

# Phase II Trial of Upfront Bevacizumab, Irinotecan, and Temozolomide for Unresectable Glioblastoma

KATHERINE B. PETERS,<sup>a,\*</sup> EMIL LOU,<sup>e,\*</sup> ANNICK DESJARDINS,<sup>a</sup> DAVID A. REARDON,<sup>f</sup> ERIC S. LIPP,<sup>b</sup> ELIZABETH MILLER,<sup>b</sup> JAMES E. HERNDON II,<sup>c</sup> FRANCES MCSHERRY,<sup>c</sup> HENRY S. FRIEDMAN,<sup>d</sup> JAMES J. VREDENBURGH<sup>e</sup>

Departments of <sup>a</sup>Neurology, <sup>b</sup>Surgery, <sup>c</sup>Biostatistics, and <sup>d</sup>Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA; <sup>e</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; <sup>f</sup>Department of Medicine, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>g</sup>Division of Medical Oncology, St. Francis Medical Cancer Center, Hartford, Connecticut, USA

\*Contributed equally.

Access the full results at: [Peters-15-135.theoncologist.com](http://Peters-15-135.theoncologist.com)

## AUTHOR SUMMARY

### LESSONS LEARNED

- Trials focusing on unresectable multifocal glioblastoma are needed because of the extremely poor prognosis and challenges in receiving standard therapy, such as concurrent radiation and chemotherapy.
- Developing a strategy to chemically debulk tumors before radiation and/or surgery is warranted.

### ABSTRACT

**Background.** Extent of resection remains a key prognostic factor in glioblastoma (GBM), with gross total resection providing a better prognosis than biopsy or subtotal resection. We conducted a phase II trial of upfront therapy with bevacizumab (BV), irinotecan (CPT-11), and temozolomide (TMZ) prior to chemoradiation in patients with unresectable, subtotally resected, and/or multifocal GBM.

**Methods.** Patients received up to 4 cycles of TMZ at 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day on days 1–5 (standard dosing) and BV at 10 mg/kg every 2 weeks on a 28-day cycle. CPT-11 was given every 2 weeks on a 28-day cycle at 125 mg/m<sup>2</sup> or 340 mg/m<sup>2</sup> depending on anti-epileptic drugs. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain was done every 4 weeks, and treatment continued as long as there was no tumor progression or unmanageable toxicity. The primary endpoint was tumor response rate, with a goal of 26% or greater.

**Results.** Forty-one patients were enrolled from December 2009 to November 2010. Radiographic responses were as follows: 9 patients (22.0%) had partial response, 25 (61.0%) had stable disease, and 2 (4.9%) had progression; 5 patients were not assessed. Cumulative response rate was 22%. Median overall survival was 12 months (95% confidence interval: 7.2–13.5 months).

**Conclusion.** Upfront treatment with BV, TMZ, and CPT-11 is tolerable and can lead to radiographic response in unresectable and/or subtotally resected GBM. *The Oncologist* 2015; 20:727–728

**Table 1.** Patient and clinical demographic characteristics

Characteristics	Results
Total patients, <i>N</i>	41
Age, years, mean (SD)	58 (10.2)
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)	
Male	18 (43.9)
Female	23 (56.1)
Karnofsky performance status, <i>n</i> (%)	
100	2 (4.9)
90	12 (29.3)
80	17 (41.5)
70	10 (24.4)
Surgery, <i>n</i> (%)	
Biopsy only	29 (70.7)
Subtotal resection	12 (29.3)
Extent of disease, <i>n</i> (%)	
Unifocal	34 (82.9)
Multifocal	7 (17.1)

### DISCUSSION

Standard treatment approaches for GBM result in median survival rates of between 8 and 16 months. Patients who have

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00979017  
Sponsor(s): Genentech, Inc.

