



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Environ Sci Technol Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 March 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Environ Sci Technol Lett. 2015 ; 2(4): 84–88. doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.5b00050.

Total Virus and Bacteria Concentrations in Indoor and Outdoor Air

Aaron J. Prussin II¹, Ellen B. Garcia¹, and Linsey C. Marr^{1,*}

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech, 418 Durham Hall, Blacksburg VA 24061

Abstract

Viruses play important roles in microbial ecology and some infectious diseases, but relatively little is known about concentrations, sources, transformation, and fate of viruses in the atmosphere. We have measured total airborne concentrations of virus-like and bacteria-like particles (VLPs between 0.02 μm and 0.5 μm in size and BLPs between 0.5 μm and 5 μm) in nine locations: a classroom, a daycare center, a dining facility, a health center, three houses, an office, and outdoors. Indoor concentrations of both VLPs and BLPs were $\sim 10^5$ particles m^{-3} , and the virus-to-bacteria ratio was 0.9 ± 0.1 (mean \pm standard deviation across different locations). There were no significant differences in concentration between different indoor environments. VLP and BLP concentrations in outdoor air were 2.6 and 1.6 times higher, respectively, than in indoor air. At the single outdoor site, the virus-to-bacteria ratio was 1.4.

Introduction

Airborne viruses, bacteria, and fungi, known as bioaerosols, are of interest because some can cause human, plant, and animal diseases,^{1–3} while others are beneficial to human health and the environment.^{4–6} Advances in high-throughput sequencing are enabling unprecedented characterization of microbiological communities, but one aspect missing from such studies is the total number of microbes present. From scientific, public policy, and public health points of view, it is important to understand not only what types of microbes are present in air but also how many there are.

There have been many studies examining the concentrations of airborne bacteria and fungi in different environments, both indoors and outdoors. Bacteria and fungi concentrations of approximately 10^2 to 10^6 CFU m^{-3} and 10^2 to 10^3 spores m^{-3} , respectively, are typical.^{7–12} In contrast, studies examining airborne virus concentrations have been limited due to technical challenges and underappreciated importance.¹³ Griffin et al.¹⁴ collected airborne viruses and bacteria in African desert dust transported to the Caribbean and found that concentrations were similar for the two types of bioaerosols, ranging from 10^4 to 10^5

*Corresponding author phone: (540) 231-6071; fax: (540) 231-7916; lmarr@vt.edu.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting Information Available: Sampling parameters of individual field samples and pair-wise comparisons of VLP and BLP counts between different sampling environments. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

particles m^{-3} . Whon et al.¹⁵ reported total airborne virus and bacteria concentrations of 10^5 to 10^7 particles m^{-3} in Korea. To our knowledge, these are the only studies in the literature reporting total viral bioaerosol concentrations, and both examined outdoor air only. Humans spend over 90% of their time indoors,¹⁶ so there is a gap in knowledge regarding the concentrations to which we are exposed the majority of the time.

Historically, the virus-to-bacteria ratio (VBR) has been used to describe the relative abundance of viruses compared to bacteria, and it can vary dramatically depending on the specific environment being studied. VBR values have been obtained for many different environments including the Arctic Ocean, the Pacific Ocean, lakes, agricultural soil, forest soil, and the human gut.^{17–23} Values range from as low as 0.2 in the human gut to as high as 2750 in agricultural soil.^{20–23} The VBR is important because interactions between viruses and bacteria are relevant to both human health and ecology.^{24–26} For example, studies have shown an association between viruses and bacteria that cause respiratory infections in children with asthma; additionally *Pneumococcus* bacteria and influenza virus have been shown to interact with each other.^{25, 26}

The majority of previous work on indoor bioaerosols has focused on understanding the concentrations and community structure of bacteria.^{27, 28} The few studies on viral bioaerosols have focused on specific viruses, such as influenza A.²⁹ Quantifying total virus concentrations is more challenging than quantifying total bacteria concentrations¹³ because unlike bacteria and fungi, viruses lack a conserved common gene that can be used for quantification through qPCR.^{30, 31} Additionally, viruses are obligate parasites and thus cannot be quantified on a growth plate exposed to air, a method that can be used for some bacteria and fungi. Studies examining total virus concentrations have relied on fluorescent microscopy, a simple yet effective method for quantification of virus-like particles.^{14, 32, 33}

