Nuclear DNA Content Varies with Cell Size across Human Cell Types

James F. Gillooly, Andrew Hein, and Rachel Damiani

Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611 *Correspondence:* gillooly@ufl.edu

Variation in the size of cells, and the DNA they contain, is a basic feature of multicellular organisms that affects countless aspects of their structure and function. Within humans, cell size is known to vary by several orders of magnitude, and differences in nuclear DNA content among cells have been frequently observed. Using published data, here we describe how the quantity of nuclear DNA across 19 different human cell types increases with cell volume. This observed increase is similar to intraspecific relationships between DNA content and cell volume in other species, and interspecific relationships between diploid genome size and cell volume. Thus, we speculate that the quantity of nuclear DNA content in somatic cells of humans is perhaps best viewed as a distribution of values that reflects cell size distributions, rather than as a single, immutable quantity.

ur understanding of the complexity of multicellular organisms, and the diverse cells of which they are comprised, has dramatically increased over the past several decades. Yet, we still lack an understanding of some of the most basic features of the cells that constitute multicellular organisms. For example, the number of different cell types in an organism, or the rate at which different cells grow, divide, and die, remain poorly understood (see Niklas 2015). But perhaps most important, we lack an understanding of the size and abundance of cells that constitute an organism (see Amodeo and Skotheim 2015). Cell size, in particular, affects virtually all structural and functional attributes of multicellular organisms, from the molecular level to the whole organism level.

One key feature of organisms that may vary with cell size is the amount of nuclear DNA.

Across species, genome size has long been known to correlate positively with cell and nuclear volume (Price et al. 1973; Szarski 1976; Olmo 1983). But within species, too, the nuclear DNA content of somatic cells has been shown in a few instances to increase with cell size in species such as *Daphnia* (Beaton and Hebert 1989) and *Arabidopsis* (Jovtchev et al. 2006). Such increases in nuclear DNA content can have important consequences for cell function, in general, and gene expression, in particular (Hancock et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2009; De Veylder et al. 2011; Marguerat and Bähler 2012).

In the case of humans, substantial differences in DNA content have been observed in many human cell types. Indeed, since Watson and Crick described the structure of DNA, studies of healthy human tissues have reported the presence of polyploid cells (Winkelmann et al.

Editors: Rebecca Heald, Iswar K. Hariharan, and David B. Wake

Additional Perspectives on Size Control in Biology: From Organelles to Organisms available at www.cshperspectives.org

Copyright © 2015 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; all rights reserved; doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a019091 Cite this article as *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2015;7:a019091

1987; Biesterfeld et al. 1994). The cell types in which this has been observed appear to have little in common, except that they are generally stable, fully differentiated cells (Winkelmann et al. 1987). Still, these observations have done little to change the traditional view that all healthy somatic cells in the human body hold the same characteristic quantity of DNA (\sim 7 billion base pairs) based on the long-standing principle of DNA constancy (Mirsky and Ris 1949). Deviations from the diploid quantity of DNA in humans, like other animals, are still often viewed as exceptional, tissue-specific, or indicative of pathology. A more synthetic view of differences in nuclear DNA content across human cell types may provide some clarity on these and other issues.

In this review, we compile and analyze published data to examine the extent to which nuclear DNA content varies across diverse human cell types, and whether such variation is correlated with cell size. We then compare these results with previously reported relationships between nuclear DNA content and cell size within four other species. Finally, we compare these results with the relationships between diploid genome size and cell size observed across species in several broad taxonomic groups. These analyses suggest that systematic variation in nuclear DNA content is a more ubiquitous phenomenon in human cells than was previously appreciated. However, as we later discuss, the mechanisms underlying these patterns remain in question.

THE RELATIONSHIP OF NUCLEAR DNA CONTENT TO CELL SIZE IN HUMANS

Methodology

Our analysis for this work used published data from healthy human cell populations representing 19 different cell types, as designated in the original studies (data provided in Table 1). In the original studies, DNA content was estimated using the Feulgen staining method, and the size of cells or cell nuclei were directly measured. Feulgen staining (Feulgen and Rosenbeck 1942) has been the most widely used method for estimating DNA content for several decades, and is still generally considered a reliable method for making quantitative measurements of DNA content (Chieco and Derenzini 1999; Biesterfeld et al. 2011). Measurement errors are typically <5% using this method (Gregory 2005), which for our analyses is negligible given the orders of magnitude variation in DNA content among the cells we consider. The method works by staining DNA owing to the reaction of Schiff or pseudo-Schiff reagents with aldehydes, which are converted from deoxyribose in DNA after HCl hydrolysis (Chieco and Derenzini 1999). The light absorbance of the stained genetic material is then measured to quantify the relative DNA content of cells.

In cases in which the relative content of DNA was originally expressed in "arbitrary units" in the original studies, we converted these measures to pg DNA given that the Feulgen staining method results in a linear relationship between light absorbance and DNA content (Biesterfeld et al. 2011). In each case, a specific conversion factor was used for each cell type based on the observed relationship between light absorbance and DNA content in the particular study (see Table 1). This was done to avoid any bias associated with differences in DNA compaction level or uptake of stain across cell types. The conversions used for each cell type are given in Table 1.

Finally, in many studies considered here, only the population means of cell size and DNA content were reported. Thus, to remain consistent, for those studies that reported individual cell measurements, we calculated mean values and used these in the analyses. So, all points shown in the figures for humans represent means of DNA content and cell size from cell populations. In Table 1, we give all values for cell size and DNA content reported in the original sources, both population mean values and individual cell values. In the majority of cases, cell volumes were not directly reported, so we estimated these volumes from measures of nuclear size based on a published relationship between nuclear volume and cell volume across human cell types (see Table 1 for further details of methodology and data) (Swanson et al. 1991).



Figure 1. Mean DNA content for cell populations. (*A*) Mean nuclear DNA content versus cell volume for healthy human cell populations from 19 cell types $(\log_{10} [DNA]) = -1.3 + 0.74 \log_{10} [cell volume]$, $r^2 = 0.81$; data in Table 1). The characteristic diploid human cell contains 7 pg DNA. (*B*) Relationship between DNA content and cell size in humans (blue) in comparison to previously reported relationships in the rat (*Rattus norvegicus*, $\log_{10} [DNA] = -1.3 + 0.77 \log_{10} [cell volume]$ [Heizer 1955]), frog (*Pseudacris obscura*, $\log_{10} [DNA] = -0.6 + 0.57 \log_{10} [cell volume]$ [Bachmann et al. 1966]), crustacean (*Daphnia pulex*, $\log_{10} [DNA] = -2.3 + 0.87 \log_{10} [cell volume]$ [Beaton and Hebert 1989]), and plant (*Arabidopsis thalania*, $\log_{10} [DNA] = -3.1 + 1.1 \log_{10} [cell volume]$ [Jovtchev et al. 2006]). Lines were fitted to data for nonhumans using ordinary least-squares regression. The human data shown here are the same as those shown in *A*.

We evaluated the relationship between mean nuclear DNA content (pg) and cell volume (μ^3) using a linear mixed model of the form log₁₀ $(DNA) = a + b \log_{10} (cell volume) + C + D$ + E, where a and b are regression coefficients, C and D represent random effects of cell type (random slope and intercept, respectively), and E represents residual errors (Pinheiro and Bates 2000). This statistical model accounts for within-group autocorrelation, allowing us to include cells from multiple cell types in a single analysis. To determine whether DNA content was correlated with cell volume within cell types, we used ordinary least-squares regression. We verified normality of residuals using quantile-quantile plots.

