

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Neurochem.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Neurochem. 2015 August ; 134(3): 445-454. doi:10.1111/jnc.13148.

Characterization of dopamine releasable and reserve pools in *Drosophila* larvae using ATP/P2X₂-mediated stimulation

Ning Xiao and B. Jill Venton*

Dept. of Chemistry, University of Virginia

Abstract

Dopaminergic signaling pathways are conserved between mammals and *Drosophila*, but the factors important for maintaining the functional pool of synaptic dopamine are not fully understood in *Drosophila*. In this study, we characterized the releasable and reserve dopamine pools in *Drosophila* larvae using ATP/ P2X₂-mediated stimulation. Dopamine release was stable with stimulations performed at least every 5 min but decayed with stimulations performed 2 min apart or less, indicating the replenishment of the releasable pool occurred on a time scale between 2 and 5 min. Dopamine synthesis or uptake were pharmacologically inhibited with 3-iodotyrosine and cocaine, respectively, to evaluate their contributions to maintaining the releasable dopamine pool. We found that both synthesis and uptake were needed to maintain the releasable dopamine pool, with synthesis playing a major part in long-term replenishment and uptake being more important for short-term replenishment. These effects of synthesis and uptake on different time scales *in Drosophila* are analogous to mammals. However, unlike in mammals, cocaine did not activate a reserve pool of dopamine in *Drosophila* when using P2X₂ stimulations. Our study shows that both synthesis and uptake replenish the releasable pool, providing a better understanding of dopamine regulation in *Drosophila*.

Introduction

Dopamine signaling plays a major role in a variety of brain functions, including emotion, reward, cognition, memory, learning, locomotion and motor control (Schultz 2007, Grace *et al.* 2007). In the central nervous system, dopamine is released by exocytosis after an action potential and acts in the extracellular space as a neurotransmitter. The amount of dopamine available for exocytosis determines the functional pool. Two main sources contributing to the releasable pool are newly synthesized dopamine and dopamine that is recycled from the extracellular space through uptake by the dopamine transporter (Venton and Wightman 2003). Understanding dopamine regulation is essential for the treatment of many neurological and psychiatric diseases such as Parkinson disease, Huntington disease, schizophrenia, and drug addiction.

Dopamine pools have been studied extensively in mammalian models; however, *Drosophila melanogaster*, the fruit fly, is an attractive model organism because of its simple nerve

^{*}corresponding author: PO Box 400319, Charlottesville, VA 22904, (434) 243-2132, jventon@virginia.edu. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

system, relatively short life cycle, and ease of molecular and genetic manipulation (Nichols 2006). While genetically altered mice can take years to make, *Drosophila* genetic models can be produced in a few months. A variety of sophisticated genetic manipulations have been developed for *Drosophila*, allowing large-scale screening of mutants to model some aspects of human diseases (Nichols 2006, Bier 2005). Our lab has developed methods for directly measuring dopamine in *Drosophila* and has verified that dopamine regulatory functions such as synthesis, uptake, and vesicular release are conserved between *Drosophila* and mammals (Vickrey *et al.* 2009, Vickrey *et al.* 2013). However, the factors important for maintaining the releasable dopamine pool in *Drosophila* are not fully understood.

Taking advantage of fly genetics, several neural excitation methods with genetically encoded triggers have been successfully used in Drosophila (Venken et al. 2011). Among these, ATP/P2X₂-mediated stimulation has become an elegant method for targeted control of neuronal activity. P2X2 is a member of the ligand-gated cation channel P2X family which is activated by extracellular ATP. P2X₂ undergoes three sequential ATP binding steps in a cooperative manner (Ding and Sachs 1999). Once fully bound, the channel opens rapidly and an inward flow of cations leads to neuronal excitation (North 2002). A distinguishing characteristic of this channel is its slow desensitization, as currents at P2X2 receptors decline little during sustained ATP application of a few seconds (Brake et al. 1994, Collo et al. 1996). This feature makes it more suitable for inducing large amounts of neurotransmitter release compared to other cation channels. The *Drosophila* genome does not encode a $P2X_2$ homolog (Littleton and Ganetzky 2000) and previous studies suggest that there are no acute behavioral or physiological effects of ATP in the absence of transgenic $P2X_2$ in Drosophila (Lima and Miesenbock 2005, Yao et al. 2012). Thus, through genetic modification, P2X₂ can be inserted into specific neurons and with exogenously applied ATP, those P2X₂expressing neurons can be excited. ATP/P2X2-mediated stimulation for target neural excitation has been established in both larval and adult fly nervous systems during behavioral and electrophysiology experiments (Huang et al. 2010, Hu et al. 2010, Yao et al. 2012), but no ATP/P2X₂-mediated neurotransmitter release has been directly detected in Drosophila.

In this study, we used ATP/P2X₂-mediated dopamine release to evaluate the roles of synthesis and uptake in maintaining the releasable dopamine pool in *Drosophila*. Dopamine release was measured with fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) at a carbon-fiber microelectrode implanted in the neruopil of an isolated larval fly ventral nerve cord (VNC), which was genetically modified to express $P2X_2$ in dopaminergic cells. ATP was applied at various intervals with synthesis or uptake pharmacologically inhibited to evaluate the recovery of the releasable pool. We found that both synthesis and uptake are needed to maintain the releasable dopamine pool, with synthesis playing a major part in long-term replenishment and uptake being more important for short-term replenishment. In mice, cocaine can increase dopamine release by activating a synapsin-dependent reserve pool of dopamine (Venton *et al.* 2006, Kile *et al.* 2010) However, no similar activation of a reserve pool was observed after cocaine in *Drosophila* with P2X₂ stimulation. Our study facilitates a better understanding of dopamine regulation in *Drosophila*, strengthening this model organism for the study of dopaminergic diseases.

