

Activity of Imipenem with Relebactam against Gram-Negative Pathogens from New York City

Amabel Lapuebla,^a Marie Abdallah,^a Olawole Olafisoye,^a Christopher Cortes,^b Carl Urban,^b David Landman,^a John Quale^a

Division of Infectious Diseases, SUNY Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York, USA^a; Dr. James J. Rahal, Jr., Division of Infectious Diseases, New York Hospital Queens, Flushing, New York, USA^b

Imipenem with relebactam was active against *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Enterobacter* spp., including *K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC)-producing isolates. Loss of OmpK36 in KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates affected the susceptibility of this combination. Enhanced activity was evident against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, including isolates with depressed *oprD* and increased *ampC* expression. However, the addition of relebactam to imipenem did not provide added benefit against *Acinetobacter baumannii*. The combination of imipenem with relebactam demonstrated activity against KPC-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* and multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa*.

The spread of carbapenemases in *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii* has created therapeutic dilemmas for clinicians. In particular, the acquisition of metallo- β -lactamases and the class A enzyme *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) affords protection against virtually all β -lactam therapeutic agents (1). The worldwide dissemination of bacteria possessing *bla*_{KPC} has been especially striking (2). First reported in the northeastern United States in the 1990s, pathogens harboring this β -lactamase are now endemic in countries in Asia, South America, and Europe (2).

Novel β -lactamase inhibitors are being developed to restore the utility of β -lactam antibiotics against carbapenemase-producing pathogens (3, 4). Avibactam and relebactam are diazabicyclooctane inhibitors with activity against a wide spectrum of β -lactamases, including class A (extended-spectrum β -lactamases [ESBLs] and KPC) and class C (AmpC) enzymes (3, 4). In this study, we determined the activity of imipenem with relebactam against Gram-negative pathogens from medical centers in New York City.

Between November 2013 and January 2014, single patient isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter* spp., *P. aeruginosa*, and *A. baumannii* were collected from 11 hospitals in Brooklyn and Queens, New York. Susceptibility tests were performed in a central research laboratory using the agar dilution method, and results were interpreted according to CLSI guidelines (5). Isolates of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were presumed to harbor ESBLs if they were not susceptible to ceftazidime and/or ceftriaxone and did not have *bla*_{KPC}. Imipenem was tested both with and without the presence of relebactam (fixed concentration of 4 μ g/ml). For the purposes of this study, imipenem breakpoints were used to interpret susceptibility to imipenem plus relebactam. Cephalosporin-resistant isolates were tested by PCR for the presence of *bla*_{KPC}, *bla*_{OXA-23-type}, *bla*_{OXA-24-type}, *bla*_{VIM}, *bla*_{IMP}, and *bla*_{NDM} using previously described primers (6–9). Isolates of *K. pneumoniae* with *bla*_{KPC} and isolates of *A. baumannii* with *bla*_{OXA23-type} underwent genetic fingerprinting by the repetitive element palindromic PCR (rep-PCR) method with the ERIC-2 primer, as described previously (6).

Susceptibility testing of imipenem with and without relebactam was also performed with a collection of previously characterized isolates of *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *A. baumannii* (10–13). The expression of genes encoding β -lactamases, efflux

pumps, and porins was correlated with the MICs for imipenem with relebactam.

Surveillance study results. A total of 2,778 isolates of *E. coli* were gathered during the 3-month surveillance study. Susceptibilities are presented in Table 1. Of the *bla*_{KPC}-negative isolates, 383 were considered to have ESBLs and all were susceptible to imipenem. The imipenem MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values for the ESBL-producing isolates were 0.25 and 0.5 μ g/ml, respectively; with the addition of relebactam, the corresponding values were 0.25 and 0.25 μ g/ml. Five isolates harbored *bla*_{KPC}. For these 5 isolates, the imipenem MICs ranged from 0.5 to >32 μ g/ml. With the addition of relebactam, the MICs decreased to 0.12 to 0.5 μ g/ml.

