# Expression of the FGF-related proto-oncogene *int-2* during gastrulation and neurulation in the mouse

# David G.Wilkinson, Gordon Peters<sup>1</sup>, Clive Dickson<sup>2</sup> and Andrew P.McMahon

Laboratory of Developmental Biochemistry, National Institute for Medical Research, The Ridgeway, Mill Hill, London NW7 1AA, <sup>1</sup>Imperial Cancer Research Fund Laboratories, St Bartholomew's Hospital, Dominion House, Bartholomew Close, London EC1A 7BE and <sup>2</sup>Imperial Cancer Research Fund Laboratories, PO Box 123, Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, UK

Communicated by P.Rigby

The proto-oncogene int-2 has been implicated in the formation of mouse mammary-tumour-virus-induced mammary tumours. Analysis of the predicted coding sequence indicates that int-2 is a member of the fibroblast growth factor family. Previous studies using Northern blot analysis suggested that normal expression of int-2 may be confined to extra-embryonic endoderm lineages of embryonic stages of mouse development. We have used in situ hybridization and Northern blot analysis to examine directly int-2 expression in embryo stem cells and in the developing embryo from early gastrulation to midsomite stages. Complex patterns of accumulation of int-2 RNA were observed in embryonic and extra-embryonic tissues. The data suggest multiple roles for int-2 in development which may include migration of early mesoderm cells and induction of the otocyst.

*Key words:* proto-oncogene/*int-2*/mouse development/*in situ* hybridization/embryonic expression/extra-embryonic expression

# Introduction

Considerable interest has arisen in the possible role of protooncogenes in mammalian development. A number of protooncogenes are temporally and/or spatially regulated in the developing mouse (for review see Adamson, 1987), suggestive of a normal role of these genes in the context of embryonic and fetal development.

One such gene, int-2, was originally identified as a common integration site for mouse mammary tumour virus (MMTV), and is implicated in mammary tumorigenesis in certain strains of mice (Peters et al., 1983; Dickson et al., 1984). Whereas int-2 is not detectably expressed in normal mammary glands, transcripts accumulate in many mammary tumours as a consequence of proviral insertion 5' or 3' of the int-2 gene (Dickson et al., 1984; Moore et al., 1986; Peters et al., 1986). Insertion is found within the coding region, suggesting that a normal int-2 protein is required for tumour formation. Recent observations demonstrated homology between int-2 and members of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family (Dickson and Peters, 1987), other members of which are implicated in the formation of non-mammary human tumours (Delli Bovi et al., 1987; Taira et al., 1987). Although the roles of this family of proteins have only been partially defined, basic and acidic FGF have been implicated in endothelial cell proliferation and migration during angiogenesis (for reviews see Gospodarowicz, 1985; Folkman and Klagsbrun, 1987), and in meso-dermal induction in *Xenopus* (Slack *et al.*, 1987).

Expression of int-2 is highly restricted in normal cells with no transcripts identified in normal adult tissues to date (Dickson et al., 1984; Jakobovits et al., 1986). However, Northern blot analysis of RNA from mouse embryos demonstrated int-2 transcripts in 7.5-day egg cylinders, which were no longer detected in early somite-stage embryos 24 h later (Jakobovits et al., 1986). Thus, it would appear that a normal role exists in early mouse development for the int-2 gene product. As int-2 expression was also detected in differentiated embryonal carcinoma (e.c.) cells (Jakobovits et al., 1986), it was proposed that expression seen in vivo at 7.5 days was probably confined to extra-embryonic endoderm surrounding the embryonic component of the egg cylinder since this endoderm (visceral endoderm) is developmentally related to the parietal endoderm cells formed on e.c. cell differentiation (Jakobovits et al., 1986).

We have used *in situ* hybridization and Northern blot analysis to directly examine *int-2* expression in the conceptus. Our results reveal that *int-2* transcripts accumulate only within the parietal endoderm and not the visceral endoderm component of the extra-embryonic endoderm. In addition we identify striking temporal and spatial restriction in *int-2* RNA in the developing embryo from early gastrulation to early organogenesis stages. Embryonic *int-2* RNA accumulates in newly formed migrating mesoderm, neuroepithelial cells of the hindbrain adjacent to the otocyst and on the lower surface of the endodermally derived pharyngeal pouches.

