

Research Article

Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D, Plasma Klotho, and Lower-Extremity Physical Performance Among Older Adults: Findings From the InCHIANTI Study

Michelle Shardell,¹ Richard D. Semba,² Rita R. Kalyani,³ Gregory E. Hicks,⁴ Stefania Bandinelli,⁵ and Luigi Ferrucci¹

¹National Institute on Aging, Translational Gerontology Branch, Baltimore, Maryland. ²Wilmer Eye Institute and ³Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, Maryland. ⁴Department of Physical Therapy, University of Delaware, Newark. ⁵Geriatric Rehabilitation Unit, Azienda Sanitaria Firenze, Florence, Italy.

Address correspondence to Michelle Shardell, PhD, National Institute on Aging, 3001 S. Hanover Street, Baltimore, MD 21225. Email: michelle.shardell@nih.gov

Received October 20 2014; Accepted January 29 2015.

Decision Editor: Dr. Stephen Kritchevsky

Abstract

Background. The hormone klotho is encoded by aging-suppressor gene *klotho* and has multiple roles, including regulating mineral (calcium and phosphate) homeostasis. Vitamin D also regulates mineral homeostasis and upregulates klotho expression. Klotho positively relates to longevity, upper-extremity strength, and reduced disability in older adults; however, it is unknown whether circulating klotho relates to lower-extremity physical performance or whether the relation of vitamin D with physical performance is mediated by klotho.

Methods. Klotho and 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] were measured in 860 participants aged \geq 55 years in Invecchiare in Chianti, "Aging in Chianti" (InCHIANTI), a prospective cohort study comprising Italian adults. Lower-extremity physical performance was measured using the Short Physical Performance Battery, a summary score of balance, chair stand ability, and walking speed. Weighted estimating equations related plasma klotho and serum 25(OH)D concentrations measured at one visit to Short Physical Performance Battery measured longitudinally at multiple visits.

Results. Each additional natural log of klotho (pg/mL) was associated with 0.47 higher average Short Physical Performance Battery scores (95% confidence interval: 0.08 to 0.86, *p* value = .02) after adjustment for covariates, including 25(OH)D. Each natural log of 25(OH)D (ng/mL) was associated with 0.61 higher average Short Physical Performance Battery scores (95% confidence interval: 0.35 to 0.88, *p* value < .001) after adjustment for covariates, a result that changed little after adjustment for klotho.

Conclusions. Plasma klotho and 25(OH)D both positively related to lower-extremity physical performance. However, the findings did not support the hypothesis that klotho mediates the relation of 25(OH)D with physical performance.

Key Words: Biomarkers—Epidemiology—Physical function—Metabolism—Physical performance.

Poor lower-extremity physical performance is associated with mortality, morbidity, and poor quality of life in older adults (1,2). Beyond exercise and physical activity interventions, there are limited options for preventing physical performance decline in older adults. Therefore, identifying factors associated with poor performance that may be modifiable targets for interventions is central to gerontology research. Klotho, a recently discovered hormone with antiaging properties in animal models (3), may play a role in physical performance. The aging-suppressor gene *klotho* encodes klotho, a single-pass transmembrane protein that is predominantly expressed in the distal tubule cells of the kidney, parathyroid glands, and choroid plexus of the brain. Klotho-deficient mice exhibit accelerated aging, including low bone mineral density, sarcopenia, and shortened life span; whereas mice that overexpress klotho exhibit enhanced longevity and health span (3–5).

Two homologous, yet functionally distinct, forms of klotho exist: membrane and secreted. These forms share about 41% amino acid identity, but are expressed in different tissues (6). Membrane klotho, known as β -klotho, is coreceptor for fibroblast growth factor 23, a hormone produced by bone cells that regulates phosphate homeostasis (7). Secreted klotho, known as α -klotho, is involved in calcium homeostasis in the kidney and inhibition of intracellular insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1 signaling (5). Klotho has been shown to positively relate to longevity (8), upper-extremity strength (9), and negatively relate to activities of daily living disability (10) and morbidity (11) in older community-dwelling adults; however, it is unknown whether klotho relates to lower-extremity physical performance in this population.

The discovery of klotho has altered the traditional conceptual framework of mineral (calcium and phosphate) homeostasis, which was based on the pathway involving circulating vitamin D and parathyroid hormone (PTH) (12,13). Recent research suggests that the vitamin D/PTH pathway regulates the klotho/fibroblast growth factor 23 pathway, and vice versa. Specifically, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25(OH)D₂], the metabolically active form of vitamin D, upregulates expression of klotho (14), PTH may indirectly upregulate klotho by upregulating 1,25(OH)D₂ (12), and klotho may indirectly downregulate PTH as a fibroblast growth factor 23 coreceptor, although the latter mechanism is more controversial (15).

Multiple reports have shown that circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D], the gold standard for vitamin D body stores and substrate for $1,25(OH)D_2$, positively relates to physical performance in older adults (16–20). However, it is unknown whether the relation of 25(OH)D with lower-extremity physical performance can be explained (ie, is mediated) by its putative effect on klotho.

We hypothesize that, independent of confounders, (i) plasma klotho concentrations positively relate to lower-extremity physical performance in older adults and (ii) the relation of serum 25(OH) D with lower-extremity physical performance is mediated in part by klotho. We test these hypotheses in a large prospective study of older community-dwelling adults.

Methods

Participants and Data Collection

Participants included men and women enrolled in the Invecchiare in Chianti, "Aging in Chianti" (InCHIANTI) Study aged ≥55 years at the time of their scheduled blood draw for klotho measurement. The design and conduct of InCHIANTI has been described elsewhere (21). Briefly, adults were randomly selected in 1998 from population registries of two Italian towns (Greve in Chianti and Bagno a Ripoli); 1,453 adults were enrolled during 1998–2000. Participants received an extensive

description of the study and participated after providing written informed consent. The Italian National Institute of Research and Care on Aging Ethical Committee approved the study protocol. The Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board approved this study.

Among enrollees, 1,167 participants returned for a 3-year visit from 2001 to 2003, among whom 985 were aged \geq 55 years. Among participants who would have been aged \geq 55 years at the scheduled 3-year visit, 143 died before the visit. Of the 985 returning participants, 860 underwent a blood draw for analysis of klotho and were included in our study. Plasma klotho was measured at the 3-year visit owing to greater availability of stored plasma as compared with the enrollment visit. Of 860 participants aged \geq 55 years with measured klotho, 734 returned for a 6-year visit (2004–2006), and 615 returned for a 9-year visit (2007–2009); 99 died before the 6-year visit, another 98 died before the 9-year visit, and the remainder were alive but missed follow-up visits.

Study visits consisted of trained interviewers administering inhome surveys, and physicians and physical therapists performing and administering medical examinations and physical function tests, respectively, in the study clinic.

Measures

Lower-extremity physical performance

We included lower-extremity physical performance assessed at 3-, 6-, and 9-year visits. We operationalized lower-extremity physical performance using the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) derived from lower-extremity performance tests used in the Established Populations for the Epidemiologic Studies of the Elderly (22). SPPB comprised assessments of balance, chair stand ability, and walking speed. Balance was measured by participants' ability to stand in three increasingly difficult positions for 10 seconds each: a side-by-side position, a semitandem position, and a full-tandem position. Chair stand ability was measured by participants' time to stand up and sit down in a chair with hands folded across their chest for five repetitions. Walking speed was the fastest of two 4-m walks at usual pace (canes and walkers permitted). Each measure was converted into a score ranging from 0 (unable) to 4 (highest level of performance). The three scores were summed to produce the SPPB summary score ranging from 0 (worst) to 12 (best). The summary and three component scores were the outcomes for the current study.

Biomarkers

Biomarkers were assessed using samples collected at the 3-year visit. Blood samples were collected in the morning after a 12-hour fast. Aliquots of serum and plasma were immediately obtained and stored at -80°C.

Klotho

Soluble α -klotho was measured in EDTA plasma using a solid-phase sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Immuno-Biological Laboratories, Takasaki, Japan) (23). The minimum assay detection limit is 6.15 pg/mL, which is lower than plasma concentrations found here. Intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation were 4.1% and 8.9%, respectively, in one investigator's (R.D.S.) laboratory. A published study and an internal pilot study found evidence that the klotho molecule is stable for multiple freeze-thaw cycles (23). The designation α -klotho describes the original *klotho* gene and its product (24) and distinguishes it from a homolog β -klotho (6). Henceforth, "klotho" refers to α -klotho.