A total of 891 isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were collected (Table 1). Of the *bla*_{KPC}-negative isolates, 185 were considered ESBL producers. All of the ESBL producers were susceptible to imipenem, with MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of 0.25 and 0.5 μ g/ml, respectively. With the addition of relebactam, the imipenem MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were 0.25 and 0.5 μ g/ml, respectively. For 111 isolates that harbored *bla*_{KPC}, the imipenem MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were 16 and >16 μ g/ml, respectively. With the addition of relebactam, the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values decreased to 0.25 and 1 μ g/ml, respectively; three isolates had MICs of 2 μ g/ml (intermediate resistance to imipenem). Twenty-three isolates with *bla*_{KPC}, from 11 different hospitals, underwent fingerprinting. Eight rep-PCR types were identified, including 12 isolates that belonged to one clone (data not shown).

There were 211 *Enterobacter* isolates (Table 1), including 90 *Enterobacter aerogenes* isolates and 120 *Enterobacter cloacae* iso-

Received 7 April 2015 Returned for modification 7 May 2015

Accepted 17 May 2015

Accepted manuscript posted online 26 May 2015

Citation Lapuebla A, Abdallah M, Olafisoye O, Cortes C, Urban C, Landman D, Quale J. 2015. Activity of imipenem with relebactam against Gram-negative pathogens from New York City. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 59:5029–5031. doi:10.1128/AAC.00830-15.

Address correspondence to John Quale, jquale@downstate.edu.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

doi:10.1128/AAC.00830-15

TABLE 1 Susceptibility results for *Enterobacteriaceae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *A. baumannii* isolates collected in surveillance study

Species and drug(s)	MIC ₅₀ (μg/ml)	MIC ₉₀ (μg/ml)	MIC range (μg/ml)	% susceptible
<i>E. coli</i> (n = 2,778)				
Ertapenem	0.008	0.03	≤0.002 to >32	99.6
Imipenem	0.25	0.25	≤0.03 to >32	99.9
Imipenem + relebactam	0.25/4	0.25/4	≤0.03/4 to 1/4	100
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n = 891)				
Ertapenem	≤0.125	8	≤0.125 to >8	86
Imipenem	0.25	4	0.06 to >16	88
Imipenem + relebactam	0.25/4	0.25/4	0.06/4 to 2/4	99.3
<i>bla</i> _{KPC} -possessing <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n = 111)				
Ertapenem	>8	>8	0.5 to >8	2
Imipenem	16	>16	0.5 to >16	9
Imipenem + relebactam	0.25/4	1/4	0.12/4 to 2/4	97
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp. (n = 211)				
Ertapenem	≤0.125	0.25	≤0.125 to >8	93
Imipenem	0.5	1	≤0.03 to >16	90
Imipenem + relebactam	0.25/4	0.5/4	≤0.03/4 to 2/4	99
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n = 490)				
Imipenem	2	16	≤0.03 to >16	70
Imipenem + relebactam	0.5/4	2/4	≤0.03/4 to >16/4	98
Imipenem-resistant <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n = 144)				
Imipenem	8	>16	4 to >16	0
Imipenem + relebactam	1/4	2/4	0.25/4 to >16/4	92
<i>A. baumannii</i> (n = 158)				
Imipenem	4	>16	≤0.03 to >16	49
Imipenem + relebactam	2/4	>16/4	≤0.03/4 to >16/4	51
<i>bla</i> _{OXA-23} -possessing <i>A. baumannii</i> (n = 58)				
Imipenem	>16	>16	≤0.03 to >16	12
Imipenem + relebactam	>16/4	>16/4	≤0.03/4 to >16/4	12

lates. Three *E. aerogenes* isolates and four *E. cloacae* isolates harbored *bla*_{KPC}. Of these seven isolates, six were not susceptible to imipenem; the MICs ranged from 0.5 to >16 μg/ml. With the addition of relebactam, the MICs ranged from 0.12 to 2 μg/ml, with six isolates being susceptible to imipenem.

