



Published in final edited form as:

J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2015 October ; 50: 299–307. doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2015.06.023.

Mechanics of Intact Bone Marrow

Lauren E. Jansen¹, Nathan P. Birch¹, Jessica D. Schiffman¹, Alfred J. Crosby², and Shelly R. Peyton^{*,1}


¹Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 686 N. Pleasant St., 159 Goessmann Hall, Amherst, Ma 01003, USA

²Polymer Science and Engineering Department, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 120 Governors Dr., Amherst, Ma 01003, USA

Abstract

The current knowledge of bone marrow mechanics is limited to its viscous properties, neglecting the elastic contribution of the extracellular matrix. To get a more complete view of the mechanics of marrow, we characterized intact yellow porcine bone marrow using three different, but complementary techniques: rheology, indentation, and cavitation. Our analysis shows that bone marrow is elastic, and has a large amount of intra- and inter-sample heterogeneity, with an effective Young's modulus ranging from 0.25–24.7 kPa at physiological temperature. Each testing method was consistent across matched tissue samples, and each provided unique benefits depending on user needs. We recommend bulk rheology to capture the effects of temperature on tissue elasticity and moduli, indentation for quantifying local tissue heterogeneity, and cavitation rheology for mitigating destructive sample preparation. We anticipate the knowledge of bone marrow elastic properties for building *in vitro* models will elucidate mechanisms involved in disease progression and regenerative medicine.

Graphical abstract



Keywords

cavitation; indentation; rheology; Young's modulus; contact mechanics

*Corresponding Author: Shelly R. Peyton, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Massachusetts Amherst, N531 Life Sciences Laboratories, 240 Thatcher Rd, Amherst MA 01003-9364, speyton@ecs.umass.edu, +1.413.545.1133 (office), University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Bone marrow plays a significant role in body homeostasis by regulating immune and stromal cell trafficking. Researchers have characterized the matrix content and the role of local cells in bone physiology, but capturing the mechanics of bone marrow tissue has been limited in scope. The elastic modulus of engineered substrates is well known to influence cell shape, proliferation, migration and differentiation (Marklein and Burdick, 2010; Peyton and Putnam, 2005; Peyton et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2014). While significant effort has gone into recapitulating the hematopoietic microenvironment *in vitro* for both regenerative medicine and to improve drug screening, there is no physiological measurement of the modulus of intact bone marrow (Lee et al., 2012; Mahadik et al., 2014; Nicholsa et al., 2010; Scotti et al., 2013; Torisawa et al., 2014). Though some of these model systems incorporate controlled mechanics, there is little validation for the stiffness choices, even though bone marrow stromal and progenitor cells are mechanically responsive to both engineered substrates, and the viscosity of the surrounding fluid (Engler et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2014; Sikavitsas et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2014). Knowing the modulus of *in vivo* tissue is critical for regenerative medicine as well. For example, the Blau lab found that the regenerative capacity of muscle stem cells is enhanced when cultured on surfaces mechanically similar to mouse muscle (Gilbert et al., 2011). This highlights the need for methods that can appropriately characterize the heterogeneous mechanics of bone marrow tissue to understand its role in driving the behaviors of the cells within.

Marrow tissue has hematopoietic-rich and adipose-rich regions, which are referred to as red and yellow marrow, respectively. Yellow marrow is enriched in the medullary cavity and red marrow in the spongy, trabecular bone (Parfitt et al., 1983; Vande Berg et al., 1998). Cell content in the marrow is a dynamic process, and yellow marrow can expand and contract as haematopoiesis occurs (Gimble et al., 1996). Unfortunately, the difficulty of harvesting red marrow has limited the ability to isolate and test its mechanics using conventional methods. Yellow marrow has been shown to be mechanically heterogeneous in studies where samples are homogenized and centrifuged to remove cell and bone debris (Bryant, 1988; Zhong and Akkus, 2011). Prepping samples in this manner removes many of the inconsistencies caused when harvesting marrow, but ignores the elastic contribution of the bone marrow extracellular. The most robust study on yellow marrow mechanics measured the viscosity of the marrow from 19 human subjects and found no apparent correlation between age and marrow viscosity, though marrow has been shown to yellow with age (Justesen et al., 2001; Zhong and Akkus, 2011). Another group found proximal bovine marrow, the tissue close to the trabecular bone, to be more viscous than distal bovine marrow, and they suggest that these changes in viscosity are a function of spatial marrow composition (Bryant et al., 1988). Though both of these studies are informative, the impact of the surrounding or, potentially inclusive, trabecular bone is neglected because samples were homogenized and filtered.

