



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*AIDS Behav.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*AIDS Behav.* 2015 June ; 19(0 2): 98–105. doi:10.1007/s10461-014-0986-6.

## The relationship between online social network use, sexual risk behaviors, and HIV sero-status among African American and Latino men who have sex with men (MSM)

ChingChe J. Chiu<sup>a</sup> and Sean D. Young<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Family Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). Los Angeles, California.

### Abstract

Social networking technologies have emerged as potential platforms to reach HIV(+) MSM of color in HIV interventions. This study sought to compare use of online social networking sites (SNS) and sexual risk behaviors between HIV(+) and HIV(-) individuals among a sample of SNS-using MSM of color.

A total of 112 African American and Latino MSM Facebook users completed an online survey. We performed regression models to assess the association between HIV status, SNS use, and sexual risk behaviors.

Being HIV positive was significantly associated with having a greater number of sexual partners met online (B:8.04, 95%CI:2.11–13.97), male sexual partners (9.09:1.52–16.66), and one-time sexual partners (8.99:1.90–16.07), and lower comfort levels of discussing HIV/STI status online (aOR:0.23:0.072–0.71).

Findings suggest that HIV status is associated with sexual risk behaviors and SNS use among MSM of color SNS users. We discuss the implications for online HIV prevention.

### Keywords

sexual risk behaviors; online social networks; social media; African American and Latino men who have sex with men; HIV/AIDS prevention

## INTRODUCTION

Even after three decades, HIV/AIDS remains a tremendous public health challenge in the U.S. In 2009, over 1 million adults (ages 13 or over) were living with HIV/AIDS, and it was estimated that 50,000 individuals were newly infected with HIV (1, 2). Male-to-male sexual contact remains the most prevalent transmission mode. In 2010, more than half of newly diagnosed HIV cases and people living with an HIV diagnosis were men who have sex with men (MSM) (3). In particular, the HIV/AIDS rates of African American and Latino MSM

---

**Corresponding Author:** ChingChe Jason Chiu, 10880 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1800, Department of Family Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA, 310-794-8530 (tel), 310-794-6097 (fax), cchiu@mednet.ucla.edu.

are significantly higher than MSM of any other racial and ethnic groups (4–7). Studies have found that MSM of color were less likely to test for HIV, access HIV/AIDS care, be retained in care, adhere to HIV/AIDS medications, and survive 5 years after HIV/AIDS diagnosis (4–8).

Lack of awareness of HIV status is attributed as a key factor in the disproportionately high HIV rates among African American and Latino MSM (4, 6, 7, 9). Recent public health programs have focused on increasing the number of individuals who are aware of their HIV infection through HIV testing, linking these individuals to care, and initiating HAART treatment; this strategy is also known as the “test-and-treat” strategy (10, 11). In addition, building on the test-and-treat model, researchers have increasingly focused on the importance of “positive prevention” to reduce sexual risk behaviors among HIV-positive individuals to combat HIV transmission (12–15). Efforts to engage African American and Latino MSM in positive prevention is often hindered by high levels of stigma/shame against HIV/AIDS exacerbated by racism, poverty, and homophobia (9, 16–20).

Because SNS use has increased rapidly in the past decade (21), these technologies have emerged as potential platforms to engage HIV-positive individuals in prevention programs. Recently, the percentage of adults and teens using SNS technologies skyrocketed. In 2013, 73% and 80% of adults (ages 18+) and teens (ages 12–18) used some form of social media, respectively (22, 23). In addition, sexual (gay/bisexual) and racial (African Americans and Latinos) minority individuals have been found to be the most avid social media users (24, 25). Studies have documented that many African American and Latino MSM use SNS technologies to meet new sexual partners to avoid stigma (26). In addition, many HIV-positive individuals have used the Internet to seek emotional social support and HIV/AIDS-related health information to cope with the stress of being HIV-positive (27–31). Recent studies have shown some potential in using social media (i.e. Facebook) to educate and to promote HIV prevention behaviors (32, 33), and this technology might also be effective in retaining HIV-positive individuals in care. However, little is known about how HIV-positive individuals use SNS technologies as well as their sexual behaviors online. Therefore, this study sought to compare online SNS use and sexual risk behaviors between HIV-positive and HIV-negative individuals among a sample of SNS-using African American and Latino MSM.

