A *Drosophila* protein homologous to the human p70 Ku autoimmune antigen interacts with the P transposable element inverted repeats

(fruit fly/DNA repair/transposition/double-strand break)

EILEEN L. BEALL*, ARIE ADMON^{†‡}, AND DONALD C. RIO^{*§}

*Department of Molecular and Cell Biology and [†]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 401 Barker Hall, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3204

Communicated by Allan C. Spradling, September 13, 1994 (received for review July 29, 1994)

ABSTRACT P transposable elements in Drosophila are mobilized via a cut-and-paste mechanism. This mode of transposition requires repair of both a double-strand break at the donor DNA site and gapped DNA at the target site. Biochemical studies have identified a cellular non-P element-encoded DNA binding protein, termed the inverted repeat binding protein (IRBP), that specifically interacts with the outer half of the 31-bp terminal inverted repeats. Protein sequence information was used to isolate cDNA clones encoding IRBP. Sequence analysis shows that IRBP is related to the 70-kDa subunit of the human Ku autoimmune antigen. The mammalian Ku antigen binds free DNA termini and has been implicated in immunoglobulin VDJ recombination, DNA repair, and transcription. In addition, Ku is the DNA binding subunit of the doublestrand DNA-dependent protein kinase. Cytogenetic mapping indicates that the IRBP gene maps to chromosomal position 86E on the right arm of the third chromosome.

Transposable elements are mobile segments of DNA found in many prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms (for review, see ref. 1). Highly organized nucleoprotein complexes between transposable element-encoded proteins and the transposon termini carry out the catalytic events of transposition: cleavage of the donor transposon DNA and covalent joining of the transposon to the target DNA in a strand transfer reaction involving a single step transesterification reaction (for review, see ref. 2).

Because of its widespread use as genetic tools and as vectors for gene transfer, the P element family of transposable elements in the fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster, is one of the most studied eukaryotic transposons (3). P elements are found in natural populations and can range in size from about 0.5 to 2.9 kb. When these elements transpose, a DNA intermediate is formed in which an 8-bp target site duplication is created upon insertion. The P element termini are required for transposition, which include the 31-bp terminal inverted repeats, the 11-bp internal inverted repeats, and unique DNA sequences encompassing ≈ 150 bp at each end (3). Complete full-length P elements encode an 87-kDa sequence-specific DNA-binding transposase protein that recognizes internal sites at each end (4). Recently, a faithful in vitro P element transposition system was developed which showed that GTP and Mg^{2+} serve as cofactors for the reaction (5). Consistent with these biochemical studies, genetic experiments suggested that P elements transpose via a cut-and-paste mechanism similar to the bacterial transposons Tn10 and Tn7 (6-9).

The model for P element transposition suggests that a double-strand break is left at the donor site after an excision event (5, 6). This double-strand break must be repaired to prevent chromosomal loss. When a homologous chromo-

some is present, this repair is hypothesized to occur by a gene conversion type of mechanism similar to double-strand gap repair (6). This repair process must involve non-P elementencoded factors. Indeed, it is known that many bacterial transposition reactions are often aided by host-encoded proteins. In the case of P elements, genetic studies have shown that a gene called *mus101* (for mutagen sensitive) can affect the recovery of chromosomes that have undergone P element excision (10). In addition, several other mus mutants are known to be involved in DNA excision or postreplication repair. Biochemical assays provide another approach to identify host cell components involved in P element transposition. Using the P element inverted repeats as a probe, a Drosophila DNA binding protein was identified that bound specifically to the outer half of the P element inverted repeats (11). Because the inverted repeats are absolutely required for transposition and are not bound by the P element-encoded transposase, the inverted repeat binding protein (IRBP) is a good candidate for a host factor involved in transposition.

To begin an investigation of the potential role of IRBP in P element transposition, the protein was purified and subjected to microprotein sequence analysis. This sequence information was used to isolate cDNA clones encoding IRBP.[¶] The protein bears significant primary sequence homology to the 70-kDa subunit of the human Ku autoimmune antigen. This mammalian heterodimeric DNA binding protein complex was identified by using human patient sera from autoimmune syndrome disease patients (12-14). The human Ku antigen binds both at DNA termini and at internal sites in vitro (14-16) and has been implicated in DNA repair, transposition, transcription, and DNA replication (13, 17, 18). Recently, the human Ku antigen was shown to be a subunit of the double-strand DNA-dependent protein kinase (dsDNA PK) (19, 20), which can phosphorylate a number of nuclear proteins in vitro (21-23). However, the physiological substrate(s) of the dsDNA PK is still unknown. Furthermore, recent studies with mutant x-ray-sensitive mammalian cells that are defective for double-strand break repair and immunoglobulin VDJ (variable-diversity-joining) recombination (24, 25) have shown that the Ku antigen is either absent or defective in these mutant cell lines. Reversion to x-ray resistance restores Ku immunoreactivity and terminal DNA binding activity (26–28). Our analysis suggests that the IRBP cDNA encodes a DNA binding protein that recognizes the P element inverted repeats. Cytogenetic mapping localized the IRBP gene to region 86E2-3 on the third chromosome. Interestingly, this region contains a mutation, mus309, that is