25-hydroxyvitamin D

Serum 25(OH)D was measured using an enzyme immunoassay (OCTEIA 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D kit; Immunodiagnostic Systems, Inc., Fountain Hills, AZ) with intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation ranging 5.3%-6.7% and 4.6%-8.7%, respectively.

Other biomarkers

Serum intact PTH concentrations were measured with two-site chemiluminescent enzyme-labeled immunometric assay (Intact PTH; Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA) and an IMMULITE 2000 Analyzer (Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY) with intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation ranging 4.2%–5.7% and 6.3%–8.8%, respectively. Serum creatinine levels were measured using a kinetic-colorimetric assay based on a rate-blanked and compensated modified Jaffé method for a Roche/Hitachi analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) with intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation of 0.7% and 2.3%, respectively. Serum creatinine was standardized to estimate glomerular filtration rate via the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation (25).

Other Covariates

All covariates were collected at the 3-year visit, unless otherwise noted. Alcohol consumption (drinks/week) and calcium intake (mg/ day) were assessed using the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition questionnaire. Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) measured cognitive function. Comorbidities (hypertension, congestive heart failure, peripheral arterial disease, stroke, diabetes, cancer, osteoporosis, and renal disease) were determined using adjudicated measures combining self-report, medical records, and clinical examination. Self-reported physical activity was categorized as moderate-to-intense exercise ≥3 hours per week (moderate-to-high activity), light exercise ≥ 2 hours per week or moderate exercise 1-2 hours per week (low activity), or sedentary/mostly sitting/some walking (inactive). We included physical activity at the enrollment visit, because subsequent activity may be affected by physical performance. We also ascertained age, education (years of schooling), smoking (pack-years), sex, and blood collection season. Body mass index (kg/m2) was measured.

Statistical Analysis

Weighted generalized estimating equations related longitudinal SPPB summary score and each component score (balance, chair stand, and walk) to the natural log of plasma klotho, ln(klotho). We fit three models for each outcome. Model 1 adjusted for study visit, age, sex, and visit-by-age interactions. Model 2 additionally adjusted for natural log of 25(OH)D (ln[25(OH)D]), estimated glomerular filtration rate, calcium and alcohol intake, smoking, body mass index, MMSE, years of education, physical activity, history of falls, and comorbidities. Model 3 additionally adjusted for PTH and a PTHby-ln[25(OH)D] interaction. Models 2 and 3 included 25(OH)D as a potential confounder; however, there is uncertainty in the scientific community about regulation between klotho and PTH (particularly with simultaneously measured biomarkers) (15). Model 2 is appropriate to model the directed acyclic graph (26) in Figure 1A, where PTH mediates the effect of klotho on physical performance; Model 3 is appropriate to model Figure 1B, where PTH confounds the effect of klotho on physical performance; and both models are appropriate for Figure 1C where klotho and PTH independently affect lowerextremity physical performance. We used inverse-probability weighting to address missing data and selective survival (see Supplementary Material for details) (27). Directed acyclic graphs convey relations between variables differently than in the regulation pathway figures



Figure 1. Directed acyclic graphs depicting possible pathways linking 25(OH) D, klotho, and PTH to lower-extremity physical performance. In all pathways (**A-C**), klotho and PTH are hypothesized mediators of 25(OH)D on lower-extremity physical performance. (**A**) PTH mediates the effect of klotho on lower-extremity physical performance (Model 2 and Mediation Model 1 appropriate); (**B**) PTH confounds the effect of klotho on lower-extremity physical performance (Model 3 and Mediation Model 2 appropriate); (**C**) Klotho and PTH affect lower-extremity physical performance through separate pathways (all models appropriate). 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; PTH, parathyroid hormone.

often used in endocrinology (eg, no feedback loops in directed acyclic graphs). Supplementary material (see Supplementary Figure 1) includes an explanation of these differences.

Finally, we estimated the direct effect of 25(OH)D on lowerextremity physical performance; that is, the effect not mediated by klotho. For each outcome, we first obtained an estimate of the total effect of ln[25(OH)D] on performance using inverseprobability weighted generalized estimating equations with adjustment for covariates in Model 2 and blood collection season (Total Effect Model). Next, we fit two models, one appropriate for Figure 1A (Mediation Model 1), and another appropriate for Figure 1B (Mediation Model 2). Mediation Model 1 added ln(klotho) to the Total Effect Model, where the resulting beta estimate for ln[25(OH)D] combines the direct effect of 25(OH) D and the indirect effect of 25(OH)D through PTH unmediated by klotho (ie, holding klotho "fixed"). Since this approach is only appropriate if no effects of 25(OH)D confound the relation of klotho with physical performance, and there is uncertainty about regulation pathways, we fit Mediation Model 2 using an alternative weighting strategy. Mediation Model 2 involved weighting to control for PTH as a confounder of the relation between klotho and lower-extremity physical performance (see Supplementary Material) (28). Statistical significance was defined as p value < .05 for all analyses.

Table 1. Characteristics of 860 InCHIANTI Participants by	Plasma Klotho Concentration (median = 669	pg/mL)
-----------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	--------

