

RESEARCH PAPER

A G protein alpha null mutation confers prolificacy potential in maize

Daisuke Urano¹ David Jackson² and Alan M. Jones^{1,3,*}

¹ Department of Biology, The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, Coker Hall, NC 27599-3280, USA

² Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York 11724, USA

³ Department of Pharmacology, The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3280, USA

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: alan_jones@unc.edu

Received 2 February 2015; Revised 19 March 2015; Accepted 8 April 2015

Editor: Christine Beveridge

Abstract

Plasticity in plant development is controlled by environmental signals through largely unknown signalling networks. Signalling coupled by the heterotrimeric G protein complex underlies various developmental pathways in plants. The morphology of two plastic developmental pathways, root system architecture and female inflorescence formation, was quantitatively assessed in a mutant *compact plant 2 (ct2)* lacking the alpha subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein complex in maize. The *ct2* mutant partially compensated for a reduced shoot height by increased total leaf number, and had far more ears, even in the presence of pollination signals. The maize heterotrimeric G protein complex is important in some plastic developmental traits in maize. In particular, the maize Ga subunit is required to dampen the overproduction of female inflorescences.

Key words: Cell division, development, ear, G protein, maize, signalling.

Introduction

Maize, which originated in the Tehuacan Valley of Mexico, is a large grain plant and the most widely grown crop (Long and Fritz, 2001; Doebley, 2004). During domestication from its ancestor (teosinte), maize decreased the number of female inflorescences, while increasing inflorescence size, grain size and number (Doebley, 2004). Most modern maize lines, such as the B73 inbred line, initiate several ear branch shoots (shanks) per plant at successive main stalk nodes. Each shank has a single ear primordium at its tip and growth of this uppermost ('top' or 'first') ear suppresses the development of ears from lower nodes (second, third ears, etc.) on the main stalk (Pautler et al., 2013; Wills et al., 2013). Growth of the apical ear shoot also suppresses the formation of additional axillary ears on the same shank. In contrast to maize, development of productive ear shoots on branches from multiple nodes is common in teosinte, although it is occasionally observed in maize, where it is referred to as prolificacy (McClelland

and Janssen, 1929; Frank and Hallauer, 1997; Moulia *et al.*, 1999). For example, under certain conditions, maize makes multiple ear shoots on the same shank or more rarely 'twined' ears, defined as two separate ears with separate husks at the same node (Frank and Hallauer, 1997). Successive nodes can also make productive (seed-bearing) ears, for example, this is common when plants are grown at lower densities. The frequency of prolificacy varies with genetic background and environmental factors, however, a molecular mechanism wiring the genetic and environmental factors remains poorly understood. In this paper, the involvement of the G protein signalling network in maize prolificacy has been reported, as well as its roles in shoot and root development.

Maize root and shoot architecture are examples of other plastic developmental traits (Brown *et al.*, 2011; Yu *et al.*, 2014). The juvenile maize seedling has a primary root and multiple seminal roots that originate from the subterranean

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

embryo, whereas the adult plant also has crown roots emerging from aerial nodes. The overall architecture, specifically the number of each root type, lengths, and their position, is controlled by environmental cues such as the location and amount of water and nutrients in the soil profile. While maize root architecture is genetically encoded and some of these genes have been identified and studied (Hochholdinger and Tuberosa, 2009), little is known about how environmental signals are transduced to manifest root architecture (Casal *et al.*, 2004). Like roots, shoot architecture is similarly plastic, evident by observed changes that maximize energy capture in balance with water loss. For example, planting density has a major effect on shoot architecture (Ku *et al.*, 2015).

The heterotrimeric G protein complex, composed of α , β , and γ subunits, is an evolutionary conserved signalling complex that transmits signals from transmembrane receptors to intracellular proteins (Urano *et al.*, 2013). A null mutation of the maize G α gene reduces shoot growth, leading to a dwarf phenotype, ear fasciation, and thicker tassel branches (Bommert *et al.*, 2013). Here, the nature of this phenotype has been explored in greater depth, with emphasis on the role of G protein signalling in ear development and prolificacy.

