

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Neurotoxicology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 July 01.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Neurotoxicology. 2015 July ; 49: 158-164. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2015.06.006.

# Cumulative lead exposure is associated with reduced olfactory recognition performance in elderly men: the Normative Aging Study

Rachel Grashow<sup>a,b</sup>, David Sparrow<sup>c,d</sup>, Howard Hu<sup>e</sup>, and Marc G. Weisskopf<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>c</sup>VA Boston Healthcare System and Boston University Schools of Public Health and Medicine, Boston, MA

<sup>d</sup>Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>e</sup>Dalla Lana School of Public Health. University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

# Abstract

**Introduction**—Olfactory dysfunction has been identified as an early warning sign for Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, dementia and more. A few occupational and environmental exposures have also been associated with reduced olfactory function, although the effects of long term environmental exposure to lead on olfactory dysfunction have not been explored. Here we performed olfactory recognition testing in elderly men in a communitydwelling cohort and examined the association with cumulative lead exposure, as assessed by lead in tibial and patellar bone.

**Methods**—Olfactory recognition was measured in 165 men from the Normative Aging Study (NAS) who had previously taken part in bone lead measurements using K-X-Ray fluorescence (KXRF). Olfactory recognition was measured using the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT). Associations between olfactory recognition, global cognition and cumulative lead exposure were estimated using linear regression, with additional adjustment for age, smoking, and functional polymorphism status for hemochromatosis (*HFE*), transferrin (*TfC2*), glutathione-s-transferase Pi1 (*GSTP1*) and apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) genotypes. Sensitivity analyses explored olfactory recognition in men with high global cognitive function as measured using the Mini-Mental Status Exam (MMSE).

Conflict of interest statement:

Corresponding author: Rachel Grashow, Dept. of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Avenue, Stearns 513, Boston, MA 02115, Tel: (617) 373-4153, r.grashow@neu.edu.

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Results**—The average age of the NAS participants at the time of olfactory recognition testing was 80.3 (standard deviation or SD = 5.7) years. Mean tibia lead was 16.3 (SD = 12.0)  $\mu$ g/g bone, mean patella lead was 22.4 (SD = 14.4)  $\mu g/g$  bone, and mean UPSIT score was 26.9 out of 40 (SD = 7.0). Consistent with previous findings, age at olfaction testing was negatively associated with UPSIT score. Tibia (but not patella) bone lead was negatively associated with olfaction recognition (per 15  $\mu$ g/g tibia lead:  $\beta = -1.57$ ; 95% CI: -2.93, -0.22; p = 0.02) in models adjusted for smoking and age. Additional adjustment for education did not significantly change results. Of all the genes explored, only the presence of one or more HFE variant alleles was significantly associated with olfaction recognition (HFE  $\beta$  = 2.26; 95% CI: 0.09, 4.43; p = 0.04). In a model containing the HFE term and a lead term, the tibia lead parameter estimate dropped by 21% (per 15 μg/g tibia lead:  $\beta = -1.25$ ; 95% CI: -2.64, 0.14; p = 0.08) while the HFE term dropped 15% (β = 1.91; 95% CI: -0.28, 4.10; p=0.09). None of the other gene terms were associated with olfactory recognition in this cohort, nor were any gene-lead interaction terms significant. Additional sensitivity analysis in men with MMSE scores of 25 or higher (n = 149) showed a similar but slightly attenuated association between lead and olfactory recognition (per 15  $\mu$ g/g tibia lead  $\beta$  = -1.39; 95% CI: -3.00, 0.22; p = 0.09)

**Conclusion**—Cumulative exposure to lead is associated with reduced olfactory recognition in a cohort of elderly men. The association was similar but not significant in men with better cognitive function as measured by the MMSE. Iron metabolism gene status may also affect olfactory function.

#### Keywords

Olfaction; aging; bone lead; HFE; ApoE; GSTP1

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Olfactory dysfunction is regarded as an early warning sign of Parkinson's disease (Louis et al., 2008, Mollenhauer et al., 2013, Ross et al., 2012), Alzheimer's Disease (Murphy et al., 1990, Thompson et al., 1998, Wang et al., 2010), and cognitive decline (Royall et al., 2002, Seo et al., 2009, Sohrabi et al., 2012, Swan and Carmelli, 2002). Given that lead, pesticides like DDT and other environmental exposures also show associations with these diseases (Richardson et al., 2014, Richardson et al., 2009, Weisskopf et al., 2010), and are known to be rhinotoxic in occupationally exposed populations and animal models (Sunderman, 2001), it is plausible that environmental exposures such as lead could also be related to olfactory dysfunction.

Occupational exposures including cadmium (Rose et al., 1992, Sulkowski et al., 2000), solvents (Schwartz et al., 1990), pesticides (Dick et al., 2001), industrial chemicals (Schwartz et al., 1989) and manganese (Antunes et al., 2007) have been associated with decrements in olfactory function. An Italian occupational study found significant associations between lead measured via air sampling and performance on an olfactory threshold task (Caruso et al., 2007), while two other studies on occupational lead exposure found detrimental but not significant associations with performance on an odor identification task (Bolla et al., 1995, Schwartz et al., 1993). Environmental manganese emitted from a ferroalloy plant was associated with reduced performance on an olfactory task in Italian

While environmental lead exposure's effect on olfactory function has yet to be investigated, cumulative lead exposure has been previously shown to be associated with multiple types of cognitive dysfunction in adults (Bandeen-Roche et al., 2009, Schwartz, Bolla, 1993, Shih et al., 2006, van Wijngaarden et al., 2009). Specifically among men in the Normative Aging Study (NAS), bone lead measured in either the tibia or patella has been shown to be associated with impaired visuomotor skills (Payton et al., 1998), increased rate of cognitive decline (Weisskopf et al., 2007, Weisskopf et al., 2004), lower scores on the Mini-Mental Status Exam (Wright et al., 2003), reduced associative learning (Grashow et al., 2013a), and poorer hand-eye coordination (Grashow et al., 2013b).