The specific objectives of this study were to determine concentrations of virus-like particles (VLPs) and bacteria-like particle (BLPs) and VBR values in both indoor and outdoor air. We collected samples in a classroom, a daycare center, a dining facility, a health center, three houses, an office, and outdoors. Using fluorescent microscopy, we quantified the number of VLPs and BLPs collected and calculated particle concentrations in the air. We hypothesized that VLP concentrations would be significantly higher than BLP concentrations in the air, due to VLPs' smaller size and ability to remain airborne longer, leading to a VBR greater than 1. Our results provide novel information about total virus and bacteria concentrations in air.

Materials and Methods

Air Sampling Sites and Collection

We collected air samples during September and October of 2014 at nine locations in Blacksburg, Virginia, United States. We collected samples in triplicate from a classroom, a daycare center, a dining facility, a health center, three single-family houses, an office, and outdoors. In the houses, the mechanical heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems were not running during the sampling period. The outdoor sampling site was in the middle of a university campus, surrounded by grass, paved paths, and buildings. For each

sample, a pump (SKC AirChek 2000) pulled air through a 0.2- μm pore size, 25-mm diameter Anodisc filter mounted in a stainless steel filter holder (Advantec) at a flow rate of $\sim 0.9 \text{ L min}^{-1}$ for ~ 120 min for a total sample volume of ~ 100 L. We used a primary flow calibrator (A.P. Buck mini-Buck) to measure the flow rate at the start and end of each sampling period and multiplied the average flow rate during the period by the sampling duration to calculate the sample volume. Immediately following sampling, we removed filters from the filter holder, placed them in a sterile petri dish, and refrigerated them until further analysis. We included three unexposed samples from the same batch of filters as controls. Table S1 in the supporting information lists individual sample dates, times, and flow rates.

Particle Detection

The quantification methodology for viral aerosols followed a previously published approach.^{14, 32, 33} Briefly, we treated each filter with a fluorescent dye that stained DNA and RNA (SYBRGold). We placed the filter exposed-side up in a 100 μL working solution of the dye (97.5 μL DI water + 2.5 μL of 1:10 diluted SYBRGold) and incubated it in the dark for ~ 20 min. The dye wicked through the bottom of filter and stained any nucleic acid on the top side. We removed the filter from the staining drop, blotted away excess dye, positioned the filter on a glass slide, and gently placed a coverslip containing 25 μL of mounting solution (50% 1X PBS/50% glycerol and 1% w/v absorbic acid) over the filter. We analyzed samples within 1 hour of slide preparation using an epifluorescence microscope (Leica CTR-6000). We imaged 25 fields per slide, which ensured a large enough sample size before photobleaching of the stain began to occur; the fields traversed an S-shaped pattern across the filter.

Particle Quantification

Using the ImageJ image processing program,³⁴ we counted the total number of VLPs and BLPs collected on each filter based on size. We counted fluorescent particles between 0.02 μm and 0.50 μm as VLPs and those between 0.50 μm and 5.00 μm as BLPs.^{14, 15, 32, 33} VLPs appeared as pinpricks whereas BLPs were larger fluorescent signals, consistent with previous literature.^{32, 33} We automated counting through batch processing in ImageJ and subtracted the average particle count obtained from the control filters to account for pre-existing particles on an unexposed filter. To calculate airborne concentrations, we extrapolated results from the 25 images to estimate the total number of VLPs and BLPs on each filter and divided by the volume of air sampled.

Statistical Analysis

Because microbial counts are typically log-normally distributed, we applied a \log_{10} transformation to the VLP and BLP concentrations. We used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between VLP and BLP concentrations. For pair-wise comparisons between all the different sampling sites, we performed Tukey's HSD test.

