

Photodrive of magnetic bubbles via magnetoelastic waves

Naoki Ogawa^{a, 1}, Wataru Koshibae^a, Aron Jonathan Beekman^a, Naoto Nagaosa^{a,b}, Masashi Kubota^{a,c,2}, Masashi Kawasaki^{a,b}, and Yoshinori Tokura^{a,b}

^aRIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan; ^bDepartment of Applied Physics and Quantum Phase Electronics Center, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan; and 'Research and Development Headquarters, ROHM Company, Ltd., Kyoto 615-8585, Japan

Edited by Chia-Ling Chien, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, and accepted by the Editorial Board June 6, 2015 (received for review February 27, 2015)

Precise control of magnetic domain walls continues to be a central topic in the field of spintronics to boost infotech, logic, and memory applications. One way is to drive the domain wall by current in metals. In insulators, the incoherent flow of phonons and magnons induced by the temperature gradient can carry the spins, i.e., spin Seebeck effect, but the spatial and time dependence is difficult to control. Here, we report that coherent phonons hybridized with spin waves, magnetoelastic waves, can drive magnetic bubble domains, or curved domain walls, in an iron garnet, which are excited by ultrafast laser pulses at a nonabsorbing photon energy. These magnetoelastic waves were imaged by time-resolved Faraday microscopy, and the resultant spin transfer force was evaluated to be larger for domain walls with steeper curvature. This will pave a path for the rapid spatiotemporal control of magnetic textures in insulating magnets.

spintronics | photomagnetic effect | spin wave | magnetic domain wall | skyrmion

To materialize integrated spintronics (1, 2), it is essential to avoid excess energy to generate the control magnetic field by electric current. Therefore, the practical manipulation of the magnetic domain wall (DW) is now being realized by spin transfer torque generated from spin-polarized charge current in metals (3) and from flow of magnons in insulators (4), e.g., via the spin Seebeck effect $(5, 6)$. On the other hand, the optical control, aiming at ultrafast, nonthermal, and remote access to magnetic domains, remains elusive even after the discoveries of photomagnetic domain manipulation (7, 8), laser-induced magnetization reversal (9), and directional generation of magnetostatic waves (10). The main difficulty has been due to the weak coupling between photon and spin; in general, only a fraction of total spin moment can be modulated by visible–to–near-infrared photoexcitation if one wants to avoid extensive heating in the electron/lattice sectors. Here, we report an alternative optical process of generating coherent magnons, via magnetoelastic couplings as originally proposed by Kittel (11), and their interaction with magnetic domains, with a special attention to the geometry of the DWs.

A magnetic bubble generally refers to a cylinder-like magnetic domain formed by long-range dipolar interactions, in which the magnetization is antiparallel to external magnetic field at the center and is parallel at its periphery with various types of DW spin windings. Having experienced an intense study in the 1960s and 1970s for nonvolatile memory applications (12), there is a recent reawakening of interest in magnetic bubbles, owing to the experimental discovery of magnetic skyrmions in noncentrosymmetric helimagnets with relativistic Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya (DM) interactions (13–15). These skyrmions have noncoplanar spin-swirling textures, wrapping the unit sphere an integer number of times (15), and can be topologically equivalent to magnetic bubbles without Bloch lines at the wall (called type I) (16). One apparent difference shows up in their size; the diameter of skyrmions by the DM interaction typically ranges from 3 to 200 nm, whereas those by dipolar interaction from 100 nm to several micrometers (15). Various emergent interactions characterizing skyrmions have been revealed recently, such as the topological Hall effect, skyrmion Hall effect, and multiferroic behaviors in the insulating background, etc., some of which can be visualized under the Lorentz transmission electron microscope as current-driven and magnon-driven kinetics (17, 18). With the emergent electromagnetism induced by its steric and topological spin alignments, the skyrmion can function as an externally operable information carrier. From the viewpoint of topology, the dynamics of the magnetic bubble have direct relations with those of the skyrmion, with a definite advantage that bubbles can readily be observed under polarized optical microscopes. By convention, we refer to the circular magnetic domains in iron garnets as magnetic bubbles in the following, although some of them can be called skyrmions as well.