$ \frac{1}{\text{Mean (SD), Number (%), or}}{\text{or Median (IQR)}} N \frac{1}{\text{Mean (SD), Number (%), or}} \frac{N}{\text{Median (IQR)}} \\ \frac{1}{\text{Median (IQR)}} N \frac{1}{\text{Median (IQR)}} \frac{1}{\text{Median (IQR)}} \frac{1}{\text{Median (IQR)}} \\ \frac{1}{\text{Median (VP)}} \frac{1}{5.6 (3.2)} \frac{432}{5.2 (5.3.8)} \frac{42.8}{2.4 (3.8.9)} \frac{1}{2.2 (3.8.9)} \frac{1}{2.2 (3.8.9)} \frac{1}{2.2 (3.8.9)} \frac{1}{2.2 (3.9.9)} \frac{1}{2.2 (7.9)} \frac{1}{2.2 (7.9)} \frac{1}{2.2 (7.9)}$	Characteristics	Klotho >669 pg/mL (N = 432)		Klotho ≤669 pg/mL (<i>N</i> = 428)		p Value
Age (y) Age (y)74.3 (7.6)43276.3 (8.2)428<.001		Mean (<i>SD</i>), Number (%), or Median (IQR)	N	Mean (SD), Number (%), or Median (IQR)	N	
Education (y)5.6 (3.2)4325.8 (3.8)4283.4Snoking (pack-yers)11.0 (19.2)43213.3 (20.4)427.09Alcohol consumption (drinks/wk)6.3 (8.3)4097.6 (9.0)412.28Body mass index (kg/m²)2.6.6 (4.0)4222.6.3 (5.9)412.28MMSE score2.5 (4.5)4302.4.5 (5.4)426.005Female sex2.55 (59.0%)4322.23 (52.1%)428.04Hypertension154 (35.6%)43234 (7.9%)428.04Congestive heart failure20 (4.6%)43233 (7.7%)428.04Peripheral arterial disease37 (8.6%)43233 (7.7%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)43212 (2.8%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)432100 (23.4%)428.27Osteoporosis103 (23.8%)432100 (23.4%)428.21Pallen in the past 12 mo77 (17.8%)432100 (23.4%)428.24Inactive71 (16.4%)76 (17.8%)	Age (y)	74.3 (7.6)	432	76.3 (8.2)	428	<.001
Smoking (pack-years) 11.0 (19.2) 432 13.3 (20.4) 427 .09 Alcohol consumption (drinks/wk) 6.3 (8.3) 409 7.6 (9.0) 401 .03 Body mass index (kg/m²) 26.6 (4.0) 422 26.3 (3.9) 412 .28 MMSE score 25.5 (4.5) 430 24.5 (5.4) 426 .005 Female sex 25.5 (4.5) 432 213 (2.1%) 428 .04 Hypertension 154 (35.6%) 432 34 (7.9%) 428 .04 Peripheral arterial disease 37 (7.8.6%) 432 432 (2.1%) 428 .60 Stroke 23 (5.3%) 432 32 (7.1%) 428 .35 Rend disease 282 (65.3%) 432 210 (2.3.%) .428 .21 Fallen in the past 12 mo 77 (17.8%) 432 100 (23.4%) .428 .24 Inactive 71 (16.4%) 76 (17.8%) .26 (6.1%) .25 .25 Winter (December to February) 149 (34.5%) .97 (12.7%) .22 <td>Education (y)</td> <td>5.6 (3.2)</td> <td>432</td> <td>5.8 (3.8)</td> <td>428</td> <td>.34</td>	Education (y)	5.6 (3.2)	432	5.8 (3.8)	428	.34
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Smoking (pack-years)	11.0 (19.2)	432	13.3 (20.4)	427	.09
Body mass index (kg/m²) $26.6 (4.0)$ 422 $26.3 (3.9)$ 412 2.8 MMSE score $25.5 (4.5)$ 430 $24.5 (5.4)$ 426 .005Fernale sex $255 (59.0\%)$ 432 $223 (52.1\%)$ 428 .04Hypertension $154 (35.6\%)$ 432 $132 (30.8\%)$ 428 .04Congestive heart failure $20 (4.6\%)$ 432 $34 (7.9\%)$ 428 .06Stroke $23 (5.3\%)$ 432 $33 (7.7\%)$ 428 .60Stroke $23 (5.3\%)$ 432 $33 (7.7\%)$ 428 .36Cancer $8 (1.9\%)$ 432 $22 (12.1\%)$ 428 .35Cancer $8 (1.9\%)$ 432 $26 (61.7\%)$ 428 .36Cancer $8 (1.9\%)$ 432 $20 (26.3\%)$ 428 .21Fallen in the past 12 mo $77 (17.8\%)$ 432 $100 (23.4\%)$ 428 .04Physical activity: 432 $173 (40.4\%)$ 428 .04Physical activity: 432 $76 (17.8\%)$ 428 .25Winter (December to February) $149 (34.5\%)$ $173 (40.4\%)$ 55 Summer (June to August) $41 (9.5\%)$ $97 (22.7\%)$ $22.7 4.7$ Summer (June to August) $41 (9.5\%)$ $97 (22.7\%)$ 25.998 492 $824 (673, 10.29)$ 427 .16Calciun intake (mg/d) $788 (62.5, 98.5)$ 492 $76 (3.0)$ 428 .25Kinter (December to November) $119 (27.5\%)$ $97 (22.7\%)$ $25.947 (53, 99.2)$ <td< td=""><td>Alcohol consumption (drinks/wk)</td><td>6.3 (8.3)</td><td>409</td><td>7.6 (9.0)</td><td>401</td><td>.03</td></td<>	Alcohol consumption (drinks/wk)	6.3 (8.3)	409	7.6 (9.0)	401	.03
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Body mass index (kg/m ²)	26.6 (4.0)	422	26.3 (3.9)	412	.28
Female sex255 (59.0%)432223 (52.1%)428.04Hypertension154 (35.6%)432132 (30.8%)428.14Congestive heart failure20 (4.6%)43234 (7.9%)428.04Peripheral arterial disease37 (8.6%)43231 (9.6%)428.06Stroke23 (5.3%)43241 (9.6%)428.60Diabetes44 (10.2%)43232 (12.1%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)43212 (2.8%)428.35Renal disease28 (65.3%)432264 (61.7%)428.27Osteoporosis103 (23.8%)43287 (20.3%)428.21Fallen in the past 12 no77 (17.8%)432102 (23.4%)428.044Physical activity:432266 (6.1%)Moderate-to-high32 (7.4%)26 (6.1%)Sommer (June to August)41 (9.5%)39 (9.1%)Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%)97 (22.7%)25-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)432422 (43.3, 596)42826-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)432222 (443, 596)42825-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)432422 (43.1, 029)42127-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)432 <td>MMSE score</td> <td>25.5 (4.5)</td> <td>430</td> <td>24.5 (5.4)</td> <td>426</td> <td>.005</td>	MMSE score	25.5 (4.5)	430	24.5 (5.4)	426	.005
Hypertension154 (35.6%)432132 (30.8%)428.14Congestive heart failure20 (4.6%)43234 (7.9%)428.04Peripheral atterial disease23 (5.3%)43233 (7.7%)428.66Stroke23 (5.3%)43232 (21.1%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)43222 (2.8%)428.35Renal disease282 (65.3%)432264 (61.7%)428.27Osteoporosis103 (23.8%)43287 (20.3%)428.044Physical activity:43287 (20.3%)428.044Physical activity:43287 (61.7%)428.044Physical activity:43276 (17.8%).428.044Inactive71 (16.4%)76 (17.8%).428.68Inactive71 (16.4%)76 (17.8%)Low329 (76.2%)326 (76.2%)Solod collection season432Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%)39 (9.1%)Fall (Spermber to November)119 (27.5%)Calcium intak (mgd)788 (625, 98)409824 (673, 1.029)401Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%)39 (9.1%)Fall (Spermber to November)119 (27.5%)	Female sex	255 (59.0%)	432	223 (52.1%)	428	.04
Congestive heart failure20 (4.6%)43234 (7.9%)428.04Peripheral arterial disease37 (8.6%)43241 (9.6%)428.60Diabetes23 (5.3%)43233 (7.7%)428.16Diabetes44 (10.2%)43252 (12.1%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)43212 (2.8%)428.35Renal disease282 (65.3%)432264 (61.7%)428.27Osteoporosis103 (23.8%)43287 (20.3%)428.21Fallen in the past 12 mo77 (17.8%)432100 (23.4%)428.044Physical activity:43276 (17.8%).428.68Inactive71 (16.4%)76 (17.8%).428.68Low329 (76.2%)326 (76.2%).428.68Spring (March to May)123 (28.5%)119 (27.8%).428.25Winter (December to February)149 (34.5%).39 (9.1%)Fall (September to November)119 (27.5%)Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%)Summer (June to August)113 (378, 930)432243 (53.1, 029).427Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409824 (673, 1, 029).427SPB summary score, 3-y visit8.2 (2.4)4207.6 (3.0)SPB summary score, 3-y visit8.3 (2.4)2607.8 (3.0)SPB balance	Hypertension	154 (35.6%)	432	132 (30.8%)	428	.14
Peripheral arterial disease37 (8.6%)43241 (9.6%)428.60Stroke23 (5.3%)43233 (7.7%)428.16Diabetes44 (10.2%)43232 (21.1%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)43212 (2.8%)428.35Rend lisease282 (65.3%)432244 (61.7%)428.27Osteoporosis103 (23.8%)43287 (20.3%)428.21Fallen in the past 12 mo77 (17.8%)432100 (23.4%)428.044Physical activity:432100 (23.4%)428.68Inactive71 (16.4%)76 (17.8%)	Congestive heart failure	20 (4.6%)	432	34 (7.9%)	428	.04