Materials and methods

Growth conditions

Seeds of wild-type B73 and the G α -null mutant *ct2* (*ct2-ref*) (Bommert *et al.*, 2013) introgressed five generations into B73 were germinated and grown in 3" soil pots for about 2 weeks in a greenhouse. The seedlings were transferred to 3-gallon pots having a diameter and height of 25 cm each. The pots were placed on a water tray of 6 cm in height filled with water two or three times a week. Nutrient was supplemented once a week beginning at the third week. Nutrient contents dissolved in tap water were 250 parts per million (ppm) of nitrogen, phosphate, and potassium, 0.63 ppm of magnesium and iron, 0.31 ppm of zinc and manganese, 0.16 ppm of copper and boron, and 0.06 ppm of molybdenum. Temperature was

controlled within 25.3–28.1 °C (77.5–82.5 °F) in the day and 20.5–23.3 °C (69–74 °F) at night. Experiments were conducted between April and December 2014. On days when clouds reduced the ambient irradiation below 450 W m⁻², light was supplemented with 1000 W high-intensity discharge lamps and these lamps were turned off when ambient light was above 900 W m⁻². Leaf stage (number of leaf collars), number of visible ears, height of leaf collars, and length and width of leaf blades were measured once a week. Plant height from the soil surface to the tip of the tassel was measured when tassels were fully developed.

Root growth

B73 and *ct2-ref* seeds were germinated on soil for 6 d, then the seedlings were transferred to $\frac{1}{4} \times$ Murashige and Skoog (MS) media with 0.05% 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulphonic acid as described previously (Urano *et al.*, 2014). The pH was adjusted to 5.7 with potassium hydroxide. The seedlings were grown in a 24h cycle chamber of 16h light at 210–220 µmol m⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 8h darkness at 28°C. The $\frac{1}{4} \times$ MS media was replaced with $\frac{1}{2} \times$ MS media on the second week of hydroponics. The length of the longest crown root was measured on the 14th day after sowing seeds. The numbers of seminal and crown roots were counted on the 20th day.

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed by the two-tailed Student's *t* test between wild-type B73 and G α -null *ct2* groups. Significant differences are shown with symbols of n.s. (not significant, $P \ge 0.05$), * (P < 0.05) or ** (P < 0.01).

Results and discussion

The leaf shape of the *ct2* mutants was noticeably different (Fig. 1A; 5-week-old plants of B73 and *ct2* grown in the greenhouse). The *ct2* mutation led to a shortening of the leaf blade by 18–42% in all leaves (Fig. 1B) and plant height by 32% (see Supplementary Fig. S1A, B at *JXB* online), while slightly increasing leaf width (Fig. 1C). The *ct2* plants also had an increased number of leaves per plant (B73, 18.4 leaves; *ct2*, 20.0 leaves), and a slightly delayed growth rate of leaves



Fig. 1. A G α -null line decreases longitudinal growth in shoots and roots. (A) Five-week-old seedlings of B73 and the G α -null *ct2* mutant. Scale bar=20 cm. (B, C) Leaf length and width of B73 and *ct2*. Panels show raw values of B73 (orange dots, *n*=5) and *ct2* (blue dots, *n*=4) with a curve fitted by the Gaussian distribution function. (D) Representative roots of 16-d-old B73 and *ct2* seedlings grown in 2.0 I Erlenmeyer flasks. A scale shows 5 cm. (E, F, G) The longest crown root length (E) was measured on the 14th day, and number of crown roots (F) and seminal roots (G) were measured on the 20th day. Panels show raw values of B73 (orange dots, *n*=16) and *ct2* (blue dots, *n*=16). Bars represent the means with standard errors of the mean. * or **, respectively, signifies a significant difference between B73 and *ct2* groups at the *P* value less than 0.05 or 0.01, by the two-tailed Student's *t* test. n.s. signifies no significant difference at the *P* value of 0.05. Quantitated values are presented in Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online.

(see Supplementary Fig. S1C, D at *JXB* online). The total leaf area of B73 was 8369 cm² (n=5), compared with ct2 total leaf area of 6515 cm² (n=4), 22% less than the wild type. Thus the increased number of leaves in ct2 mutants did not fully compensate the reduced individual leaf area.