Results and Discussion

The average concentrations of VLPs were $4.7 \pm 2.5 \times 10^5$ and $1.2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^6$ VLPs m^{-3} across all samples collected in indoor and outdoor environments, respectively (Table 1). The corresponding geometric means and geometric standard deviations (\log_{10} transformation) were 5.6 ± 0.3 indoors and 6.0 ± 0.3 outdoors. The average concentrations of BLPs were $5.4 \pm 2.6 \times 10^5$ and $8.4 \pm 4.4 \times 10^5$ BLPs m^{-3} across all samples collected in indoor and outdoor environments, respectively (Table 1). The corresponding geometric means and geometric standard deviations were 5.7 ± 0.2 indoors and 5.9 ± 0.2 outdoors. The virus-to-bacteria ratio (VBR) for indoor environments averaged 0.9 ± 0.1 and ranged between 0.7 and 1.1 (Table 1), indicating that more BLPs than VLPs were typically present in indoor environments. The VBR for the outdoor sample was 1.4, indicating that ~40% more viruses than bacteria were present in outdoor air at our specific sampling location and time (Table 1).

VLP concentrations were not significantly different ($p=0.43$) between sampling locations, and the same was true for BLP concentrations ($p=0.63$). Table S2 in the supporting information shows pair-wise comparisons of VLP and BLP counts between different sampling environments.

We are aware of only two studies that measured VLP concentrations in air, and those studies examined outdoor air^{14, 15} but not indoor air. The VLP, BLP, and VBR values in our outdoor samples are of similar magnitude to results of the previous studies. Whon et al.¹⁵ examined outdoor air in Korea and found VLP and BLP concentrations of $1.7 \times 10^6 - 4.0 \times 10^7$ and $8.6 \times 10^5 - 1.1 \times 10^7$ particles m^{-3} , respectively, with an average VBR of 2.2, although the numbers are not directly comparable because Whon et al. used a different sample collection and preparation method. They excluded particles larger than 1 μm , collected bioaerosols into liquid first using an impinger, and then passed the liquid through an Anodisc filter. Griffin et al.¹⁴ found VLP and BLP concentrations of 2.1×10^5 and 1.6×10^5 particles m^{-3} , respectively, in Caribbean air, which correspond to a VBR of 1.3. While Griffin et al.¹⁴ collected air samples directly onto a 0.02- μm pore size filter, we used a 0.2- μm pore size filter with lower pressure drop due to concerns about noise from the sampling pump in indoor, occupied environments. Based on studies of membrane filters with similar or larger pore sizes than 0.2 μm ,³⁵⁻³⁷ we expect the collection efficiency to be >99% for both virus- and bacteria-sized particles, so the use of filters with different pore sizes should not bias the comparison. The VBR of 1.4 in outdoor air in Blacksburg, Virginia is 7% higher than in the Caribbean and 58% lower than in Korea.

In all the indoor environments examined in this study, the VBR is close to 1, contradicting our hypothesis it would be greater than 1 due to the smaller size of viruses and their ability to remain airborne longer than bacteria. In reality, the ratio also depends on the source strength of VLPs relative to BLPs. Gibbons et al.³⁸ reports a VBR of approximately 1 on restroom surfaces, lower than expected, and speculates that bacteriophages are not able to replicate and spread due to microbial dormancy and the inability of lytic cycles to occur in this microenvironment.³⁹ It is possible that a similar phenomenon occurs in indoor air, as some fraction of surface bacteria responsible for replicating and releasing bacteriophages

into the air may be dormant. Jones and Lennon⁴⁰ claim that the proportion of dormant bacteria may be as high as 40% in a nutrient-poor ecosystem, such as surfaces. It is likely that bacteriophages constitute a large fraction of the total VLP population, and thus a decrease in bacteriophage production would cause a significant decrease in total VLP concentrations.^{41, 42} Finally, viruses might be attached to carrier particles or clumped together in the air, increasing the size and removal rate by settling in comparison to free viruses and thus leading to lower airborne VLP concentrations.⁴³

VLPs and BLPs in outdoor air likely contribute substantially to those found indoors, as our results show that concentrations are higher outdoors, and particulate matter (PM) has been shown to penetrate effectively from outdoor air to indoor environments.^{44, 45} In some cases, variation in outdoor PM explains the majority of variation in indoor PM.^{45–48} Nazaroff⁴⁹ suggests that for a naturally ventilated building, the penetration efficiency of bioaerosols is close to 1, meaning that all bioaerosols flowing through leaks in the building envelope remain suspended, although they are subject to removal upon arrival indoors.