Stroke23 (5.3%)43233 ($7.\%$)428.16Diabetes44 (10.2%)432 52 (12.1%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)432 12 (2.8%)428.35Renal disease222 (65.3%)432 264 (61.7%)428.27Osteoporosis103 (23.8%)432 87 (20.3%)428.21Fallen in the past 12 mo 77 (17.8%)432100 (23.4%)428.04Physical activity:432 72 (7.8%)428.68Inactive 71 (16.4%) 76 (17.8%)428.25Moderate-to-high 32 (7.4%) 26 (6.1%)428.25Blood collection season 432 297 ($18.945.3$) 427 .16Spring (March to May)123 (28.5%)119 (27.8%) 25 .25Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%) 39 (9.1%).25.25Summer (June to November)119 (27.5%) 97 (22.7%).25.25Summary (June to November)119 (27.5%) 32 (27.4% , 526 ($47.3, 1,029$)401.13Calcium intake (mg/d)788 ($625, 998$)409 824 ($67.3, 1,029$)401.13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 mt) 67.5 ($56.1, 79.6$)428 67 ($55.2, 80.2$)428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 ($30, 60$)43241 ($29, 59$)427.47SPPB summary score, $3-y$ visit8.2 (2.4)420 7.6 (3.0)414<,001	Peripheral arterial disease	37 (8.6%)	432	41 (9.6%)	428	.60
Diabetes14 (10.2%)43252 (12.1%)428.36Cancer8 (1.9%)43212 (2.8%)428.35Renal disease282 (65.3%)432264 (61.7%)428.27Osteoporosis103 (23.8%)43287 (20.3%)428.21Fallen in the past 12 mo77 (17.8%)432100 (23.4%)428.044Physical activity:432100 (23.4%)428.044Inactive71 (16.4%)76 (17.8%)	Stroke	23(5.3%)	432	33 (7.7%)	42.8	.16
Cancer8 (1.9%)43212 (2.8%)428	Diabetes	44(10.2%)	432	52(12.1%)	42.8	.36
Callett101102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102102<	Cancer	8 (1 9%)	432	12(2.8%)	428	35
Name abelies103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)103 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (23.8%)123 (27.8%)120 (27.8%)120 (27.8%)120 (27.8%)120 (27.8%)123 (28.5%)119 (27.8%)119 (27.8%)119 (27.8%)119 (27.8%)123 (23.5%)119 (27.8%)123 (23.5%)119 (27.7%)25.9d (27.7%)25.9d (27.7%)25.9d (27.7%)25.9d (27.7%)25.9d (27.7%)25.9d (27.7%)25.9d (27.7%)133 (23.8, 930)432 (22.7%)252 (443, 596)428 (27.9%)427 (47.8%)Summer (June to August)41 (9.60)432 41 (29, 59)427.4747Farthyroid hormone (ng/L)813 (73.8, 930)432 41 (29, 59)427.4747SPPB summary score, 3-y visit8.3 (2.4)2607.6 (3.0)414 <.001	Renal disease	282 (65 3%)	432	264 (61 7%)	428	.55
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Osteoporosis	103 (23.8%)	432	87 (20.3%)	428	21
Anim In the physical activity:1212.112.112.112.012.112.012.1Physical activity:432432432428.68Inactive71 (16.4%)76 (17.8%)26 (6.1%)10.0Low329 (76.2%)326 (76.2%)326 (6.1%)10.0Blood collection season432428.25Winter (December to February)149 (34.5%)173 (40.4%)26Spring (March to May)123 (28.5%)119 (27.8%)27.8%)Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%)39 (9.1%)76Fall (September to November)119 (27.5%)97 (22.7%)25-hydroxyitamin D (ng/mL)25-hydroxyitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)43229.7 (18.9, 45.3)427Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409824 (673, 1,029)401.13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)67.5 (56.1, 79.6)42867 (55.2, 80.2)423.55Klotho (pg/mL)813 (738, 930)432522 (443, 596)428428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB sunmary score, 3-y visit8.3 (2.4)2607.8 (2.8)234.08SPPB balance score, 9-y visit3.4 (1.1)3543.1 (1.4)316.005SPPB balance score, 9-y visit3.4 (1.1)2763.3 (1.3)255.09SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit2.1 (0.9)3531.9 (1.1)318.004SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visi	Fallen in the past 12 mo	77 (17.8%)	432	100(23.4%)	428	.21
Instruct717176767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676767676777776777677767777767777777776767876767876767876777777777777777676787676787676787676787676787676787676787676787673717077767678767678767678 <th< td=""><td>Physical activity:</td><td>// (1/.0/0)</td><td>432</td><td>100 (23:170)</td><td>428</td><td>.011</td></th<>	Physical activity:	// (1/.0/0)	432	100 (23:170)	428	.011
Interfect $11 (10, -10)$ $120 (17, 30)$ Low $326 (76, 2\%)$ $326 (76, 2\%)$ Moderate-to-high $32 (7.4\%)$ $26 (6.1\%)$ Blood collection season 432 428 25 Winter (December to February) $149 (34, 5\%)$ $173 (40, 4\%)$ Spring (March to May) $123 (28, 5\%)$ $119 (27, 8\%)$ Summer (June to August) $41 (9, 5\%)$ $39 (9, 1\%)$ Fall (September to November) $119 (27, 5\%)$ $97 (22, 7\%)$ 25 -hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL) $29.8 (21, 3, 51, 2)$ $432 29.7 (18, 9, 45, 3)$ $427 1.6$ Calcium intake (mg/d) $788 (625, 998)$ $409 824 (673, 1, 029)$ $401 1.3$ GeFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²) $67.5 (56, 1, 79.6)$ $428 67 (55.2, 80.2)$ $423 .55$ Klotho (pg/mL) $813 (738, 930)$ $432 522 (443, 596)$ 428 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L) $41 (30, 60)$ $432 41 (29, 59)$ $427 .47$ SPPB summary score, 3 -y visit $8.3 (2.4)$ $260 .7.8 (2.8)$ $234 .08$ SPPB balance score, 3 -y visit $8.3 (2.4)$ $260 .7.8 (2.8)$ $234 .08$ SPPB balance score, 9 -y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ $354 .3.1 (1.4)$ $316 .0005$ SPPB balance score, 9 -y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ $276 .3.3 (1.3)$ $255 .09$ SPPB balance score, 9 -y visit $2.2 (0.9)$ $426 .2.0 (1.1)$ $424 .0013$ SPPB balance score, 9 -y visit $2.2 (0.9)$ $426 .2.0 (1.1)$ $424 .003$ SPPB chair stand score, 9 -y visit $2.1 (0.9)$ $353 .1.9 (1.1)$ $318 .004$ SPPB balance sco	Inscrive	71(164%)	452	76 (17.8%)	420	.00
LowJ22 (7.4%)J26 (7.62.76)Moderate-to-high32 (7.4%)26 (6.1%)Blood collection season432428Winter (December to February)149 (34.5%)173 (40.4%)Spring (March to May)123 (28.5%)119 (27.8%)Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%)39 (9.1%)Fall (September to November)119 (27.5%)97 (22.7%)2.5-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, \$1.2)432 (29.7 (18.9, 45.3)427Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409824 (673, 1,029)401calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)432522 (443, \$596)428eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)67.5 (56.1, 79.6)42867 (55.2, 80.2)423.55Klotho (pg/mL)813 (738, 930)432522 (443, \$596)428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB summary score, 6-y visit8.0 (2.5)3467.3 (3.1)311.001SPPB balance score, 3-y visit8.3 (2.4)2607.8 (2.8)234.08SPPB balance score, 6-y visit3.4 (1.1)3543.1 (1.4)316.005SPPB balance score, 9-y visit3.4 (1.1)2763.3 (1.3)255.09SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit2.1 (0.9)2782.0 (1.0)252.03SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit2.1 (0.9)2782.0 (1.0)252.03SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit2.1 (0.9)2432.3 (1.0)418 <td>Low</td> <td>229 (76 2%)</td> <td></td> <td>326(762%)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Low	229 (76 2%)		326(762%)		
