

Opposing roles of STAT1 and STAT3 in IL-21 function in CD4⁺ T cells

Chi-Keung Wan^{a,1}, Allison B. Andraski^{a,1,2}, Rosanne Spolski^a, Peng Li^a, Majid Kazemian^a, Jangsuk Oh^a, Leigh Samsel^b, Phillip A. Swanson II^c, Dorian B. McGavern^c, Elizabeth P. Sampaio^d, Alexandra F. Freeman^d, Joshua D. Milner^e, Steven M. Holland^d, and Warren J. Leonard^{a,3}

^aLaboratory of Molecular Immunology and Immunology Center, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1674; ^bFlow Cytometry Core, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1674; ^cViral Immunology and Intravital Imaging Section, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1674; ^dLaboratory of Clinical Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1674; ^aLaboratory ^eLaboratory of Allergic Diseases, National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1674;

Contributed by Warren J. Leonard, June 18, 2015 (sent for review May 19, 2015; reviewed by Thomas R. Malek and Howard A. Young)

IL-21 is a type I cytokine essential for immune cell differentiation and function. Although IL-21 can activate several STAT family transcription factors, previous studies focused mainly on the role of STAT3 in IL-21 signaling. Here, we investigated the role of STAT1 and show that STAT1 and STAT3 have at least partially opposing roles in IL-21 signaling in CD4⁺ T cells. IL-21 induced STAT1 phosphorylation, and this was augmented in Stat3-deficient CD4⁺ T cells. RNA-Seq analysis of CD4⁺ T cells from Stat1- and Stat3-deficient mice revealed that both STAT1 and STAT3 are critical for IL-21-mediated gene regulation. Expression of some genes, including Tbx21 and Ifng, was differentially regulated by STAT1 and STAT3. Moreover, opposing actions of STAT1 and STAT3 on IFN-γ expression in CD4⁺ T cells were demonstrated in vivo during chronic lymphocytic choriomeningitis infection. Finally, IL-21-mediated induction of STAT1 phosphorylation, as well as IFNG and TBX21 expression, were higher in CD4⁺ T cells from patients with autosomal dominant hyper-IgE syndrome, which is caused by STAT3 deficiency, as well as in cells from STAT1 gain-of-function patients. These data indicate an interplay between STAT1 and STAT3 in fine-tuning IL-21 actions.

IL-21 | T cells | STATs | IFN-γ | AD-HIES

nterleukin-21 (IL-21) is a type I cytokine that signals via a receptor composed of IL-21R and the common cytokine receptor γ -chain, γ_c (1). γ_c is also shared by the receptors for IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-9, and IL-15 and is mutated in humans with X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency (XSCID), a disease characterized by the absence of T and natural killer (NK) cells and the presence of nonfunctional B cells (2). IL-21 is primarily produced by CD4⁺ T cells and natural killer T (NKT) cells, but it has pleiotropic actions on both adaptive and innate immune cells, including T, B, NK, NKT, and dendritic cells (1). In T cells, IL-21 can act as a comitogen and cooperates with IL-7 and IL-15 to expand CD8⁺ T cells (3), promotes Th17 differentiation (4-6), and induces BCL6 expression (7) to promote T follicular helper cell development (8, 9). In B cells, IL-21 promotes plasma cell differentiation (10, 11), and in combination with IL-4, drives IgG1 and IgG3 class switch (11, 12). Defective signaling by IL-21 appears to substantially explain the B-cell defect observed in patients with XSCID (11, 13). Furthermore, IL-21 can enhance the cytotoxic activity of NK and NKT cells (1) and induce the apoptosis of conventional dendritic cells (14).

IL-21 activates multiple signaling pathways, including the JAK-STAT, PI 3-kinase (PI3K), and MAPK pathways (15). Of these, the JAK-STAT pathway has been most extensively studied. IL-21 induces phosphorylation of JAK1 and JAK3, which in turn leads to phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of STAT3, which then binds to IFN- γ -activated sequence (GAS) motifs and modulates expression of IL-21–responsive genes. IL-21 also activates STAT1, but the function of IL-21–activated STAT1 is largely unknown, although IL-21 was suggested to use

STAT1 to promote CD8⁺ T-cell cytotoxicity and apoptosis of mantle cell lymphoma (16, 17). We now have elucidated the roles of STAT1 in IL-21 signaling and identified an interplay between STAT1 and STAT3 in mediating the actions of IL-21 in CD4⁺ T cells, and have also found increased IL-21-mediated induction of STAT1 phosphorylation in cells from patients with autosomal dominant hyper-IgE syndrome (AD-HIES), and in patients with a STAT1 gain-of-function (GOF) mutation, which correlates with increased *IFNG* (interferon gamma) and *TBX21* (T-box 21) expression after IL-21 stimulation.