Experimental procedures

Chemicals

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Solutions were made with Milli Q water (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Electrode calibrations and *Drosophila* dissections and experiments were conducted in phosphate buffer (131.25 mM NaCl, 3.0 mM KCl, 10.0 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 2.0 mM Na₂SO₄, and 1.2 mM CaCl₂) with 11.1 mM glucose, 5.3 mM trehalose and pH adjusted to 7.4. ATP solutions to stimulate release, ranging from 0.2 to 1 mM, were made with phosphate buffer. Stock solutions (1 mM) of cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine were made in water and the final concentration in the bath around the *Drosophila* VNC was 60 µM cocaine and 100 µM 3-iodotyrosine.

Preparation of Ventral Nerve Cords

Flies containing UAS-P2X₂ on the third chromosome (a gift from Jayaraman Lab, Janelia Farm Research Campus) were crossed with flies containing th-GAL4 on the second chromosome (a gift from J. Hirsh, University of Virginia) to generate a heterozygous line. The fly dissection and all measurements were performed at room temperature. The central nervous system of a 5-day-old wandering third instar larva (L3W) was dissected out in phosphate buffer. The optic lobes were removed by a horizontal cut across the anterior thorax region to yield an isolated ventral nerve cord (VNC) and then an additional horizontal cut was made at the posterior-most portion of the ventral nerve cord to facilitate micropipette insertion. The isolated VNC was adhered neuropil side down onto the bottom of a Petri dish with 3 mL of buffer. The VNC was visualized under a 40× water immersion objective of a microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC). Using a micromanipulator, an electrode was implanted into the VNC four to six segments away from the cut edge and a picospritzing micropipette was inserted 15-20 μ m away from the electrode. The electrode and micropipette were allowed to equilibrate after implantation for 10 min prior to data collection. Ten seconds of baseline data were collected before each stimulation.

Electrochemical Measurements

Cylindrical carbon-fiber microelectrodes were fabricated in house from T-650 carbon fibers (a gift of Cytec Engineering Materials, West Patterson, NJ) as previously described (Swamy and Venton 2007). Fast-scan cyclic voltammetry were performed using a ChemClamp potentiostat (Dagan, Minneapolis, MN, n = 0.01 headstage), PCI 6711 and 6052 computer interface cards (National Instruments, Austin, TX) and a home-built breakout box. Data collection was computer controlled by the TarHeel CV software program (gift of Mark Wightman, University of North Carolina). The electrode was scanned from -0.4 to 1.3 V and back at a scan rate of 400 V/s every 100 ms vs a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Electrodes were calibrated with 1 μ M dopamine before and after use *in situ*. For drug experiments, a second calibration was conducted in the presence of drug to account for possible drug effects on the electrode sensitivity.

Picospritzing micropipettes were made by pulling a $1.2 \text{ mm} \times 0.68 \text{ mm}$ glass capillary (A-M Systems, Carlsburg, WA) using a vertical pipette puller (Narishige, Japan). The tip of the micropipette was trimmed to make an opening. Micropipettes were filled with ATP

solutions ranging from 0.2 to 1 mM, and ATP was pressure ejected with a Picospritzer III instrument (Parker Hannifin, Fairfield, NJ). The pipette was calibrated by ejecting ATP solution into oil and measuring the diameter of the ejected droplet to calculate the volume (volume = $1/6\pi d^3$).

Statistics and Data Analysis

All values are presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for n number of fly samples and all error bars are given as SEM. All statistics were performed in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software,Inc., La Jolla, CA) and significance was considered at the 95% confidence level (p < 0.05). Paired t-tests were performed to compare data before and after drugs in the same sample and unpaired t-tests were used to compare data in two different groups. A one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-tests was performed to evaluate the effect of the amount of ATP on stimulated dopamine release. A two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test was used to evaluate stimulation interval and drug effects during repeated stimulations.

Results

Characterizing ATP/P2X₂ mediated dopamine release in Drosophila

To provide neuron-specific stimulation, we expressed P2X₂ in dopaminergic neurons containing tyrosine hydroxylase using the yeast-based GAL4/UAS system. A microelectrode was implanted into the neuropil of a larval VNC and a capillary micropipette filled with ATP was inserted from the other side approximately 15–20 µm away from the electrode. Picoliter volumes of ATP were pressure-ejected into the neuropil, and the dopamine response was monitored with FSCV. Fig. 1A shows that injection of 2 pmol ATP into a th-GAL4; UAS-P2X₂ larval VNC elicits dopamine release. The large green and blue areas on the color plot correspond to the oxidation and reduction of dopamine and the characteristic oxidation and reduction peaks for dopamine are also observed in the background-subtracted cyclic voltammogram. The dopamine response is slightly delayed after ATP injection, primarily because ATP has to diffuse from the micropipette to the area of the microelectrode where stimulated dopamine can be detected. Upon ATP injection, there are small current fluctuations close to switching potential (1.3 V) and at the beginning of the CV (around -0.4 to 0 V), which are also seen in a larval VNC without P2X₂ (Fig. 1B). These small changes are likely due to changes in the background current caused by pressure changes and these changes can cause a small dip in the concentration over time traces for dopamine at the time of injection. In control larval VNCs that do not express P2X₂, no dopamine response is observed when ATP is injected (Fig. 1B). Although ATP is also an electroactive molecule, no characteristic peaks for ATP are detected in tissue, because the oxidation potential for ATP is around 1.4 V (Ross and Venton 2012), and the waveform does not scan high enough to detect ATP. In the future, we could modify the waveform to scan to 1.45 V if we wanted to quantify the amount of ATP that reaches the electrode.