Among 490 isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, the imipenem MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were 2 and 16 μg/ml, respectively (Table 1). These values decreased to 0.5 and 2 μg/ml, respectively, with the addition of relebactam. Among the 144 isolates that were not susceptible to imipenem, the addition of relebactam resulted in MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of 1 and 2 μg/ml, respectively.

Imipenem MICs with and without relebactam were similar among 158 isolates of *A. baumannii* (Table 1). Fifty-eight isolates were found to have *bla*_{OXA-23-like}, two carried *bla*_{OXA-24-like}, and one harbored *bla*_{KPC}. Eighteen isolates with *bla*_{OXA-23-like}, from eight hospitals, underwent fingerprinting. A total of 10 rep-PCR types were identified, including six belonging to a single clone (data not shown). For the isolates harboring *bla*_{OXA-23-like}, the imipenem MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were >16 and >16 μg/ml, respectively, with or without the addition of relebactam. Similarly, the imipenem MICs for the two isolates with *bla*_{OXA-24-like} did not change with the addition of relebactam. For a single isolate with *bla*_{KPC}, the imipenem MIC decreased from >16 μg/ml to 4 μg/ml with the addition of relebactam.

Results with previously characterized isolates. Fourteen previously characterized isolates of KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* were examined (10, 11). In the presence of relebactam, imipenem MICs did not correlate with the expression of *ramA*, *acrB*, or *bla*_{KPC}. Similarly, there was no correlation among 8 isolates without frameshift mutations in *ompK35*. Ten isolates had expression of *ompK36* greater than the control levels, with imipenem MICs ranging from 2 to >16 μg/ml. With the addition of relebactam, all of the imipenem MICs were 0.25 to 0.5 μg/ml. Four isolates had reduced expression of *ompK36*; the imipenem MICs for those isolates were 4, >16, >16, and >16 μg/ml. With the addition of relebactam, the imipenem MICs decreased to 0.5, 2, 2, and 8 μg/ml, respectively. The latter isolate did not have amplifiable *ompK36*.

Thirty previously characterized isolates of *P. aeruginosa* were analyzed (12); none possessed carbapenemases. Six isolates were wild type regarding *ampC* and *oprD* expression (similar to control). Imipenem MICs ranged from 2 to 4 μg/ml for this group, and all of the isolates had imipenem MICs of 1 μg/ml with the addition of relebactam. Fourteen isolates had reduced *oprD* expression with wild-type *ampC* expression. For these isolates, the imipenem MICs ranged from 1 to >16 μg/ml. With the addition of relebactam, the MICs decreased to 0.25 to 8 μg/ml (average, 1.8 ± 1.9 μg/ml). Ten isolates had reduced *oprD* expression and

upregulated *ampC* expression. The imipenem MICs for these isolates ranged from 2 to >16 µg/ml. With the addition of relebactam, the MICs ranged from 1 to 8 µg/ml (average, 4.6 ± 2.9 µg/ml).

Twenty-eight previously characterized isolates of *A. baumannii* were also included (13). In general, imipenem MICs were unchanged with the addition of relebactam. There was no clear relationship between the expression of *ampC*, *bla*_{oxa-51}, *adeB*, and *abeM* and the MICs for imipenem with relebactam.

The global spread of carbapenemases in pathogens that are already resistant to other classes of antibiotics has posed a serious therapeutic challenge for clinicians. RPX7009, avibactam, and relebactam are novel β-lactamase inhibitors with activity against primarily class A and class C β-lactamases (3, 4). When combined with imipenem, relebactam has demonstrated dose-dependent synergy against a small number of *Enterobacteriaceae* strains harboring *bla*_{KPC} (14, 15). In this report, relebactam (at a fixed concentration of 4 µg/ml) restored imipenem susceptibility to 97% of *K. pneumoniae* isolates with *bla*_{KPC}. When a group of well-characterized isolates were tested, downregulation of *ompK36* appeared to partially offset the protective effect of relebactam. Restoration of imipenem susceptibility was also found for a small number of *bla*_{KPC}-containing isolates of *E. coli* and *Enterobacter* spp.