One can manipulate magnetic bubbles by external magneticfield gradients or thermal ones; the latter have been realized by heating the sample by a focused laser (heat mode) at absorbing photon energy, creating a gradient of the order of 10 K/μm (19, 20). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that skyrmions formed by the DM interaction can be driven through the interaction with spin-polarized charge current of ultralow density (\sim 10⁶ A·m⁻², five to six orders of magnitude smaller than that for the DW motion in ferromagnets) (17, 21), or by thermally excited magnon flow (18), owing to their emergent electromagnetic responses (15).

Considering the recent advances in the ultrafast optical control of spin ensembles (22), as well as in the understanding of

Significance

In contemporary spintronics, spin transfer torque exerted by a spin-polarized current is often used to control magnetic domains. In addition to it, magnons in both metals and insulators are now regarded as an attractive alternative to deliver and exchange spin momentum with magnetic domain walls. We demonstrate that (i) magnetoelastic waves (coupled propagation of phonon and magnon) can be generated in an iron garnet film by ultrafast laser pulses, to be a versatile source of spin momentum, and (ii) this traveling spin excitation drives the magnetic domains; more efficiently for those with steeper curvatures at the wall. The latter, the emergent electromagnetic response of the curved domain wall, can be understood by expanding the Thiele equation.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. C.-L.C. is a guest editor invited by the Editorial Board.

²Present address: Technology and Business Development Unit, Murata Manufacturing Company, Ltd., Nagaokakyo, Kyoto 617-8555, Japan.

Author contributions: N.O., W.K., A.J.B., N.N., M. Kubota, M. Kawasaki, and Y.T. designed research; N.O., W.K., A.J.B., N.N., M. Kubota, M. Kawasaki, and Y.T. performed research; N.O. and Y.T. analyzed data; and N.O., W.K., A.J.B., N.N., M. Kubota, M. Kawasaki, and Y.T. wrote the paper.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [naoki.ogawa@riken.jp.](mailto:naoki.ogawa@riken.jp)

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental).

interactions of spin wave and spin current with magnetic nanostructures, it is interesting to examine the photon–bubble (or photon–skyrmion) interaction. In particular, we focus on the magnetoelastic wave, a propagating mode of coupled sound and spin waves, which is capable of carrying a spin excitation more efficiently than thermally excited incoherent phonons. A phonon is a quantum of the lattice distortion wave, and a magnon is that of the spin wave. In a magnet, the crystal lattice experiences a small deformation when magnetized; such magnetostriction depends on the crystal symmetry and the direction of magnetization in the presence of spin–orbit interaction. When a sound wave travels in this magnetic crystal, the propagating lattice distortion tilts the spin out from its equilibrium direction through the magnetoelastic coupling (11). This coupling is enhanced at the resonant magnetoelastic mode when the original dispersions of phonon and magnon cross. The interaction between magnetoelastic waves and magnetic bubbles in insulating iron garnets as shown below will be readily generalized to the case of skyrmions in insulating chiral magnets.

Results

Threshold Optical Power to Drive Magnetic Bubbles. Fig. 1A illustrates our experimental setup. In an iron garnet film, the bubble phase appears with the application of out-of-plane magnetic field slightly below the magnetic saturation. We used focused pump lasers, either ultrashort pulse (120-fs width, 1-kHz repetition) or continuous wave (CW), and studied how the bubbles (or domain walls) interact with the laser lights under an optical microscope (see *[Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)* for detail). The threshold laser power to drive individual bubbles is indicated in Fig. 1B. With respect to the optical absorption, it is observed that the pulse laser can move bubbles even with the wavelength within the optical gap (∼1,100 nm), whereas the CW laser cannot. This fact is distinct from the reported heat mode operation of the magnetic bubbles (19, 20) and the photomagnetic manipulation of domain walls (7, 8), as discussed below.