# Results

# int-2 probes

*int-2* transcripts of 2.9, 2.7, 1.8 and 1.6 kb have been detected in RNA from mammary tumours and differentiated



Fig. 1. Relationship between *int-2* probes and the *int-2* gene. The *int-2* gene is illustrated. Non-coding and coding exons are represented as open and hatched boxes respectively. The jagged 5' box is to indicate that alternative upstream sequences are utilized as exons in transcripts initiated at the promoter regions P1 and P2 (Smith *et al.*, in preparation). Alternative poly(A) addition sites are indicated as A1 and A2. Sequences encompassed by the *int-2f* and *int-2g* probes are aligned below.



**Fig. 2.** *int-2* RNA accumulation in e.s. cells and extra-embryonic endoderm. (A) Northern blot analysis of e.s. cell RNA at 0, 3 and 7 days of differentiation, probed with  ${}^{32}P$ -labelled *int-2f* antisense RNA. Size of *int-2* transcripts (kb) is indicated. Hybridization of an actin coding probe to the same filter is shown below. (B) *In situ* hybridization of e.s. embryoid bodies. Sections of 3-day e.s. embryoid bodies were hybridized with  ${}^{35}S$ -labelled probes and, following autoradiography and staining, photographed under bright field (1 and 4) and dark field (2, 3, 5 and 6) illumination. *int-2* antisense (1 and 2) and sense (3) probes were hybridized to adjacent sections. To identify parietal and visceral endoderm-like cells, AFP (4 and 5) and SPARC (6) probes were also hybridized to adjacent sections of an 8.5-day conceptus. High power bright field (1) and dark field (2) photomicrographs of the extra-embryonic endoderm of the conceptus in Figure 5, 2 are shown. Arrows indicate *int-2* expression in parietal endoderm. (PE) cells. VE, visceral endoderm.



Fig. 3. int-2 RNA in early mesoderm cells. int-2 sense (3 and 4) and antisense (1, 2 and 5-12) probes were hybridized to sections of 7.5-day (1-4). 7.75-day (5 and 6), 8-day (7 and 8) and 9.5-day (9-12) post coitum embryos. int-2f and int-2g probes gave identical results (not shown). Panels 1-10 represent longitudinal sections, whereas panels 11 and 12 are a transverse section through the primitive streak. Bright field (1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11) and dark field (2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12) photomicrographs are presented. Arrows indicate the primitive streak (PS), epiblast (EP), exocoelom (EC), presomitic mesoderm (PM), somites (S) and neural ectoderm (NE). It should be noted that blood cells present in maternal decidual tissue in panels 2, 4 and 6 when viewed under dark ground illumination give the appearance of silver grains.

e.c. cells (Peters *et al.*, 1986; Jakobovits *et al.*, 1986; Smith *et al.*, in preparation). Mapping of promoter regions and poly(A) addition sites indicates that these four transcripts result from the use of alternative promoters and poly(A) addition sites but all contain an identical coding sequence (Smith *et al.*, in preparation). In this study we have used two probes, *int-2f* and *int-2g* (Figure 1). *int-2f* includes most of the third exon and some 3' untranslated sequence while *int-2g* lies immediately 3' of *int-2f* and includes only 3' untranslated sequence. Both probes detect all four transcripts (Smith *et al.*, in preparation).

# int-2 expression in extra-embryonic endoderm

Previous work suggested that *int-2* is transcribed in both the visceral and parietal endoderm lineages of the extraembryonic endoderm (Jakobovits et al., 1986). We examined undifferentiated and differentiated embryo stem (e.s.) cells (Evans and Kaufman, 1981) for int-2 transcripts by Northern blot analysis and in situ hybridization. Low levels of int-2 transcripts were detected in undifferentiated e.s. cells and were found to accumulate significantly on differentiation (Figure 2A). All four transcripts were present but the 2.7- and 1.6-kb transcripts which share the same promoter (Smith et al., in preparation) predominate. In situ hybridization using both int-2g (data not shown) and int-2f (Figure 2B) probes identified int-2 RNA accumulation specifically in outer, flattened cells surrounding inner clumps of apparently undifferentiated e.s. cells (Figure 2B, 1-3). These outer cells morphologically resemble parietal endoderm. Hybridization of sections with probes for SPARC (Mason et al., 1986) and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP; Gorin et al., 1981), markers of parietal (Holland et al., 1987) and visceral endoderm (Dziadek, 1978; Tilghman and Belayhew, 1982; Dziadek and Andrews, 1983) respectively, identified most outer cells as parietal endoderm (Figure 2B, 4-6). Few AFP-expressing cells were seen (Figure 2B.5).

