Aldrich), cefepime (Bristol), polymyxin B (Sigma-Aldrich), and colistin sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich).

Flow cell assays. Flow cell experiments were performed to assess the effects of antimicrobial treatments on preformed biofilms as described previously (28).

RESULTS

Susceptibility of KpC to multiple antibiotics and biofilm formation assessments in different media. It was observed that clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* from patients admitted to Brasilia hospitals were often resistant to multiple antibiotics (Table 1). All isolates were positive for carbapenemase production, according to the modified Hodge test. Further, genotyping of β -lactamase resistance by PCR and DNA sequencing showed that all isolates harbored *bla*_{KPC} genes. We also assessed the ability of the KpC clinical isolates to form static biofilms in nutrient-rich media such as LB and Todd-Hewitt and in a nutrient-limited medium (i.e., BM2). These isolates produced increased biofilm biomass (optical density at 595 nm $[OD_{595}]$ range, >0.7 absorbance units [AU]) in BM2 medium compared to the biomass of those grown in nutrient-rich media like LB and Todd-Hewitt broth (Table 2). In contrast, using different media did not affect the planktonic growth of these strains (Table 2).

Effects of small cationic antibiofilm peptides in the prevention and eradication of KpC biofilms. To investigate the effects of the small synthetic cationic peptides 1018, DJK-6, and DJK-5 on planktonic growth of KpC isolates, we performed microdilution susceptibility assays using BM2 medium and peptides at concentrations ranging between 0.5 and $64 \ \mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$. The five tested KpC isolates showed variable susceptibility to the peptides under the conditions tested (Table 3).

The peptides were quite ineffective versus planktonic cells with MICs of 8 to $>64 \ \mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$. In contrast, the peptides showed good antibiofilm activity versus *Klebsiella* with MBIC₅₀ ranging from 1 to 32 $\ \mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$. The MBIC₁₀₀, which reflects inhibition of both biofilm formation and nonspecific binding to the microtiter wells, was 2- to 32-fold higher than the MBIC₅₀ but still often lower than the MIC. Among individual clinical isolates, there was some variability, with biofilms from strains 1450421,1825971, and 2210477 showing higher MBICs and strains 1789769 and 2144392 biofilms being more susceptible.

To test the ability of the antibiofilm peptides to eradicate preformed biofilms, we used the more accurate and sensitive flow cell method to test the susceptibility of biofilms of four KpC isolates (1450421, 1789769, 1825971, and 2144392) to peptides 1018 and DJK-6. Interestingly, strain 1450421 formed the most robust biofilms in our flow cell experiments (Fig. 1), consistent with its ability to form biofilms in microtiter plates (Table 2). The results for peptide addition to preformed biofilms revealed that at very low

TABLE 3 Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of peptides against planktonic (MIC) and biofilm (MBIC) cells of KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates using microtiter assays^{*a*}

KpC isolate	IDR 1018	IDR 1018					DJK-6	DJK-6		
	MIC	MBIC ₅₀	MBIC ₁₀₀	MIC	MBIC ₅₀	MBIC ₁₀₀	MIC	MBIC ₅₀	MBIC ₁₀₀	
1450421	32	16	32	8	4	8	16	8	16	
1789769	32	4	32	16	4	8	8	2	4	
1825971	>64	2	64	>64	32	>64	64	4	32	
2144392	32	1	4	32	1	4	8	1	2	
2210477	32	8	32	16	4	8	>64	8	>64	

^{*a*} Values are in μ g · ml⁻¹.



