

Original Article

Clinical implications of multifocality as a prognostic factor in breast carcinoma - a multivariate analysis study comprising 460 cases

Monica Boros¹, Septimiu Voidazan², Cosmin Moldovan³, Rares Georgescu⁴, Cornelia Toganel⁵, Denisa Moncea⁶, Claudiu V Molnar⁷, Cristian Podoleanu⁸, Alexandru Eniu⁹, Simona Stolnicu⁶

¹Department of Pathology, County Emergency Clinical Hospital, Oradea, Romania; ²Department of Medical Informatics and Biostatistics, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Targu Mures (UMPhTgM), Romania; ³Department of Histology, UMPhTgM, Romania; ⁴Department of Surgery, UMPhTgM, Romania; ⁵Department of Oncology, UMPhTgM, Romania; ⁶Department of Pathology, UMPhTgM, Romania; ⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, UMPhTgM, Romania; ⁸Department of Cardiology, UMPhTgM, Romania; ⁹Department of Breast Tumors, Cancer Institute "I. Chiricuta", Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Received April 2, 2015; Accepted June 2, 2015; Epub June 15, 2015; Published June 30, 2015

Abstract: Background: Multifocality is not listed among prognostic factors in international breast cancer guidelines. This study aims to analyze survival in multiple breast carcinomas (MFMC cc) compared to unifocal ones (UF cc), in order to assess the prognostic impact of multifocality. Methods: The study included 460 breast carcinomas (2002-2006) with a median follow-up time of 104 months (74-134 months). We assessed mortality rates, overall survival at 5 years and 10 years in general, overall survival at 5 and 10 years in MFMC cc compared to UF cc, as well as median survival and survival rate according to age, T status and axillary lymph node status in MFMC cc compared to UF cc. We carried out a multivariate analysis in order to identify independent predictor factors for survival. Results: 69/460 (15%) of cases were MFMC cc. Mortality rates were 56.5% in MFMC cc versus 45.1% (UF cc) (P = 0.08). 5-year overall survival was 55.9% in MFMC cc vs. 64.7% UF cc, and the 10-year overall survival was 34.9% MFMC cc vs. 52.7% UF cc (P = 0.27). Median survival in MFMC cc was 78 months (6.5 years), whereas in UF cc it was 126 months (10.5 years). However, in the multivariate analysis, survival was independently influenced only by tumor size and the presence of axillary lymph node metastases (P < 0.0001). Conclusion: Breast cancer multifocality is associated with higher general mortality rates, lower 5 and 10-year overall survival, yields a lower median survival, but it does not constitute an independent prognostic factor in multivariate analysis.

Keywords: Multiple breast carcinoma, survival, prognostic factor

Introduction

Breast carcinoma is the most frequent form of cancer in women, with an estimated prevalence of 3,763,070 cases in Europe in 2010 [1]. At the same time, breast carcinoma is the main cause of cancer mortality in women in the European Union [2]. Most of the breast carcinomas are unifocal (UF cc) however a variable proportion are multiple (multifocal/multicentric) (MFMC cc) and data regarding the prognosis of these tumors are contradictory. Multifocality is not listed among the traditional prognostic factors (tumor size, histological grade, axillary lymph node status) or among the second generation ones (ER, PR, Ki67 index and HER2 sta-

tus) in breast carcinoma [3]. The aim of this study was to analyze survival in MFMC cc compared to UF ones, in order to assess the clinical impact of multifocality on prognosis, as data available in the literature does not show a consensus on this subject [4-7]. A second objective was to identify focality as an independent prognostic factor in breast carcinoma.