A number of genes related to metal ion transport (TfC2) and absorption (HFE) have been shown to affect how lead is processed and stored in the body. Lead exposure may interfere with iron metabolism (Eaton and Qian, 2002, Samson and Nelson, 2000) and be associated with toxic levels of non-transferrin bound iron in plasma leading to neurodegenerative disease (Huang et al., 2004, Todorich and Connor, 2004). The hemochromatosis gene encodes a protein that is in part responsible for iron sensing and regulation and HFE variant homozygosity results in clinical hemochromatosis, which is characterized by iron overload. The presence of one or more polymorphism of the HFE gene (either H63D or C282Y) has been shown to exacerbate the detrimental effects of lead on cognitive function in elderly men (Wang et al., 2007), and has been associated with increased susceptibility to neurodegenerative disease (Eum et al., 2014, Mariani et al., 2013, Nandar and Connor, 2011). Interestingly, variant HFE gene expression has also been associated with lower blood and bone lead in the NAS cohort (Wright et al., 2004). Based on this finding, we hypothesized that carriers of any HFE variant would have reduced circulating blood and bone lead and therefore reduced effects on olfactory recognition performance.

Other genes, such as the ApoE gene, may also play a role in age-related cognitive function and olfaction. ApoE is a polymorphic gene that encodes a protein regulating transport of cholesterol, lipids, and fat-soluble vitamins. Certain ApoE polymorphisms such as ApoE- $\varepsilon$ 4 have been found to predispose individuals to neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer's (Poirier et al., 2014, Teter et al., 2002). Recently, it was shown that metals may be involved in the regulation of Alzheimer's related proteins, and that aberrant metal ions concentrations are more likely to occur in AD-diagnosed patients (Xu et al., 2014). ApoE is expressed in olfactory brain structures, and those with the ApoE- $\varepsilon$ 4 variant allele show reduced odor identification (Olofsson et al., 2010) and altered brain responses to olfactory stimuli (Green et al., 2013).

Glutathione-s-transferase Pi1(GSTP1) has been shown to reduce the effects of oxidative stress through free radical clearance (Hayes and Strange, 2000), and may modify the relationship between lead and cognitive function in the elderly (Eum et al., 2013) and inflammatory markers in adult males (Sirivarasai et al., 2013). Finally, the gene TfC2 encodes the transferrin protein that is responsible for iron transport, and interacts with HFE

(Namekata et al., 1997). We therefore examined the association between cumulative lead

exposure as measured in bone and olfactory recognition in a population of elderly men in the Boston, MA area, with additional analysis of associations with HFE, GSTP1, TfC2 and ApoE polymorphism status. Additional analyses explored associations between olfactory identification and lead in men with higher MMSE scores.

# 2. METHODS

#### 2.1 Study population

The Normative Aging Study (NAS) began recruiting men from the Boston area community beginning in the 1960s. Since that time, NAS subjects have been encouraged to return every three to five years for medical examinations (Bell et al., 1966, Hu et al., 1996, Weisskopf, Proctor, 2007). Current NAS participants are elderly and are mostly Caucasian. Starting in 1991, subjects were invited to participate in bone lead testing using K-shell X-ray fluorescence (KXRF). 68% (876 participants) agreed to bone lead testing. Two hundred and forty-three NAS subjects participated in olfactory recognition testing between January of 2009 and March of 2012, with 231 completing the entire olfactory recognition test (12 subjects did not complete all questions). Of those with completed tests, 165 had participated in bone lead testing. None of the men participating in the olfactory recognition testing reported diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease or Parkinson's Disease. Approval from the Institutional Review Boards at the VA Boston Healthcare System, Brigham and Women's Hospital and the Harvard School of Public Health was obtained prior to study commencement. All subjects provided written informed consent before participating.

#### 2.2 KXRF measurement of bone lead

Lead concentrations in tibial and patellar bone are considered to be markers of cumulative lead exposure: patella lead reflects exposure over the previous 8–10 years, while tibial shaft bone represents exposure occurring over decades (Wilker et al., 2011). For this study, KXRF was used to measure bone lead concentrations at the patella and midtibial shaft using either an ABIOMED KXRF prototype or upgraded system (ABIOMED, Danvers, MA). As previously explained (Weuve et al., 2009), a linear relationship was established between the two instrument types, and data used in this study adjust for the linear difference between the two machines. Additional detail on the testing protocol has been previously described (Aro et al., 1994, Chettle et al., 2003, Hu et al., 1998). Bone lead concentration is measured in µg of lead per gram of bone.

#### 2.3 Olfactory recognition testing

The University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT, Sensonics, Inc., Haddon Heights, NJ) is considered a highly reliable test of olfactory recognition or identification (Doty et al., 1989). Detail on this test can be found elsewhere (Doty et al., 1984). Briefly, 40 microfragrances (e.g. licorice, rose and pine) were embedded in capsules, and fragrances are released when scratched by the subject. A trained tester instructs the subject to smell each sample and then choose the best answer from a multiple choice list of four items. The score ranges from 0 to 40, with 40 representing 40 correct identifications.

#### 2.4 Cognitive function assessment

The Mini-Mental Status Exam (MMSE) is used as a screening instrument to identify dementia and global cognitive function. NAS subjects who participated after 1993 were invited to take a series of cognitive tests, including the MMSE. The MMSE has a maximum score of 30. However, in this population the question related to "county of residence" was ignored because it is not generally used for geographical identification in the greater Boston area. Therefore, the maximum score for this population was 29. For these analysis, we treated the MMSE score as continuous and also dichotomously (between 25 and 29, and 24 or below). All models including MMSE score were additionally adjusted for education.

#### 2.5 Genotyping

Archived blood samples taken from participants were genotyped for two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the HFE gene, H63D and C282Y; for two SNPs of the APOE gene (T392C and T530C), the GSTP1 gene Ile105Val SNP, and for the transferrin gene pro570ser SNP (TfC2). Genotypes were ascertained using multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in conjunction with restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis assays (Sequenom, Inc., San Diego, CA). 10% of samples were run in duplicate for quality control. Further information on laboratory methods can be found elsewhere (Park et al., 2006, Wright, Silverman, 2004). Due to the small sample size, subjects were categorized as being homozygous wild type, or having at least one variant allele (either H63D or C282Y). Subjects were dichotomized into having no copies of the APOE E4 allele, or having at least one copy of the APOE -ɛ4 allele. Similarly, TfC2 and GSTP1 were also dichotomized into two categories of wild-type or having at least one variant allele. Genotyping for the GSTP1 Ile105Val polymorphism was done using Multiplex PCR assays (Sequenom, San Diego, CA). A 384 well spectroCHIP was used to spot the extension product prior to MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Eum, Wang, 2013).