FIG 1 Effects of peptides 1018 and DJK-6 against preformed biofilms of KpC isolates. Biofilms were grown using the flow cell system. Treatments were applied on 2-day-old biofilms for 24 h. After this time, bacteria were stained green with the all-bacteria stain Syto-9 and red with the dead-bacteria stain propidium iodide (merge shows as yellow to red) prior to confocal imaging. Each panel shows reconstructions from the top in the large panel and sides in the right and bottom panels (xy, yz, and xz dimensions).

concentrations $(2 \ \mu g \cdot ml^{-1})$, the peptides 1018 and DJK-6 were able to disrupt and cause dispersal of 2-day-old biofilms of KpC isolates, reducing most preexisting biofilms to only a monolayer of cells or a few single cells attached to the surface of the flow cell chambers (Fig. 1). In most cases, the peptides triggered considerable cell death (yellow- and red-stained cells) among the cells remaining associated with the flow cell chambers, although the numbers of cells were considerably diminished, indicating also considerable dispersal. Intriguingly, the high sensitivity to peptides occurred even for isolate 1450421, which was considerably less susceptible in microtiter plate assays, potentially due to the



FIG 2 Effects of the combination of peptide DJK-6 and meropenem on preformed biofilms of KpC 1825971. Biofilms were grown in the flow cell system. Treatments were applied to 2-day-old biofilms for 24 h. After this time, bacteria were stained green with the all-bacteria stain Syto-9 and red with the dead-bacteria stain propidium iodide (merge shows as yellow to red) prior to confocal imaging. Each panel shows reconstructions from the top in the large panel and sides in the right and bottom panels (xy, yz, and xz dimensions).

high contribution of nonspecific binding to the microtiter plate surfaces in these assays.

Synergy studies to prevent and eradicate KpC biofilms. Since peptide DJK-6 exhibited high antibiofilm action against KpC isolates, this peptide was chosen for synergy studies using checkerboard titration assays with the multidrug-resistant isolate KpC1825971. Despite choosing antibiotics to which these KpC isolates were highly resistant (MICs and MBICs of >64 μ g · ml⁻¹), we observed that peptide DJK-6 enhanced the activity of the β -lactam antibiotics meropenem, imipenem, and cefepime, preventing biofilm growth of strain KpC 1825971 (Table 4). In contrast, the combinations between peptide DJK-6 and cefotaxime, polymyxin B, or colistin were unable to prevent biofilm formation by this *Klebsiella* strain.

The finding of synergy with two carbapenem antibiotics was very surprising, especially given the presence of a highly active carbapenemase in this isolate. Therefore, we further explored the combination of peptide DJK-6 with meropenem to eradicate preformed flow cell biofilms of KpC 1825971. We determined the concentrations of both DJK-6 and meropenem, alone or combined, required to eradicate the majority of biofilm cells (DJK-6, 8 $\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$; meropenem, 1 $\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$) and those that did not affect preformed biofilms compared to the untreated control cells (DJK-6, 0.125 $\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$; meropenem, 0.0625 $\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$). The results revealed that the combination of only 0.125 $\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$ of peptide DJK-6 and 0.0625 $\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$ of meropenem cleared preexisting biofilms (Fig. 2), thus reducing at least 16-fold the concentration of meropenem required to clear biofilms formed by strain KpC 1825971. Other KpC isolates, 2144392 and 1450421,

TABLE 4 Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of conventional antibiotics in preventing planktonic (MIC) and biofilm (MBIC) growth of *K*. *pneumoniae* KpC strain 1825971 in microtiter assays and effects of the combination of peptide DJK-6 and the conventional antibiotics by checkerboard titration assessing the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FIC)^{*a*}

		0						
Antibiotic	MIC	MBIC ₅₀	MBIC ₁₀₀	MBIC of antibiotic in combination with DJK-6	MBIC of DJK-6 in combination with antibiotic	FIC with peptide	Interpretation ^b	Fold reduction in antibiotic concn at the FIC
Meropenem	>64	>64	>64	4	12	0.4	Syn	32
Imipenem	>64	>64	>64	8	12	0.4	Syn	16
Cefepime	>64	>64	>64	8	12	0.4	Syn	16
Cefotaxime	>64	>64	>64	64	32	1.5	Ind	2
Colistin	>64	>64	>64	64	48	2.0	Ind	2
Polymyxin	>64	>64	>64	64	48	2.0	Ind	2

^{*a*} All MIC and MBIC values are in μ g · ml⁻¹. FIC calculations were determined taking the next-lowest MBIC₁₀₀ value (e.g., for >64 μ g · ml⁻¹, we used 128 μ g · ml⁻¹). ^{*b*} Syn, synergy; Ind, indifferent.



