A Drosophila protein homologous to the human p70 Ku autoimmune antigen interacts with the P transposable element inverted repeats

(fruit fly/DNA repair/transposition/double-strand break)

EILEEN L. BEALL^{*}, ARIE ADMON^{†‡}, AND DONALD C. RIO^{*§}

*Department of Molecular and Cell Biology and tHoward Hughes Medical Institute, ⁴⁰¹ Barker Hall, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3204

Communicated by Allan C. Spradling, September 13, 1994 (received for review July 29, 1994)

ABSTRACT P transposable elements in Drosophila are mobilized via a cut-and-paste mechanism. This mode of transposition requires repair of both a double-strand break at the donor DNA site and gapped DNA at the target site. Biochemical studies have identified ^a cellular non-P element-encoded DNA binding protein, termed the inverted repeat binding protein (IRBP), that specifically interacts with the outer half of the 31-bp terminal inverted repeats. Protein sequence information was used to isolate cDNA clones encoding IRBP. Sequence analysis shows that IRBP is related to the 70-kDa subunit of the human Ku autoimmune antigen. The mammalian Ku antigen binds free DNA termini and has been implicated in immunoglobulin VDJ recombination, DNA repair, and transcription. In addition, Ku is the DNA binding subunit of the doublestrand DNA-dependent protein kinase. Cytogenetic mapping indicates that the IRBP gene maps to chromosomal position 86E on the right arm of the third chromosome.

Transposable elements are mobile segments of DNA found in many prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms (for review, see ref. 1). Highly organized nucleoprotein complexes between transposable element-encoded proteins and the transposon termini carry out the catalytic events of transposition: cleavage of the donor transposon DNA and covalent joining of the transposon to the target DNA in ^a strand transfer reaction involving a single step transesterification reaction (for review, see ref. 2).

Because of its widespread use as genetic tools and as vectors for gene transfer, the P element family of transposable elements in the fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster, is one of the most studied eukaryotic transposons (3). P elements are found in natural populations and can range in size from about 0.5 to 2.9 kb. When these elements transpose, a DNA intermediate is formed in which an 8-bp target site duplication is created upon insertion. The P element termini are required for transposition, which include the 31-bp terminal inverted repeats, the 11-bp internal inverted repeats, and unique DNA sequences encompassing \approx 150 bp at each end (3). Complete full-length P elements encode an 87-kDa sequence-specific DNA-binding transposase protein that recognizes internal sites at each end (4). Recently, a faithful in vitro P element transposition system was developed which showed that GTP and Mg^{2+} serve as cofactors for the reaction (5). Consistent with these biochemical studies, genetic experiments suggested that P elements transpose via a cut-and-paste mechanism similar to the bacterial transposons Tn 10 and Tn 7 (6-9).

The model for P element transposition suggests that a double-strand break is left at the donor site after an excision event (5, 6). This double-strand break must be repaired to prevent chromosomal loss. When a homologous chromo-

some is present, this repair is hypothesized to occur by a gene conversion type of mechanism similar to double-strand gap repair (6). This repair process must involve non-P elementencoded factors. Indeed, it is known that many bacterial transposition reactions are often aided by host-encoded proteins. In the case of P elements, genetic studies have shown that a gene called $mus101$ (for mutagen sensitive) can affect the recovery of chromosomes that have undergone P element excision (10). In addition, several other *mus* mutants are known to be involved in DNA excision or postreplication repair. Biochemical assays provide another approach to identify host cell components involved in P element transposition. Using the P element inverted repeats as a probe, a Drosophila DNA binding protein was identified that bound specifically to the outer half of the P element inverted repeats (11). Because the inverted repeats are absolutely required for transposition and are not bound by the P element-encoded transposase, the inverted repeat binding protein (IRBP) is a good candidate for a host factor involved in transposition.