Next, we tested the dependence of peak dopamine concentration on the amount of ATP injected (Fig. 2). The data show a non-significant trend that the dopamine release increases as the amount of ATP increases until saturation is reached around 2 pmol ATP (One way

ANOVA, $F_{[6,42]} = 1.542$, p=0.189). At that amount of ATP, approximately 0.4 μ M of dopamine is released. Thus, 2 pmol of ATP was applied for the rest of the experiments.

Effect of stimulation intervals on dopamine release

The stability of ATP/P2X₂ induced dopamine release during multiple stimulations was tested (Fig. 3) by repeating stimulations at 0.5, 1, 2, 5 or 10 min intervals. For each animal, data were normalized to the peak concentration of the first stimulation to control for sample variability. Two-way ANOVA analysis shows a significant interaction of stimulation interval and stimulation number ($F_{[28,220]} = 5.291$, p<0.0001) and significant main effects of stimulation interval ($F_{[4,220]} = 236.7$, p<0.0001) and stimulation number ($F_{[7,220]} = 14.98$, p<0.0001). Dopamine release decayed when stimulations were performed at 0.5, 1 or 2 min intervals but is stable at 5 or 10 min intervals, suggesting the replenishment of the releasable dopamine pool occurs between 2 and 5 min. For stimulations intervals of less than 2 min, dopamine release decreased sharply after the initial stimulation, and then decayed to a stable low level. On the second stimulation, the signal is 26%, 57% and 68% of the initial value for 0.5, 1 and 2 min intervals, respectively. The dopamine concentration for this second stimulation for the 0.5 min interval is significantly lower than that of the 1 and 2 min interval, while the difference between the 1 and 2 min interval is not significant (Bonferroni post-test, see Table S1 for all statistics). We focused our analysis on the first five stimulations for pharmacological experiments.

Effect of synthesis inhibition and uptake inhibition on stimulated release

To evaluate the role and time course of synthesis and uptake in replenishing the releasable dopamine pool, dopamine synthesis or uptake was pharmacologically inhibited during repeated stimulations at 1 or 5 min intervals. Dopamine uptake was inhibited with 60 μ M cocaine, a known dopamine transporter inhibitor (Greco and Garris 2003). Cocaine significantly prolongs evoked dopamine signaling in *Drosophila* larvae (Vickrey *et al.* 2009, Vickrey *et al.* 2013) and slows clearance of exogenous dopamine in *Drosophila* adults (Makos *et al.* 2009). Dopamine synthesis was inhibited by 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine. 3-iodotyrosine inhibits the activity of tyrosine hydroxylase, the rate-limiting enzyme in dopamine synthesis and significantly decreased steady-state amounts of dopamine after 2 days of feeding to *Drosophila* larvae (Neckameyer 1996).

To test the effects of drug without repeated stimulations, we stimulated dopamine release in buffer first and then allowed 5 min for the dopamine pool to fully recover. After that we applied drug and waited 15 min before stimulating again. The dopamine signaling was compared before and after drug application. Figure 4 shows an example trace of a stimulation before and after cocaine or 3-iodotyrosine. After cocaine application, there was a significant increase in evoked dopamine concentration (paired t test, n=16, p<0.0001) and the time to half decay (t₅₀) (paired t test, n=16, p<0.0001), due to inhibition of dopamine uptake. The first stimulation after 3-iodotyrosine has no significant change in dopamine concentration or t₅₀ value (paired t-test, n=14, p= 0.2484 for concentration and p= 0.2508 for t₅₀). Thus, the releasable pool is not depleted after 3-iodotyrosine on the first stimulation.

Figure 5 shows example traces of the effects of cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine incubation on dopamine release during repeated stimulations performed at 1 and 5 min intervals. The first and fifth stimulations are compared. Stimulations performed in buffer are stable with a 5 min stimulation interval but decrease with a 1 min interval (Fig. 5A,D). When uptake is blocked by cocaine, repeated stimulations at 1 min intervals deplete the dopamine release by the 5th stimulation (Fig. 5B). However, due to continued synthesis of new dopamine, cocaine blockade of the dopamine transporter only partially depletes the releasable dopamine pool when stimulations are performed at 5 minute intervals (Fig. 5E). The depletion effect of 3-iodotyrosine is about the same for 1 min and 5 min stimulation intervals (Fig. 5C, F).

Fig. 6 shows the averaged effects of cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine on dopamine release, with each animal normalized to its first stimulation. For the 1 min interval stimulation, two-way ANOVA shows a significant interaction of stimulation number and drug ($F_{[14,168]} = 3.47$, p<0.0001) and significant main effect of stimulation number ($F_{[7,168]} = 86.58$, p<0.0001) and drug ($F_{[2,168]} = 67.61$, p<0.0001). The dopamine concentration decays significantly more in the cocaine group than in buffer (Bonferroni post-test, see Table S2 for all statistics). At the second stimulation, the dopamine release decreased to $15 \pm 3\%$ of the initial value in cocaine compared to $57 \pm 7\%$ in buffer. With 1 min intervals, there is no significant difference in the decay of dopamine concentration in 3-iodotyrosine or in buffer. For the 5 min interval stimulation, there is also a significant interaction of stimulation number and drug ($F_{[14,121]} = 11.77$, p<0.0001) and significant main effect of stimulation number ($F_{[7,121]} = 24.26$, p<0.0001) and drug ($F_{[2,121]} = 405.17$, p<0.0001) (Fig. 6B). While the release in buffer is stable, there is a significant decay with repeated stimulations in both the cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine, with the 3-iodotyrosine group decaying significantly more (Bonferroni post-test, see Table S2 for all statistics). For the second stimulation, dopamine release in 3-iodotyrosine is 49 ± 5 % of the initial value compared to 68 ± 3 % in cocaine.