FIG 3 Effects of the combination of peptide DJK-6 and meropenem on preformed biofilms of KpC strains 1450421 and 2144392. Biofilms were grown in a flow cell system. Treatments were applied to a 2-day-old biofilm for 24 h. After this time, bacteria were stained green with the all-bacteria stain Syto-9 and red with the dead-bacteria stain propidium iodide (merge shows as yellow to red) prior to confocal imaging. Each panel shows reconstructions from the top in the large panel and sides in the right and bottom panels (xy, yz, and xz dimensions).

showed similar susceptibility to this combination of DJK-6 plus meropenem (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

We found that clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were resistant to multiple antibiotics, harbored KPC genes, and formed more biofilm in minimal medium than in nutrient broth. Previous studies showed that bacteria harboring KPC genes are resistant to several antibiotics, including the β -lactam antibiotics carbapenems, considered to be last-resort agents to treat serious infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria (13). The highest growth of biofilms in limited-nutrient medium in relation to nutrient-rich medium could be associated with the stress caused by limitation of one or more nutrients. For example, limitation of iron, magnesium, and lactose can induce a higher biofilm formation in *Legionella pneumophila* (38), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (39), and *Citrobacter* sp. (40), respectively.

Carbapenemase-producing strains represent a serious worldwide problem due to their multidrug resistance, easy dissemination, and limited available treatment options (41). Moreover, the potential of these strains to form biofilms on medical devices and body surfaces contributes to worsen this scenario. Many studies have shown that biofilm formation contributes to highly recalcitrant bacterial infections (20). However, few reports have focused on the development of new antimicrobials that target biofilms. Even more limited are studies focusing specifically on targeting carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*.

In our experiments, we investigated whether small synthetic peptides exhibited antibiofilm properties against KpC isolates. We observed that antibiofilm peptides 1018, DJK-6, and DJK-5 prevented biofilm formation of the different KpC strains tested (Table 3). In all cases, the concentration required to inhibit biofilm formation by 50% was lower than the MIC. Antibiofilm peptides,

including peptide 1018, have demonstrated similar effects against other pathogenic bacteria (28, 29).

In experiments looking at the effects of the peptides on preformed flow cell biofilms (Fig. 1), we observed that both peptides 1018 and DJK-6 efficiently reduced biofilms to either a monolayer of cells or a few attached cells and many of the remaining cells were stained yellow or red, indicating that they were killed. These findings are consistent with recent studies showing that peptides 1018 (28) and DJK-6 (32) reduce biofilms of several bacteria, including *K. pneumoniae*. However, in our studies we used carbapenemresistant *K. pneumoniae* strains instead of the carbapenemsusceptible strains used in those investigations.

Surprisingly, peptide DJK-6 was able to increase the antibiofilm activity of β-lactam antibiotics, including two carbapenems, in preventing biofilm formation (Table 4). Indeed, exceptionally small amounts of meropenem were required together with very low levels of peptide to completely eradicate preexisting biofilms of KpC (Fig. 2 and 3). Previous studies had indicated no other classes of antibiotics that were synergistic with DJK-6 (32). More specifically, the ability of DJK-6 to make biofilms of KpC isolates susceptible to carbapenems could be a potential approach to treat serious infections caused by carbapenem-resistant strains. In particular, this combination has the potential to treat biofilms formed on body surfaces, since it was able to clear biofilms grown in flow cells. These results confirm that small cationic antibiofilm peptides constitute a promising approach to increase the potency of conventional antibiotics against biofilms formed by resistant strains (32). Recent studies also showed that LL-37-derived peptides were able to eradicate existing biofilms of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in thermally wounded human skin equivalents (42). Taken together, our results indicate that the combination of DJK-6 and meropenem could be a promising topical treatment strategy against infections caused by biofilms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R21AI098701 and by a grant from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research, MOP-74493. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. R.E.W.H. holds a Canada Research Chair in Health and Genomics. C.D.L.F.-N. received a scholarship from the Fundación "la Caixa" and Fundación Canadá (Spain). This work was also supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento (CNPq), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAPDF) and Fundação de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento do Ensino, Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul (FUN-DECT). S.M.R. and O.L.F. received scholarships from CAPES/CNPq/ Ciências em Fronteiras (Brazil).

