

Acute Methylenedioxypropylamphetamine Toxicity

Blake A. Froberg · Michael Levine · Michael C. Beuhler · Bryan S. Judge · Philip W. Moore · Kristin M. Engebretsen · Nathanael J. Mckeown · Christopher D. Rosenbaum · Amy C. Young · Daniel E. Rusyniak · On behalf of the ACMT Toxicology Investigators Consortium (ToxIC)

Published online: 3 December 2014
© American College of Medical Toxicology 2014

Abstract The objective of this study was to characterize the acute clinical effects, laboratory findings, complications, and disposition of patients presenting to the hospital after abusing synthetic cathinone. We conducted a retrospective multicenter case series of patients with synthetic cathinone abuse by searching for the terms bath salts, MDPV, methylenedioxypropylamphetamine, mephedrone, methcathinone, methylone, methedrone, and cathinone within the “agent” field of a national clinical toxicology database (ToxIC). The medical records of these patients were obtained and abstracted by investigators at each study site. Patients with confirmatory testing that identified a synthetic cathinone in either blood or urine were

included in the series. Patients who had either an undetectable synthetic cathinone test or no confirmatory testing were excluded. A data abstraction sheet was used to obtain information on each patient. We entered data into an Excel spreadsheet and calculated descriptive statistics. We identified 23 patients with confirmed synthetic cathinone exposure—all were positive for methylenedioxypropylamphetamine (MDPV). Eighty-three percent were male and 74 % had recreational intent. The most common reported clinical effects were tachycardia (74 %), agitation (65 %), and sympathomimetic syndrome (65 %). Acidosis was the most common laboratory abnormality (43 %). Seventy-eight percent of patients were treated with

Prior Presentations Preliminary data were presented at the 2012 Annual Meeting of the NACCT, October 6, 2012, Las Vegas, NV, USA.

B. A. Froberg
Departments of Pediatrics and Emergency Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

M. Levine
Department of Medical Toxicology, Banner Good Samaritan Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ, USA

M. Levine
Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

M. C. Beuhler
Department of Emergency Medicine, Carolinas Medical Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

B. S. Judge
Department of Emergency Medicine, Michigan State University College of Human Medicine, Grand Rapids, MI, USA

P. W. Moore
Department of Medicine, PinnacleHealth, Harrisburg, PA, USA

K. M. Engebretsen
Department of Emergency Medicine, Regions Hospital, St. Paul, MN, USA

N. J. Mckeown
Oregon Poison Center and Portland VA Medical Center, Portland, OR, USA

C. D. Rosenbaum
Department of Emergency Medicine, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

A. C. Young
Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Dallas, TX, USA

D. E. Rusyniak
Department of Emergency Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

B. A. Froberg (✉)
Pediatrics and Emergency Medicine, 705 Riley Hospital Drive, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA
e-mail: bfroberg@iupui.edu

benzodiazepines and 30 % were intubated. Ninety-six percent of patients were hospitalized and 87 % were admitted to the ICU. The majority (61 %) of patients was discharged home but 30 % required inpatient psychiatric care. There was one death in our series. The majority of patients presenting to the hospital after abusing MDPV have severe sympathomimetic findings requiring hospitalization. A number of these patients require inpatient psychiatric care after their acute presentation.

Keywords Toxicology · Poisons · Drug effects · Central nervous system stimulants · Street drugs · Designer drugs

Introduction

Structurally similar to amphetamine, cathinone, derived from the plant *Catha edulis* is widely abused by people in the Horn of Africa and the Arabian Peninsula [1]. Synthetic cathinone abuse has been reported in multiple countries including Germany [2], the UK [3, 4], and Finland [5]. In the early 1990s, methcathinone was the first reported synthetic cathinone with widespread recreational abuse in the USA [6]. While there continues to be some sporadic abuse of methcathinone in the USA, the abuse of other synthetic cathinones, often sold as “bath salts” has become epidemic. Synthetic cathinones were initially easy to purchase because distributors marketed them as “bath salts” and sold them with labels that stated “not for human consumption.” In 2011, legislation in the US was put in place in an attempt to reduce synthetic cathinone abuse, and these substances are currently classified as a schedule 1 drug. While there were few poison center calls prior to July 2010, by July 2011 poison centers were receiving greater than 20 calls per day regarding “bath salts” [7]. In the same year (2011), there were over 22,904 visits to the emergency department related to “bath salts” [8].