#### 2.6 Covariates

Covariates considered were based on biology, previous literature on olfactory function and prior studies on cumulative lead exposure. Age at time of olfactory recognition test (continuously in years) and smoking (dichotomized as current smoker or not) were included in our base models. Other variables considered in other models were the interval between XRF and olfactory recognition testing (continuously in years) and years of education (continuously and also categorized into high school or less [12 years or fewer], some college [between 12 and 16 years] and some graduate school [greater than 16 years]).

#### 2.7 Statistical analysis

Olfactory identification test score was quantified as the raw number of correctly identified odors out of a total of 40 total odors. Initial spline regression analyses were performed (using R version 2.14.2) and did not suggest any non-linear associations with bone lead, therefore this was treated linearly using ordinary least squares regression using PROC GENMOD in SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Inc., Cary, NC). Models including tibia and patella lead concentrations were run separately. One subject was missing patella lead, and was therefore excluded from all models of patella lead and olfactory function. Six subjects

who completed the XRF measurement and olfactory testing did not have genotype data, and were excluded from models that included variant allele status terms. All genotypes analyzed in this study were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium as assessed in the entire NAS population.

To assess effect modification between bone lead and genotype, cross product interaction terms were created and included in models with the lead term, gene term and other covariates. We explored the lead-olfaction relationship in a subset of men who received an MMSE score of 25 or higher. Additional sensitivity analyses was conducted using non-smokers only, and including terms for years of education as both a continuous and categorical variable.

## 3. RESULTS

Among the 165 NAS subjects who completed both bone lead and olfactory recognition testing, the average age at the time of XRF testing was 68.4 years (standard deviation or SD = 6.6) and the average age at the time of the olfactory recognition testing was 80.3 (SD = 5.7). There was no significant difference in smell scores between the men that did (26.9. SD = 7.0) and did not (28.5, SD = 6.3) participate in bone lead testing (p=0.11). Men with bone lead measures were slightly older (average age 80.0 years, SD = 5.7) when compared to men without bone lead (average age 77.4 years, SD = 6.6). Only subjects with completed olfactory test results were included in analyses.

An average of 12.0 years (SD = 2.6) elapsed between the KXRF bone lead measure and the UPSIT. Mean tibia lead in this population was 16.3 (SD = 12.0)  $\mu$ g/g bone, and the mean patella lead was 22.4 (SD = 14.4)  $\mu$ g/g bone. Subjects had on average 14.7 (SD = 2.6) years of education. Only 3.0% currently smoked, while 66.1% were former smokers.

The mean UPSIT olfactory score was 26.9 (SD=7.0) out of 40. Olfactory recognition test performance in NAS subjects who participated in K-XRF bone lead testing by genotype is shown in Table 1. 70.6% of subjects had the wild type allele for the H63D single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), while 86.9% of subjects were identified as wild type carriers for the C282Y SNP. Men with all wild type versions of the HFE SNPs studied here had slightly higher bone lead levels (Table 1). Among men with wildtype HFE the mean tibia lead was 18.0 (SD = 13.5)  $\mu$ g/g bone and mean patella lead was 24.0 (SD = 15.8)  $\mu$ g/g bone, while men with at least one variant allele had a mean tibia lead of 13.7 (SD = 8.6)  $\mu$ g/g bone and a mean patella lead of 20.0 (SD = 12.0)  $\mu$ g/g bone. There was little difference in bone lead levels by other genotypes (Table 1).

As expected, age at the time of olfactory recognition testing was negatively associated with UPSIT score (Doty, 1989). In smoking-adjusted models the olfactory score was .25 points lower (95% CI: -0.44, -0.07; p=0.008) per year of age. This was reduced when tibia lead was added to the model (-0.19 per year of age; 95% CI: -0.38, 0.004; p=0.05), while higher tibia lead was significantly associated with worse olfactory recognition score (Table 2). Patella bone lead was not significantly associated with olfactory recognition in unadjusted or adjusted models (adjusted model per IQR of parent population [ $20 \mu g/gbone$ ]:  $\beta$ = -0.80; 95% CI: -2.32, 0.72; p = 0.30). When patella bone lead at the time of olfactory identification testing was estimated using an exponential decay model, results were stronger,

but still not significant (adjusted model per IQR of parent population [20 µg/gbone]:  $\beta$ = -2.61; 95% CI: -6.31, 1.08; p = 0.17). When a term for the interval in years between KXRF lead measures and the UPSIT was added to the models, results for both patella and tibia lead were effectively unchanged. Results were also effectively unchanged if we included a term for years of education, or modeled smoking as current, former and never smokers or excluded the five current smokers (data not shown).

The presence of an HFE variant allele was associated with a higher UPSIT score (Table 2;  $\beta = 2.26$ ; 95% CI: 0.09, 4.43; p = 0.04). When tibia lead and HFE variant status were in the model together, the association with each term was reduced somewhat relative to estimates from separate models (Table 2). There was no interaction between tibia lead and HFE status (p=0.55). None of the other genotypes were associated with olfaction recognition score whether or not tibia lead was in the model, and their inclusion did not change the tibia lead estimate (Table 2). There were also no interactions between tibia and patella lead and any of the other genotypes (all p>0.50).

