

# Upregulated interleukin-6 expression contributes to erlotinib resistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma



Aditya Stanam $^{a,b}$ , Laurie Love-Homan $^b$ , Tisha S. Joseph $^c$ , Madelyn Espinosa-Cotton<sup>b,d</sup>, Andrean L. Simons<sup>a,b,d,e,</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Human Toxicology, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Pathology, Carver College of Medicine, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA <sup>c</sup>Lincoln University of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Lincoln, PA, USA <sup>d</sup>Free Radical and Radiation Biology Program, Department of Radiation Oncology, Carver College of Medicine, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

<sup>e</sup>Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 January 2015 Received in revised form 19 March 2015 Accepted 20 March 2015 Available online 2 April 2015

Keywords: **HNSCC** EGFR Erlotinib Resistance  $IL - 6$ Tocilizumab

#### ABSTRACT

Despite the role of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) development and progression, clinical trials involving EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have yielded poor results in HNSCC patients. Mechanisms of acquired resistance to the EGFR TKI erlotinib was investigated by developing erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cell lines and comparing their gene expression profiles with their parental erlotinib-sensitive HNSCC cell lines using microarray analyses and subsequent pathway and network analyses. Erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells displayed a significant upregulation in immune response and inflammatory pathways compared to parental cells. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) was one of thirteen genes that was significantly differentially expressed in all erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cell lines, which was validated using RT-PCR and ELISA. Blockade of IL-6 signaling using the IL-6 receptor antagonist tocilizumab, was able to overcome erlotinib-resistance in erlotinib-resistant SQ20B tumors in vivo. Overall, erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells display elevated IL-6 expression levels compared to erlotinib-sensitive HNSCC cells and blockade of the IL-6 signaling pathway may be an effective strategy to overcome resistance to erlotinib and possibly other EGFR TKIs for HNSCC therapy.

ª 2015 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations: HNSCC, Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma; EGFR, Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor; IL-6, Interleukin-6; RT-PCR, Reverse Transcription - Polymerase Chain Reaction; ELISA, Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay; IL-6R, Interleukin-6 Receptor; NFkB, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; MyD88, Myeloid differentiation primary response gene (88); AP-1, Activator protein-1; TLR, Toll-like Receptor; RAGE, Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Pathology, 1161 Medical Laboratories, 500 Newton Road, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 52242, USA. Tel.: +319 384 4450; fax: +319 335 8453.

E-mail addresses: [aditya-stanam@uiowa.edu](mailto:aditya-stanam@uiowa.edu) (A. Stanam), [laurie-love-homan@uiowa.edu](mailto:laurie-love-homan@uiowa.edu) (L. Love-Homan), [tisha.joseph@lincoln.edu](mailto:tisha.joseph@lincoln.edu) (T.S. Joseph), [madelyn-espinosa@uiowa.edu](mailto:madelyn-espinosa@uiowa.edu) (M. Espinosa-Cotton), [andrean-simons@uiowa.edu](mailto:andrean-simons@uiowa.edu) (A.L. Simons). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2015.03.008>

<sup>1574-7891/© 2015</sup> Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1Introduction

Despite the high expression of EGFR in the majority of HNSCC tumors ([Bei et al., 2004\)](#page-11-0), EGFR-based chemotherapy has limited results in HNSCC patients [\(Rexer et al., 2009;](#page-12-0) [Vermorken et al., 2007](#page-12-0)). Response rates to the EGFR antibody inhibitor cetuximab as a single agent are low (13%) and of limited duration (2-3 months ([Vermorken et al., 2007](#page-12-0))). Additionally, low response rates  $(4-11%)$  have been observed in clinical trials with HNSCC patients treated with EGFR TKIs such as gefitinib and erlotinib [\(Cohen et al., 2005; Soulieres](#page-11-0) [et al., 2004](#page-11-0)). Numerous mechanisms have been proposed to be responsible for poor response rates and the development of resistance to EGFR inhibitors which include alternate signaling pathways, mutations, and epithelial-tomesenchymal transition (EMT). However, targeting these mechanisms have not yet led to improvements in response rates to EGFR inhibitors in clinical trials [\(Argiris et al., 2013;](#page-11-0) [de Souza et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2012; Ross et al., 2010](#page-11-0)).

Previous studies in our lab have found that erlotinib induced a time-dependent increase in expression and secretion of IL-6 in HNSCC cells ([Fletcher et al., 2013\)](#page-11-0). IL-6 is a cytokine associated with inflammation, innate immune responses and activation of pro-survival pathways [\(Kamimura et al.,](#page-11-0) [2003\)](#page-11-0). We further demonstrated that IL-6 rescued HNSCC cells from erlotinib-induced cytotoxicity and blockade of IL-6 signaling increased the anti-tumor efficacy of erlotinib in HNSCC cells in vivo ([Fletcher et al., 2013](#page-11-0)). Based on these findings, we proposed that upregulation of IL-6 expression/ signaling may be associated with acquired erlotinibresistance in HNSCC cells.

Here we show and validate that IL-6 expression and secretion is significantly upregulated in erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells compared to their erlotinib-sensitive parental cell lines by using gene expression profiling, RT-PCR and ELISA. We also show that blockade of IL-6 signaling overcame erlotinibresistance in a mouse xenograft model of HNSCC suggesting that IL-6 inhibitors may be a promising strategy to overcome acquired resistance to erlotinib and possibly other EGFR inhibitors in HNSCC therapy.