Fig. 6 C and D show the data for the 1 and 5 min stimulation intervals on the same graph for cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine. For stimulations performed at 1 or 5 min interval, the decay is very similar with 3-iodotyrosine, while with cocaine, the decay at 1 min interval is significantly more than at 5 min interval (two way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test, see Table S3). Therefore, cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine have different effects on dopamine release based on the interval during repeated stimulations.

Investigating potential cocaine-activated reserve dopamine pool in Drosophila

In mammals, cocaine increases the extracellular dopamine level by inhibiting uptake but also by increasing dopamine release (Stamford *et al.* 1989, Lee *et al.* 2001). Cocaine can augment dopamine release after depletion of the readily releasable pool by activating release of a synapsin-mediated vesicle reserve pool (Venton *et al.* 2006, Kile *et al.* 2010). Here, we investigated if a cocaine-sensitive reserve pool existed in *Drosophila*. Dopamine synthesis was inhibited with 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine and the releasable pool was depleted with consecutive stimulations. Fig. 7A shows that after 8 simulations at 1 min intervals, the dopamine concentration decayed from 0.37 \pm 0.07 μ M to 0.05 \pm 0.01 μ M. Then the sample was incubated with 60 μ M cocaine for 15 min and another four stimulations were

performed. The dopamine signal increased to $0.19 \pm 0.02 \ \mu\text{M}$ after cocaine application, and then progressively decayed to $0.04 \pm 0.01 \ \mu\text{M}$ on the last stimulation. The concentration vs time traces are wider and t₅₀ increases after cocaine, indicating that cocaine did block uptake. A separate control group was conducted with the same stimulation parameters, except that the sample was incubated in buffer for 15 min after the depletion of releasable pool (Fig. 7B). A similar trend was observed in the control group. The dopamine concentration decreased from $0.63 \pm 0.09 \ \mu\text{M}$ to $0.05 \pm 0.02 \ \mu\text{M}$ after 8 stimulations, then increased to $0.20 \pm 0.01 \ \mu\text{M}$ after 15 min incubation in buffer, and progressively decayed to $0.03 \pm 0.01 \ \mu\text{M}$ on the last stimulation. Thus, there is no significant difference in dopamine release between the cocaine or buffer group after the 15 min incubation (unpaired t test, p= 0.8306).

It is possible that this dose of 3-iodotyrosine did not fully block synthesis and thus some of the releasable pool was replenished during the 15 min incubation time when no stimulations were performed. Furthermore, cocaine may take a few minutes to have an effect and uptake may replenish the pool during the initial part of the 15 min incubation time. To address this increase in release after the 15 min incubation without stimulation in cocaine, we also performed the same experiment with 5 min stimulation intervals (Fig. 7C). Stimulations were performed every 5 min after application of 3-iodotyrosine and cocaine, and there is no increase in release after cocaine. Ten min after cocaine, there is no detectable dopamine signal that can be measured, as dopamine is completely depleted. Thus, our results indicate that cocaine does not significantly increase dopamine release with ATP/P2X₂ stimulations after depletion of the readily releasable pool.

Discussion

In this paper, we evaluated the importance of synthesis and reuptake for maintaining the releasable dopamine pool in *Drosophila* using ATP/P2X₂-mediated release. The releasable dopamine pool was maintained by both synthesis and uptake, with synthesis more important for long-term replenishment and uptake more important for short-term replenishment. While cocaine is reported to elevate dopamine release by mobilizing a synapsin-dependent reserve pool in rodents, there was no cocaine-activated reserve pool of dopamine in *Drosophila* using ATP/P2X₂-mediated release. Therefore, *Drosophila* releasable dopamine pools are regulated by synthesis and uptake, similar to mammals, but they do not have a cocaine-activated storage pool like mammals.

P2X₂-mediated release of dopamine

While several groups have used electrophysiology studies to confirm the ability of ATP/ P2X₂ to stimulate targeted neurons (Huang *et al.* 2010, Hu *et al.* 2010), this is the first time that ATP/P2X₂ stimulated neurotransmitter release has been directly monitored in *Drosophila*. The amount of dopamine released plateaued at 0.4 μ M with around 2 pmol ATP, indicating that P2X₂ channels have been saturated or the releasable pool of dopamine was depleted. The concentration of ATP we used in the pipette (200 uM) was much lower than many previous studies where a typical 1 mM ATP or higher was used (Huang *et al.*

2010, Hu *et al.* 2010, Yao *et al.* 2012). Thus, a modest concentration of ATP is enough to cause maximal dopamine release.