The *ct2* mutation also reduced root growth and crown root formation (Fig. 1D–G). Figure 1D shows B73 and *ct2* roots grown hydroponically for 2 weeks. The *ct2* mutant had fewer seminal roots, and fewer and shorter crown roots, as quantified in Fig. 1E–G. These results suggested that a G α signalling network modulates cell proliferation both in shoots and roots, although the effect by G α -null mutation was greater on the shoot than the root system (shoot, 32% reduction; root 11% reduction).

In addition to the dwarf defect, we observed *ct2* plants having multiple ear shoots on a single shank (Fig. 2; see Supplementary Fig. S2 at *JXB* online). The axillary ear shoots were smaller and had poor kernel fill. Supplementary Fig. S2A, B at *JXB* online show representative stalks of B73 and *ct2* at the 14th week. Both B73 and *ct2* plants usually exhibited one or two visible ear shanks, each with a single ear at the apex, when the uppermost ear was pollinated. However, about 15% of *ct2* plants, while none of the B73 plants, formed several axillary ear shoots on the uppermost shank (Fig. 2B). Because poor kernel fill is associated with the multiple ear formation trait (McClelland



Fig. 2. The *ct2* $G\alpha$ -null mutant forms multiple ears at a single node. (A) The main stalk of unpollinated wild-type B73 and $G\alpha$ -null *ct2* mutant. Red arrowheads point to apical ears with silks. Yellow arrowheads indicate axillary ears formed on the uppermost (top) ear shank. (B, C) Number of ears formed on the uppermost ear shank or on all nodes having ears. Data were collected from 15-week-old B73 and *ct2* plants. Graphs in (B) and (C) present raw values of B73 (blue dots) and *ct2* (orange dots), the means, and the standard errors. ** Represents significant difference between B73 and *ct2* groups at the *P* value less than 0.01 by the Student's *t* test. n.s. signifies no significant difference at the *P* value of 0.05. n.a. Represents not statistically analysed, because all the values of the B73 or *ct2* group were identical. Quantitated values are available at Supplementary Table S2 at *JXB* online. See Supplementary Fig. S2 at *JXB* online for other images for wild-type B73 and G α -null *ct2* plants.

and Janssen, 1929), pollination was inhibited and axillary ear formation was analysed (Fig. 2A; see Supplementary Fig. S2 at JXB online). While most B73 plants still exhibited a single ear on a shank under the non-pollinated condition, the uppermost ear node of ct2 formed multiple visible axillary ears, as indicated by arrowheads (Fig. 2A). Figure 2B and Supplementary Fig. S2C, D at JXB online provide the quantitation of this phenotype. Therefore, ct2 mutants, when unpollinated, had more visibly-developed ears per plant (B73, mean 4.1 ears; ct2, 7.8 ears), and on the uppermost node of the main stalk (B73, mean 1.3 ears; ct2, 3.9 ears). Inhibition of pollination similarly promoted development of ear shanks at lower nodes on the main stalk (Fig. 2C), however, no difference was observed between the B73 and ct2 groups (B73, mean 3.8 nodes forming a visible ear shank; ct2, 3.9 nodes). Prolificacy was not observed in pollinated groups of B73 or ct2 (Fig. 2B, C), suggesting that it requires both low pollination and mutation of *ct2*.

Low pollination of *ct2* caused axillary ear formation two or more weeks after the apical ear emerged (see Supplementary Fig. S2C, E at JXB online), probably by releasing them from growth arrest. Because the ct2 mutation showed an additive effect with low pollination, it was predicted that more female inflorescences were formed on ct2 mutant shanks. Therefore, ear shoots were dissected and all mature and immature female inflorescences of B73 and ct2 were counted (Fig. 3), and it was found that B73 had few axillary inflorescences (B73 with pollination, mean 0.43 axillary ears; B73 without pollination, 0.57 axillary ears) (Fig. 3F; see Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). These axillary ear shoots aborted when the apical ear shoot was pollinated (Fig. 3A), but elongated when the apical ear had not been pollinated (Fig. 3B). The ct2 mutant increased the number of axillary ear shoots (Fig. 3D-F) and occasionally exhibited secondary axillary ear shoots from the axillary ears (indicated by red arrowheads in Fig. 3E and in Supplementary Fig. S3 at JXB online). Pollination did not affect the number of inflorescences on the uppermost ear shank (ct2 with pollination, mean 5.0 ears; ct2 without pollination, 5.7 ears), but low pollination allowed them to elongate, as observed for B73 (Fig. 3B, E). These results indicate that the *ct2* mutation allowed more prolific formation of axillary ear shoots, while low pollination caused a general release of the axillary ear shoots from growth arrest.