Additionally, C.D.L.F.-N and R.E.W.H. are coinventors of a provisional patent application on the use of cationic antibiofilm and innate defense regulator (IDR) peptides (U.S. patent application no. 61/ 870,655).

REFERENCES

- 1. Podschun R, Ullmann U. 1998. *Klebsiella* spp. as nosocomial pathogens: epidemiology, taxonomy, typing methods, and pathogenicity factors. Clin Microbiol Rev 11:589–603.
- 2. Pagano L, Caira M, Trecarichi EM, Spanu T, Di Blasi R, Sica S, Sanguinetti M, Tumbarello M. 2014. Carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and hematologic malignancies. Emerg Infect Dis 20: 1235–1236. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2007.130094.
- Dai Y, Zhang C, Ma X, Chang W, Hu S, Jia H, Huang J, Lu H, Li H, Zhou S, Qiu G, Liu J. 2014. Outbreak of carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* neurosurgical site infections associated with a contaminated shaving razor used for preoperative scalp shaving. Am J Infect Control 42:805–806. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.03.023.
- Tuon FF, Rocha JL, Arend LN, Wallbach K, Zanin HA, Pilonetto M. 2013. Treatment and outcome of nine cases of KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* meningitis. J Infect 67:161–164. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j .jinf.2013.04.003.
- 5. van Duin D, Cober E, Richter SS, Perez F, Kalayjian RC, Salata RA, Evans S, Fowler VG, Kaye KS, Bonomo RA. 2015. Impact of therapy and strain type on outcomes in urinary tract infections caused by carbapenemresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Antimicrob Chemother **70**:1203–1211. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku495.
- Chatterjee S, Maiti P, Dey R, Kundu A, Dey R. 2014. Biofilms on indwelling urologic devices: microbes and antimicrobial management prospect. Ann Med Health Sci Res 4:100–104. http://dx.doi.org/10.4103 /2141-9248.126612.
- 7. Song C, Yu J, Ai Q, Liu D, Lu W, Lu Q, Peng N. 2013. Diversity analysis of biofilm bacteria on tracheal tubes removed from intubated neonates. Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi 51:602–606. (In Chinese.)
- Snitkin ES, Zelazny AM, Thomas PJ, Stock F, Henderson DK, Palmore TN, Segre JA. 2012. Tracking a hospital outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with whole-genome sequencing. Sci Transl Med 4:148ra116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3004129.
- Borer A, Saidel-Odes L, Riesenberg K, Eskira S, Peled N, Nativ R, Schlaeffer F, Sherf M. 2009. Attributable mortality rate for carbapenemresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteremia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 30:972–976. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/605922.
- McKenna M. 2013. Antibiotic resistance: the last resort. Nature 499:394– 396. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/499394a.
- Gasink LB, Edelstein PH, Lautenbach E, Synnestvedt M, Fishman NO. 2009. Risk factors and clinical impact of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae*. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 30: 1180–1185. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/648451.
- 12. Qureshi ZA, Paterson DL, Potoski BA, Kilayko MC, Sandovsky G, Sordillo E, Polsky B, Adams-Haduch JM, Doi Y. 2012. Treatment

outcome of bacteremia due to KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: superiority of combination antimicrobial regimens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **56**:2108–2113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.06268-11.