Material and methods

Patient selection

We carried out a retrospective study that included 460 cases that underwent surgical treatment for breast carcinomas at the Surgical

Multifocality in breast carcinoma

Table 1. Survival analysis in relation to multifocality in patients who underwent surgery and oncologic treatment for breast carcinoma (2002-2006)

Parameters	Categories (number)	Deaths n (%)	5-year survival (%)	10-year survival (%)	P
Focality	MFMC cc (69)	39 (56.5)	55.9	34.9	0.27
	UF cc (391)	176 (45.1)	64.7	52.7	HR: 0.68 IC: 0.46-1.02

Clinic Tirgu-Mures between January 2002 and December 2006 and which were consequently taken into evidence at the Oncology Department Tirgu-Mures and the Oncologic Institute Cluj-Napoca for the oncologic treatment. These 460 patients had undergone either total mastectomy or lumpectomy, with or without complete axillary lymph node dissection. Patient assignment to the UF cc or the MFMC cc categories, respectively, was accomplished using clinical, imaging (ultrasound and mammography) and pathologic gross and microscopic examinations. In this study, we defined multiple carcinomas as tumors having at least two histologically confirmed distinct invasive foci located within the same breast, detected at the same time and separated by "uninvolved" breast tissue, regardless of the distance between foci or localization (in the same quadrant/in different quadrants) [5]. Also, we defined as unifocal carcinomas the cases in which only one invasive tumor focus was detected throughout examination. Median follow-up time was 104 months (74-134 months). We assessed mortality rates, overall survival at 5 years and at 10 years in general, overall survival at 5 and 10 years in MFMC cc compared to UF cc, as well as the median survival and survival rate according to the age, T status and axillary lymph node status in MFMC cc compared to UF cc. The Ethics Committee of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy Tirgu-Mures approved this study, and all the procedures were performed in compliance with relevant laws and institutional guidelines.

Statistical analysis

Survival analysis was carried out using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences were compared using the log-rank statistical significance test. Median follow-up time was calculated as the median observation interval for all patients as the time from surgery to the last follow-up. Overall survival was defined as the time from diagnosis to the date of patient's death or last known contact. Five-year/10-year

survival rate measures survival at 5/10 years after diagnosis. Median survival is defined as the amount of time at which 50% of the patients have died and 50% have survived. Univariate and multivariate analysis in order to determine independent predictive factors for survival were carried out using a Cox regression model. Multivariate analysis of survival in breast carcinoma was carried out with independent variables: age, focality, T status and presence of metastases. Results were expressed as hazard ratios ($Exp\beta$) with 95% CIs. A p -value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the MedCalc statistical software.

Results

Of the 460 patients with breast carcinoma taken into evidence at the Oncology Department from Tirgu-Mures and Cluj-Napoca, 69 (15%) cases were MFMC cc, and 391 (85%) cases were UF cc.

The mortality rate in MFMC cc were 56.5% (39/69 cases) compared to 45.1% in UF cc (176/391 cases) ($P = 0.08$) ($OR = 1.58$). Overall 5-year survival rate was 63.3% and 10-year survival rate was 50.4% ($P = 0.17$).

Survival analysis in relation to focality in patients who underwent surgical and oncologic treatment for breast carcinoma is summarized in **Table 1**. 5-year survival was 55.9% in MFMC cc, compared to 64.7% in UF cc and 10-year survival was 34.9% in MFMC cc, versus 52.7% in UF cc ones ($P = 0.27$) (Hazard ratio = 0.68, IC = 0.46-1.02).

Survival rates at 5 and 10 years in patients under and over 50 years, according to tumor size status (T), with and without metastases, are shown in **Table 2**.