## METHODS

A total of 112 participants were recruited and completed a 92-item survey. The current study focused on working with HIV high-risk populations, particularly African American and Latino MSM. The protocol adhered to the current recommendations for conducting HIV research using SNS technologies (34), and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA).

The study recruited participants online and offline. Offline participants were recruited from gay establishments in Los Angeles (e.g. bars, schools, gyms, and community organizations) and participant referrals. Internet recruits were directed to the study website from targeted banner ads and posts on SNS platforms (e.g., Facebook and MySpace), a Facebook fan page,

and Craigslist. Interested individuals were then linked to the eligibility page from the ads. The eligibility requirements included: 1) male, 2) 18 years or older, 3) Los Angeles residents, 4) registered Facebook users, and 5) have had sex with a man in the past 12 months. Only participants who were successfully verified by the “Facebook Connect” technology as unique Facebook users were permitted to participate in the study. The participants received \$30 for completing the survey.

## Measures

**Basic demographics**—Demographic questions included age, sexual orientation, race, highest education level, employment status, marital/partnership status, and primary access to the Internet (cellphones vs. computers).

## HIV status

Participants were asked to respond to “have you ever been told by a health care provider or counselor that you have HIV/AIDS?”. If the participants responded yes, they were classified as HIV-positive; otherwise, they were HIV-negative.

## Online social network use

Participants were asked to indicate the amount of time they spent on SNS in the past 3 months on a daily basis (in categories of time increments), and the comfort level and frequency of discussing the following sexual topics on online SNS: 1) sexual partners (i.e. how many times have you talked to someone about sexual partners on SNS); 2) sexual positions, 3) sexual health, 4) using condoms during sex, 5) using drugs or alcohol during sex, 6) getting an HIV/STI test, 7) HIV/STI status, 8) having sex with men, and 9) having sex with women. To assess how SNS use might have impacted participants’ sexual risk behaviors, participants were asked to rate the ease and the importance of using SNS to meet new sexual partners.

## Sexual Risk behaviors

Participants were asked to estimate the number of sexual partners met on SNS, male sexual partners, primary sexual partners, and one-time sexual partners in the past 3 months. Other sexual risk behaviors included frequency of unprotected sex (vaginal, insertive anal, and receptive anal) in the past 3 months.

## Statistical Analysis

All analysis was conducted in R-3.0.2 for Mac OSX. Binary association between HIV status and demographic variables, SNS use, and sexual risk behaviors was assessed using 2-sample t-test and chi-square test. Regression models were used to further investigate outcomes that were significantly associated with HIV-status in binary assessment. The study used linear regression for continuous outcomes (e.g. no. of sexual partners met online), logistic regression for binary outcomes (e.g. have you ever exchanged sex for money, place to stay, food, and drugs), and cumulative link regression for ordinal outcomes (e.g. comfort level of discussing HIV/STI status online). All multivariate models used HIV status as independent variable and adjusted for age, race, and employment status.

## RESULTS

### Basic demographics (see Table I)

Fifteen participants indicated that they had been told by a health care provider or counselor that they have HIV/AIDS (HIV-positive). The mean age for HIV-positive individuals was 42.29, and they were significantly older than HIV-negative individuals ( $<0.001$ ). Most participants identified as gay. HIV-positive participants were more likely to be Latino (40%), single (73.3%), and access the Internet primarily through computers (93.9%).

### Online social network use (see Table II)

More than half of the participants, regardless of their HIV status, used SNS more than 1 hour every day in the past three months. There was no difference in the frequency of talking about HIV/STI status on SNS. However, more HIV-positive individuals felt very uncomfortable (40% vs. 13.8%) discussing HIV/STI status with others on SNS. The majority of the participants, regardless of their HIV status, found using SNS to meet new sexual partners to be easier than face-to-face encounters. In both groups, more than 50% of the participants were indifferent or did not think SNS were important to meet new sexual partners.