Results

IL-21 Induces Sustained STAT1 and STAT3 Activation in CD4⁺ T Cells. IL-21 was previously shown to induce strong and sustained STAT3 phosphorylation (pSTAT3) but only weaker and more transient STAT1 phosphorylation (pSTAT1) in total splenocytes, B cells, and CD8⁺ T cells (18, 19). We first compared IL-21–induced pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 in preactivated CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells. IL-21 induced strong pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 at 30 min (Fig. 1 *A*)

Significance

IL-21 is a type I cytokine important for immune cell differentiation and function. We found that transcription factors STAT1 and STAT3 play partially opposing roles in IL-21 function in CD4⁺ T cells. Both STAT1 and STAT3 control IL-21-mediated gene regulation, with some genes, including *Ifng*, *Tbx21*, and *Il21* reciprocally regulated by these STATs. IFN- γ production was also differentially regulated by these STATs in vitro during CD4⁺ T-cell differentiation and in vivo during chronic lymphocytic choriomeningitis infection. Importantly, IL-21-induced *IFNG* and *TBX21* expression was higher in CD4⁺ T cells from patients with autosomal dominant hyper-IgE syndrome or with STAT1 gain-of-function mutations, suggesting that dys-regulated IL-21–STAT signaling partially explains the clinical manifestations of these patients.

Author contributions: C.-K.W., A.B.A., P.L., and W.J.L. designed research; C.-K.W., A.B.A., R.S., P.L., M.K., J.O., L.S., P.A.S., E.P.S., and A.F.F. performed research; C.-K.W., A.B.A., R.S., P.L., M.K., D.B.M., and W.J.L. analyzed data; and C.-K.W., A.B.A., P.L., D.B.M., J.D.M., S.M.H., and W.J.L. wrote the paper.

Reviewers: T.R.M., Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami; and H.A.Y., National Cancer Institute.

Conflict of interest statement: W.J.L. and R.S. are inventors on NIH patents related to IL-21.

Data deposition: The data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo (accession no. GSE63204). ¹C.-K.W., and A.B.A. contributed equally to this work.

C.-K.W., and A.B.A. contributed equally to this work.

²Present address: Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115.

³To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: wjl@helix.nih.gov.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1511711112/-/DCSupplemental.

and *B*). pSTAT1 as well as pSTAT3 was still detected at 4 and 8 h of stimulation (Fig. 1 *A* and *B*), and when we deleted *Stat3* by crossing *Stat3*-floxed mice to CD4-*Cre* transgenic mice (referred to as *Stat3^{-/-}* herein), IL-21 induced more pSTAT1 at 4 and 8 h in *Stat3^{-/-}* CD4⁺ T cells than in WT cells (Fig. 1 *A* and *C*); this increase was minimally observed in CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 1 *B* and *C*). Interestingly, we observed diminished IL-21–induced expression of *Socs3* and *Socs1* in *Stat3^{-/-}* cells (Fig. 1*D*). Because SOCS3 and SOCS1 can negatively regulate cytokine signaling by blocking STAT protein phosphorylation (20), this might in part explain the increased pSTAT1 in the absence of STAT3. Using ImageStream to image fluorescently labeled pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 within CD4⁺ T cells, we confirmed that both STAT1 and STAT3 translocated to the nucleus after IL-21 stimulation (Fig. 1*E* and Fig. S1).

Both STAT1 and STAT3 Contribute to IL-21-Mediated Gene Regulation in CD4⁺ T Cells. We next used RNA-Seq in WT, *Stat1^{-/-}*, and *Stat3^{-/-}* CD4⁺ T cells to elucidate the roles of STAT1 and STAT3 in IL-21-mediated gene regulation (Fig. 2A). Consistent with its activation by IL-21 (Fig. 1), STAT1 was responsible for the regulation of ~10% (173 of 1,648) of the genes (foldchange > 1.5) after IL-21 stimulation (Fig. 2B and Dataset S1). Although STAT3 is considered to be the major transcription factor responsible for IL-21's effect, it only affected ~40% (834 of 2,101) of the genes regulated by IL-21 (Fig. 2B and Dataset S2), suggesting contributions of STAT-independent (e.g., MAPK and PI3K) pathways, which are also involved in IL-21-mediated



Fig. 1. IL-21-induced STAT1 phosphorylation is enhanced in the absence of STAT3 in CD4⁺ T cells. (A and B) CD4⁺ (A) and CD8⁺ (B) T cells from Stat3⁺ and Stat3^{-/-} mice were stimulated with 5 μ g/mL plate-bound anti-CD3 + 2 µg/mL soluble anti-CD28 for 2 d, rested 1 d, then not stimulated or stimulated with IL-21 for 30 min, 4 h, and 8 h. Levels of pSTAT3, STAT3, pSTAT1, and STAT1 were assessed by Western blotting. Data are representative of three to five experiments. (C) Quantification of Western blotting images by ImageJ. (D) IL-21-mediated Socs3 and Socs1 mRNA expression in CD4+ T cells is STAT3-dependent. CD4⁺ T cells from Stat3^{+/+} and Stat3^{-/-} mice were stimulated with IL-21 as in A for 4 h, mRNA expression of Socs3 (Left) and Socs1 (Right) was analyzed by RT-PCR. Shown is expression relative to Rp/7; data are representative of three experiments. (E) IL-21-activated STAT1 and STAT3 translocate to the nucleus. CD4⁺ T cells were stimulated with IL-21 as in A for 30 min. Samples were fixed and stained with DAPI (nuclear stain, purple), and with antibodies to pSTAT1 (green) or pSTAT3 (yellow), and analyzed by ImageStream. Overlapped DAPI and pSTAT1 or pSTAT3 staining (Merge) indicates nuclear translocation. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