The anatomical location and surrounding cortical bone poses a unique challenge for researchers interested in mechanically studying bone marrow tissue. Many studies have looked at properties of homogenized marrow, by extracting marrow from the medullary

cavity and performing bulk rheology, but these approaches are destructive and create a critical gap in our knowledge of intact marrow mechanics (Bryant, 1988; Bryant et al., 1988; Saito et al., 2002; Sobotkova et al., 1988; Zhong and Akkus, 2011). Additionally, researchers have used techniques to measure intramedullary pressure (IMP) to better understand how lifestyle choices, such as loading, disuse, steroid use, and diseases such as osteoporosis and cancer change marrow content, blood flow, and bone remodeling (Bloomfield, 2010; Gurkan and Akkus, 2008; Lynch et al., 2013; Miyanishi et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2007). It is clear that many external factors impact IMP changes, but no work has gone into characterizing the mechanics of the intact matrix, which we suggest plays a stiffness-dependent role in disease progression. Rheology is the dominant method used to characterize bone marrow tissue, with the exception of one group that used ultrasonic wave propagation (Hosokawa and Otani, 1997). However, ultrasonic wave propagation reported that the Young's modulus of bovine marrow is the same order of magnitude as what others have found for the surrounding spongy bone (~2GPa) (Morgan et al., 2003). The stark differences between marrow and bone likely make it hard to distinguish the marrow mechanics with type of technique. Though the viscoelasticity of bone marrow makes it ideal for bulk rheological characterization, this technique lacks the ability to measure microscopic level heterogeneities and often requires destructive sample preparation. Since bone marrow tissue varies across the length of the bone, is cell-rich, and is highly vascularized, we aimed to explore two more sensitive methods in parallel with traditional rheology: indentation and cavitation rheology. This approach enabled us to explore the continuity of diverse mechanical techniques and sample preparations on the characterization of marrow mechanical properties. Together, this information will allow the field of tissue engineering to further improve the understanding of marrow mechanics and to build more accurate *in vitro* models of marrow tissue.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 *In vitro* sample preparation

Femurs from grass-fed large black Tamworth Cross pigs, 6–10 months old, were gathered from a local butcher, and mechanical testing was conducted within 2 hrs post-opening of the bone cavity. Indentation and rheology samples were gathered from a bone cut lengthwise down the femur, and tissue samples were biopsy punched out of the medullary cavity and stored in phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4) for mechanical testing (Figure 1a). The porcine bones were cut horizontally across the medullary cavity of a femur for cavitation rheology testing. All indentation and cavitation tests were performed at room temperature, which ranges from 18–22°C and is annotated as 20°C throughout the paper. A minimum of 6 porcine bones, from different pigs, were used for each mechanical test.

2.2 Rheology

Small amplitude oscillatory shear measurements were performed in a Kinexus Pro rheometer (Malvern Instruments, UK) using a plate-plate geometry, with a diameter of 20 mm and gap of 1 mm. Porcine bone marrow punches were placed on the lower plate, the top plate was lowered into position, and excess marrow was trimmed with a razor blade. A solvent trap was placed over the geometry and temperature was maintained at 25°C. A

0.15% strain was selected from a strain amplitude sweep to ensure that experiments were conducted within the linear viscoelastic region (Suppl. Figure 1). Oscillatory frequency sweeps were conducted between 0.1 and 16 Hz. To capture temperature variation, samples were heated to 35°C, and the measurements were repeated. The effective Young's modulus, E^{Eff} , was calculated at a frequency of 0.1 Hz assuming a Poisson's ratio, ν , of 0.5.

$$E^{Eff} = 2G^* (1 + \nu) \quad (1)$$

2.3 Indentation

Indentation is a custom-built instrument that measures the forces applied to materials mounted on a stage (Chan et al., 2008). A flat, cylindrical steel probe (High-Speed M2 Tool Steel Hardened Undersized Rod, 5.2 mm diameter) was brought into contact with the tissue sample. The test was carried out at a fixed displacement rate (10 $\mu\text{m/s}$), and the maximum applied relative displacement was 100 μm . The force, P , was monitored by a force transducer (Honeywell Sensotec, Columbus, OH) connected in series with a nanoposition manipulator (Burleigh Instruments Inchworm Model IW-820) that controlled the displacement, δ . A custom-developed National Instruments LabVIEW code recorded the material compliance, C , which is the change in displacement over the change in force:

$$c = \frac{d\delta}{dP} \quad (2)$$

Applying a correction ratio to account for the dimensional confinement described by the ratio between the contact radius, a , to the sample height, h ($0.5 < a/h < 2$), the effective Young's modulus can be determined from the measured compliance by (Shull et al., 1998):

$$E^{Eff} = \frac{3}{8C \left\{ 1 + 1.33 \left(\frac{a}{h} \right) + 1.33 \left(\frac{a}{h} \right)^3 \right\} a} \quad (3)$$