Optical Generation of Magnetoelastic Waves. Now, we visualize the driving force for the non-heat mode manipulation of magnetic bubbles. It is well known that one can excite phonons and magnons in insulating materials by an impulsive stimulated Raman process of ultrafast optical excitation (22, 23) (Fig. 2A). For iron garnet films, magnons (or spin waves) excited in this manner have been studied extensively (10, 24), called inverse-Faraday effect (25–27). Here, we use somewhat different excitations, "magnetoelastic waves" (11, 28, 29), to manipulate bubbles. Their efficiency on carrying spin moment has been exemplified recently as acoustic spin pumping (30). Fig. 2B depicts the schematic dispersion relations of an iron garnet film in our experimental conditions, where anticrossings of acoustic phonon and exchange spin wave dispersions are expected. Near the anticrossings, these waves are coupled to form magnetoelastic modes, which are imaged by time-resolved Faraday rotation as shown in Fig. 2C. For these snapshot images, the external magnetic field was applied along the x axis to erase bubble domains and avoid strong Faraday rotation from them. The successive snapshot images at 0–6.20 ns clearly illustrate a spherical wave with quadrupole-like texture propagating from the excitation spot. Considering their velocity v (31), we can assign the outer four-node patterns ($v \sim 7$ km/s) to the spin wave coupled to longitudinal acoustic (LA) phonons, and the inner two-node ($v \sim 3$ km/s) to the coupling to transverse acoustic (TA) phonons, respectively.

These assignments can be confirmed by a simple simulation. At elapsed time δt much shorter than the period of precession and with the external magnetic field applied along the x axis, magnetoelastically coupled equations with linear approximation (28) may reduce to the following:

$$
\Delta m_z = -\gamma b \left(\frac{\partial R_y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial R_x}{\partial y} \right) \delta t \text{ (LA mode)}, \tag{1}
$$

$$
\Delta m_z = -\gamma^2 b H_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial R_z}{\partial x} \delta t^2 \text{ (TA mode)}, \qquad [2]
$$

where m_z is the spin moment along the z axis observable by Faraday rotation, γ is the gyromagnetic ratio, b is the magnetoelastic coupling constant, R_i is the displacement vector, and H_{eff} is the effective magnetic field including external, demagnetization, exchange, and dipole fields. For an expected spherical strain wave (strain direction is normal to the wave front in the xy plane for the LA phonon and out of the xy plane for the TA phonon), these equations yield the spatial patterns of m_z shown in Fig. 2D, which is in accord with the observed Faraday microscopy snapshots (Fig. 2C). In particular, only the TA mode reverses the sign of m_z when the external magnetic field is reversed (Eq. 2), which is experimentally verified ([Supporting Informa](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)[tion](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)). We note that since the iron garnet films used in our experiment are heavily chemically doped to support magnetic bubbles, the genuine spin waves do not appreciably propagate from the excitation spot with our excitation condition (the typical attenuation length is less than 3 μm; [Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)). Furthermore, the tightly focused light spot will not couple to the magnetostatic volume waves in our sample (10). When the external field is applied along the z axis, the magnetoelastic waves can be excited in a similar manner, but with less contrast in m_z . The phonon propagation in crystals has been visualized by several experimental techniques (23); however, the spatiotemporal images of the magnetoelastic wave have seldom been observed before.

Fig. 1. Experimental setup and action spectra for the photodrive of magnetic bubbles. (A) Schematic illustration of magnetic bubbles in an iron garnet film interacting with focused manipulation (pump) laser. (B) Threshold laser power for driving magnetic bubbles plotted together with the absorption spectrum of an iron garnet film. The average power at 1 kHz is adopted for the pulse laser to directly compare with the CW laser source. The wavelength used for generating magnetoelastic waves (1,300 nm) in the main text is indicated with a red arrow.

Fig. 2. Magnetoelastic excitation in garnet films. (A) Impulsive Raman process induced by an ultrafast laser pulse. (B) Schematic dispersions of phonons and a spin wave in an iron garnet film with anticrossings via magnetoelastic interactions. (C) Faraday microscopy snapshots on the generation and propagation of magnetoelastic waves. The pump laser pulse (1,300 nm, 100 nJ) excites the longitudinal (LA) and transverse (TA) acoustic phonons coupled to the spin moments via the magnetoelastic interaction, leading to the Faraday rotation of the probe light (800 nm) arriving at the specified elapsed time. Corresponding wave modes in the dispersion relations are indicated by dotted arrows from B. The images were acquired with exposure time of 120 s under an in-plane magnetic field of 700 G applied along the x axis. (D) Simulations of the magnetoelastic action to the spin moments (as represented by m_z induced by TA and LA phonons). Orange and green belts stand for the assumed plus/minus phonon magnitude, with the lattice displacement along the z axis for the TA phonon and along the propagation direction in the xy plane for the LA phonon.

