

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Assessment of Oral Conditions and Quality of Life in Morbid Obese and Normal Weight Individuals: A Cross-Sectional Study

Joselene Martinelli Yamashita¹, Patrícia Garcia de Moura-Grec¹, Adriana Rodrigues de Freitas^{1‡}, Arsênio Sales-Peres^{1‡}, Francisco Carlos Groppo², Reginaldo Ceneviva^{3‡}, Sílvia Helena de Carvalho Sales-Peres¹*

1 Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Orthodontics and Public Health, Bauru School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Bauru, SP, Brazil, **2** Department of Surgery and Anatomy, School of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, **3** Department of Physiological Sciences, Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil

☞ These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

* shcperes@usp.br



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Yamashita JM, Moura-Grec PGd, Freitas ARd, Sales-Peres A, Groppo FC, Ceneviva R, et al. (2015) Assessment of Oral Conditions and Quality of Life in Morbid Obese and Normal Weight Individuals: A Cross-Sectional Study. *PLoS ONE* 10(7): e0129687. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129687

Editor: Sompop Bencharit, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, UNITED STATES

Received: February 6, 2015

Accepted: May 12, 2015

Published: July 15, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Yamashita et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The authors would like to thank CNPq, CAPES, and FAPESP for financial support through grants 558366/2010-4 and 2014/09550-6. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

The aim of this study was to identify the impact of oral disease on the quality of life of morbid obese and normal weight individuals. Cohort was composed of 100 morbid-obese and 50 normal-weight subjects. Dental caries, community periodontal index, gingival bleeding on probing (BOP), calculus, probing pocket depth, clinical attachment level, dental wear, stimulated salivary flow, and salivary pH were used to evaluate oral diseases. Socioeconomic and the oral impacts on daily performances (OIDP) questionnaires showed the quality of life in both groups. Unpaired Student, Fisher's Exact, Chi-Square, Mann-Whitney, and Multiple Regression tests were used ($p < 0.05$). Obese showed lower socio-economic level than control group, but no differences were found considering OIDP. No significant differences were observed between groups considering the number of absent teeth, bruxism, difficult mastication, calculus, initial caries lesion, and caries. However, saliva flow was low, and the salivary pH was changed in the obese group. Enamel wear was lower and dentine wear was higher in obese. More BOP, insertion loss, and periodontal pocket, especially the deeper ones, were found in obese subjects. The regression model showed gender, smoking, salivary pH, socio-economic level, periodontal pocket, and periodontal insertion loss significantly associated to obesity. However, both OIDP and BOP did not show significant contribution to the model. The quality of life of morbid obese was more negatively influenced by oral disease and socio-economic factors than in normal weight subjects.

Introduction

Obesity is defined as a chronic disease affecting the general health due to the abnormal or excessive body fat accumulated [1, 2]. Nutritional habits, technological advancements, and

industrialized food associated with sedentary lifestyle have been related as the main causes of the epidemic obesity [3, 4]. The origin of obesity is recognizably multifactorial and related with the interaction of genetic, metabolic, social, cultural and behavioral factors [5].

Obesity is a worldwide epidemic affecting not only developed countries, but also the developing world, where a significant increase in overweight and obesity has been observed [6]. Many of obesity consequences increase the risk of premature death [7], and directly affect the quality of life of affected subjects [5, 8]. Furthermore, it increases comorbidities and complications in both systemic and oral health [9].

Coronary heart disease, hypertension and stroke, certain types of cancer, diabetes, gallbladder disease, dyslipidemia, osteoarthritis, gout, and pulmonary diseases, including sleep apnea [10] are some comorbidities directly related to obesity. Obesity has been associated with oral conditions such as periodontal disease [11, 12], dental caries [13], dental wear [14, 15] and xerostomia [16]. As part of overall general health [9], oral health is relevant in primary prevention and risk-reduction strategies [9, 17, 18].

Considering the ever-increasing prevalence of obesity and the possible relationship between obesity and oral disease, the aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of oral disease on the quality of life in both morbid obese and normal weight subjects.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Sample size was calculated by using Statistica software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, USA), considering significance level set at 5%, 80% of power, and a sample ratio of 2:1, which resulted in 96 subjects in the experimental group. A cross-sectional study was designed with 100 (23 males and 77 females) morbid obese subjects (obese group) during preoperative care for surgery, and 50 (6 males and 44 females) normal-weight subjects (control group). Subjects were recruited and examined at the Clinical Hospital at the School of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto–USP from 2012 to 2013.

The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines, being all procedures approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the Bauru School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Brazil (Proc. n°196/2011). All subjects signed a written consent form regarding their participation in the study.

Anthropometric assessment

Individual body mass index (BMI) was obtained by dividing the subjects' weight (in kg) by their height raised to the power of 2 (in m²). Weight was obtained in an automatic weighing apparatus (MIC model 300PP, Micheletti Ind., max capacity 300 kg), and height in a stadiometer (Wood 2.20, WCS Ind., Brazil). Subjects were considered obese when BMI was ≥ 40.0 kg/m² and normal-weight when BMI 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m² [10].

Socioeconomic and quality of life surveys

All subjects were submitted to a socioeconomic survey and to the Oral Impacts on Daily Performances (OIDP), which is used to determine quality of life conditions.