# 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Cell lines and cell culture

Three HNSCC cell lines FaDu, Cal-27, and SCC-25 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). SQ20B cells [\(Weichselbaum et al., 1986](#page-12-0)) were a gift from Dr. Anjali Gupta (Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Iowa). All HNSCC cell lines are EGFR positive and are sensitive to EGFR inhibitors. All cell lines were authenticated by the ATCC for viability (before freezing and after thawing), growth, morphology and isoenzymology. Cells were stored according to the supplier's instructions and used over a course of no more than 3 months after resuscitation of frozen aliquots. FaDu, Cal-27, and SQ20B were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) containing 4 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1.5 g/L

sodium bicarbonate and 4.5 g/L glucose with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS; Hyclone, Logan, UT). SCC-25 cells were cultured in a 1:1 mixture of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium and Ham's F12 medium containing 1.2 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 2.5 mM L-glutamine, 15 mM HEPES, 0.5 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.5 g/L glucose, and 400 ng/mL hydrocortisone with 10% FBS. Cell cultures were maintained in a humidified atmosphere at 37 $\degree$  C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

### 2.2. Drugs

Erlotinib (Tarceva for in vivo experiments; Cayman chemical, MI, USA for in vitro experiments), and tocilizumab (Actemra/ RoActemra) were obtained from the inpatient pharmacy at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. Human immunoglobulin G (IgG) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were used as controls and were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Erlotinib was dissolved in DMSO for in vitro experiments or suspended in water for in vivo experiments. IgG and Tocilizumab was diluted in PBS for both in vitro and in vivo experiments. Diluted drugs were added directly to cell culture media in order to achieve the specified drug concentrations.

## 2.3. Establishment of erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cell lines

The four HNSCC cell lines were cultured in their relevant culture medium supplemented with gradually increasing concentrations of erlotinib, starting at 5  $\mu$ M. As the cells demonstrated growth advantage (i.e. proliferating) in erlotinib-containing medium, the concentration of the drug was increased by 5  $\mu$ M until the final concentration of 20  $\mu$ M was achieved. These cells were then cultured continuously at 20 µM for an additional 2 weeks. Viability of resistant cells was assessed and compared to that of their sensitive counterparts after treating them with varying concentrations of erlotinib to confirm the resistance to erlotinib [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)). All the HNSCC cell lines took between 12 and 16 weeks to develop resistance to erlotinib.

# 2.4. Cell viability assay

HNSCC cells were seeded in 96-well plate ( $2 \times 10^3$  cells/well) and incubated overnight under standard cell culture conditions (i.e. 95% relative humidity, 37 $^{\circ}$  C, and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) before treating them with indicated drugs for 48 h. Cell viability was measured by incubating with Prestoblue $\scriptstyle\rm\scriptstyle I^{\scriptstyle m}$  cell viability reagent (Invitrogen, USA) for 20 min at 37 $^{\circ}$  C according to the manufacturer's protocol.

#### 2.5. RNA isolation and gene expression profiling

Total RNA from erlotinib-resistant and sensitive HNSCC cell lines were extracted using the manufacturer's protocol RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). RNA sample preparation for hybridization and the subsequent hybridization to the Illumina beadchips were performed at the University of Iowa DNA Facility using the manufacturer's recommended protocol.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1 - Validation of erlotinib resistance in HNSCC cells. Erlotinib-resistant and sensitive FaDu (A), SQ20B (B), Cal-27 (C), and SCC-25 (D) cells were treated with either DMSO or 1, 2.5, 5 and 10 µM erlotinib for 48 h before assessing cell viability. Values were normalized to respective vehicle controls (con). Bars represent the mean of  $n = 3$  experiments. Error bars represent ± standard error of the mean. \*p < 0.05 versus respective con;  $\psi \sim 0.0001$  versus sensitive.

Briefly, 100 ng total RNA was converted to amplified biotincRNA using the Ambion TotalPrep RNA Amplification Kit for Illumina Expression BeadChip (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX, Cat. #AMIL1791) according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol. 750 ng of this product were mixed with Illumina hybridization buffer, placed onto Illumina HumanHT-12v4 Bead-Chips (Part No. BD-103-0204), and incubated at 58 °C for 17 h, with rocking, in an Illumina Hybridization Oven. Following hybridization, the arrays were washed, blocked, then stained with streptavidin-Cy3 (Amersham/GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) according to the Illumina Whole-Genome Gene Expression Direct Hybridization Assay protocol. Beadchips were scanned with the Illumina iScan System (ID #N054) and data were collected using the GenomeStudio software v2011.1 (GEO accession #GSE62061). Downstream pathway, network and process analyses of the resultant gene expression data for all cell lines ( $n = 3$  experiments per cell line) was carried out using MetacoreTM (GeneGo) using a threshold of  $+1.3$  and a p-value of 0.05. Enrichment analysis of the resultant gene expression profiles was performed by mapping gene IDs from the resultant dataset onto gene IDs in built-in functional ontologies which include cellular/molecular process networks, disease biomarker networks, canonical pathway maps and metabolic networks.

# 2.6. Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (q RT-PCR)

HNSCC cells were seeded in 60 mm dishes ( $2 \times 10^5$  cells/dish) and supernatants were collected and saved for secreted protein analysis. Cells were washed twice with PBS before isolating total RNA using RNeasy Plus mini kit (QIAGEN) as per manufacturer's protocol. 500 ng of isolated RNA was then reverse transcribed to cDNA using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad) and a thermocycler under the following conditions: 5 min at 25° C, 30 min at 42° C, and 5 min at 85° C. The resultant cDNA samples were used to perform quantitative (i.e. real time) PCR analysis in a 96-well optical plate with each well containing 6 mL of cDNA, 7.5 mL of SyBr Green Universal SuperMix (Bio-Rad), and 1.5  $\mu$ L oligonucleotide primers (forward and reverse; 4  $\mu$ M) for a total reaction volume of 15  $\mu$ L) on an ABI PRISM Sequence Detection System (model 7000, Applied Bio systems) with the following protocol: 95  $^{\circ}$ C for 15 s (denaturing) and 60  $^{\circ}$ C for 60 s (annealing) repeated for 40 cycles. Oligonucleotide primers

were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT; Coralville, IA) and described in Supplementary Table 1. Relative gene expression was determined by the comparative  $2^{\left(-\Delta\Delta CT\right)}$ method. Briefly, cycle threshold (CT) values of all genes were normalized to that of GAPDH for each sample (in duplicate) and then fold changes were determined by comparing the normalized CT values of erlotinib-sensitive with erlotinibresistant HNSCC cells. Each assay was performed in triplicate (i.e. on three separate occasions) and the results were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean.

