

Combination Therapy against Polymicrobial Infection, Including by NDM-1-Producing *Enterobacteriaceae* Resistant to Colistin

Itaru Nakamura,^a Natsuki Sakamoto,^b Yukiko Ida,^b Ryutaro Imai,^b Kotaro Aoki,^c Rina Ando,^d Tetsuo Yamaguchi,^c Hajime Matsumura,^b Tetsuya Matsumoto^d

Department of Infection Control and Prevention, Tokyo Medical University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan^a; Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo, Japan^b; Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Toho University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan^c; Department of Microbiology, Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo, Japan^d

A 49-year-old male presented with continuous recurrent chronic gluteal pyoderma. A total surgical excision of a subcutaneous abscess, followed by skin graft surgery, was performed. Three days postsurgery, skin engraftment failure was observed with local infection signs, including pain and suppuration at the surgical wound site. Subsequent microbiological culture revealed the presence of multiple-organism infections, including extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, New Delhi metallo-beta (β)-lactamase 1 (NDM-1)- and ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli*, NDM-1- and ESBL-producing *Citrobacter freundii*, *Proteus vulgaris*, and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). We analyzed whole-genome sequences of *E. coli* and *C. freundii* by next-generation sequencing (NGS) using MiSeq (Illumina), which showed the presence of *bla*NDM-1 and *bla*CTX-M-55 in both strains and of *bla*CMY-48 only in *C. freundii*.

The MICs for organisms detected from the pus culture are shown in Table 1. The breakpoint checkerboard plate method was used because it can simultaneously screen 19 type combinations of eight antibiotic agents at clinically achievable levels (1). Only the combination regimen of colistin (COL) with rifampin (RFP) was found to be effective against NDM-1-producing *E. coli* and *C. freundii* by this method. Further combination regimens were assessed using the Etest synergy test (2). The Etest synergy test was performed as a prediffusion technique where the first or second Etest strip was removed after 1 h, and the second or third strip was then placed directly where the previous strip had been removed. The results of the Etest synergy test against NDM-1-producing *E. coli* and *C. freundii* are shown in Table 1. All COL-based combination regimens resulted in a greater decrease in the MICs than with COL monotherapy. Tigecycline (TGC)-based regimens slightly increased the MICs compared with those of monotherapy, particularly in *E. coli*.

We determined that the optimal antibiotic combination against NDM-1- and/or ESBL-producing Gram-negative organisms was COL (intravenous, 150 mg twice a day) with RFP (oral, 300 mg twice a day) and meropenem (MEPM; intravenous, 1.0 g three times a day) and that the optimal antibiotic against MRSA was daptomycin (DAP; 350 mg once a day). As both reoperation and antibiotic treatment were needed in this case, we administered an 11-day course of the described antibiotic combination regimen. There was no postoperative infection recurrence or deterioration. No NDM-1-producing organisms were detected in recultured wound specimens.

Monotherapy with COL (3), TGC (4), or a chloramphenicol (5), as well as combination therapy (6), has been described for patients infected with NDM-1-producing bacteria. TGC monotherapy has been reported to have poor intrinsic activity, and the

TABLE 1 Identification of bacteria from pus culture and MICs of antibiotic agents^a

Agent	MIC (μ g/ml) for:		
	<i>E. coli</i> with NDM-1 and ESBL	<i>C. freundii</i> with NDM-1 and ESBL	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> with ESBL
CTX	>32	>32	>32
CAZ	>16	>16	<8
CFPM	>16	>16	>16
AZT	>16	>16	8
IPM-CS	>8	>8	<1
MEPM	>8	2	<1
LVFX	>4	<0.5	2
AMK	>32	>32	<4
RFP	>4	>4	>4
COL	3.0	3.0	<1
COL + RFP	1.0 ^b	1.0 ^b	
COL + RFP + IPM	1.5 ^b	1.0 ^b	
COL + RFP + LVFX	1.5 ^b	0.064 ^b	
COL + TGC + RFP	0.75 ^b	0.38 ^b	
COL + TGC + IPM	1.0 ^b	1.0 ^b	
TGC	0.75	0.75	
TGC + COL	1.0 ^c	0.75 ^c	
TGC + RFP	0.75 ^c	1.5 ^c	
TGC + LVFX	1.0 ^c	0.047 ^c	
TGC + IPM	1.0 ^c	0.5 ^c	