Photodrive of Magnetic Domains via Magnetoelastic Waves. The interaction between the focused laser spot emitting magnetoelastic waves and the magnetic domains is exemplified in Fig. 3. (Corresponding movies can be found in *Supporting In*[formation](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).) When the pulsed laser spot approaches the stripe domain (Fig. 3A, Left), the DW is attracted toward the illumination spot, which is clearly discernible in the difference images (bottom row). The dynamics of the DWs are governed in a nontrivial manner by the wall energy, dipole interaction, pinning potential, and interactions with magnons or thermal gradient, etc., as discussed later. For some cases, we can largely distort the stripe domain via the motion of the laser spot (Fig. 3A, Right). In particular, the endpoint of the stripe domain, i.e., the half-curved spin texture, was found to be more mobile in response to the nearby pulsed photoexcitation. Fig. 3B illustrates that the endpoint of a stripe domain feels an attractive force even with some distance (typically up to $10 \mu m$) from the excitation spot. When we scan the pump laser spot laterally, one endpoint follows the spot by bending the stripe domain while exerting the dipole forces to other stripe domains. The bubble domains, i.e., fully curved spin texture, are the most mobile (Fig. 3C). By tipping the DW of the bubble with the laser spot, we can manipulate the bubble domain laterally in any direction (*[Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)*). Note that for the heat mode operation known before, the bubbles are expected to be trapped at the center of the laser spot due to the thermal gradient (19, 20). This is not the case for the present pulsed excitation at the transparent photon energy. It is also noted that the magnetoelastic excitations (Fig. 2) and manipulation of magnetic domains (Fig. 3) are independent of the photon polarization of the pump laser (see *[Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)* for detail).

Discussion

There are several possible mechanisms to explain the observed attractive force acting between the magnetic bubble and the laser spot: (i) thermal gradient force (heat mode) (19, 20), (ii) photoinduced anisotropy $(7, 8)$, (iii) thermally excited magnons, (iv) optically excited magnons, and (v) magnetoelastic waves. For case i, we estimate the temperature rise of the iron garnet film just after the pump excitation at nonabsorbing photon energy to be less than 0.6 K in the 4-μmφ Gaussian spot ([Supporting In](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)[formation](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)), which is much less than the required temperature gradient for the heat mode operation of bubbles (19, 20) over a 10-μm distance (Fig. 3B). We note that there is no thermally induced Faraday rotation observed upon the pump excitation ([Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)). Case ii requires dopants with strong magnetic anisotropy and is sensitive to the incident polarization, which is not observed here. We can also exclude case *iii* by the striking difference between CW and pulsed laser excitations. The thermally (and thus incoherently) excited low-energy magnons are not relevant in our experiment. For case iv , as discussed above, the photoexcited spin waves do not propagate appreciably in our garnet films. Furthermore, photoexcited magnetostatic volume waves (10) should be strongly dependent on the pump photon polarization (linear or circular), which is not the case here ([Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)). Therefore, we propose that the magnetoelastic wave, case v , carrying a spin excitation, is the main driving force for the manipulation of magnetic domains. In

Fig. 3. Optical manipulation of stripe domains and magnetic bubbles. (A) A pump laser spot (1,300 nm, 160 nJ) exerts an attractive force to the stripe domains as observed by Faraday microscopy with Xe lamp illumination. Bottom row shows the difference of the successive images illustrating the motion of the stripe domains upon the displacement of the laser spot (orange arrows). (B) Optically controlled elongation and bending of the stripe domain. The laser spot (emphasized with a red circle) was scanned laterally in the images, in which the initial motion of the stripe domain is indicated by a dotted arrow for clarity. Surrounding stripe domains also changed their lengths due to the dipole interaction. (C) Magnetic bubble domains are driven by the laser spot. The smaller bubbles (lower panels) can be more easily manipulated compared with the larger ones (upper panels). Corresponding movies can be found in [Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).