signaling (19). Moreover, we observed augmented IL-21induced expression of a number of genes in the absence of STAT1 or STAT3, suggesting that these STAT proteins also directly or indirectly inhibit expression. Notably, nearly ~50% (84 of 173) of IL-21-regulated, STAT1-dependent genes were also STAT3-dependent (Fig. 2C and Dataset S3). Some genes were differentially regulated by STAT1 and STAT3. For example, the Th1 cell signature genes Tbx21 (Fig. 2D) and Ifng (Fig. 2E) were not induced by IL-21 in the absence of STAT1, but their expression was elevated in the absence of STAT3, consistent with an inducing role for STAT1 and repressive role for STAT3. This finding is also consistent with a report showing that IL-21 induces Tbet expression in CD8⁺ T cells via STAT1 (16). We hypothesized that IL-21-activated STAT1 and STAT3 directly regulated the expression these genes and therefore used ChIP-Seq to determine STAT1 and STAT3 binding in CD4⁺ T cells after IL-21 stimulation. IL-21-induced STAT3 bound at sites 5' of the Tbx21 and Ifng loci (Fig. 2 F and G) containing GAS motifs (Table S1), and the level of STAT3 binding was lower in Stat1-deficient cells (Fig. 2 F and G). STAT1 binding was detected at the same sites and additionally at multiple sites where STAT3 binding was minimal (Fig. 2 F and G). In contrast to Tbx21 and Ifng, IL-21-induced expression of the Il21 gene was elevated in the absence of STAT1 but decreased in the absence of STAT3 (Fig. 2H), and correspondingly, IL-21-mediated STAT3 binding was enhanced in Stat1-deficient cells (Fig. 2I), and STAT1 and STAT3 bound at distinct sites for regulating Il21 expression (Fig. 21). These data collectively indicate key roles for STAT1 for IL-21-induced gene expression in CD4⁺ T cells and suggest that STAT1 and STAT3 can regulate gene expression through binding at the same or different sites at the Tbx21, Ifng, and II21 loci.

Opposing Effects of STAT1 and STAT3 on IL-21-Mediated IFN-y Expression. By ChIP-Seq, we observed STAT1 binding in preactivated CD4⁺ T cells even without IL-21 stimulation (Fig. 2 F, G, and I), and indeed, the basal level of phosphorylated STAT1 was also higher in preactivated Stat3-deficient CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 1A). We thus investigated if T-cell receptor (TCR) signaling in naïve CD4⁺ T cells augmented STAT1 phosphorylation in these cells, and found that TCR signaling strongly induced STAT1 phosphorylation at 24 and 48 h after stimulation and persisted for at least 72 h (Fig. 3A, lanes 3-8 vs. 1 and 2), but augmented STAT1 phosphorylation in Stat3-deficient CD4⁺ T cells was only detected at 48 and 72 h (Fig. 3 A and B, lanes 6 and 8 vs. 5 and 7). Addition of an IFN-y blocking antibody diminished STAT1 phosphorylation induced by TCR signaling (Fig. 3A, lanes 9-14), suggesting that TCR-mediated IFN-y expression induced STAT1 activation. Importantly, however, STAT1 phosphorylation was still higher in Stat3-deficient CD4⁺ T cells even in the presence of anti-IFN-y (Fig. 3A, lanes 12 vs. 11 and 14 vs. 13, and Fig. 3B), indicating that IFN-y was not responsible for this difference and suggesting that other cytokines might contribute to the augmented STAT1 phosphorylation. Because IL-21-induced Ifng mRNA expression was enhanced in Stat3-deficient CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 2*E*), it was possible that IFN- γ signaling contributed to the IL-21-induced augmented STAT1 phosphorylation in these cells; however, an IFN-y blocking antibody did not significantly affect STAT1 phosphorylation induced by IL-21 (Fig. 3 C and D), indicating that IL-21 worked independently from IFN- γ signaling for inducing higher STAT1 activation in Stat3-deficient $CD4^+$ T cells.