We wanted to determine whether the relationship between lead and olfactory recognition would still be seen in men with higher global cognitive function as measured by the the Mini-Mental Status Exam (MMSE). For all participants, the mean (SD) for the MMSE was 26.6 (2.3), and the mean (SD) interval between the MMSE and the olfactory recognition task was 1.1 (SD=2.0) years. Of the 165 participants, 4 (2.4%) took the MMSE after the olfactory test, 111 (67.2%) took both tests on the same day, and 50 (30.3%) took the smell test before the MMSE. In this population tibia lead was significantly negatively associated with MMSE performance (adjusted model per IQR of tibia lead in parent population [15  $\mu$ g/ gbone]:  $\beta = -0.73$ ; 95% CI: -1.19, -0.27; p = 0.002). To eliminate overall cognitive impairment as the cause of olfactory recognition dysfynction, we explored the lead-UPSIT relationship in men who had an MMSE score of 25 or higher (n = 149). In this group, the parameter estimate for lead remained similar, although this term was no longer significant (per 15 µg/g tibia lead:  $\beta = -1.39$ ; 95% CI: -3.00, 0.22; p = 0.09). When the lead-MMSE association was modeled in this group, the tibia lead term dropped by 40%, and remained significantly associated with MMSE (per 15  $\mu$ g/g tibia lead:  $\beta = -0.44$ ; 95% CI: -0.76, -0.12; p = 0.007).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Studies showing associations between environmental risk factors and neurodegenerative diseases imply that exposures are incurring physiological, chemical and anatomical changes in the brain. In fact, olfactory dysfunction has been shown to precede motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease and cognitive deficits in Alzheimer's patients (Hawkes, 2006, Kranick and Duda, 2008, Takeda et al., 2014) and even distinguish between disease subtypes (Katzenschlager et al., 2004). Given that many environmental exposures occur via inhalation such as air pollution, olfactory dysfunction may be an important link between neurotoxicant exposures and neurological disease. In a cohort of elderly men residing in the greater Boston area, we found that cumulative lead exposure as measured in tibial bone was associated with reduced performance on the UPSIT, a well-established olfactory recognition task.

Additionally, we saw that the presence of at least one variant HFE allele was associated with increased olfactory recognition.

Nasal passages serve to filter and protect the lungs and upper airways from airborne exposures. However, airborne metals inhaled into nasal passages will bypass the circulatory system and blood brain barrier to accumulate in the olfactory bulb and other types of neural tissue. In addition to passing through the olfactory pathway, metal-binding molecules such as carnosine and metallothionein are abundant in the olfactory bulb, thus increasing ion uptake into the brain (Sunderman, 2001). Interestingly, a study of untreated rats found similar lead concentrations in the olfactory bulb and other areas of the brain (Scheuhammer and Cherian, 1982), however it is difficult to know if this pattern would also be seen in studies in animals and humans exposed to higher levels of lead.

Lead's effects on the brain have been in part attributed to its ability to substitute for calcium (Sanders et al., 2009, White et al., 2007), which is central to functions such as neurotransmission, mitochondrial function, apoptosis and more. The mechanism behind the effects of lead on olfactory function is unknown, but animal models indicate that lead exposure could alter neurotransmission in olfactory-related areas. Exposure to lead via intraperitoneal injection altered gene and enzyme expression patterns in the nitric oxide signaling pathway in the olfactory bulbs of adult mice (Kim et al., 2011). Additionally, prenatal lead exposure has been shown to alter olfactory discrimination in rats (Lim et al., 2005) as well as acetylcholine function in the olfactory bulb (Gietzen and Woolley, 1984, Widmer et al., 1992).

Olfactory recognition testing involves the olfactory system as well as brain processing areas that include cognitive and language abilities. There is a risk that a decline in olfactory recognition performance could be incorrectly attributed to dysfunction in olfactory areas, when in fact it results from dysfunction in cognitive and language abilities. We therefore investigated whether subjects with higher global cognitive function as measured by the MMSE showed a similar association between lead and olfactory recognition scores. In this smaller subset of the population, the term for tibia lead was essentially unchanged, although the p-value got larger clearly in part because the sample size was smaller. Interestingly, in this subset with better MMSE scores, the lead-MMSE relationship was reduced by almost half, while remaining significant. These results suggest that the effect of lead exposure seen in this study is not primarily due to cognitive effects, but instead lead may be directly influencing olfactory function. Further study is necessary to determine the impact of cumulative lead exposure on olfaction is affected by lead-related dysfunction in other brain areas.

Associations between tibia lead and olfactory identification ability were found, while no significant associations were found for patella. This could be due to the different time courses of lead deposition into bone type: the half-life of lead in tibial (cortical) bone is on the order of decades, while the half-life in patellar (trabecular) bone is only a few years (Wilker, Korrick, 2011).

Subjects with one or more copy of a variant HFE allele showed significantly increased UPSIT olfactory scores over those with wild type genes. Why this is the case is not clear, but one possibility is that it is related to differential effects on metal transport between the olfactory bulb and other regions. Although variant HFE allele carriers have general iron excess, which can have neurotoxic effects, it has been found that HFE knockout mice do not accumulate iron in the olfactory bulb (Kim et al., 2013). Furthermore, while H67D mutant mice show higher whole brain iron levels, they have similar olfactory bulb iron levels when compared to wildtype (personal communication, JK Kim). As this may relate to HFE mutant effects on metal transport, a similar phenomenon could occur with other metals like lead. It has been shown previously that HFE variant allele carriers have lower bone and blood lead concentrations than those with wildtype HFE (Wang, Hu, 2007). This is not likely the result of different external lead exposures and so suggests differences in lead distribution within the body. If variant HFE carriers tend to distribute lead to locations other than the olfactory bulb, similar to what is seen for iron, this could afford protection from adverse effects of lead. In this regard, having a variant HFE allele could be an indicator of reduced lifetime lead exposure to the olfactory bulb. This is consistent with the effect estimate for tibia lead being slightly reduced in the model with HFE allele status. In addition, HFE variant carriers show reduced blood levels of manganese (Mn), a known neurotoxin (Claus Henn et al., 2011). It is therefore possible that improved olfactory identification may be due to reduced circulating Mn in HFE variant carriers.

APOE-ɛ4 allele was not related to UPSIT score, nor did it modify the association with lead in this cohort. However this may be due to a small sample size. Studies with much larger sample sizes have shown odor identification impairment in APOE-E4 carriers (Finkel et al., 2011, Olofsson, Nordin, 2010). To our knowledge, this is the first investigation into the effects of TfC2 and GSTP1 on olfactory function. We found no olfaction differences between genotypes.