Results

Our analyses of these data indicate that the amount of DNA in human cells varies systematically with cell size among cell types, such that larger cells contain substantially more DNA (Fig. 1A) (mean log₁₀ [DNA] = -1.4 + 0.77log₁₀ [cell volume], $r^2 = 0.82$, $F_{1, 175} = 36.6$, $P < 1 \times 10^{-9}$). Figure 1A shows that the mean DNA content for cell populations varies from 1.8 to 68.6 pg across cell types ranging from haploid sperm to polyploid megakaryocytes. For 17 of the 19 cell types shown in this relationship, cell volume was calculated based on some measure of nucleus size. Without converting to cell volume, we still observed a significant, positive



Figure 2. Relationship between mean nuclear DNA content and mean cell size in diploid and polyploid human cells by cell type for data shown in Figure 1. Each point represents mean cell size and DNA content from all cell populations of a given cell type.

relationship between nuclear volume and DNA content among these cell types (log₁₀ [DNA, pg] = -0.25 + 0.54 log₁₀ [nuclear volume, μ^3], $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-10}$). For the two remaining cell types in which we had direct measures of cell diameter (cardiomyocytes and megakaryocytes), we also observed a significant positive relationship between DNA content and cell volume (log₁₀ [DNA] = -0.71 + 0.52 log₁₀ [cell volume], $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-10}$).

When DNA content and cell volume were averaged over all cells for each cell type, we observed a similar relationship between mean DNA content and cell volume (Fig. 2) (mean \log_{10} [DNA] = $-1.3 + 0.72 \log_{10}$ [cell volume], $r^2 = 0.82$, $F_{1,17} = 86.8$, $P = 4.3 \times 10^{-8}$). Note, however, that the range in DNA content of individual cells from these populations was even greater, as one would expect. At one extreme, the largest megakaryocytes contained 448 pg of DNA (see Royere et al. 1988 in Table 1). This indicates that these cells have likely undergone six genome duplication events from their diploid state. Moreover, within cell types, the same correlations between nuclear DNA content and cell volume were observed in five of the six cases in which mean cell volume varied by a factor of 5 or more (megakaryocytes, hepatocytes, lymphocytes, hepatic parenchymal cells, and amnion epithelial cells). In these five cases, DNA content was positively correlated with cell volume (ordinary least-squares [OLS] regression, all $P \le 1.6 \times 10^{-9}$). In the case of cardiomyocytes, however, we did not observe a statistically significant correlation (P = 0.1).

RELATIONSHIP OF NUCLEAR DNA CONTENT TO CELL SIZE: HUMANS COMPARED WITH OTHER SPECIES

Methodology

To determine whether the relationships between cell size and DNA content were similar in humans and in other species, we used data from the few studies available on intraspecific variation in cell size and nuclear DNA content

(Heizer 1955; Bachmann et al. 1966; Beaton and Hebert 1989; Jovtchev et al. 2006). Studies of nonhuman cells typically reported nuclear size instead of cell size. To convert nuclear volume to cell volume, we used the formula log_{10} (cell volume) = $0.88 + log_{10}$ (nuclear volume), which we obtained by fitting a linear function to log_{10} transformed cell and nuclear size measurements from vertebrate (Olmo 1983) and plant (Price et al. 1973) cells from a diverse assortment of species using ordinary least squares.

Results

The relationship between nuclear DNA content and cell volume in humans is similar to that previously reported for other species. DNA content is similarly correlated with cell volume in the rat *Rattus norvegicus*, the frog *Pseudacris obscura*, the crustacean *Daphnia pulex*, and the plant *Arabidopsis thalania* (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that concomitant changes in cell volume and nuclear DNA content may occur across very different cell types and species, although this has only rarely been investigated.

The relationship between nuclear DNA content and cell volume in humans also appears similar to the relationships observed between diploid genome size and cell volume in plants (Price et al. 1973), vertebrate animals (Olmo 1983), and unicellular eukaryotes (Fig. 3A) (Shuter et al. 1983). Interestingly, the range of nuclear DNA content in human cells is comparable to the range of diploid genome sizes across all vertebrates (Fig. 3B). However, one must be cautious in interpreting similarities and differences among these interspecific relationships given possible differences in methodology, possible differences in the relationship between nuclear volume and cell volume among taxa and, in some cases, a fairly limited sampling of species from these groups (e.g., unicellular eukaryotes).



Figure 3. Relationship between nuclear DNA content and cell volume in humans. (*A*) Relationship between nuclear DNA content and cell size in diploid and polyploid human cells (blue) in comparison to previously reported relationships for diploid cells of vertebrates (\log_{10} [DNA] = $-1.91 + 1.07 \log_{10}$ [cell volume]), unicellular eukaryotes (\log_{10} [DNA] = $-2.81 + 0.945 \log_{10}$ [cell volume]), and angiosperms (\log_{10} [DNA] = $-1.75 + 0.912 \log_{10}$ [cell volume]). Lines were fitted to previously reported relationships using ordinary least-squares regression. The range of unicellular eukaryote cell and genome sizes is truncated to clearly show data for other groups. Note that the human data shown in this figure are the same as those shown in Figure 1A. (*B*) Range of nuclear DNA content in individual human cells in comparison to ranges of diploid genome sizes within vertebrate groups. DNA content was rounded to the nearest whole number.

CONCLUSIONS

Our analysis of previously published data raises questions regarding the prevalence of endoreplication in human cells and its relationship to cell size. It remains to be seen whether the relationship presented here extends across the hundreds of different cell types in the human body. Our common assumption of DNA constancy across somatic cells in humans and other species has not been adequately investigated. The number of animal species for which we have measured the amount of DNA in different cell types of different sizes is restricted to only a handful of model organisms. Endoreplication may be a common and/or functional trait in the somatic cells of humans and other animals (Lee et al. 2009; Ullah et al. 2009; Anatskaya and Vinogradov 2011; De Veylder et al. 2011), as it has long been viewed in plants. Some have argued that perhaps this process serves to provide extra gene copies as an insurance policy against DNA damage, or that larger cells simply require greater levels of protein synthesis for maintenance and production (Lee et al. 2009; De Veylder et al. 2011). Certainly, in some human cell types (e.g., megakaryocytes), polyploid nuclei are considered normal and functional. And in other cell types not considered here (e.g., muscle cells), multinucleated cells are common and functional. The relationship between cell size, nuclear DNA content, and gene expression within and across cell types is only beginning to be explored (Marguerat and Bähler 2012), but clearly genomes are much more dynamic than was previously thought (Parfrey et al. 2008).

We have moved beyond the view that genomes are immutable blueprints. Most of the cells shown in Figure 1 are presumably postmitotic, but many show quantities of nuclear DNA well beyond the expected value of about 7 pg.

The notion that human cells may have quite different genetic constitutions depending on their size would have profound implications for our understanding of cell structure and function.

The data shown here suggest that perhaps the quantity of nuclear DNA content in human cells is best viewed as a distribution of values that reflects cell size distributions, rather than as a single value. That is, most cells may be of a similar size and contain something close to the characteristic amount of nuclear DNA, but a relatively small number of cells may be large with high amounts of DNA.

Changes in the DNA content of somatic cells may occur by endoreplication of individual genes or the entire genome (i.e., polyploidization). Often, it is assumed that genome duplication via endoreplication is responsible for any increases in nuclear DNA content beyond the typical diploid quantity. Of the cell types shown here, it is simply not possible to generalize the extent to which this may be the case because most data are averages of cell populations. However, for the two cell types for which we have data on the individual cells of a cell population (amnion epithelial cells and hepatocytes), a closer look at the data provides some clues (see Table 1). In these data, the increases in DNA content with cell size appear to cluster around values expected from endopolyploidization (e.g., 7, 14, 28, etc.), but there is considerably more variation than one would expect simply based on error. Thus, the data suggest DNA content has increased as a result of some combination of partial and complete genome duplication in these two cell types.