Although penetration of outdoor air appears to be the dominant factor affecting indoor VLP and BLP concentrations, indoor sources could also contribute to the bioaerosols observed indoors.⁴⁹ As humans carry 10^{12} microbes on their epidermis and 10^{14} microbes in their alimentary tract,⁵⁰ human occupancy is a factor in determining bioaerosol concentrations indoors.^{28, 51, 52} The VBR is lower indoors than outdoors, suggesting enhanced sources of bacteria relative to viruses indoors or preferential removal of viruses as air penetrates indoors.⁵³ The removal efficiency of filters used in HVAC systems varies with particle size,⁵⁴ so the indoor VBR could be affected by the presence of an HVAC system. With current technology and methods, it has been difficult to quantify the contribution of human occupancy and other indoor sources versus that of outdoor air to total indoor bioaerosols. Recently, researchers have been able to measure emission rates of bacteria and fungi in occupied classrooms;^{51, 55} however, measuring emission rates of viruses remains challenging. This topic requires further study by microbiologists and building scientists.

VLP and BLP concentrations are higher in houses compared to most of the public spaces monitored in this study. Both filtration by the HVAC system and a higher ventilation rate in public buildings⁵⁶ may contribute to this finding. During this study, residential HVAC systems were off, while public buildings still had their HVAC systems running. Previous studies have shown a correlation between low ventilation rates and an increased incidence of viral respiratory disease.^{57–59} If reducing indoor exposure to VLPs and BLPs is of interest, we suggest simple building engineering modifications, such as sealing cracks in buildings to minimize outdoor air penetration, increasing ventilation rates, and using high quality HVAC filters.

Inhalation is one route of exposure to VLPs. For comparison, a recent study estimates that humans inhale between 60 and 60,000 fungal spores daily,⁶⁰ depending on indoor mold levels. Exposure to fungal spores is associated with asthma, respiratory problems, and nasal congestion.^{60–63} Based on the VLP concentrations measured in this study, we estimate that the total number of VLPs inhaled daily by humans is approximately 6×10^6 VLPs, where 5×10^6 VLPs are encountered indoors and 1×10^6 VLPs are encountered outdoors. We

estimate the total number of BLPs inhaled daily by humans to be approximately 6×10^6 BLPs, where 5×10^6 BLPs are encountered indoors and 9×10^5 BLPs are encountered outdoors. These calculations are based on the assumptions that the average human spends 90% of their time indoors, has a respiratory rate of $15 \text{ breaths min}^{-1}$, and inhales 500 ml of air per breath.^{16, 64, 65} Predicting the number of VLPs and BLPs actually deposited in the respiratory system would require knowledge of their size distribution in carrier aerosols. This is another topic for future research.

This is the first study to report VLP concentrations and VBR values for different indoor air environments. While this research relies on the same fluorescent-based method used in other studies,^{14, 32, 33} it has limitations. Primarily, it does not allow confirmation whether the particles are truly virus particles (hence the “VLP” term). It is possible that some VLPs and BLPs are actually free genomic DNA or RNA associated with a particle. Additionally, viruses and bacteria could form aggregates, which we cannot differentiate from individual particles. For example, viruses might be attached to carrier particles or clumped together, which would cause misidentification as a bacterium.