Figure 4 shows a two-step model for conferring prolificacy. Genetics studies identified additional genes affecting the ear formation trait. Activation of a transcription factor, BARREN STALKI (BAI), initiates the axillary ear shoot meristems, while another transcription factor gene, GRASSY TILLERS1 (GT1), suppresses the outgrowth of immature inflorescences (Ritter et al., 2002; Gallavotti et al., 2004; Whipple et al., 2011). A different expression profile of GT1 in the nodal plexus probably caused a distinct ear branching pattern between maize and teosinte (Wills et al., 2013). Our results prompt the speculation that the G protein network regulates axillary meristem initiation/transition of axillary buds to reproductive development and/or outgrowth of immature ear shoots (Fig. 4), so may control these transcription factors. Although the signalling mechanism regulating these and other genetic components remains poorly



Fig. 3. Female inflorescences formed on the uppermost shank. (A–E) Axillary ear shoots formed on the uppermost ear shanks of 15-week-old B73 or *ct2* plants with or without pollination. Apical and axillary ears are defined as shown in (C). Husk leaves were removed for imaging. (A, B) Apical and axillary ears sampled from three B73 plants. Note that axillary ears rarely emerged with the B73 genetic background. (D, E) Apical and axillary ears of a representative *ct2* plant. Red arrowheads point to secondary axillary branches emerging on an axillary ear shoot. Another image for *ct2* is presented in Supplementary Fig. S3 at JXB online. (F) Number of axillary ear shoots emerging on the uppermost shank of B73 and *ct2*. The graph shows raw values of B73 (blue dots) and *ct2* (orange dots), the means, and the standard errors. ** Signifies significant difference between B73 and *ct2* groups at the *P* value less than 0.01 by Student's *t* test. Quantitated values are available at Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online.



Fig. 4. Proposed function of G α and pollination signals on prolificacy. There are two sequential events for conferring prolificacy; a G α -mediated axillary ear formation/development and a G α -independent ear outgrowth. Domesticated maize intrinsically suppresses axillary ear formation on a shank. Activation of the G α pathway represses axillary ear formation or immature ear development, while a pollinated-apical ear inhibits subsequent ear outgrowth perhaps through auxin or an unknown mediator. The latter pathway is independent of the G α subunit.

understood, it is empirically known that ear outgrowth requires ample energy resources—water, light, and nutrients (Lejeune and Bernier, 1996; Moulia *et al.*, 1999; Markham and Stoltenberg, 2010). Multiple hormones—auxin, cytokinin, and strigolactones—also regulate the dormancy of axillary buds (Pautler *et al.*, 2013). Maize and other plant G-protein networks couple those extracellular stimuli and modulate meristem activity, cell proliferation, and cellular senescence (Bommert *et al.*, 2013; Urano *et al.*, 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2014), therefore G protein signalling may bridge these extracellular signals to ear development and outgrowth. The phenotypes described here, including root system and ear shoot architecture, are obvious plastic traits in plant development that have been selected during crop domestication and our results suggest that G protein signalling networks modulate the expression of these key agronomic traits. It will also be interesting to ask how natural variation in G protein signalling components has contributed to crop improvement.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data can be found at JXB online.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Vegetative growth of B73 and G α -null *ct2* lines.

Supplementary Fig. S2. Ear formation of B73 and G α -null *ct2* lines.

Supplementary Fig. S3. Apical and axillary ears of a representative *ct2* plant.

Supplementary Table S1. Shoot and root growth of B73 and $G\alpha$ -null *ct2* lines.

Supplementary Table S2. Female inflorescence formation in B73 and $G\alpha$ -null *ct2* lines.