Noterate-to-ingin 32 (7.4 %) 20 (81.%) Blood collection season 432 20 (81.%) Winter (December to February) 149 (34.5%) 173 (40.4%) Spring (March to May) 123 (28.5%) 119 (27.8%) Summer (June to August) 41 (9.5%) 39 (9.1%) Fall (September to November) 119 (27.5%) 97 (22.7%) 25-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL) 29.8 (21.3, 51.2) 432 29.7 (18.9, 45.3) 427 .16 Calcium intake (mg/d) 788 (625, 998) 409 824 (673, 1,029) 401 .13 eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) 67.5 (56.1, 79.6) 428 67 (55.2, 80.2) 423 .55 Klotho (pg/mL) 813 (738, 930) 432 522 (443, 596) 428 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L) 41 (30, 60) 432 41 (29, 59) 427 .47 SPPB summary score, 3-y visit 8.0 (2.5) 346 7.3 (3.1) 311 .001 SPPB summary score, 9-y visit 8.3 (2.4) 260 7.8 (2.8) 234 .08 SPPB balance score, 3-y visit 3.5 (1.1) 426 3.2 (1.4) 424 .001 <t< td=""><td>Moderate to high</td><td>327(70.276)</td><td></td><td>26(619/)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Moderate to high	327(70.276)		26(619/)		
Biolou Collection Reason424231.23Winter (December to February)149 (34.5%)173 (40.4%)Spring (March to May)123 (28.5%)119 (27.8%)Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%) 39 (9.1%)Fall (September to November)119 (27.5%) 97 (22.7%)25-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2) 432 29.7 (18.9, 45.3) 427 Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409824 (673, 1,029)401.13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)67.5 (56.1, 79.6)42867 (55.2, 80.2)423.55Noto (pg/mL)813 (738, 930)432522 (443, 596)428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB summary score, 3-y visit8.0 (2.5)3467.3 (3.1)311.001SPPB summary score, 9-y visit8.3 (2.4)2607.8 (2.8)234.08SPPB balance score, 9-y visit3.5 (1.1)4263.2 (1.4)424.001SPPB balance score, 9-y visit3.4 (1.1)3543.1 (1.4)316.005SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit2.1 (0.9)3531.9 (1.1)318.004SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit2.1 (0.9)2782.0 (1.0)252.03SPPB walk score, 3-y visit2.1 (0.9)2782.0 (1.0)252.03SPPB walk score, 3-y visit2.5 (0.7)4232.3 (1.0)418<.001	Pland collection concern	32 (7.476)	122	26 (6.170)	120	25
White (December to February) $149 (94.3 / n)$ $173 (40.4 / n)$ Spring (March to May)123 (28.5%) $119 (27.8\%)$ Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%) $39 (9.1\%)$ Fall (September to November)119 (27.5%) $97 (22.7\%)$ 25-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)43229.7 (18.9, 45.3)427Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409824 (673, 1,029)401.13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)67.5 (56.1, 79.6)42867 (55.2, 80.2)423.55Klotho (pg/mL)813 (738, 930)432522 (443, 596)428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB summary score, 3-y visit8.2 (2.4)4207.6 (3.0)414<.001	Winter (December to February)	149 (24 59/)	432	172 (40.4%)	720	.23
Spring (March to May)123 (28.5%) $119 (27.5%)$ Summer (June to August)41 (9.5%) $39 (9.1\%)$ Fall (September to November)119 (27.5%) $97 (22.7\%)$ 25-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2) 432 29.7 (18.9, 45.3) 427 Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409824 (673, 1,029)401.13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)67.5 (56.1, 79.6)42867 (55.2, 80.2)423.55Klotho (pg/mL)813 (738, 930)432522 (443, 596)428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB summary score, 3-y visit8.2 (2.4)4207.6 (3.0)414<.001	Sector (Meash to Meas)	149 (34.3 %)		1/3 (40.4%)		
Summer (June to August) $41 (9.3 \%)$ $35 (9.1 \%)$ Fall (September to November)119 (27.5 \%)97 (22.7 \%)25-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)43229.7 (18.9, 45.3)427.16Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409824 (673, 1,029)401.13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)67.5 (56.1, 79.6)42867 (55.2, 80.2)423.55Klotho (pg/mL)813 (738, 930)432522 (443, 596)428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB summary score, 3-y visit8.2 (2.4)4207.6 (3.0)414<.001	Spring (March to May)	125(28.3%)		119(2/.8%)		
Fail (september to November) $119 (2/.3\%)$ $97 (22.7\%)$ 25 -hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL) $29.8 (21.3, 51.2)$ 432 $29.7 (18.9, 45.3)$ 427 .16Calcium intake (mg/d) $788 (625, 998)$ 409 $824 (673, 1, 029)$ 401 .13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) $67.5 (56.1, 79.6)$ 428 $67 (55.2, 80.2)$ 423 .55Klotho (pg/mL) $813 (738, 930)$ 432 $522 (443, 596)$ 428 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L) $41 (30, 60)$ 432 $41 (29, 59)$ 427 .47SPPB summary score, 3 -y visit $8.2 (2.4)$ 420 $7.6 (3.0)$ 414 <.001	Summer (June to August)	41(9.5%)		39(9.1%)		
25-hydroxyvitamin D (hg/mL)29.8 (21.3, 51.2)43229.7 (18.9, 43.3)427.16Calcium intake (mg/d)788 (625, 998)409 $824 (673, 1, 029)$ 401.13eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) $67.5 (56.1, 79.6)$ 428 $67 (55.2, 80.2)$ 423.55Klotho (pg/mL)813 (738, 930)432 $522 (443, 596)$ 428Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB summary score, 3-y visit8.2 (2.4)4207.6 (3.0)414<.001	Fall (September to November)	119(27.5%)	422	97 (22.7%)	427	17
Calcum intake (mg/d) $788 (625, 998)$ 409 $824 (673, 1, 029)$ 401 $.13$ eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) $67.5 (56.1, 79.6)$ 428 $67 (55.2, 80.2)$ 423 $.55$ Klotho (pg/mL) $813 (738, 930)$ 432 $522 (443, 596)$ 428 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L) $41 (30, 60)$ 432 $41 (29, 59)$ 427 $.47$ SPPB summary score, 3 -y visit $8.2 (2.4)$ 420 $7.6 (3.0)$ 414 $<.001$ SPPB summary score, 9 -y visit $8.0 (2.5)$ 346 $7.3 (3.1)$ 311 $.001$ SPPB balance score, 9 -y visit $3.5 (1.1)$ 426 $3.2 (1.4)$ 424 $.001$ SPPB balance score, 9 -y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 354 $3.1 (1.4)$ 316 $.005$ SPPB balance score, 9 -y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 276 $3.3 (1.3)$ 255 $.09$ SPPB chair stand score, 3 -y visit $2.1 (0.9)$ 353 $1.9 (1.1)$ 318 $.004$ SPPB chair stand score, 9 -y visit $2.1 (0.9)$ 278 $2.0 (1.0)$ 252 $.03$ SPPB walk score, 3 -y visit $2.5 (0.7)$ 423 $2.3 (1.0)$ 413 $.001$	25-hydroxyvitamin D (ng/mL)	29.8 (21.3, 51.2)	432	29.7 (18.9, 45.3)	42/	.16
eGFR (mL/min/1./3 m²) $67.5 (56.1, 79.6)$ 428 $67 (55.2, 80.2)$ 423 $.55$ Klotho (pg/mL) $813 (738, 930)$ 432 $522 (443, 596)$ 428 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L) $41 (30, 60)$ 432 $41 (29, 59)$ 427 $.47$ SPPB summary score, 3-y visit $8.2 (2.4)$ 420 $7.6 (3.0)$ 414 $<.001$ SPPB summary score, 6-y visit $8.0 (2.5)$ 346 $7.3 (3.1)$ 311 $.001$ SPPB balance score, 3-y visit $8.3 (2.4)$ 260 $7.8 (2.8)$ 234 $.08$ SPPB balance score, 3-y visit $3.5 (1.1)$ 426 $3.2 (1.4)$ 424 $.001$ SPPB balance score, 9-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 354 $3.1 (1.4)$ 316 $.005$ SPPB balance score, 9-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 276 $3.3 (1.3)$ 255 $.09$ SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit $2.2 (0.9)$ 426 $2.0 (1.1)$ 424 $.003$ SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit $2.1 (0.9)$ 353 $1.9 (1.1)$ 318 $.004$ SPPB walk score, 3-y visit $2.5 (0.7)$ 423 $2.3 (1.0)$ 418 $.001$	Calcium intake (mg/d)	/88 (625, 998)	409	824 (6/3, 1,029)	401	.13