One of the synthetic cathinones that has been part of this recent surge in abuse in the US is methylenedioxypropylvalerone (MDPV) [9]. MDPV’s mechanism of action has been deduced from animal and in vitro studies as well as the mechanism of action of other cathinones and amphetamines [10, 11]. MDPV is predominately a dopamine and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor and to a lesser extent a serotonin reuptake inhibitor [12, 13]. MDPV use can result in severe clinical effects including psychosis, agitation, rhabdomyolysis, myocardial infarction, and death [14]. There are several case reports that describe hospitalized patients with detectable blood or urine MDPV concentrations [15–20] and several case reports and series that describe postmortem MDPV concentrations [14, 21–23]. There is a case series of two recreational MDPV users not in medical care with detectable MDPV concentrations [21]. A published study that utilizes the Poison Center data reports 11 patients with detectable MDPV serum concentrations, two patients with serum and urine MDPV concentrations, one patient with

detectable urine MDPV concentration, and an individual with a postmortem urine and serum MDPV concentration [9]. An additional study that utilizes the Poison Center data reports two individuals with postmortem MDPV concentration [24]. We utilized a prospective multicenter clinical toxicology registry (the ToxIC Registry) [25] to determine the most common effects and outcomes of patients with confirmed MDPV exposure.

Methods

This is a multicenter retrospective case series of patients presenting to medical care after a confirmed synthetic cathinone exposure. We identified cases using the ToxIC registry; [25] a registry of patients seen by medical toxicologists in the USA, Canada, and Israel. To enter patients into the ToxIC registry, clinicians use an online form to upload information related to six categories: demographics, encounter circumstances, agent, toxidrome, signs and symptoms, and treatment. Clinicians determine the substance that has caused the patient’s toxicity and enter that information into the “agent” section. Synthetic cathinone cases were identified in the ToxIC registry by searching the “agent” section with the terms: bath salts, cathinone, MDPV, methylenedioxypropylvalerone, mephedrone, methcathinone, methylone, and methedrone. The search terms were chosen based on a review of the published literature regarding synthetic cathinones that were being sold as “bath salts” in the USA. The search terms “bath salts” and cathinone were included to obtain any subjects that did not have confirmatory testing available at the time that they were entered in the ToxIC registry. Between January 5, 2010–January 5, 2012, we identified 126 cases from 14 sites. Each site was contacted, and ten sites agreed to participate in the study. All ten participating sites were located in the USA. Each site obtained IRB approval. After IRB approval, every site was sent a list of ToxIC code numbers for patients that matched a “bath salt” search term. Primary investigators at each site abstracted data from the patient’s medical records using a data collection form. The form consisted of nine sections: demographics, substance exposure, past medical history, clinical presentation, laboratory findings, medical complications, treatments, and disposition. Clinical presentation included the initial recorded vital signs and first recorded physical exam findings. Toxidromes were determined by recorded clinical exam findings and the interpretation of these findings by the abstracting medical toxicologist. Basic metabolic findings were the first obtained results within 4 h of presentation. Medical complications that occurred within 24 h of presentation were recorded. Treatments recorded were those given within 4 h of presentation. Vital signs and laboratory values were stratified as ranges. We defined confirmed cases as a patient with any of the following synthetic cathinones: MDPV, mephedrone, methcathinone, methylone,

or methedrone detected in the blood or urine. We defined a positive test as either the quantitative or qualitative detection of MDPV, mephedrone, methcathinone, methylone, or methedrone using either gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) or high-performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC/MS-MS). Patients were excluded if they did not undergo testing or if their testing did not detect a synthetic cathinone. Individual cases were entered into an Excel worksheet, and descriptive statistics were calculated.

Results

From the ten participating sites, 54 patients were identified from the ToxIC database and 54 data forms were completed. Twenty-seven patients had no confirmatory testing, and four patients had negative synthetic cathinone tests. This resulted in 23 data forms from patients with confirmed synthetic cathinone exposure. All 23 confirmatory tests were positive for MDPV. Results summarizing the demographics, clinical effects, laboratory findings, complications, treatment, and disposition are in Table 1. Table 2 summarizes clinical findings in those patients with quantitative MDPV concentrations. Details regarding MDPV tests and additional analytical drug testing are in Table 3.

The majority of the patients in this series were younger males using MDPV recreationally. A substantial percentage (39.1 %) had a previous history that included a psychiatric diagnosis. The nine patients with prior psychiatric history had the following diagnoses: depression and alcoholism, bipolar and depression and anxiety and posttraumatic stress disorder, depression and bipolar and Asperger's syndrome, cocaine abuse, bipolar and borderline personality disorder, and poly-substance abuse, and three patients with depression. Of the known routes of exposure, the most common route was nasal insufflation, although there were patients who ingested, injected, or inhaled MDPV as well. In 19 of the patient charts, MDPV was initially referred to as a "bath salt," in one chart "8-ball," in one chart "white lightning," and in one chart "MDPV." Thirteen of our patients were transferred from outside medical facilities. Ten patients had no co-exposure by history, one of these patients did not have additional analytical drug testing and the other nine did have additional analytical drug testing (Table 3).

Within the first 4 h of presentation, 13 of the patients received lorazepam, four patients received lorazepam and midazolam, one patient received midazolam, and one patient received diazepam. The smallest total dose that a patient received was 1 mg of lorazepam. The patient who received diazepam was given a total dose of 15 mg. The largest total dose of benzodiazepines that a patient received was 8 mg of lorazepam and 5 mg of midazolam. All five patients who were treated with antipsychotics were also treated with benzodiazepines.