To confirm and extend these results in vivo, int-2f and int-2g probes were hybridized to mouse embryo sections. int-2 transcripts were detected in parietal but not visceral endoderm cells (Figure 2C). Taken together these results suggest that int-2 RNA is present at low levels, probably <1 copy/cell, in pluripotent cells of the early postimplantation embryo. On differentiation of these cells, int-2 RNA is specifically up-regulated in the parietal endoderm.

# int-2 expression in early migrating mesoderm

If *int-2* is not detectably expressed in visceral endoderm at 7.5 days, what is the source of *int-2* transcripts previously reported in egg cylinders at this time (Jakobovits *et al.*, 1986)? *In situ* hybridization revealed *int-2* transcripts in cells migrating through the primitive streak at 7.5 days (Figure 3, 1–4). Hybridization was only seen with antisense *int-2g* or *int-2f* RNA probes (Figure 3, 1–4 and data not shown) and not with several control probes (data not shown) including  $\alpha$ -cardiac actin (Minty *et al.*, 1982),  $\beta$ -h1 globin (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1987a), AFP (Gorin *et al.*, 1981) or *int-1* (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1987b). Thus hybridization was strand-specific and restricted to *int-2* probes.

Cells within the primitive streak are derived from the epiblast, a sheet of epithelial cells which are the sole progenitors of the fetus and which also contribute to extraembryonic membranes of the amnion and yolk-sac (for review see Beddington, 1983). Cells detach from the epiblast and migrate into the streak between the epiblast and visceral



Fig. 4. Northern blot analysis of *int-2* RNA transcripts in microdissected regions of 9.5-day embryos. Lane 1, RNA from mammary tumours expressing *int-2*; lane 2, presomitic/primitive streak fraction; lane 3, somitic fraction; lane 4, head/visceral arch fraction. Size of *int-2* RNA species (kb) is indicated. Hybridization of an actin coding probe to the same filter is shown below.

endoderm to form mesoderm, as well as the definitive endoderm of the embryo (for reviews see Beddington, 1987; Snow, in preparation). Several studies indicate that cells occupying different positions in the primitive streak at 7.5 days contribute to different tissues of the embryo and surrounding structures. Thus cells at the posterior end are destined to form predominantly extra-embryonic mesoderm (Snow, 1981; Beddington, 1982; Tam and Beddington, 1987) which surrounds the exocoelomic cavity. At this time, int-2 RNA was detected in these cells, as well as in more anterior regions of the primitive streak (Figure 3, 1-4). Looking at progressively later stages, some int-2 expressing cells were seen moving from the primitive streak to extra-embryonic sites (Figure 3, 5 and 6). By 8 days int-2 RNA was detected in the extra-embryonic mesoderm surrounding the entire exocoelomic cavity (Figure 3, 7 and 8), but on formation of a continuous mesodermal epithelium at 8.5 days int-2 RNA is down-regulated (see Figure 5, 1 and 2). Thus int-2 RNA accumulation in extra-embryonic mesoderm occurs only during the migratory period and decreases when epithelial association is established.

Throughout this period, and up until 9.5 days, *int-2* RNA was detected in cells within the primitive streak. In the embryo from 8.5 to 9.5 days these cells give rise to the mesoderm of the embryo. After leaving the primitive streak cells become loosely organized anteriorly into presomitic mesoderm and then paraxially to form epithelial blocks of cells, the somites. In the mesoderm of somite stage embryos, *int-2* RNA accumulation was confined to cells migrating through the streak (Figure 3, 9-12) and was not detected by *in situ* analysis in these more organized derivatives.

To examine the *int-2* transcription pattern, 9.5-day embryos were dissected into a somitic and presomitic/ primitive streak fraction. As expected, *int-2* RNA was highly enriched in the presomitic/primitive streak region (Figure 4, lane 2). Four *int-2* transcripts were detected, which were identical in size to those seen in mammary tumours expressing *int-2* and in differentiated e.c. cells (Smith *et al.*, in preparation). Low levels of *int-2* RNA transcripts were also