However, the results shown here do not address the question of whether genome size controls cell size, or cell size controls genome size. This has long been debated in the literature, and has yet to be resolved. It is our hope that our comparisons of DNA-cell size relationships within species to genome size-cell size relationships across species may help point to a more general understanding. We were surprised by the qualitatively similar relationships between the two. They highlight the fact that we have much to learn about the various mechanisms that lead to increases in cellular DNA content, and how such increases affect gene expression (Therman et al. 1983; Marguerat and Bähler 2012). Again, here, broadly comparative approaches may be insightful. In particular, we suggest that it may be useful to relate relevant structural features (genome size and cell size) and functional features (gene expression and polyploidization) to the life span, replication rate, and metabolic rate of cells.

Biology	
Ξ.	
Perspectives	
Harbor	ves.org
Spring	hperspect
Cold	www.cs
& CSH	PERSPECTIVES

Table 1. Human nuclear DNA content and cell volume data

	Cell/nuclear	DNA content	Size content			
	size (original	(original	original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(bg)	Sources
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.0	40.7	с, а	1072.1	5.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.6	41.6	с, а	958.0	6.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.9	41.7	с, а	1048.5	6.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.0	42.4	с, а	1437.0	6.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.4	42.7	с, а	1227.5	6.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.5	43.0	с, а	895.3	6.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.5	43.0	с, а	915.8	6.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.7	44.0	с, а	968.9	6.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.8	44.6	с, а	1002.2	6.5	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.9	44.6	с, а	1036.5	6.5	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.2	44.7	с, а	1147.2	6.5	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.0	45.4	с, а	1437.0	6.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.6	45.5	с, а	936.7	6.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.9	45.6	с, а	1036.5	6.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.6	46.8	с, а	1298.5	6.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.0	47.2	с, а	1072.1	6.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.4	47.6	с, а	865.5	6.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.8	47.7	с, а	1002.2	6.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.8	47.7	с, а	1013.5	6.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.9	48.0	с, а	1405.1	7.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.7	48.7	с, а	1682.4	7.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.7	48.8	с, а	968.9	7.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.9	48.8	с, а	1036.5	7.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.1	48.9	с, а	1109.2	7.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.8	49.1	с, а	1373.6	7.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.6	49.3	с, а	936.7	7.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.8	49.4	с, а	1013.5	7.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.7	49.9	с, а	968.9	7.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.2	50.3	с, а	1503.2	7.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.9	50.5	с, а	1036.5	7.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
						Continued

Table 1. Continued

8

	Cell/nuclear	DNA content	Size, content	Collivelues	TNIA contract	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(pg)	Sources
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.1	50.6	с, а	1134.2	7.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.4	50.7	c, a	1213.8	7.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.5	51.0	с, а	905.6	7.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.0	52.0	с, а	1437.0	7.5	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	2.7	52.2	с, а	968.9	7.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.2	52.4	с, а	1173.3	7.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.5	53.0	с, а	1255.3	7.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	1.8	53.0	с, а	660.8	7.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.4	53.2	с, а	1572.4	7.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.0	53.5	с, а	1072.1	7.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.9	53.8	с, а	1405.1	7.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.9	54.4	с, а	1389.4	7.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.5	54.9	с, а	1255.3	8.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.2	57.4	с, а	1173.3	8.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.9	58.2	с, а	1405.1	8.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.6	62.2	с, а	1313.2	9.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.8	64.4	с, а	1373.6	9.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.9	71.4	с, а	1389.4	10.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.8	80.8	с, а	1358.1	11.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.6	82.6	с, а	1313.2	12.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.3	85.7	с, а	1537.5	12.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	3.8	87.5	с, а	1358.1	12.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.6	89.1	с, а	1992.2	12.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.0	89.6	с, а	1420.9	13.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.3	90.1	с, а	1904.3	13.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.5	91.9	с, а	1608.4	13.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.0	92.7	с, а	1420.9	13.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.3	93.9	с, а	1537.5	13.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.6	94.0	с, а	1663.6	13.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.2	97.1	с, а	1520.3	14.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
						Continued

Table 1. Continued

Cell type	Cell/nuclear size (original values)	DNA content (original values)	Size, content (original units)	Cell volume (\mathfrak{u}^3)	DNA content (pg)	Sources
Amnion enithelial cell (s)	8.5	97.7	6.0	2060.6	14.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.9	98.5	с, а С, а	1760.2	14.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.5	98.7	с, а	1970.0	14.3	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.3	100.5	с, а	1537.5	14.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.8	101.0	с, а	2060.6	14.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.1	102.0	с, а	1820.4	14.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	6.0	102.2	с, а	2131.4	14.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.6	102.9	с, а	1645.1	14.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.7	104.5	с, а	2014.8	15.1	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.1	106.7	с, а	1820.4	15.5	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.3	106.8	с, а	1883.0	15.5	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.0	107.9	с, а	1780.0	15.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	4.9	109.1	с, а	1740.3	15.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	6.9	114.8	с, а	2439.8	16.6	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.5	115.7	с, а	1970.0	16.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	6.7	118.7	с, а	2358.9	17.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	7.2	120.2	с, а	2552.4	17.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.9	124.0	с, а	2107.4	18.0	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.7	126.7	с, а	2014.8	18.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	5.9	132.7	с, а	2107.4	19.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	7.0	142.5	с, а	2467.4	20.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	7.8	149.4	с, а	2761.6	21.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	7.6	156.3	с, а	2700.3	22.7	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	8.7	171.5	с, а	3056.5	24.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	7.8	185.4	с, а	2761.6	26.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	8.3	185.5	с, а	2921.7	26.9	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	8.9	187.9	с, а	3126.2	27.2	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	8.3	192.0	с, а	2921.7	27.8	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	7.3	209.8	с, а	2581.4	30.4	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
Amnion epithelial cell (s)	6.8	224.3	с, а	2412.5	32.5	Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960
						Continued

CSH CSH PERSPECTIVES Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology www.cshperspectives.org

Table 1. Continued

10

	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content (original	Size, content (original	Cell volume	DNA content	
pe	values)	values)	units)	(μ ³)	(bg)	Sources
omyocyte (m)	17401.0	20.0	v, pg	5646.7	20.0	Vliegen et al. 1990
omyocyte (m)	20794.0	21.2	v, pg	6339.9	21.2	Vliegen et al. 1990
omyocyte (m)	43.8	3.6	cc, p	1463.2	12.6	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	60.7	4.1	cc, p	2013.5	14.4	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	55.5	4.5	cc, p	1844.6	15.8	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	135.5	4.6	cc, p	4403.8	16.1	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	156.4	5.1	cc, p	5064.6	17.9	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	127.0	5.8	cc, p	4132.1	20.2	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	65.1	5.9	cc, p	2154.9	20.7	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	68.1	5.9	cc, p	2252.3	20.7	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	77.3	5.9	cc, p	2546.1	20.7	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	71.1	6.0	cc, p	2346.7	21.0	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	119.2	6.6	cc, p	3885.0	23.1	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	60.3	6.8	cc, p	2000.2	23.8	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	84.1	7.2	cc, p	2765.2	25.2	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
iomyocyte (m)	103.7	7.9	cc, p	3392.5	27.6	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	104.0	7.9	cc, p	3402.4	27.7	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	112.1	8.4	cc, p	3658.9	29.4	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	99.8	8.5	cc, p	3266.2	29.8	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	161.5	8.6	cc, p	5224.0	30.1	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	152.5	8.8	cc, p	4939.6	30.8	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	105.1	9.0	cc, p	3436.8	31.5	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	175.4	9.5	cc, p	5660.7	33.3	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	97.6	11.9	cc, p	3198.5	41.7	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
omyocyte (m)	129.9	13.6	cc, p	4225.6	47.6	Wohlschlaeger et al. 2010
rophoblast (m)	133.0	1.9	cc, p	1061.5	6.7	Galton 1962
rophoblast (m)	109.0	2.1	cc, p	932.7	7.4	Galton 1962
rophoblast (m)	125.0	2.4	cc, p	1019.6	8.3	Galton 1962
rophoblast (m)	128.0	2.4	cc, p	1035.4	8.5	Galton 1962
crine pancreatic cell (m)	98.4	2.0	cc, p	872.7	7.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
						Continued

J.F. Gillooly et al.