- 13. Hirsch EB, Tam VH. 2010. Detection and treatment options for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases (KPCs): an emerging cause of multidrug-resistant infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:1119–1125. http://dx.doi .org/10.1093/jac/dkq108.
- Spanu T, Angelis GD, Cipriani M, Pedruzzi B, D'Inzeo T, Cataldo MA, Sganga G, Tacconelli E. 2012. *In vivo* emergence of tigecycline resistance in multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:4516–4518. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC .00234-12.
- Kontopoulou K, Protonotariou E, Vasilakos K, Kriti M, Koteli A, Antoniadou E, Sofianou D. 2010. Hospital outbreak caused by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing KPC-2 β-lactamase resistant to colistin. J Hosp Infect 76:70–73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2010.03.021.
- Almaghrabi R, Clancy CJ, Doi Y, Hao B, Chen L, Shields RK, Press EG, Iovine NM, Townsend BM, Wagener MM, Kreiswirth B, Nguyen MH. 2014. Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains exhibit diversity in aminoglycoside modifying enzymes, which exert varying effects on plazomicin and other agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:4443– 4451. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00099-14.
- 17. de la Fuente-Núñez C, Reffuveille F, Fernández L, Hancock REW. 2013. Bacterial biofilm development as a multicellular adaptation: antibiotic resistance and new therapeutic strategies. Curr Opin Microbiol 16:580– 589. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2013.06.013.
- Malizos KN, Ioannou M. 2014. Bone-implant interface in biofilmassociated bone and joint infections, p 239–253. *In* Karachalios T (ed), Bone-implant interface in orthopedic surgery, 1st ed. Springer, London, England.
- Roy S, Elgharably H, Sinha M, Ganesh K, Chaney S, Mann E, Miller C, Khanna S, Bergdall VK, Powell HM, Cook CH, Gordillo GM, Wozniak DJ, Sen CK. 2014. Mixed-species biofilm compromises wound healing by disrupting epidermal barrier function. J Pathol 233:331–343. http://dx.doi .org/10.1002/path.4360.
- 20. Bjarnsholt T. 2013. The role of bacterial biofilms in chronic infections. APMIS Suppl 2013(136):1–51.
- 21. Lanter BB, Sauer K, Davies DG. 2014. Bacteria present in carotid arterial plaques are found as biofilm deposits which may contribute to enhanced risk of plaque rupture. mBio 5:e01206–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /mBio.01206-14.
- Jung C-J, Yeh C-Y, Shun C-T, Hsu R-B, Cheng H-W, Lin C-S, Chia J-S. 2012. Platelets enhance biofilm formation and resistance of endocarditisinducing streptococci on the injured heart valve. J Infect Dis 205:1066– 1075. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis021.
- Abidi SH, Sherwani SK, Siddiqui TR, Bashir A, Kazmi SU. 2013. Drug resistance profile and biofilm forming potential of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from contact lenses in Karachi-Pakistan. BMC Ophthalmol 13:57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2415-13-57.
- 24. Vandecandelaere I, Matthijs N, Van Nieuwerburgh F, Deforce D, Vosters P, De Bus L, Nelis HJ, Depuydt P, Coenye T. 2012. Assessment of microbial diversity in biofilms recovered from endotracheal tubes using culture dependent and independent approaches. PLoS One 7:e38401. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038401.
- Pour NK, Dusane DH, Dhakephalkar PK, Zamin FR, Zinjarde SS, Chopade BA. 2011. Biofilm formation by *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains isolated from urinary tract infection and urinary catheters. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 62:328–338. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X .2011.00818.x.
- Römling U, Kjelleberg S, Normark S, Nyman L, Uhlin BE, Åkerlund B. 2014. Microbial biofilm formation: a need to act. J Intern Med 276:98– 110. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/joim.12242.
- Fjell CD, Hiss JA, Hancock REW, Schneider G. 2012. Designing antimicrobial peptides: form follows function. Nat Rev Drug Discov 11:37–51. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrd3591.
- de la Fuente-Núñez C, Reffuveille F, Haney EF, Straus SK, Hancock REW. 2014. Broad-spectrum anti-biofilm peptide that targets a cellular stress response. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004152. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004152.
- 29. de la Fuente-Núñez C, Korolik V, Bains M, Nguyen U, Breidenstein EBM, Horsman S, Lewenza S, Burrows L, Hancock REW. 2012. Inhibition of bacterial biofilm formation and swarming motility by a small synthetic cationic peptide. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:2696–2704. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00064-12.