Previously, optically-stimulated dopamine release has been measured in flies which are genetically modified to express Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2), a blue-light activated cation channel in dopaminergic neurons (Vickrey et al. 2009, Xiao et al. 2014). Seven-second long stimulations resulted in 0.81 \pm 0.06 μ M of dopamine in those flies (Vickrey *et al.* 2009), which is higher than that evoked by $ATP/P2X_2$ in this study. However, the duration of the ATP/P2X₂ stimulations is longer. For repeated stimulations, the lower amounts of dopamine measured might mean that pools are not depleted as much as with stronger stimulations. Future studies could compare the effects of optogenetic and ATP/P2X₂ stimulations on repeated stimulations. We used heterozygote flies with only one copy of the $P2X_2$ gene and thus the expression density of the $P2X_2$ channel may be lower compared to the ChR2 flies, which are homozygous and have two copies of ChR2. Also, the ion flux may be different for the P2X₂ and ChR2 channels. Future studies with flies homozygous in P2X₂ may have higher dopamine release, but the ability to stimulate release with only one copy of $P2X_2$ makes it useful for genetic studies in combination with other genetic mutants. Furthermore, the ATP/P2X₂-mediated release is suitable for measurements in brain regions, such as deeper neuropil in the adult fly, where light penetration through the tissue is difficult.

The releasable dopamine pool is maintained by both synthesis and uptake

Dopamine release was stable when stimulations were performed at least every 5 min, while the signal decayed with stimulations performed 2 min apart, indicating the replenishment of the releasable dopamine pool occurred on a time scale between 2 and 5 min. Two main sources for maintaining the releasable pool are newly synthesized dopamine and dopamine that is recycled by the dopamine transporter. To investigate the contribution of synthesis and uptake to the recovery of the releasable dopamine pool, pharmacological experiments were performed. During closely repeated stimulations (1 min apart), dopamine release decreased faster when uptake was blocked, while the decay with synthesis inhibition was similar to that in buffer. For the first two stimulations performed 1 min apart, dopamine release decreased by 85 ± 3 % in cocaine compared to by 43 ± 7 % in buffer (Fig. 6A). Therefore, on a short time scale, uptake is responsible for maintaining about 40% of the releasable pool and synthesis does not have a measurable effect. With 5 min interval stimulations, dopamine release was stable in buffer, while the signal decreased by $51 \pm 5\%$ in 3-iodotyrosine and 32 \pm 3% in cocaine at the second stimulation (Fig. 6B). Thus, on the longer time scale, newly synthesized dopamine makes up about 50% and recycled dopamine about 30% of the releasable pool. Because these numbers do not add up to 100 %, there must be additional sources of dopamine, including dopamine that is already synthesized and waiting to be packaged into vesicles or dopamine in vesicles that is not completely released during exocytosis (Mellander et al. 2012). Dopamine release could also be controlled by autoregulatory feedback. Drosophila D2 receptors function as presynaptic autoreceptors to regulates dopamine release (Vickrey and Venton 2011). However, studies in anesthetized mice have indicated that autoinhibition by D2 receptors did not have an effect at timescales over 800 ms (Benoit-Marand et al. 2001). Thus, at the timescale of the intervals of the present study, the effect of autoinibibiton would likely be negligible.

The control of dopamine release by synthesis and uptake in *Drosophila* is similar to mammalian models. Dopamine transporter (DAT) knockout mice have confirmed the importance of DAT in regulating the releasable pool (Jones *et al.* 1998). In wild-type mice striatal brain slices, dopamine release elicited by a single stimulation pulse does not change with a stimulation interval of 5 min, but release progressively decreases with stimulation intervals of 3 min or less (Kile *et al.* 2010). The 5 min time scale is consistent with our study in *Drosophila*. In the caudate nucleus of anesthetized rats, when long (10 s) stimulations are performed, a stimulation interval of approximately 20 min is required to achieve a reproducible response, but the dopamine release is 80 % of the initial stimulations performed 10 min apart, but not when they are less than 2 min apart, and the recycling of dopamine via uptake contributes to the short-term recovery of the releasable pool (Michael *et al.* 1987a). Thus, the timescale of synthesis and uptake to maintain the releasable dopamine pool in *Drosophila* is similar to that in mammals.

The role of synthesis and uptake in maintaining the releasable serotonin pool has also been investigated in *Drosophila* (Borue *et al.* 2010). Uptake is more important for the short time scale and synthesis on the longer time scale for serotonin as well as dopamine. However, dopamine release is independent of synthesis on the 1 min timescale while synthesis plays a role in the replenishment of serotonin release at 1 min intervals (Borue *et al.* 2010). This discrepancy suggests that synthesis functions on a different time scale for dopamine and serotonin signaling in *Drosophila*.

There is no cocaine-activated reserve dopamine pool in *Drosophila* with ATP/P2X₂ stimulations

In rodents, cocaine can elevate dopamine release by mobilizing a synapsin-dependent reserve pool of dopamine-containing vesicles (Venton et al. 2006, Kile et al. 2010). Synapsins are phosphoproteins that bind to the cytosolic surface of synaptic vesicles and are important regulators of synaptic transmission. Biochemical studies suggest that the balance between the readily releasable and the reserve pool of synaptic vesicles is regulated phosphorylation of synapsins, as synapsin phosphorylation uncages vesicles and initiates vesicle mobilization (Murthy 2001, Jovanovic et al. 2001, Cousin et al. 2003, Chi et al. 2003). Cocaine is hypothesized to facilitate dopamine release by increasing presynaptic Ca²⁺ influx, triggering release of the reserve pool as a result of Ca²⁺-dependent phosphorylation of synapsins (Venton et al. 2006, Kile et al. 2010). One synapsin gene is found in the genome of Drosophila (Klagges et al. 1996), and evidence shows the synapsin mediates mobilization of the reserve pool during intense stimulations (Akbergenova and Bykhovskaia 2007). Studies have identified two functionally and topographically distinct pools of synaptic vesicles in the boutons of the Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction (Kuromi and Kidokoro 1998, Akbergenova and Bykhovskaia 2007, Kuromi and Kidokoro 2002, Michels et al. 2005). However, no reserve pool has been reported in the dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila.