Acknowledgements

We thank Yaa Nyarkoah Ofori-Marfoh and Ariko Urano for assistance. This work was supported by grants from the NIGMS (R01GM065989), NSF (MCB-0718202), and the US Department of Energy (DE-FG02-05ER15671) to AMJ. The Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the US Department of Energy through grant DE-FG02-05er15671 to AMJ funded technical support in this study.

References

Bommert P, Je BI, Goldshmidt A, Jackson D. 2013. The maize $G\alpha$ gene *COMPACT PLANT2* functions in CLAVATA signalling to control shoot meristem size. *Nature* **502**, 555–558.

Brown P, Upadyayula N, Mahone G, et al. 2011. Distinct genetic architectures for male and female inflorescence traits of maize. *PLoS Genetics* **7**, e1002383.

Casal J, Fankhauser C, Coupland G, Blázquez M. 2004. Signalling for developmental plasticity. *Trends in Plant Science* **9**, 309–314.

Doebley J. 2004. The genetics of maize evolution. *Annual Review of Genetics* **38**, 37–59.

Frank TE, Hallauer AR. 1997. Generation means analysis of the twin-ear trait in maize. *Journal of Heredity* 88, 469–474.

Gallavotti A, Zhao Q, Kyozuka J, Meeley RB, Ritter MK, Doebley JF, Pe ME, Schmidt RJ. 2004. The role of *barren stalk1* in the architecture of maize. *Nature* **432**, 630–635.

Hochholdinger F, Tuberosa R. 2009. Genetic and genomic dissection of maize root development and architecture. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **12**, 172–177.

Ku L, Zhang L, Tian Z, et al. 2015. Dissection of the genetic architecture underlying the plant density response by mapping plant height-related traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* Jan 9. [doi: 10.1007/s00438-014-0987-1].

Lejeune P, Bernier G. 1996. Effect of environment on the early steps of ear initiation in maize {*Zea mays L.*). *Plant, Cell and Environment* **19**, 217–224.

Long A, Fritz GJ. 2001. Validity of AMS dates on maize from the Techuacan Valley: a comment on MacNeish and Eubanks. *Latin American Antiquity* **12**, 87–90.

Markham MY, Stoltenberg DE. 2010. Corn morphology, mass, and grain yield as affected by early-season red: far-red light environments. *Crop Science* **50**, 273–280.

McClelland CK, Janssen G. 1929. Multiple ear character in maize. *Journal of Heredity* **20**, 105–109.

Moulia B, Loup C, Chartier M, Allirand JM, Edelin C. 1999. Dynamics of architectural development of isolated plants of maize (*Zea mays* L.), in a non-limiting environment: the branching potential of modern maize. *Annals of Botany* **84**, 645–656.

Pautler M, Tanaka W, Hirano HY, Jackson D. 2013. Grass meristems. I. Shoot apical meristem maintenance, axillary meristem determinacy and the floral transition. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **54**, 302–312.

Ritter MK, Padilla CM, Schmidt RJ. 2002. The maize mutant *barren stalk1* is defective in axillary meristem development. *American Journal of Botany* **89**, 203–210.

Sun H, Qian Q, Wu K, et al. 2014. Heterotrimeric G proteins regulate nitrogen-use efficiency in rice. Nature Genetics 46, 652–656.

Urano D, Chen JG, Botella JR, Jones AM. 2013. Heterotrimeric G protein signalling in the plant kingdom. *Open Biology* **3**, 120186.

Urano D, Colaneri A, Jones AM. 2014. G α modulates salt-induced cellular senescence and cell division in rice and maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**, 6553–6561.

Whipple CJ, Kebrom TH, Weber AL, Yang F, Hall D, Meeley R, Schmidt R, Doebley J, Brutnell TP, Jackson DP. 2011. grassy tillers1 promotes apical dominance in maize and responds to shade signals in the grasses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **108**, E506–512.

Wills DM, Whipple CJ, Takuno S, Kursel LE, Shannon LM, Ross-Ibarra J, Doebley JF. 2013. From many, one: genetic control of prolificacy during maize domestication. *PLoS Genetics* **9**, e1003604.

Yu P, White P, Hochholdinger F, Li C. 2014. Phenotypic plasticity of the maize root system in response to heterogeneous nitrogen availability. *Planta* **240**, 667–678.