The debate about dendritic cells and macrophages in the kidney

Catherine Gottschalk and Christian Kurts*

Institute of Experimental Immunology, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

The mononuclear phagocyte system includes macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs), which are usually classified by morphology, phenotypical characteristics, and function. In the last decades, large research communities have gathered substantial knowledge on the roles of these cells in immune homeostasis and anti-infectious defense. However, these communities developed to a degree independent from each other, so that the nomenclature and functions of the numerous DC and macrophage subsets overlap, resulting in the present intense debate about the correct nomenclature. This controversy has also reached the field of experimental nephrology. At present, no mutually accepted way to distinguish renal DC and macrophages is available, so that many important roles in acute and chronic kidney disease have been ascribed to both DCs and macrophages. In this perspective article, we discuss the causes and consequences of the overlapping DC–macrophage classification systems, functional roles of DCs and macrophages, and the transferability of recent findings from other disciplines to the renal mononuclear phagocyte system from the nephrologist's point of view.

Keywords: dendritic cells, macrophages, kidney, flow-cytometry, glomerulonephritis

Introduction

The current intense debate regarding the classification and nomenclature of dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages has reached also the field of experimental nephrology. Numerous kidney diseases are immune mediated, such as the different forms of glomerulonephritis, and research over the last years has described important, yet overlapping roles of both cells types.

Macrophages and DCs are often considered distinct cell types based on their morphology and function. Macrophages were defined as large vacuolar cells that are highly phagocytic and modulate immune responses by production of immune mediators [1, 2], whereas DCs were characterized as stellate migratory cells that act as sentinels in non-lymphoid tissues and enter lymphoid tissues upon antigen encounter, present antigen and subsequently activate naïve T lymphocytes [3–5]. Following these original descriptions, two research areas developed that more or less independently studied macrophages and DCs. This artificial separation has contributed to the emergence of different names for similar or the same cell types, thereby adding to the current confusion about their identity and function. In particular, advances in multi-color flow cytometry and gene-analyses enabled researchers to define many DC and macrophage subsets by the expression of a variety of surface molecules [6]. As cell surface markers are easy to determine, they are widely used to classify mononuclear phagocytes, although they are rather unspecific and their expression patterns in the murine and human systems differ substantially.

Also in the kidney, surface markers and functional parameters have been used to propose several classification systems of mononuclear phagocytes. However, these systems overlap, comparable to the situation in other non-lymphoid organs, resulting in great uncertainty among experimental

nephrologists regarding the correct terminology. Here, we discuss the present state of our knowledge on renal mononuclear phagocytes in health and disease and problems resulting from the current nomenclature debate from a nephrologist point of view.

The Network of Renal DCs and Macrophages

The kidney parenchyma consists of the outer renal cortex and the inner renal medulla. Numerous individual functional units, the nephrons, span both compartments. The cortex contains glomeruli and proximal tubuli of the nephrons, which generate the primary urine. The medulla contains the loop of Henle, which generates a high osmolarity that is required for water reabsorption from the primary urine. The distal tubules end in collecting ducts through which the concentrated urine is transported into the renal pelvis and on through the ureters into the bladder. The space between the tubules is known as tubulointerstitium and contains blood vessels, fibroblasts, and numerous cells of the hematopoietic system that had been classified by pathologists as constituents of the reticuloendothelial system [reviewed in Ref. (7)].

Early immunological studies had classified the tubulointerstitial mononuclear cells as macrophages due to their F4/80 expression (8). During the early 1990s, several groups reported that these tubulointerstitial cells morphologically resembled DCs in humans and rodents (9–12), whereas cells with the typical morphology of macrophages were described to reside mainly in the kidney capsule, the intravasal lumina, and the pelvic wall of healthy kidneys (13). The use of CX_3CR1-reporter mice and live cell imaging illustrated the intricate tubulointerstitial network of dendritiform processes that these cells use to constantly probe the environment, suggestive of DCs in action (14–16). The nomenclature debate intensified when it became clear that the vast majority of renal mononuclear phagocytes possess the phenotype CD11c^{+} CD11b^{+} F4/80^{+} CX_3CR1^{+} (17), which allows classification of both macrophages and DCs.

Notably, CX_3CR1 exhibits relative organ specificity for renal mononuclear phagocytes: these cells were >50% reduced in the kidneys, but not in other organs (except the intestine) of CX_3CR1-deficient mice. This may be explained by the comparatively high renal expression of its ligand CX_3CL1 (18). Notably, those CX_3CR1[+] phagocytes that co-express CD11c and exert DC functionality were reduced even by more than 75% (18–20). This may result from an effect of CX_3CR1 on CD11c expression, but this has yet to be shown. Interestingly, CX_3CR1 regulated the numbers of the CD11c^{+} and the CD11c^{−} renal mononuclear phagocytes by different mechanisms: it promoted homeostatic and inflammatory recruitment of the former, whereas it prevented *in situ* proliferation of the latter under inflammatory conditions (20). Assuming that CD11c distinguishes renal DCs and macrophages, this difference would be consistent with recent reports that the number of tissue macrophages is regulated by local proliferation (21), whereas DC numbers are usually thought to be regulated by immigration and emigration (22).