Above, we showed that IL-21 could induce *Tbx21* and *Ifng* expression in preactivated CD4⁺ T cells after 4 h of stimulation, with an enhanced effect in the absence of STAT3. However, it was previously reported that IL-21 suppresses IFN- γ expression during T-cell differentiation (21). We therefore investigated the effect of IL-21 on IFN- γ expression during CD4⁺ T-cell



Fig. 2. IL-21–mediated gene regulation in CD4⁺ T cells requires both STAT1 and STAT3. (A-C) CD4⁺ T cells from $Stat1^{+/+}$, $Stat1^{-/-}$, $Stat3^{+/+}$, and $Stat3^{-/-}$ mice were preactivated with plate-bound anti-CD3 + anti-CD28 for 3 d, rested overnight, and not stimulated or stimulated with IL-21 for 4 h. Gene expression was determined by RNA-Seq. For each sample, RNA was prepared from combined cells from three mice. (A) Heat map comparing the IL-21–mediated gene expression in CD4⁺ T cells with the indicated genotypes. (B) Number of IL-21 regulated genes that are STAT1- or STAT3-dependent (pie charts, *Upper*) and the number of these genes that are induced or repressed (bar graphs). (C) Venn diagram showing numbers of genes that are independently or coregulated by RTAT1 and STAT3. (D and E) IL-21–mediated mRNA expression of Tbx21 (D) and Ifng (E) in $Stat1^{+/+}$, $Stat3^{-/-}$, $CD4^+$ T cells was determined by RT-PCR. Shown are combined results from three mice with expression relative to Rp/7. (F and G) Preactivated CD4⁺ T cells from $Stat1^{+/+}$, $Stat1^{-/-}$, $Stat3^{+/+}$, and $Stat3^{-/-}$ mice were stimulated with IL-21 for 1 h, and STAT3 binding at Tbx21 (F) and Ifng (G) loci was determined by ChIP-Seq analysis. Tag numbers for peaks are indicated. (H) As in D and E, IL-21–mediated mRNA expression of the II21 gene was determined by ChIP-Seq analysis. Tag of three mice and expression relative to Rp/7. (I) As in F and G, STAT1 and STAT3 binding at the II21 locus was determined by ChIP-Seq analysis.

differentiation. After 24 h of stimulation, IL-21 induced Tbet expression in CD4⁺ T cells, and Tbet expression was enhanced when Stat3 was deleted (Fig. 3E). IL-21 diminished Ifng expression in Stat3-sufficent cells during 4 d of differentiation (Fig. 3F), but it increased *Ifng* expression in *Stat3*-deficient cells (Fig. 3F). These results are consistent with lower IFN- γ protein production after 4 d of differentiation with IL-21 in WT cells but increased IFN-y expression in similarly treated Stat3-deficient cells (Fig. 3G). Consistent with the fact that IL-21 acted independently of IFN-y signaling, addition of anti-IFN-y suppressed intracellular IFN-y expression in WT CD4⁺ T cells, but it did not affect the increased IFN-y expression in Stat3-deficient cells (Fig. 3G). STAT1 is absolutely required for IFN- γ expression, as the basal expression of intracellular IFN-y was abolished in Stat1-deficient CD4+ T cells (Fig. 3H). As a result, the IL-21-mediated IFN- γ expression that we observed in Stat3deficient cells could not be detected in Stat1-deficient cells.

Although the IL-21-induced augmented STAT1 phosphorylation was independent of IFN- γ signaling, expression of IFN- γ mRNA (Figs. 2*E* and 3*F*) and protein (Fig. 3*G*) was increased in these cells. Therefore, we asked if the increased IFN- γ expression affected IL-21-mediated gene expression, particularly for Th1 signature genes (e.g., *Ifng* and *Tbx21*) whose induction by IL-21 was enhanced in *Stat3*-deficient cells. We performed a kinetic analysis by stimulating WT and *Stat3*-deficient CD4⁺ T cells with IL-21, without or with anti–IFN- γ , and determined gene expression by RNA-Seq after 24 and 72 h of stimulation. By Ingenuity Upstream Regulator Analysis, we identified 53 STAT1-regulated genes whose expression was induced by IL-21, with higher induction in *Stat3*-deficient cells at the 24 and 72 h time points (Fig. 4*A* and Dataset S4), including *Ifng*, *Tbx21*, *Ifit1*, and *Ifit2* (Fig. 4 *B–E*). The addition of anti–IFN- γ reduced IL-21–induced expression of these Th1 signature genes in both WT and *Stat3*-deficient cells (Fig. 4 and Dataset S4), suggesting that even though IFN- γ signaling did not affect the augmented STAT1 phosphorylation induced by IL-21, it was partly responsible for IL-21–mediated gene expression in *Stat3*-deficient cells.