# 2.7. IL-6 ELISA

Collected supernatants (as mentioned above) were centrifuged at 14,000  $\times$  g for 15 min at 4 $^{\circ}$  C to remove cellular debris. Concentrations of secreted IL-6 in the supernatants of indicated experimental conditions were detected using Human Quantikine ELISA kits (R & D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) as per manufacturer's protocol. IL-6 concentrations were normalized to cell number.

## 2.8. Western blot analysis

Cell lysates were standardized for protein content, resolved on 4%-12% SDS polyacrylamide gels, and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were probed with rabbit anti-STAT3, anti-pSTAT3 (Tyr705) and anti-b-actin (Cell Signaling) antibodies. Antibody binding was detected by using an ECL Chemiluminescence Kit (Amersham).

#### 2.9. Tumor cell implantation

Male and female athymic $nu/nu$  mice (4-6 weeks old) were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN). Mice were housed in a pathogen-free barrier room in the Animal Care Facility at the University of Iowa and handled using aseptic procedures. All procedures were approved by the IACUC committee of the University of Iowa and conformed to the guidelines established by the NIH. Mice were allowed at least 3 days to acclimate prior to beginning experimentation, and food and water were made freely available. Tumor cells were inoculated into nude mice by subcutaneous injection of 0.1 mL aliquots of saline containing  $1 \times 10^6$  erlotinibresistant SQ20B cells into the right flank using 26-gauge needles (BD PrecisionGlide™ Needles, BD, New Jersey).

## 2.10. Tumor measurements

Mice started drug treatment at an average tumor volume of 0.03  $\text{cm}^3$ . Mice were evaluated daily and tumor measurements taken three times per week using Vernier calipers. Tumor volumes were calculated using the formula for an oblong sphere: volume = (width<sup>2</sup>  $\times$  length), where the length was the longest dimension, and width was the dimension perpendicular to length.

### 2.11. In vivo drugs administration

Mice were divided into 4 groups ( $n = 7-8$  mice/group). Control group:  $100$   $\mu$ L of ultrapure water orally 5 times per

week  $+$  100  $\mu$ L of IgG intraperitoneal injection 3 times per week. Erlotinib group: 100 µL of erlotinib (12.5 mg/kg) administered orally 5 times per week. Tocilizumab group: 100 µL tocilizumab (1 mg/kg) intraperitoneal injection 3 times per week. Erlotinib  $+$  tocilizumab group: received erlotinib and tocilizumab as mentioned above. Treatment period was 3 weeks. Mice were euthanized via  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas asphyxiation when tumor diameter exceeded 15 mm in any dimension.

#### 2.12. Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA with false discovery rate (FDR) multiple test corrections were performed to detect the differentially expressed genes between erlotinib-sensitive and erlotinibresistant HNSCC cells. Functional analysis such as process, pathway and network analysis of microarray data was carried out using MetaCore™ GeneGo software. Fold change of 2 and FDR p-value was chosen as significance criteria for microarray data analysis. Threshold values of  $+1.3$  and p-value of 0.05 was used as significance criteria for downstream process, pathway and network analyses. Differences between 3 or more means were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-tests. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test was used to determine differences and interactions between (a) cell lines and treatment groups in the in vitro experiments. Linear mixed effects regression models were used to estimate and compare the group-specific change in tumor growth curves. Differences in survival curves were determined by Mantel–Cox test. All significance tests were carried out at 5% level of significance using GraphPad Prism version 5 for windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

## 3. Results

#### 3.1. Validation of erlotinib resistance

Erlotinib resistance in our HNSCC cells was validated by treating both erlotinib-sensitive (ES) and erlotinib-resistant (ER) HNSCC cell lines with increasing doses of erlotinib (i.e.  $1-10$   $\mu$ M) before measuring cell viability. All 4 ES cell lines showed a dose-dependent decrease in cell viability when treated with erlotinib [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). Additionally, all 4 ER cell lines showed a significant decrease in sensitivity to 5 and 10  $\mu$ M erlotinib when compared to their ES counterparts [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). FaDu and SCC-25 ER cells also exhibited significantly higher cell viabilities as compared with their ES counterparts at 2.5  $\mu$ M erlotinib [\(Figure 1A](#page-2-0), D) and SQ20B ER cells demonstrated resistance at all doses tested ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)B). The calculated EC<sub>50</sub> values for the ES vs ER cell lines were: 2  $\mu$ M vs 269 μM (SQ20B), 4 μM vs 114 μM (Cal-27), 8 μM vs 73 μM (SCC-25) and 6  $\mu$ M vs 77,692  $\mu$ M (FaDu) respectively.