To begin an investigation of the potential role of IRBP in P element transposition, the protein was purified and subjected to microprotein sequence analysis. This sequence information was used to isolate cDNA clones encoding IRBP.¶ The protein bears significant primary sequence homology to the 70-kDa subunit of the human Ku autoimmune antigen. This mammalian heterodimeric DNA binding protein complex was identified by using human patient sera from autoimmune syndrome disease patients (12-14). The human Ku antigen binds both at DNA termini and at internal sites in vitro (14-16) and has been implicated in DNA repair, transposition, transcription, and DNA replication (13, 17, 18). Recently, the human Ku antigen was shown to be ^a subunit of the double-strand DNA-dependent protein kinase (dsDNA PK) (19, 20), which can phosphorylate a number of nuclear proteins in vitro (21-23). However, the physiological substrate(s) of the dsDNA PK is still unknown. Furthermore, recent studies with mutant x-ray-sensitive mammalian cells that are defective for double-strand break repair and immunoglobulin VDJ (variable-diversity-joining) recombination (24, 25) have shown that the Ku antigen is either absent or defective in these mutant cell lines. Reversion to x-ray resistance restores Ku immunoreactivity and terminal DNA binding activity (26-28). Our analysis suggests that the IRBP cDNA encodes ^a DNA binding protein that recognizes the P element inverted repeats. Cytogenetic mapping localized the IRBP gene to region 86E2-3 on the third chromosome. Interestingly, this region contains a mutation, mus3O9, that is

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: IRBP, inverted repeat binding protein; dsDNA PK, double-strand DNA-dependent protein kinase; V, variable; D, diversity; J, joining.

tPresent address: Department of Biology, Technion Institute, Haifa, 32000, Israel.

[§]To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

^{\$}The sequence reported in this paper has been deposited in the GenBank data base (accession no. U15004).