practice, we observed a transition from spin wave to magnetoelastic wave excitations by increasing excitation intensity, which nicely corresponds to the threshold of the domain manipulation (*[Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)*). Because the nonabsorbing pump laser is used for the excitation, we expect that these magnetoelastic waves are generated by the stimulated Raman process, similar to the case of inverse-Faraday effect (25–27). The magnetic bubbles are transiently excited through the interaction with magnetoelastic waves, gaining an energy to overcome the pinning potentials, and being attracted toward the laser spot by the spin transfer torque. Because a possible phonon–DW interaction inhibits the motion of the domains (4) in the present case, we continue our discussion by concentrating only on the spin part of the magnetoelastic waves.

It is known that magnetic DWs (4, 32–35) and skyrmions (36, 37) move against the propagating spin waves to conserve total momentum, as predicted using the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert and Thiele equations. For a curved DW, one can generalize the Thiele equation to treat space- and time-dependent deformation. The tilting of magnetic moments with respect to the z axis is well confined in a narrow DW region; therefore, the dynamics of the DW is effectively expressed as motion of a "string" parameterized

as $r(l, t)$ where l is the length measured along the string and t is time. Define the tangential unit vector $\tau(l, t) = \frac{\partial r(l, t)}{\partial l}$, and the normal vector $n(l, t) = \tau(l, t) \times e_z$ (e_z: the unit vector normal to the thin film). The equation of motion for a small part dl at $r(l, t)$ due to the applied spin current $v_s(l, t)$ of the spin wave is given by the following ([Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)):

$$
\left[\pm\frac{2}{R(l,t)}dl\right]e_z \times \left[v_s(l,t) - v_d(l,t)\right]
$$

$$
+dl\frac{C_1}{\xi}\mathbf{n}(l,t)\left\{\mathbf{n}(l,t)\cdot\left[\beta v_s(l,t) - \alpha v_d(l,t)\right]\right\}
$$

$$
+dl\frac{C_2}{R(l,t)}\frac{\xi}{R(l,t)}\mathbf{\tau}(l,t)\left\{\mathbf{\tau}(l,t)\cdot\left[\beta v_s(l,t) - \alpha v_d(l,t)\right]\right\}
$$
[3]
$$
-\left[\frac{T}{R(l,t)}dl\right]\mathbf{n}(l,t) + \mathbf{F}_{ex}(l,t)dl = 0,
$$

where $v_d(l, t) = \frac{\partial r(l, t)}{\partial t}$ is the local drift velocity of DW (12). The first term describes the Magnus force. In the Bloch wall structure preferred by the dipolar interaction, the winding plane of the magnetic moment is perpendicular to the normal vector $n(l, t)$, and the curved DW possesses a solid angle of the

magnetic texture (scalar spin chirality) proportional to the curvature $1/R(l, t) = -[\frac{\partial^2 r(l, t)}{\partial l^2}] \cdot n(l, t)$ of the string. The second and third terms represent the dissination where ζ is the DW width α is third terms represent the dissipation, where ξ is the DW width, α is the Gilbert damping constant, and β is the nonadiabatic effect. The dimensionless constants C_1 and C_2 are of the order of unity. The fourth term is the tension where T is the tensile strength of the DW. In the final term, F_{ex} denotes the external forces due to the pinning of DW, inhomogeneity of the sample, and so on. From Eq. 3, one can see that the portion with large curvature $1/R(l, t)$ is subject to a stronger Magnus force, and driven by the spin current v_s of the spin wave excitations more efficiently as discussed above. (See *[Supporting Information](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504064112/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201504064SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT)* on the threshold spin current density for the depinning.)

Our experiments revealed that we can excite magnetoelastic waves in iron garnet films by an ultrafast optical excitation at nonabsorbing photon energy, realizing a local and coherent source of spin waves without generating excessive heat. It is also demonstrated that the excited magnetoelastic waves can drive magnetic DWs, more efficiently when they have steeper curvatures or in an extreme case form bubbles. The dynamics of magnetic bubbles can be generalized to those of smaller magnetic structures, such as skyrmions and magnetic vortices.