The kidney also contains a minor subset of CD103^{+} DCs, which constitute <5% of all renal CD11c^{+} phagocytes and lack expression of CX_3CR1, CD11b, and F4/80 (23), whose function

currently is unclear. There are neither CD11b^{+} CD103^{+} DCs nor plasmacytoid DCs in the healthy kidney (24).

Functionality and Phenotype of Renal Mononuclear Phagocytes

Researchers from both, the DC and the macrophage fields, have investigated kidney mononuclear phagocytes defined by cell surface markers in homeostasis and models of renal disease. Many important roles were shown in models of acute renal injury and in chronic immune-mediated kidney disease (**Table 1**), such as cytokine production or T cell-crosstalk in response to tissue injury or infection (17, 25–33). However, none of these functions is generally accepted to be exclusive for DCs or macrophages. Moreover, many nephrologists trained by the DC and macrophage communities still use CD11c and F4/80 to identify DCs and macrophages, respectively (see **Table 1**), even though 70–90% of renal mononuclear phagocytes co-express these two markers (17), implying that they studied cellular subsets that largely overlap. Also, the tools used for loss-of-function studies cannot clearly discriminate between DCs and macrophages: CD11c–DTR mice are used to deplete kidney DCs, CD11b–DTR mice for depleting kidney macrophages but the expression of CD11c and CD11b on kidney mononuclear phagocytes is too heterogeneous for this black-and-white thinking (34). Clodronate liposomes are used for both purposes (35–38). All kidney mononuclear phagocytes are phagocytic (34) which might render them sensitive to clodronate liposomes.

The consequence of this overlap is well illustrated by two recent studies examining how CX_3CR1 affects renal disease: both studies agreed that mononuclear phagocytes are substantially reduced in the kidneys of CX_3CR1-deficient mice. However, one of them noted a higher susceptibility to renal candidiasis and attributed this to the loss of renal macrophages (19), while the other documented protection against glomerulonephritis and assigned this to the loss of renal DCs (18). A possible explanation for this different classifications is that glomerulonephritis is driven mostly by phagocytes in the kidney cortex, in which glomeruli are located, whereas anti-infectious activity seem to be primarily due to phagocytes in the medulla, through which pathogens enter the kidney (18). Medullary phagocytes express significantly less CD11c than those in the cortex, which may bias their classification as DCs. The causes for these phenotypical and functional differences between medullary and cortical mononuclear phagocytes are unknown, but may result from differences in osmolarity, pH, and oxygen tension between these compartments, to which the mononuclear phagocytes may adapt. This would be in line with the current view that the tissue microenvironment dictates the organ-specific plasticity of macrophages (39, 40), and thus, perhaps also of renal mononuclear phagocytes.

Re-Defining Kidney Mononuclear Phagocyte Nomenclature

The current definitions of renal DCs and macrophages are not mutually exclusive, so that renal mononuclear phagocytes may fulfill the definitions of both cell types simultaneously. This creates

TABLE 1 | Summary of the functions of mononuclear phagocyte subsets in renal diseases, which have been attributed to either renal DC or macrophages, based on marker expression and/or disease attenuation or aggravation after cell depletion.