The socioeconomic questionnaire included 5 questions regarding 1) monthly family income; 2) number of family members; 3) education level; 4) housing status; and 5) occupation. To each question, a number from 0 to 10 was assigned according to previous defined scores [19–21]. After summing the scores of those five questions, the total score was used to classify the subjects into five socioeconomic ranks: 1) high (final score from 9 to 10); 2) average-high

(final score from 7 to 8.9); 3) average (final score from 5 to 6.9); 4) average-low (final score from 3 to 4.9); and 5) low (final score from 1 to 2.9).

The OIDP survey was used to observe how the oral conditions influenced the subjects to perform the following activities on the past 6 months: 1) physical activities—eating and enjoying food, speaking and pronouncing clearly, cleaning teeth; 2) psychological activities—sleeping and relaxing, smiling and showing teeth without embarrassment, maintaining usual emotional state; and 3) social activities—carrying out major work and social activities, enjoying contact with other people [22]. A score considering the frequency (1 to 5) and the severity of the impact (0 to 5) was attributed to each question. The frequency was then multiplied by the severity in order to establish a score for each one of the three activities. The total OIDP score was the sum of scores of all activities (physical + psychological + social), and sums different from zero were classified according to the following distribution: 1) low (1–15); 2) medium (16–45); and 3) high (46–200). In addition, OIDP of each subject was classified as “0” for the subjects without impact (OIDP = 0); and as “1” for those subjects with impact (OIDP > 0).

Besides the anthropometric and socioeconomic/quality-of-life assessments, information regarding smoking, hypertension, and diabetes was also obtained. Only well-controlled subjects with hypertension and/or diabetes were admitted in the study. These conditions were controlled by using different drug combinations. Since different drug protocols were used, this information was not addressed in the statistics.

Dental examination

All oral examinations were conducted by a previously calibrated dentist ($Kappa > 0.90$). This clinical examination evaluated dental caries according to the International Caries Detection & Assessment System II—ICDAS II [23].

Community Periodontal Index (CPI) was used to evaluate gingival bleeding on probing (BOP), calculus, and probing pocket depth (PPD). The Clinical Attachment Level (CAL) was used to assess periodontal diseases, estimating the cumulative destruction of the teeth supporting tissues, in accordance to the World Health Organization (WHO) [24].

Both prevalence and severity of dental wear were evaluated according to the Dental Wear Index (DWI) [25]. Salivary flow was measured according to the circadian cycle (from 9 to 11 am) by collecting stimulated saliva, which was obtained directly from subjects every minute, after they chew a rubber-band piece for 5 min. The flow was considered as “low” when under 1 mL/min [26]. Salivary pH was measured by pH strips (MerckMerck/EMD, São Paulo, Brazil), being pH 6 considered acid, 7 normal, and pH 8 alkaline [27].

Data analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out by Systat 13.0, BioEstat 5.0, and GraphPad 6.0. Shapiro-Wilk’s and Levene’s tests were used to observe data normality and homoscedasticity of variances, respectively. Differences between groups were analyzed by Unpaired Student’s *t* (with or without Welch’s correction), Chi-square (with Yates’s correction), Fisher’s Exact, and Mann-Whitney’s tests. In addition, Spearman’s test was used to observe possible correlation among some variables. Direct dependency between obesity and categorical data was assessed by a logistic regression. A significance level of 5% was set for all tests.

Results

[Table 1](#) shows the demographics and the anthropometric assessment of both groups. Obese volunteers were older and heavier than the ones in control group, but no significant differences

Table 1. Demographics and the anthropometric assessment of both groups.

	Control (n = 50)	Obese (n = 100)	p	Test
Age in years (mean±SEM)	31.3 (± 1.3)	37.6 (±1.0)	0.0007	Unpaired t
Weight in kg (mean±SEM)	59.6 (±1.3)	138.1 (±2.9)	< 0.0001	Unpaired t/Welch correction
Height in meters (mean±SEM)	1.65 (±0.01)	1.65 (±0.01)	0.83	Unpaired t
BMI in kg/m² (mean±SEM)	21.9 (±0.3)	50.9 (±0.9)	< 0.0001	Unpaired t/Welch correction
Female	44 (88%)	77 (77%)	0.17	Chi-square/Yates correction
Male	6 (12%)	23 (23%)		
Smoker	9 (18%)	7 (7%)	0.08	Chi-square/Yates correction
Non-Smoker	41 (82%)	93 (93%)		
Hypertension	2 (4%)	58 (58%)	< 0.0001	Fisher's Exact
Non-Hypertension	48 (96%)	42 (42%)		
Diabetes	-	24 (24%)	< 0.0001	Fisher's Exact
Non-Diabetes	50 (100%)	76 (76%)		
Socio-economic level index (mean±SEM)	6.6 (±0.26)	5.1 (±0.15)	< 0.0001	Unpaired t
Low socio-economic level	2 (4%)	6 (6%)	< 0.0001	Chi-square/Yates correction
Low to average socio-economic level	6 (12%)	40 (40%)		
Average socio-economic level	19 (38%)	44 (44%)		
Average to high socio-economic level	19 (38%)	9 (9%)		
High socio-economic level	4 (8%)	1 (1%)		
Total OIDP (median/interquartile deviation)	0 (3)	0 (15)	0.10	Mann-Whitney
No impact	35 (70%)	58 (58%)	0.09	Chi-square/Yates correction
Low OIDP	10 (20%)	19 (19%)		
Average OIDP	4 (8%)	10 (10%)		
High OIDP	1 (2%)	13 (13%)		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129687.t001

were observed between their heights. Thus, the main component of BMI of both groups was the volunteers' weight.