Materials and Methods

Bismuth-substituted iron garnet films (Gd_{1.63} Tb_{0.33} Ca_{0.04} Bi_{1.00} Fe_{4.66} Al_{0.34} O_{12} , 50 μ m in thickness), grown by a liquid-phase epitaxy method on the (110) plane of $(CaGd)_{3} (MgGaZr)_{5}$ O₁₂ (SGGG) substrates (350 µm in thickness), were used in the experiment. Although as-grown films have out-of-

- 1. Allwood DA, et al. (2005) Magnetic domain-wall logic. Science 309(5741):1688–1692. 2. Parkin SSP, Hayashi M, Thomas L (2008) Magnetic domain-wall racetrack memory.
- Science 320(5873):190–194. 3. Tserkovnyak Y, Brataas A, Bauer GEW, Halperin BI (2005) Nonlocal magnetization
- dynamics in ferromagnetic heterostructures. Rev Mod Phys 77(4):1375–1421. 4. Jiang W, et al. (2013) Direct imaging of thermally driven domain wall motion in
- magnetic insulators. Phys Rev Lett 110(17):177202. 5. Uchida K, et al. (2008) Observation of the spin Seebeck effect. Nature 455(7214):
- 778–781.
- 6. Uchida K, et al. (2011) Long-range spin Seebeck effect and acoustic spin pumping. Nat Mater 10(10):737–741.
- 7. Chizhik AB, Davidenko II, Maziewski A, Stupakiewicz A (1998) High-temperature photomagnetism in Co-doped yttrium iron garnet films. Phys Rev B 57(22): 14366–14369.
- 8. Stupakiewicz A, Maziewski A, Davidenko I, Zablotskii V (2001) Light-induced magnetic anisotropy in Co-doped garnet films. Phys Rev B 64(6):064405.
- 9. Stanciu CD, et al. (2007) All-optical magnetic recording with circularly polarized light. Phys Rev Lett 99(4):047601.
- 10. Satoh T, et al. (2012) Directional control of spin-wave emission by spatially shaped light. Nat Photonics 6(10):662–666.
- 11. Kittel C (1958) Interaction of spin waves and ultrasonic waves in ferromagnetic crystals. Phys Rev 110(4):836–841.
- 12. Malozemoff AP, Slonczewski JC (1979) Magnetic Domain Walls in Bubble Materials (Academic, New York).
- 13. Mühlbauer S, et al. (2009) Skyrmion lattice in a chiral magnet. Science 323(5916): 915–919.
- 14. Yu XZ, et al. (2010) Real-space observation of a two-dimensional skyrmion crystal. Nature 465(7300):901–904.
- 15. Nagaosa N, Tokura Y (2013) Topological properties and dynamics of magnetic skyrmions. Nat Nanotechnol 8(12):899–911.
- 16. Grundy PJ, Herd SR (1973) Lorentz microscopy of bubble domains and changes in domain wall state in hexaferrites. Phys Status Solidi 20(1):295–307.
- 17. Yu XZ, et al. (2012) Skyrmion flow near room temperature in an ultralow current density. Nat Commun 3:988.
- 18. Mochizuki M, et al. (2014) Thermally driven ratchet motion of a skyrmion microcrystal and topological magnon Hall effect. Nat Mater 13(3):241–246.
- 19. Ashkin A, Dziedzic JM (1972) Interaction of laser light with magnetic domains. Appl Phys Lett 21(6):253–255.
- 20. Kaneko M, Okamoto T, Tamada H, Yamada T (1986) Optical operation of a magnetic bubble. IEEE Trans Magn 22(1):2–10.