The work presented herein establishes the foundation for more in-depth investigations of viral ecology in the atmosphere, an important and emerging field. Future studies could examine how bioaerosol concentrations vary diurnally, seasonally, and geographically and how bioaerosol viability is affected by environmental factors. Additionally, microbiologists and building scientists should collaborate to investigate how building characteristics (e.g., occupancy, air-exchange rate, rating of HVAC filter, etc.) influence indoor bioaerosol concentrations. Many important questions remain about the health and environmental effects of airborne microbes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (2013-5-19MBPF), National Science Foundation (DGE-0966125), and the National Institutes of Health through the NIH Director’s New Innovator Award Program (1-DP2-A1112243). The authors would like to acknowledge Jaka Cemazar for his assistance with the fluorescent microscope.

References

1. Griffin DW. Atmospheric movement of microorganisms in clouds of desert dust and implications for human health. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2007; 20(3):459–477. [PubMed: 17630335]
2. Smith DJ, Jaffe DA, Birmele MN, Griffin DW, Schuenger AC, Hee J, Roberts MS. Free tropospheric transport of microorganisms from Asia to North America. *Microbial Ecology*. 2012; 64(4):973–985. [PubMed: 22760734]
3. DeLeon-Rodriguez N, Lathem TL, Rodriguez-R LM, Barazesh JM, Anderson BE, Beyersdorf AJ, Ziemba LD, Bergin M, Nenes A, Konstantinidis KT. Microbiome of the upper troposphere: Species composition and prevalence, effects of tropical storms, and atmospheric implications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013; 110(7):2575–2580.

4. Ariya P, Sun J, Eltouny N, Hudson E, Hayes C, Kos G. Physical and chemical characterization of bioaerosols—Implications for nucleation processes. *International Reviews in Physical Chemistry*. 2009; 28(1):1–32.
5. Pratt KA, DeMott PJ, French JR, Wang Z, Westphal DL, Heymsfield AJ, Twohy CH, Prenni AJ, Prather KA. In situ detection of biological particles in cloud ice-crystals. *Nature Geoscience*. 2009; 2(6):398–401.
6. Barr JJ, Auro R, Furlan M, Whiteson KL, Erb ML, Pogliano J, Stotland A, Wolkowicz R, Cutting AS, Doran KS. Bacteriophage adhering to mucus provide a non–host-derived immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013; 110(26):10771–10776.
7. Pastuszka JS, Kyaw Tha Paw U, Lis DO, Wlazło A, Ulfig K. Bacterial and fungal aerosol in indoor environment in Upper Silesia, Poland. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2000; 34(22):3833–3842.
8. Lighthart B. Mini-review of the concentration variations found in the alfresco atmospheric bacterial populations. *Aerobiologia*. 2000; 16(1):7–16.
9. Zhu H, Phelan PE, Duan T, Raupp GB, Fernando HJ, Che F. Experimental study of indoor and outdoor airborne bacterial concentrations in Tempe, Arizona, USA. *Aerobiologia*. 2003; 19(3–4): 201–211.
10. Jo WK, Seo YJ. Indoor and outdoor bioaerosol levels at recreation facilities, elementary schools, and homes. *Chemosphere*. 2005; 61(11):1570–1579. [PubMed: 15982704]
11. Lee T, Grinshpun SA, Martuzevicius D, Adhikari A, Crawford CM, Reponen T. Culturability and concentration of indoor and outdoor airborne fungi in six single-family homes. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2006; 40(16):2902–2910. [PubMed: 18458748]
12. Bowers RM, Lauber CL, Wiedinmyer C, Hamady M, Hallar AG, Fall R, Knight R, Fierer N. Characterization of airborne microbial communities at a high-elevation site and their potential to act as atmospheric ice nuclei. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2009; 75(15):5121–5130. [PubMed: 19502432]
13. Prussin AJ II, Marr LC, Bibby KJ. Challenges of studying viral aerosol metagenomics and communities in comparison with bacterial and fungal aerosols. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2014
14. Griffin DW, Garrison VH, Herman JR, Shinn EA. African desert dust in the Caribbean atmosphere: microbiology and public health. *Aerobiologia*. 2001; 17(3):203–213.
15. Whon TW, Kim MS, Roh SW, Shin NR, Lee HW, Bae JW. Metagenomic characterization of airborne viral DNA diversity in the near-surface atmosphere. *Journal of Virology*. 2012; 86(15): 8221–8231. [PubMed: 22623790]
16. Klepeis NE, Nelson WC, Ott WR, Robinson JP, Tsang AM, Switzer P, Behar JV, Hern SC, Engelmann WH. The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*. 2001; 11(3):231–252. [PubMed: 11477521]
17. Clasen JL, Brigden SM, Payet JP, Suttle CA. Evidence that viral abundance across oceans and lakes is driven by different biological factors. *Freshwater Biology*. 2008; 53(6):1090–1100.
18. Fuhrman JA. Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. *Nature*. 1999; 399(6736):541–548. [PubMed: 10376593]
19. Suttle CA. Viruses in the sea. *Nature*. 2005; 437(7057):356–361. [PubMed: 16163346]
20. Williamson KE, Radosevich M, Wommack KE. Abundance and diversity of viruses in six Delaware soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2005; 71(6):3119–3125. [PubMed: 15933010]
21. Breitbart M, Haynes M, Kelley S, Angly F, Edwards RA, Felts B, Mahaffy JM, Mueller J, Nulton J, Rayhawk S. Viral diversity and dynamics in an infant gut. *Research in Microbiology*. 2008; 159(5):367–373. [PubMed: 18541415]
22. Kim MS, Park EJ, Roh SW, Bae JW. Diversity and abundance of single-stranded DNA viruses in human feces. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2011; 77(22):8062–8070. [PubMed: 21948823]
23. Reyes A, Semenkovich NP, Whiteson K, Rohwer F, Gordon JI. Going viral: next-generation sequencing applied to phage populations in the human gut. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2012; 10(9):607–617. [PubMed: 22864264]