10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 CCC CCC CCC GAA TCG ATA ACA ACA GCG ACG ATA AGC GCG CCC GCC TTC TTG TGA AAT TAG CGG AAA GTC AGA TTT TTA GCA ATC TAA AGA AGC CGC 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 ATA **ATS** AGC ACC TGG AAT CCG GAG AAC GAT GTG GAC CTG CTG TCT GGG TCC GAG GAG GAG GAG GAT GTG TCC ATG AG CGG GAT GGG CGC GAG
N ST W N P E N D V D L L S G S E D E E D V S M K R D Y H G R E 32 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290 ekc vir cle ric ele elv evc ekc vir cli cae vcv ekc eec ele eve cle rie ebe ekv cle vic vic vic cee vce ekc rir viv rec eev cli 300 310 320 330 340 350 360 370 380 390 CIG GTT AAC GAC AAG GAC CIC AIC GGA CIC AIC TIC GCC AAC ACC AGC AGT AGT CGG CGG CIG GAA GCC AGT GCA TIG GAC AIC GTA ATG CGG 400 410 420 430 440 450 460 470 480 490 GAT AAC TGC GCA GTG TIC TTG CCC CTT CGC CAA CTA ACC AAA CCC AIT GTG GAG CAC TAT CTG GAA TIC AIG GGC GGG GTG GAG ^D ^N ^C A V ^F L ^P 1 ^R B L ^T K ^P ^I V ^E ^H ^Y L ^E ^F N G ⁶ ^V ^E ^T Q ^F A ^D 500 510 520 530 540 550 560 570 580 590 ele 191 eec cle ele eev cec een eet cec eec vee ili eec cli vle vic cee clc iec vic eee vle che vle iec eec vle vle cly vlc vlc 600 610 620 630 640 650 660 670 680 690 ecc aas are see tat ste acs sae ste ags saa eer en een tes aae as aan en tre eas as see een aas de aas sas ers sas sas de ags aas 700 710 720 730 740 750 760 770 780 790 e e Tre e e Truen to the ATT che and are to gat gan the act the east case of the acception and the act and a create the east and th 800 810 820 830 840 850 860 870 880 890 TTC CAG GTG CCA GAT GCC CAG ATG CTG CGC GAA ATC CTG TCC GAT CGT AAG TTG AAG CAG GAT TTC CTT CGC CGA TGC CTG GGC CAC TTC AGT TTT TAT
The alleged and the case of the contract of the contract of the case of the case of the ca 900 910 920 930 940 950 960 970 980 990 CTG GGC CCC AAC CTA TCC ATG TCC GTA CAG TAC TAC AAT TAC TTT CAG CGA CGC 6CC TAT CCG CGC AAA GTG CAA ATC CTG CGC AGG GAC AAC AGT GTG L 6 P N L S N S V Q Y Y N Y ^F B R R A Y P R K V Q ^I L R R D N S V 1000 1010 1020 1030 1040 1050 1060 1070 1080 ege cat act ake caa etg att acg gtg ak aka caa akg gag gag cag gag at atc gag cag gag at akg gtg <u>an geg ggt tag ta</u>
Dig gat act akg cag gtg att acg gtg aka akg gaa akg gag gag tag gag an atc gag tag gag tag att akg gtg <u>a</u> 1090 1100 1110 1120 1130 1140 1150 1160 1170 1180 ACT TGC AAC GTG GGC GAA AGG GAT CTG CGC ATC AGC ATG GAT CAG TTG AAC AGG GTG CGC AAT CTG CAG CCG CAA ATG ATG CTG CGC TTC AAG
The company of the comp 1190 1200 1210 1220 1230 1240 1250 1260 1270 1280 cht cen tec tet cit cec ean ett nec the nic nhe cen ece nat tic nie the cec eat eat cae nic nic den age age cec tie tic cec ecu 1290 1300 1310 1320 1330 1340 1350 1360 1370 1380 TIG TGG GAA CGA TGC TIG GTG CGC GAC AAG ATT GCC ATT TGC CTG TIC ATG TGC AAG CGC AAG TCG ATA CGT CGC <u>TAT GTG GCA CTT GTG CCA GTA GA</u>G
Light care of the company of 1390 1400 1410 1420 1430 1440 1450 1460 1470 1480 ecc cca gat aat ege eaa gat aag aac tat cec tct ctg ctc tgc get eac gea ttc aag a<u>tt grc ttg ccg eag ecc aag cac at</u>c cec cac cta
A compared to the car at the state of the compared of the compared to the compared of the co ^A P ⁰ N ^G ^E ⁰ K ^N ^Y ^R ⁵ 1490 1500 1510 1520 1530 1540 1550 1560 1570 1580 GAC TIG CAG GAC TGG AAC AAT ACG GAA AAC ACT GCT GAC GAA CAG AAA GTC GAG TIT TIC CAA AAG AIC AIC AAG AAG CIG CGC GTT GAC TAT CAG CCG
O LIC AG GAC TGG AAC AAT ACG GAA AAC ACT GCT GAC GAA CAG AAA GTC GAG TIT TIC CAA AAG AIC A 1590 1600 1610 1620 1630 1640 1650 1660 1670 1680 AAT CIC AIC AAC GAT CGA AGT CIG GAC GCC CIG CAG GCG AAT CIT CIG GCC CIC TCC CIG GAC TIT TCG ACA GAT AAT GIG CIG
AAT CIC AIC AAC GAT CGA AGT CIG GAC GCC CIG CAG GCG AAT CIT CIG GCC CIC TCC CIG GAC TIT TCG ACA GAT ACA GEA CI 1690 1700 1710 1720 1730 1740 1750 1760 1770 1780 GAC ACT TCG CAA CAG GAC AAG CGC ATA GAA AAG CTG CTG CCG GAC TAT GAA ATG TTC GCT CCG GAA GCA GAA CCC CCT AAG AAG CGA GCA 6CC AAG TCC D T S Q Q D K R ^I E K L L P ⁰ Y ⁶ N ^F A P E A E P P K K R A A K S 1790 1800 1810 1820 1830 1840 1850 1860 1870 1880 ACC ACA GCG GGA GCG GGT CCC AAA ATG GCC AAG ATC GAT GAT CAA CTC AAG GAA TTC GAA TTC GTA AAG <u>AGC CTG AAG GAT GAG</u>
ACC ACA GCG GGA AGC GGT CCC AAA ATG AG AC ATG GAT GAT CAA CTC AAG GAA TTC GAA TTC GTA AK AGC <u>CTCAAN AK AG G</u> 1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 ACG AGT TGC ACG GCC GCG TTG CAT TIT AIT CTG CAG CAT CAC TIC GAT GTT ACA ATG CCC AAG TCG TCA AAC ARG GCA AAA CTG GTC GCC AAA ATC 1990 2000 2010 2020 2030 2040 2050 2060 2070 GAG GAA CTA CAT AAG TAA TTA AAA TAT TCC ATA CTT TGA GTG ATA AAT CTG TAC CAA TCT AGC TTG TAT TAA CCA TTG GTA AAC TTA GGA TTA GAA AAT E E L H K 2080 2090 2100 2110 2120 2130 2140 2150 AAT GTT TTG TTG TCC GAA TTG TTA ATT AAA TAC ATA CCA ACA CAT AAT TAC TTG TAA AAT AAA AAA AAA AAA AA 65 $^{\circ}$ 131 164 197 230 263 296 329 362 395 428 461 494 527 560 593 626 631