Gender proportions did not differ between groups, but there were more females in both groups. In fact, the proportions between females and males followed a 4:1 ratio in both control (p = 0.17) and obese (p = 0.57) groups. No differences regarding smoking habits were found between groups, and smokers consumed similar quantity of cigarettes/day in both groups (control = 9.1±1.6; obese = 8.7±0.6, p = 0.53).

As expected, both hypertension (Odds ratio = 33.1, p<0.0001) and diabetes (Odds ratio = 32.5, p<0.0001) were more prevalent in obese than in control volunteers.

A lower measurement of the socio-economic level was observed in obese than in control group. In addition, no significant differences were observed between groups in both proportions of high and low socio-economic levels, but higher proportions of average-to-low socio-economic levels were observed in obese volunteers. No significant differences were observed between groups considering OIDP.

Table 2 shows no significant differences between groups considering the number of absent teeth, bruxism, difficult mastication, calculus, initial caries lesion, and caries. However, salivary flow was low in obese volunteers. The salivary pH was also different in obese, presenting a tendency to be lower (p = 0.0028) or higher (p = 0.0017) than the control volunteers. Enamel wear was lower in obese, but dentine was higher than controls. Obese group exhibited more BOP and periodontal pocket, especially the deeper ones, than the control group. Insertion loss (CAL) was also more frequent in obese volunteers.

Table 2. Oral health conditions of both groups.

	Control (n = 50)	Obese (n = 100)	p	test
Salivary flow (median/interquartile deviation)	0.9 (0.55)	0.6 (0.59)	0.0024	Mann-Whitney
Number of absent teeth (median/interquartile deviation)	4 (3)	4 (6)	0.10	Mann-Whitney
Number of teeth with enamel alterations (median/interquartile deviation)	3.5 (6)	3 (6)	0.97	Mann-Whitney
Enamel wear (median/interquartile deviation)	26.5 (8.8)	21.0 (9.5)	0.0027	Mann-Whitney
Dentine wear (median/interquartile deviation)	5.2 (8.1)	12.5 (13.1)	0.0004	Mann-Whitney
Total wear (median/interquartile deviation)	33.3 (0.7)	33.3 (1.4)	0.31	Mann-Whitney
Salivary pH 6	6 (12%)	30 (30%)	<0.01	Chi-square/Yates correction/ Fisher's Exact
Salivary pH 7	40 (80%)	44 (44%)		
Salivary pH 8	4 (8%)	25 (25%)		
No bruxism	43 (86%)	79 (79%)	0.46	Chi-square/Yates correction
Bruxism	7 (14%)	21 (21%)		
No difficult mastication	43 (86%)	77 (77%)	0.28	Chi-square/Yates correction
Difficult mastication	7 (14%)	23 (23%)		
No bleeding on probing	38 (76%)	45 (45%)	0.0006	Chi-square/Yates correction
Bleeding on probing	12 (24%)	55 (55%)		
No calculus	5 (10%)	3 (3%)	0.12	Fisher's Exact
Calculus	45 (90%)	97 (97%)		
No periodontal pocket	40 (80%)	43 (43%)	< 0.0001	Chi-square/Yates correction
Periodontal pocket	10 (20%)	57 (57%)		
CPI—Sound teeth	5 (10%)	2 (2%)	< 0.0001	Chi-square/Yates correction
CPI—Calculus	36 (72%)	41 (41%)		
CPI—PPD 4 to 5 mm	9 (18%)	49 (49%)		
CPI—PPD 6 mm or more	0 (0%)	8 (8%)		
CAL between 0 and 3 mm	49 (98%)	69 (69%)	0.0001	Chi-square/Yates correction
CAL between 4 and 5 mm	1 (2%)	18 (18%)		
CAL between 6 and 8 mm	(0%)	12 (12%)		
CAL between 12 mm or more	(0%)	1 (1%)		
No initial caries lesion	9 (18%)	10 (10%)	0.26	Chi-square/Yates correction
Initial caries lesion	41 (82%)	90 (90%)		
No caries	41 (82%)	66 (66%)	0.07	Chi-square/Yates correction
Caries	9 (18%)	34 (34%)		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129687.t002

Possible correlations among BMI and the other factors are shown in Table 3. All correlations, except between BMI and total wear, were significant ($p < 0.05$), but all of them were weak

Table 3. Correlation among BMI and the other factors observed.

	Spearman R_o (rS)	p
Salivary flow	-0.21	0.0111
Economical level	-0.41	<0.0001
OIDP	0.24	0.0031
Enamel wear	-0.28	0.0005
Dentine wear	0.33	<0.0001
Total wear	0.05	0.5155

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129687.t003

Table 4. Logistic regression parameters among obesity and other categorical data.