A limitation of our study is that for many of the subjects, a number of years elapsed between the bone lead XRF testing and the olfactory task. This may partially explain why no associations were seen with patellar bone, which has a half-life that is half as long as the average XRF-olfaction testing interval. The half-life of tibia bone is believed to be on the order of decades (Wilker et al, 2011). Our overall numbers were also somewhat small, particularly for analyses of interactions. It should also be noted that most of the subjects in this study were Caucasian men. It cannot be determined from these data whether older women or minority populations would show similar effects.

None of the subjects in this study reported diagnoses of Alzheimer's Disease (AD) or Parkinson's Disease (PD). Given that olfactory dysfunction may be an early sign of both of these conditions, we cannot rule out the possibility that some of the participants with poorer olfactory recognition scores were displaying subclinical symptoms of AD or PD.

Taken together, these results indicate that in addition to acting as a premorbid signal for serious neurological disease, olfactory recognition deficits may also occur in response to cumulative exposure to lead. Given other findings that cumulative lead exposure is associated with Parkinson's disease (Coon et al., 2006, Gorell et al., 1999, Weisskopf,

Weuve, 2010), our results raise the possibility that reduced olfactory recognition dysfunction is an early indicator of neurological effects of lead exposure that ultimately result in Parkinson's disease.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants NIEHS T32 ES007069, R01 ES005257, P01-ES000002 and a VA Research Career Scientist award to David Sparrow. The VA Normative Aging Study is supported by the Cooperative Studies Program/Epidemiology Research and Information Center of the US Department of Veterans Affairs and is a component of the Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center, Boston, Massachusetts.

#### References

- Antunes MB, Bowler R, Doty RL. San Francisco/Oakland Bay Bridge Welder Study: olfactory function. Neurology. 2007; 69:1278–84. [PubMed: 17875916]
- Aro AC, Todd AC, Amarasiriwardena C, Hu H. Improvements in the calibration of 109Cd K x-ray fluorescence systems for measuring bone lead in vivo. Phys Med Biol. 1994; 39:2263–71. [PubMed: 15551552]
- Bandeen-Roche K, Glass TA, Bolla KI, Todd AC, Schwartz BS. Cumulative lead dose and cognitive function in older adults. Epidemiology. 2009; 20:831–9. [PubMed: 19752734]
- Bell B, Rose CL, Damon A. The Veterans Administration longitudinal study of healthy aging. Gerontologist. 1966; 6:179–84. [PubMed: 5342911]
- Bolla KI, Schwartz BS, Stewart W, Rignani J, Agnew J, Ford DP. Comparison of neurobehavioral function in workers exposed to a mixture of organic and inorganic lead and in workers exposed to solvents. Am J Ind Med. 1995; 27:231–46. [PubMed: 7755013]
- Calderon-Garciduenas L, Franco-Lira M, Henriquez-Roldan C, Osnaya N, Gonzalez-Maciel A, Reynoso-Robles R, et al. Urban air pollution: influences on olfactory function and pathology in exposed children and young adults. Exp Toxicol Pathol. 2010; 62:91–102. [PubMed: 19297138]
- Caruso A, Lucchini R, Toffoletto F, Porro S, Moroni P, Mascagni P. Study of the olfactory function of a group of workers with significant lead exposure. Giornale italiano di medicina del lavoro ed ergonomia. 2007; 29:460–3. [PubMed: 18409777]
- Chettle D, Arnold M, Aro A, Fleming D, Kondrashov V, McNeill F, et al. An agreed statement on calculating lead concentration and uncertainty in XRF in vivo bone lead analysis. Appl Radiat Isot. 2003; 58:603–5. [PubMed: 12735978]
- Claus Henn B, Kim J, Wessling-Resnick M, Tellez-Rojo MM, Jayawardene I, Ettinger AS, et al. Associations of iron metabolism genes with blood manganese levels: a population-based study with validation data from animal models. Environ Health. 2011; 10:97. [PubMed: 22074419]
- Coon S, Stark A, Peterson E, Gloi A, Kortsha G, Pounds J, et al. Whole-body lifetime occupational lead exposure and risk of Parkinson's disease. Environmental health perspectives. 2006; 114:1872–6. [PubMed: 17185278]
- Dick RB, Steenland K, Krieg EF, Hines CJ. Evaluation of acute sensory--motor effects and test sensitivity using termiticide workers exposed to chlorpyrifos. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 2001; 23:381– 93. [PubMed: 11485841]
- Doty RL. Influence of age and age-related diseases on olfactory function. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1989; 561:76–86. [PubMed: 2525363]
- Doty RL, Frye RE, Agrawal U. Internal consistency reliability of the fractionated and whole University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test. Percept Psychophys. 1989; 45:381–4. [PubMed: 2726398]
- Doty RL, Shaman P, Dann M. Development of the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test: a standardized microencapsulated test of olfactory function. Physiol Behav. 1984; 32:489– 502. [PubMed: 6463130]
- Eaton JW, Qian M. Molecular bases of cellular iron toxicity. Free Radic Biol Med. 2002; 32:833–40. [PubMed: 11978485]