24. Contreras A, Umeda M, Chen C, Bakker I, Morrison J, Slots J. Relationship between herpesviruses and adult periodontitis and periodontopathic bacteria. *Journal of Periodontology*. 1999; 70(5):478–484. [PubMed: 10368051]
25. McCullers JA. Insights into the interaction between influenza virus and pneumococcus. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2006; 19(3):571–582. [PubMed: 16847087]
26. McIntosh K, Ellis EF, Hoffman LS, Lybass TG, Eller JJ, Fulginiti VA. The association of viral and bacterial respiratory infections with exacerbations of wheezing in young asthmatic children. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 1973; 82(4):578–590. [PubMed: 4349062]
27. Bouillard L, Michel O, Dramaix M, Devleeschouwer M. Bacterial contamination of indoor air, surfaces, and settled dust, and related dust endotoxin concentrations in healthy office buildings. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*. 2005; 12(2):187–192. [PubMed: 16457472]
28. Hospodsky D, Qian J, Nazaroff WW, Yamamoto N, Bibby K, Rismani-Yazdi H, Peccia J. Human occupancy as a source of indoor airborne bacteria. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(4):e34867. [PubMed: 22529946]
29. Yang W, Elankumaran S, Marr LC. Concentrations and size distributions of airborne influenza A viruses measured indoors at a health centre, a day-care centre and on aeroplanes. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*. 2011; 8(61):1176–1184.
30. Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1991; 173(2):697–703. [PubMed: 1987160]
31. Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL, Levesque CA, Chen W, Bolchacova E, Voigt K, Crous PW. Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 109(16):6241–6246.
32. Noble RT, Fuhrman JA. Use of SYBR Green I for rapid epifluorescence counts of marine viruses and bacteria. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*. 1998; 14(2):113–118.
33. Patel A, Noble RT, Steele JA, Schwalbach MS, Hewson I, Fuhrman JA. Virus and prokaryote enumeration from planktonic aquatic environments by epifluorescence microscopy with SYBR Green I. *Nature Protocols*. 2007; 2(2):269–276. [PubMed: 17406585]
34. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW, Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S. 671 nih image to imageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*. 2012; 9(7)
35. Burton NC, Grinshpun SA, Reponen T. Physical collection efficiency of filter materials for bacteria and viruses. *Annals of Occupational Hygiene*. 2007; 51(2):143–151. [PubMed: 17041245]
36. Liu BY, Lee K. Efficiency of membrane and nuclepore filters for submicrometer aerosols. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 1976; 10(4):345–350.
37. John W, Reischl G. Measurements of the filtration efficiencies of selected filter types. *Atmospheric Environment (1967)*. 1978; 12(10):2015–2019.
38. Gibbons SM, Schwartz T, Fouquier J, Mitchell M, Sangwan N, Gilbert JA, Kelley ST. Ecological succession and viability of human-associated microbiota on restroom surfaces. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2014 AEM. 03117-14.
39. Lewis K. Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2006; 5(1):48–56. [PubMed: 17143318]
40. Jones SE, Lennon JT. Dormancy contributes to the maintenance of microbial diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2010; 107(13):5881–5886.
41. Breitbart M, Rohwer F. Here a virus, there a virus, everywhere the same virus? *Trends in Microbiology*. 2005; 13(6):278–284. [PubMed: 15936660]
42. Casas V, Rohwer F. Phage metagenomics. *Methods in Enzymology*. 2007; 421:259–268. [PubMed: 17352928]
43. Hogan C, Kettleson E, Lee MH, Ramaswami B, Angenent L, Biswas P. Sampling methodologies and dosage assessment techniques for submicrometre and ultrafine virus aerosol particles. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2005; 99(6):1422–1434. [PubMed: 16313415]
44. Chen C, Zhao B. Review of relationship between indoor and outdoor particles: I/O ratio, infiltration factor and penetration factor. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2011; 45(2):275–288.