Disease	Function and associated cell type	Classification of associated cell types
Acute renal injury	**Pro-inflammatory**	**Pro-inflammatory**
Ischemia/re-perfusion	I. TNFa secretion	*DC*
	DC (26, 63, 64)	CD45$^+$, CD11c$^+$, MHCII$^+$, CD11b$^+$, CD16$^+$, F4/80$^+$, CD68$^+$, CD4$^-$, CD8$^-$, CD205$^-$,
	Macrophages (63, 64)	33D1$^-$, CD169$^-$ CD204$^-$ (26)
	II. Th activation	*Macrophages*
	Macrophages (63)	Sensitive to liposomal dichloromethylene bisphosphonate (clodronate liposome)
		treatment, F4/80$^+$ (63)
		Sensitive to clodronate liposome treatment (64)
	Anti-inflammatory	**Anti-inflammatory**
	I. Tissue regeneration	*DC*
	DC (33)	Sensitive to clodronate liposome treatment, CD45$^+$, MHCII$^+$,CD11c$^+$, F4/80$^+$ (33)
	Macrophages (67)	Sensitive to clodronate liposome treatment, CD45$^+$, MHCII$^+$, CD11c$^+$ (65)
	II. Suppression of TNFa, IL-6, CXCL2, CCL2	Sensitive to clodronate liposome treatment, CD11b$^+$ (66)
	production by IRF4 upregulation	
	DC (65)	*Macrophages*
	III. Prevention of renal failure	Sensitive to clodronate liposome treatment, F4/80$^+$ (67)
	DC (66)	
Unilateral ureter	**Pro-inflammatory**	**Pro-inflammatory**
obstruction (UUO)	I. Antigen presentation to CD4$^+$ T cells	*DC*
	DC (27)	CD11c$^+$, T cell stimulatory, phagocytotic (27)
	II. Accumulation of Th17 cells	CD45$^+$, CD11c$^+$, F4/80$^+$, Ly6C$^-$ or CD45$^+$, CD11c$^+$, F4/80$^-$, Ly6C$^-$, sensitive to
	DC (28)	clodronate liposome treatment (28)
	III. TNFa, TGFb production	CD45$^+$ CD11c$^+$, F4/80$^+$ (sensitive to clodronate liposomes) or F4/80$^-$ (not sensitive to
	DC (28, 68)	clodronate liposomes) (68)
	Macrophages (68)	*Macrophages*
	IV. Tubular apoptosis	CD45$^+$ F4/80$^+$, CD11c$^-$, sensitive to clodronate liposomes (68)
	DC (68)	CD45$^+$, CD11b$^+$, Csfr1R-GFP$^+$, CD11c$^-$; depletion in CD11b–DTR mice (69)
	Macrophages (68)	
	V. Renal fibrosis	
	DC (68, 69)	
	Macrophages (68, 69)	
Adriamycin nephropathy,	**Pro-inflammatory**	**Pro-inflammatory**
cisplatin nephropathy,	I. Aggravation of kidney injury in adriamycin-	*DC*
crystal nephropathy	induced nephropathy	
	Macrophages (25)	*In vitro* studies with bone marrow derived DC; renal CD45$^+$, CD11c$^+$ cells; sensitive to
	II. IL-1b secretion after inflammasome activation	clodronate liposome depletion and diphtheria toxin in CD11c–DTRg mice (29)
	DC (29)	*Macrophages*
		CD45$^+$, MHCII$^+$, CD11c$^+$, F4/80$^+$, CD68$^+$, CD204$^+$, CD206$^+$, CD103$^-$; morphology,
		phagocytic capacity, ontogeny (25)
	Anti-inflammatory	**Anti-inflammatory**
	I. Protective against cisplatin nephropathy,	*DC*
	induction of IL-10	
	DC (70)	CD45$^+$, MHCII$^+$, CD11c$^+$, CD11b$^+$, F4/80$^+$; morphology of GFP$^+$ cells in CD11c–
		DTRtg mice (70)
Chronic renal disease	**Accumulating**	**Accumulating**
Glomerulonephritides	I. Population changes during nephrotoxic	*DC*
	nephritis	
	DC (17)	CD11c$^+$, CD11b$^+$, F4/80$^+$; morphology, lysosomal content, phagocytic activity,
		microbicidal effector functions, expression of T cell costimulatory molecules, T cell
		activation (17)
	Pro-inflammatory	**Pro-inflammatory**
	I. Crescent formation	*DC*
	Macrophages (71)	MHCII$^+$, CD11c$^+$, F4/80$^-$ (72)
	II. T cell infiltration and activation	MHCII$^+$, CD11c$^+$ CD11b$^+$, CD8$^-$, B220$^-$; depletion in CD11c–DTR mice; antigen
		presentation and T cell activation function (32)
	DC (32, 72)	Chemokine expression by CD11b$^+$ CD11c$^+$ DC was analyzed in lymphoid organs (73)
	III. Chemokine expression	*Macrophages*
	DC (73)	Sensitive to diphtheria toxin in CD11b-DTR mice, CD68$^+$ (71)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Disease	Function and associated cell type	Classification of associated cell types
	Anti-inflammatory	**Anti-inflammatory**
	I. Induction of IL-10 secretion by CD4 T cells	*DC*
	DC (31)	Morphology; MHCII+, CD11c+, CD11b+, sensitive to diphtheria toxin in CD11c-DTR mice (31)
	II. Recruitment of regulatory CXCR6+ iNKT cells	
	DC (74)	CD45+, CD11c+, depletion in CD11c-luciDTR mice (74)
Infection	**Anti-infectious**	**Anti-infectious**
	I. Bacterial clearance	*DC*
	DC (18, 30)	MHCII+, CD45+, CD11c+, CD11b+, F4/80+, CX₃CR1+ CD103−; depletion in
	II. Candida protection	CD11c–DTR mice (18, 30)
	Macrophages (19)	Enrichment by Flt3L administration, sorted by CD11c purification (75)
	III. Response to infectious stimuli, chemokine secretion, migration	*Macrophages*
		MHCII+, F4/80+, CD11b+, CD11clo; morphology (19)
	DC (75)	

confusion, especially among those nephrologists that are more interested in disease relevance than in semantics. A recent proposal for a unified nomenclature has been based on cellular ontogeny: it proposes an initial division of mononuclear phagocytes into macrophages, monocytes and monocyte-derived cells and DCs (so-called "level 1 nomenclature") (41). This classification was based on the following facts: (1) most adult macrophages in tissues are successors of an embryonic precursor and maintained through self-renewal (42–46), (2) a common monocyte progenitor (cMoP) exists, which gives rise to monocytes (47), and (3) conventional DC (cDC) and plasmacytoid DC but not monocytes or macrophages arise from a common DC precursor (CDP) (48, 49). Thus, tissue-resident macrophages were classified by their origin from embryonic (yolk sac and fetal monocytes)-derived erythro-myeloid progenitors (46, 50) and DC were classified as cells arising from hematopoietic stem cell-derived precursors, identified by genetic tracing via DNGR1 (CLEC9A) (51), which are distinct from monocyte/macrophage precursors. Finally, monocyte-derived cells differentiate from cMoP that can exert macrophage- or DC-like functions and express markers associated with either (41). This classification does not resolve the question whether monocyte-derived macrophages and monocyte-derived DCs are ontogenically distinct or whether one cell type displays high plasticity in different microenvironments. To include cell function, location, and morphology, the authors suggested to add a "level 2" nomenclature to the level 1 classification (41).