	B	S.E.	Wald	Sig. (p)	Exp(B)	IC95% of EXP(B)	
						Lower	Upper
Gender	-1.42	0.64	4.98	0.0256	0.24	0.07	0.84
Smoking	2.63	0.86	9.4	0.0021	13.93	2.59	75.05
Salivary pH	-2.07	0.56	13.61	0.0002	0.13	0.04	0.38
Socio-economical level	1.84	0.58	9.95	0.0016	6.3	2.01	19.76
OIDP	-0.74	0.54	1.85	0.1743	0.48	0.16	1.39
BOP	-0.48	0.53	0.81	0.3689	0.62	0.22	1.76
Periodontal pocket	-1.75	0.57	9.43	0.0021	0.17	0.06	0.53
CAL	-2.77	1.14	5.87	0.0153	0.06	0.01	0.59
Constant	4.51	1.57	8.28	0.0040	90.67		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129687.t004

($r_s < 0.4$). Interestingly, both salivary flow and economical level were inversely proportional to BMI.

The logistic regression is shown in [Table 4](#). The following dichotomized variables were included to construct a model with adjustment for age: gender, smoking, salivary flow (1 = hyposalivation; 0 = normal flow), salivary pH (1 = pH 6 or 8; 0 = pH 7), socio-economical level (0 = low; others = 1); OIDP (without impact = 0; with impact = 1), BOP (1 = with BOP), calculus (1 = with calculus), periodontal pocket (1 = with at least one pocket), CPI (0 = 0, others = 1); and CAL (1 = with periodontal insertion loss). The best model was obtained after excluding salivary flow, calculus, and CPI. The model was significantly better than by chance to predict obesity (log-2 likelihood = 115.8, $p < 0.0001$). The Nagelkerke’s R^2 showed that the variables (except salivary flow, calculus, and CPI) are responsible for approximately 55% of variation of obesity. Hosmer & Lemeshow’s test showed the validity of the model (Chi-square = 4.629; $p = 0.796$), and the overall percentage of prediction obtained was 80%, considering a cutoff of 0.5.

The model shows that all predictors, except for OIDP and BOP, significantly contribute to obesity. The most important predictors in the model were smoking and socio-economical level. Low socio-economical level and smoking habit increased very much the odds for obesity.

Discussion

The main limitation of the present study was the difficult matching between subjects in both groups, regarding age and the socioeconomic status. However, logistic regression was designed to minimize this limitation.

Approximately 17% of Brazilian women are obese, while approximately 12% of men exhibits this condition [28]. The proportion between females and males in the present study did not reflect the Brazilian proportion between obese women and men, since the sample had more females (77%) than males. Usually, women seek more often the surgical treatment, probably because they care more about health and they are more unsatisfied with their body shape. Besides, it is well-known that the excess of weight could decrease the quality of life, being the image of thinness constantly promoted by media as a symbol of sexuality, beauty and success [29, 30]. Men prefer to use other services, such as pharmacies and emergency rooms than doctors in clinics or hospitals [31].

The “average” level prevailed as the socioeconomic status for both groups. However, the obese subjects showed a tendency to the lower socioeconomic levels, while the control subjects showed a tendency to the higher ones. The sample consisted mainly of subjects seeking the

Brazilian public health system, which provides treatment (including bariatric surgery) for obesity. In Brazil, individuals with high-socioeconomic status have better health plans, more access to healthy food and physical activity. The opposite seems to be true for those individuals with low-socioeconomic status, which have more consumption of animal foods, saturated fat, sugar, and less time for physical activity [32]. Thus, the socioeconomic status observed in the obese group was expected to be lower than their matching counterparts in the control group.

As expected, the obese group had a high percentage of individuals with hypertension. In fact, obesity is as an important risk factor for hypertension, causing increased blood pressure regardless the sodium intake [12, 33]. Effective treatments resulting in weight control have also reduced the blood pressure [34, 35]. Besides hypertension, obesity is a risk factor for diabetes [36]. The association between diabetes and periodontal disease progression was also previously studied. Hyperglycemia seems to cause structural alterations in periodontal tissues and impairment of the immune cells response [37, 38]. All the diabetic and hypertensive subjects in the present study were receiving drugs to treat their condition. No effect of hypertension was observed in any of the periodontal disease parameters in the obese group.

Psychological malaise, low self-esteem and associated comorbidities were previously pointed out as factors affecting the quality of life of obese patients [39]. However, in the present study, the quality of life measured by psychological aspects of OIDP survey did not differ between groups. In fact, none of the IODP survey components (data not shown) differed between obese and normal-weight subjects. Bariatric surgery has been associated with a decreased psychopathology rather than decreased body-weight and increased physical function [40]. In our study, obese subjects were close to the bariatric surgery intervention, and being treated by a multi-professional medical team, which included psychologists and psychiatrists. This medical care along with the subjects expectation could have contributed to the decreased psychological disorders and improved quality of life observed in the obese subjects of our study.