Haloperidol, with doses from 2.5–5 mg, was the antipsychotic used in every patient except one, who received 20 mg of ziprasidone. Five patients were given a paralytic. Vecuronium or rocuronium were both used in two patients, and succinylcholine was given in one patient. All of the patients but one who received a paralytic were also given a benzodiazepine. One patient who was treated with a paralytic and was not treated with benzodiazepines received propofol and etomidate. None of the patients treated with a paralytic were treated with an antipsychotic.

One patient died in our series—a 40-year-old male who was injecting and insufflating MDPV for recreational use. He had no past medical history and no co-exposures. He presented with hyperthermia, tachycardia, hypertension, and sympathomimetic syndrome. Laboratory findings were acidosis, hyperkalemia, and elevated creatinine (Table 2). In the first 4 h, the patient received lorazepam, epinephrine, atropine, lidocaine, flumazenil, naloxone, dopamine, and phenylephrine. The patient suffered a cardiac arrest, was intubated, and died after 2 days in an ICU [18].

Discussion

The majority of patients with confirmed MDPV exposure presented with sympathomimetic and neuropsychiatric manifestations and a substantial percentage received inpatient medical care.

Similar to what others have reported, we found that the majority of our patients were young males using MDPV recreationally [9]. This is the demographic that has also been reported in early reports of other synthetic cathinones, such as mephedrone [26]. This finding is supported by other studies that have shown that young males are the most likely demographic to abuse illicit drugs [27]. The documented clinical symptoms from MDPV use were consistent with sympathomimetic effects with tachycardia being the most common finding. Blood pressure effects, however, were less evident; only 30 % had SBP >140 mmHg. These findings are consistent with other reports [28]. Tachycardia, hypertension, and agitation have also been reported with mephedrone abuse [26]. One of the most concerning manifestations of stimulant overdose is hyperthermia. In a previous study, patients presenting after substituted amphetamine use with a temperature of >104.9 °F had mortality rates of ~50 % [29]. While ours was a small case series, we did have one reported death and that occurred in a patient with a reported temperature of >104 °F.

Interestingly, three patients in our study presented with sedation. Sedation after "bath salt" use has previously been reported [24]. There are a few possibilities for this finding. One is that patients are presenting after catecholamines have

Table 1 Characteristics of MDPV-confirmed patients (*n*=23)

	Mean	Range
Demographics		
Age (years)	31	16–49
	<i>n</i>	Percentage
Male	19	82.6
Prior psychiatric history	9	39
Route of exposure		
Ingestion	3	13
Insufflation	8	34.8
Injection	2	8.7
Inhalation	2	8.7
Other (unknown)	8	34.8
Intent		
Recreational	17	73.9
Suicide	5	21.7
Unintentional	0	0
Unknown	0	0
Other	1	4.3
Clinical presentation		
Initial vitals		
	<i>n</i>	Percentage
Temp (°F)		
96.1–100	16	69.6
100.1–102	5	21.7
102.1–104	1	4.3
>104	1	4.3
Unknown	1	4.3
HR (bpm)		
<100	6	26.1
101–120	4	17.4
121–140	4	17.4
>140	9	39.1
SBP (mmHg)		
91–140	16	69.6
141–160	2	8.7
161–180	5	21.7
DBP (mmHg)		
50–90	16	69.6
91–100	3	13
>100	4	17.4
Physical exam		
Mydriasis	5	21.7
Clonus	1	4.3
Rigidity	0	0
Nystagmus	0	0
Mental status		
Agitation	15	65.2
Somnolence	3	13
Psychosis	2	8.7
Delirium	2	8.7
Other	1	4.3
Toxidrome		
Sympathomimetic	15	65.2

Table 1 (continued)