45. Cyrus J, Pitz M, Bischof W, Wichmann H, Heinrich J. Relationship between indoor and outdoor levels of fine particle mass, particle number concentrations and black smoke under different ventilation conditions. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*. 2004; 14(4):275–283.
46. Hoek G, Kos G, Harrison R, de Hartog J, Meliefste K, ten Brink H, Katsouyanni K, Karakatsani A, Lianou M, Kotronarou A. Indoor–outdoor relationships of particle number and mass in four European cities. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2008; 42(1):156–169.
47. Wichmann J, Lind T, Nilsson MM, Bellander T. PM_{2.5}, soot and NO₂ indoor–outdoor relationships at homes, pre-schools and schools in Stockholm, Sweden. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2010; 44(36):4536–4544.
48. Fuller CH, Brugge D, Williams PL, Mittleman MA, Lane K, Durant JL, Spengler JD. Indoor and outdoor measurements of particle number concentration in near-highway homes. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*. 2013; 23(5):506–512. [PubMed: 23321863]
49. Nazaroff WW. Indoor bioaerosol dynamics. *Indoor Air*. 2014
50. Luckey T. Introduction to intestinal microecology. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1972; 25(12):1292–1294. [PubMed: 4639749]
51. Hospodsky D, Yamamoto N, Nazaroff W, Miller D, Gorthala S, Peccia J. Characterizing airborne fungal and bacterial concentrations and emission rates in six occupied children’s classrooms. *Indoor air*. 2014
52. Bhangar S, Huffman JA, Nazaroff WW. Size-resolved fluorescent biological aerosol particle concentrations and occupant emissions in a university classroom. *Indoor air*. 2014
53. Liu DL, Nazaroff WW. Modeling pollutant penetration across building envelopes. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2001; 35(26):4451–4462.
54. Nazaroff WW. Indoor particle dynamics. *Indoor air*. 2004; 14(s7):175–183. [PubMed: 15330785]
55. Qian J, Hospodsky D, Yamamoto N, Nazaroff WW, Peccia J. Size-resolved emission rates of airborne bacteria and fungi in an occupied classroom. *Indoor air*. 2012; 22(4):339–351. [PubMed: 22257156]
56. Sundell J, Levin H, Nazaroff WW, Cain WS, Fisk WJ, Grimsrud DT, Gyntelberg F, Li Y, Persily A, Pickering A. Ventilation rates and health: multidisciplinary review of the scientific literature. *Indoor Air*. 2011; 21(3):191–204. [PubMed: 21204989]
57. Daisey JM, Angell WJ, Apte MG. Indoor air quality, ventilation and health symptoms in schools: an analysis of existing information. *Indoor Air*. 2003; 13(1):53–64. [PubMed: 12608926]
58. Brundage JF, Scott RM, Lednar WM, Smith DW, Miller RN. Building-associated risk of febrile acute respiratory diseases in Army trainees. *Jama*. 1988; 259(14):2108–2112. [PubMed: 3346987]
59. Fisk WJ. Estimates of potential nationwide productivity and health benefits from better indoor environments: an update. *Indoor Air Quality Handbook*. 2000:4.
60. Pringle A. Asthma and the diversity of fungal spores in air. *PLoS Pathogens*. 2013; 9(6):e1003371. [PubMed: 23762024]
61. Rautiala S, Reponen T, Hyvärinen A, Nevalainen A, Husman T, Vehviläinen A, Kalliokoski P. Exposure to airborne microbes during the repair of moldy buildings. *American Industrial Hygiene Association*. 1996; 57(3):279–284.
62. Garrett M, Rayment P, Hooper M, Abramson M, Hooper B. Indoor airborne fungal spores, house dampness and associations with environmental factors and respiratory health in children. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy*. 1998; 28(4):459–467. [PubMed: 9641573]
63. Husman T. Health effects of indoor-air microorganisms. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*. 1996:5–13.
64. Sherwood, L. *Human physiology: from cells to systems*. Cengage Learning; 2008.
65. Ganong, WF.; Barrett, KE. *Review of medical physiology*. Vol. 22. McGraw-Hill Medical ^ eNew York; New York: 2005.