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: IRBP, inverted repeat binding protein; dsDNA PK, double-strand DNA-dependent protein kinase; V, variable; D, diversity; J, joining.

[‡]Present address: Department of Biology, Technion Institute, Haifa, 32000, Israel.

[§]To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

The sequence reported in this paper has been deposited in the GenBank data base (accession no. U15004).

CCC CCC CCC GAA TCG ATA ACA ACA GCG ATA AGC GCG CCC GCC TTC TTG TGA AAT TAG CGG AAA GTC AGA TTT TTA GCA ATC TAA ATA ATS AGC ACC TGG AAT CCG GAG AAC GAT GTG GAC CTG CTG TCT GGG TCC GAG GAC GAG GAG GAT GTG TCC ATG AAG CGG GAC TAC CAT GGG CGC GAG TIC GCC ANC ACC ANG CAC AGT ago ago ago AAC GAC GAC CTC ATC GGA CTC ATC CIE EVV ECC VEL GCA TTG GAC 0.9 ACC ANA CCC ATT GTG GAG CAC TAT CTG GAA TIC ATG GCC GGG GTG GAG GAT AAC TGC GCA TTG CCC CTT CGC CAA CCC GAT GET CEC GEC AGE TIT GAC CIT ATG ATC CEG CIC TEC ATC GAG ATG CIG GAA ANG TEC GEC ANG ANG AAG GCC AGC GAT GAG GGC AAG GAA CTG GAC GCC דוַב כאָה הוָה כגָא האָד הכָב כאָה אוָם כידה כפָר האָא אוָר כידה דכָר האָד כפָד אאָה דוָה אָה כאָה האָד כיד כפָר כפָא דפָר כידה הפָר כאָר דוָר אָד ετα αφε εξέ λης ετα τζε λμα τζε ατα εξα της της λητ της τμτ εχα έφα εφε ατα τητ εξα εφε ληλ από ετα τζε εφε λα εῦς σặτ Λặτ ἦδς σặν σῦς Αἦτ Αặc σῆς σặλ ΑἦΑ σặΑ Αἦς σặτ σặc τặc σặc σặτ Αặc σặc σặc σặc đặt cặc Aặt Aặc σặc Aặc σặt tạc ÂCT TỆC NÁC GỮA GỆC GẠN NGÃ GẠT CTƠ CỆC ẤT NỆC NTƠ GẮT CẠO TTƠ NÁC NGÃ GTƠ CỆC ẨNT CTƠ CÁC NẮC CỘA NTỔ NTƠ CTO CTƠ GEC TTC ANG σἦτ σၝΑ τζε τζΤ σΤτ σζε ĠŁΑ ဵΤΤ Αξε τϟε ΑΤε ΑΛε σĖΑ ΑΓΑ ΤΤΕ ΑΤΕ ΤϟΕ ΤϟΕ ΤϟΕ ΤΑΤ ΑΛΕ Αξε ΑΤΕ ΑΤΕ ΔΑ ΤΕ ΑΛΕ ΤΕ ΤΤ GAA CGA TGC TTG GTG CGC GAC AAG ATT GCC ATT TGC CTG TTC ATG TGC AAG CGC AAG TCG ATA CCT CGC TAT GTG GCA CTT GTG CCA GTA GAG TAT CGC TỘT CỊC CỊC TỘC GỆT GẠC GỆĂ TỊC NẶC NȚI GỊC TẠC TỊC CỔC GẠC GỰC NẶC CÁC NỊC CỆC CÁC CỊN ečc cčv GAT AAG AAC GAT AAT GGG BAC THE CHE BAC THE AND AND ALE BAA AND ACT BAT BAC BAA CHE ANA BUC BAE TIT TIC CAN AND ATC AND AND CHE CHE CHE TA BAC TAT CAB CHE eve vêl lê eve eve vê eve vê cêc vir evv vê cie cie eve têl eve vie ev vie tê eve ev ev eve cêl vê vê cêv eve e VẾC VỀA ĐẾA ĐẾA ĐẾA ĐẾA ĐẾA TẾC VÀN VỀ ĐẮC VỀA TẾ ĐƯ ĐA ĐẠT CẤN CÍC VỀA ĐẾA TỆC ĐẦN VỀA VỀA VỆC CIỆ VỤC VỀA ĐẠT GAG GCT CTG אכֿפ אפֿע דפֿר אלפ פֿלר פֿלר רָשָ אוני געני געָג אוֹג געני גער גער גער אָד פֿאָג איז גער אוני איני איני איני פע CTA CAT TAA TTA AAA TAT TCC ATA CTT TGA GTG ATA AAT CTG TAC CAA TCT AGC TTG TAT TAA CCA TTG GTA AAC TTA GGA TTA GAA AAT GAG GAA ΆΑΤ GTT TTG TTG TCC GAA TTĞ TTA ATT ANA TAC ATA CCA ΑČΑ CAT ΑΑΤ ΤΑČ TTG TAA AAT ĂΑΑ ΑΑΑ ΑΛΑ ΑΛΑ ΑΛΑ