While this nomenclature proposal might bring order into the ever increasing numbers DC and macrophage subsets, one major concern remains: without fate mapping tools, the origin of a phagocyte in a given tissue is usually not apparent, so that surrogate markers need to be used. Several markers for distinguishing phagocytes derived from different precursors are currently being discussed, but as we shall see below, they fail to discriminate renal DCs and macrophages.

One of these markers, CD64, alone or in combination with CCR2 or MerTK, has been reported to identify monocyte-derived macrophages and to be able to discriminate DC from non-DC in the intestine, the muscle and spleen (52–55), and the skin (56).

DNGR1, when combined with genetic fate mapping technology, was shown to mark CDP and pre-DC (51), whereas Csf1r can be used for fate mapping of yolk sac derived (myb independent) tissue macrophages (46). In the kidney, most mononuclear phagocytes express CD64, low levels of CD11b and high levels of F4/80, which is not the case in other organs. However, 30% of CD64+ cells co-expressed the DNGR1-fate mapper, indicating that CD64 expression, despite the evidence for specificity in other organs, does not differentiate CDP-derived from monocyte-derived cells in the kidney (51). Similarly, another fate mapping study that used Myb and PU.1 dependency for defining CD11bhi monocytes or macrophages and F4/80bright tissue macrophages derived by adult or embryonic hematopoiesis, respectively, found a dual origin in kidney macrophages as well (45). These findings highlight the difficulties when basing cellular classification solely on ontogeny when ontogeny is based on surrogate markers. Furthermore, transferring ontogeny-based nomenclature to human mononuclear phagocytes in tissue might prove impracticable.

A classification approach based on transcriptome analysis reported that CD11c+ MHC II+ cells in the kidney expressed a set of core DC markers characteristic of DCs in non-lymphoid tissues, that is absent from macrophages, including Zbtb64, Flt3, and CCR7 (57). These "core DC markers" had been defined by analyzing cDCs except the CD11b+ non-lymphoid tissue–DC, because of the great heterogeneity of CD11b+ cells. However, these constitute the vast majority of kidney mononuclear phagocytes.

Another classification approach is based on mononuclear phagocyte functionality. However, observed functions generally represent a snapshot of a cell within a specific context and time frame. Demonstrating that a phagocyte performs a given function under certain conditions at a certain time-point does not imply that this is a general feature of this cell. Furthermore, there is no clear demarcation between exclusive DC and macrophage functions. For example, macrophages phagocytose and degrade material. However, under certain conditions DCs do that too, albeit less efficiently [reviewed in Ref. (58)]. On the other hand, DCs classically activate naïve T cells, but macrophages can do

that too, albeit less efficiently (59, 60). Furthermore, the ability to stimulate T cells is difficult to determine on a single cell basis. A recent study differentiated renal mononuclear phagocytes into five phenotypically and functionally distinct populations (34). In that study, mononuclear phagocyte populations were differentiated by CD11c, CD11b, F4/80, CD103, CD14, CD16, and CD64 expression in juvenile and adult mice of different strains. Functional analyses and fate mapping studies were used for further characterization. In line with the complexity of kidney mononuclear phagocyte subsets observed by others and us (17, 45, 51), the study revealed that all subsets expressed CD68 that is usually used to identify macrophages and that all subsets were phagocytic but showed differences in their antigen presentation capacity. Fate mapping experiments identified one population with a dual origin, two populations that were closely related to monocytes, whereas the remaining two were not. Notably, the largest population not only showed the phenotypical and functional characteristics of reparative macrophages (M2) but also had significant antigen presentation function and most likely emigrated from the kidney under inflammatory conditions. Additionally, this population differed significantly between mouse strains, which might explain immunological differences between those strains. The authors concluded that functions are more related to context than separate lineage and suggested their marker combination as an unbiased approach to identify kidney mononuclear phagocyte populations (34). These findings are consistent with recent concepts that macrophage fine differentiation is shaped by the tissue microenvironment (39, 40).

Concluding Remarks

As a consequence of the separate development of the DC and macrophage research communities, the functional and phenotypic definitions of these cell types overlap substantially. Thus, scientists from both communities often study the same cells, perhaps unaware of, or ignoring progress and concepts in the other field. The false assumption that classifying a mononuclear phagocyte as a macrophage implies that it is not a DC, and vice versa, hampers communication between researchers from both fields. Some studies have focused on arguing about subsets and semantics (61), perhaps hoping to "claim territory" for their own communities. This may result in highly citable or controversial publications, but it does not advance our understanding of mononuclear phagocytes, neither in the kidney nor elsewhere.

An overlapping classification system, such as the existing one, is certainly not desirable. An improvement is needed. It is unrealistic to assume that either the DC or the macrophage community will accept the nomenclature of the other field. Drawing a line that segregates mononuclear phagocytes into DCs or macrophages will unlikely be acceptable to both fields. Furthermore, there are currently no unambiguous discriminatory parameters; for any new parameters introduced, exceptions are reported quickly, such as for CD64 and DNGR1-fate tracking in the kidney. Still, an improved classification system is needed. How can we reach a consensus?