5-year survival in relation to age was 70.6% (MFMC cc) vs. 72.4% (in UF cc) ($P = 0.88$) (in patients under 50 years) and 49.8% (in MFMC cc) vs. 62.9% (in UF cc) ($P = 0.1$) (in patients

Multifocality in breast carcinoma

Table 2. Survival at 5 and at 10 years in patients with unifocal versus multiple breast carcinoma between 2002 and 2006 in relation to age, T status and axillary lymph node metastases

UF cc		Survival at 5 years		p	Survival at 10 years		p
		UF cc	MFMC cc		UF cc	MFMC cc	
Age	< 50	72.4%	70.6%	0.88	61.9%	38.8%	0.13
	> 50 years	62.9%	49.8%	0.10	49.1%	34.9%	0.08
T status	T1	84.2%	81.1%	0.97	78.2%	55.3%	0.05
	T2	59.2%	51.5%	0.52	41.4%	20.8%	0.04
	T3	41.1%	26.7%	0.47	29.5%	14.8%	0.04
N status	N + (with metastasis)	54.5%	53.2%	0.98	37%	28.6%	0.36
	NO (without metastasis)	83.3%	83.3%	0.68	75.1%	55.6%	0.26

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of survival in breast carcinoma with independent variables: age and focality

Covariate	b	SE	P	Exp (b)	95% CI of Exp (b)
UF cc/MFMC cc	0.4046	0.1775	0.027	1.4987	1.0601 to 2.1188
Age group	0.3764	0.1853	0.046	1.4571	1.0153 to 2.0911

over 50 years). 10-year survival was 38.8% (in MFMC cc) vs 61.9% (in UF cc) (in patients under 50 years) ($P = 0.13$) and 34.9% (in MFMC cc) vs 49.1% (in UF c) (in patients over 50 years) ($P = 0.08$).

5-year survival analysis according to tumor size in UF cc versus MFMC cc did not reveal statistically significant differences between the two groups (**Table 2**) (pT1 cases 81.1% MFMC cc vs. 84.2% UF cc, $P = 0.97$; pT2 cases 51.5% MFMC cc vs. 59.2% UF cc, $P = 0.52$; and pT3 cases 26.7% MFMC cc vs. 41.1% UF cc, $P = 0.47$). However, data concerning 10-year survival rates in relation with tumor size show statistically significant differences between unifocal and multiple carcinomas: in pT1 cases survival rates were 55.3% (MFMC cc) vs. 78.2% (UF cc) ($P = 0.05$), in pT2 cases they were 20.8% (MFMC cc) vs. 41.4% (UF cc) ($P = 0.04$) and in pT3 cases they were 14.8% (MFMC cc) vs. 29.5% (UF cc) ($P = 0.04$).

We also encountered differences among groups in relation with axillary metastases, e.g. survival at 5 years in axillary positive cases was 53.2% in MFMC cc and 54.5% in UF cc ($P = 0.98$) and 10-year survival was 28.6% in MFMC cc cases versus 37% in UF cc with positive axilla ($P = 0.36$) (see **Table 2**).

The median survival in MFMC cc was 78 months or 6.5 years, and in UF cc it was 126 months or 10.5 years.

We carried out a Cox regression analysis in which the dependent variable was status (0-alive, 1-deceased), and the independent variables were focality and age at diagnosis.

Survival is independently influenced both by age (over 50 de years, unfavorable) ($P = 0.027$) and by focality (multiple tumors, unfavorable prognosis) ($P = 0.046$) (**Table 3**).

By Cox regression analysis in which the dependent variable was status (0-alive, 1-deceased), and the independent variables were focality, age at diagnosis, T status and the presence of metastases, we found that survival is independently influenced only by T status (advanced T status, unfavorable) ($P < 0.0001$) and by the presence of axillary lymph node metastases (unfavorable prognosis) ($P < 0.0001$) (**Table 4**) while focality did not influence survival.