^a Abbreviations: AMK, amikacin; AZT, aztreonam; CAZ, ceftazidime; CFPM, cefepime; COL, colistin; CTX, cefotaxime; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; IPM-CS, imipenem-cilastatin; LVFX, levofloxacin; MEPM, meropenem; NDM-1, New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase; TGC, tigecycline. In the Etest synergy test, breakpoint matching at each Etest strip replacement was as follows: LVFX (1 μ g/ml), IPM-CS (1 μ g/ml), COL (2 μ g/ml), TGC (1 μ g/ml), and RFP (4 μ g/ml).

^b MIC of COL after preparation of other agents by the Etest.

^c MIC of TGC after preparation of other agents by the Etest.

possibility of antagonistic effects between COL and TGC has also been described (7). In addition, although we selected regimens without TGC in consideration of the gastrointestinal side effects,

Accepted manuscript posted online 1 June 2015

Citation Nakamura I, Sakamoto N, Ida Y, Imai R, Aoki K, Ando R, Yamaguchi T, Matsumura H, Matsumoto T. 2015. Combination therapy against polymicrobial infection, including by NDM-1-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* resistant to colistin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:5092–5093. doi:10.1128/AAC.00746-15.

Address correspondence to Tetsuya Matsumoto, tetsuya@tokyo-med.ac.jp.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

doi:10.1128/AAC.00746-15

TGC-based regimens might be an option for combination therapy based on the *in vitro* results of this case.

NDM-1-producing organisms and MRSA polymicrobial infection limited the selection of antimicrobial regimens in this case. The findings of the present case report might demonstrate the effectiveness of the combination of COL with RFP and a carbapenem, as this combination has previously shown activity *in vitro* (8). A prospective controlled study is required to examine this further.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a 2014 Tokyo Medical University research grant.

No author has a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Tateda K, Ishii Y, Matsumoto T, Yamaguchi K. 2006. 'Break-point Checkerboard Plate' for screening of appropriate antibiotic combinations against multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Scand J Infect Dis* 38:268–272. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00365540500440353>.
2. Pankey GA, Ashcraft DS. 2005. In vitro synergy of ciprofloxacin and gatifloxacin against ciprofloxacin-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 49:2959–2964. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.7.2959-2964.2005>.
3. Stone NR, Woodford N, Livermore DM, Howard J, Pike R, Mushtaq S, Perry C, Hopkins S. 2011. Breakthrough bacteraemia due to tigecycline-resistant *Escherichia coli* with New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM)-1 successfully treated with colistin in a patient with calciphylaxis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 66:2677–2678. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr337>.
4. Khajuria A, Praharaj AK, Grover N, Kumar M. 2013. First report of blaNDM-1 in *Raoultella ornithinolytica*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57:1092–1093. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02147-12>.
5. Mulvey MR, Grant JM, Plewes K, Roscoe D, Boyd DA. 2011. New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*, Canada. *Emerg Infect Dis* 17:103–106. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1701.101358>.
6. Rogers BA, Sidjabat HE, Silvey A, Anderson TL, Perera S, Li J, Paterson DL. 2013. Treatment options for New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase-harboring enterobacteriaceae. *Microb Drug Resist* 19:100–103. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2012.0063>.
7. Albur M, Noel A, Bowker K, MacGowan A. 2012. Bactericidal activity of multiple combinations of tigecycline and colistin against NDM-1-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56:3441–3443. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05682-11>.
8. Tangden T, Hickman RA, Forsberg P, Lagerback P, Giske CG, Cars O. 2014. Evaluation of double- and triple-antibiotic combinations for VIM- and NDM-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* by in vitro time-kill experiments. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58:1757–1762. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00741-13>.