Table 1. Continued

	Cell/nuclear	DNA content	Size, content			
	size (original	(original	(original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(bd)	Sources
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	116.5	2.0	cc, p	973.9	7.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	119.0	2.0	cc, p	987.5	7.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	205.4	4.0	cc, p	1408.0	14.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	217.8	4.0	cc, p	1462.7	14.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	221.8	4.0	cc, p	1480.1	14.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	435.5	8.0	cc, p	2294.9	28.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	447.3	8.0	cc, p	2335.1	28.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endocrine pancreatic cell (m)	453.1	8.0	cc, p	2354.8	28.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Endothelial cell (m)	36.5	4.2	cc, pg	1225.4	4.2	Dorman et al. 1990
Endothelial cell (m)	42.4	4.2	cc, pg	1418.2	4.2	Dorman et al. 1990
Endothelial cell (m)	41.1	4.6	cc, pg	1375.8	4.6	Dorman et al. 1990
Endothelial cell (m)	63.5	5.3	cc, pg	2102.6	5.3	Dorman et al. 1990
Exocrine pancreatic cell (m)	100.1	2.0	cc, p	882.5	7.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Exocrine pancreatic cell (m)	107.7	2.0	cc, p	925.5	7.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Exocrine pancreatic cell (m)	112.8	2.0	cc, p	953.7	7.0	Ehrie and Swartz 1974
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	34.7	1.8	cc, a	1124.6	4.8	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	34.4	2.0	cc, a	1118.2	5.4	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	45.1	2.1	cc, a	1333.5	5.6	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	34.8	2.3	cc, a	1126.7	6.1	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	26.1	2.3	cc, a	934.6	6.2	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	38.9	2.3	cc, a	1211.3	6.2	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	37.9	2.3	cc, a	1191.0	6.3	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	36.6	2.4	cc, a	1164.2	6.5	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	33.9	2.5	cc, a	1107.7	6.6	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	33.2	2.5	cc, a	1092.8	6.6	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	32.9	2.5	cc, a	1086.3	6.7	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	41.7	2.5	cc, a	1267.3	6.7	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	27.6	2.5	cc, a	969.1	6.8	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	51.2	2.5	cc, a	1448.1	6.8	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	35.2	2.6	cc, a	1135.1	6.8	Swartz 1956

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

n Biology	
Perspectives i	
old Spring Harbor	w.cshperspectives.org
CCSH CC	

Table 1. Continued

																																Continued
	Sources	Swartz 1956																														
DNA content	(bg)	6.9	7.0	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.9	8.4	8.5	9.1	10.1	11.8	12.8	12.9	13.1	13.3	13.7	
Cell volume	(μ^3)	1269.2	1012.0	1009.7	1241.5	1377.3	1231.4	1077.7	1522.5	1036.3	1170.5	843.7	1160.1	980.5	1107.7	1508.1	1205.2	1413.0	1153.9	1323.9	1094.9	1053.9	1151.8	1797.6	2218.6	1905.5	1321.9	1704.6	1800.8	1691.1	1758.1	
Size, content (original	units)	cc, a																														
DNA content (original	values)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.8	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.1	
Cell/nuclear size (original	values)	41.8	29.5	29.4	40.4	47.4	39.9	32.5	55.3	30.6	36.9	22.3	36.4	28.1	33.9	54.5	38.6	49.3	36.1	44.6	33.3	31.4	36.0	71.4	98.7	78.1	44.5	65.8	71.6	65.0	69.0	
	Cell type	Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)																														

J.F. Gillooly et al.

12

Table 1. Continued						
	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content (original	Size, content (original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(pg)	Sources
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	64.1	5.2	cc, a	1675.9	13.9	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	72.9	5.3	cc, a	1822.0	14.1	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	70.7	5.3	cc, a	1786.1	14.1	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	91.7	5.3	cc, a	2115.0	14.2	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	87.0	5.4	cc, a	2043.9	14.5	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	78.4	5.4	cc, a	1910.2	14.5	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	147.2	5.4	cc, a	2876.9	14.5	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	70.3	5.5	cc, a	1779.5	14.7	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	81.6	5.6	cc, a	1960.6	15.0	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	72.9	5.7	cc, a	1822.0	15.2	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	73.6	6.1	cc, a	1833.4	16.4	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	65.3	6.4	cc, a	1696.2	17.2	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	177.5	7.4	cc, a	3249.1	19.9	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	106.7	9.3	cc, a	2333.9	24.9	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	129.4	9.8	cc, a	2645.7	26.2	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	141.9	9.8	cc, a	2809.1	26.4	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	136.8	6.6	cc, a	2743.1	26.5	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	142.1	11.6	cc, a	2811.7	31.1	Swartz 1956
Hepatic parenchymal cell (m)	144.7	13.6	cc, a	2845.0	36.6	Swartz 1956
Hepatocyte (s)	35.7	18.3	cc, a	1200.9	8.7	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	46.1	20.7	cc, a	1537.3	9.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	41.9	21.0	cc, a	1400.8	10.0	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	59.3	22.4	cc, a	1965.6	10.7	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	39.0	22.6	cc, a	1306.2	10.8	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	42.2	23.6	cc, a	1411.4	11.3	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	73.4	23.8	cc, a	2422.6	11.4	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	64.7	24.4	cc, a	2142.5	11.6	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	46.7	25.6	cc, a	1558.2	12.2	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	68.0	25.8	cc, a	2246.3	12.3	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	64.1	26.7	cc, a	2121.7	12.7	Meek and Harbison 1967

Continued

13

Table 1. Continued

14

	Cell/nuclear	DNA content	Size, content			
	size (original	(original	(original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(bd)	Sources
Hepatocyte (s)	89.5	29.5	cc, a	2939.3	14.1	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	54.1	30.6	cc, a	1798.8	14.6	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	73.1	32.1	cc, a	2412.3	15.3	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	89.5	32.5	cc, a	2939.3	15.5	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	64.1	33.3	cc, a	2121.7	15.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	73.1	34.4	cc, a	2412.3	16.4	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	96.3	35.8	cc, a	3155.6	17.1	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	53.8	35.9	cc, a	1788.3	17.1	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	68.0	38.3	cc, a	2246.3	18.2	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	84.1	39.1	cc, a	2763.9	18.6	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	78.9	39.7	cc, a	2598.6	18.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	63.8	39.9	cc, a	2111.3	19.0	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	68.3	40.3	cc, a	2256.7	19.2	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	72.8	40.9	cc, a	2401.9	19.5	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	113.4	41.9	cc, a	3699.8	19.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	126.2	43.3	сс, а	4109.2	20.6	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	90.2	43.7	cc, a	2959.9	20.8	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	106.9	44.1	cc, a	3494.7	21.0	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	101.1	45.7	сс, а	3309.8	21.8	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	96.0	47.0	сс, а	3145.3	22.4	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	90.2	47.6	cc, a	2959.9	22.7	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	140.1	48.0	cc, a	4548.1	22.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	95.3	48.7	cc, a	3124.7	23.2	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	107.2	49.1	cc, a	3504.9	23.4	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	120.8	49.8	cc, a	3935.3	23.7	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	90.2	50.3	cc, a	2959.9	23.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	140.1	50.9	cc, a	4548.1	24.3	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	101.4	52.7	cc, a	3320.1	25.1	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	113.7	53.4	сс, а	3710.1	25.4	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	156.2	56.6	cc, a	5057.1	27.0	Meek and Harbison 1967