Sedative	3	8.7
Anticholinergic	1	4.3
Unknown	5	17.4
Laboratory (obtained within 4h of presentation)		
Basic metabolic profile		
	<i>n</i>	Percentage
Glucose (mg/dL)		
<80	1	4.3
80–150	19	82.6
>150	3	13
Sodium (mEq/L)		
<125	1	4.3
125–134	3	13
135–145	16	69.6
146–155	2	8.7
>155	1	4.3
Potassium (mEq/L)		
<3.0	1	4.3
3.0–3.4	5	21.7
3.5–5	15	65.2
5.1–6.5	1	4.3
>6.5	1	4.3
Bicarbonate (mmol/L)		
<15	2	8.7
15–21	8	34.8
22–29	12	52.2
Unknown	1	4.3
Creatinine (mg/dL)		
0.5–1.5	19	82.6
1.6–2.0	1	4.3
2.1–4.0	2	8.7
>4.0	1	4.3
CPK (U/L)	Median	Range
	432.5	62–90,168
Complications (within 24h of presentation)		
	<i>n</i>	Percentage
Myocardial infarction		
Seizure	0	0
CVA	0	0
Intubation		
Intubation	7	30.4
Intubation reason		
Agitation	4	17.4
Other	2	8.7
Multiple	1	4.3
Cardiac arrhythmia (other than sinus tachycardia)		
None	20	87
Bradycardia	2	8.7
SVT	1	4.3
Treatments (within 4h of presentation)		
	<i>n</i>	Percentage
Benzodiazepines		
	18	78.3
Antipsychotics		
	5	21.7
Paralytics		
	5	21.7
Cooling		
	1	4.3
Disposition		
	<i>n</i>	Percentage
Hospital admission		
	22	95.7
ICU admission		
	20	87
	Median	Range
Hospital LOS (days)		
	2	0-20
ICU LOS (days)		
	1	1-12
Final disposition		
	<i>n</i>	Percentage
Home		
	14	60.9
Psychiatric unit		
	7	30.4
Jail		
	1	4.3
Death		
	1	4.3

been depleted; a phenomenon previously reported in cocaine users called “wash-out syndrome” [30]. Another possibility for sedation could be the co-exposure of a central nervous system depressant. All three patients in our study had additional substances detected on analytical drug screen. One patient did not have any sedating drugs detected and was only positive for caffeine. Another patient was positive for hydroxyzine, quetiapine, and lamotrigine, all of which could have contributed to sedation. This patient was also described as anticholinergic, which would be more likely secondary to the hydroxyzine and/or quetiapine ingestion than to MDPV. The third patient was positive for dextromethorphan, doxylamine, codeine, and morphine, all of which could contribute to sedation.

Acidosis, hypokalemia, and hyperglycemia were all observed in some of our patients. Collectively, these laboratory abnormalities are similar to what has been reported with adrenergic stimulation from other substances such as epinephrine [31] and methylxanthines [32]. In contrast to what would be expected with most stimulant drugs, one of our patients in this series developed hypoglycemia. The patient with hypoglycemia had no history of diabetes, no known co-exposures, and presented with psychosis but with no other signs or symptoms consistent with adrenergic stimulation. Along with hypoglycemia, the patient also had mild acidosis, an elevated creatinine phosphokinase (CPK), an elevated lactate, and a detectable urine acetone. The findings of hypoglycemia, urine ketones, and elevated lactate would be consistent with laboratory findings during a nutritionally deficient state. Hypoglycemia has also been reported in other cases of MDPV exposure [17, 33]. It is not known whether hypoglycemia is related to drug effect, a consequence of multiorgan failure, or the nutritional status of the patient. We observed both hypernatremia and hyponatremia in our patients. The lowest sodium in our series was <125 meq/L; this has also been previously reported with cathinone ingestion [34]. While a proposed mechanism of hyponatremia could be syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion, the cause was never identified in our patient. The highest sodium in our

series was >155 meq/L. It was not apparent in our study if this hypernatremia was due to dehydration or another cause. Interestingly, both the severe hypernatremic and hyponatremic patients also had rhabdomyolysis. Similar to other MDPV cases, some of our patients had laboratory evidence of renal injury [17]. Two patients with evidence of renal injury had normal CPKs on presentation. One patient with evidence of renal injury and normal CPK had a previous diagnosis of end-stage renal disease; the other patient had no previous medical history of renal disease. The patient with the most severe renal injury also presented with the highest CPK. MDPV may have direct renal toxicity or perhaps this is due to secondary causes such as rhabdomyolysis, ischemia, or dehydration. Two patients had CPKs of >5000 IU/L at presentation and an additional two developed rhabdomyolysis during hospitalization. Thirteen of the 23 patients had CPKs that were above 300 IU/L. Rhabdomyolysis has been previously reported after MDPV use [18].

Similar to what others have noted, MDPV does not cause a positive amphetamine result on analytical drug screens [35]. MDPV has been reported to cause a false positive phencyclidine (PCP) on a urine drug screen [36]. In our series, two patients tested positive for PCP on urine drug screen done by EIA but neither tested positive for PCP by GC/MS. In six patients with quantitative results, we did not find a correlation between the concentration of MDPV and patient outcome. This lack of correlation may be due to the timing of laboratory sampling in relation to use, underlying medical conditions, co-exposures, or other factors.