#### 3.2. Hierarchical cluster analysis

Hierarchical clustering of gene expression data was performed basing on the list of differentially expressed genes in all the 24 samples (3 replicates/samples per group; total groups = 8 (sensitive = 4 and resistant = 4)) in order to study the global pattern of relative gene expression among all the <span id="page-4-0"></span>samples. All the three replicates of each group were closely clustered to each other suggesting that there were no outliers within each group (Supplementary Figure 1). ER FaDu, SQ20B, and Cal-27 groups clustered with their respective ES groups to form 3 different minor clusters (Supplementary Figure 1). These three clusters together (FaDu, SQ20B, and Cal-27) formed a major cluster with ER SCC-25 which in turn clustered with ES SCC-25 (Supplementary Figure 1). Overall, these results show distinct gene expression patterns that distinguish not only resistant samples from those of sensitive samples within each HNSCC cell line but also each cell line from one another (Supplementary Figure 1). The results of principal component analysis (PCA) also showed a good reproducibility (without any outliers) among biological replicates within each group (Supplementary Figure 2). PCA showed not only the relatively close association of each ER HNSCC group with its own ES counterpart, but also clear distinctions among different HNSCC cell lines/groups (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore the results of the PCA agree with that of hierarchical clustering.

## 3.3. Enrichment analysis

The majority of pathways significantly upregulated by erlotinib-resistance were related to immune response in FaDu, Cal-27 and SQ20B cell lines (Figure 2). Alternative complement, Antiviral actions of interferons, IFNa/ $\beta$  signaling, IL-1 signaling, HSP60 and HSP70/TLR signaling, and lectininduced complement pathways were upregulated in ER FaDu cells (Figure 2A), HSP60 and HSP70/TLR signaling, Alternative



Figure 2 - Pathway analyses of erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells. Shown are the top ten upregulated pathways from differentially regulated transcripts comparing microarray data from erlotinib-resistant FaDu, Cal-27, SCC-25 and SQ20B HNSCC cells versus their respective erlotinibsensitive cells.

complement, IL-17 signaling, IL-10 signaling, Oncostatin M signaling, MIF signaling, TLR2/TLR4 signaling and CD40 signaling pathways were upregulated in ER Cal-27 cells ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)B), and Alternative complement, Classical complement, Lectin-induced complement, IL-17 and IL-10 signaling pathways were upregulated in SQ20B cells ([Figure 2C](#page-4-0)). Only 3 of the 10 upregulated pathways were related to immune response in ER SCC-25 cells which were Antiviral actions of interferons, IFN $\alpha/\beta$  signaling and IL-1 signaling [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)D). The other pathways affected by erlotinib resistance included 'cell cycle regulation', PFR, FAS and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)). Process analyses revealed a similar pattern in ER HNSCC cell lines as observed in the pathway analyses (Figure 3) in which the majority of the upregulated processes were related to pro-inflammatory immune response pathways in ER FaDu, Cal-27 and SQ20B cells (Figure 3A-C). These pathways included complement, interferon, IL-10 and JAK-STAT signaling (Figure 3A-C). Again, SCC-25 was an outlier, where only 1 of the 10 was related to inflammation, which was described as interferon signaling (Figure 3D).

Altogether, the pathway and process analyses suggest that immune response pathways (especially pro-inflammatory immune response pathways) may be associated with erlotinibresistance.

#### 3.4. Network analysis

The top three networks were identified for each ER HNSCC cell line compared to its ES counterpart using the GeneGo tool ([Table 1\)](#page-6-0) that identified functional relationships between gene products based on known interactions in the scientific literature. All of the ER vs ES cell line comparisons identified a pro-inflammatory network in the top 3 networks identified ([Table 1\)](#page-6-0). The TRAF6, NF-kB, IKK-gamma, RIPK1, TAK1(- MAP3K7) network was identified in both ER FaDu and SQ20B cells ( $p = 8.9e-28$ ; zScore  $= 11.51$ , [Figure 4A](#page-7-0), C, [Table 1\)](#page-6-0). The processes in this network were regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NFkappaB signaling, toll-like receptor 4 signaling pathway, tolllike receptor signaling pathway, positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling, and positive regulation of NF-



Figure 3 - Process networks of erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells. Shown are the top ten upregulated cellular/molecular processes from differentially regulated transcripts comparing microarray data from erlotinib-resistant FaDu, Cal-27, SCC-25 and SQ20B HNSCC cells versus their respective erlotinib-sensitive cells.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 4 - Network analyses of erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells. Shown are the top upregulated pro-inflammatory networks from differentially regulated transcripts comparing microarray data from erlotinib-resistant FaDu, Cal-27, SCC-25 and SQ20B HNSCC cells versus their respective erlotinib-sensitive cells. Upregulated genes are marked with red circles; downregulated with blue circles. The 'checkerboard' color indicates mixed expression for the gene between cell lines.

kappaB transcription factor activity (Figure 4A, C, [Table 1\)](#page-6-0). The NF-kB, TLR4, I-kB, IL-1 beta, TIRAP (Mal) network was identified in ER Cal-27 cells with processes identified as MyD88 dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway, toll-like receptor signaling pathway, positive regulation of defense response, toll-like receptor TLR6:TLR2 signaling pathway, and toll-like receptor TLR1:TLR2 signaling pathway (Figure 4B, [Table 1\)](#page-6-0). The network for ER SCC-25 cells was ESR1 (nuclear), TPL2(MAP3K8), IL-6, PKC-delta, HMGB1 with processes identified as toll-like receptor TLR6:TLR2 signaling pathway, toll-like receptor TLR1:TLR2 signaling pathway, toll-like receptor 2 signaling pathway, positive regulation of defense response, and positive regulation of response to stimulus (Figure 4D, [Table 1\)](#page-6-0). The proinflammatory networks for all ER cell lines showed activation of NFkB (which serves as an intramodular hub) resulting in increased pro-inflammatory cytokine production via MyD88 dependent TLR signaling (Figure 4). Taken together, the results of the gene expression data analyses strongly suggest the association of pro-inflammatory pathways with erlotinibresistance in HNSCC cells.