In addition, relebactam with imipenem has demonstrated activity against *P. aeruginosa*, including isolates with depressed *oprD* and increased *ampC* expression (14, 15). In our study, the addition of relebactam resulted in approximately 4-fold decreases in the imipenem MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values, and imipenem susceptibility rates increased from 70% to 98% when relebactam was added. Restoration of imipenem activity was noted for isolates with depressed *oprD* expression, with or without increased *ampC* expression, although the MICs did continue to be higher than those for the wild-type isolates.

The addition of relebactam did not improve the activity of imipenem against *A. baumannii*, however. MICs were unchanged for isolates with overexpression of *ampC* and/or *bla*_{OXA-51}, suggesting a lack of relebactam activity against these enzymes. Diminished inhibitor activity has been observed against *K. pneumoniae* strains with OXA-48 and absent activity against pathogens harboring metallo-β-lactamases (15). Further development of new antimicrobial agents directed against pathogens harboring these β-lactamases is sorely needed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by a research grant from Merck & Co., Inc.

REFERENCES

1. Patel G, Bonomo RA. 2013. "Stormy waters ahead": global emergence of carbapenemases. *Front Microbiol* 4:48. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00048>.
2. Nordmann P, Cuzon G, Nass T. 2009. The real threat of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing bacteria. *Lancet Infect Dis* 9:228–236. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(09\)70054-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70054-4).
3. Drawz SM, Papp-Wallace KM, Bonomo RA. 2014. New β-lactamase inhibitors: a therapeutic renaissance in an MDR world. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58:1835–1846. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00826-13>.
4. Toussaint KA, Gallagher JC. 2015. β-Lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations: from then to now. *Ann Pharmacother* 49:86–98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1060028014556652>.
5. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2014. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 24th informational supplement. Approved standard M100-S24. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
6. Landman D, Babu E, Shah N, Kelly P, Olawole O, Backer M, Bratu S, Quale J. 2012. Transmission of carbapenem-resistant pathogens in New York City hospitals: progress and frustration. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 67:1427–1431. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks063>.
7. Woodford N, Ellington MJ, Coelho JM, Turtton JF, Ward E, Brown S, Amyes SGB, Livermore DM. 2006. Multiplex PCR for genes encoding prevalent OXA carbapenemases in *Acinetobacter* spp. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 27:351–353. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.01.004>.
8. Nordmann P, Poirel L. 2002. Emerging carbapenemases in Gram-negative aerobes. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 8:321–331. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-0691.2002.00401.x>.
9. Doumith M, Warner M, Weinben M, Livermore DM, Woodford N. Dissemination of a novel metallo-beta-lactamase, NDM-1, in diverse *Enterobacteriaceae* in the UK. *Abstr 49th Annu Intersci Conf Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, abstr C1-058.
10. Landman D, Bratu S, Quale J. 2009. Contribution of OmpK36 to carbapenem susceptibility in KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *J Med Microbiol* 58:1303–1308. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.012575-0>.
11. Bratu S, Landman D, George A, Salvani J, Quale J. 2009. Correlation of the expression of *acrB* and the regulatory genes *marA*, *soxS*, and *ramA* with antimicrobial resistance in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* endemic to New York City. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 64:278–283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp186>.
12. Quale J, Bratu S, Gupta J, Landman D. 2006. Interplay of efflux system, *ampC*, and *oprD* expression in carbapenem resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50:1633–1641. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.5.1633-1641.2006>.
13. Bratu S, Landman D, Martin DA, Georgescu C, Quale J. 2008. Correlation of antimicrobial resistance with β-lactamases, the OmpA-like porin, and efflux pumps in clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii* endemic to New York City. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:2999–3005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01684-07>.
14. Hirsch EB, Ledesma KR, Chang K-T, Schwartz MS, Motyl MR, Tam VH. 2012. In vitro activity of MK-7655, a novel β-lactamase inhibitor, in combination with imipenem against carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56:3753–3757. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05927-11>.
15. Livermore DM, Warner M, Mushtaq S. 2013. Activity of MK-7655 combined with imipenem against *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 68:2286–2290. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt178>.