As in other reported cases, the majority of our patients (78 %) were treated with benzodiazepines and, in a few cases, antipsychotics [9]. Benzodiazepines are often the first-line therapy after stimulant toxicity, so their use as therapy after MDPV exposure is expected. The use of antipsychotics to control symptoms is not surprising considering the previous reports of psychosis after MDPV exposure and the reported neuropsychiatric symptoms in our patients. Ten of the 18 patients who received benzodiazepine were also treated with either an antipsychotic or paralytic. This may indicate that the

Table 2 Clinical findings in patients with quantitative MDPV concentrations

Patient no.	Urine (ng/mL)	Blood (ng/mL)	Clinical findings
1	3100	N/A ^a	Sympathomimetic, intubated secondary to agitation, 12 days of ICU hospitalization
2	120	89	Sympathomimetic, intubated secondary to agitation, rhabdomyolysis and myocardial infarction, 20 days of hospitalization
3	1000	N/A	Somnolence, 1 day of ICU hospitalization
4	670	82	Sympathomimetic, intubated after cardiac arrest, 2 days of ICU hospitalization, death
5	2400	72	Sympathomimetic, 2 days of hospitalization with 1 day in ICU
6	509	<10	Sympathomimetic, SVT, 2 days of hospitalization with 1 day in ICU

N/A^a = not obtained

Table 3 Analytical drug testing

Patient	MDPV test information	MDPV result	Drug screen information	Drug screen result	Reported co-exposure by history	Medications given in first 4 h of hospitalization
1	Urine, HPLC-MS/MS, NMS Lab	3100 ng/mL	Urine, GC/MS, comprehensive drug panel 1, AIT Laboratories	Lorazepam, acetaminophen, ibuprofen, lidocaine, midazolam, diphenhydramine, zolpidem, caffeine, nicotine	None	Diphenhydramine
2	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	N/A	Not done	None	None
3	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Nicotine, caffeine, ibuprofen, hydroxyzine, lamotrigine, quetiapine, polyethylene glycol	Quetiapine	Lorazepam, succinylcholine, fentanyl, thiamine
4	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Nicotine, cotinine, caffeine	None	Midazolam, lorazepam, rocuronium, cefipime, vancomycin, fentanyl, propofol
5	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Nicotine, cotinine, caffeine, polyethylene glycol	None	Midazolam, lorazepam
6	Urine, HPLC-MS/MS NMS Lab Blood, HPLC-MS/MS NMS Lab	120 ng/mL, 89 ng/mL	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Caffeine, hydrocodone, benzodiazepine, propofol	None	Midazolam, vecuronium, acetaminophen
7	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Acetone, cannabinoid, nicotine, cotinine	None	Lorazepam
8	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Caffeine, nicotine, cotinine, dextromethorphan, doxylamine, codeine, morphine, trimethoprim	Alprazolam, quetiapine	Piperacillin-tazobactam, magnesium, naloxone
9	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Acetone, cannabinoid, nicotine, cotinine	Synthetic cannabinoid	Lorazepam
10	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Caffeine, propofol	Synthetic cannabinoid	Midazolam, lorazepam, vecuronium
11	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Acetone, nicotine, caffeine	None	Diazepam, thiamine
12	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Lidocaine, benzodiazepine, olanzapine	Alprazolam, olanzapine	Lorazepam, ziprasidone
13	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Caffeine, doxylamine, acetaminophen, nicotine, cotinine	Synthetic cannabinoid, caffeine	Lorazepam, acetaminophen
14	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Acetone, quetiapine, citalopram, nicotine, cotinine, caffeine	Quetiapine, citalopram	None
15	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Caffeine, cotinine, nicotine, polyethylene glycol	Synthetic cannabinoid	Lorazepam, haloperidol
16	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Benzodiazepine	Alprazolam	Lorazepam, haloperidol

Table 3 (continued)

Patient	MDPV test information	MDPV result	Drug screen information	Drug screen result	Reported co-exposure by history	Medications given in first 4 h of hospitalization
17	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Benzodiazepine, meprobamate, caffeine, acetone, polyethylene glycol	None	Midazolam, lorazepam
18	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Positive	Urine, GC/MS, Sonora Quest Lab	Cannabinoids, acetone, nicotine, cotinine, propofol, acetaminophen, diphenhydramine, caffeine	Synthetic cannabinoid	Rocuronium, propofol, etomidate
19	Urine, HPLC-MS/MS, NMS Lab	1000 ng/mL	Urine, GC/MS, NMS Lab	Caffeine	Synthetic cannabinoid	Lorazepam
20	Urine, HPLC-MS/MS, NMS Lab Blood, HPLC-MS/MS, NMS Lab	670 ng/mL, 82 ng/mL	Urine, GC/MS, NMS Lab Blood, GC/MS, NMS Lab	Urine: opiates, acetaminophen, atropine, cotinine, lidocaine, trimethoprim, Blood: acetaminophen, caffeine, cotinine, lidocaine, trimethoprim	None	Lorazepam, clindamycin, epinephrine, atropine, lidocaine, flumazenil, naloxone, dopamine, phenylephrine
21	Urine, HPLC-MS/MS, NMS Lab Blood, HPLC-MS/MS, NMS Lab	2400 ng/mL, 72 ng/mL	Urine, GC/MS, NMS Lab Blood, GC/MS, NMS Lab	Urine: benzocaine, caffeine, diphenhydramine, ropivacaine, theophylline, theobromine, blood: caffeine	Caffeine	Lorazepam, haloperidol
22	Urine, GC/MS, spectrum Health Regional Toxicology Lab	Positive	Urine, EIA, Spectrum Health Regional Toxicology Lab	Caffeine, nicotine, cannabinoids, phencyclidine *confirmation negative for phencyclidine	Cannabinoid	Lorazepam, haloperidol
23	Blood, HPLC-MS/MS, Atlantic Diagnostic Lab	509 ng/mL	Urine, EIA, Harrisburg Hospital Lab	Benzodiazepine, opiate, phencyclidine *confirmation negative for phencyclidine	None	Lorazepam