First, the purpose of the revised classification system needs to be defined. Clinicians are interested in cellular entities that are useful for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes and translational immunologists often study the functions of cellular subsets. Basic immunologists may favor ontogeny, which is biologically the cleanest and most logical approach. However, mononuclear phagocytes adapt their gene enhancer landscape according to the tissue of residence independently of the precursor they originated from (39), an ontogeny-based nomenclature may lead to different cell types with similar functionality, or to cells of the same name with different functionality depending on the organ they reside in. Moreover, the origin of a mononuclear phagocyte in a given tissue is not obviously apparent, because unique discriminatory parameters are missing. Thus, ontogeny, although theoretically logical, will be difficult to use for routine research. At the end of the day, a classification system needs to be convenient and feasible, or it will not be used.

The late Ralph Steinman remarked "The DC is a functional state" (personal communication). Indeed, at the age of single cell transcriptomics, it becomes clear that several transcriptional programs may run simultaneously in individual mononuclear phagocytes, and confer a spectrum of functionalities that are more or less consistent with the current concepts of a DC, of a macrophage, or both. Current technical advances will undoubtedly allow distinguishing far more functional states of mononuclear phagocytes. In the field of renal immunology, experts coming from the DC and macrophage communities have jointly suggested avoiding the DC–macrophage controversy altogether by referring to mononuclear phagocytes (preferentially using a "catchier" name for these cells), with different degrees of DC- or macrophage-, or other functionalities (62). It remains to be seen whether basic immunologists and scientists studying mononuclear phagocytes in other organs feel that this is useful or not.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Mantovani B, Rabinovitch M, Nussenzweig V. Phagocytosis of immune complexes by macrophages. Different roles of the macrophage receptor sites for complement (C3) and for immunoglobulin (IgG). *J Exp Med* (1972) **135**(4):780–92. doi:10.1084/jem.135.4.780

2. MacMicking J, Xie QW, Nathan C. Nitric oxide and macrophage function. *Annu Rev Immunol* (1997) **15**:323–50. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.15.1.323

3. Steinman RM, Cohn ZA. Identification of a novel cell type in peripheral lymphoid organs of mice. I. Morphology, quantitation, tissue distribution. *J Exp Med* (1973) **137**(5):1142–62. doi:10.1084/jem.137.5.1142

4. Inaba K, Metlay JP, Crowley MT, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells pulsed with protein antigens in vitro can prime antigen-specific, MHC-restricted T cells in situ. *J Exp Med* (1990) **172**(2):631–40. doi:10.1084/jem.172.2.631

5. Steinman RM. The dendritic cell system and its role in immunogenicity. *Annu Rev Immunol* (1991) **9**:271–96. doi:10.1146/annurev.iy.09.040191.001415

6. Hashimoto D, Miller J, Merad M. Dendritic cell and macrophage heterogeneity in vivo. *Immunity* (2011) 35(3):323–35. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2011.09.007

7. Saba TM. Physiology and physiopathology of the reticuloendothelial system. *Arch Intern Med* (1970) 126(6):1031–52. doi:10.1001/archinte.126.6.1031

8. Hume DA, Gordon S. Mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse defined by immunohistochemical localization of antigen F4/80. Identification of resident macrophages in renal medullary and cortical interstitium and the juxtaglomerular complex. *J Exp Med* (1983) 157(5):1704–9. doi:10.1084/jem.157.5.1704

9. Markovic-Lipkovski J, Muller CA, Risler T, Bohle A, Muller GA. Association of glomerular and interstitial mononuclear leukocytes with different forms of glomerulonephritis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* (1990) 5(1):10–7. doi:10.1093/ndt/5.1.10

10. Cuzic S, Ritz E, Waldherr R. Dendritic cells in glomerulonephritis. *Virchows Arch B Cell Pathol Incl Mol Pathol* (1992) 62(6):357–63. doi:10.1007/BF02899704

11. Austyn JM, Hankins DF, Larsen CP, Morris PJ, Rao AS, Roake JA. Isolation and characterization of dendritic cells from mouse heart and kidney. *J Immunol* (1994) 152(5):2401–10.

12. Kaissling B, Le Hir M. Characterization and distribution of interstitial cell types in the renal cortex of rats. *Kidney Int* (1994) 45(3):709–20. doi:10.1038/ki.1994.95

13. Kaissling B, Hegyi I, Loffing J, Le Hir M. Morphology of interstitial cells in the healthy kidney. *Anat Embryol (Berl)* (1996) 193(4):303–18. doi:10.1007/BF00186688

14. Soos TJ, Sims TN, Barisoni L, Lin K, Littman DR, Dustin ML, et al. CX3CR1+ interstitial dendritic cells form a contiguous network throughout the entire kidney. *Kidney Int* (2006) 70(3):591–6. doi:10.1038/sj.ki.5001567

15. Snelgrove SL, Kausman JY, Lo C, Lo C, Ooi JD, Coates PT, et al. Renal dendritic cells adopt a pro-inflammatory phenotype in obstructive uropathy to activate T cells but do not directly contribute to fibrosis. *Am J Pathol* (2012) 180(1):91–103. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.09.039

16. Devi S, Li A, Westhorpe CL, Lo CY, Abeynaike LD, Snelgrove SL, et al. Multiphoton imaging reveals a new leukocyte recruitment paradigm in the glomerulus. *Nat Med* (2013) 19(1):107–12. doi:10.1038/nm.3024