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

Table 1. Continued						
	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content (original	Size, content (original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ ³)	(bd)	Sources
Hepatocyte (s)	113.0	58.7	cc, a	3689.5	27.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	120.8	63.0	cc, a	3935.3	30.0	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	140.7	79.6	cc, a	4568.4	37.9	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	170.4	91.6	cc, a	5503.9	43.6	Meek and Harbison 1967
Hepatocyte (s)	177.5	97.9	cc, a	5726.9	46.6	Meek and Harbison 1967
Lymphocyte (m)	17.9	4.0	cc, pg	611.8	4.0	Dorman et al. 1990
Lymphocyte (m)	19.8	4.0	cc, pg	675.0	4.0	Dorman et al. 1990
Lymphocyte (m)	18.5	4.2	cc, pg	631.8	4.2	Dorman et al. 1990
Lymphocyte (m)	37.6	5.8	cc, pg	1261.4	5.8	Dorman et al. 1990
Lymphocyte (s)	18.1	17.2	cc, a	618.7	6.3	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	19.9	17.4	cc, a	679.4	6.3	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	18.6	17.7	cc, a	633.9	6.4	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	23.5	18.5	cc, a	796.5	6.7	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	21.2	18.6	cc, a	721.0	6.7	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	22.8	18.8	cc, a	773.8	6.8	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	21.4	18.9	cc, a	728.5	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	22.9	18.9	cc, a	777.6	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	24.9	19.0	cc, a	845.4	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	22.4	19.0	cc, a	762.5	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	24.3	19.0	cc, a	822.9	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	23.0	19.1	cc, a	781.4	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	22.8	19.3	cc, a	773.8	7.0	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	23.8	19.7	cc, a	807.8	7.1	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	28.0	19.9	cc, a	946.9	7.2	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte (s)	7.4	2.7	n, a	1437.3	4.1	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	5.5	3.0	n, a	793.2	4.5	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	3.0	n, a	1293.6	4.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	5.2	3.0	n, a	712.0	4.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.7	3.0	n, a	1562.6	4.6	Petrakis 1953

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

Table 1. Continued

16

	Cell/nuclear	DNA content	Size, content				
	sıze (original	(original	(original	Cell volume	DNA content		
Lell type	values)	values)	units)	(_ m)	(bg)	Sources	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.5	3.1	n, a	1462.0	4.7	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	3.1	n, a	1278.2	4.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.1	3.1	n, a	1340.8	4.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	5.6	3.2	n, a	824.1	4.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	3.3	n, a	1293.6	5.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	3.3	n, a	1666.4	5.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.5	3.3	n, a	1462.0	5.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.2	3.3	n, a	1746.7	5.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.8	3.4	n, a	1597.1	5.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.5	3.4	n, a	1118.1	5.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.9	3.5	n, a	1239.8	5.3	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.7	3.5	n, a	1562.6	5.3	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	3.5	n, a	1666.4	5.3	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.5	3.5	n, a	1495.0	5.3	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	5.0	3.5	n, a	680.8	5.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	3.5	n, a	953.5	5.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.4	3.5	n, a	1453.6	5.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.5	3.6	n, a	1118.1	5.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	5.0	3.6	n, a	671.6	5.5	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.2	3.6	n, a	1737.6	5.5	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	3.6	n, a	950.4	5.5	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	3.6	n, a	1293.6	5.5	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.5	3.7	n, a	1462.0	5.6	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.8	3.7	n, a	1579.6	5.6	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	3.7	n, a	1286.0	5.7	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	5.0	3.7	n, a	671.6	5.7	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.2	3.8	n, a	1028.4	5.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	3.8	n, a	956.9	5.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	3.8	n, a	953.5	5.8	Petrakis 1953	
						Continu	ned

J.F. Gillooly et al.

CSH Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology REPECTIVES www.cshperspectives.org

Table 1. Continued							
	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content (original	Size, content (original	Cell volume	DNA content		
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ ³)	(bg)	Sources	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.6	3.8	n, a	1142.7	5.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.1	3.8	n, a	1317.1	5.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.5	3.8	n, a	1113.7	5.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	3.9	n, a	953.5	5.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	10.1	3.9	n, a	2627.9	5.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.8	3.9	n, a	1217.0	5.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.1	3.9	n, a	980.3	5.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.3	3.9	n, a	1035.5	6.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.2	3.9	n, a	1364.7	6.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.5	3.9	n, a	1495.0	6.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	3.9	n, a	1299.0	6.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.2	4.0	n, a	1370.2	6.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	4.0	n, a	1657.9	6.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.1	4.0	n, a	1711.1	6.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	10.0	4.0	n, a	2594.9	6.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.5	4.0	n, a	1113.7	6.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.8	4.0	n, a	1209.7	6.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	5.6	4.0	n, a	836.6	6.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	9.0	4.1	n, a	2115.1	6.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	4.1	n, a	1278.2	6.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.8	4.1	n, a	1217.0	6.3	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	4.2	n, a	950.4	6.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.5	4.2	n, a	1113.7	6.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	4.2	n, a	1286.0	6.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.6	4.2	n, a	1512.1	6.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.6	4.2	n, a	1142.7	6.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	4.3	n, a	1299.0	6.5	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.6	4.3	n, a	1503.6	6.5	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	4.3	n, a	950.4	6.5	Petrakis 1953	

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

Table 1. Continued

	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content (original	Size, content (original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(µ ³)	(bg)	Sources
Lymphocyte (s)	6.9	4.3	n, a	1252.5	6.5	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	8.3	4.3	n, a	1818.2	6.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	4.3	n, a	966.9	6.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.6	4.3	n, a	1150.1	6.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	4.3	n, a	1286.0	6.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.1	4.3	n, a	1325.1	6.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.2	4.3	n, a	1356.6	6.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.5	4.4	n, a	1485.0	6.6	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.5	4.4	n, a	1106.4	6.7	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.6	4.4	n, a	1503.6	6.7	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.4	4.4	n, a	1435.4	6.7	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.3	4.4	n, a	1056.6	6.7	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.6	4.4	n, a	1135.6	6.7	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	4.4	n, a	966.9	6.7	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	4.4	n, a	1299.0	6.8	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	4.5	n, a	1666.4	6.8	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	9.0	4.5	n, a	2095.0	6.8	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	5.5	4.5	n, a	811.7	6.8	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.2	4.5	n, a	1014.6	6.8	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.8	4.5	n, a	1221.9	6.8	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.6	4.5	n, a	1503.6	6.8	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	5.8	4.5	n, a	897.3	6.9	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	4.6	n, a	1666.4	6.9	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	4.6	n, a	1299.0	6.9	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.4	4.6	n, a	1453.6	7.0	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	7.8	4.6	n, a	1588.3	7.0	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	9.0	4.6	n, a	2105.0	7.0	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	8.9	4.6	n, a	2075.5	7.0	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	6.1	4.6	n, a	1000.9	7.0	Petrakis 1953
						Continued

J.F. Gillooly et al.

Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology www.cshperspectives.org & CSH

Sources Petrakis 1953 DNA content 7.0 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.3 7.3 7.3 7.3 7.4 7.4 7.5 7.5 7.5 (gd) 7.1 7.1 7.2 7.2 7.4 7.4 7.5 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 Cell volume 1007.9 1132.6 1286.0 1307.0 1275.9 1286.0 503.6 1239.8 1605.5 2085.5 1595.5 1478.5 1209.7 1437.3 1429.3 894.0 1675.4 1520.3 1291.5 1346.3 1437.3 2095.0 953.5 1470.4 1194.4 1666.4 1035.5 1150.1 1666.4 (n²) Size, content (original n, a units) n, a n, a n, a n, a n, a DNA content (original values) 4.8 4.8 4.84.8 4.9 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.74.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.84.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.7 4.7 Cell/nuclear size (original 7.5 8.0 6.5 6.8 7.0 7.4 7.0 7.4 5.8 8.0 7.6 7.0 7.2 7.2 7.0 6.3 6.6 7.6 6.9 7.8 9.0 7.8 6.2 7.5 6.7 9.0 8.0 values) Table 1. Continued Lymphocyte (s) Cell type

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

Table 1. Continued

	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content	Size, content	Call voluma	DNA content		
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(pg)	Sources	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.1	5.0	n, a	1314.9	7.6	Petrakis 1953	i i
Lymphocyte (s)	8.1	5.0	n, a	1719.8	7.6	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.0	n, a	1666.4	7.7	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.9	5.1	n, a	1614.3	7.7	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	5.1	n, a	966.9	7.7	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	5.1	n, a	1283.6	7.7	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	5.1	n, a	1293.6	7.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.4	5.1	n, a	1846.4	7.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.7	5.1	n, a	1571.3	7.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.6	5.1	n, a	1150.1	7.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.1	n, a	1657.9	7.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.1	5.1	n, a	1711.1	7.8	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.8	5.2	n, a	1224.7	7.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.5	5.2	n, a	1495.0	7.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.2	n, a	1684.4	7.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	5.2	n, a	970.6	7.9	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.3	n, a	1691.8	8.0	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	6.0	5.3	n, a	940.2	8.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.3	n, a	1684.4	8.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.1	5.3	n, a	1719.8	8.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	5.6	5.4	n, a	843.0	8.1	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.0	5.4	n, a	1307.0	8.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	9.8	5.4	n, a	2486.4	8.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	9.0	5.4	n, a	2085.5	8.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.4	n, a	1674.1	8.2	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.5	n, a	1666.4	8.3	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.1	5.5	n, a	1314.9	8.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	8.0	5.5	n, a	1691.8	8.4	Petrakis 1953	
Lymphocyte (s)	7.3	5.5	n, a	1388.8	8.4	Petrakis 1953	
						Continued	P

CSH CSH PRESPECTIVES Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology www.cshperspectives.org

Sources Petrakis 1953 DNA content 10.08.5 8.6 8.8 8.8 9.0 9.5 9.5 9.6 9.7 9.8 9.9 10.5 8.5 8.5 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 9.1 9.3 9.4 10.1 10.2 10.410.5 (bg) 9.1 9.1 Cell volume 1307.0 1912.5 2095.0 1487.0 1356.6 977.2 1229.6 2215.0 1049.4 1675.4 1684.4 2095.0 1267.8 2205.2 1684.4 2205.2 2215.0 2134.8 1666.4 2164.8 2144.5 1856.2 1666.4 2124.7 1512.1 824.1 1309.1 1597.1 1162.1 (n²) Size, content (original n, a units) n, a n, a n, a n, a n, a DNA content (original values) 5.8 5.9 5.6 5.7 5.7 5.8 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.3 6.4 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.6 5.6 5.6 5.7 5.7 6.2 6.3 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.9 7.0 5.6 6.1 6.1 Cell/nuclear size (original 5.6 7.8 8.0 8.0 9.0 7.0 6.9 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.1 8.0 8.6 9.0 7.5 7.2 6.1 6.8 7.6 8.4 7.1 9.2 6.3 8.0 6.6 9.0 9.1 9.1 values) Table 1. Continued Lymphocyte (s) Cell type

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

Table 1. Continued

	Cell/nuclear	DNA content	Size, content			
	size (original	(original	(original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(bd)	Sources
Lymphocyte (s)	11.1	7.4	n, a	3158.4	11.3	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte (s)	12.1	8.5	n, a	3762.4	13.0	Petrakis 1953
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	25.1	17.9	cc, a	849.2	6.5	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	22.8	18.0	cc, a	773.8	6.5	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	21.4	18.0	cc, a	728.5	6.5	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	20.0	18.1	cc, a	683.1	6.6	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	24.4	18.2	cc, a	826.6	6.6	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	21.3	18.2	cc, a	724.8	6.6	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	27.1	18.2	cc, a	916.9	6.6	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	22.9	18.5	cc, a	777.6	6.7	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	25.7	18.9	cc, a	871.8	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	28.0	19.0	cc, a	946.9	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Lymphocyte polymorph (m)	26.2	19.0	cc, a	886.8	6.9	Bedi and Goldstein 1976
Megakaryocyte (m)	15.5	3.9	d, p	1949.8	13.7	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	15.3	4.4	d, p	1875.3	15.4	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	16.1	4.4	d, p	2352.1	15.4	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	16.5	4.4	d, p	2185.1	15.4	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	16.3	4.6	d, p	2267.6	16.1	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	16.8	5.3	d, p	2482.7	18.6	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	21.3	7.0	d, p	5059.8	24.5	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	20.8	7.3	d, p	4711.8	25.6	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	22.4	8.6	d, p	5884.9	30.1	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	27.5	10.4	d, p	10889.2	36.4	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	27.6	10.6	d, p	11008.4	37.1	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	30.2	10.9	d, p	14421.8	38.2	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	30.6	12.6	d, p	15002.5	44.1	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	32.3	12.8	d, p	17644.4	44.8	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	33.3	14.1	d, p	19334.4	49.4	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	33.8	14.5	d, p	20218.5	50.8	Ishibashi et al. 1986
Megakaryocyte (m)	33.8	16.1	d, p	20218.5	56.4	Ishibashi et al. 1986

J.F. Gillooly et al.

www.cshperspectives.org

Leuchtenberger et al. 1956 Bedi and Goldstein 1976 Ishibashi et al. 1986 shibashi et al. 1986 Ishibashi et al. 1986 Ishibashi et al. 1986 Sources shibashi et al. 1986 Ishibashi et al. 1986 shibashi et al. 1986 Ishibashi et al. 1986 shibashi et al. 1986 DNA content 62.058.8 60.2 62.0 67.6 68.6 7.0 7.3 7.4 7.8 7.6 11.3 13.3 13.4 14.7 15.8 59.2 60.2 65.1 7.2 7.3 7.3 9.7 9.7 4.2 5.45.5 (bg) 6.8 7.7 Cell volume 1036.9 24429.0 25252.4 728.5 1081.8 890.6 1543.3 1184.8 980.5 1593.2 1275.2 1764.7 1333.5 1399.9 597.0 20944.8 1033.1 1040.7 1392.4 629.2 723.4 783.5 0.72.0 20761.6 21314.4 21314.4 22449.3 23227.8 23227.8 (n) Size, content (original d, p d, p d, p d, p d, p d, р d, р d, p cc, a units) DNA content (original values) 18.6 19.3 19.9 20.0 20.1 20.2 20.3 21.5 2.6 3.3 3.3 3.9 4.6 4.6 5.1 $1.5 \\ 1.9$ 19.3 19.6 5.41.9 2.3 2.6 16.8 17.2 17.2 1.7 17.7 l6.9 Cell/nuclear size (original 26.3 30.6 30.9 46.2 37.6 59.3 69.448.634.2 34.4 34.4 35.0 36.0 35.4 35.4 36.4 21.432.1 30.8 28.1 42.1 45.1 48.2 14.2 13.1 17.6 19.9 33.4 34.1 values) Secondary spermatocyte (m) Primary spermatocyte (m) Megakaryocyte (m) Table 1. Continued Monocyte (s) Cell type