- Eum KD, Seals RM, Taylor KM, Grespin M, Umbach DM, Hu H, et al. Modification of the association between lead exposure and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis by iron and oxidative stress related gene polymorphisms. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener. 2014:1–8.
- Eum KD, Wang FT, Schwartz J, Hersh CP, Kelsey K, Wright RO, et al. Modifying roles of glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms on the association between cumulative lead exposure and cognitive function. Neurotoxicology. 2013; 39:65–71. [PubMed: 23958642]
- Finkel D, Reynolds CA, Larsson M, Gatz M, Pedersen NL. Both odor identification and ApoEepsilon4 contribute to normative cognitive aging. Psychol Aging. 2011; 26:872–83. [PubMed: 21517181]
- Gietzen DW, Woolley DE. Acetylcholinesterase activity in the brain of rat pups and dams after exposure to lead via the maternal water supply. Neurotoxicology. 1984; 5:235–46. [PubMed: 6542979]
- Gorell JM, Johnson CC, Rybicki BA, Peterson EL, Kortsha GX, Brown GG, et al. Occupational exposure to manganese, copper, lead, iron, mercury and zinc and the risk of Parkinson's disease. Neurotoxicology. 1999; 20:239–47. [PubMed: 10385887]
- Grashow R, Miller MW, McKinney A, Nie LH, Sparrow D, Hu H, et al. Lead exposure and fearpotentiated startle in the VA Normative Aging Study: a pilot study of a novel physiological approach to investigating neurotoxicant effects. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 2013a; 38:21–8. [PubMed: 23603705]
- Grashow R, Spiro A, Taylor KM, Newton K, Shrairman R, Landau A, et al. Cumulative lead exposure in community-dwelling adults and fine motor function: comparing standard and novel tasks in the VA normative aging study. Neurotoxicology. 2013b; 35:154–61. [PubMed: 23370289]
- Green AJ, Cervantez M, Graves LV, Morgan CD, Murphy C. Age and apolipoprotein E epsilon4 effects on neural correlates of odor memory. Behav Neurosci. 2013; 127:339–49. [PubMed: 23731072]
- Hawkes C. Olfaction in neurodegenerative disorder. Adv Otorhinolaryngol. 2006; 63:133–51. [PubMed: 16733338]
- Hayes JD, Strange RC. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms and their biological consequences. Pharmacology. 2000; 61:154–66. [PubMed: 10971201]
- Hu H, Aro A, Payton M, Korrick S, Sparrow D, Weiss ST, et al. The relationship of bone and blood lead to hypertension. The Normative Aging Study. JAMA. 1996; 275:1171–6. [PubMed: 8609684]
- Hu H, Rabinowitz M, Smith D. Bone lead as a biological marker in epidemiologic studies of chronic toxicity: conceptual paradigms. Environmental health perspectives. 1998; 106:1–8. [PubMed: 9417769]
- Huang X, Moir RD, Tanzi RE, Bush AI, Rogers JT. Redox-active metals, oxidative stress, and Alzheimer's disease pathology. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2004; 1012:153–63. [PubMed: 15105262]
- Katzenschlager R, Zijlmans J, Evans A, Watt H, Lees AJ. Olfactory function distinguishes vascular parkinsonism from Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2004; 75:1749–52. [PubMed: 15548497]
- Kim J, Buckett PD, Wessling-Resnick M. Absorption of manganese and iron in a mouse model of hemochromatosis. PloS one. 2013; 8:e64944. [PubMed: 23705020]
- Kim S, Hyun J, Kim H, Kim Y, Kim E, Jang J, et al. Effects of lead exposure on nitric oxideassociated gene expression in the olfactory bulb of mice. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2011; 142:683–92. [PubMed: 20680508]
- Kranick SM, Duda JE. Olfactory dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. Neurosignals. 2008; 16:35–40. [PubMed: 18097158]
- Lim SY, Doherty JD, McBride K, Miller-Ihli NJ, Carmona GN, Stark KD, et al. Lead exposure and (n -3) fatty acid deficiency during rat neonatal development affect subsequent spatial task performance and olfactory discrimination. J Nutr. 2005; 135:1019–26. [PubMed: 15867275]
- Louis ED, Marder K, Tabert MH, Devanand DP. Mild Parkinsonian signs are associated with lower olfactory test scores in the community-dwelling elderly. Mov Disord. 2008; 23:524–30. [PubMed: 18098296]

- Lucchini RG, Guazzetti S, Zoni S, Donna F, Peter S, Zacco A, et al. Tremor, olfactory and motor changes in Italian adolescents exposed to historical ferro-manganese emission. Neurotoxicology. 2012; 33:687–96. [PubMed: 22322213]
- Mariani S, Ventriglia M, Simonelli I, Spalletta G, Bucossi S, Siotto M, et al. Effects of hemochromatosis and transferrin gene mutations on peripheral iron dyshomeostasis in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. Frontiers in aging neuroscience. 2013; 5:37. [PubMed: 23935582]
- Mollenhauer B, Trautmann E, Sixel-Doring F, Wicke T, Ebentheuer J, Schaumburg M, et al. Nonmotor and diagnostic findings in subjects with de novo Parkinson disease of the DeNoPa cohort. Neurology. 2013; 81:1226–34. [PubMed: 23997153]
- Murphy C, Gilmore MM, Seery CS, Salmon DP, Lasker BR. Olfactory thresholds are associated with degree of dementia in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of aging. 1990; 11:465–9. [PubMed: 2381506]
- Namekata K, Oyama F, Imagawa M, Ihara Y. Human transferrin (Tf): a single mutation at codon 570 determines Tf C1 or Tf C2 variant. Hum Genet. 1997; 100:457–8. [PubMed: 9272172]
- Nandar W, Connor JR. HFE gene variants affect iron in the brain. J Nutr. 2011; 141:729S–39S. [PubMed: 21346098]
- Olofsson JK, Nordin S, Wiens S, Hedner M, Nilsson LG, Larsson M. Odor identification impairment in carriers of ApoE-varepsilon4 is independent of clinical dementia. Neurobiology of aging. 2010; 31:567–77. [PubMed: 18619712]
- Park SK, O'Neill MS, Wright RO, Hu H, Vokonas PS, Sparrow D, et al. HFE genotype, particulate air pollution, and heart rate variability: a gene-environment interaction. Circulation. 2006; 114:2798– 805. [PubMed: 17145987]
- Payton M, Riggs KM, Spiro A 3rd, Weiss ST, Hu H. Relations of bone and blood lead to cognitive function: the VA Normative Aging Study. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 1998; 20:19–27. [PubMed: 9511166]
- Poirier J, Miron J, Picard C, Gormley P, Theroux L, Breitner J, et al. Apolipoprotein E and lipid homeostasis in the etiology and treatment of sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of aging. 2014; 35 (Suppl 2):S3–10. [PubMed: 24973118]
- Richardson JR, Roy A, Shalat SL, von Stein RT, Hossain MM, Buckley B, et al. Elevated Serum Pesticide Levels and Risk for Alzheimer Disease. JAMA Neurol. 2014
- Richardson JR, Shalat SL, Buckley B, Winnik B, O'Suilleabhain P, Diaz-Arrastia R, et al. Elevated serum pesticide levels and risk of Parkinson disease. Arch Neurol. 2009; 66:870–5. [PubMed: 19597089]
- Rose CS, Heywood PG, Costanzo RM. Olfactory impairment after chronic occupational cadmium exposure. J Occup Med. 1992; 34:600–5. [PubMed: 1619490]
- Ross GW, Abbott RD, Petrovitch H, Tanner CM, White LR. Pre-motor features of Parkinson's disease: the Honolulu-Asia Aging Study experience. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2012; 18 (Suppl 1):S199–202. [PubMed: 22166434]
- Royall DR, Chiodo LK, Polk MS, Jaramillo CJ. Severe dysosmia is specifically associated with Alzheimer-like memory deficits in nondemented elderly retirees. Neuroepidemiology. 2002; 21:68–73. [PubMed: 11901275]
- Samson FE, Nelson SR. The aging brain, metals and oxygen free radicals. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-legrand). 2000; 46:699–707. [PubMed: 10875433]
- Sanders T, Liu Y, Buchner V, Tchounwou PB. Neurotoxic effects and biomarkers of lead exposure: a review. Rev Environ Health. 2009; 24:15–45. [PubMed: 19476290]
- Scheuhammer AM, Cherian MG. The regional distribution of lead in normal rat brain. Neurotoxicology. 1982; 3:85–92. [PubMed: 6890192]
- Schwartz BS, Bolla KI, Stewart W, Ford DP, Agnew J, Frumkin H. Decrements in neurobehavioral performance associated with mixed exposure to organic and inorganic lead. Am J Epidemiol. 1993; 137:1006–21. [PubMed: 8317446]
- Schwartz BS, Doty RL, Monroe C, Frye R, Barker S. Olfactory function in chemical workers exposed to acrylate and methacrylate vapors. Am J Public Health. 1989; 79:613–8. [PubMed: 2784947]