17. Kruger T, Benke D, Eitner F, Lang A, Wirtz M, Hamilton-Williams EE, et al. Identification and functional characterization of dendritic cells in the healthy murine kidney and in experimental glomerulonephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2004) 15(3):613–21. doi:10.1097/01.ASN.0000114553.36258.91

18. Hochheiser K, Heuser C, Krause TA, Teteris S, Ilias A, Weisheit C, et al. Exclusive CX3CR1 dependence of kidney DCs impacts glomerulonephritis progression. *J Clin Invest* (2013) 123(10):4242–54. doi:10.1172/JCI70143

19. Lionakis MS, Swamydas M, Fischer BG, Plantinga TS, Johnson MD, Jaeger M, et al. CX3CR1-dependent renal macrophage survival promotes *Candida* control and host survival. *J Clin Invest* (2013) 123(12):5035–51. doi:10.1172/JCI71307

20. Engel DR, Krause TA, Snelgrove SL, Thiebes S, Hickey MJ, Boor P, et al. CX3CR1 reduces kidney fibrosis by inhibiting local proliferation of profibrotic macrophages. *J Immunol* (2015) 194(4):1628–38. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1402149

21. Jenkins SJ, Ruckerl D, Cook PC, Jones LH, Finkelman FD, van Rooijen N, et al. Local macrophage proliferation, rather than recruitment from the blood, is a signature of TH2 inflammation. *Science* (2011) 332(6035):1284–8. doi:10.1126/science.1204351

22. Liu K, Nussenzweig MC. Origin and development of dendritic cells. *Immunol Rev* (2010) 234(1):45–54. doi:10.1111/j.0105-2896.2009.00879.x

23. Ginhoux F, Liu K, Helft J, Bogunovic M, Greter M, Hashimoto D, et al. The origin and development of nonlymphoid tissue CD103+ DCs. *J Exp Med* (2009) 206(13):3115–30. doi:10.1084/jem.20091756

24. Kurts C, Panzer U, Anders HJ, Rees AJ. The immune system and kidney disease: basic concepts and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2013) 13(10):738–53. doi:10.1038/nri3523

25. Cao Q, Wang Y, Wang XM, Lu J, Lee VW, Ye Q, et al. Renal F4/80+ CD11c+ mononuclear phagocytes display phenotypic and functional characteristics of macrophages in health and in adriamycin nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2015) 26(2):349–63. doi:10.1681/ASN.2013121336

26. Dong X, Swaminathan S, Bachman LA, Croatt AJ, Nath KA, Griffin MD. Resident dendritic cells are the predominant TNF-secreting cell in early renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Kidney Int* (2007) 71(7):619–28. doi:10.1038/sj.ki.5002132

27. Dong X, Swaminathan S, Bachman LA, Croatt AJ, Nath KA, Griffin MD. Antigen presentation by dendritic cells in renal lymph nodes is linked to systemic and local injury to the kidney. *Kidney Int* (2005) 68(3):1096–108. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.00502.x

28. Dong X, Bachman LA, Miller MN, Nath KA, Griffin MD. Dendritic cells facilitate accumulation of IL-17 T cells in the kidney following acute renal obstruction. *Kidney Int* (2008) 74(10):1294–309. doi:10.1038/ki.2008.394

29. Mulay SR, Kulkarni OP, Rupanagudi KV, Migliorini A, Darisipudi MN, Vilaysane A, et al. Calcium oxalate crystals induce renal inflammation by NLRP3-mediated IL-1beta secretion. *J Clin Invest* (2013) 123(1):236–46. doi:10.1172/JCI63679

30. Tittel AP, Heuser C, Ohliger C, Knolle PA, Engel DR, Kurts C. Kidney dendritic cells induce innate immunity against bacterial pyelonephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2011) 22(8):1435–41. doi:10.1681/ASN.2010101072

31. Scholz J, Lukacs-Kornek V, Engel DR, Specht S, Kiss E, Eitner F, et al. Renal dendritic cells stimulate IL-10 production and attenuate nephrotoxic nephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2008) 19(3):527–37. doi:10.1681/ASN.2007060684

32. Heymann F, Meyer-Schwesinger C, Hamilton-Williams EE, Hammerich L, Panzer U, Kaden S, et al. Kidney dendritic cell activation is required for progression of renal disease in a mouse model of glomerular injury. *J Clin Invest* (2009) 119(5):1286–97. doi:10.1172/JCI38399

33. Kim MG, Boo CS, Ko YS, Lee HY, Cho WY, Kim HK, et al. Depletion of kidney CD11c+ F4/80+ cells impairs the recovery process in ischaemia/reperfusion-induced acute kidney injury. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* (2010) 25(9):2908–21. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfq183

34. Kawakami T, Lichtnekert J, Thompson LJ, Karna P, Bouabe H, Hohl TM, et al. Resident renal mononuclear phagocytes comprise five discrete populations with distinct phenotypes and functions. *J Immunol* (2013) 191(6):3358–72. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1300342

35. Griffin MD. Mononuclear phagocyte depletion strategies in models of acute kidney disease: what are they trying to tell us? *Kidney Int* (2012) 82(8):835–7. doi:10.1038/ki.2012.164