The association between obesity and dental caries remains controversial. A previous study showed obesity as a possible predictor for dental caries due to a high sugar ingestion in obese subjects [13]. In the present study, no differences between groups were observed regarding either initial or deeper caries lesions. Studies correlating obesity and dental caries in adults are scarce, and usually contradictory. For instance, Isaksson (2013) [41] and Östberg et al. (2012) [42], both studying Swedish adult obese and non-obese subjects, showed different conclusions. The first study observed obese individuals with more caries than the normal individuals, while the second study observed no association between the number of carious lesions and obesity.

Similarly, the association between obesity and periodontal disease is still unclear [12, 43–48]. Our results showed more severe periodontal disease (PPD, BOP, and CAL) in the obese group. Obesity causes “hyper-inflammatory” state, characterized by increased number of macrophages, leukocytes, and lymphocytes. These cells infiltrate in the adipose tissue, activating cytokines, which impair the local immune response [49]. Additionally, diabetes, which was present only in obese subjects in our study, has previously shown a great relationship with periodontal disease [37, 38], and it could be a confounder effect during data analysis. However, comparison between non-diabetic and diabetic obese subjects revealed no differences (data not shown) regarding any periodontal parameter (BOP, PPD, calculus, CAL, and CPI). Difficulty teeth’s cleaning was also not significant in the obese group, and it probably did not influence the periodontal disease verified in those subjects. Thus, it is possible that inflammatory state caused by obesity itself could be responsible for the periodontal disease severity observed here.

Dental wear (enamel + dentine wear) did not differ between groups, but dentine wear was more severe in the obese group, while enamel wear was more evident in the control group. Association between dental erosion and overweight/obesity in adults was previously reported [41]. Many factors, such as gastroesophageal reflux, bruxism, salivary pH, and age, may have

influenced this finding. Other studies showed a direct relationship between aging and dental wear [50, 51]. In fact, in our study, direct correlations were observed between dentine wear with both age (data now shown) and BMI. Interestingly, the correlations between enamel wear and age (data now shown) and BMI were both inverse.

Obesity is considered a risk factor for gastroesophageal reflux [52], which may cause dental erosion [53]. Despite the absence of data on gastroesophageal reflux episodes on the obese group, both consumption of acid food and reflux could be responsible for most of dental erosion in obese subjects. Anxiety is also associated with obesity [54], and it could cause episodes of bruxism [55], and dental attrition [53, 56]. In our study, subjects with bruxism showed more dentine wear, but no influence of bruxism was observed in enamel wear.

Salivary pH could also affect the dental erosion rate [57], and low salivary pH tended to be more related (data not shown) to both dentine and enamel wear in the present study. In addition, salivary flow rate was lower in obese subjects, which could contribute to periodontal disease and dental wear [14, 58–61]. Among many other causes, changes in salivary flow rate are attributed to drugs used to treat many systemic diseases, such as diabetes, hypertension, and depression [62]. In our study, the subjects in treatment to control hypertension showed low salivary flow rates, but diabetics did not show significant changes in salivary flow when compared to the non-diabetic subjects.

Despite the limitation on salivary pH analysis, which was measured by pH-strips with a limited degree of accuracy, obese tended to show variation in pH of saliva. In the obese subjects, slightly acid or slightly alkaline pHs were more frequent. In fact, salivary pH is affected by obesity, especially when metabolic syndrome is present, being the degeneration of acinar cells frequently related in diabetic and dyslipidemic subjects [63].

Our logistic regression model showed some variables with a significant impact in obesity. Among those variables, some of them such as gender, smoking, OI DP, and socio-economical level were not susceptible or less susceptible to changes. However, periodontal diseases (BOP, periodontal pockets, and CAL) and oral conditions (salivary pH) are subject to clinical intervention. The relationship between obesity and periodontal disease, irrefutably showed by logistic regression, was previously suggested by others authors [56, 64, 65].

Metabolic disturbances caused by obesity impair the general health, affecting virtually all organic systems [8, 66]. As showed in our study, oral diseases may also be part of the metabolic condition in obese subjects, compromising the general health of these individuals. Thus, oral care programs design for obese patients, implemented with other multidisciplinary care, could have a direct and positive impact in their general health.

Conclusion

The quality of life of morbid obese was more negatively influenced by oral disease and socio-economic factors than in normal weight subjects.

Supporting Information

S1 File. Distribution of all variables according to each volunteers.
(XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank CNPq, CAPES, and FAPESP for financial support through grants 558366/2010-4 (CNPq) and 2014/09550-6 (FAPESP). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JMY ASP SHCSP. Performed the experiments: JMY ARF PGMG. Analyzed the data: JMY FCG SHCSP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JMY. Wrote the paper: JMY PGMG FCG RC SHCSP.