2.4 Cavitation rheology

All measurements were taken using a custom-built instrument that consists of a syringe pump (New Era Syringe Pump NE1000), pressure sensor (Omega Engineering PX26-001GV), and syringe needle connected to a DAQ card that records pressure as a function of time. The needle is inserted into the tissue sample (2–5 mm) and air is injected at a rate of 5000 $\mu\text{L/min}$. The pressure is recorded using a custom-developed LabVIEW code until the air cavity in the tissue bursts. Three measurements, in separate tissue regions, were taken for a range of needle gauges (16–32 gauge, 0.838–0.108 mm). Pressure of cavitation is a function of needle radius, and an effective Young's modulus can be calculated with the following equation assuming an isotropic material on the size scale of the needle (Hutchens and Crosby, 2014):

$$P_c = 1.05 E^{Eff} + \frac{2.1\gamma}{r} \quad (4)$$

where P_c is the pressure of cavitation, γ is surface tension, and r is the inner radius of the needle.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was accomplished using Graphpad's Prism v5.0a. Data are reported as mean \pm standard error. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey's multiple comparisons test. When noted, a two-tailed t-test was used. P-values <0.05 are considered significant, where $p < 0.05$ is denoted with*, 0.01 with**, 0.001 with***, and 0.0001 with****.

3. Results

3.1 Three techniques were used to characterize the elastic modulus of bone marrow

We harvested intact marrow, with minimal post-mortem time, from 6–10 month old pigs. Pig was chosen as a model organism because of their anatomical similarity to humans, and their widespread use as sources for biological materials and as subjects for medical device testing (Meurens et al., 2012; Sullivan et al., 2001). Further, the pig model allowed us to examine tissue-scale mechanical heterogeneity absent of many convoluting factors, such as age, diet, and race. Rheology, indentation, and cavitation were used to mechanically characterize bone marrow tissue samples. Rheology and indentation samples were prepared by removing biopsy punches from the inner medullary cavity, and cavitation rheology was done using the horizontal cross-section of the femur as a site for needle insertion (Figure 1a). Rheology measures the bulk properties of a biopsy punch placed between two oscillating parallel plates (Figure 1b). Indentation, an axisymmetric compression test, is a micro-scale measurement with a flat-punch probe that is an order of magnitude smaller than the parallel plates used in rheology (Figure 1c). Indentation measures the substrate load as a function of time and displacement, allowing the use of basic principles of contact mechanics to calculate an effective modulus. Cavitation rheology is also a micro-scale measurement, and is performed by flowing a solvent, in this case air, into a substrate, which creates an inner cavity (Figure 1d). After the cavity bursts at the cavitation pressure, the Young's modulus can be calculated from Equation 4 using the surface tension between the substrate, solvent, and inner radius of the cavitation needle.

3.2 Bone marrow is a benign tissue with dominant elastic contributions

We used bulk rheology to quantify the viscoelastic properties of bone marrow tissue. The dynamic moduli are frequency dependent, and the dynamic storage modulus is consistently an order of magnitude larger than the dynamic loss modulus (Figure 2a). Rheology was the only instrument with temperature control, and, as the temperature was increased to 35°C, both the dynamic moduli and complex viscosity decreased by an order of magnitude. The complex viscosity decreased as shear rate increased, indicating that bone marrow behaves as a non-Newtonian fluid (Figure 2b). Power law indices of 0.15 ± 0.01 for 25°C and 0.12 ± 0.02 for 35°C determined that bone marrow is a benign material with dominant elastic contributions to its mechanical response, which becomes slightly increased elastic at higher temperatures. This behavior was mirrored in indentation, which showed that marrow closely followed a Hertzian model upon compression (Suppl. Figure 2a, c–d).

3.3 Porcine bone marrow has inter- and intra-sample heterogeneity

At 25°C, the effective Young's moduli for marrow ranged from 0.73–135.6 kPa for rheology and 0.42–64.5 kPa for indentation (Figure 3a–b, Suppl. Table 1). Instrument sensitivity was gauged using intra-sample standard deviation, which showed that indentation was slightly better than rheology at capturing the spatial heterogeneity within each sample. At physiological temperature (35°C), the effective Young's moduli for marrow ranged from 0.1–10.9 kPa (Suppl. Figure 3). Indentation validated that the intra-sample heterogeneity was a function of spatial modulus differences within the samples, and not an effect of the instrument damaging the tissue sample (Suppl. Figure 2b). At the tested frequencies, both rheology and indentation consistently reported similar effective Young's moduli for matched bone samples. To connect the spatial differences to marrow location in the medullary cavity, we separated the marrow into proximal and distal sections. There was no significant difference in effective Young's modulus between the distal and proximal marrow samples from the same bone (Suppl. Figure 4). Surprisingly, there was no trend in harvest location in the cavity and sample modulus, suggesting that marrow location in the medullary cavity is not the cause of the apparent intra-sample heterogeneity.