- Overhage J, Campisano A, Bains M, Torfs ECW, Rehm BHA, Hancock REW. 2008. Human host defense peptide LL-37 prevents bacterial biofilm formation. Infect Immun 76:4176–4182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00318-08.
- Reffuveille F, de la Fuente-Núñez C, Mansour S, Hancock REW. 2014. A broad-spectrum antibiofilm peptide enhances antibiotic action against bacterial biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:5363–5371. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03163-14.
- 32. de la Fuente-Núñez C, Reffuveille F, Mansour SC, Reckseidler-Zenteno SL, Hernández D, Brackman G, Coenye T, Hancock REW. 2015. D-Enantiomeric peptides that eradicate wild-type and multidrug-resistant biofilms and protect against lethal *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. Chem Biol 22:196–205. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2015.01.002.
- Abbott SL. 2011. Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Citrobacter, Serratia, Plesiomonas, and other Enterobacteriaceae, p 639–657. In Versalovic J, Carroll K, Funke G, Jorgensen J, Landry M, Warnock D (ed), Manual of clinical microbiology, 10th ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 34. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2012. Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria. Approved standard— 8th ed. CLSI document M11-A8. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 35. Anderson KF, Lonsway DR, Rasheed JK, Biddle J, Jensen B, McDougal LK, Carey RB, Thompson A, Stocker S, Limbago B, Patel JB. 2007. Evaluation of methods to identify the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase in Enterobacteriaceae. J Clin Microbiol 45:2723–2725. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00015-07.
- Yigit H, Queenan AM, Anderson GJ, Domenech-Sanchez A, Biddle JW, Steward CD, Alberti S, Bush K, Tenover FC. 2001. Novel carbapenem-

hydrolyzing beta-lactamase, KPC-1, from a carbapenem-resistant strain of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **45**:1151–1161. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.4.1151-1161.2001.

- Wiegand I, Hilpert K, Hancock REW. 2008. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. Nat Protoc 3:163–175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038 /nprot.2007.521.
- Hindré T, Brüggemann H, Buchrieser C, Héchard Y. 2008. Transcriptional profiling of *Legionella pneumophila* biofilm cells and the influence of iron on biofilm formation. Microbiology 154:30–41. http://dx.doi.org /10.1099/mic.0.2007/008698-0.
- Mulcahy H, Lewenza S. 2011. Magnesium limitation is an environmental trigger of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm lifestyle. PLoS One 6:e23307. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023307.
- Allan VJM, Callow ME, Macaskie LE, Paterson-Beedle M. 2002. Effect of nutrient limitation on biofilm formation and phosphatase activity of a Citrobacter sp. Microbiology 148:277–288.
- 41. Chen L, Chavda KD, Melano RG, Hong T, Rojtman AD, Jacobs MR, Bonomo RA, Kreiswirth BN. 2014. Molecular survey of the dissemination of two *bla*KPC-harboring IncFIA plasmids in New Jersey and New York hospitals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:2289–2294. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02749-13.
- 42. Haisma EM, Breij A de, Chan H, Dissel JT van, Drijfhout JW, Hiemstra PS, Ghalbzouri AE, Nibbering PH. 2014. LL-37-derived peptides eradicate multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from thermally wounded human skin equivalents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:4411–4419. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02554-14.