## 3.5. Differential gene expression in erlotinib-resistant vs sensitive HNSCC cell lines

The gene expression analysis identified 827, 2170, 792, and 502 differentially expressed genes in ER FaDu, SQ20B, Cal-27, and SCC-25 cells respectively when compared with their respective ES cell lines [\(Figure 5\)](#page-8-0). In order to identify a common set of differentially expressed genes in all the 4 cell lines, we generated a Venn diagram which showed that 13 genes were significantly differentially expressed in all 4 ER cell lines compared to their ES counterparts. These genes were LCN2, CFB, CYP1B1, MUC1, SASH1, IL-6, TIMP2, H19, ULBP1, SLC1A4, PCK2, FGFBP1 and SFN [\(Table 2](#page-8-0)). Gene descriptions and corresponding fold changes in all the 4 ER vs ES HNSCC cells are shown in [Table](#page-8-0) [2.](#page-8-0) LCN2, CFB, CYP1B1, MUC1, SASH1, IL-6 and TIMP1 were upregulated in all of the 4 ER cell lines vs ES cells ([Table 2](#page-8-0)). The other 6 genes were down regulated in at one or more of the ER cell lines ([Table 2\)](#page-8-0). Gene expression for all genes (except MUC1) was successfully validated by RT-PCR [\(Figure 6\)](#page-9-0). MUC1 expression was undetected. Genes known to be associated with

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure  $5 -$  Differentially expressed genes in erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells. Venn diagram shows the number and overlap of differentially expressed genes in erlotinib-resistant FaDu, SQ20B, Cal-27, and SCC-25 HNSCC cells compared to their parental erlotinib sensitive cells. Numbers in parentheses represent the total number of differentially expressed genes in the indicated erlotinibresistant HNSCC cells.

different inflammatory or immune responses such as LCN2, CFB, MUC1, IL6, and TIMP2 were present in this list (Table 2). The expression of IL6 which encodes a pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 (IL-6), was shown to be upregulated by at least 2-fold in all 4 ER cell lines vs their respective ES counterparts (Table 2). Since IL-6 has been shown previously to be associated with erlotinib-resistance in NSCLC, we further investigated if these findings could be extended to HNSCC cells.

## 3.6. Combined inhibition of IL-6 and EGFR signaling to overcome erlotinib resistance

IL-6 protein expression was increased by an average of  $\sim$  1.86fold in ER FaDu and SQ20B, 1.75-fold in ER Cal-27, and 23.9-fold in ER SCC-25 cells compared to respective parental cell lines [\(Figure 7A](#page-9-0)). In order to examine IL-6 signaling we measured a downstream target of IL-6 signaling such as phosphorylated (pSTAT3) and total STAT3 (STAT3). ER-SQ20B, Cal-27 and SCC-25 cells showed dramatically decreased levels of pSTAT3 and increased levels of STAT3 [\(Figure 7](#page-9-0)B). There was no change in FaDu cells [\(Figure 7](#page-9-0)B). Given the confirmation of upregulation of IL-6 expression and secretion in ER HNSCC cells, we analyzed if blockade of the IL-6 signaling pathway would overcome erlotinib-resistance in vitro and in vivo. To carry this out, we blocked signaling from the IL-6 receptor (IL-6R) by using tocilizumab (Actemra) which is a humanized monoclonal antibody against IL-6R. Tocilizumab binds to both membrane bound and soluble IL-6R and prevents the binding of IL-6 to its receptor thus blocking IL-6 signaling ([Shinriki et al., 2009](#page-12-0)). The four ER HNSCC cell lines were treated with tocilizumab with or without erlotinib in vitro for 48 h before cell viability was assessed. We found that tocilizumab was not able to sensitize any of the erlotinib-resistant HNSCC cells to erlotinib in vitro ([Figure 7C](#page-9-0)). However, ER SQ20B xenograft tumors grown in mice treated with 1 mg/mouse tocilizumab i.p. in combination with 12 mg/kg/mouse erlotinib p.o. 5 days a week for 3 weeks demonstrated significantly reduced tumor growth when compared with those treated with erlotinib or tocilizu-mab alone ([Figure 8](#page-10-0)A–E). No differences were observed in tumor growth rate of males ( $n = 5$ ) vs females ( $n = 5$ ) in the treatment groups over the course of the experiment [\(Figure 8](#page-10-0)A–D). Mice treated with tocilizumab in combination with erlotinib had significantly longer median survival times (33 days) as compared with those treated with erlotinib (23 days), tocilizumab (24.5 days) and IgG (21.5 days) ([Figure 8](#page-10-0)F). Altogether, these data suggest that blockade of IL-6 signaling (using tocilizumab) may overcome erlotinib-resistance for the treatment of HSNCC.

#### 4. Discussion

Increased levels of IL-6 have long been associated with tumor progression and poor survival outcomes in various



<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 6 - Validation of differentially expressed genes. Expression of differentially regulated genes in erlotinib-resistant versus erlotinib-sensitive FaDu (A), SQ20B (B), Cal-27 (C), and SCC-25 (D) HNSCC cells were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR and GAPDH was used as an endogenous control. Dotted horizontal line indicates a fold change of 2.

malignancies [\(Guo et al., 2012](#page-11-0)). IL-6 engages with IL-6R/gp130 complex to trigger several signal cascades involving activation of janus kinase (JAK) tyrosine kinase family members, that lead to the activation of signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (Stat3) ([Kamimura et al., 2003; Mihara et al.,](#page-11-0) [2012\)](#page-11-0). Activated Stat3 translocates to nucleus to drive the transcription of genes involved in cell survival and proliferation ([Kamimura et al., 2003; Mihara et al., 2012](#page-11-0)).