3.4 Sample removal affects the modulus of marrow

Cavitation rheology is the least destructive technique for sample preparation, enabling us to assess the modulus of marrow within the hard, osseous bone cavity. The pressure of cavitation is a function of needle radius, allowing an effective Young's modulus to be calculated for a non-elastic material (Figure 4a). The effective Young's modulus for marrow ranged from 8.5–64.3 kPa, and we found that these values were consistent with the variation seen in the matched bones for rheology and indentation (Figure 4B). Two of the bone samples (5 and 6) did not cavitate prior to reaching the maximum limit for the pressure sensor, therefore an effective Young's modulus could not be inferred. These samples were the stiffest measured by both rheology and indentation, which likely explains the observed instrument limitation.

3.5 Continuity across mechanical methods

We found a strong continuity between all the mechanical tests and the estimated effective Young's modulus (Table 1). All the methods fluctuated at the same magnitude for matched bone samples, validating the use of these instruments for material characterization. While the matched values calculated for rheology and indentation were indistinguishable from one another (Spearman correlation of rheology vs. indentation, $\rho=1.0$, **), cavitation consistently reported a higher modulus for each of the bone samples (Spearman correlation of rheology vs indentation and rheology, $\rho=0.4$, n.s.).

4. Discussion

A goal of tissue engineering is to recapitulate key features of tissues *in vitro* in order to better understand *in vivo* phenomena and apply this toward directing tissue function. The mechanical properties of a cell's microenvironment have been shown to dictate the migration and differentiation of marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells, but little research has been conducted on mechanically characterizing bone marrow tissue (Engler et al., 2006;

Peyton et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2014). To fully capture the heterogeneity of intact bone marrow, we present and propose three complementary methods, with the intention of highlighting some of the advantages and disadvantages of each method for mechanical testing. Here, we report that bone marrow is a benign viscoelastic tissue with a dominant elastic contribution and significant intra- and inter-sample heterogeneity across three mechanical testing methods.

Our data for bone marrow mechanics is both much stiffer, and encompasses a larger range of values than earlier studies. However, as previously noted, other studies have been performed on homogenized tissue samples, so the reported viscosities ranging from of 44.6–142 mPa·s cannot be easily compared to our viscous data (range from 100–500 Pa·s) (Bryant, 1988; Bryant et al., 1988; Saito et al., 2002; Sobotkova et al., 1988; Zhong and Akkus, 2011). Intact bone marrow tissue has an effective Young's modulus ranging from 0.25–24.7 kPa at physiological marrow temperature (35°C, Petrakis, 1952) (Suppl. Figure 2, Suppl. Table 1). The only other report of rheology on intact marrow found that bovine marrow has a dynamic storage modulus of ~220 Pa at a frequency of 1.6 Hz and temperature of 37 °C (Winer et al., 2009). At this same frequency, but at 35 °C, our porcine samples had a dynamic storage modulus ranging from 23–10,000 Pa (data not shown). This study is consistent with the storage magnitude we report for intact marrow, but because their project was confined to 3 samples from the same bone, this limited their ability to capture biological heterogeneities in marrow samples. We found intact marrow to have a large amount of inter-sample heterogeneity, and this is not surprising because biological tissues are known to be heterogeneous (Figure 3). For example, reports on the elastic modulus of brain and lung tissue can range from 0.1–10, and 1.5–100 kPa, respectively (Booth et al., 2012; Chatelin et al., 2010; Lai-Fook and Hyatt, 2000; Melo et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2000; Rashid et al., 2013; Zhong and Akkus, 2011). While it is more likely that these variations are due to structural components of the tissues, it was also important to validate that the array of mechanical tests used to gather these values were not the source of this heterogeneity, as we have done here.

There is opposing literature on how tissue mechanics change in response to any processing post-mortem. One group showed no effect on viscosity after freezing marrow tissue samples; however, another group discovered that any post-processing (i.e. freezing or postmortem time) dramatically changes the elastic modulus of brain tissue (Davis and Praveen, 2006; Rashid et al., 2013). Cavitation was the least destructive of the three techniques, making it ideally suited to mitigate post-mortem processing of tissue samples. Cavitation captured the same magnitude changes in samples as rheology and indentation, but consistently reported a higher modulus. This implies that removing the marrow from the medullary cavity could be lowering the tissue modulus. It is possible that the biopsy punch compromised tension formed from protein fibrils and vasculature in bone marrow tissue, which would explain the lower reported modulus for the other methods. Alternatively, the spongy nature of bone marrow tissue could cause the sudden drop in pressure to be associated with the onset of a fracture event, rather than the initiation of an elastic cavitation event (Kundu and Crosby, 2009). If fracture occurs prior to cavitation, then this also implies that Equation 4 is not valid for bone marrow tissue. The tissue opacity and surrounding cortical bone makes the latter case difficult to assess, and a more thorough study is needed to

investigate cavity propagation in marrow tissue. Regardless, future studies should consider the possibility that sample preparation may compromise the modulus of bone marrow tissue.