symptoms after MDPV exposure are difficult to control with benzodiazepines alone.

A variety of cardiac complications were noted after MDPV exposure. Three patients developed myocardial ischemia diagnosed by an elevation in troponin; none of these patients had ST segment elevation on EKGs. All three of these patients were positive for caffeine on analytical drug screening; no other stimulants were detected. One patient with elevated troponin had no previous medical history, one patient had a history of hypertension, and one patient had a history of end-stage renal disease, sickle cell anemia, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and congestive heart failure. Two patients had QTc durations of >500 msec, and one patient had QRS duration of >100 msec. One of the patients with a prolonged QTc reported ingesting quetiapine, which is known to cause QTc prolongation; however, the comprehensive UDS did not detect quetiapine. The other patient with prolonged QTc did not have any known co-exposures, but the comprehensive UDS detected doxylamine and trimethoprim, both of which can prolong the QT interval. The patient with the wide QRS duration was also the patient who developed cardiac arrest and died. It cannot be concluded from our data whether MDPV has a propensity to cause changes to the QRS or QTc intervals. There was one patient in our series who developed supraventricular tachycardia (SVT). This patient did not have any known underlying cardiac pathology and did not report any co-exposures or test positive for any other stimulants on urine drug screen. Although SVT has been reported with other stimulants [37, 38], this is the first report of SVT associated with MDPV exposure.

None of the patients in this series developed seizures after MDPV exposure. To our knowledge, there is a single case report of a patient with confirmed MDPV exposure who had a reported seizure prior to hospitalization. The details of the seizure in this case report are not clear regarding who reported the seizure and how the seizure was substantiated. There are two review articles that focus on the “bath salt” MDPV and include a table that lists seizures as a complication from “bath salts”. These two articles do not reference any specific cases of “bath salt”-associated seizures [35, 39]. There are case reports of patients with synthetic cathinone exposure other than MDPV who have had seizures, such as mephedrone [26, 40] and in one case ethcathinone and methylone [34]. A study that utilized the American Association of Poison Control Centers databases, found that 5.5 % of pediatric synthetic cathinone exposures were associated with seizures [41]. This study does not specify which synthetic cathinones are associated with the seizures, and the patients in this study do not have laboratory confirmation of exposure. It is possible that MDPV toxicity does not cause seizures or is less likely to cause seizures than other synthetic cathinones like mephedrone. However, it is also possible that seizures can occur after MDPV exposure but were not seen in a case series of our size.

All of the patients in this study except one were admitted to the hospital and most were admitted to the ICU. This likely reflects the severity of MDPV intoxication. Of those patients who were hospitalized, a substantial percentage (30.4 %) went on to receive inpatient psychiatric care. Four of the patients who were transferred to inpatient psychiatric facilities had a previous history of depression and had suicidal intent with their MDPV exposure. One patient had no known psychiatric history but did have suicidal intent. Two patients had no known psychiatric history and were abusing MDPV recreationally. It remains unclear if the suicidal intent and psychiatric disposition with MDPV use is secondary to underlying psychiatric condition; MDPV-induced psychiatric illness or a combination of both. Other case series have also reported serious psychiatric symptoms associated with synthetic cathinone use [9, 24]. The synthetic cathinone mephedrone has been associated with self-harm as well as high-risk behaviors [42].

Our study has several limitations. The search terms used to query the database were chosen based on previous literature; therefore, newer and less common synthetic cathinones were not searched for in this study. As with all retrospective chart reviews, we were limited to studying the documented effects and were reliant on the accuracy of the documentation. Since all the participating sites were large academic medical centers with medical toxicologists, the study also suffers from a referral bias leading to possible inclusion of a population with more severe illness. It is difficult to control for co-exposures and some of our patients may have had exposure to other substances besides MDPV that altered their clinical presentation and medical course. Twenty-two of the 23 patients in our study had analytical drug screens done to detect co-exposures. All 22 patients had at least one additional substance detected (Table 3). While co-exposure is a limitation, this is a phenomenon that has been reported in a previous case series of synthetic cathinone abuse [26]. It is possible that some of the patients we excluded had taken synthetic cathinones that were not detected by confirmatory tests. Some of the synthetic cathinone tests may not have had the ability to detect all substances that were abused as synthetic cathinones. It is also unclear how long MDPV is detectable in urine, and therefore some of the patients with a positive test may have had MDPV exposure unrelated to their acute presentation. Patients who had confirmatory MDPV testing done may be sicker than those that did not have confirmatory testing sent, adding selection bias for a population with more severe symptoms. Although all the MDPV testing was done by either GC/MS or by HPLC/MS-MS, not all of the testing was done in the same laboratory. The additional analytical drug testing was also performed using GC/MS in 20 of the 23 patients but was also done at several different laboratories.