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

DNA-Cell Size Relationship in Humans

Table 1. Continued

24

	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content (original	Size, content (original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ ³)	(bg)	Sources
Secondary spermatocyte (m)	25.9	2.7	сс, а	929.9	8.0	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Secondary spermatocyte (m)	20.6	2.9	cc, a	801.3	8.4	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Secondary spermatocyte (m)	26.2	3.0	cc, a	936.9	8.7	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	5.2	0.6	cc, a	327.5	1.8	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	8.7	1.0	cc, a	457.6	2.9	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	5.3	1.0	cc, a	331.6	3.0	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	8.0	1.1	cc, a	433.3	3.2	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	8.7	1.4	cc, a	457.6	4.0	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	9.0	1.5	cc, a	467.8	4.3	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	9.5	1.5	cc, a	484.5	4.4	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatid (m)	6.5	1.5	cc, a	378.6	4.5	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	39.3	1.9	cc, a	1219.4	5.6	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	23.4	2.3	cc, a	870.5	6.7	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	19.7	2.6	cc, a	778.4	7.4	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	38.6	2.8	cc, a	1205.2	8.1	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	51.1	3.4	cc, a	1446.3	10.0	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	26.7	3.6	cc, a	948.4	10.5	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	35.9	3.6	cc, a	1149.7	10.5	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatogonium (m)	51.9	3.8	cc, a	1461.0	11.0	Leuchtenberger et al. 1956
Spermatozoon (m)	11.7	6.4	с, а	404.5	3.1	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	11.9	6.7	cc, a	410.9	3.3	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	11.1	6.7	cc, a	384.9	3.3	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	11.0	7.0	cc, a	380.5	3.4	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	11.6	7.0	cc, a	400.8	3.5	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	12.0	7.3	cc, a	414.2	3.6	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	11.5	7.4	cc, a	398.4	3.6	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	11.4	7.5	cc, a	394.0	3.7	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	13.0	7.7	cc, a	447.9	3.8	Royere et al. 1988
Spermatozoon (m)	11.7	7.9	cc, a	405.1	3.9	Royere et al. 1988
Syncytiotrophoblast (m)	81.0	1.8	cc, p	769.0	6.4	Galton 1962

Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2015;7:a019091

CSH	Cold	Spring	Harbor	Perspectives	<u> </u>	Biolc
Š	www.cs	hperspecti	ives.org			

g

Table 1. Continued

	Cell/nuclear size (original	DNA content (original	Size, content (original	Cell volume	DNA content	
Cell type	values)	values)	units)	(μ^3)	(pg)	Sources
Syncytiotrophoblast (m)	72.0	1.9	cc, p	712.3	6.7	Galton 1962
Syncytiotrophoblast (m)	79.0	2.2	cc, p	756.6	7.7	Galton 1962
Syncytiotrophoblast (m)	77.0	2.2	cc, p	744.1	7.8	Galton 1962
Villous stroma (m)	82.0	2.1	cc, p	775.2	7.4	Galton 1962
Villous stroma (m)	108.0	2.1	cc, p	927.1	7.5	Galton 1962
Villous stroma (m)	96.0	2.4	cc, p	858.8	8.5	Galton 1962
Villous stroma (m)	102.0	2.5	cc, p	893.3	8.7	Galton 1962
Data from 19 cell types used in ar units in which these values were exp	alyses shown in Figures 1 pressed are listed in colum	and 2. The original (i.e. n 4. For analyses, all of	., reported) values for f the measures of cell/	cell/nuclear size and nuclear size were co	l DNA content are list averted to units of cel	ted in columns 2 and 3, and the original II volume (μ^3) shown in column 5, and
menening of DNA content ware con	marted to nicourame of F	NIA shown in column	6 I attare in maranth	acae following the li	sting of call type in c	olumn 1 denote whether the volue is a

al l Ъ whether the value is a measurement of a single cell (s) or the mean of a population of cells (m). The first notation used in column 4 indicates whether cell/nuclear size measurements were originally reported as cell diameter (d), nuclear cross-sectional area in calibrated arbitrary units (c), nuclear cross-sectional area in μ^2 (cc), nuclear diameter in μ (n), or cell volume in μ^3 (v). The second notation in column 4 indicates whether DNA content measurements were reported in units of ploidy level (p), arbitrary absorbance units (a), or picograms of DNA (pg). Cell types are reported nonowing the fisting of cell type in column 1 denote Letters in parenunes converted to picograms of LINA shown based on cell-type designations given in original studies 5

Computation of mean cell volumes: Studies reported cell or nuclear size using one of four measurements: cell volume, cell diameter/radius, nuclear cross-sectional area, or nuclear were converted to nuclear volume assuming nuclei were spherical and that nuclear cross-sectional areas were circular. All estimates of nuclear volume were then converted to cell volume using a previously reported relationship between cell and nuclear volume of human cells (Swanson et al. 1991); cell volume = 44.2 (nuclear volume)^{0.65} as indicated in the text. In one case (Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960), measurements of nuclear area were given in calibrated arbitrary units. In this case, we converted back from arbitrary units to units of squared micrometers diameter/radius. Measurements of cell diameter/radius were converted to cell volume assuming cells were spherical. Measurements of nuclear cross-sectional area and diameter/radius before computing nuclear radius (in micrometers).

Computation of mean DNA content: DNA content measurements were originally reported in one of three ways: as picograms of DNA, as ploidy level, or as arbitrary absorbance units of DNA. To convert ploidy level to pg DNA, we multiplied by the typical haploid DNA content of human cells (3.5 pg [Gregory 2012]). To convert arbitrary units to pg DNA, we determined from arbitrary units to pg DNA. Thus, each of the seven studies in which this conversion was performed had a unique conversion factor: Bedi and Goldstein 1976 (2.75 arbitrary units/pg the mean arbitrary unit value of cells that the original investigators of each study designated as diploid, and then assumed this corresponded to $2 \times 3.5 = 7$ pg of DNA to convert values DNA), Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960 (6.9 arbitrary units/pg DNA), Leuchtenberger et al. 1956 (0.34 arbitrary units/pg DNA), Meek and Harbison 1967 (2.1 arbitrary units/pg DNA), Petrakis 1953 (0.66 arbitrary units/pg DNA), Royere et al. 1988 (2.03 arbitrary units/pg DNA), and Swartz 1956 (0.37 pg). This conversion is possible because the Feulgen staining method results in a linear relationship between light absorbance and DNA content as discussed in the text.

population mean for each cell type within each study. Mean cell volumes of these cell types were 1574 μ^3 (annion epithelial cells [Klinger and Schwarzacher 1960]), 2936 μ^3 (hepatocytes (051 μ^3 (monocytes [Bedi and Goldstein 1976]). Corresponding mean DNA contents were 12, 19.9, 7.1, 6.8, 6.7, and 7.3 gg, respectively. These means were used in the analyses presented in Averaging individal cell measurements: For cases in which individual cell measurements were reported, we averaged all cell volume and DNA content measurements to yield a single Meek and Harbison 1967]), 1441 μ^3 (lymphocytes [Petrakis 1953]), 764 μ^3 (lymphocytes [Bedi and Goldstein 1976]), 817 μ^3 (lymphocyte polymorphs [Bedi and Goldstein 1976]), and the text.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the population biology group at University of Florida for feedback.