References

1. Kopelman PG. Obesity as a medical problem. *Nature*. 2000; 404(6778):635–43. Epub 2000/04/15. PMID: [10766250](#)
2. WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation on obesity. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1998.
3. Krebs N, Langkammer C, Goessler W, Ropele S, Fazekas F, Yen K, et al. Assessment of trace elements in human brain using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2014; 28(1):1–7. Epub 2013/11/06. doi: [10.1016/j.jtemb.2013.09.006](#) PMID: [24188895](#)
4. WHO. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Report a WHO Consultation WHO Technical Report Series 916 GENEVA: World Health Organization. 2003.
5. Kolotkin RL, Meter K, Williams GR. Quality of life and obesity. *Obesity reviews: an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*. 2001; 2(4):219–29. Epub 2002/07/18.
6. Caballero B. The global epidemic of obesity: an overview. *Epidemiologic reviews*. 2007; 29:1–5. Epub 2007/06/16. PMID: [17569676](#)
7. Jung RT. Obesity as a disease. *British medical bulletin*. 1997; 53(2):307–21. Epub 1997/01/01. PMID: [9246838](#)
8. Dodson MV, Boudina S, Albrecht E, Bucci L, Culver MF, Wei S, et al. A long journey to effective obesity treatments: is there light at the end of the tunnel? *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*. 2013; 238(5):491–501. Epub 2013/07/17.
9. Yuan JC, Lee DJ, Afshari FS, Galang MT, Sukotjo C. Dentistry and obesity: a review and current status in U.S. predoctoral dental education. *Journal of dental education*. 2012; 76(9):1129–36. Epub 2012/09/04. PMID: [22942408](#)
10. WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic Report a WHO Consultation WHO Technical Report Series 894 GENEVA: World Health Organization. 2000.
11. Bastos A, Falcão C, Pereira A, Pereira A, Alves C. Obesity and periodontal disease. *Brazilian Research in Pediatric Dentistry and Integratede Clinic*. 2005; 5(3):57–61.
12. Pataro AL, Costa FO, Cortelli SC, Cortelli JR, Abreu MH, Costa JE. Association between severity of body mass index and periodontal condition in women. *Clinical oral investigations*. 2012; 16(3):727–34. Epub 2011/05/11. doi: [10.1007/s00784-011-0554-7](#) PMID: [21556849](#)
13. Levine R. Obesity and oral disease—a challenge for dentistry. *British dental journal*. 2012; 213(9):453–6. Epub 2012/11/10. doi: [10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.1009](#) PMID: [23138800](#)
14. Barron RP, Carmichael RP, Marcon MA, Sandor GK. Dental erosion in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Can Dent Assoc*. 2003; 69(2):84–9. Epub 2003/02/01. PMID: [12559056](#)
15. Biccás BN, Lemme EM, Abrahao LJ Jr, Agüero GC, Alvariz A, Schechter RB. Higher prevalence of obesity in erosive gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Arquivos de gastroenterologia*. 2009; 46(1):15–9. Epub 2009/05/26. Maior prevalência de obesidade na doença do refluxo gastroesofágico erosiva. PMID: [19466304](#)
16. Modeer T, Blomberg CC, Wondimu B, Julihn A, Marcus C. Association between obesity, flow rate of whole saliva, and dental caries in adolescents. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2010; 18(12):2367–73. Epub 2010/03/27.
17. Curran AE, Caplan DJ, Lee JY, Paynter L, Gizlice Z, Champagne C, et al. Dentists' attitudes about their role in addressing obesity in patients: a national survey. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2010; 141(11):1307–16. Epub 2010/11/03. PMID: [21037188](#)
18. Touger-Decker R. Diet, cardiovascular disease and oral health: promoting health and reducing risk. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2010; 141(2):167–70. Epub 2010/02/04. PMID: [20123875](#)
19. Graciano M. Critérios de avaliação para classificação sócio-econômica Serv Social Soc. 1980; 1(3):181–93.
20. Kozłowski F. Relação entre o fator socioeconômico e a prevalência e a severidade de fluorose e cárie dentária. [Dissertação]. Piracicaba: Universidade Estadual de Campinas 2001.
21. Meneghim MdC, Kozłowski FC, Pereira AC, Ambrosano GM, Meneghim ZM. A socioeconomic classification and the discussion related to prevalence of dental caries and dental fluorosis. *Ciencia & saude*