FIG. 1. DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of IRBP. The sequence of one full-length cDNA is shown as well as the deduced amino acid coding sequence of 631 amino acids. Peptides derived from trypsin and V-8 protease digestion followed by amino acid sequence analysis are underlined. The three peptides used to make anti-peptide antibodies are shown in italics.

involved in DNA repair (29, 30). Finally, the divergence between IRBP and human Ku 70 may explain why P elements have not been found to transpose in mammalian cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein Purification, Microsequencing, and Peptide Antibody Production. The IRBP was purified by heparin agarose and several cycles of DNA affinity chromatography as described (11). These fractions were concentrated and subjected to SDS/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Electroblotting, protease treatment, and HPLC were as described (31, 32). Several of these peptide peaks were sequenced on a model 477A sequenator (Applied Biosystems). Three sequences were chosen (IRBP1, VIPMDVAFIYC; IRBP2, AIELDAFQVC; IRBP3, SIVHPSYNC) for peptide antibody generation. Polyclonal antiserum was raised in New Zealand White female rabbits by injection of 100–200 μ g of KLH–peptide conjugate. Polyclonal mouse anti-IRBP antibodies were prepared by nitrocellulose implant of immunoblotted protein (33). Antibody was affinity-purified (33).

cDNA Library Screening, Cloning, DNA Sequencing, and RNA. A random-primed cDNA library in bacteriophage $\lambda gt11$ was made from *Drosophila* Kc cell poly(A)⁺ mRNA as described (34). This library was screened with the IRBP2 anti-peptide antibodies and positives were rescreened with

polyclonal mouse anti-IRBP or rabbit anti-IRBP1 peptide antibodies (34). One insert was sequenced ($K_c1.1$) and then used in DNA hybridization screening of a *Drosophila* 4- to 8-hr embryonic plasmid cDNA library (35). One new cDNA (pNB409-1) was subjected to DNA sequence analysis. RNA blot hybridization was carried out by standard methods at 65°C with single-stranded antisense RNA probes (34).

In Situ Hybridization to Polytene Chromosomes. Biotinylated DNA probes were prepared by nick-translation (34) and hybridized to polytene chromosomes as described (36).

UV Crosslinking and Immunoprecipitation. Antibodies were prepared against IRBP expressed in *Escherichia coli* as described (33). UV photochemical crosslinking was carried out as described (11) with ³²P-labeled, BrdUrd-substituted DNA probes prepared as described (11).

RESULTS

Isolation, Sequence Analysis, and Comparison of IRBP cDNA Clones. IRBP was identified as a DNA-binding polypeptide of 65-70 kDa and can be purified to near homogeneity by a combination of heparin-agarose and DNA affinity chromatography (11). Purified IRBP was subjected to gel electrophoresis, electroblotting, enzymatic digestion, HPLC separation of peptide fragments, and amino acid sequencing. Three peptide sequences were used to generate synthetic peptides and polyclonal antisera as well as electroblotted IRBP protein purified from K_c cells (11). These antibodies were affinity-purified and shown to specifically crossreact with IRBP in partially purified IRBP preparations on protein immunoblots (data not shown). The IRBP anti-peptide antibodies were then used to screen a random-primed Drosophila cDNA library made from K_c tissue culture cells. Positive clones were retested with IRBP1 anti-peptide and anti-IRBP antibodies. One of the largest inserts was subcloned into a plasmid vector and sequenced. This cDNA fragment was used as a probe to isolate full-length clones from a 4- to 8-hr embryonic cDNA plasmid library (35). Restriction endonuclease mapping as well as in vitro transcription and translation of isolated clones [using the SP6 promoter in the library plasmid vector pNB40 (35)] were used to identify full-length clones (data not shown) and one of these was sequenced in its entirety (Fig. 1). This open reading frame encodes a predicted protein of 631 amino acids and M_r 72,353. The original two peptide sequences as well as numerous additional peptides from subsequent peptide sequencing experiments were all identified in this sequence (Fig. 1).