Opposing Effects of STAT1 and STAT3 During Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Infection. The opposing effects of STAT1 and STAT3 on IFN- γ production in CD4⁺ T cells were further examined in vivo using lymphocytic choriomeningitis (LCMV) infection. We infected Stat1^{-/-}, Stat3^{-/-}, and control mice with LCMV clone 13 and determined IFN-y production in antigenspecific CD4⁺ T cells. Consistent with our in vitro results, the numbers of antigen-specific (Fig. 5A) and IFN- γ -producing (Fig. 5B) CD4⁺ T cells were decreased in Stat1^{-/-} mice infected with LCMV compared with WT littermates, but increased in Stat3^{-/-} mice (Fig. 5 C and D). Interestingly, however, the numbers of antigen-specific (Fig. 5E) and IFN- γ -producing (Fig. 5F) CD8⁺ T cells were not significantly altered in $Stat3^{-/-}$ mice. These data suggest that STAT1 and STAT3 play opposing roles in regulating IFN- γ production in CD4⁺ cells. IL-21 signaling is likely involved, as previous reports showed that IL-21 is induced and plays a protective role in LCMV infection (22-24), although other STAT1- or STAT3-activating cytokines-including perhaps IL-6,



Fig. 3. STAT3 suppresses IL-21-mediated Tbet and IFN-γ expression in CD4⁺ T cells. (A) Naïve CD4⁺ T cells from $Stat3^{+/+}$ and $Stat3^{-/-}$ mice were stimulated with 5 $\mu g/mL$ plate-bound anti-CD3 + 2 $\mu g/mL$ soluble anti-CD28 without or with 10 µg/mL anti-IFN-y for 24–72 h. Levels of pSTAT1 and STAT1 were determined by Western blotting. Data are representative of three experiments. (B) Quantification of Western blotting images by ImageJ. Numbers indicate the ratios of pSTAT1 levels in Stat3^{-/-} cells vs. Stat3^{+/+} cells and dividing this value by total STAT1 levels in Stat3-/- cells relative to Stat3^{+/+} cells. (C) CD4⁺ T cells from Stat3^{+/+} and Stat3^{-/-} mice were stimulated with 5 μ g/mL plate-bound anti-CD3 + 2 μ g/mL soluble anti-CD28 for 3 d, rested 1 d, and then not stimulated or stimulated with IL-21 for 4 h, and 8 h, without or with the addition of 10 μ g/mL anti–IFN- γ . Levels of pSTAT1 and STAT1 were determined by Western blotting. Data are representative of two experiments. (D) Quantification of Western blotting images by ImageJ as in B. (E) Naïve CD4⁺ T cells from Stat3^{+/+} and Stat3^{-/-} mice were stimulated with 5 μ g/mL plate-bound anti-CD3 + 2 μ g/mL soluble anti-CD28 without or with 100 ng/mL IL-21 for 24 h, and Tbet expression was analyzed by flow cytometry. Data are representative of three experiments. (F) Naïve CD4⁺ T cells from $Stat3^{+/+}$ and $Stat3^{-/-}$ mice were treated as in E, and Ifng expression was determined at different points relative to Rp17; data are representative of three experiments. (G and H) Naïve CD4⁺ T cells from Stat3^{+/+} and Stat3^{-/-} (G), or Stat1^{+/+} and Stat1^{-/-} (H), mice were treated as in E for 4 d, without or with the addition of 10 μg/mL anti-IFN-γ. Cells were washed and restimulated with PMA + ionomycin and golgiplug for 4 h, and intracellular IFN- γ and IL-17 were analyzed by flow cytometry. Data are representative of three experiments.

IL-10, or type I IFN, which are involved in LCMV responses (25–28)—might also contribute.

Higher IL-21–Induced STAT1 Phosphorylation and *IFNG* and *TBX21* Expression in CD4⁺ T Cells from Patients with AD-HIES and STAT1 GOF Mutation. To clarify the roles of STAT1 and STAT3 in the response to IL-21 in humans, we used CD4⁺ T cells from patients with AD-HIES, a genetic disorder caused by dominant-

negative mutations in STAT3. In most cases, mutations occur in the SH2 or DNA binding domains, leading to the partial loss of STAT3 activity (29), and Th17 cell differentiation is typically defective in these patients (30). We stimulated preactivated CD4⁺ T cells purified from AD-HIES patients (Table S2) with IL-21, and compared pSTAT1 levels and IFNG and TBX21 mRNA expression with those seen with healthy controls. In normal human donors, IL-21 induced pSTAT1 in CD4⁺ T cells by 30 min and then declined, but was still detected after 4 h (Fig. 6A). In CD4⁺ T cells from patients with AD-HIES, pSTAT1 was higher than in cells from normal donors, particularly at 4 h after IL-21 treatment (Fig. 6 A and B). Interestingly, $CD4^+$ T cells from some AD-HIES patients also expressed higher levels of total STAT1 (Fig. 6A). Moreover, IL-21 induced IFNG and TBX21 mRNA expression in human CD4⁺ T cells, and particularly for TBX21 the fold-induction was higher in AD-HIES CD4⁺ T (Fig. 6 C and D and Fig. S2), consistent with the suppressive



Fig. 4. IFN- γ signaling partially controls IL-21–mediated gene expression. (A) CD4⁺ T cells from *Stat3*^{+/+} and *Stat3*^{-/-} mice were stimulated with 5 µg/mL plate-bound anti-CD3 + 2 µg/mL soluble anti-CD28 for 3 d, rested 1 d, then not stimulated or stimulated with IL-21 for 24 h or 72 h, without or with 10 µg/mL anti–IFN- γ . Gene expression was determined by RNA-Seq. Heat map showing a list of STAT1-regulated genes (identified by Ingenuity Upstream Regulator Analysis) whose expression is induced by IL-21, and the induction was further increased in *Stat3*-deficient cells. (*B–E*) Expression of *Ifng* (*B*), *Tbx21* (*C*), *Ifit1* (*D*), and *Ifit2* (*E*), which are in the list of genes shown in *A*, was confirmed by RT-PCR. Shown are representative of two experiments.