### Sexual Risk behaviors (see Table III)

On average, HIV-positive individuals had more sexual partners they had met using SNS (10.2 vs. 3.2), more male sexual partners (11.77 vs. 4.96), and more one-time sexual partners (9.54 vs. 2.47) in comparison to HIV-negative individuals. In both HIV-positive and HIV-negative individuals, approximately half of the participants did not practice unprotected anal intercourse (receptive and insertive).

### Adjusted odds ratios (see Table IV)

Being HIV positive was significantly associated with more sexual partners met using SNS (B: 8.04, 95% CI: 2.11, 13.97), male sexual partners (B: 9.09, 95% CI: 1.52, 16.66), and one-time sexual partners (B: 8.99, 95% CI: 1.9, 16.07) after adjusting for demographics. In addition, being HIV positive was significantly associated with lower comfort levels of discussing HIV/STI status on SNS (aOR: 0.23, 95% CI: 0.072, 0.71).

## DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to compare SNS use and sexual risk behaviors between HIV-positive and HIV-negative SNS-using minority MSM. HIV-positive African American and Latino MSM and their HIV-negative counterparts did not differ in most uses of social networking technologies. However, we found that HIV positive individuals were less comfortable discussing HIV/STI status with others on SNS. Previous studies have documented that many HIV-positive individuals use the Internet to find social support and to develop communities with other HIV-positive individuals with similar experiences (27, 31). Therefore, HIV-positive participants may only be comfortable discussing their HIV/STI status on websites that are specifically tailored to support people living with HIV/AIDS.

Even though participants' perception of using SNS to meet sexual partners did not differ by HIV status, HIV-positive individuals had significantly more sexual partners met using SNS. To avoid high levels of homophobia and HIV/AIDS stigma in minority communities, HIV-positive individuals might find using SNS to be a discreet option to find new sexual partners (26). In addition, because of homophobia and racism, many African American and Latino MSM have expressed difficulties in finding social support and acceptance from their own communities and the predominately White gay culture (19). HIV-positive African American and Latino MSM might face further alienation because of their HIV status. This alienation limits their interaction with other MSM to casual sexual encounters (35), and therefore, HIV-positive individuals might have more one-time sexual partners. While we did not observe high levels of sexual risk behaviors among HIV-positive participants, previous studies suggested that unprotected anal intercourse, both insertive and receptive, occurs mostly in casual sexual encounters for HIV-positive individuals (35), and constitutes a public health challenge.

There are a few limitations to the study. First, by using online recruitment, the study was not able to ascertain the identities of the participants. Second, the study had a small number of HIV-positive African American and Latino MSM with reduced statistical power. In addition, the study also relied on self-reported HIV status rather than diagnosis based on biological specimen. When comparing self-report data and blood assessment results, many African American and Latino MSM were unaware of their seropositive status (6, 9). Therefore, basing HIV status on self-report data might underestimate the number of HIV-positive participants in this sample. Lastly, the study did not collect data on time since HIV diagnosis (i.e., was the participant diagnosed HIV-positive last month or has the participant been coping with HIV for years). People living with HIV for a longer time might have developed the necessary coping or risk-reduction strategies and might have different risk profiles from those who are newly diagnosed. For example, many HIV-positive MSM practice mostly unprotected receptive intercourse with seronegative or unknown status partners to reduce the likelihood of HIV transmission (36).

While major efforts in HIV prevention to date have focused on working with at-risk populations, there is a dire need to develop innovative strategies to retain HIV-positive individuals in care. Stigma against HIV/AIDS, homosexuality, race, and other social issues remains a major barrier for retention in care particularly among African American and Latino MSM (9, 16–20). To avoid the stigma, many individuals have turned to the Internet for HIV-related information and social support to cope with their positive status (27–31, 37), as SNS technologies have been used to facilitate intimacy and online communities for people to share sensitive information about sexual behaviors and HIV with HIV at-risk populations (32, 33). In addition, SNS technologies have been effective in educating at-risk populations on HIV prevention behaviors (32, 33). The implications of using SNS technologies for HIV prevention are immense as more research is calling for interventions to educate HIV-positive individuals on disclosing HIV status and negotiating safer sex to reduce further HIV re-infection and transmission (15).