Principal Investigator: Katherine B. Peters  
IRB Approved: Yes

Correspondence: Katherine B. Peters, M.D., Ph.D., Duke University Medical Center, DUMC 3624, Durham, North Carolina 27710, USA. Telephone: 919-684-5301; E-Mail: [katherine.peters@duke.edu](mailto:katherine.peters@duke.edu) Received April 1, 2015; accepted for publication May 5, 2015; published Online First on May 29, 2015. ©AlphaMed Press; the data published online to support this summary is the property of the authors. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2015-0135>

subtotal resection have a worse prognosis than patients who have gross total resection and an even worse prognosis than patients with unresectable/multifocal disease. In this phase II single-arm, single institution study (Duke University institutional review board approval Pro00019065; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00979017), we evaluated the response rate of upfront TMZ, CPT-11, and BV in newly diagnosed unresectable GBM patients prior to standard chemoradiation. Secondary outcomes included safety and efficacy. Forty-one GBM patients were enrolled. The treatment plan prior to standard chemoradiation included four 28-day cycles of TMZ 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> (days 1–5), BV 10 mg/kg, and CPT-11 125 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for patients taking a non-enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drug, or no antiepileptic drug (AED); CPT-11 dose was increased to 340 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for patients on an enzyme-inducing AED (on days 1 and 15). Brain magnetic resonance imaging was done every 4 weeks, with results interpreted according to published Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology criteria. Patients were regularly monitored for treatment-related toxicities and disease-related morbidity.

The surgical extent for the study population was biopsy in 70.7% and subtotal resection in 29.3%. Most patients (70.7%) had only a biopsy, and 7 patients (17.1%) had multifocal disease. Fourteen patients completed all four planned cycles without tumor progression while on protocol. Thirty-six patients were evaluated for objective tumor response (Table 1). There were no complete responses and 9 partial responses, for an overall response rate of 22% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 12%–37%). Median follow-up for all patients was 41.7 months (95% CI: 32.3–46.1 months). Median overall survival was 12 months (95% CI: 7.2–13.5 months), and median progression-free survival was 8.6 months (95% CI: 3.5–11.3 months).

This multimodality approach to upfront treatment of patients with unresectable GBM consisting of the addition of anti-VEGF therapy with BV to TMZ and CPT-11 can provide disease control prior to radiotherapy. This combination regimen was tolerable, with no unexpected toxicities.

Author disclosures available online.

#### For Further Reading:

Myra E. van Linde, Joost J. C. Verhoeff, Dirk J. Richel et al. Bevacizumab in Combination With Radiotherapy and Temozolomide for Patients With Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma Multiforme. *The Oncologist* 2015;20:107–108.

#### Abstract:

**Background.** Patients with a newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) have a high risk of recurrent disease with a dismal outcome despite intensive treatment of sequential surgery and chemoradiotherapy with temozolomide (TMZ), followed by TMZ as a single agent. Bevacizumab (BV) may increase response rates to chemotherapy in the recurrent treatment setting of GBM. We hypothesized that a neoadjuvant treatment strategy for patients with newly diagnosed GBM using chemoradiotherapy plus BV would improve resectability and thus survival. We performed a phase II trial of the treatment strategy of BV plus chemoradiation to determine the safety of this combination in patients who had already undergone primary surgery for their GBM.

**Methods.** After a biopsy (6 patients) or a resection (13 patients) of a newly diagnosed GBM, 19 patients received radiotherapy (30 fractions of 2 Gy) in combination with daily TMZ 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> and BV 10 mg/kg on days 1, 14, and 28, followed by 6 monthly cycles of TMZ 150–200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on days 1–5.

**Results.** The overall response rate was 26%. Three patients had a complete response after resection, and in two patients, a complete response after resection followed by chemoradiation plus BV was seen. No grade 3–4 toxicities were observed during combination treatment. The median progression-free survival was 9.6 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 4.3–14.4 months). The median overall survival was 16 months (95% CI: 8.1–26.3 months), similar to a matched control group that received standard chemoradiotherapy from our institution.

**Conclusion.** Combination of bevacizumab with radiotherapy and TMZ is safe and feasible in patients with newly diagnosed GBM, but because of low response rates, this treatment strategy does not favor a neoadjuvant approach.