Fig. 5. STAT1 and STAT3 have opposing effects on regulation of IFN-γ expression in CD4⁺ T cells during LCMV infection. (A and B) Stat1^{+/+} and Stat1^{-/-} mice were infected with 2×10^5 pfu of LCMV clone 13, and total spleen cells were isolated at day 6 after infection. (A) Percentages (Left) and numbers (Right) of LCMV-specific CD4⁺ T cells were identified by I-A^b gp66 tetramer staining. (B) Total spleen cells were stimulated with LCMVspecific gp66-77 peptide for 5 h, percentages of CD4⁺ CD44⁺ IFN- γ^+ cells were determined. (Left) Individual mice; (Right) summary of data from multiple animals. In A and B, data are from two experiments with a total of six to seven mice per group. (C-F) $Stat3^{+/+}$ and $Stat3^{-/-}$ mice were infected with 2×10^{6} pfu of LCMV clone 13 and total spleen cells were isolated at day 9 after infection. (C) Percentages (Left) and numbers (Right) of LCMV-specific CD4⁺ T cells were identified by I-A^b gp66 tetramer staining. (D) Total spleen cells were stimulated as in B; percentages of CD4⁺ CD44⁺ IFN- γ^+ cells were determined. (Left) Individual mice; (Right) summary of data from multiple animals. (E) Percentage (Left) and numbers (Right) of LCMV-specific CD8⁺ T cells were identified by H-2D^b gp33 and H-2D^b gp276 tetramer staining. (F) Total spleen cells were stimulated with LCMV-specific gp33-41 or gp276-286 peptides for 5 h, and the percentage of CD8⁺ CD44⁺ IFN- γ^+ cells was determined. (Left) A representative analysis and the right two panels summarize data from multiple animals. In C-F, data are from three experiments with 10-11 mice per group.

effect of STAT3 on the expression of these genes. We also examined the effect of IL-21 on CD4⁺ T cells from two patients with STAT1 GOF mutation (Table S2). Similar to the effect of IL-21 in CD4⁺ T cells from patients with AD-HIES, IL-21–induced STAT1 phosphorylation was enhanced in STAT1 GOF CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. S3 *A* and *B*), and the IL-21–induced *IFNG* and *TBX21* expression was also augmented (Fig. S3 *C* and *D*). These data indicate that STAT1/STAT3 interplay influences IL-21–mediated gene regulation.

Discussion

The role of STAT3-independent pathways in IL-21 signaling is not well understood. Here, we investigated the possible role of STAT1 in regulating IL-21 function in CD4⁺ T cells, and we now show that STAT1, as well as STAT3, is a mediator of the actions of IL-21 in these cells. Our RNA-Seq analysis revealed that 10% of the IL-21regulated genes in CD4⁺ T cells were STAT1-dependent and that almost half of these genes were coregulated by both IL-21-activated STAT1 and STAT3, suggesting that STAT1 not only exerts its own actions but that it also interplays with STAT3 for fine-tuning IL-21mediated gene regulation. Conceivably, some of these genes may be regulated by STAT1-STAT3 heterodimers, although it is possible that some require both STAT1 and STAT3 homodimers instead of heterodimers. Previously, it was shown that there is enhanced IFN- γ -mediated STAT3 activation in *Stat1*-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (31) and enhanced IL-6 mediated STAT1 activation in Stat3-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (32), revealing that cytokines can activate more of one STAT when another is absent. The balance of STAT1 and STAT3 is intriguing given that



Fig. 6. IL-21–induced STAT1 phosphorylation is enhanced in CD4⁺ T cells from patients with AD-HIES. CD4⁺ T cells from normal donors (ND) and AD-HIES patients (HIES) were stimulated with 2 µg/mL plate-bound anti-CD3 and 1 µg/mL soluble anti-CD28 for 3 d, rested 1 d, then not stimulated or stimulated with IL-21 for 30 min and 4 h. Levels of pSTAT1 and STAT1 were determined by Western blotting; β -actin was used as a loading control. (A) Data are shown for five patients and five normal controls. (B) Quantification of Western blotting images in A by ImageJ. Numbers indicate the ratios comparing pSTAT1 levels in cells from patients relative to cells from normal donors. (C and D) IL-21–induced *IFNG* and *TBX21* mRNA expression is enhanced in CD4⁺ T cells from patients were activated as described in panel A, then not stimulated or stimulated with IL-21 for 4 h. mRNA expression of *IFNG* (C) and *TBX21* (D) was determined by RT-PCR. Shown is fold-induction by IL-21 relative to 1.