Klotho (pg/mL) $813 (738, 930)$ 432 $522 (443, 596)$ 428 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L) $41 (30, 60)$ 432 $41 (29, 59)$ 427 $.47$ SPPB summary score, 3-y visit $8.2 (2.4)$ 420 $7.6 (3.0)$ 414 $<.001$ SPPB summary score, 6-y visit $8.0 (2.5)$ 346 $7.3 (3.1)$ 311 $.001$ SPPB summary score, 9-y visit $8.3 (2.4)$ 260 $7.8 (2.8)$ 234 $.08$ SPPB balance score, 3-y visit $3.5 (1.1)$ 426 $3.2 (1.4)$ 424 $.001$ SPPB balance score, 6-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 354 $3.1 (1.4)$ 316 $.005$ SPPB balance score, 9-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 276 $3.3 (1.3)$ 255 $.09$ SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit $2.2 (0.9)$ 426 $2.0 (1.1)$ 424 $.003$ SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit $2.1 (0.9)$ 353 $1.9 (1.1)$ 318 $.004$ SPPB walk score, 3-y visit $2.5 (0.7)$ 423 $2.3 (1.0)$ 418 $.001$	eGFR (mL/min/1./3 m^2)	6/.5 (56.1, /9.6)	428	67 (55.2, 80.2)	423	.55
Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)41 (30, 60)43241 (29, 59)427.47SPPB summary score, 3-y visit 8.2 (2.4) 420 7.6 (3.0) 414 <.001	Klotho (pg/mL)	813 (738, 930)	432	522 (443, 596)	428	
SPPB summary score, 3-y visit $8.2 (2.4)$ 420 $7.6 (3.0)$ 414 $<.001$ SPPB summary score, 6-y visit $8.0 (2.5)$ 346 $7.3 (3.1)$ 311 $.001$ SPPB summary score, 9-y visit $8.3 (2.4)$ 260 $7.8 (2.8)$ 234 $.08$ SPPB balance score, 3-y visit $3.5 (1.1)$ 426 $3.2 (1.4)$ 424 $.001$ SPPB balance score, 6-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 354 $3.1 (1.4)$ 316 $.005$ SPPB balance score, 9-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 276 $3.3 (1.3)$ 255 $.09$ SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit $2.2 (0.9)$ 426 $2.0 (1.1)$ 424 $.003$ SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit $2.1 (0.9)$ 353 $1.9 (1.1)$ 318 $.004$ SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit $2.5 (0.7)$ 423 $2.3 (1.0)$ 418 $<.001$ SPPB walk score, 3-y visit $2.5 (0.7)$ 423 $2.3 (1.0)$ 418 $<.001$	Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)	41 (30, 60)	432	41 (29, 59)	427	.47
SPPB summary score, 6-y visit $8.0 (2.5)$ 346 $7.3 (3.1)$ 311 .001SPPB summary score, 9-y visit $8.3 (2.4)$ 260 $7.8 (2.8)$ 234 .08SPPB balance score, 3-y visit $3.5 (1.1)$ 426 $3.2 (1.4)$ 424 .001SPPB balance score, 6-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 354 $3.1 (1.4)$ 316 .005SPPB balance score, 9-y visit $3.4 (1.1)$ 276 $3.3 (1.3)$ 255 .09SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit $2.2 (0.9)$ 426 $2.0 (1.1)$ 424 .003SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit $2.1 (0.9)$ 353 $1.9 (1.1)$ 318 .004SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit $2.5 (0.7)$ 278 $2.0 (1.0)$ 252 .03SPPB walk score, 3-y visit $2.5 (0.7)$ 423 $2.3 (1.0)$ 418 <.001	SPPB summary score, 3-y visit	8.2 (2.4)	420	7.6 (3.0)	414	<.001
SPPB summary score, 9-y visit 8.3 (2.4) 260 7.8 (2.8) 234 .08 SPPB balance score, 3-y visit 3.5 (1.1) 426 3.2 (1.4) 424 .001 SPPB balance score, 6-y visit 3.4 (1.1) 354 3.1 (1.4) 316 .005 SPPB balance score, 9-y visit 3.4 (1.1) 276 3.3 (1.3) 255 .09 SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit 2.2 (0.9) 426 2.0 (1.1) 424 .003 SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 353 1.9 (1.1) 318 .004 SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 278 2.0 (1.0) 252 .03 SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001	SPPB summary score, 6-y visit	8.0 (2.5)	346	7.3 (3.1)	311	.001
SPPB balance score, 3-y visit 3.5 (1.1) 426 3.2 (1.4) 424 .001 SPPB balance score, 6-y visit 3.4 (1.1) 354 3.1 (1.4) 316 .005 SPPB balance score, 9-y visit 3.4 (1.1) 276 3.3 (1.3) 255 .09 SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit 2.2 (0.9) 426 2.0 (1.1) 424 .003 SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 353 1.9 (1.1) 318 .004 SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 278 2.0 (1.0) 252 .03 SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001	SPPB summary score, 9-y visit	8.3 (2.4)	260	7.8 (2.8)	234	.08
SPPB balance score, 6-y visit 3.4 (1.1) 354 3.1 (1.4) 316 .005 SPPB balance score, 9-y visit 3.4 (1.1) 276 3.3 (1.3) 255 .09 SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit 2.2 (0.9) 426 2.0 (1.1) 424 .003 SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 353 1.9 (1.1) 318 .004 SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 278 2.0 (1.0) 252 .03 SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001	SPPB balance score, 3-y visit	3.5 (1.1)	426	3.2 (1.4)	424	.001
SPPB balance score, 9-y visit 3.4 (1.1) 276 3.3 (1.3) 255 .09 SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit 2.2 (0.9) 426 2.0 (1.1) 424 .003 SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 353 1.9 (1.1) 318 .004 SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 278 2.0 (1.0) 252 .03 SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001	SPPB balance score, 6-y visit	3.4 (1.1)	354	3.1 (1.4)	316	.005
SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit 2.2 (0.9) 426 2.0 (1.1) 424 .003 SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 353 1.9 (1.1) 318 .004 SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 278 2.0 (1.0) 252 .03 SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001	SPPB balance score, 9-y visit	3.4 (1.1)	276	3.3 (1.3)	255	.09
SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 353 1.9 (1.1) 318 .004 SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 278 2.0 (1.0) 252 .03 SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001	SPPB chair stand score, 3-y visit	2.2 (0.9)	426	2.0 (1.1)	424	.003
SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit 2.1 (0.9) 278 2.0 (1.0) 252 .03 SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001	SPPB chair stand score, 6-y visit	2.1 (0.9)	353	1.9 (1.1)	318	.004
SPPB walk score, 3-y visit 2.5 (0.7) 423 2.3 (1.0) 418 <.001 SPPB walk score, 6 w visit 2.4 (0.8) 248 2.2 (1.0) 313 002	SPPB chair stand score, 9-y visit	2.1 (0.9)	278	2.0 (1.0)	252	.03
SDDP wells coore (y visit 24 (0.8) 248 22 (1.0) 212 002	SPPB walk score, 3-y visit	2.5 (0.7)	423	2.3 (1.0)	418	<.001
$5175 \text{ wark score, 6-y visit} \qquad 2.4 (0.8) \qquad 548 \qquad 2.2 (1.0) \qquad 515 \qquad .002$	SPPB walk score, 6-y visit	2.4 (0.8)	348	2.2 (1.0)	313	.002
SPPB walk score, 9-y visit 2.5 (0.8) 262 2.4 (1.0) 234 .10	SPPB walk score, 9-y visit	2.5 (0.8)	262	2.4 (1.0)	234	.10