FIG. 1. DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of IRBP. The sequence of one full-length cDNA is shown as well as the deduced amino acid coding sequence of 631 amino acids. Peptides derived from trypsin and V-8 protease digestion followed by amino acid sequence analysis are underlined. The three peptides used to make anti-peptide antibodies are shown in italics.

involved in DINA repair (29, 30). Finally, the divergence between IRBP and human Ku ⁷⁰ may explain why P elements have not been found to transpose in mammalian cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein Purification, Microsequencing, and Peptide Antibody Production. The IRBP was purified by heparin agarose and several cycles of DNA affinity chromatography as described (11). These fractions were concentrated and subjected to SDS/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Electroblotting, protease treatment, and HPLC were as described (31, 32). Several of these peptide peaks were sequenced on a model 477A sequenator (Applied Biosystems). Three sequences were chosen (IRBP1, VIPMDVAFIYC; IRBP2, AIELDAFQVC; IRBP3, SIVHPSYNC) for peptide antibody generation. Polyclonal antiserum was raised in New Zealand White female rabbits by injection of $100-200 \mu g$ of KLHpeptide conjugate. Polyclonal mouse anti-IRBP antibodies were prepared by nitrocellulose implant of immunoblotted protein (33). Antibody was affinity-purified (33).

cDNA Library Screening, Cloning, DNA Sequencing, and RNA. A random-primed cDNA library in bacteriophage λ gtll was made from Drosophila Kc cell poly $(A)^+$ mRNA as described (34). This library was screened with the IRBP2 anti-peptide antibodies and positives were rescreened with

polyclonal mouse anti-IRBP or rabbit anti-IRBP1 peptide antibodies (34). One insert was sequenced $(K_c1.1)$ and then used in DNA hybridization screening of ^a Drosophila 4- to 8-hr embryonic plasmid cDNA library (35). One new cDNA (pNB409-1) was subjected to DNA sequence analysis. RNA blot hybridization was carried out by standard methods at 65°C with single-stranded antisense RNA probes (34).

In Situ Hybridization to Polytene Chromosomes. Biotinylated DNA probes were prepared by nick-translation (34) and hybridized to polytene chromosomes as described (36).

UV Crosslinking and Immunoprecipitation. Antibodies were prepared against IRBP expressed in Escherichia coli as described (33). UV photochemical crosslinking was carried out as described (11) with ³²P-labeled, BrdUrd-substituted DNA probes prepared as described (11).

RESULTS

Isolation, Sequence Analysis, and Comparison of IRBP cDNA Clones. IRBP was identified as a DNA-binding polypeptide of 65-70 kDa and can be purified to near homogeneity by ^a combination of heparin-agarose and DNA affinity chromatography (11). Purified IRBP was subjected to gel electrophoresis, electroblotting, enzymatic digestion, HPLC separation of peptide fragments, and amino acid sequencing. Three peptide sequences were used to generate synthetic peptides and polyclonal antisera as well as electroblotted IRBP protein purified from K_c cells (11). These antibodies were affinity-purified and shown to specifically crossreact with IRBP in partially purified IRBP preparations on protein immunoblots (data not shown). The IRBP anti-peptide antibodies were then used to screen a random-primed Drosophila $cDNA$ library made from K_c tissue culture cells. Positive clones were retested with IRBP1 anti-peptide and anti-IRBP antibodies. One of the largest inserts was subcloned into a plasmid vector and sequenced. This cDNA fragment was used as a probe to isolate full-length clones from a 4- to 8-hr embryonic cDNA plasmid library (35). Restriction endonuclease mapping as well as in vitro transcription and translation of isolated clones [using the SP6 promoter in the library plasmid vector pNB40 (35)] were used to identify full-length clones (data not shown) and one of these was sequenced in its entirety (Fig. 1). This open reading frame encodes a predicted protein of 631 amino acids and M_r 72,353. The original two peptide sequences as well as numerous additional peptides from subsequent peptide sequencing experiments were all identified in this sequence (Fig. 1).