Discussion

Multifocality in breast cancer is a frequent phenomenon, whose prevalence may vary between 6-77% [8, 9]. In order to classify breast cancer, tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging has been considered the gold standard. The T category in multiple tumors is assessed by the largest tumor focus, multiplicity being accepted and included only by using the suffix (m) [10]. If more than one invasive focus is detected, the secondary invasive tumor foci will not be included in the tumor size estimation and the overall tumor burden does not have influence on which protocol is being selected for treatment [11], although many previous studies have demonstrated that multiple breast carcinoma is asso-

Multifocality in breast carcinoma

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of survival in breast carcinoma with independent variables: age, focality, T status and presence of metastases

Covariate	b	SE	P	Exp (b)	95% CI of Exp (b)
UF cc/MFMC cc	0.1779	0.1981	0.36	1.1947	0.8118 to 1.7580
Age group	0.2894	0.2029	0.15	1.3356	0.8991 to 1.9839
T status	0.5412	0.1227	< 0.0001	1.7181	1.3525 to 2.1824
Axillary metastases	0.9492	0.1909	< 0.0001	2.5837	1.7807 to 3.7488

Table 5. Summary of studies comprising mortality rates in MFMC vs. UF carcinomas of the breast

Authors	Mortality rates		p-value
	MFMC cc	UF cc	
Dabakuyo et al [16]	50.83%	45.57%	0.0002
Boyages et al [17]	28.7%	18.7%	0.002
Egan et al [15]	15%/year	2.5%/year	NA

ciated with a higher risk for axillary lymph node metastases [12, 13], it may be associated with a higher risk of local relapse [8] and a poorer prognosis [14, 15]. Accurate estimation of prognosis is important for clinical decisions, such as whether to use more aggressive adjuvant therapies. By not including all foci of multiple breast carcinomas, estimates of the risk of recurrence and death may be understated, which could affect recommendations for adjuvant treatment [7].

In this study we found a higher mortality rate in MFMC cc compared to UF cc (56.5% vs. 45.1%) ($P = 0.08$), similar with other studies (as shown in **Table 5**).

Overall survival at 5-years in our study was 63.3%, and at 10-years was 50.4%, lower than data in the literature (82% at 5 years according to Dabakuyo, and 72% (Dabakuyo) or 70% (Allemani) at 10 years) [16, 18]. These results can be explained by the particular clinical and pathological data of the patients included in this study, by the fact that the majority of the patients (70.65%, 325/460) were diagnosed in an advanced stage (II-IV), with only 29.34% diagnosed in an early stage, and due to the lack of a national screening program in our country.

Survival analysis according to focality revealed that 5-year survival was 55.9% in MFMC cc, compared to 64.7% in UF cc and 10-year survival was 34.9% in MFMC cc, versus 52.7% in UF cc ones ($P = 0.27$) (Hazard ratio = 0.68, 95%

CI = 0.46-1.02). These values were slightly lower in MFMC cc but without statistical significance, similar to other studies [9, 19, 20]. Other authors found statistically significant differences between survival rates according to lesion focality (e.g. Dabakuyo, Boyages) [16, 17] (**Table 6**). A systematic review and meta-analysis comprising 22 studies and 67,557 women concluded that women with MFMC cc had worse overall survival at 5-years compared to those with UF cc (OR 1.39; 95% CI 1.05-1.84, $P = 0.02$) [21].

Median survival in MFMC cc was 78 months or 6.5 years, and in UF cc it was 126 months or 10.5 years. Relative risk (hazard ratio) (HR) was 0.68, similar with a study carried out by Weissenbacher et al. [4], which revealed that median survival was 203.3 months in MFMC cc compared to 221.6 months in UF cc ($P < 0.001$, log-rank test) [4]. Ustaalioglu et al [20]. reported a significantly lower median survival rate in patients with MFMC cc than those with UF cc (55 vs. 137 months, $P < 0.001$) [20].

In our study, the analysis of the overall survival in relation with the patients' age (≤ 50 years or > 50 years), both in UF cc and MFMC cc groups, yielded a statistically significant relative risk of 1.42, showing that age is a risk factor, similar with other studies in the literature [22].