Figure 7 – Role of IL-6 in erlotinib resistance. (A) IL-6 protein secretion in cell culture supernatants was analyzed by ELISA and the concentrations were normalized by cell number. (B) Cell lysates were analyzed for phosphorylated STAT3 (pSTAT3 $\alpha$  and pSTAT3 $\beta$ ) and total STAT3 (STAT3a and STAT3b) expression by western blot. Beta-actin (b-actin) was used as a loading control. (C) Erlotinib-resistant FaDu, SQ20B, Cal-27, and SCC-25 cells were treated with 5  $\mu$ M or 10  $\mu$ M tocilizumab (TOC) in the absence and presence of 5  $\mu$ M erlotinib (ERL) for 48 h before assessing cell viability. IgG was used as a control. All values were normalized to IgG. Bars represent  $n = 3$  experiments. Error bars represent ± standard error of the mean (SEM).  $*\rho$  < 0.05 versus respective sensitive control.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 8 – Effect of tocilizumab on erlotinib resistance in vivo. Erlotinib-resistant SQ20B tumor bearing mice (n = 10 per treatment group) were treated as described in the methods section. Tumor volumes were plotted against treatment day. IgG: immunoglobulin G (A), TOC: tocilizumab (B), ERL: erlotinib (C), TOC/ERL: tocilizumab in combination with erlotinib (D). Solid lines and dashed lines represent male  $(n = 5)$  and female  $(n = 5)$  mice respectively. Bar graph shows average tumor volumes for each treatment group at treatment day 15 (E). Error bars represent ± standard error of the mean (SEM). \*p < 0.05. (F) Kaplan-Meier plot of survival data.

Previous work in our laboratory has shown that EGFR inhibitors including erlotinib induced IL-6 expression and secretion in HNSCC cells, which played a critical role in reducing the anti-tumor efficacy of erlotinib [\(Fletcher et al., 2013\)](#page-11-0). We further found that blockade of IL-6 signaling could increase HNSCC cell sensitivity to erlotinib in vivo [\(Fletcher et al.,](#page-11-0) [2013](#page-11-0)). These observations led us to the logical hypothesis that IL-6 contributes to erlotinib resistance in HNSCC cells and was thus the focus of these studies.

Microarray analyses of 4 ER HNSCC cell lines and their ES parental cell lines revealed a significant upregulation in immune and inflammatory pathways and processes [\(Figures 2](#page-4-0) [and 3](#page-4-0)). Although the inflammatory profile was different for each cell line [\(Figures 2 and 3\)](#page-4-0), NFkB-mediated proinflammatory cytokine expression via TLR activation appeared to be a common theme among all the ER cell lines according to the network analyses ([Figure 4\)](#page-7-0) and these pathways are well known to induce IL-6 expression.

Of the many genes ( $\sim$ 35,000) that were probed in the microarray analysis, remarkably only 13 genes were differentially expressed in all 4 ER HNSCC cell lines [\(Figure 5](#page-8-0), [Table 2](#page-8-0)). LCN2 (NGAL) which was expressed up to  $3-200$ -fold more in all ER HNSCC cells compared to ES cells [\(Figure 6\)](#page-9-0), has been shown in one prior report to be associated with erlotinibresistance in NSCLC cells ([Krysan et al., 2013](#page-11-0)). IL-6 was also upregulated in all ER HNSCC cell lines and this observation was validated by RT-PCR and ELISA ([Figure 7](#page-9-0)A). Given that a major target of IL-6 signaling is the phosphorylation of STAT3, we determined if pSTAT3 levels were increased in ER HNSCC cells compared to ES cells. Surprisingly we observed

the opposite result where pSTAT3 levels were dramatically decreased in ER cells while unphosphorylated STAT3 was increased ([Figure 7](#page-9-0)B). However, these results support prior studies by [Yang et al., 2007](#page-12-0) showing that sustained IL-6 signaling paradoxically results in an accumulation of unphosphorylated STAT3 and not pSTAT3. Therefore it stands to reason that increased IL-6 expression and sustained IL-6 signaling in our ER HNSCC cell lines should lead to increased unphosphorylated STAT3 expression compared to ES HNSCC cells [\(Figure 7](#page-9-0)B).

To determine if IL-6 pathway blockade could overcome erlotinib resistance in vivo, we used an erlotinib resistant SQ20B xenograft model to test the effect of tocilizumab in combination with erlotinib. We chose the SQ20B cell line because of our laboratory's prior success with this cell line [\(Fletcher et al., 2013](#page-11-0)) and because of the significant drug resistance at every erlotinib dose tested [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)B). We found that tocilizumab effectively overcame erlotinib resistance demonstrated by a decrease in tumor growth (Figure 8) and an increase in median survival in tocilizumab  $+$  erlotinib treated mice compared to the other treatment groups (Figure 8F). Tumor response to tocilizumab as a single agent was quite varied in which 5 tumors did not respond to treatment whereas the other 5 tumors responded remarkably well with tumor growth rates similar to that of the tumors treated with tocilizumab  $+$  erlotinib (Figure 8B, D). The reason for this is unclear but we can speculate that the responding tumors may have been more 'addicted' to IL-6 signaling and thus highly susceptible to IL-6 blockade. Notably, tocilizumab was unable to overcome erlotinib resistance in vitro but was able to in vivo.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>This observation raises the question of the role of the tumor microenvironment in tumor responses. Perhaps infiltration of certain immune cells (e.g. tumor associated macrophages) played a role in tumor responses to tocilizumab and/or erlotinib but this remains to be elucidated. Nevertheless, these results in ER HNSCC cells together with our prior data showing the efficacy of this drug combination in ES HNSCC cells indicate tocilizumab and other IL-6 pathway antagonists are promising agents to use in combined modality treatments with EGFR inhibitors.

Our findings support prior observations in lung cancer models that have investigated how IL-6 expression relates to resistance to EGFR inhibitors. A TGFb/IL-6 axis was identified as a mechanism that conferred resistance to erlotinib in lung cancer cells and administration of a neutralizing IL-6 antibody was able to overcome erlotinib resistance [\(Yao](#page-12-0) [et al., 2010\)](#page-12-0). Recently, metformin was found to sensitize erlotinib-resistant lung cancer cells by a mechanism believed to be through downregulation of IL-6 (Li et al., 2014). Additionally, activation of Axl, which is a receptor tyrosine kinase, was revealed to be involved in erlotinib-resistance in one HNSCC cell line and increased Axl activation was associated with elevated pro-inflammatory cytokine signaling which included IL-6 (Giles et al., 2013).

Altogether, this work and prior supporting observations highlight the importance of IL-6 and its role in cancer therapy. With regards to HNSCC, increases in IL-6 expression correlate with poor prognosis in HNSCC patients, and patients resistant to chemotherapy have shown significantly higher serum IL-6 levels than those who did respond (Duffy et al., 2008; Heimdal et al., 2008; Riedel et al., 2005). Increased IL-6 expression and secretion may be a viable reason why erlotinib has failed in clinical trials thus far for HNSCC treatment and IL-6 inhibitors should be strongly considered to increase response of erlotinib and perhaps other EGFR inhibitors for the treatment of HNSCC.

## Grant support

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants K01CA134941 and R01DE024550, and ACS IRG-77-004-34 from the American Cancer Society, administered through the Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Iowa.

## Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

Authors thank the microarray personnel of the Genomics Division at the Iowa Institute of Human Genetics ([IIHG], University of Iowa) and Dr. Thomas Bair of the Bioinformatics division at IIHG for help with the data analysis.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2015.03.008>.

REFERENCES

- [Argiris, A., Kotsakis, A.P., Hoang, T., Worden, F.P., Savvides, P.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref1) [Gibson, M.K., Gyanchandani, R., Blumenschein Jr., G.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref1) [Chen, H.X., Grandis, J.R., Harari, P.M., Kies, M.S., Kim, S., 2013.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref1) [Cetuximab and bevacizumab: preclinical data and phase II](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref1) [trial in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref1) the head and neck. Ann. Oncol.  $24$ ,  $220-225$  $220-225$ .
- [Bei, R., Budillon, A., Masuelli, L., Cereda, V., Vitolo, D., Di](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref2) [Gennaro, E., Ripavecchia, V., Palumbo, C., Ionna, F., Losito, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref2) [Modesti, A., Kraus, M.H., Muraro, R., 2004. Frequent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref2) [overexpression of multiple ErbB receptors by head and neck](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref2) [squamous cell carcinoma contrasts with rare antibody](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref2) [immunity in patients. J. Pathol. 204, 317](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref2)-[325.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref2)
- [Cohen, E.E., Kane, M.A., List, M.A., Brockstein, B.E., Mehrotra, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref3) [Huo, D., Mauer, A.M., Pierce, C., Dekker, A., Vokes, E.E., 2005.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref3) [Phase II trial of gefitinib 250 mg daily in patients with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref3) [recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref3) [head and neck. Clin. Cancer Res. 11, 8418](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref3)-[8424.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref3)
- [de Souza, J.A., Davis, D.W., Zhang, Y., Khattri, A., Seiwert, T.Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref4) [Aktolga, S., Wong, S.J., Kozloff, M.F., Nattam, S., Lingen, M.W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref4) [Kunnavakkam, R., Stenson, K.M., Blair, E.A., Bozeman, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref4) [Dancey, J.E., Vokes, E.E., Cohen, E.E., 2012. A phase II study of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref4) [lapatinib in recurrent/metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref4) [the head and neck. Clin. Cancer Res. 18, 2336](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref4)-[2343](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref4).
- [Duffy, S.A., Taylor, J.M., Terrell, J.E., Islam, M., Li, Y., Fowler, K.E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref5) [Wolf, G.T., Teknos, T.N., 2008. Interleukin-6 predicts](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref5) [recurrence and survival among head and neck cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref5) [patients. Cancer 113, 750](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref5)-[757](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref5).
- [Fletcher, E.V., Love-Homan, L., Sobhakumari, A., Feddersen, C.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref6) [Koch, A.T., Goel, A., Simons, A.L., 2013. EGFR inhibition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref6) [induces proinflammatory cytokines via NOX4 in HNSCC. Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref6) [Cancer Res. 11, 1574](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref6)-[1584](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref6).
- [Giles, K.M., Kalinowski, F.C., Candy, P.A., Epis, M.R., Zhang, P.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref7) [Redfern, A.D., Stuart, L.M., Goodall, G.J., Leedman, P.J., 2013.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref7) [Axl mediates acquired resistance of head and neck cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref7) [cells to the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref7) [erlotinib. Mol. Cancer Ther. 12, 2541](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref7)-[2558.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref7)
- [Guo, Y., Xu, F., Lu, T., Duan, Z., Zhang, Z., 2012. Interleukin-6](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref8) [signaling pathway in targeted therapy for cancer. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref8) [Treat Rev. 38, 904](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref8)-[910](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref8).
- [Heimdal, J.H., Kross, K., Klementsen, B., Olofsson, J., Aarstad, H.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref9) [2008. Stimulated monocyte IL-6 secretion predicts survival of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref9) [patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. BMC](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref9) [Cancer 8, 34](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref9).
- [Kamimura, D., Ishihara, K., Hirano, T., 2003. IL-6 signal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref10) [transduction and its physiological roles: the signal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref10) [orchestration model. Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol. 149,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref10)  $1 - 38$  $1 - 38$  $1 - 38$ .
- [Krysan, K., Cui, X., Gardner, B.K., Reckamp, K.L., Wang, X.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11) [Hong, L., Walser, T.C., Rodriguez, N.L., Pagano, P.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11) [Garon, E.B., Brothers, J. F ll, Elashoff, D., Lee, J.M., Spira, A.E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11) [Sharma, S., Fishbein, M.C., Dubinett, S.M., 2013. Elevated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11) [neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin contributes to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11) [erlotinib resistance in non-small cell lung cancer. Am. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11) [Transl. Res. 5, 481](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11)-[496.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref11)
- [Li, L., Han, R., Xiao, H., Lin, C., Wang, Y., Liu, H., Li, K., Chen, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref12) [Sun, F., Yang, Z., Jiang, J., He, Y., 2014. Metformin sensitizes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref12) [EGFR-TKI-resistant human lung cancer cells in vitro and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref12)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[in vivo through inhibition of IL-6 signaling and EMT reversal.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref12) [Clin. Cancer Res. 20, 2714](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref12)-[2726](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref12).