Sequence data base searches revealed significant matches with the 70-kDa subunit of the human Ku autoimmune antigen (Fig. 2). The best region of homology is between amino acids 354 and 459 of the IRBP sequence and amino acids 342 and 443 of the human Ku p70 protein (37) (Fig. 2). However, by inclusion of gaps in the alignment it is clear that there are other regions of identity throughout the entirety of the two sequences (27% identity and 34% similarity with conservative amino acid substitutions) (Fig. 2). There is also significant identity with a recently isolated yeast Ku p70 homolog (Fig. 2; ref. 38). The human 70-kDa Ku protein has a leucine zipper motif located between amino acids 187 and ²⁴⁸ (37, 39) that may be involved in heterodimeric DNA binding complex formation with the p86 Ku subunit. Although this region is similar, it is not absolutely conserved in the Drosophila IRBP cDNA sequence (Figs. ¹ and 2). Recently, we have found that IRBP is associated with another protein of 80 to 86 kDa. This protein may correspond to the larger Ku p86 subunit that was presumably fractionated away during the IRBP purification (11). It is possible that association of IRBP with a p86 subunit as a heterodimer might alter its DNA recognition properties. In addition, the purified IRBP appears to be proteolyzed, missing \approx 6 kDa of terminal

peptide sequence relative to the cloned IRBP cDNA (E.L.B. and D.C.R., unpublished observations). These findings may explain the instability of IRBP DNA binding activity in chromatography experiments and the clearly preferred binding of IRBP to the P element 31-bp inverted repeats located at internal sites in DNA binding experiments (11) (E.L.B. and D.C.R., unpublished observations). However, it should be noted that mammalian Ku antigen binds both terminal and internal DNA sites, but the Ku and IRBP DNA binding activities cannot currently be compared (13-18).

Expression of the HRBP Gene. The expression profile of the gene encoding IRBP was examined by RNA blot hybridization with poly(A)⁺ mRNA from *Drosophila* K_c tissue culture cells and tissues from a variety of *Drosophila* developmental stages. Using antisense RNA derived from the IRBP cDNA as ^a probe, ^a single 2.4-kb RNA species was identified in all RNA samples (Fig. 3). This size of mRNA is consistent with the cDNA sequence analysis (Fig. 1). The 2.4-kb IRBP mRNA was expressed at a low level throughout development but was particularly abundant in ovaries, 0- to 2-h embryos, and adult females (Fig. 3). In addition, ^a low level of the 2.4-kb mRNA was observed in adult males (data not shown). This expression profile is consistent with the transcript being made at the highest levels in the female germ line during oogenesis.

Cytogenetic Mapping of the IRBP Gene. The use of polytene chromosome in situ hybridization in Drosophila allows a direct link to be made between ^a cloned DNA segment and the genetic map of the organism. Often this information is useful to aid in gene identification and physical mapping and to identify potential candidate genes corresponding to a particular cDNA. Using ^a biotin-labeled IRBP cDNA as ^a probe, a single hybridization signal was detected at cytological position 86E2-3 on the right arm of the third chromosome

FIG. 2. Amino acid sequence comparison of IRBP (DP70) and the human Ku p70 (HP70) (37) and the yeast (YP70) (38) proteins. Identical amino acids are indicated in white with black background, conservative amino acid changes (D/E , R/K , $L/I/V$, G/A , Q/N , S/T) are indicated in white with a stippled gray background, and nonidentical amino acids are indicated in black letters. Gaps are indicated by black dots and amino acid numbers are indicated on the right.

(3R) (Fig. 4). Examination of the known genes that lie in this interval (40) indicated that one gene, mus3O9, lies in the cytogenetic interval 86E2-87B5. mus3O9 mutants are members of a class of mutants in *Drosophila* that are hypersensitive to mutagenic chemicals. Interestingly, the mus309 mutants also exhibit sterility in females or both sexes depending on the mutant allele (29, 40). This observation is provocative because IRBP mRNA levels were found to be elevated in the female germ line (Fig. 3).