Fig. 5. *int-2* expression in neural ectoderm and embryonic endoderm. Sections of 8.5-day (1 and 2) and 9.5-day (3-8) embryos were hybridized with *int-2* antisense probes. The planes of sections are longitudinal (1, 2 and 5-8) or coronal through the hindbrain and upper spinal cord (3 and 4). Bright field (1, 3, 5 and 7) and dark field (2, 4, 6 and 8) photomicrographs are presented for each section. *int-2* RNA accumulates in the primitive streak (PS), hindbrain (HB) and parietal endoderm (PE) but is no longer present in extra-embryonic mesoderm (EM) at 8.5 days (1 and 2). At 9.5 days *int-2* RNA was detected in the hindbrain opposite the otocyst (O) (3-6) and in the pharyngeal pouches (PP) (5-8). The pharyngeal pouches appear as separate chambers in lateral sections (7 and 8), but their continuity with the pharynx (PH) is seen in more medial sections (5 and 6).

detected in the somitic fraction (Figure 4, lane 3), presumably reflecting a low residual expression of *int-2* in non-primitive streak mesoderm which is below the limit of detection by *in situ* hybridization.

# int-2 expression in the hindbrain and pharyngeal pouches

High levels of regionalized int-2 expression were also observed in non-mesodermal cells in early (8.5 days) and mid-(9.5 days) somite stage embryos. int-2 RNA was detected in the neuroepithelium of the developing myelencephalon of the hindbrain (Figure 5, 1-6). Interestingly, this expression was restricted to cells adjacent to the developing otocysts (Figure 5,3 and 4), precursors of the inner ear. In addition, int-2 RNA was also detected at 9.5 days in the endoderm of the pharyngeal pouches but restricted to the lower surface of the 1st and 2nd pouch (Figure 5,5-8). This expression was not detected in medial sections (data not shown) and is thus restricted to the outpocketings of the pharynx. Subsequently, int-2 RNA was detected in the equivalent region of the 3rd pouch which forms at a later stage (data not shown). int-2 RNA transcripts in dissected tissues which included both hindbrain and pharyngeal pouch regions were examined by Northern blot analysis (Figure 4, lane 4). Four transcripts with similar mobilities to those seen in primitive streak fractions (Figure 4, lane 2) were detected.

# Discussion

Our *in situ* hybridization and Northern blot analyses have revealed a complex pattern of *int-2* expression in mouse embryos from 7.5 to 9.5 days of development. Although our detection of *int-2* transcripts at later stages is in contrast to data of Jacobovits *et al.* (1986), this presumably reflects the greater sensitivity of the RNA probes used in the present study. Based upon our Northern blot analysis of e.s. cells, we suggest that *int-2* RNA may be expressed quite widely at low levels in early development (<1 copy/cell) and strongly up-regulated in specific cell types.

Although no biological properties have so far been attributed to the *int-2* protein, in the light of its identification as a member of the FGF family of proteins (Dickson and Peters, 1987), it would not be surprising if these encompassed properties already ascribed to other family members. While we cannot exclude roles for *int-2* in angiogenesis or cell proliferation, the spatial pattern of *int-2* expression does not correlate specifically with these events. However, two other properties, stimulation of cell migration (for review see Folkman and Klagsbrun, 1987) and tissue induction (Slack *et al.*, 1987), may be particularly relevant with respect to the sites of *int-2* accumulation observed in developing mouse embryos.

A role for int-2 in cell migration during gastrulation is suggested by the correlation between int-2 expression and the migration of mesoderm cells. High levels of int-2 RNA were only detected in cells migrating through the primitive streak to embryonic or extra-embryonic sites. During this period these cells are essentially solitary, adopting only loose connections with their neighbours (Tam and Meier, 1982), in contrast to the epithelial epiblast from which they derive. int-2 RNA is down-regulated on resumption of cell associations in the exocoelom and in the presomitic mesoderm which displays an early somitomeric organization (Tam et al., 1982). Similarly, parietal endoderm cells which are highly active migratory cells accumulate int-2 RNA, in contrast to the developmentally related visceral endoderm which forms an epithelial tissue. Thus, int-2 may act as an autocrine factor maintaining and possibly initiating the migratory state of these cells. Such a role, if it exists, must be selective since other migratory populations of cells, notably the neural crest, do not accumulate int-2 RNA. Moreover, expression of int-2 in the epithelial endoderm of the pharyngeal pouches and neural ectoderm of the hindbrain seems unrelated to areas of cell migration.