We investigated if cocaine could active a reserve dopamine pool in dopaminergic neurons by inhibiting synthesis and performing repeated stimulations to deplete the releasable pool.

Our results showed the dopamine release after cocaine application was not significantly different from that in the control group. One difference between our studies and the rodent studies is the stimulation type: P2X₂ stimulations to open ion channels in *Drosophila* while electrical stimulations were used in rodent models. *Drosophila* are too small to use traditional electrical stimulation methods. While there is no evidence that cocaine affects P2X₂ channels, optogenetic stimulations, such as Channelrhodopsin2 (Vickrey *et al.* 2009), could be performed in the future to check these results. While the possibility of a reserve dopamine pool activated by other conditions cannot be ruled out, our study indicates that cocaine does not activate the dopamine reserve pool in *Drosophila* larval VNC with ligand-gated channel stimulation. Thus, there may be a discrepancy in the action of cocaine on reserve pools between *Drosophila* and mammals and this should be taken into consideration when using *Drosophila* as a model organism for the study of cocaine addiction (Sovik and Barron 2013, Kaun *et al.* 2012, Wolf 1999).

In *Drosophila*, cocaine has a higher affinity for the serotonin transporter than the dopamine transporter (Porzgen *et al.* 2001). Studies of serotonin regulation in *Drosophila* found that cocaine does not activate a large serotonin reserve pool, but immunohistochemistry indicates that not all serotonin content in the serotonergic neurons is available for release (Borue *et al.* 2010). Thus, further study with immunohistochemical staining of nerve cords after depletion of the readily releasable pool could help to identify if reserve dopamine pool exists in *Drosophila*.

Conclusions

We have characterized ATP/P2X₂-mediated dopamine release in *Drosophila* larval ventral nerve cord and shown that ATP/P2X₂-mediated stimulation can be used as a substitute for light-activated channels. Two sources for releasable dopamine pool are identified: newly synthesized dopamine and dopamine recycled via uptake. These two sources act on a different time scale with uptake responsible for rapid replenishment of the releasable pool and synthesis critical for longer-term maintenance of the releasable pool. The role and timescale of synthesis and uptake on the regulation of dopamine signaling in *Drosophila* is analogous to mammals. However, unlike in mammals, cocaine did not activate a reserve pool in the dopaminergic neurons with ATP/P2X₂ stimulation. Our study provides a better understanding of dopamine regulation in *Drosophila*, thus facilitating the use of this model organism for the study of dopaminergic diseases.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by NIH R01MH085159.

References

- Akbergenova Y, Bykhovskaia M. Synapsin maintains the reserve vesicle pool and spatial segregation of the recycling pool in Drosophila presynaptic boutons. Brain Res. 2007; 1178:52–64. [PubMed: 17904536]
- Benoit-Marand M, Borrelli E, Gonon F. Inhibition of dopamine release via presynaptic D2 receptors: time course and functional characteristics in vivo. J Neurosci. 2001; 21:9134–9141. [PubMed: 11717346]
- Bier E. Drosophila, the golden bug, emerges as a tool for human genetics. Nat Rev Genet. 2005; 6:9–23. [PubMed: 15630418]
- Borue X, Condron B, Venton BJ. Both synthesis and reuptake are critical for replenishing the releasable serotonin pool in Drosophila. J Neurochem. 2010; 113:188–199. [PubMed: 20070864]
- Brake AJ, Wagenbach MJ, Julius D. New structural motif for ligand-gated ion channels defined by an ionotropic ATP receptor. Nature. 1994; 371:519–523. [PubMed: 7523952]
- Chi P, Greengard P, Ryan TA. Synaptic vesicle mobilization is regulated by distinct synapsin I phosphorylation pathways at different frequencies. Neuron. 2003; 38:69–78. [PubMed: 12691665]
- Collo G, North RA, Kawashima E, Merlo-Pich E, Neidhart S, Surprenant A, Buell G. Cloning OF P2X5 and P2X6 receptors and the distribution and properties of an extended family of ATP-gated ion channels. J Neurosci. 1996; 16:2495–2507. [PubMed: 8786426]
- Cousin MA, Malladi CS, Tan TC, Raymond CR, Smillie KJ, Robinson PJ. Synapsin I-associated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase mediates synaptic vesicle delivery to the readily releasable pool. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:29065–29071. [PubMed: 12754199]
- Ding S, Sachs F. Single channel properties of P2X2 purinoceptors. J Gen Physiol. 1999; 113:695–720. [PubMed: 10228183]
- Grace AA, Floresco SB, Goto Y, Lodge DJ. Regulation of firing of dopaminergic neurons and control of goal-directed behaviors. Trends Neurosci. 2007; 30:220–227. [PubMed: 17400299]
- Greco PG, Garris PA. In vivo interaction of cocaine with the dopamine transporter as measured by voltammetry. Eur J Pharmacol. 2003; 479:117–125. [PubMed: 14612143]
- Hu A, Zhang W, Wang Z. Functional feedback from mushroom bodies to antennal lobes in the Drosophila olfactory pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:10262–10267. [PubMed: 20479249]
- Huang J, Zhang W, Qiao W, Hu A, Wang Z. Functional connectivity and selective odor responses of excitatory local interneurons in Drosophila antennal lobe. Neuron. 2010; 67:1021–1033. [PubMed: 20869598]
- Jones SR, Gainetdinov RR, Jaber M, Giros B, Wightman RM, Caron MG. Profound neuronal plasticity in response to inactivation of the dopamine transporter. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998; 95:4029– 4034. [PubMed: 9520487]
- Jovanovic JN, Sihra TS, Nairn AC, Hemmings HC Jr, Greengard P, Czernik AJ. Opposing changes in phosphorylation of specific sites in synapsin I during Ca2+-dependent glutamate release in isolated nerve terminals. J Neurosci. 2001; 21:7944–7953. [PubMed: 11588168]
- Kaun KR, Devineni AV, Heberlein U. Drosophila melanogaster as a model to study drug addiction. Hum Genet. 2012; 131:959–975. [PubMed: 22350798]
- Kile BM, Guillot TS, Venton BJ, Wetsel WC, Augustine GJ, Wightman RM. Synapsins differentially control dopamine and serotonin release. J Neurosci. 2010; 30:9762–9770. [PubMed: 20660258]
- Klagges BR, Heimbeck G, Godenschwege TA, Hofbauer A, Pflugfelder GO, Reifegerste R, Reisch D, Schaupp M, Buchner S, Buchner E. Invertebrate synapsins: a single gene codes for several isoforms in Drosophila. J Neurosci. 1996; 16:3154–3165. [PubMed: 8627354]
- Kuromi H, Kidokoro Y. Two distinct pools of synaptic vesicles in single presynaptic boutons in a temperature-sensitive Drosophila mutant, shibire. Neuron. 1998; 20:917–925. [PubMed: 9620696]
- Kuromi H, Kidokoro Y. Selective replenishment of two vesicle pools depends on the source of Ca2+ at the Drosophila synapse. Neuron. 2002; 35:333–343. [PubMed: 12160750]