Immunoprecipitation of IRBP-DNA Adducts. IRBP was identified by UV-induced DNA-protein crosslinking and DNase ^I footprinting (11). In highly purified IRBP preparations, a single polypeptide of ≈ 65 kDa was crosslinked to the P element inverted repeat DNA (11). Therefore, we prepared antibody to recombinant IRBP protein expressed from the IRBP cDNA in E. coli. We used this affinity-purified antibody to immunoprecipitate the 32P-labeled DNA-protein adduct from highly purified IRBP preparations. This antibody crossreacted with both crude and purified Drosophila IRBP on protein immunoblots (Fig. 5A). Indeed, this antibody was capable of retrieving the IRBP protein-DNA complex (Fig. SB, lane 1), whereas with control antibody or no antibody, no immunoprecipitation was observed (Fig. 5B, lanes 2 and 3). Note that the protein-DNA adduct has slower electrophoretic mobility than the free protein due to the covalently attached $32P$ -labeled DNA (11). Therefore, the cDNA we isolated corresponds to the 65-kDa IRBP polypeptide originally purified from $Drosophila$ K_c cells.

The mammalian Ku p70 subunit is often associated with a second Ku p86 subunit as a heterodimer (13, 37). However, we purified IRBP as a single polypeptide from *Drosophila* K_c cells that is homologous to the mammalian Ku p70 (11). Previous studies have shown that mammalian p70 is capable of binding DNA in the absence of p86 (41), suggesting that there may be a free pool of the 70-kDa subunit in cells and that association with p86 might modulate DNA binding by p70. Expression studies and isolation of the Drosophila p86 gene are needed to clarify whether IRBP p70 alone or a p70-p86 heterodimer allows specific binding to P element DNA sequences as observed with endogenous purified IRBP protein (11). It is possible that an IRBP p70-p86 heterodimer might have altered DNA recognition properties.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown that P element transposase binds to internal sites on P element DNA but does not

FIG. 3. (Upper) Developmental profile of Drosophila IRBP transcripts. RNA blot hybridization was carried out with $poly(A)^+$ RNA from the indicated developmental stage. (Lower) Same filter probed with a Drosophila SC actin gene probe used as a control. Lane M, size markers (kb).

FIG. 4. Cytogenetic mapping of Drosophila IRBP gene. Chromosome in situ hybridization was carried out with the biotinylated IRBP cDNA and detected with avidin-alkaline phosphatase (36). The cytogenetic region of chromosome 3R 86-87 is shown and the signal at 86E2-3 is indicated by an open arrow.

recognize the terminal 31-bp inverted repeats (4). A non-P element-encoded protein was identified by DNase ^I protection analysis that recognized the outer half of the inverted repeats and was termed the IRBP (11). Here, we have isolated the gene encoding IRBP and determined its deduced protein sequence. Interestingly, Drosophila IRBP shares significant sequence homology to the 70-kDa subunit of the mammalian Ku autoimmune antigen. Chromosome in situ hybridization analysis mapped the IRBP gene to cytological position 86E2-3. This genomic region contains a gene called mus3O9 that is a member of a class of genes that are hypersensitive to mutagenic chemicals (29, 30). Many of these genes have been shown to be involved in DNA repair.

Is IRBP Involved in P Element Transposition? Several observations suggest that IRBP may be involved in P element transposition. First, in vitro DNA binding studies demonstrated that IRBP interacts with the P element 31-bp inverted repeats adjacent to the transposition cleavage site. This is significant because the inverted repeats are absolutely required for P element transposition in vivo (3) and transposase does not interact with these sequences in vitro (4). Second,

FIG. 5. Immunoprecipitation of IRBP-DNA complexes. (A) Protein immunoblot analysis of IRBP in crude heparin agarose (lane 1) and purified DNA affinity (lane 2) fractions (11) using affinitypurified antibodies to recombinant IRBP expressed in E. coli. (B) Antibodies raised to recombinant IRBP were used to immunoprecipitate radiolabeled IRBP-DNA complexes produced by UV photochemical crosslinking with 32P-labeled BrdUrd-substituted DNA (11).

the IRBP protein sequence suggests that it is related to the human Ku autoimmune antigen. This heterodimeric DNA binding complex binds to both DNA termini and internal sites (14-16) and is known to be involved in DNA double-strand break repair and immunoglobulin VDJ recombination in mammalian cells (26-28). Third, the IRBP gene was cytogenetically mapped to interval 86E2-3 on the right arm of the third chromosome. This region contains a gene called mus309 that may be involved in DNA repair and P element transposition.