- Schwartz BS, Ford DP, Bolla KI, Agnew J, Rothman N, Bleecker ML. Solvent-associated decrements in olfactory function in paint manufacturing workers. Am J Ind Med. 1990; 18:697–706. [PubMed: 2264568]
- Seo HS, Jeon KJ, Hummel T, Min BC. Influences of olfactory impairment on depression, cognitive performance, and quality of life in Korean elderly. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2009; 266:1739– 45. [PubMed: 19488777]
- Shih RA, Glass TA, Bandeen-Roche K, Carlson MC, Bolla KI, Todd AC, et al. Environmental lead exposure and cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults. Neurology. 2006; 67:1556– 62. [PubMed: 16971698]
- Sirivarasai J, Wananukul W, Kaojarern S, Chanprasertyothin S, Thongmung N, Ratanachaiwong W, et al. Association between inflammatory marker, environmental lead exposure, and glutathione Stransferase gene. Biomed Res Int. 2013; 2013:474963. [PubMed: 23484121]
- Sohrabi HR, Bates KA, Weinborn MG, Johnston AN, Bahramian A, Taddei K, et al. Olfactory discrimination predicts cognitive decline among community-dwelling older adults. Transl Psychiatry. 2012; 2:e118. [PubMed: 22832962]
- Sulkowski WJ, Rydzewski B, Miarzynska M. Smell impairment in workers occupationally exposed to cadmium. Acta Otolaryngol. 2000; 120:316–8. [PubMed: 11603797]
- Sunderman FW Jr. Nasal toxicity, carcinogenicity, and olfactory uptake of metals. Annals of clinical and laboratory science. 2001; 31:3–24. [PubMed: 11314863]
- Swan GE, Carmelli D. Impaired olfaction predicts cognitive decline in nondemented older adults. Neuroepidemiology. 2002; 21:58–67. [PubMed: 11901274]
- Takeda A, Baba T, Kikuchi A, Hasegawa T, Sugeno N, Konno M, et al. Olfactory dysfunction and dementia in Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis. 2014; 4:181–7. [PubMed: 24625830]
- Teter B, Raber J, Nathan B, Crutcher KA. The presence of apoE4, not the absence of apoE3, contributes to AD pathology. Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD. 2002; 4:155–63. [PubMed: 12226534]
- Thompson MD, Knee K, Golden CJ. Olfaction in persons with Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychol Rev. 1998; 8:11–23. [PubMed: 9585920]
- Todorich BM, Connor JR. Redox metals in Alzheimer's disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2004; 1012:171– 8. [PubMed: 15105264]
- van Wijngaarden E, Campbell JR, Cory-Slechta DA. Bone lead levels are associated with measures of memory impairment in older adults. Neurotoxicology. 2009; 30:572–80. [PubMed: 19477197]
- Wang FT, Hu H, Schwartz J, Weuve J, Spiro AS, Sparrow D, et al. Modifying effects of the HFE polymorphisms on the association between lead burden and cognitive decline. Environmental health perspectives. 2007; 115:1210–5. [PubMed: 17687449]
- Wang J, Eslinger PJ, Doty RL, Zimmerman EK, Grunfeld R, Sun X, et al. Olfactory deficit detected by fMRI in early Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res. 2010; 1357:184–94. [PubMed: 20709038]
- Weisskopf MG, Proctor SP, Wright RO, Schwartz J, Spiro A 3rd, Sparrow D, et al. Cumulative lead exposure and cognitive performance among elderly men. Epidemiology. 2007; 18:59–66. [PubMed: 17130688]
- Weisskopf MG, Weuve J, Nie H, Saint-Hilaire MH, Sudarsky L, Simon DK, et al. Association of cumulative lead exposure with Parkinson's disease. Environmental health perspectives. 2010; 118:1609–13. [PubMed: 20807691]
- Weisskopf MG, Wright RO, Schwartz J, Spiro A 3rd, Sparrow D, Aro A, et al. Cumulative lead exposure and prospective change in cognition among elderly men: the VA Normative Aging Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2004; 160:1184–93. [PubMed: 15583371]
- Weuve J, Korrick SA, Weisskopf MG, Ryan LM, Schwartz J, Nie H, et al. Cumulative exposure to lead in relation to cognitive function in older women. Environmental health perspectives. 2009; 117:574–80. [PubMed: 19440496]
- White LD, Cory-Slechta DA, Gilbert ME, Tiffany-Castiglioni E, Zawia NH, Virgolini M, et al. New and evolving concepts in the neurotoxicology of lead. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2007; 225:1–27. [PubMed: 17904601]