Numerous studies showed that survival decreases with the increase of the tumor size [23-25]. Takeuchi et al. showed that at 7.5 years, only tumor size and lymphovascular invasion had a significant effect on survival in multivariate analysis [26]. In our study, survival was inversely proportional with T status: the larger the tumor was, the lower the 5 and 10-year survival in both the UF cc and MFMC cc groups, but with statistically significant differences only in 10-year survival rates (T1: $P = 0.05$, T2: $P = 0.04$, T3: $P = 0.04$). The same results were obtained by Boyages et al.: in tumors with a maximum size over 2 cm, survival at 10 years

Multifocality in breast carcinoma

Table 6. Studies analyzing overall survival at 5 and at 10 years in UF and MFMC carcinomas of the breast

Authors	Number of cases analyzed	5-year OS (%)		10-year OS (%)		p-value
		UF cc	MFMC cc	UF cc	MFMC cc	
Yerushalmi et al. [9]	25.320	85.9	85.4	70.2	68.4	N/A
Litton et al. [19]	300	69.7	67.3		N/A	0.7
Dabakuyo et al. [16]	4.223	77	68	62	52	0.002
Ustaalioglu et al. [20]	697	94.5	90.2		N/A	0.1
Boyages et al. [17]	848	NA 88.1 (Tu ≤ 2 cm)		72.1 (Tu > 2 cm)	54.7 (Tu > 2 cm)	0.002
				87.9 (Tu ≤ 2 cm)		0.837

Abbreviation: Tu-tumor focus size.

was 54.7% in MFMC cc and 72.1% in UF cc (P = 0.008), influence that persisted in the multivariate analysis (RR=1.91, p-0.012), after a mean follow-up period of 10.4 years [17].

The 5-survival rate in our study was 83.3% (MFMC cc) and 83.3% (in UF cc) in patients without metastases (P = 0.68), opposed to 53.2% (in MFMC cc) and 54.5% (in UF cc) in patients with metastases (P = 0.98), in accordance with the results of Fisher et al. [27], who demonstrated that survival at 5 years in patients without axillary metastases was 82.8%, compared to 73% (in patients with 1-3 positive axillary lymph nodes), 45.7% in patients with 4-10 positive lymph nodes and only 28.4% in patients with more than 10 positive lymph nodes [27].

Focality was found to be a poor survival prognostic factor in our analysis, with higher mortality rates, much lower 5 and 10-year survival rates and reduced median survival compared to UF cc. However, multivariate analysis of several prognostic factors (age, tumor size, lymph node status and focality) have shown in this study that focality is not an independent prognostic factor in relation to survival, as opposed to tumor size and axillary lymph node status. Lynch et al. concluded in a multivariate analysis that MFMC cc did not have an independent impact on recurrence free survival, breast cancer specific survival or overall survival [6]. Different other 4 studies considered multifocality as an independent prognostic factor [4, 5, 16, 20].

While most studies show an increased rate of lymph node metastases in MFMC cc vs. UF cc,

the data regarding the influence of focality on the prognosis are dichotomy (**Table 7**).

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis that studied the effect of MFMC cc on the outcome in early breast cancer concluded that multifocality appears to be associated with a worse prognosis, however, substantial inter-study heterogeneity limits the precise determination of the increased risk. Further validation of the independent prognostic impact of multifocality is warranted [21].

The differences in data concerning survival in multiple breast carcinomas could be caused by many factors, such as: case inclusion criteria, variations in the definitions used, different statistical analysis methods and different interpretations. At the same time, there is no standard method in multiple carcinoma surgical management and treatment, and thus it is difficult to compare studies concerning survival.

Although regarding staging and treatment, AJCC 2010 [34] and TNM 2012 [10] recommend assessing only the largest tumor focus, in general, patients with MFMC cc undergo more aggressive surgical treatment, such as total mastectomy, even in early stage carcinomas [35]. Since these cases are associated to a higher extent with axillary lymph node metastases (in which case chemotherapy is recommended), they also benefit more from adjuvant chemotherapy than patients with UF cc. These could be partial explanations of the absence of statistically significant differences in MFMC cc survival compared to UF cc.