- Lee TH, Balu R, Davidson C, Ellinwood EH. Differential time-course profiles of dopamine release and uptake changes induced by three dopamine uptake inhibitors. Synapse. 2001; 41:301–310. [PubMed: 11494401]
- Lima SQ, Miesenbock G. Remote control of behavior through genetically targeted photostimulation of neurons. Cell. 2005; 121:141–152. [PubMed: 15820685]
- Littleton JT, Ganetzky B. Ion channels and synaptic organization: analysis of the Drosophila genome. Neuron. 2000; 26:35–43. [PubMed: 10798390]
- Makos MA, Kim YC, Han KA, Heien ML, Ewing AG. In vivo electrochemical measurements of exogenously applied dopamine in Drosophila melanogaster. Anal Chem. 2009; 81:1848–1854. [PubMed: 19192966]
- Mellander LJ, Trouillon R, Svensson MI, Ewing AG. Amperometric post spike feet reveal most exocytosis is via extended kiss-and-run fusion. Sci Rep. 2012; 2:907. [PubMed: 23205269]
- Michael AC, Ikeda M, Justice JB Jr. Dynamics of the recovery of releasable dopamine following electrical stimulation of the medial forebrain bundle. Neurosci Lett. 1987a; 76:81–86. [PubMed: 3495755]
- Michael AC, Ikeda M, Justice JB Jr. Mechanisms contributing to the recovery of striatal releasable dopamine following MFB stimulation. Brain Res. 1987b; 421:325–335. [PubMed: 3500755]
- Michels B, Diegelmann S, Tanimoto H, Schwenkert I, Buchner E, Gerber B. A role for Synapsin in associative learning: the Drosophila larva as a study case. Learn Mem. 2005; 12:224–231. [PubMed: 15930500]
- Murthy VN. Spreading synapsins. Nat Neurosci. 2001; 4:1155–1157. [PubMed: 11723455]
- Neckameyer WS. Multiple roles for dopamine in Drosophila development. Dev Biol. 1996; 176:209–219. [PubMed: 8660862]
- Nichols CD. Drosophila melanogaster neurobiology, neuropharmacology, and how the fly can inform central nervous system drug discovery. Pharmacol Ther. 2006; 112:677–700. [PubMed: 16935347]
- North RA. Molecular physiology of P2X receptors. Physiol Rev. 2002; 82:1013–1067. [PubMed: 12270951]
- Porzgen P, Park SK, Hirsh J, Sonders MS, Amara SG. The antidepressant-sensitive dopamine transporter in Drosophila melanogaster: a primordial carrier for catecholamines. Mol Pharmacol. 2001; 59:83–95. [PubMed: 11125028]
- Ross AE, Venton BJ. Nafion-CNT coated carbon-fiber microelectrodes for enhanced detection of adenosine. Analyst. 2012; 137:3045–3051. [PubMed: 22606688]
- Schultz W. Multiple dopamine functions at different time courses. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2007; 30:259– 288. [PubMed: 17600522]
- Sovik E, Barron AB. Invertebrate models in addiction research. Brain Behav Evol. 2013; 82:153–165. [PubMed: 24192516]
- Stamford JA, Kruk ZL, Millar J. Dissociation of the actions of uptake blockers upon dopamine overflow and uptake in the rat nucleus accumbens: in vivo voltammetric data. Neuropharmacology. 1989; 28:1383–1388. [PubMed: 2533329]
- Swamy BE, Venton BJ. Carbon nanotube-modified microelectrodes for simultaneous detection of dopamine and serotonin in vivo. Analyst. 2007; 132:876–884. [PubMed: 17710262]
- Venken KJ, Simpson JH, Bellen HJ. Genetic manipulation of genes and cells in the nervous system of the fruit fly. Neuron. 2011; 72:202–230. [PubMed: 22017985]
- Venton BJ, Seipel AT, Phillips PE, Wetsel WC, Gitler D, Greengard P, Augustine GJ, Wightman RM. Cocaine increases dopamine release by mobilization of a synapsin-dependent reserve pool. J Neurosci. 2006; 26:3206–3209. [PubMed: 16554471]
- Venton BJ, Wightman RM. Psychoanalytical electrochemistry: Dopamine and behavior. Anal Chem. 2003; 75:414A–421A.
- Vickrey TL, Condron B, Venton BJ. Detection of endogenous dopamine changes in Drosophila melanogaster using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry. Anal Chem. 2009; 81:9306–9313. [PubMed: 19842636]