We predicted that indentation would be more sensitive to local heterogeneities than rheology, because the probe size is an order of magnitude smaller and allows for control of probe location. Contrary to our initial thoughts, indentation provided only a slight sensitivity advantage (Figure 3). This suggests that the heterogeneities contributing to changes in bone marrow modulus are on a size scale smaller than indentation can capture. We speculate that a technique like atomic force microscopy (AFM) may be able to improve our ability to annotate these modulus driven heterogeneities, if the sample preparation for this technique is made to be less destructive.

We recommend conventional rheology for samples where temperature control is needed (Suppl. Figure 3). Though the effective modulus of porcine marrow was strongly temperature-dependent, the tissue remained with a dominant elastic contribution at all temperatures tested (i.e. power law held at ~ 0.15). This suggests that cavitation and indentation are still valid methods for certain measurements, even though they are performed at room temperature (Figure 2b). If modulus is not a major function of temperature, indentation provides speed, simplicity of use, and reports the same metrics as rheology. We observed that indentation was slightly better at capturing spatial heterogeneity when directly compared with bulk rheology, and adjusting to a smaller probe size could improve this spatial characterization (Figure 2). Cavitation was the only method that allowed for *in situ* testing in the bone cavity. A possible challenge in cavitation is the need to know the substrate-fluid surface tension to convert pressure of cavitation (P_c) to an effective Young's modulus (E^{Eff}) (Figure 4A). We avoided this by using multiple needle gauges to interpolate an effective Young's modulus. However, if cavitation rather than fracture can be confirmed, then at large needle sizes only one simple measurement is required because $P_c = E * 1.05$ (Hutchens and Crosby, 2014). This could provide significant advantages, but more fundamental research on detecting the differences between cavitation and fracture is required (Hutchens and Crosby, 2014; Kundu and Crosby, 2009). We suggest cavitation is the most appropriate technique for testing tissue samples *in situ*, but methods to avoid breaking the endosteal membrane or causing tissue trauma need to be refined for this technique to be effective. The three methods reported similar values for modulus, making them all valid ways to calculate an elastic modulus for a soft material.

It is interesting that the observed tissue heterogeneity could not be parsed out through annotation of tissue location, or by changing the magnitude of the probe compressing the tissue (Suppl. Figure 4). We used indentation and rheology at annotated locations within a bone sample in an attempt to connect modulus with marrow location. Cell content differentiates red and yellow bone marrow, but the anatomical challenge of harvesting pure red-bone marrow limited us to using the marrow adjacent to the trabecular bone, where hematopoietic cells are enriched (Bryant et al., 1988; Vande Berg et al., 1997). We found no significant difference in the effective Young's modulus between proximal and distal marrow samples (Suppl. Figure 4). These findings only emerge when including the extracellular matrix contribution, and contradict previous studies on homogenized tissues showing proximal bovine marrow has a higher viscosity than distal marrow (Bryant, 1988). This

study also found that the removal of cell debris and granular matter from homogenized tissue decreased the fluid viscosity, suggesting that these factors contribute to the dynamic loss modulus. Though the reason for the apparent heterogeneity is unclear, this study, alongside our results, suggest that cell content may have little impact on the storage modulus, and we advise future studies look into how the matrix protein content relates to local modulus changes in intact marrow.

5. Conclusion

Here, we used three non-destructive approaches to mechanically characterize intact bone marrow. Across rheology, indentation, and cavitation we found that bone marrow is a benign viscoelastic material. Also, we are the first to report that marrow has dominant elastic contribution when the tissue is intact, and we feel this knowledge supports that components of the microenvironment, besides blood flow, may contribute to body homeostasis in a stiffness dependent manner. We also stress that bone marrow tissue is heterogeneous, and there is not one, but a range of appropriate moduli values for marrow. Overall, this type of thorough characterization can be used to improve upon current studies of bone marrow tissue elasticity and gain new insights into tissue function and structure.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Michael Imburgia, Dr. Sami Fakhouri, and Shruti Rattan for technical assistance and for insightful conversations. We would also like to thank Lauren Barney, Elizabeth Brooks, Sualyneth Galarza, and Dr. Sam Polio for useful advice in manuscript preparation. SRP is a Pew Biomedical Scholar supported by the Pew Charitable Trusts. SRP was supported by a faculty development award from Barry and Afsaneh Siadat. This work was funded by an NIH New Innovator award (1DP2CA186573-01) awarded to SRP, a grant from the NSF to AJC (DMR-1304724), and start-up funds from the University of Massachusetts Amherst. NPB and JDS thank the James M. Douglas Career Development Faculty Award for support.