- Widmer HR, Vedder H, Schlumpf M, Lichtensteiger W. Concurrent changes in regional cholinergic parameters and nest odor preference in the early postnatal rat after lead exposure. Neurotoxicology. 1992; 13:615–24. [PubMed: 1475064]
- Wilker E, Korrick S, Nie LH, Sparrow D, Vokonas P, Coull B, et al. Longitudinal changes in bone lead levels: the VA Normative Aging Study. Journal of occupational and environmental medicine/ American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2011; 53:850–5. [PubMed: 21788910]
- Wright RO, Silverman EK, Schwartz J, Tsaih SW, Senter J, Sparrow D, et al. Association between hemochromatosis genotype and lead exposure among elderly men: the normative aging study. Environmental health perspectives. 2004; 112:746–50. [PubMed: 15121519]
- Wright RO, Tsaih SW, Schwartz J, Spiro A 3rd, McDonald K, Weiss ST, et al. Lead exposure biomarkers and mini-mental status exam scores in older men. Epidemiology. 2003; 14:713–8. [PubMed: 14569188]
- Xu H, Finkelstein DI, Adlard PA. Interactions of metals and Apolipoprotein E in Alzheimer's disease. Frontiers in aging neuroscience. 2014; 6:121. [PubMed: 24971061]

# Highlights

- We explored olfactory recognition in elderly men with environmental exposure to lead.
- Higher tibia lead was associated with reduced olfactory recognition performance
- Variant HFE allele status was associated with improved olfaction recognition
- APOE-ɛ4, GSTP1 and TfC2 were not associated with olfactory recognition.
- Lead exposure and iron metabolism gene status may affect olfactory recognition

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

| genotype.       |
|-----------------|
| or GSTP1        |
| , TfC2,         |
| ApoE-ε4,        |
| HFE,            |
| by              |
| shown           |
| characteristics |
| population      |
| dy              |

| Characteristic   | HFE wild-type $(n = 99)$ | HFE variant<br>allele (n= 61) | ApoE-£2 or £3 (n<br>= 130) | ApoE-£4 (n =<br>33) | <b>GSTP1</b> wild-<br>type $(n = 75)$ | GSTP1 variant<br>allele (n= 78) | TfC2 wild-type<br>(n= 110) | TfC2 Variant<br>allele (n=50) |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age at olfactory testing [mean (SD)]                   | 80.3 (5.8)               | 80.4 (5.6)                    | 80.5 (5.7)                 | 80.0 (5.5)          | 80.6 (5.4)                            | 80.4 (6.0)                      | 80.2 (6.1)                 | 80.8 (4.7)                    |
| UPSIT olfaction score [mean (SD)]                      | 26.0 (7.2)               | 28.2 (6.6)                    | 27.0 (7.2)                 | 26.5 (6.2)          | 27.1 (7.7)                            | 26.9 (6.5)                      | 26.6 (7.5)                 | 27.4 (6.1)                    |
| XRF-olfactory testing interval<br>(years; [mean (SD)]) | 11.6 (2.5)               | 12.6 (2.5)                    | 11.9 (2.7)                 | 12.1 (2.2)          | 12.1 (2.4)                            | 11.8 (2.7)                      | 12.0 (2.5)                 | 12.0 (2.7)                    |
| Tibia lead (μg/g bone; [mean<br>(SD)])                 | 18.0 (13.5)              | 13.7 (8.6)                    | 16.5 (12.2)                | 16.0 (11.3)         | 16.5 (9.3)                            | 16.0 (14.5)                     | 15.3 (13.0)                | 18.6 (9.6)                    |
| Patella lead (μg/g bone; [mean<br>(SD)])*              | 24.0 (15.8)              | 20.0 (12.0)                   | 20.1 (14.7)                | 20.0 (13.2)         | 23.0 (12.8)                           | 22.2 (16.5)                     | 22.9 (15.5)                | 21.6 (12.4)                   |
| ×  |                          |                               |                            |                     |                                       |                                 |                            |                               |

\* patella data missing for one participant

Adjusted association between tibia lead (per 15 µg/g tibia<sup>a</sup>), genotypes and olfaction score in individual and combined models.

|            |     | Models with tibia or gen | e term alone <sup>b</sup> | Models with          | tibia and g | ene terms together $^{b,c}$ |         |
|------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------|
|            |     |                          |                           | Tibia lead           |             | Gene term                   |         |
| Variable   | u   | β (95% CI)               | p-value                   | β (95% CI)           | p-value     | β (95% CI)                  | p-value |
| Tibia lead | 165 | -1.57 (-2.93,-0.22)      | 0.02                      |                      | :           | :                           | :       |
| HFE        | 160 | 2.26 (0.09. 4.43)        | 0.04                      | -1.25 (-2.64,0.14)   | 0.08        | 1.91 (-0.28, 4.10)          | 0.09    |
| APOE-e4    | 163 | -0.66 (-3.29, 1.96)      | 0.62                      | -1.56 (-2.93, -0.12) | 0.02        | -0.69 (-3.27, 1.90)         | 0.60    |
| GSTP1      | 153 | 0.24 (-2.44, 1.96)       | 0.83                      | -1.58 (-2.98, -0.17) | 0.03        | -0.29 (-2.45, 1.88)         | 0.79    |
| TfC2       | 160 | 0.97 (-1.33,3.27)        | 0.41                      | -1.56 (-2.95, -0.18) | 0.03        | 1.29 (-0.99, 3.57)          | 0.27    |
|            |     |                          |                           |                      |             |                             |         |

 $^{\prime\prime}$  The interquartile range among the parent population (Weisskopf, Proctor, 2007)

bAdjusted for age and smoking.

 $^{\rm C}$  Models with both tibia lead and the gene term (no interaction term).