Notes: Continuous variables compared using two-sample t tests or Wilcoxon rank-sum tests; categorical variables compared using Fisher's exact tests. MMSE (Mini-Mental State Examination) score range, 0–30; Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) summary score range, 0–12; SPPB balance, chair stand, and walk score range: 0–4. eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; InCHIANTI = Invecchiare in Chianti, "Aging in Chianti"; IQR = interquartile range; N = number with available data.

Results

Table 1 shows that participants with plasma klotho >669 pg/mL (median), on average, were younger, consumed fewer alcoholic drinks, had higher MMSE scores, were more likely to be female and to have been diagnosed with congestive heart failure, and were less likely to have fallen than those with lower klotho (\leq 669 pg/mL; all *p* value < .05). We did not find significant associations of plasma klotho concentrations with 25(OH)D concentrations, PTH concentrations, or estimated glomerular filtration rate (all *p* value > .15). Participants with higher plasma klotho had higher average SPPB summary and component scores (balance, chair stand, and walk)

at 3- and 6-year follow-up visits (all p value < .01). SPPB scores were higher at the 9-year follow-up visit for participants with higher versus lower plasma klotho; however, only chair stand scores were significantly different (p value = .03).

Participants with data missing due to death were older, had more comorbidities, lower MMSE scores, lower 25(OH)D and klotho, higher PTH, were more likely to be male, and had lower SPPB scores at earlier visits than survivors (all *p* value < .05). Similarly, survivors with missing data were older, had more comorbidities, lower MMSE scores, lower 25(OH)D, were more likely to be male, and had lower

SPPB scores at earlier visits than survivors with complete data (all p value < .05; data not shown).

Table 2 shows estimated associations of klotho with SPPB summary and component scores. Higher klotho was associated with higher average SPPB summary, balance, chair stand, and walk scores after adjustment for age, sex, and visit (Model 1). After additional covariate adjustment (Model 2), each ln(klotho) was associated with 0.47 point higher average SPPB summary scores (beta estimate = 0.49, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.08 to 0.86, *p* value = .02). We also found statistically significant positive associations of ln(klotho) with balance (beta estimate = 0.21, 95% CI: 0.02 to 0.39, *p* value = .03) and walk scores (beta estimate = 0.16, 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.29, *p* value = .01). The estimate for chair stand scores

was also positive, but not statistically significant (beta estimate = 0.11, 95% CI: -0.02 to 0.23, p value = .11). All estimates changed little after adjustment for PTH and PTH-by-ln[25(OH)D] interaction (Model 3).

Table 3 presents findings from mediation analyses. Estimated total effects of $\ln[25(OH)D]$ on SPPB and component scores were all positive and statistically significant (all *p* value < .001). Estimates of $\ln[25(OH)D]$ total effects and effects unmediated by $\ln[klotho]$ (i.e., direct effects) estimated by Mediation Models 1 and 2 were nearly identical. For example, after adjustment for covariates, each $\ln[25(OH)D]$ was associated with 0.61 point higher average SPPB summary scores (beta estimate = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.35 to 0.88, *p* value < .001); Mediation Models 1 and 2 estimated effects unmediated by

SPPB Outcome	Model	Beta Estimate*	95% Confidence Interval	<i>p</i> Value
Summary	Model 1	0.63	(0.07, 1.20)	.03
	Model 2	0.47	(0.08, 0.86)	.02
	Model 3	0.51	(0.11, 0.91)	.01
Balance	Model 1	0.29	(0.05, 0.53)	.02
	Model 2	0.21	(0.02, 0.39)	.03
	Model 3	0.22	(0.04, 0.41)	.02
Chair stand	Model 1	0.17	(0.01, 0.33)	.04
	Model 2	0.11	(-0.02, 0.23)	.11
	Model 3	0.11	(-0.02, 0.25)	.09
Walk	Model 1	0.20	(0.03, 0.36)	.02
	Model 2	0.16	(0.03, 0.29)	.01
	Model 3	0.17	(0.04, 0.30)	.01

Tuble 2. Associations of mixiothol with of the booties Among mornant that anticipants Agea 250	Table 2.	Associations	of In(klotho) With	SPPB Scores Among	a InCHIANTI	Participants Aged ≥55
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------	--------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------	-----------------------

Notes: Model 1: adjustment for visit, age, sex, and visit-by-age interaction. Model 2: additional adjustment for ln[25(OH)D], eGFR, calcium intake, alcohol consumption, smoking, body mass index (continuous and quadratic terms), MMSE, years of education, physical activity level, history of falls, and comorbid conditions (hypertension, congestive heart failure, peripheral arterial disease, stroke, diabetes, cancer, renal disease, and osteoporosis). Model 3: additional adjustment for PTH and PTH-by-ln[25(OH)D] interaction. 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; InCHIANTI = Invecchiare in Chianti, "Aging in Chianti"; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; PTH = parathyroid hormone; SPPB = Short Physical Performance Battery.

*Mean difference in SPPB summary and component scores per ln(klotho) in pg/mL.

SPPB Outcome	ln[25(OH)D] Effect and Model	Beta Estimate*	95% Confidence Interval	<i>p</i> Value
Summary	Total	0.61	(0.35, 0.88)	<.001
	Direct (unmediated by klotho): Mediation Model 1	0.61	(0.34, 0.87)	<.001
	Mediation Model 2	0.63	(0.36, 0.90)	<.001
Balance	Total	0.29	(0.17, 0.42)	<.001
	Direct (unmediated by klotho): Mediation Model 1	0.29	(0.16, 0.42)	<.001
	Mediation Model 2	0.30	(0.17, 0.43)	<.001
Chair stand	Total	0.15	(0.06, 0.24)	.001
	Direct (unmediated by klotho): Mediation Model 1	0.15	(0.06, 0.24)	.001
	Mediation Model 2	0.15	(0.06, 0.25)	.001
Walk	Total	0.17	(0.09, 0.25)	<.001
	Direct (unmediated by klotho): Mediation Model 1	0.17	(0.09, 0.25)	<.001
	Mediation Model 2	0.18	(0.10, 0.26)	<.001

Table 3.	Mediation Analys	is of In[25(OH)D] and S	PPB Scores by In[klc	otho] Among InCHIANT	'l Participants Aged ≥55 y
----------	------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------------

Notes: Total effect: adjustment for study visit, age, sex, visit-by-age interaction, eGFR, calcium intake, alcohol consumption, smoking, body mass index (continuous and quadratic terms), MMSE, years of education, physical activity level, history of falls, comorbid conditions (hypertension, congestive heart failure, peripheral arterial disease, stroke, diabetes, cancer, renal disease, and osteoporosis), and season of blood collection. Mediation Model 1: additionally included ln(klotho). Mediation Model 2: additional adjustment for PTH using weighting (see Supplementary Material). 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; InCHIANTI = Invecchiare in Chianti, "Aging in Chianti"; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; PTH = parathyroid hormone; SPPB = Short Physical Performance Battery. *Mean difference in SPPB summary and component scores per ln[25(OH)D] in ng/mL. klotho to be 0.61 (95% CI: 0.34 to 0.87, *p* value < .001) and 0.63 (95% CI: 0.36 to 0.90; *p* value < .001), respectively.

Discussion

This study found that plasma klotho concentrations are positively associated with lower-extremity physical performance, after adjustment for 25(OH)D and other confounders. These findings are consistent with the interpretation of klotho as an "anti-aging" hormone demonstrated in studies in mice (3–5,7,14,24,29–32) and in humans (8–11).

We found no evidence that klotho mediates the association of 25(OH)D with lower-extremity physical performance, because estimated total effects were negligibly different from estimated direct effects unmediated by klotho. One possibility is that klotho and 25(OH)D may affect performance through different mechanisms. Mediation analysis was motivated by mechanistic roles that klotho plays in mineral homeostasis and regulation of 1,25(OH)D₂, and by reports of associations between klotho genetic variants and bone mineral density (33-36). However, klotho may impact physical performance through other mechanisms. First, klotho regulates growth factor signaling (3), such as inhibition of the insulin/insulinlike growth factor-1 signaling pathway (5,30), which positively relates to health in older adults (37). Second, klotho helps to maintain endothelial function (3), which protects against atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. Third, klotho regulates ion channels in the kidney (3) responsible for increasing calcium reabsorption and potassium excretion (29,38). Lastly, klotho suppresses oxidative stress by inhibiting insulin/insulin-like growth factor-1 signaling pathway and upregulating antioxidant enzymes (32).

This study had multiple strengths including a large longitudinal cohort, multiple biomarkers and other covariates, rigorous mediation analysis (including sensitivity analyses that address uncertainty about regulation between PTH and klotho), and rigorous handling of missing data and selective survival. Furthermore, the mediation analysis supports and extends previous work on 25(OH)D and lower-extremity physical performance in InCHIANTI (17) by using repeated SPPB measures.

Despite these strengths, some limitations must be acknowledged. First, biomarker concentrations were measured once, possibly with error. However, if error is unsystematic, then estimates may be conservative. Second, there were missing data due to nonresponse and mortality, but we attempted to reduce potential bias using modern statistical methods (27). Furthermore, the study would have benefited from measured phosphate concentrations, which were not available in InCHIANTI, given the role of klotho in phosphate regulation. Lastly, as in any observational study, there is potential for unmeasured confounding. We selected confounders based on current scientific knowledge about klotho and included relevant interaction terms to mitigate this possibility.