In this study, multifocality in breast carcinoma was associated with higher mortality rates, a

Multifocality in breast carcinoma

Table 7. Studies regarding the prognostic significance of the presence of multiple foci in breast carcinoma (MFMC vs. UF)

Authors	Survival indicators
Worse prognosis	
Egan, 1982 [15]	Mortality ↑
Dabakuyo, 2008 [16]	Mortality ↑
	5 and 10-year survival ↓
Yerushalmi, 2009 [9]	Survival rate slightly ↓
Boyages, 2010 [17]	Mortality rate ↑
	5 and 10-year survival ↓
Weissenbacher, 2010 [4]	Mean survival ↑
	↑ Local recurrence rate
	↑ rate of distant metastases
Tot, 2011 [5]	↑ risk of death due to breast carcinoma
Ustaalioglu, 2012 [20]	↓ Disease free survival
Wolters, 2013 [28]	↓ Recurrence free survival
Pekar, 2013 [29]	↓ Breast Cancer Specific Survival
No influence on prognosis (no statistically significant differences between compared groups)	
Vlastos, 2000 [30]	Breast Cancer Specific Survival at 10 years Disease-Free Survival
Middleton, 2002 [31]	Disease-Free Survival at 5 and 10 years
Oh, 2006 [32]	Overall Survival at 5 years
Litton, 2007 [19]	Overall Survival, Relapse-Free Survival
Joergensen, 2008 [11]	Overall Survival
Cabioglu, 2009 [33]	Disease-Free Survival at 5 years
Rezo, 2011 [7]	Overall Survival, Progression Free Survival
Lynch, 2012 [6]	Relapse-Free Survival, Breast Cancer Specific Survival-worse prognosis at 5 years (only in UVA, not in MVA-other factors are associated with ↓ survival rates)

Abbreviations: UVA - univariate analysis, MVA - multivariate analysis.

Multifocality in breast carcinoma

lower overall survival at 5 years and at 10 years, yielded a lower median survival, but did not constitute an independent prognostic factor in multivariate analysis. However, since data concerning 10-year survival rates in relation with tumor size show statistically significant differences between unifocal and multiple carcinomas and by Cox regression analysis, survival is independently influenced by T status (advanced T status, unfavorable as shown in this study), an estimation of the overall tumor burden in multiple tumors should be reported, as it might have an influence on which protocol is being selected for treatment. We stress the necessity of multiple future prospective studies, based on uniform definitions and inclusion criteria of cases that benefit from similar oncologic treatment, since the results of these future studies could have an impact on current AJCC and TNM staging systems.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Monica Boros, Department of Pathology, County Emergency Clinical Hospital, Oradea, Romania. E-mail: monicadohan76@yahoo.com

References

- [1] Gatta G, Mallone S, van der Z, wan JM, Trama A, Siesling S, Capocaccia R; EURO CARE Working Group. Cancer prevalence estimates in Europe at the beginning of 2000. *Ann Oncol* 2013; 24: 1660-1666.
- [2] Senkus E, Kyriakides S, Penault-Llorca F, Poortmans P, Thompson A, Zackrisson S, Cardoso F; ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Primary breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2013; 24 Suppl 6: vi7-vi23.
- [3] Tot T. The role of large-format histopathology in assessing subgross morphological prognostic parameters: a single institution report of 1000 consecutive breast cancer cases. *Int J Breast Cancer* 2012; 2012: 395415.
- [4] Weissenbacher TM, Zschage M, Janni W, Jeschke U, Dimpfl T, Mayr D, Rack B, Schindlbeck C, Friese K, Dian D. Multicentric and multifocal versus unifocal breast cancer: is the tumor-node-metastasis classification justified? *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2010; 122: 27-34.
- [5] Tot T, Gere M, Pekár G, Tarján M, Hofmeyer S, Hellberg D, Lindquist D, Chen TH, Yen AM, Chiu SY, Tabár L. Breast cancer multifocality, disease extent and survival. *Hum Pathol* 2011; 42: 1761-1769.
- [6] Lynch SP, Lei X, Chavez-MacGregor M, Hsu L, Meric-Bernstam F, Buchholz TA, Zhang A, Hortobagyi GN, Valero V, Gonzalez-Angulo AM. Multifocality and multicentricity in breast cancer and survival outcomes. *Ann Oncol* 2012; 23: 3063-3069.
- [7] Rezo A, Dahlstrom J, Shadbolt B, Rodins K, Zhang Y, Davis AJ; ACT & SENSW BCTG. Tumor size and survival in multicentric and multifocal breast cancer. *Breast* 2011; 20: 259-263.
- [8] Katz A, Strom EA, Buchholz TA, Theriault R, Singletary SE, McNeese MD. The influence of pathologic tumor characteristics on loco regional recurrence rates following mastectomy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001; 50: 735-742.
- [9] Yerushalmi R, Kennecke H, Woods R, Olivotto IA, Speers C, Gelmon KA. Does multicentric/multifocal breast cancer differ from unifocal breast cancer? An analysis of survival and contralateral breast cancer incidence. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009; 117: 365-370.
- [10] Lakhani S, Ellis IO, Schnitt SJ, Tan PH, van de Vijver MJ. WHO Classification of Tumours of the Breast. Lyon, IARC Press; 2012. pp. 10-71.
- [11] Joergensen LE, Gunnarsdottir KA, Lanng C, Moeller S, Rasmussen BB. Multifocality as a prognostic factor in breast cancer patients registered in Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG) 1996-2001. *Breast* 2008; 17: 587-591.
- [12] Andea AA, Wallis T, Newman LA, Bouwman D, Dey J, Visscher DW. Pathologic analysis of tumor size and lymph node status in multifocal/multicentric breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 2002; 94: 1383-1390.
- [13] Coombs NJ, Boyages J. Multifocal and multicentric breast cancer: does each focus matter? *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 7497-7502.
- [14] Fish EB, Chapman JA, Link MA. Assessment of tumor size for multifocal primary breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 1998; 5: 442-446.
- [15] Egan RL. Multicentric breast carcinomas: Clinical-radiographic-pathologic whole organ studies and 10-year survival. *Cancer* 1982; 49: 1123-30.
- [16] Dabakuyo TS, Bonnetain F, Roignot P, Poillot ML, Chaplain G, Altwegg T, Hedelin G, Arveux P. Population-based study of breast cancer survival in Cote d'Or (France): prognostic factors and relative survival. *Ann Oncol* 2008; 19: 276-283.
- [17] Boyages J, Jayasinghe UW, Coombs N. Multifocal breast cancer and survival: each focus does matter particularly for larger tumors. *Eur J Cancer* 2010; 46: 1990-1996.