Three of the patients included in this study have been previously reported as case reports. [18–20] This is similar to other studies that utilize a database, such as the national poison center database, which includes patients that may be reported as case reports in other literature sources.

Conclusions

Patients using MDPV commonly present with sympathomimetic and neuropsychiatric symptoms. The clinical effects of MDPV are expected based on the proposed mechanism of action and the known effects of similar substances. One surprising finding was that none of our patients had seizures. This observation is in contrast to other synthetic cathinones like mephedrone, which has been associated with seizures. Patients who present with somnolence after MDPV abuse should be evaluated for co-exposure. Clinicians will need to rely on the patient history and clinical presentation to diagnose MDPV toxicity, as it is not detected by routine drug tests. Further research will continue to define the unique and similar characteristics of MDPV compared to other stimulants. The abuse of MDPV and other synthetic cathinones is an international problem that will continue to require collaboration to define and address.

Conflict of Interest None.

References

- Nencini P, Ahmed AM. Khat consumption: a pharmacological review. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 1989;23(1):19–29.
- Westphal F, Junge T, Rosner P, Sonnichsen F, Schuster F. Mass and NMR spectroscopic characterization of 3,4-methylenedioxypropylvalerone: a designer drug with alphapyrrolidinophenone structure. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2009;190(1–3):1–8.
- Brandt SD, Sumnall HR, Measham F, Cole J. Analyses of second-generation ‘legal highs’ in the UK: initial findings. *Drug Test Anal.* 2010;2(8):377–82.
- Dargan PI, Albert S, Wood DM. Mephedrone use and associated adverse effects in school and college/university students before the UK legislation change. *QJM.* 2010;103(11):875–9.
- Ojanpera IA, Heikman PK, Rasanen IJ. Urine analysis of 3,4-methylenedioxypropylvalerone in opioid-dependent patients by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. *Ther Drug Monit.* 2011;33(2):257–63.
- Emerson TS, Cisek JE. Methcathinone: a Russian designer amphetamine infiltrates the rural midwest. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1993;22(12):1897–903.
- Bronstein AC, Spyker DA, Cantilena Jr LR, Green JL, Rumack BH, Dart RC. 2010 Annual Report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers’ National Poison Data System (NPDS): 28th Annual Report. *Clin Toxicol (Phila).* 2011;49(10):910–41.
- DAWN. “Bath salts” were involved in over 20,000 drug-related emergency department visits in 2011/2013. Sept. 17, 2013.
- Spiller HA, Ryan ML, Weston RG, Jansen J. Clinical experience with and analytical confirmation of “bath salts” and “legal highs” (synthetic cathinones) in the United States. *Clin Toxicol (Phila).* 2011;49(6):499–505.
- Aarde SM, Huang PK, Creehan KM, Dickerson TJ, Taffe MA. The novel recreational drug 3,4-methylenedioxypropylvalerone (MDPV) is a potent psychomotor stimulant: self-administration and locomotor activity in rats. *Neuropharmacology.* 2013;71:130–40.
- Brenneisen R, Fisch HU, Koelbing U, Geissshusler S, Kalix P. Amphetamine-like effects in humans of the khat alkaloid cathinone. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 1990;30(6):825–8.
- Simmler LD, Wandeler R, Liechti ME. Bupropion, methylphenidate, and 3,4-methylenedioxypropylvalerone antagonize methamphetamine-induced efflux of dopamine according to their potencies as dopamine uptake inhibitors: implications for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence. *BMC Res Notes.* 2013;6:220.
- Baumann MH, Partilla JS, Lehner KR, et al. Powerful cocaine-like actions of 3,4-methylenedioxypropylvalerone (MDPV), a principal constituent of psychoactive ‘bath salts’ products. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2013;38(4):552–62.
- Emergency department visits after use of a drug sold as “bath salts”—Michigan, November 13, 2010–March 31, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011;60(19):624–7.
- Kesha K, Boggs CL, Ripple MG, et al. Methylenedioxypropylvalerone (“bath salts”), related death: case report and review of the literature. *J Forensic Sci.* 2013;58(6):1654–9.
- Thomton SL, Gerona RR, Tomaszewski CA. Psychosis from a bath salt product containing flephedrone and MDPV with serum, urine, and product quantification. *J Med Toxicol.* 2012;8(3):310–3.
- Borek HA, Holstege CP. Hyperthermia and multiorgan failure after abuse of “bath salts” containing 3,4-methylenedioxypropylvalerone. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2012;60(1):103–5.
- Murray BL, Murphy CM, Beuhler MC. Death following recreational use of designer drug “bath salts” containing 3,4-methylenedioxypropylvalerone (MDPV). *J Med Toxicol.* 2012;8(1):69–75.
- Mugele J, Nanagas KA, Tormoehlen LM. Serotonin syndrome associated with MDPV use: a case report. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2012;60(1):100–2.
- Levine M, Levitan R, Skolnik A. Compartment syndrome after “bath salts” use: a case series. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2013;61(4):480–3.
- Adamowicz P, Gil D, Skulska A, Tokarczyk B. Analysis of MDPV in blood—determination and interpretation. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2013;37(5):308–12.
- Wright TH, Cline-Parhamovich K, Lajoie D, Parsons L, Dunn M, Ferslew KE. Deaths involving methylenedioxypropylvalerone (MDPV) in Upper East Tennessee. *J Forensic Sci.* 2013;58(6):1558–62.
- Wyman JF, Lavins ES, Engelhart D, et al. Postmortem tissue distribution of MDPV following lethal intoxication by “bath salts”. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2013;37(3):182–5.
- Warrick BJ, Hill M, Hekman K, et al. A 9-state analysis of designer stimulant, “bath salt”, hospital visits reported to poison control centers. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2013;62(3):244–51.
- Wax PM, Kleinschmidt KC, Brent J. The Toxicology Investigators Consortium (ToxIC) Registry. *J Med Toxicol.* 2011;7(4):259–65.
- Wood DM, Greene SL, Dargan PI. Clinical pattern of toxicity associated with the novel synthetic cathinone mephedrone. *Emerg Med J.* 2011;28(4):280–2.
- Schulden JD, Lopez MF, Compton WM. Clinical implications of drug abuse epidemiology. *Psychiatr Clin N Am.* 2012;35(2):411–23.
- Young AC, Schwarz ES, Velez LI, Gardner M. Two cases of disseminated intravascular coagulation due to “bath salts” resulting in fatalities, with laboratory confirmation. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2013;31(2):445 e3–5.