- Vickrey TL, Venton BJ. Drosophila Dopamine2-like receptors function as autoreceptors. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2011; 2:723–729. [PubMed: 22308204]
- Vickrey TL, Xiao N, Venton BJ. Kinetics of the dopamine transporter in Drosophila larva. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2013; 4:832–837. [PubMed: 23600464]
- Wolf ME. Cocaine addiction: clues from Drosophila on drugs. Curr Biol. 1999; 9:R770–R772. [PubMed: 10531026]
- Xiao N, Privman E, Venton BJ. Optogenetic control of serotonin and dopamine release in Drosophila larvae. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2014; 5:666–673. [PubMed: 24849718]
- Yao Z, Macara AM, Lelito KR, Minosyan TY, Shafer OT. Analysis of functional neuronal connectivity in the Drosophila brain. J Neurophysiol. 2012; 108:684–696. [PubMed: 22539819]



Figure 1.

Characterization of ATP evoked dopamine signal in $P2X_2$ flies. Top row: false color plots with time on the x-axis, applied voltage on the y-axis and Faradaic current in pseudo-color. Injection of ATP is denoted by the arrow under the figure. Middle row: background-subtracted cyclic voltammograms. Bottom row: signal traces show dopamine current changes over time. A.) 2 pmol ATP was injected into a larval VNC expressing P2X₂ and the color plot and CV show that dopamine is released upon ATP stimulation. B.) 2 pmol ATP was injected into a control larval VNC without P2X₂ expression and the color plot shows minor fluctuations upon ATP injection corresponding to pressure changes, but the CV does not show any characteristic dopamine peaks.



Figure 2.

Effect of the amount of pressure-injected ATP on evoked dopamine release. Data are mean \pm SEM with n=7 samples. The pipette was filled with 200 µM ATP and placed 15-20 µm away from the electrode. Different volumes were pressure ejected to control the amount. The data show a non-significant trend that the dopamine concentration increases with the amount of ATP applied until a plateau is reached around 2 pmol applied (one-way ANOVA, $F_{[6,42]} = 1.542$, p=0.189).



Figure 3.

Dopamine release during stimulations repeated at 0.5, 1, 2, 5 or 10 min intervals. 2 pmol ATP was pressure injected and the pipette tip was 15-20 μ m away from the electrode. Data are normalized to the peak concentration of the initial stimulation for each animal and are presented as mean \pm SEM (n= 6-7). Dopamine release decays when stimulations are performed at 0.5, 1 and 2 min interval but is stable at 5 or 10 min interval.



Figure 4.

Concentration vs time traces for dopamine after ATP (2 pmol)/P2X₂ stimulation before and the first stimulation after drugs. A.) Before and 15 min. after incubation in 60 μ M cocaine. B.) Before and after 15 min incubation in 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine.



Figure 5.

Effect of buffer, cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine on repeated stimulations. The representative concentrations vs time profiles are shown for the first stimulation (black) and the fifth stimulation (red). A.) Incubation in buffer, 1 min stimulation interval. B.) Incubation in 60 μ M cocaine, 1 min stimulation interval. C.) Incubation in 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine, 1 min stimulation interval. D.) Incubation in buffer, 5 min stimulation interval. E.) Incubation in 60 μ M cocaine, 5 min stimulation interval. F.) Incubation in 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine, 5 min stimulation interval. The dopamine concentration is larger and the time response is slower in cocaine at the 1st stimulation, while there is no difference in 3-iodotyrosine. Note the concentration scale for cocaine is different from the buffer and 3-iodotyrosine groups.



Figure 6.

Effect of cocaine and 3-iodotyrosine on repeated stimulations. Stimulations were performed every A.) 1 min or B.) 5 min. Dopamine reuptake was inhibited by 60 μ M cocaine (red triangles) or synthesis was inhibited by 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine (blue diamonds). Control samples in buffer are black circles. 2 pmol ATP was pressure injected and the pipette tip was 15-20 μ m away from the electrode. Data are normalized to the peak concentration of the initial stimulation for each animal and are presented as mean ± SEM, n= 5-7. C.) Data for cocaine at 1 min interval (purple closed triangles) or 5 min intervals (green open triangles) are shown on one graph. Dopamine depletion is greater for the 1 min intervals. D.) Data for 3-iodotyrosine at 1 min interval (purple closed diamonds) or 5 min intervals (green open diamonds) are shown on one graph. The amount of dopamine depletion is about the same.



Figure 7.

Effect of cocaine on dopamine release after depletion of the releasable pool. A.) Eight consecutive stimulations were performed at 1 min intervals after 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine was applied for 15 min. Then, 60 μ M cocaine was applied for 15 min, and another four stimulations were performed at 1 min interval (n=8). B.) Eight consecutive stimulations were performed at 1 min intervals after 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine was applied for 15 min. Then buffer was applied instead of cocaine for 15 min and 4 stimulations performed (n=5). The trends are the same for cocaine and buffer. C.) Eight consecutive stimulations were performed at 5 min intervals after 100 μ M 3-iodotyrosine was applied for 15 min. Then, 60

 μM cocaine was applied and 4 more stimulations applied every 5 min. (n=6). Data are mean \pm SEM.