## REFERENCES

1. CDC. Monitoring selected national HIV prevention and care objectives by using HIV surveillance data- United States and 6, U.S. dependent areas- 2010. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2012
2. CDC. [cited 2014 2/21] HIV/AIDS Statistics Overview Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2013. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/statistics/basics/>.
3. CDC. [cited 2014 2/21] HIV Among, Gay Bisexual, and Other. Men Who Have Sex With Men: Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/risk/gender/msm/facts/index.html>.
4. Hall HI, Byers RH, Ling Q, Espinoza L. Racial/Ethnic and Age Disparities in HIV Prevalence and Disease Progression Among Men Who Have Sex With Men in the United States. *American journal of public health*. 2007; 97(6):1060–1066. 2007/06/01. [PubMed: 17463370]
5. Blair JM, Fleming PL, Karon JM. Trends in AIDS incidence and survival among racial/ethnic minority men who have sex with men United States: 1990–1999. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)*. 2002; 31(3):339–347. [PubMed: 12439211]
6. Control CfD Prevention. Unrecognized HIV infection, risk behaviors, and perceptions of risk among young black men who have sex with men--six US cities: 1994–1998. *MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report*. 2002; 51(33):733. [PubMed: 12201605]
7. Harawa NT, Greenland S, Bingham TA, Johnson DF, Cochran SD, Cunningham WE, et al. Associations of race/ethnicity with HIV prevalence and HIV-related behaviors among young men who have sex with men in 7 urban centers in the United States. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2004; 35(5):526–536.
8. Bogart LM, Wagner G, Galvan FH, Banks D. Conspiracy beliefs about HIV are related to antiretroviral treatment nonadherence among African American men with HIV. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)*. 2010; 53(5):648. [PubMed: 19952767]
9. Malebranche DJ. Black men who have sex with men and the HIV epidemic: next steps for public health. *American journal of public health*. 2003; 93(6)
10. Walensky RP, Paltiel AD, Losina E, Morris BL, Scott CA, Rhode ER, et al. Test and treat DC: forecasting the impact of a comprehensive HIV strategy in Washington DC. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2010; 51(4):392–400. [PubMed: 20617921]
11. Test and Treat: a New Paradigm for Slowing the Spread of HIV. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration. 2012
12. Kennedy CE, Medley AM, Sweat MD, O'Reilly KR. Behavioural interventions for HIV positive prevention in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2010; 88(8):615–623. [PubMed: 20680127]
13. Positive Prevention - Prevention Strategies for People with HIV/AIDS International HIV/AIDS Alliance. 2003
14. Kalichman SC, Rompa D, Cage M, DiFonzo K, Simpson D, Austin J, et al. Effectiveness of an intervention to reduce HIV transmission risks in HIV-positive people. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2001; 21(2):84–92. [PubMed: 11457627]
15. Crepaz N, Marks G. Serostatus disclosure, sexual communication and safer sex in HIV-positive men. *Aids Care*. 2003; 15(3):379–387. [PubMed: 12745398]
16. Malebranche DJ, Peterson JL, Fullilove RE, Stackhouse RW. Race and sexual identity: perceptions about medical culture and healthcare among Black men who have sex with men. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2004; 96(1):97–107. [PubMed: 14746359]
17. Wheeler DP. Exploring HIV prevention needs for nongay-identified Black and African American men who have sex with men: a qualitative exploration. *Sexually transmitted diseases*. 2006; 33(7):S11–S16. [PubMed: 16614589]
18. Mays VM, Cochran SD, Zamudio A. HIV prevention research: Are we meeting the needs of African American men who have sex with men? *Journal of Black Psychology*. 2004; 30(1):78–105. [PubMed: 20041036]