References


- Bloomfield, Sa. Disuse osteopenia. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* 2010; 8:91–97.10.1007/s11914-010-0013-4 [PubMed: 20425616]
- Booth AJ, Hadley R, Cornett AM, Dreffs Aa, Matthes Sa, Tsui JL, Weiss K, Horowitz JC, Fiore VF, Barker TH, Moore BB, Martinez FJ, Niklason LE, White ES. Acellular normal and fibrotic human lung matrices as a culture system for in vitro investigation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2012; 186:866–876.10.1164/rccm.201204-0754OC [PubMed: 22936357]
- Bryant J. On the mechanical function of marrow in long bones. *Eng Med.* 1988; 17:55–58. [PubMed: 3402646]
- Bryant J, David T, Gaskell P, King S, Lond G. Rheology of bovine bone Marrow. *Proc Instn Mech Engrs.* 1988; 203:71–75.
- Chan EP, Smith EJ, Hayward RC, Crosby AJ. Surface Wrinkles for Smart Adhesion. *Adv Mater.* 2008; 20:711–716.10.1002/adma.200701530
- Chatelin S, Constantinesco A, Willinger R. Fifty years of brain tissue mechanical testing: From in vitro to in vivo investigations. *Biorheology.* 2010; 47:255–276.10.3233/BIR-2010-0576 [PubMed: 21403381]
- Davis BL, Praveen S. Nonlinear versus linear behaviour of calcaneal bone marrow at different shear rates. *Annual Meeting of American Society of Biomaterials.* 2006

- Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL, Discher DE. Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. *Cell*. 2006; 126:677–89.10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.044 [PubMed: 16923388]
- Gilbert P, Havenstrite K, Magnusson K, Sacco A, Leonardi N, Kraft P, Nguyen N, Thrun S, Lutolf M, Blau H. Substrate elasticity regulates skeletal muscle stem cell self-renewal in culture. *Science*. 2011; 329:1078–1081. (80-). 10.1126/science.1191035.Substrate [PubMed: 20647425]
- Gimble JM, Robinson CE, Wu X, Kelly Ka. The function of adipocytes in the bone marrow stroma: An update. *Bone*. 1996; 19:421–428.10.1016/S8756-3282(96)00258-X [PubMed: 8922639]
- Gurkan UA, Akkus O. The mechanical environment of bone marrow: a review. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2008; 36:1978–91.10.1007/s10439-008-9577-x [PubMed: 18855142]
- Hosokawa A, Otani T. Ultrasonic wave propagation in bovine cancellous bone. *J Acoust Soc Am*. 1997; 101:558–62. [PubMed: 9000743]
- Hutchens SB, Crosby AJ. Soft-solid deformation mechanics at the tip of an embedded needle. *Soft Matter*. 2014; 10:3679–84.10.1039/c3sm52689e [PubMed: 24686329]
- Justesen J, Stenderup K, Ebbesen EN, Mosekilde L, Steiniche T, Kassem M. Adipocyte tissue volume in bone marrow is increased with aging and in patients with osteoporosis. *Biogerontology*. 2001; 2:165–171. [PubMed: 11708718]
- Kundu S, Crosby AJ. Cavitation and fracture behavior of polyacrylamide hydrogels. *Soft Matter*. 2009; 5:3963.10.1039/b909237d
- Lai-Fook SJ, Hyatt RE. Effects of age on elastic moduli of human lungs. *J Appl Physiol*. 2000; 89:163–168. [PubMed: 10904048]
- Lee J, Abdeen Aa, Kilian Ka. Rewiring mesenchymal stem cell lineage specification by switching the biophysical microenvironment. *Sci Rep*. 2014; 4:5188.10.1038/srep05188 [PubMed: 24898422]
- Lee J, Li M, Milwid J, Dunham J, Vinegoni C, Gorbатов R, Iwamoto Y, Wang F, Shen K, Hatfield K, Enger M, Shafiee S, McCormack E, Ebert BL, Weissleder R, Yarmush ML, Parekkadan B. Implantable microenvironments to attract hematopoietic stem / cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2012; 109:19638–19643. doi:10.1073/pnas.1208384109/-/DCSupplemental.www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1208384109. [PubMed: 23150542]
- Lynch ME, Brooks D, Mohanan S, Lee MJ, Polamraju P, Dent K, Bonassar LJ, Van Der Meulen MCH, Fischbach C. In vivo tibial compression decreases osteolysis and tumor formation in a human metastatic breast cancer model. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2013; 28:2357–2367.10.1002/jbmr.1966 [PubMed: 23649605]
- Mahadik BP, Wheeler TD, Skertich LJ, Kenis PJ, Harley BC. Microfluidic generation of gradient hydrogels to modulate hematopoietic stem cell culture environment. *Adv Healthc Mater*. 2014; 3:449–58.10.1002/adhm.201300263 [PubMed: 23997020]
- Marklein, Ra; Burdick, Ja. Spatially controlled hydrogel mechanics to modulate stem cell interactions. *Soft Matter*. 2010; 6:136.10.1039/b916933d
- Melo E, Cárdenes N, Garreta E, Luque T, Rojas M, Navajas D, Farré R. Inhomogeneity of local stiffness in the extracellular matrix scaffold of fibrotic mouse lungs. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater*. 2014; 37:186–195.10.1016/j.jmbbm.2014.05.019 [PubMed: 24946269]
- Meurens F, Summerfield A, Nauwynck H, Saif L, Gerdts V. The pig: A model for human infectious diseases. *Trends Microbiol*. 2012; 20:50–57.10.1016/j.tim.2011.11.002 [PubMed: 22153753]
- Miller K, Chinzei K, Orssengo G, Bednarz P. Mechanical properties of brain tissue in-vivo : experiment and computer simulation. 2000; 33:1369–1376.
- Miyaniishi K, Yamamoto T, Irisa T, Yamashita a, Jingushi S, Noguchi Y, Iwamoto Y. Bone marrow fat cell enlargement and a rise in intraosseous pressure in steroid-treated rabbits with osteonecrosis. *Bone*. 2002; 30:185–190.10.1016/S8756-3282(01)00663-9 [PubMed: 11792583]
- Morgan EF, Bayraktar HH, Keaveny TM. Trabecular bone modulus–density relationships depend on anatomic site. *J Biomech*. 2003; 36:897–904.10.1016/S0021-9290(03)00071-X [PubMed: 12757797]
- Nicholsa JE, Cortiellab J, Lee J, Nilesa JA, Cuddihy M, Wangg S, Cantua A, Mlcakb R, Valdiviaa E, Yancy R, Bielitzkii J, McClurea ML, Kotov NA. In vitro analog of human bone marrow from 3D scaffolds with biomimetic inverted colloidal crystal geometry. *Biomaterials*. 2010; 30:1071–1079. In. 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.10.041