36. Tittel AP, Heuser C, Ohliger C, Llanto C, Yona S, Hammerling GJ, et al. Functionally relevant neutrophilia in CD11c diphtheria toxin receptor transgenic mice. *Nat Methods* (2012) 9(4):385–90. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1905

37. Weisser SB, van Rooijen N, Sly LM. Depletion and reconstitution of macrophages in mice. *J Vis Exp* (2012) (66):4105. doi:10.3791/4105

38. Jung S, Unutmaz D, Wong P, Sano G, De los Santos K, Sparwasser T, et al. In vivo depletion of CD11c+ dendritic cells abrogates priming of CD8+ T cells by exogenous cell-associated antigens. *Immunity* (2002) 17(2):211–20. doi:10.1016/S1074-7613(02)00365-5

39. Lavin Y, Winter D, Blecher-Gonen R, David E, Keren-Shaul H, Merad M, et al. Tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes are shaped by the local microenvironment. *Cell* (2014) 159(6):1312–26. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.018

40. Gosselin D, Link VM, Romanoski CE, Fonseca GJ, Eichenfield DZ, Spann NJ, et al. Environment drives selection and function of enhancers controlling tissue-specific macrophage identities. *Cell* (2014) 159(6):1327–40. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.023

41. Guilliams M, Ginhoux F, Jakubzick C, Naik SH, Onai N, Schraml BU, et al. Dendritic cells, monocytes and macrophages: a unified nomenclature based on ontogeny. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2014) 14(8):571–8. doi:10.1038/nri3712

42. Ajami B, Bennett JL, Krieger C, McNagny KM, Rossi FM. Infiltrating monocytes trigger EAE progression, but do not contribute to the resident microglia pool. *Nat Neurosci* (2011) 14(9):1142–9. doi:10.1038/nn.2887

43. Ginhoux F, Greter M, Leboeuf M, Nandi S, See P, Gokhan S, et al. Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages. *Science* (2010) 330(6005):841–5. doi:10.1126/science.1194637

44. Mildner A, Schmidt H, Nitsche M, Merkler D, Hanisch UK, Mack M, et al. Microglia in the adult brain arise from Ly-6ChiCCR2+ monocytes only under defined host conditions. *Nat Neurosci* (2007) 10(12):1544–53. doi:10.1038/nn2015

45. Schulz C, Gomez Perdiguero E, Chorro L, Szabo-Rogers H, Cagnard N, Kierdorf K, et al. A lineage of myeloid cells independent of Myb and hematopoietic stem cells. *Science* (2012) 336(6077):86–90. doi:10.1126/science.1219179

46. Gomez Perdiguero E, Klapproth K, Schulz C, Busch K, Azzoni E, Crozet L, et al. Tissue-resident macrophages originate from yolk-sac-derived erythro-myeloid progenitors. *Nature* (2015) 518(7540):547–51. doi:10.1038/nature13989

47. Hettinger J, Richards DM, Hansson J, Barra MM, Joschko AC, Krijgsveld J, et al. Origin of monocytes and macrophages in a committed progenitor. *Nat Immunol* (2013) 14(8):821–30. doi:10.1038/ni.2638

48. Naik SH, Sathe P, Park HY, Metcalf D, Proietto AI, Dakic A, et al. Development of plasmacytoid and conventional dendritic cell subtypes from single precursor cells derived in vitro and in vivo. *Nat Immunol* (2007) 8(11):1217–26. doi:10.1038/ni1522

49. Onai N, Obata-Onai A, Schmid MA, Ohteki T, Jarrossay D, Manz MG. Identification of clonogenic common Flt3+M-CSFR+ plasmacytoid and conventional dendritic cell progenitors in mouse bone marrow. *Nat Immunol* (2007) 8(11):1207–16. doi:10.1038/ni1518

50. Ginhoux F, Jung S. Monocytes and macrophages: developmental pathways and tissue homeostasis. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2014) 14(6):392–404. doi:10.1038/nri3671

51. Schraml BU, van Blijswijk J, Zelenay S, Whitney PG, Filby A, Acton SE, et al. Genetic tracing via DNGR-1 expression history defines dendritic cells as a hematopoietic lineage. *Cell* (2013) 154(4):843–58. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.014

52. Plantinga M, Guilliams M, Vanheerswynghels M, Deswarte K, Branco-Madeira F, Toussaint W, et al. Conventional and monocyte-derived CD11b(+) dendritic cells initiate and maintain T helper 2 cell-mediated immunity to house dust mite allergen. *Immunity* (2013) 38(2):322–35. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2012.10.016

53. Tamoutounour S, Henri S, Lelouard H, de Bovis B, de Haar C, van der Woude CJ, et al. CD64 distinguishes macrophages from dendritic cells in the gut and reveals the Th1-inducing role of mesenteric lymph node macrophages during colitis. *Eur J Immunol* (2012) 42(12):3150–66. doi:10.1002/eji.201242847

54. Langlet C, Tamoutounour S, Henri S, Luche H, Ardouin L, Gregoire C, et al. CD64 expression distinguishes monocyte-derived and conventional dendritic cells and reveals their distinct role during intramuscular immunization. *J Immunol* (2012) 188(4):1751–60. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1102744

55. Gautier EL, Shay T, Miller J, Greter M, Jakubzick C, Ivanov S, et al. Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages. *Nat Immunol* (2012) 13(11):1118–28. doi:10.1038/ni.2419