19. Kraft JM, Beeker C, Stokes JP, Peterson JL. Finding the “community” in community-level HIV/AIDS interventions: Formative research with young African American men who have sex with men. *Health Education & Behavior*. 2000; 27(4):430–441. [PubMed: 10929751]
20. Betancourt JR, Green AR, Carrillo JE, Ananeh-Firempong O 2nd. Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public health reports*. 2003; 118(4):293. [PubMed: 12815076]
21. Pew Research Center. *Social Networking Use*: Pew Research Center. 2013
22. Duggan, M.; Smith, A. *Social Media update 2013*. Washington D.C.: Pew Research Center; 2013.
23. Madden, M.; Lenhart, A.; Cortesi, S.; Gasser, U.; Duggan, M.; Smith, A., et al. *Teens, social media, and privacy*. Washington D.C.: Pew Research Center; 2013.
24. Harris Interactive. *Gays, Lesbians and Bisexuals Lead in Usage of Online Social Networks 2007* October 24. 2008 Available from: [http://www.witeckcombs.com/news/releases/20070102\\_socialnetworks.pdf](http://www.witeckcombs.com/news/releases/20070102_socialnetworks.pdf).
25. Smith A. *Technology Trends Among People of Color*. Pew Research Center. 2010
26. Young SD, Szekeres G, Coates T. The Relationship between Online Social Networking and Sexual Risk Behaviors among Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM). *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8(5):e62271. [PubMed: 23658716]
27. Reeves PM. Coping in cyberspace: the impact of Internet use on the ability of HIV-positive individuals to deal with their illness. *Journal of Health communication*. 2000; 5(sup1):47–59. [PubMed: 11010356]
28. Mo PK, Coulson NS. Exploring the communication of social support within virtual communities: A content analysis of messages posted to an online HIV/AIDS support group. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*. 2008; 11(3):371–374. [PubMed: 18537512]
29. Kalichman SC, Benotsch EG, Weinhardt LS, Austin J, Luke W. Internet use among people living with HIV/AIDS: association of health information, health behaviors, and health status. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2002; 14(1):51–61. [PubMed: 11900110]
30. Kalichman SC, Weinhardt L, Benotsch E, DiFonzo K, Luke W, Austin J. Internet access and internet use for health information among people living with HIV–AIDS. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2002; 46(2):109–116. [PubMed: 11867240]
31. Kalichman SC, Benotsch EG, Weinhardt L, Austin J, Luke W, Cherry C. Health-related Internet use, coping, social support, and health indicators in people living with HIV/AIDS: Preliminary results from a community survey. *Health Psychology*. 2003; 22(1):111. [PubMed: 12558209]
32. Young SD, Cumberland WG, Lee S-J, Jaganath D, Szekeres G, Coates T. Social Networking Technologies as an Emerging Tool for HIV Prevention A Cluster Randomized Trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2013; 159(5):318–324. [PubMed: 24026317]
33. Bull SS, Levine DK, Black SR, Schmiede SJ, Santelli J. Social Media–Delivered Sexual Health Intervention: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2012; 43(5):467–474. 11//; [PubMed: 23079168]
34. Young SD. Recommended guidelines on using social networking technologies for HIV prevention research. *AIDS and behavior*. 2012:1–3. [PubMed: 21476006]
35. Harawa NT, Williams JK, Ramamurthi HC, Bingham TA. Perceptions towards condom use, sexual activity, and HIV disclosure among HIV-positive African American men who have sex with men: implications for heterosexual transmission. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2006; 83(4):682–694. [PubMed: 16736115]
36. Parsons JT, Halkitis PN, Wolitski RJ, Gómez CA. Study Team TSUMs. Correlates of sexual risk behaviors among HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2003; 15(5):383–400. [PubMed: 14626462]
37. Berger M, Wagner TH, Baker LC. Internet use and stigmatized illness. *Social science & medicine*. 2005; 61(8):1821–1827. [PubMed: 16029778]

**Table 1**

Basic demographics by HIV status

	HIV(-) (n =97)		HIV(+) (n=15)	
	n	%	n	%
Age+	30.38	9.24	42.29	10.3
Sexual orientation				
Gay	74	76.3	11	73.3
Bisexual	18	18.6	3	20
Heterosexual/others <sup>a</sup>	5	5.2	1	6.7
Race				
Black/African American	26	26.8	5	33.3
Latino	61	62.9	6	40
Others <sup>b</sup>	10	10.3	4	26.7
Highest education level				
High school/G.E.D	41	42.3	3	20
Associate degree	20	20.6	5	33.3
Bachelors degree	28	28.9	2	13.3
Graduate school	8	8.2	5	33.3
Employment status				
Unemployed/student/others <sup>c</sup>	41	42.3	6	40
Part-time	23	23.7	2	13.3
Fulltime	33	34	7	46.7
Marital status				
Single	81	83.5	11	73.3
Married/partner	11	11.3	2	13.3
Divorced/widowed/others <sup>d</sup>	5	5.2	2	13.3
Primary access of Internet				
Computer/others <sup>e</sup>	81	83.5	14	93.3
Cellphones	16	16.5	1	6.7



Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

<sup>b</sup> Heterosexual and don't know

<sup>c</sup> White, Asian/Pacific Islanders, and American Indian/Alaska Native

<sup>d</sup> Unemployed, disabled (not able to work), fulltime stay home dads, students, and retired

<sup>e</sup> Divorced, separated, widowed, and others

<sup>f</sup> Home, library, office/work, school, and Internet cafés

<sup>+</sup>  $p < 0.001$

**Table II**

Online social network use by HIV status

	HIV(-)		HIV(+)	
	n	%	n	%
Time spent on online social networks daily				
None	2	2.1	0	0
0-1 hour	24	24.7	3	20
1-2 hours	31	32	7	46.7
3-4 hours	16	16.5	3	20
4-5 hours	10	10.3	2	13.3
5 or more hours	14	14.4	0	0
Comfort level discussing HIV/STI status on online social networks +				
Very uncomfortable	13	13.8	6	40
Uncomfortable	7	7.4	2	13.3
Average	11	11.7	2	13.3
Comfortable	27	28.7	2	13.3
Very comfortable	36	38.3	3	20
Frequency of talking about HIV/AIDS on online social networks				
0 times	32	33	6	40
1-5 times	33	34	7	46.7
6-10 times	12	12.4	0	0
11-15 times	7	7.2	1	6.7
16-20 times	3	3.1	0	0
21-25 times	3	3.1	0	0
25+ times	7	7.2	1	6.7
The ease of using online social networks to meet new sexual partners in comparison to face-to-face encounters				
Much more difficult	6	7.1	1	7.1

	HIV(-)		HIV(+)	
	n	%	n	%
Difficult	3	3.6	1	7.1
Neither more difficult nor easier	16	19	3	21.4
Easier	23	27.4	4	28.6
Much easier to meet people	36	42.9	5	35.7
The Importance of using online social networks to meet new sexual partners				
Not at all important	33	34.4	7	46.7
Somewhat important	11	11.5	1	6.7
Neither important nor unimportant	22	22.9	3	20
Important	16	16.7	2	13.3
Very important	14	14.6	2	13.3

+ p < 0.05

**Table III**

Sexual risk behaviors by HIV status

	HIV (-)		HIV (+)	
	N	%	n	%
Mean (sd)	3.2	3.85	10.2	25.28
No. of sexual partners met using online social networks <sup>+</sup>				
Mean (sd)	4.96	7.21	11.77	26.96
No. of male sexual partners <sup>+</sup>				
Mean (sd)	2.47	5.08	9.54	27.4
No. of one time sexual partners <sup>+</sup>				
Frequency of unprotected receptive anal sex				
0 times	51	57.3	7	53.8
1-10 times	33	37.1	5	38.5
11-20 times	2	2.2	1	7.7
21-30 times	0	0	0	0
31-40 times	1	1.1	0	0
41-50 times	0	0	0	0
50+ times	2	2.2	0	0
Frequency of unprotected insertive anal sex				
0 times	47	52.8	7	53.8
1-10 times	35	39.3	4	30.8
11-20 times	4	4.5	2	15.4
21-30 times	1	1.1	0	0
31-40 times	0	0	0	0
41-50 times	1	1.1	0	0
50+ times	1	1.1	0	0

<sup>+</sup> p < 0.05

**Table IV**

Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for sexual risk behaviors and online social network use by HIV status

	<b>AORs (B)</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	
No. of sexual partners met using online social networks <sup>++</sup>	8.04	2.11	13.97
No. of male sexual partners <sup>+</sup>	9.09	1.52	16.66
No. of one time sexual partners <sup>+</sup>	8.99	1.9	16.07
Comfort level discussing HIV/STI status on online social networks <sup>+</sup>	0.23	0.072	0.71

<sup>+</sup> p < 0.05<sup>++</sup> p < 0.01

All models adjusted for age, race, and employment status