The mutagen-sensitive (mus) mutants in *Drosophila* were isolated in the early 1970s (29, 30). One member of this class of mutants, mus101, has been demonstrated genetically to effect P element transposition (10). These experiments showed that there was failure to recover P element carrying chromosomes in a mus101 mutant background after transposase-induced P element mobilization (10). This observation suggests that *musl01* is involved in repair of the doublestrand break induced at the donor chromosomal site after P element mobilization (5, 6). It is likely that other mus genes will be involved in P element transposition and that $mus309$ is a possible candidate.

The Mammalian Ku Antigen Is Involved in DNA Repair. Based on the biochemical observation that the purified mammalian Ku antigen recognizes free DNA termini (14-16), it was proposed that Ku might be involved in DNA repair or transposition (13). A number of studies have led to the purification of Ku as ^a DNA binding protein involved in transcription. However, these studies have not been definitive (17, 20, 21). More recently, the Ku antigen was purified as ^a subunit of the dsDNA PK (19, 20). These experiments showed that the 350-kDa kinase polypeptide required the heterodimeric Ku subunits for specific interaction with DNA and for catalytic activity (19, 20). The kinase is known to phosphorylate a variety of nuclear proteins, including simian virus ⁴⁰ T antigen, p53, and other DNA binding proteins in vitro (21-23). However, the physiological significance of phosphorylation of these proteins is not known. Interestingly, the sequence specificity for phosphorylation by the dsDNA PK was investigated for p53 and was shown to be ^a serine or threonine residue always flanked by a glutamine residue (23). There are seven of these potential dipeptide phosphorylation sites in the first 140 amino acids of P element transposase. It is conceivable that free DNA ends might act as a signal to trigger phosphorylation of transposase or other target proteins during transposition or at other times in the cell cycle-for instance, after DNA replication. Interestingly, transcription of the genes encoding the mammalian p70 and p86 Ku subunits is induced when cells proliferate after G_0 cell cycle arrest (42).

It has recently been found that x-ray-sensitive mammalian cell lines are defective for both double-strand break repair and immunoglobulin VDJ recombination (24, 25). Interestingly, these mutant cell lines are defective in ^a DNA end binding activity, which was recently demonstrated to be antigenically related to the Ku antigen (26-28). More importantly, reversion of the x-ray sensitivity was accompanied by ^a restoration of the Ku-like DNA binding activity (27, 28). Thus, it appears likely that the Ku antigen is involved either directly or indirectly in double-strand break repair and VDJ recombination.

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Rhonda Doll and Amanda Hannaford to the early stages of this project involving protein purification and anti-peptide antibody generation. We also acknowledge T. Laverty, G. M. Rubin, and the Drosophila genome project for assistance with polytene chromosome in situ hybridization and localization. This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (Grant R01-GM48862-03).