29. Gowing LR, Henry-Edwards SM, Irvine RJ, Ali RL. The health effects of ecstasy: a literature review. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2002;21(1):53–63.
30. Roberts JR, Greenberg MI. Cocaine washout syndrome. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;132(8):679–80.
31. Hall AH, Kulig KW, Rumack BH. Intravenous epinephrine abuse. *Am J Emerg Med.* 1987;5(1):64–5.
32. Benowitz NL, Osterloh J, Goldschlager N, Kaysen G, Pond S, Forhan S. Massive catecholamine release from caffeine poisoning. *JAMA.* 1982;248(9):1097–8.
33. Falgiani M, Desai B, Ryan M. “Bath salts” intoxication: a case report. *Case Rep Emerg Med.* 2012;2012:976314.
34. Boulanger-Gobeil C, St-Onge M, Laliberte M, Auger PL. Seizures and hyponatremia related to ethcathinone and methylone poisoning. *J Med Toxicol.* 2012;8(1):59–61.
35. Ross EA, Reisfield GM, Watson MC, Chronister CW, Goldberger BA. Psychoactive “bath salts” intoxication with methylenedioxypropylone. *Am J Med.* 2012;125(9):854–8.
36. Macher AM, Penders TM. False-positive phencyclidine immunoassay results caused by 3,4-methylenedioxypropylone (MDPV). *Drug Test Anal.* 2013;5(2):130–2.
37. Turnipseed SD, Richards JR, Kirk JD, Diercks DB, Amsterdam EA. Frequency of acute coronary syndrome in patients presenting to the emergency department with chest pain after methamphetamine use. *J Emerg Med.* 2003;24(4):369–73.
38. Chakko S, Sepulveda S, Kessler KM, et al. Frequency and type of electrocardiographic abnormalities in cocaine abusers (electrocardiogram in cocaine abuse). *Am J Cardiol.* 1994;74(7):710–3.
39. Ross EA, Watson M, Goldberger B. “Bath salts” intoxication. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(10):967–8.
40. Wood DM, Davies S, Greene SL, et al. Case series of individuals with analytically confirmed acute mephedrone toxicity. *Clin Toxicol (Phila).* 2010;48(9):924–7.
41. Benzie F, Hekman K, Cameron L, Wade DR, Miller C, Smolinske S, Warrick B. Seizures associated with synthetic cathinone exposures in the pediatric population. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2014;51(1):67–70.
42. Schifano F, Corkery J, Ghodse AH. Suspected and confirmed fatalities associated with mephedrone (4-methylmethcathinone, “meow meow”) in the United Kingdom. *J Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2012;32(5):710–4.