56. Tamoutounour S, Guilliams M, Montanana Sanchis F, Liu H, Terhorst D, Malosse C, et al. Origins and functional specialization of macrophages and of conventional and monocyte-derived dendritic cells in mouse skin. *Immunity* (2013) 39(5):925–38. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.004

57. Miller JC, Brown BD, Shay T, Gautier EL, Jojic V, Cohain A, et al. Deciphering the transcriptional network of the dendritic cell lineage. *Nat Immunol* (2012) 13(9):888–99. doi:10.1038/ni.2370

58. Savina A, Amigorena S. Phagocytosis and antigen presentation in dendritic cells. *Immunol Rev* (2007) 219:143–56. doi:10.1111/j.1600-065X.2007.00552.x

59. Desmedt M, Rottiers P, Dooms H, Fiers W, Grooten J. Macrophages induce cellular immunity by activating Th1 cell responses and suppressing Th2 cell responses. *J Immunol* (1998) 160(11):5300–8.

60. Moser M. Regulation of Th1/Th2 development by antigen-presenting cells in vivo. *Immunobiology* (2001) 204(5):551–7. doi:10.1078/0171-2985-00092

61. Hume DA. Macrophages as APC and the dendritic cell myth. *J Immunol* (2008) 181(9):5829–35. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.181.9.5829

62. Nelson PJ, Rees AJ, Griffin MD, Hughes J, Kurts C, Duffield J. The renal mononuclear phagocytic system. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2012) 23(2):194–203. doi:10.1681/ASN.2011070680

63. Day YJ, Huang L, Ye H, Linden J, Okusa MD. Renal ischemia-reperfusion injury and adenosine 2A receptor-mediated tissue protection: role of macrophages. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* (2005) 288(4):F722–31. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00378.2004

64. Jo SK, Sung SA, Cho WY, Go KJ, Kim HK. Macrophages contribute to the initiation of ischaemic acute renal failure in rats. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* (2006) 21(5):1231–9. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfk047

65. Lassen S, Lech M, Rommele C, Mittruecker HW, Mak TW, Anders HJ. Ischemia reperfusion induces IFN regulatory factor 4 in renal dendritic cells, which suppresses postischemic inflammation and prevents acute renal failure. *J Immunol* (2010) 185(3):1976–83. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0904207

66. Lech M, Avila-Ferrufino A, Allam R, Segerer S, Khandoga A, Krombach F, et al. Resident dendritic cells prevent postischemic acute renal failure by help of single Ig IL-1 receptor-related protein. *J Immunol* (2009) 183(6):4109–18. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0900118

67. Sola A, Weigert A, Jung M, Vinuesa E, Brecht K, Weis N, et al. Sphingosine-1-phosphate signalling induces the production of Lcn-2 by macrophages to promote kidney regeneration. *J Pathol* (2011) 225(4):597–608. doi:10.1002/path.2982

68. Kitamoto K, Machida Y, Uchida J, Izumi Y, Shiota M, Nakao T, et al. Effects of liposome clodronate on renal leukocyte populations and renal fibrosis in murine obstructive nephropathy. *J Pharmacol Sci* (2009) 111(3):285–92. doi:10.1254/jphs.09227FP

69. Lin SL, Castano AP, Nowlin BT, Lupher ML Jr, Duffield JS. Bone marrow Ly6Chigh monocytes are selectively recruited to injured kidney and differentiate into functionally distinct populations. *J Immunol* (2009) 183(10):6733–43. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0901473

70. Tadagavadi RK, Reeves WB. Renal dendritic cells ameliorate nephrotoxic acute kidney injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2010) 21(1):53–63. doi:10.1681/ASN.2009040407

71. Duffield JS, Tipping PG, Kipari T, Cailhier JF, Clay S, Lang R, et al. Conditional ablation of macrophages halts progression of crescentic glomerulonephritis. *Am J Pathol* (2005) 167(5):1207–19. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)61209-6

72. Bagavant H, Deshmukh US, Wang H, Ly T, Fu SM. Role for nephritogenic T cells in lupus glomerulonephritis: progression to renal failure is accompanied by T cell activation and expansion in regional lymph nodes. *J Immunol* (2006) 177(11):8258–65. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.177.11.8258

73. Ishikawa S, Sato T, Abe M, Nagai S, Onai N, Yoneyama H, et al. Aberrant high expression of B lymphocyte chemokine (BLC/CXCL13) by C11b+CD11c+ dendritic cells in murine lupus and preferential chemotaxis of B1 cells towards BLC. *J Exp Med* (2001) 193(12):1393–402. doi:10.1084/jem.193.12.1393

74. Riedel JH, Paust HJ, Turner JE, Tittel AP, Krebs C, Disteldorf E, et al. Immature renal dendritic cells recruit regulatory CXCR6(+) invariant natural killer T cells to attenuate crescentic GN. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2012) 23(12):1987–2000. doi:10.1681/ASN.2012040394

75. Coates PT, Colvin BL, Ranganathan A, Duncan FJ, Lan YY, Shufesky WJ, et al. CCR and CC chemokine expression in relation to Flt3 ligand-induced renal dendritic cell mobilization. *Kidney Int* (2004) 66(5):1907–17. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.00965.x

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.