- 1. Berg, D. E. & Howe, M. M., eds. (1989) Mobile DNA (Am. Soc. Microbiol., Washington, DC).
- 2. Mizuuchi, K. (1992) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 61, 1011–1051.
3. Engels. W. R. (1989) in *Mobile DNA*, eds. Berg. D. F. d
- Engels, W. R. (1989) in Mobile DNA, eds. Berg, D. E. & Howe, M. M. (Am. Soc. Microbiol., Washington, DC), pp. 437-484.
- 4. Kaufman, P. D., Doll, R. F. & Rio, D. C. (1989) Cell 59, 359-371.
5. Kaufman, P. D. & Rio, D. C. (1992) Cell 69, 27-69.
- 5. Kaufman, P. D. & Rio, D. C. (1992) Cell 69, 27–69.
6. Engels, W. R., Johnson-Schlitz, D. M., Eggleston, V
- 6. Engels, W. R., Johnson-Schlitz, D. M., Eggleston, W. B. & Sved, J. (1990) Cell 62, 515-525.
- 7. Bainton, R., Gamas, P. & Craig, N. L. (1991) Cell 65, 805-816.
8. Beniamin. H. W. & Kleckner. N. (1989) Cell 59, 373-383.
- 8. Benjamin, H. W. & Kleckner, N. (1989) Cell 59, 373–383.
9. Haniford, D. B., Benjamin, H. W. & Kleckner, N. (1991)
- Haniford, D. B., Benjamin, H. W. & Kleckner, N. (1991) Cell 64, 171-179.
- 10. Banga, S. S., Velazquez, A. & Boyd, J. B. (1991) Mutat. Res. 255, 79-88.
- 11. Rio, D. C. & Rubin, G. M. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 8929-8933.
- 12. Francoeur, A.-M., Peebles, C. L., Gomper, P. T. & Tan, E. M. (1986) J. Immunol. 136, 1648-1653.
- 13. Mimori, T., Hardin, J. A. & Steitz, J. A. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 2274-2278.
- 14. Griffith, A. J., Blier, P. R., T., M. & Hardin, J. A. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267, 331-338.
- 15. Paillard, S. & Strauss, F. (1991) Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 5619-5624.
16. Zhang, W.-W. & Yaneva, M. (1992) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Com-
- Zhang, W.-W. & Yaneva, M. (1992) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 186, 574-579.
- 17. Knuth, M. W., Gunderson, S. I., Thompson, N. E., Strasheim, L. A. & Burgess, R. R. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 17911-17920.
- 18. Stuiver, M. H., Coenjaerts, F. E. J. & van der Vliet, P. C. (1990) J. Exp. Med. 172, 1049-1054.
- 19. Gottlieb, T. M. & Jackson, S. P. (1993) Cell 72, 1-20.
- 20. Dvir, A., Peterson, S. R., Knuth, M. W., Lu, H. & Dynan, W. S.
- (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 11920-11924. 21. Jackson, S. P., MacDonald, J. J., Lees-Miller, S. & Tjian, R. (1990)
- Cell 63, 155-165.
- 22. Lees-Miller, S. P., Chen, Y.-R. & Anderson, C. W. (1990) Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 6472-6481.
- 23. Lees-Miller, S. P., Sakaguchi, K., Ullrich, S. J., Apella, E. & Anderson, C. W. (1992) Mol. Cell. Biol. 12, 5041-5049.
- 24. Taccioli, G., Rathbun, E., Oltz, E., Stamato, T., Jeggo, P. & Alt, F. (1993) Science 260, 207-210.
- 25. Pergola, F., Zdzienick, M. & Lieber, M. (1993) Mol. Cell. Biol. 13, 3464-3471.
- 26. Rathmell, W. K. & Chu, G. (1994) Mol. Cell. Biol. 14, 4741-4748.
- 27. Rathmell, W. & Chu, G. (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 7623-7627.
- 28. Getts, R. C. & Stamato, T. D. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 15981- 15984.
- 29. Boyd, J. B., Golino, M. D., Shaw, K. E. S., Osgood, C. J. & Green, M. M. (1981) Genetics 97, 607-623.
- 30. Boyd, J. B. & Setlow, R. B. (1976) Genetics 84, 507-526.
- 31. Kennedy, T. E., Gawinowicz, M. A., Barzilai, A., Kandel, E. R. & Sweatt, J. D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 7008-7012.
- 32. Fernandez, J., DeMott, M., Atherton, D. & Mische, S. M. (1992) Anal. Biochem. 201, 255-264.
- 33. Harlow, E. & Lane, D., eds. (1989) Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY).
- 34. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), 2nd Ed.
- 35. Brown, N. H. & Kafatos, F. C. (1988) J. Mol. Biol. 203, 425–437.
36. Ashburner, M. (1989) *Drosophila: A Laboratory Manual* (Cold
- Ashburner, M. (1989) Drosophila: A Laboratory Manual (Cold
- Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY). 37. Reeves, W. H. & Sthoeger, Z. M. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 5047-5052.
- 38. Feldmann, H. & Winnacker, E. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 12895- 12900.
- 39. Landschultz, W. H., Johnson, P. F. & McKnight, S. L. (1988) Science 240, 1759-1764.
- 40. Lindsley, D. L. & Zimm, G. G. (1992) The Genome of Drosophila melanogaster (Academic, San Diego).
- 41. Messier, H., Fuller, T., Mangal, S., Brickner, H., Igarashi, S., Gaikwad, J., Fotedar, R. & Foredar, A. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 2685-2689.
- 42. Roberts, M., Han, Y., Fienberg, A., Hunihan, L. & Ruddle, F. (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 6354-6358.