## PROCEEDINGS B

## rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org

## Correction



**Cite this article:** Connors BM, Cooper AB, Peterman RM, Dulvy NK. 2015 Correction to The false classification of extinction risk in noisy environments'. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **282**: 20151183.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1183

## Correction to 'The false classification of extinction risk in noisy environments'

B. M. Connors, A. B. Cooper, R. M. Peterman and N. K. Dulvy

*Proc. R. Soc. B* **281**, 20132935. (2014; Published online 4 June 2014) (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2935)

We recently discovered an error in the article cited above. In the first paragraph on page four of the original article, we incorrectly wrote: "These simulations were run for each of 400 possible combinations of observation error ( $\sigma_o^2$ ; 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7), process noise ( $\sigma_p^2$ ; range: 0–2 in steps of 0.2) and autocorrelation in that noise ( $\phi$ ; range: –1 to 1 in steps of 0.2) for a total of 4.84 million time series'. The observation error and process noise in the preceding sentence should actually be in standard deviation units and so the corrected sentence should read as: 'These simulations were run for each of 400 possible combinations of observation error ( $\sigma_o$ ; 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7), process noise ( $\sigma_p$ ; range: 0–2 in steps of 0.2) and autocorrelation in that noise ( $\phi$ ; range: –1 to 1 in steps of 0.2) for a total of 4.84 million time series'.