REFERENCES

*Reference is also in this collection.

- * Amodeo AA, Skothein JM. 2015. Cell size control. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol doi: 10.1101/cshper spect.a019083.
 - Anatskaya OV, Vinogradov AE. 2011. Somatic polyploidy promotes cell function under stress and energy depletion: Evidence from tissue-specific mammal transcriptome. *Funct Integr Genomic* **10**: 433–446.
 - Bachmann K, Goin OB, Goid CJ. 1966. Hylid frogs: Polyploid classes of DNA in liver nuclei. *Science* **154**: 650–651.
 - Beaton MJ, Hebert PDN. 1989. Miniature genomes and endopolyploidy in cladoceran crustaceans. *Genome* **32**: 1048–1053.
 - Bedi KS, Goldstein DJ. 1976. Apparent anomalies in nuclear Feulgen-DNA contents. Role of systematic microdensitometric errors. J Cell Biol 71: 68–88.
 - Biesterfeld S, Gerres K, Fischer-Wein G, Bocking A. 1994. Polyploidy in non-neoplastic tissues. J Clin Pathol 47: 38–42.
 - Biesterfeld S, Beckers S, Del Carmen Villa Cadenas M, Schramm M. 2011. Feulgen staining remains the gold standard for precise DNA image cytometry. *Anticancer Res* 31: 53–58.
- Chieco P, Derenzini M. 1999. The Feulgen reaction 75 years on. *Histochem Cell Biol* **111:** 345–358.
- De Veylder L, Larkin JC, Schnittger A. 2011. Molecular control and function of endoreplication in development and physiology. *Trends Plant Sci* **16**: 624–634.
- Dorman A, Graham D, Curran B, Henry K, Leader M. 1990. Ploidy of smooth muscle tumours: Retrospective image analysis study of formalin fixed, paraffin wax embedded tissue. J Clin Pathol 43: 465–468.
- Ehrie MG, Swartz FJ. 1974. Diploid, tetraploid, and octaploid β cells in the islets of Langerhans of the normal human pancreas. *Diabetes* **23**: 583–588.
- Feulgen R, Rosenbeck HC. 1942. Manual of histological demonstration technique. Butterworth, London.
- Galton M. 1962. DNA content of placental nuclei. *J Cell Biol* **13**: 183–191.
- Gregory TR. 2005. *The evolution of the genome*, pp. 1–768. Academic, New York.
- Gregory TR. 2012. Animal genome size database, www .genomesize.com.
- Hancock V, Martin JF, Lelchuk R. 2008. The relationship between human megakaryocyte nuclear DNA content and gene expression. *Brit J Haematol* 85: 692–697.
- Heizer P. 1955. Desoxyribose nucleic acid (DNA) content and size of rat liver nuclei during thioac etamide intoxication and recovery. *Chromosoma* **7:** 281–327.
- Ishibashi T, Ruggeri ZM, Harker LA, Burstein SA. 1986. Separation of human megakaryocytes by state of differ-

entiation on continuous gradients of Percoll: Size and ploidy analysis of cells identified by monoclonal antibody to glycoprotein IIb/IIIa. *Blood* **67**: 1286–1292.

- Jovtchev G, Schubert V, Barrow M, Schubert I. 2006. Nuclear DNA content and nuclear and cell volumes are positively correlated in angiosperms. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 114: 77–82.
- Klinger HP, Schwarzacher HG. 1960. The sex chromatin and heterochromatic bodies in human diploid and polyploid nuclei. J Biophys Biochem Cytol 8: 345–364.
- Lee HO, Davidson JM, Duronio RJ. 2009. Endoreplication: Polyploidy with purpose. *Genes Dev* 23: 2461– 2477.
- Leuchtenberger C, Leuchtenberger R, Schrader F, Weir DR. 1956. Reduced amounts of desoxyribose nucleic acid in testicular germ cells of infertile men with active spermatogenesis. *Lab Invest* 5: 422–440.
- Marguerat S, Bähler J. 2012. Coordinating genome expression with cell size. *Trends Genet* **28**: 560–565.
- Meek ES, Harbison JFA. 1967. Nuclear area and deoxyribonucleic acid content in human liver cell nuclei. *JAnat* 101: 487–489.
- Mirsky AE, Ris H. 1949. Variable and constant components of chromosomes. *Nature* **163**: 666–667.
- * Niklas KJ. 2015. A phyletic perspective on cell growth. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol doi: 10.1101/cshper spect.a019158.
- Olmo E. 1983. Nucleotype and cell size in vertebrates: A review. *Basic Appl Histochem* 27: 227–256.
- Parfrey LW, Lahr DJG, Katz LA. 2008. The dynamic nature of eukaryotic genomes. *Mol Biol Evol* 25: 787–794.
- Petrakis NL. 1953. Microspectrophotometric estimation of the desoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) content of individual normal and leukemic human lymphocytes. *Blood* 8: 905–915.
- Pinheiro J, Bates D. 2000. Mixed-effects models in S and S-PLUS. Springer, New York.
- Price HJ, Sparrow AH, Nauman AF. 1973. Correlations between nuclear volume, cell volume and DNA content in meristematic cells of herbaceous angiosperms. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **29**: 1028–1029.
- Royere D, Hamamah S, Nicolle JC, Barthelemy C, Lansac J. 1988. Freezing and thawing alter chromatin stability of ejaculated human spermatozoa: Fluorescence acridine orange staining and Feulgen-DNA cytophotometric studies. *Gamete Res* **21**: 51–57.
- Shuter BJ, Thomas JE, Taylor WD, Zimmerman AM. 1983. Phenotypic correlates of genomic DNA content in unicellular eukaryotes and other cells. *Am Nat* **122**: 26–44.
- Swanson JA, Lee M, Knapp PE. 1991. Cellular dimensions affecting the nucleocytoplasmic volume ratio. J Cell Biol 115: 941–948.
- Swartz FJ. 1956. The development in the human liver of multiple desoxyribose nucleic acid (DNA) classes and their relationship to the age of the individual. *Chromosoma* 8: 53–72.
- Szarski H. 1976. Cell size and nuclear DNA content in vertebrates. *Int Rev Cytol* **44**: 93–111.

DNA-Cell Size Relationship in Humans

- Therman E, Sarto GE, Stubblefield PA. 1983. Endomitosis: A reappraisal. *Human Genet* **63**: 13–18.
- Ullah Z, Lee CY, Lilly MA, DePamphilis ML. 2009. Developmentally programmed endoreduplication in animals. *Cell Cycle* 8: 1501–1509.
- Vliegen HW, Bruschke VG, Van der Laarse A. 1990. Different response of cellular DNA content to cardiac hypertrophy in human and rat heart myocytes. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 95A: 109–114.
- Winkelmann M, Pfitzer P, Schneider W. 1987. Significance of polyploidy in megakaryocytes and other cells in health and tumor disease. *Klin Wochenschr* **65**: 1115–1131.
- Wohlschlaeger J, Levkau B, Brockhoff G, Schmitz KJ, von Winterfeld M, Takeda A, Takeda N, Stypmann J, Vahlhaus C, Schmid C, et al. 2010. Hemodynamic support by left ventricular assist devices reduces cardiomyocyte DNA content in the failing human heart. *Circulation* 121: 989–996.