Isolation and Activation of T Cells. Murine total and naïve T cells were isolated from spleen using magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). Human CD4⁺ T cells from peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated using Dynabeads (Invitrogen). Cells were preactivated as reported (14). See SI Materials and Flow Cytometry. Surface and intracellular staining were performed per the standard protocol. For nuclear translocation of pSTAT proteins, cells were stained as described previously (14), and analyzed on an ImageStream system. See SI

LCMV Clone 13 Infection, For I CMV clone 13 infection, see SI Materials and Methods

of opposing effects of STAT1 and STAT3 and that a balance of

Mice. All mice were used under animal protocols approved by the National

Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Animal Care and Use Committee. CD4+

T cells lacking STAT3 were described previously (14). Stat1^{-/-} mice were

backcrossed to the C57BL/6 background for six generations. See SI Materials

these STAT proteins is critical for normal host defense.

Materials of Methods

and Methods for more information.

Methods for more information.

Materials and Methods for more information

Immunoblot Analysis. Immunoblotting were performed per the standard protocol. See SI Materials and Methods for more information.

RNA-Seq and ChIP-Seq. RNA-Seq and ChIP-Seq were performed as reported (14, 36). See SI Materials and Methods for more information.

Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed by Student's t test. See SI Materials and Methods for more information.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Dr. Jian-Xin Lin (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute) for critical comments. This work was supported by the Divisions of Intramural Research, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health. RNA-Seq and ChIP-Seq were performed in the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute DNA Sequencing and Genomics Core.

Immunology and Inflammation

STAT1 is classically activated by interferons, mediating an antiviral and tumor-suppressor response, whereas STAT3 is an oncogene (33). We now have shown that STAT1 and STAT3 have opposing roles in regulating IFN- γ expression in CD4⁺ T cells, both in vitro when stimulated with IL-21 and in vivo when the mice were infected with LCMV. Moreover, we found that IL-21mediated activation of STAT1 suppressed STAT3-dependent Il21 expression, which presumably normally serves to auto-amplify IL-21 signaling. Thus, STAT1 activation may be a mechanism for limiting IL-21-mediated immune responses.

Several possible mechanisms may account for the interplay of STAT1 and STAT3 induced by IL-21. First, we found that IL-21mediated induction of the Socs1 and Socs3 genes was diminished in the absence of STAT3, suggesting that the prolonged STAT1 activation may be a result of the attenuation of a negative regulatory signal. Second, the absence of either STAT1 or STAT3 would prevent the formation of STAT1/STAT3 heterodimers, presumable favoring formation of STAT3 or STAT1 homodimers, respectively, with potential effects on gene expression. Third, our ChIP-Seq analysis revealed altered STAT3 binding to DNA in the absence of STAT1, a finding that may help to explain altered expression of STAT3-dependent genes in the absence of STAT1. Fourth, the opposing effects of STAT1 and STAT3 may also result from differential effects on other molecules. For example, we found that STAT1 and STAT3 reciprocally regulated expression of both IFN-y and Tbet (which is a critical mediator of IFN- γ) (34) after stimulation with IL-21 in vitro. Thus, multiple mechanisms might contribute to the opposing effects of STAT1 and STAT3 upon IL-21 stimulation.

Corresponding to our data in the mouse, we also demonstrated increased IL-21-induced STAT1 activation and higher IFNG and TBX21 expression in CD4⁺ T cells from patients with AD-HIES. AD-HIES patients have recurrent infections, including chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis and impaired Th17 cell differentiation (30). Interestingly, patients with GOF mutation of STAT1 also suffer from chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis as a result of defective Th17 cell differentiation (35), further supporting the idea