- [Mihara, M., Hashizume, M., Yoshida, H., Suzuki, M., Shiina, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref13) [2012. IL-6/IL-6 receptor system and its role in physiological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref13) [and pathological conditions. Clin. Sci. \(Lond\) 122, 143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref13)-[159.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref13)
- [Miller, V.A., Hirsh, V., Cadranel, J., Chen, Y.M., Park, K., Kim, S.W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14) [Zhou, C., Su, W.C., Wang, M., Sun, Y., Heo, D.S., Crino, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14) [Tan, E.H., Chao, T.Y., Shahidi, M., Cong, X.J., Lorence, R.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14) [Yang, J.C., 2012. Afatinib versus placebo for patients with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14) [advanced, metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer after failure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14) [of erlotinib, gefitinib, or both, and one or two lines of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14) [chemotherapy \(LUX-Lung 1\): a phase 2b/3 randomised trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14) [Lancet Oncol. 13, 528](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14)-[538.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref14)
- [Rexer, B.N., Engelman, J.A., Arteaga, C.L., 2009. Overcoming](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref15) [resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors: lessons learned from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref15) [cancer cells treated with EGFR antagonists. Cell Cycle 8, 18](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref15)-[22.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref15)
- [Riedel, F., Zaiss, I., Herzog, D., Gotte, K., Naim, R., Hormann, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref16) [2005. Serum levels of interleukin-6 in patients with primary](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref16) [head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Anticancer Res. 25,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref16) [2761](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref16)-[2765.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref16)
- [Ross, H.J., Blumenschein Jr., G.R., Aisner, J., Damjanov, N.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17) [Dowlati, A., Garst, J., Rigas, J.R., Smylie, M., Hassani, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17) [Allen, K.E., Leopold, L., Zaks, T.Z., Shepherd, F.A., 2010.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17) [Randomized phase II multicenter trial of two schedules of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17) [lapatinib as first- or second-line monotherapy in patients with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17) [advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. Clin.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17) [Cancer Res. 16, 1938](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17)-[1949.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref17)
- [Shinriki, S., Jono, H., Ota, K., Ueda, M., Kudo, M., Ota, T., Oike, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref18) [Endo, M., Ibusuki, M., Hiraki, A., Nakayama, H., Yoshitake, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref18) [Shinohara, M., Ando, Y., 2009. Humanized anti-interleukin-6](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref18)

[receptor antibody suppresses tumor angiogenesis and in vivo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref18) [growth of human oral squamous cell carcinoma. Clin. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref18) [Res. 15, 5426](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref18)-[5434.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref18)

- [Soulieres, D., Senzer, N.N., Vokes, E.E., Hidalgo, M., Agarwala, S.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref19) [Siu, L.L., 2004. Multicenter phase II study of erlotinib, an oral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref19) [epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref19) [patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous cell cancer of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref19) the head and neck. J. Clin. Oncol.  $22, 77-85$ .
- [Vermorken, J.B., Trigo, J., Hitt, R., Koralewski, P., Diaz-Rubio, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20) [Rolland, F., Knecht, R., Amellal, N., Schueler, A., Baselga, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20) [2007. Open-label, uncontrolled, multicenter phase II study to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20) [evaluate the efficacy and toxicity of cetuximab as a single](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20) [agent in patients with recurrent and/or metastatic squamous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20) [cell carcinoma of the head and neck who failed to respond to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20) [platinum-based therapy. J. Clin. Oncol. 25, 2171](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20)-[2177](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref20).
- [Weichselbaum, R.R., Dahlberg, W., Beckett, M., Karrison, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref21) [Miller, D., Clark, J., Ervin, T.J., 1986. Radiation-resistant and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref21) [repair-proficient human tumor cells may be associated with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref21) [radiotherapy failure in head- and neck-cancer patients. Proc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref21) [Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 83, 2684](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref21)-[2688](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref21).
- [Yang, J., Liao, X., Agarwal, M.K., Barnes, L., Auron, P.E., Stark, G.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref22) [2007. Unphosphorylated STAT3 accumulates in response to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref22) [IL-6 and activates transcription by binding to NF](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref22)kB. Genes [Dev. 21, 1396](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref22)-[1408](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref22).
- [Yao, Z., Fenoglio, S., Gao, D.C., Camiolo, M., Stiles, B., Lindsted, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref23) [Schlederer, M., Johns, C., Altorki, N., Mittal, V., Kenner, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref23) [Sordella, R., 2010. TGF-beta IL-6 axis mediates selective and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref23) [adaptive mechanisms of resistance to molecular targeted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref23) [therapy in lung cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref23) [15535](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref23)-[15540.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1574-7891(15)00057-5/sref23)