While the possible significance of *int-2* expression in a portion of each pharyngeal pouch is not clear at present, the juxtaposition of the site of neural ectoderm expression and the developing otocysts is strongly suggestive. Several lines of evidence indicate that the neuroepithelium in this region is the source of an inductive signal, beginning at early somite stages and persisting to late head-fold stages, which is required for continued development of the otocyst (for review see Van de Water and Ruben, 1976). Thus, mutations which

disturb the normal spatial relationship between the neuroepithelium and the otocyst lead to the defective development of the otocyst and resultant abnormalities in the inner ear. In view of the inductive properties of other FGF-related proteins in *Xenopus* embryos (Slack *et al.*, 1987), the temporal and spatial coincidence in accumulation of *int-2* RNA in the neuroepithelium associated with otocyst induction is highly provocative. Clearly, a better understanding of the role(s) of *int-2* in both development and tumorigenesis awaits a thorough characterization of the biological properties of the *int-2* protein.

# Materials and methods

## Isolation of embryo and e.s. cell RNA

9.5 Day CBA/J mouse embryos (average 12-18 somites) were dissected free of decidual and extra-embryonic tissues in M2 medium (Quinn *et al.*, 1982) and cut into head, somitic and presomitic/primitive streak fractions. The head fraction included all tissues rostral of the 2nd visceral arch, the somitic fraction all tissues caudal of the 2nd visceral arch to the rostral boundary of the presomitic mesoderm, and the presomitic/primitive streak fraction all tissues of the most caudal region. RNA was isolated by the LiCl/urea method (Auffray and Rugeon, 1980). These fractions contained 44, 52 and 4% respectively of the embryo RNA. e.s. cells from the CCE cell line (Robertson *et al.*, 1986) were seeded in bacteriological culture dishes and RNA extracted 0, 3 and 7 days after seeding. RNA was extracted as above.

#### Northern blot analysis

RNA (2.5  $\mu$ g total RNA from embryo dissection, 20  $\mu$ g total RNA from e.s. cells) was fractionated by electrophoresis on agarose gels containing formaldehyde (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982), transferred to Genescreen (New England Nuclear) and crosslinked to the membrane by UV irradiation (Church and Gilbert, 1984). <sup>32</sup>P-labelled single-stranded *int-2* RNA probes (see below) were prepared by standard procedures (Melton *et al.*, 1984) and used at 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> c.p.m./ml to hybridize to filters overnight at 65°C in 60% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 × Denhardt's, 1% SDS, 0.1% sodium pyrophosphate, 10% dextran sulphate, 100  $\mu$ g/ml yeast RNA. Washing of these filters was performed at a final stringency of 0.2 × SSC at 70°C. Following autoradiography, filters were washed at 80°C in 0.05% SDS to remove *int-2* hydridization and reprobed with <sup>32</sup>P-labelled single-stranded actin RNA probes (Minty *et al.*, 1981) to verify similar loading of RNA in each lane.

## In situ hybridization

<sup>35</sup>S-labelled single-stranded RNA probes were prepared by transcribing *int-2* genomic fragments, subcloned in pGEM vectors (Melton *et al.*, 1984; Cox *et al.*, 1984). Two non-overlapping sequences were used, a 532-bp SacI - EcoRI fragment, *int-2f* and a 496-bp EcoRI - ScaI fragment, *int-2g*, which encompass residues 5741 – 6273 and 6274 – 6769 respectively of the *int-2* gene (Moore *et al.*, 1986). Preparation of embryo tissue sections and *in situ* hybridization were performed as described (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1987a).

# Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Françoise Poirier for the gift of e.s. embryoid bodies and RNA, R.Smith for performing the Northern blot analysis in Figure 2A, Dr Robb Krumlauf for the AFP probe and Drs John McVey and Brigid Hogan for the SPARC probe. We also thank Drs Mike Snow and Gavin Swanson for valuable advice and information and Ena Heather for expert typing.

## References

- Adamson, E.D. (1987) Development, 99, 449-471.
- Auffray, C. and Rougeon, F. (1980) Eur. J. Biochem., 107, 303-314.
- Beddington, R.S.P. (1982) J. Embryol. Exp. Morph., 69, 265-285.
- Beddington, R.S.P. (1983) In Johnson, M.H. (ed.), Development in Mammals. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Vol. 5, pp. 1–32.
- Beddington, R.S.P. (1987) In Rossant, J. and Pedersen, R.A. (eds), Experimental Approaches to Mammalian Embryonic Development. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 121-147.
- Church, G. M. and Gilbert, W.G. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 81, 1991–1995.