Multifocality in breast carcinoma

- [18] Allemani C, Minicozzi P, Berrino F, Bastiaannet E, Gavin A, Galceran J, Ameijide A, Siesling S, Mangone L, Ardanaz E, Hédelin G, Mateos A, Micheli A, Sant M; EUROCARE Working Group. Predictions of survival up to 10 years after diagnosis for European women with breast cancer in 2000-2002. *Int J Cancer* 2013; 132: 2404-2412.
- [19] Litton JK, Eralp Y, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Broglio K, Uyei A, Hortobagyi GN, Arun B. Multifocal breast cancer in women ≤ 35 years old. *Cancer* 2007; 110: 1445-1450.
- [20] Ustaalioglu BO, Bilici A, Kefeli U, Şeker M, Oncel M, Gezen C, Gumus M, Demirelli F. The importance of multifocal/multicentric tumor on the disease-free survival of breast cancer patients. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2012; 35: 580-586.
- [21] Vera-Badillo FE, Napoleone M, Ocana A, Templeton AJ, Seruga B, Al-Mubarak M, AlHashem H, Tannock IF, Amir E. Effect of multifocality and multicentricity on outcome in early stage breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014; 146: 235-244.
- [22] Arriagada R, Le MG, Dunant A, Tubiana M, Contesso G. Twenty-five years of follow-up in patients with operable breast carcinoma correlation between clinico-pathologic factors and the risk of death in each 5-year period. *Cancer* 2006; 106: 743-750.
- [23] Adair F, Berg J, Joubert L, Robbins GF. Long term follow-up of breast cancer patients. The 30-year report. *Cancer* 1974; 33: 1145-1150.
- [24] Say CC, Donegan WL. Invasive carcinoma of the breast: Prognostic significance of tumor size and involved axillary lymph nodes. *Cancer* 1974; 34: 468-471.
- [25] Yoshimoto M, Sakamoto G, Ohashi Y. Time dependency of the influence of prognostic factors on relapse in breast cancer. *Cancer* 1993; 72: 2993-3001.
- [26] Takeuchi H, Baba H, Kano T, Maehara Y. The time-related changes of the importance of prognostic factors in breast cancer. A sequential multivariate analysis of 1423 Japanese patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2005; 94: 273-278.
- [27] Fisher B, Bauer M, Wickerham DL, Redmond CK, Fisher ER, Cruz AB, Foster R, Gardner B, Lerner H, Margolese R. Relation of the number of positive axillary nodes to the prognosis of patients with primary breast cancer. An NSABP update. *Cancer* 1983; 52: 1551-1557.
- [28] Wolters R, Wöckel A, Janni W, Novopashenny I, Ebner F, Kreienberg R, Wischnewsky M, Schwentner L; BRENDA Study Group. Comparing the outcome between multicentric and multifocal breast cancer: what is the impact on survival, and is there a role for guideline-adherent adjuvant therapy? A retrospective multicenter cohort study of 8,935 patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2013; 142: 579-590.
- [29] Pekar G, Hofmeyer S, Tabár L, Tarján M, Chen TH, Yen AM, Chiu SY, Hellberg D, Gere M, Tot T. Multifocal breast cancer documented in large-format histology sections: long-term follow-up results by molecular phenotypes. *Cancer* 2013; 119: 1132-1139.
- [30] Vlastos G, Rubio IT, Mirza NQ, Newman LA, Aurora R, Alderfer J, Buzdar AU, Singletary SE. Impact of multicentricity on clinical outcome in patients with T1-2, N0-1, M0 breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2000; 7: 581-587.
- [31] Middleton LP, Vlastos G, Mirza NQ, Eva S, Sahin AA. Multicentric Mammary Carcinoma: evidence of monoclonal proliferation. *Cancer* 2002; 94: 1910-1916.
- [32] Oh JL, Dryden MJ, Woodward WA, Yu TK, Tereffe W, Strom EA, Perkins GH, Middleton L, Hunt KK, Giordano SH, Oswald MJ, Domain D, Buchholz TA. Locoregional control of clinically diagnosed multifocal or multicentric breast cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and locoregional therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24: 4971-4975.
- [33] Cabioglu N, Ozmen V, Kaya H, Tuzlali S, Igci A, Muslumanoglu M, Kecer M, Dagoglu T. Increased lymph node positivity in multifocal and multicentric breast cancer. *J Am Coll Surg* 2009; 208: 67-74.
- [34] Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, Fritz AG, Greene FL, Trotti A. American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Cancer Staging Manual, Breast, Part VII, Seventh edition. New York, Springer; 2010. pp. 347-376.
- [35] Mahmood U, Hanlon AL, Koshy M, Buras R, Chumsri S, Tkaczuk KH, Cheston SB, Regine WF, Feigenberg SJ. Increasing national mastectomy rates for the treatment of early stage breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013; 20: 1436-1443.