- 1. Spolski R, Leonard WJ (2008) Interleukin-21: Basic biology and implications for cancer and autoimmunity. Annu Rev Immunol 26:57-79.
- 2. Noguchi M, et al. (1993) Interleukin-2 receptor gamma chain mutation results in X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency in humans. Cell 73(1):147-157.
- 3. Zeng R, et al. (2005) Synergy of IL-21 and IL-15 in regulating CD8+ T cell expansion and function. J Exp Med 201(1):139–148.
- Korn T, et al. (2007) IL-21 initiates an alternative pathway to induce proinflammatory T(H)17 cells. Nature 448(7152):484-487.
- 5. Nurieva R, et al. (2007) Essential autocrine regulation by IL-21 in the generation of inflammatory T cells. Nature 448(7152):480-483.
- 6. Zhou L, et al. (2007) IL-6 programs T(H)-17 cell differentiation by promoting sequential engagement of the IL-21 and IL-23 pathways. Nat Immunol 8(9):967-974.
- 7. Ozaki K, et al. (2004) Regulation of B cell differentiation and plasma cell generation by IL-21, a novel inducer of Blimp-1 and Bcl-6. J Immunol 173(9):5361-5371.
- 8. Nurieva RI, et al. (2008) Generation of T follicular helper cells is mediated by interleukin-21 but independent of T helper 1, 2, or 17 cell lineages. Immunity 29(1):138-149.
- 9. Vogelzang A, et al. (2008) A fundamental role for interleukin-21 in the generation of T follicular helper cells. Immunity 29(1):127-137.
- 10. Kwon H, et al. (2009) Analysis of interleukin-21-induced Prdm1 gene regulation reveals functional cooperation of STAT3 and IRF4 transcription factors. Immunity 31(6):941-952.
- 11. Ozaki K, et al. (2002) A critical role for IL-21 in regulating immunoglobulin production. Science 298(5598):1630-1634.
- 12. Pène J, et al. (2004) Cutting edge: IL-21 is a switch factor for the production of IgG1 and IgG3 by human B cells. J Immunol 172(9):5154-5157.
- 13. Recher M, et al. (2011) IL-21 is the primary common γ chain-binding cytokine required for human B-cell differentiation in vivo. Blood 118(26):6824-6835.
- 14. Wan CK, et al. (2013) The cytokines IL-21 and GM-CSF have opposing regulatory roles in the apoptosis of conventional dendritic cells. Immunity 38(3):514-527.
- 15. Leonard WJ, Zeng R, Spolski R (2008) Interleukin 21: A cytokine/cytokine receptor system that has come of age. J Leukoc Biol 84(2):348-356.
- 16. Sutherland AP, et al. (2013) IL-21 promotes CD8+ CTL activity via the transcription factor T-bet. J Immunol 190(8):3977-3984.
- 17. Gelebart P, et al. (2009) Interleukin-21 effectively induces apoptosis in mantle cell lymphoma through a STAT1-dependent mechanism. Leukemia 23(10):1836–1846.
- 18. Konforte D. Paige CJ (2006) Identification of cellular intermediates and molecular pathways induced by IL-21 in human B cells. J Immunol 177(12):8381-8392.
- 19. Zeng R, et al. (2007) The molecular basis of IL-21-mediated proliferation. Blood 109(10):4135-4142.

- 20. Krebs DL, Hilton DJ (2001) SOCS proteins: Negative regulators of cytokine signaling. Stem Cells 19(5):378-387.
- 21. Suto A, Wurster AL, Reiner SL, Grusby MJ (2006) IL-21 inhibits IFN-gamma production in developing Th1 cells through the repression of Eomesodermin expression. J Immunol 177(6):3721-3727.
- 22. Yi JS, Du M, Zajac AJ (2009) A vital role for interleukin-21 in the control of a chronic viral infection. Science 324(5934):1572-1576.
- 23. Elsaesser H, Sauer K, Brooks DG (2009) IL-21 is required to control chronic viral infection. Science 324(5934):1569-1572.
- 24. Fröhlich A, et al. (2009) IL-21R on T cells is critical for sustained functionality and control of chronic viral infection. Science 324(5934):1576-1580.
- 25. Harker JA, Lewis GM, Mack L, Zuniga EI (2011) Late interleukin-6 escalates T follicular helper cell responses and controls a chronic viral infection. Science 334(6057):825–829.
- 26. Cui W, Liu Y, Weinstein JS, Craft J, Kaech SM (2011) An interleukin-21-interleukin-10-STAT3 pathway is critical for functional maturation of memory CD8+ T cells. Immunity 35(5):792-805.
- 27. Ray JP, et al. (2014) Transcription factor STAT3 and type I interferons are corepressive insulators for differentiation of follicular helper and T helper 1 cells. Immunity 40(3):367-377.
- 28. Havenar-Daughton C. Kolumam GA. Murali-Krishna K (2006) Cutting edge: The direct action of type I IFN on CD4 T cells is critical for sustaining clonal expansion in response to a viral but not a bacterial infection. J Immunol 176(6):3315-3319.
- 29. Sowerwine KJ, Holland SM, Freeman AF (2012) Hyper-IgE syndrome update. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1250:25-32
- 30. Milner JD, et al. (2008) Impaired T(H)17 cell differentiation in subjects with autosomal dominant hyper-IgE syndrome. Nature 452(7188):773-776.
- 31. Qing Y, Stark GR (2004) Alternative activation of STAT1 and STAT3 in response to interferon-gamma, J Biol Chem 279(40):41679-41685.
- 32. Costa-Pereira AP, et al. (2002) Mutational switch of an IL-6 response to an interferongamma-like response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99(12):8043-8047.
- 33. Bromberg J, Darnell JE, Jr (2000) The role of STATs in transcriptional control and their impact on cellular function. Oncogene 19(21):2468-2473.
- 34. Szabo SJ, et al. (2000) A novel transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment. Cell 100(6):655-669.
- 35. Liu L, et al. (2011) Gain-of-function human STAT1 mutations impair IL-17 immunity and underlie chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis. J Exp Med 208(8):1635-1648.
- 36. Lin JX. et al. (2012) Critical role of STAT5 transcription factor tetramerization for cytokine responses and normal immune function. Immunity 36(4):586-599