plane easy axis due to the growth-induced anisotropy, we prepared annealed films (6 h at 1,200° C in air), where the anisotropy is reduced and easily movable magnetic bubbles can be formed. These films are mounted in a polarized microscope with transmission geometry, with two objective lenses 20 \times (N.A., 0.28) and 50 \times (N.A., 0.55) used for illumination and collection, respectively. The crystal axes were aligned as x // [001] and y // [110]. In-plane and/or out-of-plane magnetic field was applied to the sample by several permanent magnets. A Xe lamp, several CW lasers (633, 690, 800, 1,064 nm), and wavelength-tunable pulsed lasers (120 fs, 1 kHz, 600–1,500 nm) were used as light sources. Time-resolved Faraday microscopy (snapshots) was executed with pulsed probe light (800 nm) after the focused pump light excitation, which was imaged on a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera through an analyzer. The analyzer was rotated 2° from the extinction condition, and the image without pump excitation is subtracted from each data. The movies of the magnetic domain manipulation were taken by a video rate CCD camera with Xe lamp illumination. The simulated Faraday contrasts in Fig. 2D were obtained solely by the spatial derivative (Eqs. 1 and 2) of the assumed spherical strain waves (phonons). Therefore, the absolute values are not comparable between TA and LA modes. An additional strain was added at the pump spot to reproduce the observed snapshot images.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Y. Tokunaga, X. Z. Yu, Y. Nii, and M. Ishida for stimulating discussions and experimental assistance. This research was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) through the Funding Program for World-Leading Innovative R&D on Science and Technology (FIRST Program), initiated by the Council for Science and Technology Policy, and by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 24224009. N.O. was supported by RIKEN Incentive Research Projects, and A.J.B. was supported by the Foreign Postdoctoral Researcher Program at RIKEN.

- 21. Jonietz F, et al. (2010) Spin transfer torques in MnSi at ultralow current densities. Science 330(6011):1648–1651.
- 22. Kirilyuk A, Kimel AV, Rasing T (2010) Ultrafast optical manipulation of magnetic order. Rev Mod Phys 82(3):2731–2784.
- 23. Wolfe JP (1998) Imaging Phonons (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- 24. Hansteen F, Kimel A, Kirilyuk A, Rasing T (2005) Femtosecond photomagnetic switching of spins in ferrimagnetic garnet films. Phys Rev Lett 95(4):047402.
- 25. Pitaevskii LP (1961) Electric forces in a transparent dispersive medium. Sov Phys JETP 12(5):1008–1013.
- 26. van der Ziel JP, Pershan PS, Malmstrom LD (1965) Optically-induced magnetization resulting from the inverse Faraday effect. Phys Rev Lett 15(5):190–193.
- 27. Pershan PS, van der Ziel JP, Malmstrom LD (1966) Theoretical discussion of the inverse Faraday effect, Raman scattering, and related phenomena. Phys Rev 143(2):574–583.
- 28. Schlöman E (1960) Generation of phonons in high power ferromagnetic resonance experiments. J Appl Phys 31(9):1647–1656.
- 29. Eshbach JR (1963) Spin-wave propagation and the magnetoelastic interaction in yttrium iron garnet. J Appl Phys 34(4):1298–1304.
- 30. Weiler M, et al. (2012) Spin pumping with coherent elastic waves. Phys Rev Lett 108(17):176601.
- 31. Zhang PX, Lockwood DJ, Labbé HJ (1993) Magnon and acoustic-phonon light scattering from Bi-doped yttrium iron garnet. Phys Rev B Condens Matter 48(9): 6099–6103.
- 32. Hinzke D, Nowak U (2011) Domain wall motion by the magnonic spin Seebeck effect. Phys Rev Lett 107(2):027205.
- 33. Hertel R, Wulfhekel W, Kirschner J (2004) Domain-wall induced phase shifts in spin waves. Phys Rev Lett 93(25):257202.
- 34. Yan P, Wang XS, Wang XR (2011) All-magnonic spin-transfer torque and domain wall propagation. Phys Rev Lett 107(17):177207.
- 35. Kajiwara Y, et al. (2010) Transmission of electrical signals by spin-wave interconversion in a magnetic insulator. Nature 464(7286):262–266.
- 36. Iwasaki J, Beekman AJ, Nagaosa N (2014) Theory of magnon-skyrmion scattering in chiral magnets. Phys Rev B 89(6):064412.
- 37. Kong L, Zang J (2013) Dynamics of an insulating skyrmion under a temperature gradient. Phys Rev Lett 111(6):067203.
- 38. Iwasaki J, Mochizuki M, Nagaosa N (2013) Universal current-velocity relation of skyrmion motion in chiral magnets. Nature Commun 4:1463.
- 39. Thiele AA, Bobeck AH, Torre ED, Gianola UF (1971) The energy and general translation force of cylindrical magnetic domains. Bell Syst Tech J 50(3):711–724.