Table 1

Airborne virus-like and bacteria-like particle (VLP and BLP) concentrations (mean \pm standard deviation) in different environments.

Location	VLP concentration ^A particles/m ³ (log ₁₀ transformed) ^B	BLP concentration ^A particles/m ³ (log ₁₀ transformed) ^B	Virus-to-bacteria ratio
Classroom	5.7 \pm 3.3 $\times 10^5$ (5.7 \pm 0.3)	6.5 \pm 3.4 $\times 10^5$ (5.8 \pm 0.3)	0.9
Daycare Center	4.5 \pm 2.0 $\times 10^5$ (5.6 \pm 0.2)	5.0 \pm 1.2 $\times 10^5$ (5.7 \pm 0.1)	0.9
Dining Facility	3.9 \pm 0.4 $\times 10^5$ (5.6 \pm 0.0)	4.3 \pm 0.8 $\times 10^5$ (5.6 \pm 0.1)	0.9
Health Center	2.9 \pm 2.3 $\times 10^5$ (5.2 \pm 0.7)	3.4 \pm 1.6 $\times 10^5$ (5.5 \pm 0.2)	0.9
House 1	5.9 \pm 3.9 $\times 10^5$ (5.7 \pm 0.4)	5.6 \pm 2.7 $\times 10^5$ (5.7 \pm 0.2)	1.1
House 2	5.2 \pm 1.5 $\times 10^5$ (5.7 \pm 0.1)	6.5 \pm 1.5 $\times 10^5$ (5.8 \pm 0.1)	0.8
House 3	4.6 \pm 4.2 $\times 10^5$ (5.5 \pm 0.4)	6.8 \pm 5.6 $\times 10^5$ (5.7 \pm 0.4)	0.7
Office	4.9 \pm 2.5 $\times 10^5$ (5.6 \pm 0.3)	4.8 \pm 2.0 $\times 10^5$ (5.7 \pm 0.2)	1.0
Outdoors	1.2 \pm 0.7 $\times 10^6$ (6.0 \pm 0.3)	8.4 \pm 4.4 $\times 10^5$ (5.9 \pm 0.2)	1.4

^A Concentrations are based on three independent samples and have been corrected for the number of particles present on unexposed filters.

^B Mean and standard deviation of the log₁₀-transformed data, or the geometric mean and geometric standard deviation.