- coletiva. 2007; 12(2):523–9. Epub 2007/08/08. Classificacao socioeconomica e sua discussao em relacao a prevalencia de carie e fluorose dentaria.
22. Adulyanon S, Sheiham A. Oral impacts on daily performances. In: Slade GD, ed Measuring oral health and quality of life. North Carolina 1997. p. 151–60.
 23. Ismail AI, Sohn W, Tellez M, Amaya A, Sen A, Hasson H, et al. The International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS): an integrated system for measuring dental caries. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology*. 2007; 35(3):170–8. Epub 2007/05/24. PMID: [17518963](#)
 24. WHO. Oral health surveys: basic methods. Geneva. 1997.
 25. de Carvalho Sales-Peres SH, Goya S, de Araujo JJ, Sales-Peres A, Lauris JR, Buzalaf MA. Prevalence of dental wear among 12-year-old Brazilian adolescents using a modification of the tooth wear index. *Public health*. 2008; 122(9):942–8. Epub 2008/07/08. doi: [10.1016/j.puhe.2007.12.008](#) PMID: [18602653](#)
 26. Diaz-Lobato S, Navarro JG, Perez-Rodriguez E. Dramatic functional improvement following bariatric surgery in a patient with pulmonary arterial hypertension and morbid obesity. *Chest*. 2008; 134(3):670; author reply -1. Epub 2008/09/10. doi: [10.1378/chest.08-1154](#) PMID: [18779208](#)
 27. Serratine A, Silva M. Validation of a simplified method for evaluating the salivary pH in children. *Pesq Bras Odontoped Clin Integr*. 2009; 9(2):217–21.
 28. IBGE IBdGeE. Available: http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/presidencia/noticias/noticia_visualizaphp?id_noticia=1699&id_pagina=1. 2010. Accessed 2012 Nov 1.
 29. Baceviciene M, Reklaitiene R, Tamosiunas A. Effect of excess body weight on quality of life and satisfaction with body image among middle-aged Lithuanian inhabitants of Kaunas city. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2009; 45(7):565–73. Epub 2009/08/12.
 30. Kjaerbye-Thygesen A, Munk C, Ottesen B, Kruger Kjaer S. Why do slim women consider themselves too heavy? A characterization of adult women considering their body weight as too heavy. *The International journal of eating disorders*. 2004; 35(3):275–85. Epub 2004/03/30. PMID: [15048943](#)
 31. Figueiredo W. Assistance to the men health: a challenge for the services of primary attention. *Ciencia & saude coletiva*. 2005; 10(1):105–9.
 32. Marmot MG, Bosma H, Hemingway H, Brunner E, Stansfeld S. Contribution of job control and other risk factors to social variations in coronary heart disease incidence. *Lancet*. 1997; 350(9073):235–9. Epub 1997/07/26. PMID: [9242799](#)
 33. Pischon N, Heng N, Bernimoulin JP, Kleber BM, Willich SN, Pischon T. Obesity, inflammation, and periodontal disease. *J Dent Res*. 2007; 86(5):400–9. Epub 2007/04/25. PMID: [17452558](#)
 34. Gordon NF, Scott CB, Levine BD. Comparison of single versus multiple lifestyle interventions: are the antihypertensive effects of exercise training and diet-induced weight loss additive? *The American journal of cardiology*. 1997; 79(6):763–7. Epub 1997/03/15. PMID: [9070555](#)
 35. McMahon FG, Weinstein SP, Rowe E, Ernst KR, Johnson F, Fujioka K. Sibutramine is safe and effective for weight loss in obese patients whose hypertension is well controlled with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *Journal of human hypertension*. 2002; 16(1):5–11. Epub 2002/02/13. PMID: [11840224](#)
 36. Cnop M, Landchild MJ, Vidal J, Havel PJ, Knowles NG, Carr DR, et al. The concurrent accumulation of intra-abdominal and subcutaneous fat explains the association between insulin resistance and plasma leptin concentrations: distinct metabolic effects of two fat compartments. *Diabetes*. 2002; 51(4):1005–15. Epub 2002/03/28. PMID: [11916919](#)
 37. Trombelli L, Farina R. A review of factors influencing the incidence and severity of plaque-induced gingivitis. *Minerva stomatologica*. 2013; 62(6):207–34. Epub 2013/07/06. PMID: [23828258](#)
 38. Gugliucci A. Glycation as the glucose link to diabetic complications. *The Journal of the American Osteopathic Association*. 2000; 100(10):621–34. Epub 2000/12/06. PMID: [11105451](#)
 39. Ferreira J. Diagnóstico e tratamento. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2005; 2005. 161–5 p.
 40. Mirijello A, D'Angelo C, Iaconelli A, Capristo E, Ferrulli A, Leccesi L, et al. Social phobia and quality of life in morbidly obese patients before and after bariatric surgery. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2015; 179:95–100. Epub 2015/04/12. doi: [10.1016/j.jad.2015.03.030](#) PMID: [25863007](#)
 41. Isaksson H. On dental caries and dental erosion in Swedish young adults. *Swedish dental journal Supplement*. 2013(232):1–60. Epub 2014/01/28.
 42. Ostberg AL, Bengtsson C, Lissner L, Hakeberg M. Oral health and obesity indicators. *BMC oral health*. 2012; 12:50. Epub 2012/11/22. doi: [10.1186/1472-6831-12-50](#) PMID: [23167443](#)
 43. Al-Zahrani MS, Bissada NF, Borawskit EA. Obesity and periodontal disease in young, middle-aged, and older adults. *Journal of periodontology*. 2003; 74(5):610–5. Epub 2003/06/21. PMID: [12816292](#)

44. Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Kiyohara Y, Kato I, Kubo M, Iida M, et al. Relationship between obesity, glucose tolerance, and periodontal disease in Japanese women: the Hisayama study. *Journal of periodontal research*. 2005; 40(4):346–53. Epub 2005/06/22. PMID: [15966913](#)
45. Sarlati F, Akhondi N, Ettehad T, Neyestani T, Kamali Z. Relationship between obesity and periodontal status in a sample of young Iranian adults. *International dental journal*. 2008; 58(1):36–40. Epub 2008/03/21. PMID: [18350852](#)
46. Ylostalo P, Suominen-Taipale L, Reunanen A, Knuutila M. Association between body weight and periodontal infection. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 2008; 35(4):297–304. Epub 2008/02/26. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01203.x](#) PMID: [18294226](#)
47. Pataro AL, Costa FO, Cortelli SC, Cortelli JR, Dupim Souza AC, Nogueira Guimaraes Abreu MH, et al. Influence of obesity and bariatric surgery on the periodontal condition. *Journal of periodontology*. 2012; 83(3):257–66. Epub 2011/07/05. doi: [10.1902/jop.2011.100782](#) PMID: [21721989](#)
48. Suvan J, Petrie A, Moles DR, Nibali L, Patel K, Darbar U, et al. Body Mass Index as a Predictive Factor of Periodontal Therapy Outcomes. *J Dent Res*. 2013. Epub 2013/10/30.
49. Amar S, Leeman S. Periodontal innate immune mechanisms relevant to obesity. *Molecular oral microbiology*. 2013; 28(5):331–41. Epub 2013/08/06. doi: [10.1111/omi.12035](#) PMID: [23911141](#)
50. Al-Zarea BK. Tooth surface loss and associated risk factors in northern Saudi Arabia. *ISRN Dentistry*. 2012; 2012:161565. Epub 2012/08/25. doi: [10.5402/2012/161565](#) PMID: [22919505](#)
51. Ibiyemi O, Taiwo JO. Some socio-demographic attributes as covariates in tooth wear among males in a rural community in Nigeria. *Ethiopian journal of health sciences*. 2012; 22(3):189–95. Epub 2012/12/05. PMID: [23209353](#)
52. El-Serag HB, Satia JA, Rabeneck L. Dietary intake and the risk of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a cross sectional study in volunteers. *Gut*. 2005; 54(1):11–7. Epub 2004/12/14. PMID: [15591498](#)
53. Moazzez R, Bartlett D, Anggiansah A. Dental erosion, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and saliva: how are they related? *Journal of dentistry*. 2004; 32(6):489–94. Epub 2004/07/09. PMID: [15240067](#)
54. Atlantis E, Goldney RD, Wittert GA. Obesity and depression or anxiety. *BMJ*. 2009; 339:b3868. Epub 2009/10/08. doi: [10.1136/bmj.b3868](#) PMID: [19808767](#)
55. Sutin AR, Terracciano A, Ferrucci L, Costa PT Jr. Teeth Grinding: Is Emotional Stability related to Bruxism? *Journal of research in personality*. 2010; 44(3):402–5. Epub 2010/09/14. PMID: [20835403](#)
56. Marsicano JA, de Moura-Grec PG, Bonato RC, Sales-Peres Mde C, Sales-Peres A, Sales-Peres SH. Gastroesophageal reflux, dental erosion, and halitosis in epidemiological surveys: a systematic review. *European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology*. 2013; 25(2):135–41. Epub 2012/11/01.
57. Lussi A, von Salis-Marincek M, Ganss C, Hellwig E, Cheaib Z, Jaeggi T. Clinical study monitoring the pH on tooth surfaces in patients with and without erosion. *Caries research*. 2012; 46(6):507–12. Epub 2012/07/21. doi: [10.1159/000339783](#) PMID: [22813943](#)
58. Fenoll-Palomares C, Munoz Montagud J, Sanchiz V, Herreros B, Hernández V, Mínguez M, et al. Unstimulated salivary flow rate, pH and buffer capacity of saliva in healthy volunteers. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 2004; 96(11):773–83. PMID: [15584851](#)
59. Lussi A, Jaeggi T, Schaffner M. Prevention and minimally invasive treatment of erosions. *Oral Health Prev Dent* 2004; 2 Suppl 1:321–5. PMID: [15646592](#)
60. Turner MD, Ship JA. Dry mouth and its effects on the oral health of elderly people. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2007; 138 Suppl:15S–20S. Epub 2008/04/23. PMID: [17761841](#)
61. Samnieng P, Ueno M, Shinada K, Zaitso T, Wright FA, Kawaguchi Y. Association of hyposalivation with oral function, nutrition and oral health in community-dwelling elderly Thai. *Community dental health*. 2012; 29(1):117–23. Epub 2012/04/10. PMID: [22482262](#)
62. Grisius MM. Salivary gland dysfunction: a review of systemic therapies. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics*. 2001; 92(2):156–62. Epub 2001/08/16. PMID: [11505261](#)
63. Tremblay M, Brisson D, Gaudet D. Association between salivary pH and metabolic syndrome in women: a cross-sectional study. *BMC oral health*. 2012; 12:40. Epub 2012/09/11. doi: [10.1186/1472-6831-12-40](#) PMID: [22958748](#)
64. de Moura-Grec PG, Marsicano JA, Rodrigues LM, de Carvalho Sales-Peres SH. Alveolar bone loss and periodontal status in a bariatric patient: a brief review and case report. *European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology*. 2012; 24(1):84–9. Epub 2011/10/18.
65. Moura-Grec PG, Assis VH, Cannabrava VP, Vieira VM, Siqueira TL, Anaguizawa WH, et al. Systemic consequences of bariatric surgery and its repercussions on oral health. *Arquivos brasileiros de cirurgia digestiva: ABCD = Brazilian archives of digestive surgery*. 2012; 25(3):173–7. Epub 2013/02/16.
66. Dodson MV, Mir PS, Hausman GJ, Guan LL, Du M, Jiang Z, et al. Obesity, metabolic syndrome, and adipocytes. *Journal of lipids*. 2011; 2011:721686. Epub 2011/08/04. doi: [10.1155/2011/721686](#) PMID: [21811683](#)