- Cox,K.H., DeLeon,D.V., Angerer,L.M. and Angerer,R.C. (1984) Dev. Biol., 101, 485-502.
- Delli Bovi, P., Curatola, A.M., Kem, F.G., Greco, A., Ittman, M. and Basilico, C. (1987) Cell, 50, 729-737.
- Dickson, C. and Peters, G. (1987) Nature, 326, 833.
- Dickson, C., Smith, R., Brookes, S. and Peters, G. (1984) Cell, 37, 529-536.
- Dziadek, M. (1978) J. Embryol. Exp. Morph., 46, 135-146.
- Dziadek, M.A. and Andres, G.K. (1983) EMBO J., 2, 549-554.
- Evans, M.J. and Kaufman, M.H. (1981) Nature, 292, 154-156.
- Folkman, J. and Klagsbrun, M. (1987) Science, 235, 442-447.
- Gorin, M.B., Cooper, D.L., Eiferman, F., van de Rijn, P. and Tilghman, S.M. (1981) J. Biol. Chem., 256, 1960–1967.
- Gospodarowicz, D. (1985) In Ford, R.J. and Maizel, A.L. (eds), *Mediators in Cell Growth and Differentiation*. Raven Press, New York, pp. 109-134.
- Holland, P.W.H., Harper, S.J., McVey, J.H. and Hogan, B.L.M. (1987) J. Cell Biol., 105, 473-482.
- Jakobovits, A., Shackleford, G.M., Varmus, H.E. and Martin, G.R. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 83, 7806-7810.
- Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E.F. and Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Mason, I.J., Taylor, A., Williams, J.G., Sage, H. and Hogan, B.L.M. (1986) EMBO J., 5, 1465-1472.
- Melton, D.A., Krieg, P.A., Rebagliati, M.R., Maniatis, T., Zinn, K. and Green, M.R. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res., 12, 7035-7056.
- Minty, A.J., Caravatti, M., Robert, B., Cohen, A., Dubas, P., Weydert, A., Gros, F. and Buckingham, M.E. (1981) J. Biol. Chem., 256, 1008-1014.
- Minty, A.J., Alonso, S., Caravatti, M. and Buckingham, M.E. (1982) Cell, 30, 185-192.
- Moore, R., Casey, G., Brookes, S., Dixon, M., Peters, G. and Dickson, C. (1986) *EMBO J.*, **5**, 919–924.
- Peters, G., Brookes, S., Smith, R. and Dickson, C. (1983) Cell, 33, 364-377.
- Peters, G., Lee, A.E. and Dickson, C. (1986) Nature, 320, 628-631.
- Quinn, P., Barros, C. and Whittingham, D.G. (1982) J. Reprod. Fertil., 66, 161-168.
- Robertson, E., Bradley, A., Kuehn, M. and Evans, M. (1986) *Nature*, 323, 445-448.
- Slack, J.M.W., Darlington, B.G., Heath, J.K. and Godsave, S.F. (1987) *Nature*, **326**, 197-200.
- Snow, M. (1981) J. Embryol. Exp. Morph., 65 (Suppl.), 269-287.
- Taira, M., Yoshida, T., Miyagawa, K., Sakamoto, H., Terada, M. and Sugimura, T. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 84, 2980–2984.
- Tam, P.P.L. and Beddington, R.S.P. (1987) Development, 99, 109-126.
- Tam, P.P.L. and Meier, S. (1982) Am. J. Anat., 164, 209-225.
- Tam,P.P.L., Meier,S. and Jacobson,A.G. (1982) Differentiation, 21, 109-122.
- Tilgham, S.M. and Belayew, A. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 79, 5254-5257.
- Van de Water, T.R. and Ruben, R.J. (1976) In Hinchcliffe, R. and Harrison, D. (eds), *Scientific Foundations of Otolarynology*, Heinemann, London.
- Wilkinson, D.G., Bailes, J.A., Champion, J.E. and McMahon, A.P. (1987a) Development, 99, 493-500.
- Wilkinson, D.G., Bailes, J.A. and McMahon, A.P. (1987b) Cell, 50, 79-88.

Received on January 4, 1988

# Note added in proof

The work referred to throughout as Smith *et al.*, in preparation, is now in press: Smith, R., Peters, G. and Dickson, C. (1988) *EMBO J.*, in press.