- Parfitt A, Mathews C, Villanueva A, Kleerekoper M. Relationships between Surface, Volume, and Thickness of Iliac Trabecular Bone in Aging and in Osteoporosis. *J Clin Invest*. 1983; 72:1396–1409. [PubMed: 6630513]
- Petrakis NL. Temperature of Human Bone Marrow. *J Appl Physiol*. 1952; 4:549–553. [PubMed: 14907570]
- Peyton SR, Kalcioğlu ZI, Cohen JC, Runkle AP, Van Vliet KJ, Lauffenburger DA, Griffith LG. Marrow-derived stem cell motility in 3D synthetic scaffold is governed by geometry along with adhesivity and stiffness. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2011; 108:1181–93.10.1002/bit.23027 [PubMed: 21449030]
- Peyton SR, Kim PD, Ghajar CM, Seliktar D, Putnam AJ. The effects of matrix stiffness and RhoA on the phenotypic plasticity of smooth muscle cells in a 3-D biosynthetic hydrogel system. *Biomaterials*. 2008; 29:2597–2607.10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.02.005 [PubMed: 18342366]
- Peyton SR, Putnam AJ. Extracellular Matrix Rigidity Governs Smooth Muscle Cell Motility in a Biphasic Fashion. *J Cell Physiol*. 2005; 209:198–209.10.1002/jcp.20274 [PubMed: 15669099]
- Rashid B, Destrade M, Gilchrist MD. Influence of preservation temperature on the measured mechanical properties of brain tissue. *J Biomech*. 2013; 46:1276–1281. [PubMed: 23523381]
- Saito H, Lai J, Rogers R, Doerschuk CM. Mechanical properties of rat bone marrow and circulating neutrophils and their responses to inflammatory mediators. *Blood*. 2002; 99:2207–13. [PubMed: 11877299]
- Scotti C, Piccinini E, Takizawa H, Todorov A, Bourguine P, Papadimitropoulos A, Barbero A, Manz MG, Martin I. Engineering of a functional bone organ through endochondral ossification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013; 110:3997–4002.10.1073/pnas.1220108110 [PubMed: 23401508]
- Shull KR, Ahn D, Chen WL, Flanigan CM, Crosby AJ. Axisymmetric adhesion test of soft materials. *Macromol Chem Phys*. 1998; 199:489–511.
- Sikavitsas VI, Bancroft GN, Holtorf HL, Jansen Ja, Mikos AG. Mineralized matrix deposition by marrow stromal osteoblasts in 3D perfusion culture increases with increasing fluid shear forces. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003; 100:14683–14688.10.1073/pnas.2434367100 [PubMed: 14657343]
- Sobotkova E, Hruha A, Kiefman J, Sobotka Z. Rheological behavior of bone marrow. *Biorheology*. 1988;467–469.
- Sullivan TP, Eaglstein WH, Davis SC, Mertz P. The pig as a model for human wound healing. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2001; 9:66–76. [PubMed: 11350644]
- Torisawa YS, Spina CS, Mammoto T, Mammoto A, Weaver JC, Tat T, Collins JJ, Ingber DE. Bone marrow-on-a-chip replicates hematopoietic niche physiology in vitro. *Nat Methods*. 2014; 11:663–9.10.1038/nmeth.2938 [PubMed: 24793454]
- Vande Berg BC, Lecouvet FE, Moysan P, Maldague B, Jamart J, Malghem J. MR assessment of red marrow distribution and composition in the proximal femur: Correlation with clinical and laboratory parameters. *Skeletal Radiol*. 1997; 26:589–596.10.1007/s002560050291 [PubMed: 9361354]
- Vande Berg BC, Malghem J, Lecouvet FE, Maldague B. Magnetic resonance imaging of normal bone marrow. *Eur Radiol*. 1998; 8:1327–1334.10.1007/s003300050547 [PubMed: 9853209]
- Winer J, Janmey Pa, McCormick M, Funaki M. Bone Marrow-Derived Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Become Quiescent on Soft Substrates but Remain Responsive to the Chemical or Mechanical Stimuli. *Tissue Eng Part A*. 2009; 15:147–154. [PubMed: 18673086]
- Yang C, Tibbitt MW, Basta L, Anseth KS. Mechanical memory and dosing influence stem cell fate. *Nat Mater*. 2014; 13:645–52.10.1038/nmat3889 [PubMed: 24633344]
- Zhang P, Su M, Liu Y, Hsu A, Yokota H. Knee loading dynamically alters intramedullary pressure in mouse femora. *Bone*. 2007; 40:538–543.10.1016/j.bone.2006.09.018 [PubMed: 17070127]
- Zhong Z, Akkus O. Effects of age and shear rate on the rheological properties of human yellow bone marrow. *Biorheology*. 2011; 48:89–97.10.3233/BIR-2011-0587 [PubMed: 21811014]