Future research on klotho can help to identify novel targets to be investigated in longitudinal studies of older adults. Also, assessing klotho concentrations as a secondary outcome in vitamin D supplementation trials may help determine whether klotho can be modified by vitamin D among vitamin D-deficient older adults. In the meantime, this and previous work in humans (8–11) and mice (3-5,7,14,24,29-32) provide an impetus for examining the role of klotho in health and aging, including whether klotho relates prospectively to other important conditions in older adults such as pain (39), sarcopenia (19), and frailty (40).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material can be found at: http://biomedgerontology. oxfordjournals.org/

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants (R01AG027012 and R01HL094507 to R.D.S., K23DK093583 to R.R.K., R01AG041202 to G.E.H.); the Italian Ministry of Health (ICS110.1/RF97.71); National Institute on Aging contracts (263 MD 9164, 263 MD 821336, N.1-AG-1-1, N.1-AG-1-2111, N01-AG-5-0002); and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging.

References

- 1. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Pieper CF, et al. Lower extremity function and subsequent disability: consistency across studies, predictive models, and value of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2000;55:M221– M231.
- Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, et al. Gait speed and survival in older adults. JAMA. 2011;305:50–58. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.1923
- Kuro-o M. Klotho. Pflugers Archiv: Eur J Physiol. 2010;459:333–343. doi:10.1007/s00424-009-0722-7
- Kuro-o M, Matsumura Y, Aizawa H, et al. Mutation of the mouse klotho gene leads to a syndrome resembling ageing. *Nature*. 1997;390:45–51.
- Kurosu H, Yamamoto M, Clark JD, et al. Suppression of aging in mice by the hormone Klotho. *Science*. 2005;309:1829–1833.
- Ito S, Kinoshita S, Shiraishi N, et al. Molecular cloning and expression analyses of mouse betaklotho, which encodes a novel Klotho family protein. *Mech Dev.* 2000;98:115–119.
- Urakawa I, Yamazaki Y, Shimada T, et al. Klotho converts canonical FGF receptor into a specific receptor for FGF23. *Nature*. 2006;444:770–774.
- Semba RD, Cappola AR, Sun K, et al. Plasma klotho and mortality risk in older community-dwelling adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2011;66:794–800. doi:10.1093/gerona/glr058
- Semba RD, Cappola AR, Sun K, et al. Relationship of low plasma klotho with poor grip strength in older community-dwelling adults: the InCHI-ANTI study. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2012;112:1215–1220. doi:10.1007/ s00421-011-2072-3
- Crasto CL, Semba RD, Sun K, Cappola AR, Bandinelli S, Ferrucci L. Relationship of low-circulating "anti-aging" klotho hormone with disability in activities of daily living among older community-dwelling adults. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2012;15:295–301. doi:10.1089/rej.2011.1268
- 11. Semba RD, Cappola AR, Sun K, et al. Plasma klotho and cardiovascular disease in adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011;59:1596–1601. doi:10.1111/ j.1532-5415.2011.03558.x
- 12. Lips P. Vitamin D physiology. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 2006;92:4-8.
- Haussler MR, Whitfield GK, Kaneko I, et al. Molecular mechanisms of vitamin D action. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2013;92:77–98. doi:10.1007/s00223-012-9619-0
- Forster RE, Jurutka PW, Hsieh JC, et al. Vitamin D receptor controls expression of the anti-aging klotho gene in mouse and human renal cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2011;414:557–562. doi:10.1016/ j.bbrc.2011.09.117.
- Martin A, David V, Quarles LD. Regulation and function of the FGF23/ klotho endocrine pathways. *Physiol Rev.* 2012;92:131–155. doi:10.1152/ physrev.00002.2011
- Dam TT, von Mühlen D, Barrett-Connor EL. Sex-specific association of serum vitamin D levels with physical function in older adults. Osteoporos Int. 2009;20:751–760. doi:10.1007/s00198-008-0749-1
- Houston DK, Cesari M, Ferrucci L, et al. Association between vitamin D status and physical performance: the InCHIANTI study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2007;62:440–446.

- Houston DK, Tooze JA, Davis CC, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and physical function in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study All Stars. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011;59:1793–1801. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03601.x
- Visser M, Deeg DJ, Lips P; Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. Low vitamin D and high parathyroid hormone levels as determinants of loss of muscle strength and muscle mass (sarcopenia): the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003;88:5766–5772.
- 20. Hirani V, Cumming RG, Naganathan V, et al. Associations between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and multiple health conditions, physical performance measures, disability, and all-cause mortality: the Concord Health and Ageing in Men Project. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62:417–425. doi:10.1111/jgs.12693
- Ferrucci L, Bandinelli S, Benvenuti E, et al. Subsystems contributing to the decline in ability to walk: bridging the gap between epidemiology and geriatric practice in the InCHIANTI study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2000;48:1618–1625.
- 22. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. J Gerontol. 1994;49:M85–M94.
- 23. Yamazaki Y, Imura A, Urakawa I, et al. Establishment of sandwich ELISA for soluble alpha-Klotho measurement: age-dependent change of soluble alpha-Klotho levels in healthy subjects. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2010;398:513–518. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.06.110
- 24. Imura A, Tsuji Y, Murata M, et al. alpha-Klotho as a regulator of calcium homeostasis. *Science*. 2007;316:1615–1618.
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al.; CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration). A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150:604–612.
- Greenland S, Pearl J, Robins JM. Causal diagrams for epidemiologic research. *Epidemiology*. 1999;10:37–48.
- Shardell M, Hicks GE, Ferrucci L. Doubly robust estimation and causal inference in longitudinal studies with dropout and truncation by death. *Biostatistics*. 2015;16:155–168. doi:10.1093/biostatistics/kxu032
- VanderWeele TJ. Marginal structural models for the estimation of direct and indirect effects. *Epidemiology*. 2009;20:18–26. doi:10.1097/ EDE.0b013e31818f69ce

- Chang Q, Hoefs S, van der Kemp AW, Topala CN, Bindels RJ, Hoenderop JG. The beta-glucuronidase klotho hydrolyzes and activates the TRPV5 channel. *Science*. 2005;310:490–493.
- 30. Utsugi T, Ohno T, Ohyama Y, et al. Decreased insulin production and increased insulin sensitivity in the klotho mutant mouse, a novel animal model for human aging. *Metabolism*. 2000;49:1118–1123.
- Hu MC, Shi M, Zhang J, et al. Klotho: a novel phosphaturic substance acting as an autocrine enzyme in the renal proximal tubule. FASEB J. 2010;24:3438–3450. doi:10.1096/fj.10-154765
- Yamamoto M, Clark JD, Pastor JV, et al. Regulation of oxidative stress by the anti-aging hormone klotho. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:38029– 38034.
- 33. Kawano K, Ogata N, Chiano M, et al. Klotho gene polymorphisms associated with bone density of aged postmenopausal women. J Bone Miner Res. 2002;17:1744–1751.
- Ogata N, Matsumura Y, Shiraki M, et al. Association of klotho gene polymorphism with bone density and spondylosis of the lumbar spine in postmenopausal women. *Bone*. 2002;31:37–42.
- Riancho JA, Valero C, Hernández JL, et al. Association of the F352V variant of the Klotho gene with bone mineral density. *Biogerontology*. 2007;8:121–127.
- 36. Yamada Y, Ando F, Niino N, Shimokata H. Association of polymorphisms of the androgen receptor and klotho genes with bone mineral density in Japanese women. J Mol Med (Berl). 2005;83:50–57.
- Tatar M, Bartke A, Antebi A. The endocrine regulation of aging by insulinlike signals. *Science*. 2003;299:1346–1351.
- Cha SK, Hu MC, Kurosu H, Kuro-o M, Moe O, Huang CL. Regulation of renal outer medullary potassium channel and renal K(+) excretion by Klotho. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2009;76:38–46. doi:10.1124/mol.109.055780
- 39. Hicks GE, Shardell M, Miller RR, et al. Associations between vitamin D status and pain in older adults: the Invecchiare in Chianti study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;56:785–791. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.01644.x
- 40. Shardell M, D'Adamo C, Alley DE, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, transitions between frailty states, and mortality in older adults: the Invecchiare in Chianti Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60:256–264. doi:10.1111/ j.1532-5415.2011.03830.x