Sequence data base searches revealed significant matches with the 70-kDa subunit of the human Ku autoimmune antigen (Fig. 2). The best region of homology is between amino acids 354 and 459 of the IRBP sequence and amino acids 342 and 443 of the human Ku p70 protein (37) (Fig. 2). However, by inclusion of gaps in the alignment it is clear that there are other regions of identity throughout the entirety of the two sequences (27% identity and 34% similarity with conservative amino acid substitutions) (Fig. 2). There is also significant identity with a recently isolated yeast Ku p70 homolog (Fig. 2; ref. 38). The human 70-kDa Ku protein has a leucine zipper motif located between amino acids 187 and 248 (37, 39) that may be involved in heterodimeric DNA binding complex formation with the p86 Ku subunit. Although this region is similar, it is not absolutely conserved in the Drosophila IRBP cDNA sequence (Figs. 1 and 2). Recently, we have found that IRBP is associated with another protein of 80 to 86 kDa. This protein may correspond to the larger Ku p86 subunit that was presumably fractionated away during the IRBP purification (11). It is possible that association of IRBP with a p86 subunit as a heterodimer might alter its DNA recognition properties. In addition, the purified IRBP appears to be proteolyzed, missing ≈ 6 kDa of terminal

peptide sequence relative to the cloned IRBP cDNA (E.L.B. and D.C.R., unpublished observations). These findings may explain the instability of IRBP DNA binding activity in chromatography experiments and the clearly preferred binding of IRBP to the P element 31-bp inverted repeats located at internal sites in DNA binding experiments (11) (E.L.B. and D.C.R., unpublished observations). However, it should be noted that mammalian Ku antigen binds both terminal and internal DNA sites, but the Ku and IRBP DNA binding activities cannot currently be compared (13–18).

Expression of the IRBP Gene. The expression profile of the gene encoding IRBP was examined by RNA blot hybridization with poly(A)⁺ mRNA from *Drosophila* K_c tissue culture cells and tissues from a variety of *Drosophila* developmental stages. Using antisense RNA derived from the IRBP cDNA as a probe, a single 2.4-kb RNA species was identified in all RNA samples (Fig. 3). This size of mRNA is consistent with the cDNA sequence analysis (Fig. 1). The 2.4-kb IRBP mRNA was expressed at a low level throughout development but was particularly abundant in ovaries, 0- to 2-h embryos, and adult females (Fig. 3). In addition, a low level of the 2.4-kb mRNA was observed in adult males (data not shown). This expression profile is consistent with the transcript being made at the highest levels in the female germ line during oogenesis.

Cytogenetic Mapping of the IRBP Gene. The use of polytene chromosome *in situ* hybridization in *Drosophila* allows a direct link to be made between a cloned DNA segment and the genetic map of the organism. Often this information is useful to aid in gene identification and physical mapping and to identify potential candidate genes corresponding to a particular cDNA. Using a biotin-labeled IRBP cDNA as a probe, a single hybridization signal was detected at cytological position 86E2-3 on the right arm of the third chromosome

HP70	MSGWESYYKT	EGDEEAEEQ	EENLEASGDY	KYSGRDSLIF	LVDASKAMFE	50
DP70	MSTWNP.	ENDVDLLSGS	Edeedvsmkr	Dyhgreailf	VVDANLQ	43
YP70	MRPV	TNAFGNSGEL	NDQVDEkgyr	KFDIHegilf	CIELSETMFK	44
HP70	SQSEDEL. P	FDMSIGCIQS	VYISKIISSD	RDLLAVVFYG	TEKDKNSVN.	98
DP70	TAGV.ER	LLEALNIIRT	Afisglivnd	KDLIGLIFAN	TKHSPPPLEA	89
YP70	ESSDLDVKSP	LLEILESLDE	Lmsqlvitrp	GTAIGCYFYY	CNREDAKEGI	94
HP70 DP70 YP70	SALDNIVMPD	NCAVFLPLRQ	LDNPGAKRIL LTKPIVEHYL INATFMKKLN	ELDQFKGQQG EFMGGVETQF DLLEDLSSGR	QKRFQDMM ADVY.GLA ISLYDYFMFQ	135 136 132
HP70 DP70 YP70	EPEGRGRF QTGSEKQVRL	SEVEWVCANL DLMLRLCIEM SVLFTFMLDT	FSDVQFK .LEKCGKK FLEEIPGQKQ	MSHKRIMLFT LNNAKIAYVT LSNKREFLFT	NEDNPHGNDS DVREPHPSNS DIDKPQEAGD	180 181 182
HP70	AKASRARTKA	G.DLRDTGUF	LD MH KKPG	GFDISLFYRD	IISIAE	225
DP70	NHFQAALQKA	S.DLEGKEFE	FHVIPMVD	DFDYEPFYKE	FITLSR	224
YP70	IDERARLRRL	TIDLFDNKVN	FATFF GYAD	KPFDNEFYSD	ILQLGSHTNE	232
HP70	DEDLR.VHFE	SSKLED	LRKVRAKET.	RKRALSRLKL	KLNKDIVISV	271
DP70	AIELDAFQVP	DAQMLREI	LSDRKLKQDF	LRRCLGHFSF	Ylgpn smsv	272
YP70	NTGLDSEFDG	PSTKPIDAKY	IKSRILRKKE	VKRIMFQCPL	Ildektnfiv	282
HP70 DP70 YP70	GIYNLVQKAL Qyynyfqra Gvkgy	KPPPIKIYRE YPRKVQILRR TMYTH	TNEPVKTK DNSVVRTKRV EKAGVRYKLV	ITVQKQKDDG Yehedirqea	TRTFNT SQDIEHEYQI YSKRKFLN	305 322 320
HP70	STGGLLLPSD	TKRSQIYGSR	QIILEKEETE	EKRFDD	PGLMLMGFKP	352
DP70	Kvtggwytcn	VGR	DLRISMDQLN	RVRNLHK	PQMMLLGFKH	363
YP70	Pltgedvtgk	TVKVYPYGDL	DINLSDSQDQ	IVMEAYTQKD	AFLKIIGFRS	370
HP70	LV.LLKKHHY	LRPSLFVYPE	ESLVIGSSTL	FSALLIKCLE	KEVAALCRYT	401
DP70	RS.SLPEVSY	IKPANFMYPD	DQSIIGSKRL	FRALWERCLV	Rdkiaiclfm	412
YP70	SSKSIHYFNN	Idkssfivpd	EAKYEGSIRT	LASLLKILRK	Kdkiailwgk	420
HP70	PRRNIPPYFV	ALVPQEEELD	DQ	TPPGFQLVFL	PFADDKRKMP	447
DP70	CKRKSIPRYV	ALVPVEAPDN		CGDGFKJVYL	PEAKHIRHLD	462
YP70	LKSNSHPSLY	TLSPSSVKDY		GFYLYRL	PFLDEIRKFP	459
HP70	FTEKIM	ATPEQVGKMK	AIVE	KLRFTY <u>RS</u> DS	FENPVLQQHF	487
DP70	LQDWNNTENT	AdeqKVEFFQ	KIIK	Klrvdyqpnl	INDPSLDALQ	506
YP70	.SLLSYDDGS	EHKLDYDNMK	KVTQSIMGYF	Nlrdgynpsd	FKNPLLQKHY	508
HP70	RNLEALALDL	MEPEQAVDLT	LPKVEAMNKR	LGSLVDEF	KELVYPPDYN	535
DP70	ANLLALSLDF	STDTKGLD.N	LLDTSQQDKR	IEKL PDY	.E.MFAPEAE	551
YP70	KVLHDYLLQI	ETTFDENETP	NTKKDRMMRE	DDSLRKLYYI	RNKILESEKS	558
HP70	PEGKVTKRKH	DNEGSGSKRP	KVEYSE	EELKTHISKG	TLGKFTVPML	581
DP70	PPKKRAAKST	TAGASGPKMA	KIDDDQLKEF	EFVKSLNKDE	ALTSCTAAQL	601
YP70	EDPTIQRLNK	YVKIWNMFYK	KFNDDNISIK	EEKKPFDKKP	KFNI	602
HP70 DP70	KEACRAY HFILQHHFDV	GLKSGLKKQE TMPKSSKKAK	ELEA TKHFQ	D		609 631

FIG. 2. Amino acid sequence comparison of IRBP (DP70) and the human Ku p70 (HP70) (37) and the yeast (YP70) (38) proteins. Identical amino acids are indicated in white with black background, conservative amino acid changes (D/E, R/K, L/I/V, G/A, Q/N, S/T) are indicated in white with a stippled gray background, and nonidentical amino acids are indicated in black letters. Gaps are indicated by black dots and amino acid numbers are indicated on the right.

(3R) (Fig. 4). Examination of the known genes that lie in this interval (40) indicated that one gene, *mus309*, lies in the cytogenetic interval 86E2–87B5. *mus309* mutants are members of a class of mutants in *Drosophila* that are hypersensitive to mutagenic chemicals. Interestingly, the *mus309* mutants also exhibit sterility in females or both sexes depending on the mutant allele (29, 40). This observation is provocative because IRBP mRNA levels were found to be elevated in the female germ line (Fig. 3).

Immunoprecipitation of IRBP-DNA Adducts. IRBP was identified by UV-induced DNA-protein crosslinking and DNase I footprinting (11). In highly purified IRBP preparations, a single polypeptide of ≈ 65 kDa was crosslinked to the P element inverted repeat DNA (11). Therefore, we prepared antibody to recombinant IRBP protein expressed from the IRBP cDNA in E. coli. We used this affinity-purified antibody to immunoprecipitate the ³²P-labeled DNA-protein adduct from highly purified IRBP preparations. This antibody crossreacted with both crude and purified Drosophila IRBP on protein immunoblots (Fig. 5A). Indeed, this antibody was capable of retrieving the IRBP protein-DNA complex (Fig. 5B, lane 1), whereas with control antibody or no antibody, no immunoprecipitation was observed (Fig. 5B. lanes 2 and 3). Note that the protein-DNA adduct has slower electrophoretic mobility than the free protein due to the covalently attached ³²P-labeled DNA (11). Therefore, the cDNA we isolated corresponds to the 65-kDa IRBP polypeptide originally purified from Drosophila K_c cells.

The mammalian Ku p70 subunit is often associated with a second Ku p86 subunit as a heterodimer (13, 37). However, we purified IRBP as a single polypeptide from *Drosophila* K_c cells that is homologous to the mammalian Ku p70 (11). Previous studies have shown that mammalian p70 is capable of binding DNA in the absence of p86 (41), suggesting that there may be a free pool of the 70-kDa subunit in cells and that association with p86 might modulate DNA binding by p70. Expression studies and isolation of the *Drosophila* p86 gene are needed to clarify whether IRBP p70 alone or a p70-p86 heterodimer allows specific binding to P element DNA sequences as observed with endogenous purified IRBP protein (11). It is possible that an IRBP p70-p86 heterodimer might have altered DNA recognition properties.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown that P element transposase binds to internal sites on P element DNA but does not



FIG. 3. (Upper) Developmental profile of Drosophila IRBP transcripts. RNA blot hybridization was carried out with $poly(A)^+$ RNA from the indicated developmental stage. (Lower) Same filter probed with a Drosophila 5C actin gene probe used as a control. Lane M, size markers (kb).



FIG. 4. Cytogenetic mapping of *Drosophila* IRBP gene. Chromosome *in situ* hybridization was carried out with the biotinylated IRBP cDNA and detected with avidin-alkaline phosphatase (36). The cytogenetic region of chromosome 3R 86-87 is shown and the signal at 86E2-3 is indicated by an open arrow.

recognize the terminal 31-bp inverted repeats (4). A non-P element-encoded protein was identified by DNase I protection analysis that recognized the outer half of the inverted repeats and was termed the IRBP (11). Here, we have isolated the gene encoding IRBP and determined its deduced protein sequence. Interestingly, *Drosophila* IRBP shares significant sequence homology to the 70-kDa subunit of the mammalian Ku autoimmune antigen. Chromosome *in situ* hybridization analysis mapped the IRBP gene to cytological position 86E2-3. This genomic region contains a gene called *mus309* that is a member of a class of genes that are hypersensitive to mutagenic chemicals (29, 30). Many of these genes have been shown to be involved in DNA repair.

Is IRBP Involved in P Element Transposition? Several observations suggest that IRBP may be involved in P element transposition. First, *in vitro* DNA binding studies demonstrated that IRBP interacts with the P element 31-bp inverted repeats adjacent to the transposition cleavage site. This is significant because the inverted repeats are absolutely required for P element transposition *in vivo* (3) and transposase does not interact with these sequences *in vitro* (4). Second,



FIG. 5. Immunoprecipitation of IRBP–DNA complexes. (A) Protein immunoblot analysis of IRBP in crude heparin agarose (lane 1) and purified DNA affinity (lane 2) fractions (11) using affinitypurified antibodies to recombinant IRBP expressed in *E. coli.* (B) Antibodies raised to recombinant IRBP were used to immunoprecipitate radiolabeled IRBP–DNA complexes produced by UV photochemical crosslinking with ³²P-labeled BrdUrd-substituted DNA (11). the IRBP protein sequence suggests that it is related to the human Ku autoimmune antigen. This heterodimeric DNA binding complex binds to both DNA termini and internal sites (14-16) and is known to be involved in DNA double-strand break repair and immunoglobulin VDJ recombination in mammalian cells (26-28). Third, the IRBP gene was cytogenetically mapped to interval 86E2-3 on the right arm of the third chromosome. This region contains a gene called mus309 that may be involved in DNA repair and P element transposition.

The mutagen-sensitive (mus) mutants in Drosophila were isolated in the early 1970s (29, 30). One member of this class of mutants, mus101, has been demonstrated genetically to effect P element transposition (10). These experiments showed that there was failure to recover P element carrying chromosomes in a mus101 mutant background after transposase-induced P element mobilization (10). This observation suggests that mus101 is involved in repair of the doublestrand break induced at the donor chromosomal site after P element mobilization (5, 6). It is likely that other mus genes will be involved in P element transposition and that mus309 is a possible candidate.

The Mammalian Ku Antigen Is Involved in DNA Repair. Based on the biochemical observation that the purified mammalian Ku antigen recognizes free DNA termini (14-16), it was proposed that Ku might be involved in DNA repair or transposition (13). A number of studies have led to the purification of Ku as a DNA binding protein involved in transcription. However, these studies have not been definitive (17, 20, 21). More recently, the Ku antigen was purified as a subunit of the dsDNA PK (19, 20). These experiments showed that the 350-kDa kinase polypeptide required the heterodimeric Ku subunits for specific interaction with DNA and for catalytic activity (19, 20). The kinase is known to phosphorylate a variety of nuclear proteins, including simian virus 40 T antigen, p53, and other DNA binding proteins in vitro (21-23). However, the physiological significance of phosphorylation of these proteins is not known. Interestingly, the sequence specificity for phosphorylation by the dsDNA PK was investigated for p53 and was shown to be a serine or threonine residue always flanked by a glutamine residue (23). There are seven of these potential dipeptide phosphorylation sites in the first 140 amino acids of P element transposase. It is conceivable that free DNA ends might act as a signal to trigger phosphorylation of transposase or other target proteins during transposition or at other times in the cell cycle-for instance, after DNA replication. Interestingly, transcription of the genes encoding the mammalian p70 and p86 Ku subunits is induced when cells proliferate after G_0 cell cycle arrest (42).

It has recently been found that x-ray-sensitive mammalian cell lines are defective for both double-strand break repair and immunoglobulin VDJ recombination (24, 25). Interestingly, these mutant cell lines are defective in a DNA end binding activity, which was recently demonstrated to be antigenically related to the Ku antigen (26-28). More importantly, reversion of the x-ray sensitivity was accompanied by a restoration of the Ku-like DNA binding activity (27, 28). Thus, it appears likely that the Ku antigen is involved either directly or indirectly in double-strand break repair and VDJ recombination.

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Rhonda Doll and Amanda Hannaford to the early stages of this project involving protein purification and anti-peptide antibody generation. We also acknowledge T. Laverty, G. M. Rubin, and the Drosophila genome project for assistance with polytene chromosome in situ hybridization and localization. This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (Grant R01-GM48862-03).

- Berg, D. E. & Howe, M. M., eds. (1989) Mobile DNA (Am. Soc. 1. Microbiol., Washington, DC).
- Mizuuchi, K. (1992) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 61, 1011-1051.
- Engels, W. R. (1989) in Mobile DNA, eds. Berg, D. E. & Howe, 3. M. M. (Am. Soc. Microbiol., Washington, DC), pp. 437-484.
- Kaufman, P. D., Doll, R. F. & Rio, D. C. (1989) Cell 59, 359-371. 4
- Kaufman, P. D. & Rio, D. C. (1992) Cell 69, 27-69. 5.
- Engels, W. R., Johnson-Schlitz, D. M., Eggleston, W. B. & Sved, 6. J. (1990) Cell 62, 515-525.
- 7. Bainton, R., Gamas, P. & Craig, N. L. (1991) Cell 65, 805-816.
- Benjamin, H. W. & Kleckner, N. (1989) Cell 59, 373-383. 8.
- Haniford, D. B., Benjamin, H. W. & Kleckner, N. (1991) Cell 64, 9. 171-179.
- 10. Banga, S. S., Velazquez, A. & Boyd, J. B. (1991) Mutat. Res. 255, 79-88.
- 11. Rio, D. C. & Rubin, G. M. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 8929-8933
- 12. Francoeur, A.-M., Peebles, C. L., Gomper, P. T. & Tan, E. M. (1986) J. Immunol. 136, 1648-1653.
- 13. Mimori, T., Hardin, J. A. & Steitz, J. A. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 2274-2278.
- Griffith, A. J., Blier, P. R., T., M. & Hardin, J. A. (1992) J. Biol. 14. Chem. 267, 331-338.
- Paillard, S. & Strauss, F. (1991) Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 5619-5624. 15.
- 16. Zhang, W.-W. & Yaneva, M. (1992) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 186, 574-579.
- 17. Knuth, M. W., Gunderson, S. I., Thompson, N. E., Strasheim, L. A. & Burgess, R. R. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 17911-17920.
- Stuiver, M. H., Coenjaerts, F. E. J. & van der Vliet, P. C. (1990) J. 18. Exp. Med. 172, 1049-1054.
- 19. Gottlieb, T. M. & Jackson, S. P. (1993) Cell 72, 1-20.
- 20.
- Dvir, A., Peterson, S. R., Knuth, M. W., Lu, H. & Dynan, W. S. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 11920-11924. 21. Jackson, S. P., MacDonald, J. J., Lees-Miller, S. & Tjian, R. (1990)
- Cell 63, 155-165.
- 22. Lees-Miller, S. P., Chen, Y.-R. & Anderson, C. W. (1990) Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 6472-6481.
- Lees-Miller, S. P., Sakaguchi, K., Ullrich, S. J., Apella, E. & 23. Anderson, C. W. (1992) Mol. Cell. Biol. 12, 5041-5049.
- Taccioli, G., Rathbun, E., Oltz, E., Stamato, T., Jeggo, P. & Alt, 24. F. (1993) Science 260, 207-210.
- 25. Pergola, F., Zdzienick, M. & Lieber, M. (1993) Mol. Cell. Biol. 13, 3464-3471.
- Rathmell, W. K. & Chu, G. (1994) Mol. Cell. Biol. 14, 4741-4748. 26
- Rathmell, W. & Chu, G. (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 27. 7623-7627
- 28. Getts, R. C. & Stamato, T. D. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 15981-15984.
- 29. Boyd, J. B., Golino, M. D., Shaw, K. E. S., Osgood, C. J. & Green, M. M. (1981) Genetics 97, 607-623.
- Boyd, J. B. & Setlow, R. B. (1976) Genetics 84, 507-526. 30
- Kennedy, T. E., Gawinowicz, M. A., Barzilai, A., Kandel, E. R. & 31. Sweatt, J. D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 7008-7012.
- 32. Fernandez, J., DeMott, M., Atherton, D. & Mische, S. M. (1992) Anal. Biochem. 201, 255-264.
- 33. Harlow, E. & Lane, D., eds. (1989) Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY).
- 34. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), 2nd Ed.
- Brown, N. H. & Kafatos, F. C. (1988) J. Mol. Biol. 203, 425-437. 35.
- Ashburner, M. (1989) Drosophila: A Laboratory Manual (Cold 36. Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY)
- 37. Reeves, W. H. & Sthoeger, Z. M. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 5047-5052
- 38. Feldmann, H. & Winnacker, E. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 12895-12900.
- 39. Landschultz, W. H., Johnson, P. F. & McKnight, S. L. (1988) Science 240, 1759-1764.
- Lindsley, D. L. & Zimm, G. G. (1992) The Genome of Drosophila 40. melanogaster (Academic, San Diego).
- Messier, H., Fuller, T., Mangal, S., Brickner, H., Igarashi, S., 41. Gaikwad, J., Fotedar, R. & Foredar, A. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 2685-2689.
- 42. Roberts, M., Han, Y., Fienberg, A., Hunihan, L. & Ruddle, F. (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 6354-6358.