- Bone marrow is a benign material, that exhibits a large amount of intra- and inter-tissue heterogeneity
- Intact porcine marrow has an effective Young's modulus ranging from 0.25–24.7 kPa at physiological temperature
- Bulk rheology is the best method to capture the effects of temperature on tissue viscoelasticity
- Indentation is more sensitive than rheology, making it the ideal method for quantifying local tissue heterogeneity
- Cavitation rheology mitigates destructive sample preparation, so tissue mechanics can be tested *in situ*


a Porcine Bone Marrow Samples



b Rheology



c Indentation



d Cavitation




Figure 1. Techniques used to characterize porcine bone marrow

a: Samples were removed from the medullary cavity of femurs for rheology and indentation (left). The horizontal cross-section of the femur was used as the needle insertion point for cavitation (right). **b:** Rheology measurements were done between two parallel plates to obtain a storage (G') and loss (G'') modulus with respect to increasing shear. **c:** Indentation is an axisymmetric compression test that records the load (shown) and displacement over time. **d:** Cavitation records pressure as a cavity is propagated in a substrate over time. The formation of this cavity is a function of inner needle radius and solvent-substrate surface

tension. The point when the cavity collapses is recorded as the pressure of the cavitation (P_c).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Figure 2. Rheological behavior of porcine marrow

a: Representative rheological data. The storage (G' , open symbol) and loss (G'' , closed symbol) modulus versus strain rate show a weakly frequency dependent material with a dominant elastic modulus. As temperature is increased from 25°C (blue) to 35°C (red), both modulus and **b:** complex viscosity decrease, indicating strong temperature dependence. The power law (α) fit of the complex viscosity showed that bone marrow is slightly more elastic at the higher temperature (t-test, * $p < 0.05$).




Figure 3. Bone marrow exhibits inter- and intra- sample heterogeneity

The effective Young's modulus (E^{Eff}) for **a:** rheology (0.1 Hz at 25°C) and **b:** indentation (0.03 Hz at 20°C) in matched bone samples shows strong continuity between measurements from both instruments. Data points represent different locations within the same bone sample. Statistical significance was calculated using the mean of the data (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$).




Figure 4. Cavitation mirrors indentation and rheology measurements

a: The pressure of cavitation (P_c) changes as a function of needle radius, r , allowing an effective Young’s modulus (E^{Eff}) to be derived using Equation 4 and the y-intercept (red).

The inset is a picture of the bone cross-sectional area, which is the site of needle insertion.

b: The E^{Eff} at 20°C is similar to the modulus calculated for matched tissue samples in rheology and indentation. The solid line represents no data (n.d.) because these samples maxed out the instrument pressure sensor.

Table I

Comparison of effective Young's Modulus obtained *in vitro* from methods for samples from the same bone.

Marrow Sample	Rheology (kPa)	Indentation (kPa)	Cavitation (kPa)
	25°C	20°C	20°C
1	52.1 ±10.2	30.3±4.0	64.3±0.2
2	4.0±0.9	5.7±0.3	9.0±0.01
3	0.7±0.3	0.9±0.2	8.5±3.7
4	3.2±1.9	2.1±0.3	14.4±10.0
5	84.4±6.5	35.3±4.9	no data
6	135.6±25.6	37.1±6.3	no data
7	69.0±21.4	—	—
8	—	12.2±2.8	—
9	—	—	16.0±1.6

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript