

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Nat Commun. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Commun. 2013 ; 4: 2939. doi:10.1038/ncomms3939.

Scaffold function of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR in protein ubiquitination

Je-Hyun Yoon1, **Kotb Abdelmohsen**1, **Jiyoung Kim**1, **Xiaoling Yang**1, **Jennifer L. Martindale**1, **Kumiko Tominaga-Yamanaka**1, **Elizabeth J. White**2, **Arturo V. Orjalo**3, **John L. Rinn**4, **Stefan G. Kreft**5, **Gerald M. Wilson**2, and **Myriam Gorospe**¹

¹Laboratory of Genetics, National Institute on Aging-Intramural Research Program, NIH, Baltimore, Maryland 21224, USA

²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Cancer Center, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA

³Biosearch Technologies, Inc., Novato, California 94949, USA

⁴Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

⁵Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany

Abstract

Although mammalian long non-coding (lnc)RNAs are best known for modulating transcription, their post-transcriptional influence on mRNA splicing, stability and translation is emerging. Here we report a post-translational function for the lncRNA *HOTAIR* as an inducer of ubiquitinmediated proteolysis. *HOTAIR* associates with E3 ubiquitin ligases bearing RNA-binding domains, Dzip3 and Mex3b, as well as with their respective ubiquitination substrates, Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1. In this manner, *HOTAIR* facilitates the ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 by Dzip3 and Snurportin-1 by Mex3b in cells and *in vitro*, and accelerates their degradation. *HOTAIR* levels are highly upregulated in senescent cells, causing rapid decay of targets Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1, and preventing premature senescence. These results uncover a role for a lncRNA, *HOTAIR*, as a platform for protein ubiquitination.

> Eukaryotic gene expression is tightly regulated by post-transcriptional mechanisms controlled by non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) and by RNA-binding proteins (RBPs). Among the small ncRNAs, microRNAs (miRNAs) typically repress gene expression by inhibiting the translation or promoting the decay of target $mRNAs¹$. miRNA-mediated silencing is

Author contributions

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at<http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to: J.-H.Y. (yoonjehyun@gmail.com).

J.-H.Y., K.A., J.K., J.L.M., K.T.-Y., E.J.W. and A.V.O. designed and performed experiments and analysed the data; X.Y., A.V.O., J.L.R., S.G.K. and G.M.W. developed reagents and analytical tools; J.-H.Y., G.M.W. and M.G. analysed the data and prepared the manuscript.

elicited by components of the RNA-induced silencing complex such as argonaute 2 (Ago2), which cleaves target mRNAs, remodels mRNA-containing ribonucleoprotein complexes and affects mRNA translation and stability^{2,3}. Long ncRNAs (lncRNAs) have been implicated primarily in transcriptional regulation by modulating the activity of transcription factors and by serving as scaffolds for assembling transcriptional regulators⁴. A number of posttranscriptional functions for lncRNAs are also emerging, with examples of lncRNAs titrating miRNAs and RBPs, guiding ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes, sequestering splicing factors, modulating mRNA decay and suppressing target mRNA translation⁵⁻⁹.

One of the best-studied RBPs is human antigen R (HuR), a ubiquitous protein that stabilizes and modulates the translation of many target mRNAs. In a recent study, Mukherjee *et al.*¹⁰ used Photoactivatable-Ribonucleoside-Enhanced Crosslinking and Immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP) sequencing to identify a variety of additional HuR target transcripts, including pre-mRNAs, lncRNAs and miRNAs. Among the non-coding ligands of HuR was let7 (lethal-7), a miRNA first described in nematodes and highly conserved among species; in mammalian cells, let7 regulates many processes, including cell proliferation, development, tumorigenesis and apoptosis¹¹. The discovery of HuR-associated small ncRNAs and lncRNAs prompted us to investigate these interactions in detail, as well as to study the regulation and consequences of these complexes.

Here, we report that HuR associates with the lncRNA *HOTAIR* (HOX antisense intergenic RNA) and lowers its stability by recruiting the let7-Ago2 complex. We show evidence that *HOTAIR* forms complexes with two E3 ubiquitin ligases bearing RNA-binding domains, Dzip3 and Mex3b, and *HOTAIR* also interacts with their respective ubiquitination substrates, Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1. By facilitating the formation of these complexes, *HOTAIR* promotes the ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 by Dzip3 and Snurportin-1 by Mex3b and increases their degradation. When HuR levels decline in cellular processes such as cell senescence, *HOTAIR* is stable and displays a previously unrecognized post-translational function as a modulator of ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis.

Results

HuR enhances the interaction of Ago2 with let7

Using fluorescence anisotropy-based binding assays, we investigated the binding of purified recombinant HuR with fluorescein-tagged let7b and let7i, two of the HuR-bound miRNAs identified by PAR-CLIP analysis. HuR formed direct complexes with let7 substrates consistent with single-site binding models, with $K_d = 1.6$ nM for let7b and $K_d = 9.6$ nM for let7i (Fig. 1a,b). HuR binding to let7b was particularly strong, comparable to the affinity measured for a similarly sized AU-rich element, a hallmark substrate for $H \text{u} \text{R}^{12}$, whereas binding to let7i was weaker. We also studied the interaction of endogenous HuR with miRNA in HeLa (human cervical carcinoma) cells by RNP immunoprecipitation (RIP) analysis, which identifies HuR-bound transcripts by employing an anti-HuR antibody and mild immunoprecipitation (IP) conditions that preserve HuR-RNA complexes. In HeLa cell lysates, HuR RIP and northern blot analysis revealed that mature let7 miRNA was enriched in HuR IP samples but not in control IgG IP samples, further indicating that HuR was

capable of interacting with endogenous mature let7 present in cytoplasmic lysate, whereas a negative control RNA (*U6*) was not (Fig. 1c).

The strong binding of HuR and let7 suggested that HuR might functionally affect the association between Ago2 and let7, perhaps enhancing or inhibiting Ago-let7 interactions. To test these possibilities, we silenced HuR in HeLa cells using specific small interfering (si)RNA and measured let7b and let7i bound to Ago2 by RIP analysis followed by reverse transcription (RT) and real-time, quantitative (q)PCR analysis of small RNAs. Our results indicated that Ago2 binding to let7b and let7i was reduced (whereas Ago2 levels were not) when HuR was silenced, suggesting that HuR facilitated Ago2-let7 interactions (Fig. 1d). *In vitro* binding assays supported this hypothesis, as Ago2 (His-Ago2) interactions with let7b and let7i were enhanced in the presence of HuR (MBP-HuR; Fig. 1e). These results suggest that HuR binds let7 and increases Ago2–let7 complexes.

HuR interacts with HOTAIR and promotes its decay

PAR-CLIP identified many lncRNAs as HuR target transcripts¹⁰. Among them, lincRNAp21 was rendered unstable through its interaction with HuR, an effect dependent on the recruitment of let7 to lincRNA-p21 (ref. 9). A RIP-based screen to identify other lncRNAs associated with HuR revealed that *HOTAIR* was highly enriched (~18-fold) in HuR IP compared with IgG IP, as measured by RT-qPCR analysis of the RNA present in the IP material (normalized to the levels of *GAPDH* mRNA, encoding a housekeeping protein) (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. S1a). By contrast, there was no enrichment in IP samples using an antibody against the RBP AUF1 (Fig. 2a); additional RBPs screened are in Supplementary Fig. S1b,c. A full-length (FL) biotinylated *HOTAIR* transcript was synthesized using a plasmid construct¹³ and tested for binding to HuR *in vitro*. Pulldown with streptavidin-coated beads followed by Coomassie staining showed that recombinant Maltose-Binding Protein (MBP)-HuR, but not MBP alone, associated with the *HOTAIR(FL)* biotinylated RNA; a control biotinylated RNA (*GAPDH 3*′ *UTR*) did not associate with MBP-HuR (Fig. 2b). To map the site of interaction of HuR with *HOTAIR*, HeLa cell lysates were subjected to limited digestion with RNase T1 (Methods), so that G residues protected by an RBP would remain preferentially uncleaved. Following HuR IP, the fragments associated with HuR and protected from degradation were identified by RT and qPCR analysis using 23 primer pairs (Supplementary Table S1) that scanned the *HOTAIR* transcript in overlapping ~150 nucleotide-long segments (Fig. 2c). As shown in Fig. 2d, HuR binding was greatest at segments 11 and 12, spanning nucleotides \sim 1,028–1,272, as confirmed by crosslinking IP (CLIP) analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2a,b) and by *in vitro* binding assays (Supplementary Fig. S2f). Minor binding was also seen for 5′ segments 3 and 4 (~223–471) and for several 3′ segments (Fig. 2d).

To study the influence of HuR on *HOTAIR* expression, we silenced HuR or AUF1 in HeLa cells (Fig. 2e, Supplementary Fig. S3). Compared with *HOTAIR* levels in control cells (Ctrl siRNA), lowering HuR, but not lowering AUF1, increased *HOTAIR* steady-state abundance by more than threefold (Fig. 2e). Treatment with Actinomycin D to inhibit transcription revealed that the half-life of *HOTAIR*, as measured by RT-qPCR-based quantification (Fig. 2f), increased from 1.3 h in Ctrl siRNA cells to >3 h in HuR siRNA cells, whereas *GAPDH*

mRNA half-life was unaffected by HuR levels, indicating that HuR selectively lowered *HOTAIR* stability. In keeping with this notion, HuR overexpression lowered *HOTAIR* levels (Fig. 2g).

As shown earlier for lincRNA-p21, human *HOTAIR* was predicted to associate with several miRNAs, including let7i (Supplementary Fig. S4a). These interactions appeared to be functional, as mouse embryonic fibroblasts deficient in Ago2 displayed higher *Hotair* levels (Supplementary Fig. S4b). In HeLa cells, RIP analysis of Ago2 showed extensive enrichment in *HOTAIR*, while transfection of biotinylated precursor (pre)-let7i followed by pulldown analysis of bound endogenous target RNAs using streptavidin beads and RTqPCR analysis14 revealed a strong enrichment in *HOTAIR* (Supplementary Fig. S4c). These interactions affected *HOTAIR* steady-state levels (Supplementary Fig. S4d) and half-life, which increased after silencing Ago2 and declined after overexpressing pre-let7i (Supplementary Fig. S4e). RIP analysis revealed that silencing HuR lowered Ago2-*HOTAIR* complexes (Supplementary Fig. S4f). In the presence of an antagomir that inhibits endogenous let7i (AS-let7i), *HOTAIR* levels increased despite HuR overexpression (Supplementary Fig. S4g), whereas overexpression of let7i reversed *HOTAIR* upregulation by HuR silencing (Supplementary Fig. S4h). Collectively, these data indicate that HuR and let7i/Ago2 jointly reduce *HOTAIR* stability.

HOTAIR binds E3 ubiquitin ligases and interaction substrates

Both the cytoplasmic and nuclear *HOTAIR* pools were affected by HuR, as determined by fractionating cells (Fig. 3a). Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) analysis confirmed that *HOTAIR* was found in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus and that *HOTAIR* abundance rose after HuR silencing (Fig. 3b). By RIP analysis, we surveyed numerous RBPs that may interact with *HOTAIR*, including cytoplasmic RBPs involved in mRNA decay and translation, in miRNA metabolism and in protein ubiquitination¹⁵ (Supplementary Fig. S1b). The latter group revealed that two E3 ubiquitin ligases with RNA-binding domains, Mex3b (a RING- and KH domain-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase¹⁶) and Dzip3 (a RING- and KKKTK domain-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase17) selectively interacted with *HOTAIR* (Supplementary Fig. S1b). Importantly, the abundance of complexes containing *HOTAIR* and Dzip3, as well as *HOTAIR* and Mex3b increased when HuR was silenced, as determined by RIP analysis (Fig. 3c; Supplementary Fig. S1a). As E3 ubiquitin ligases specifically associate with select ubiquitination substrate proteins, we searched for reported Mex3b- and Dzip3-interacting proteins^{18,19} and focused our attention on those containing RNA-binding domains. RIP analysis revealed that Ataxin-1 (implicated in spinocerebellar ataxia), a protein that specifically associated with Dzip3 (ref. 19) and Snurportin-1 (a shuttling protein involved in nucleocytoplasmic transport), which specifically associated with Mex3b (ref. 18), each interacted with *HOTAIR*, particularly when *HOTAIR* was elevated following HuR silencing (Fig. 3d). The approach described in Fig. 2c,d, employing limited RNase T1 digestion followed by RIP analysis of the *HOTAIR* partial segments, was used to map the regions of interaction of Dzip3, Ataxin-1, Mex3b and Snurportin-1 (Fig. 3e,f). For Dzip3 and its ubiquitinated substrate, preferential binding was seen for fragments 11 and 12 (nucleotides ~1,028–1,272), as confirmed *in vitro* (Supplementary Fig. S2e,f); within this segment, Ataxin-1 showed greater binding to fragment 11, Dzip3 to fragment 12 (Fig. 2d).

For Mex3b, preferred binding was seen in the 5′ region (~125–250), while its interaction substrate, Snurportin-1, associated at an adjacent region (~342–471) and a downstream region (~1,142–1,272).

HOTAIR facilitates substrate ubiquitination and degradation

Supporting a role for the ubiquitin/proteasome pathway in controlling expression of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1, the lowering of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 levels when HuR was silenced (a repression that was linked to the presence of *HOTAIR*) was rescued by treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Fig. 4a). MG132 did not substantially affect Ataxin-1 levels (suggesting slow Ataxin-1 turnover in basal conditions), but it did elevate Snurportin-1 levels (Fig. 4a), indicating that the actions of HuR/*HOTAIR* to reduce Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 required an active proteasome. Interestingly, the interaction of Dzip3 and Ataxin-1, as well as the interaction of Mex3b and Snurportin-1, as assessed by co-IP followed by western blot (WB) analysis, was facilitated by *HOTAIR* overexpression (Fig. 4b–d); furthermore, the levels of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 decreased after *HOTAIR* overexpression. On the basis of the discovery of these interactions, we hypothesized that *HOTAIR* might have a new function in protein ubiquitination and protein degradation.

To test this hypothesis, we first examined if *HOTAIR* affects ubiquitination of associated Ataxin-1, and Snurportin-1. IP of Ataxin-1 or Snurportin-1 followed by ubiquitin WB analysis revealed that silencing HuR increased the pool of ubiquitinated Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1, identified as high-molecular-weight WB signals (forming discrete or diffuse bands; Fig. 4e,f; Supplementary Fig. S3a). Importantly, co-silencing *HOTAIR* (to 30–40% of the levels in control cells, Fig. 4g,h) suppressed the effect of HuR silencing in promoting Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 ubiquitination (Fig. 4e,f); silencing endogenous *HOTAIR* alone did not affect the ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 or Snurportin-1 likely because basal *HOTAIR* levels are relatively low and hence unable to modulate ubiquitination in a robust manner. Likewise, Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 ubiquitination was inhibited after silencing Dzip3 and Mex3b, respectively (Fig. 4e,f; Supplementary Fig. S3c), even in the presence of elevated *HOTAIR* levels. The changes in Ataxin-1 or Snurportin-1 did not arise from changes in the encoding mRNAs, as *ATXN1* mRNA and *SNUPN* mRNA levels did not change in the different transfection groups (Supplementary Figs S3b and S4i,j). Moreover, silencing Ago2 in HeLa cells, an intervention that elevates *HOTAIR* (Supplementary Fig. S4d,e) increased the ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 and reduced the steady-state levels of these proteins (Fig. 4i,j; Supplementary Fig. S3d), linking Ago2 to the ubiquitination and lower abundance of these two *HOTAIR*-associated substrates. Together, these data suggest that HuR affects Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 ubiquitination in a *HOTAIR*-dependent manner, and requires E3 ligases Dzip3 and Mex3b, respectively.

Second, we tested if ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 *in vitro* was also dependent on *HOTAIR* and the corresponding E3 ubiquitin ligases. Using an *in vitro* ubiquitination system that contained an E1 activating enzyme, an E2 ubiquitin conjugating (Ubc) enzyme (UbcH10 for Ataxin-1 (ref. 20), UbcH6 for Snurportin-1; Supplementary Fig. S5a), and ubiquitin, we performed *in vitro* ubiquitination in the presence of E3 ligases (Mex3b (recombinant purified) or Dzip3 (immunoprecipitated after expressing Dzip3-FLAG in

HeLa cells)), and target RBPs (Ataxin1-1 or Snurportin-1), in the presence or absence of *in vitro*-synthesized *HOTAIR*. As shown, *HOTAIR* increased the ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 by Dzip3 (Fig. 5a) and the ubiquitination of Snurportin-1 by Mex3b (Fig. 5b); control transcript *GAPDH* did not promote ubiquitination. The background ubiquitination seen in the reaction samples that did not receive Dzip3 may be due to the fact that E2 enzymes such as UbCH6 can ubiquitinate a substrate with low efficiency *in vitro*20. A systematic analysis of the full complement of E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes and their catalytically inactive variants will be needed to identify the subset of E2 enzymes involved in Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 ubiquitination.

We then compared the stability of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 in HeLa cells expressing different levels of HuR, *HOTAIR* and E3 ligases Dzip3 and Mex3b (Supplementary Fig. S5b). Silencing HuR rendered Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 highly labile, with half-lives of 1.8 and 2.1 h, respectively, as measured by assessing the reduction in protein signals following treatment with the translation inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) (Fig. 5c); the short half-lives were reversed if *HOTAIR* or E3 ligases (Dzip3 for Ataxin-1, Mex3b for Snurportin-1) were simultaneously reduced (Fig. 5c). Whether or not the enhanced ubiquitination and degradation mediated by *HOTAIR* required the interaction of the protein via the RNAbinding domains and the presence of ubiquitination domains was studied in detail for the Dzip3–Ataxin-1 pair. As shown in Fig. 6a, a mutant Dzip3 (bearing a point mutation in the RING domain, essential for ubiquitination) expressed in HeLa cells from plasmid pTRUFhRUL138(C1187S) was unable to ubiquitinate Ataxin-1 (top) or promote its degradation (bottom), as compared with the effect of expressing the wild-type counterpart pTRUFhRUL138(WT)¹⁷. In addition, ectopic expression of a mutant Ataxin-1(82Q) that cannot bind RNA21 indeed did not interact with *HOTAIR* (Fig. 6b). Consistent with this observation, Ataxin-1(82Q) was not destabilized by HuR silencing (with $t_{1/2}$ changing only from 4.1 to 3.9 h (Fig. 6c)), in contrast to wild-type Ataxin-1 (bearing 30 Q residues instead), whose $t_{1/2}$ changed from 4.8 to 1.8 h after HuR silencing (Fig. 5c). Accordingly, the steady-state levels of Ataxin-1(82Q) did not decline after silencing HuR (Fig. 6d). Taken together, these data reveal that *HOTAIR* serves as an assembly scaffold that facilitates the interaction of E3 ligases (Dzip3 and Mex3b) with ubiquitination targets Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1, respectively. The interaction sites can be proximal (as for Ataxin-1 and Dzip3, *HOTAIR* fragments 11 and 12 (Fig. 2d)) or further apart on the linear transcript (as for Snurportin-1 and Mex3b, *HOTAIR* fragments 12 and 2, respectively (Fig. 3e,f)). In this regard, overexpression of Ataxin-1 or Snurportin-1 competed for HuR binding to *HOTAIR* (Supplementary Fig. S5c). Of note, *HOTAIR* did not appear to affect global protein ubiquitination patterns (Supplementary Fig. S5d). Together, these results uncover a previously unknown function for a lncRNA as mediator of the ubiquitination and enhanced proteolysis of select proteins (Fig. 6e).

HOTAIR levels rise with and contribute to cell senescence

As HuR and miRNAs are closely linked to cellular senescence^{22,23}, we tested if *HOTAIR* levels were altered during senescence. To study this possibility, we used human IDH4 fibroblasts, which become senescent rapidly after removing dexamethasone (Dex) from the culture medium²², and WI-38 human diploid fibroblasts rendered senescent by 10 days after

Solution Equal to Page 7 and the Page 7

exposure to 10 Gy of ionizing radiation (IR). As reported previously, HuR was lower in senescent cells than in proliferating cells²²; interestingly, *HOTAIR* levels were approximately fourfold higher in senescent cells, whereas Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 levels, as well as the levels of Ago2 and TERT, were markedly reduced (Fig. 7a). The levels of senescent markers p53, p21 and p16 were elevated (Fig. 7a), and cells displayed the characteristic senescence-associated (SA) increase in G1 cell cycle compartment (Fig. 7b). FISH analysis confirmed that *HOTAIR* levels were higher in senescent cells and in cells in which HuR was silenced (Fig. 7c). The increased *HOTAIR* levels were associated with elevated ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 and with lower steady state levels of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 in both senescent models (Fig. 7d,e).

That the increased *HOTAIR* was important for the implementation of senescence was evidenced by experiments in which silencing *HOTAIR* in IDH4 cells (Fig. 7f) reversed the senescent phenotype, with a lower proportion of cells displaying the hallmark SA-βgalactosidase activity along with reduced levels of senescence markers (Fig. 7f). Importantly, the reduction in levels of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 in senescent (IR) WI-38 cells was reversed if *HOTAIR* was silenced (Fig. 7g).

The lower levels of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 correlated with enhanced ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 (Fig. 7d,e); *ATXN1* mRNA or *SNUPN* mRNA levels were unchanged in + Dex compared with − Dex cells (Supplementary Fig. S6a,b). In keeping with the lower levels of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 in senescent (− Dex) cells, protein stability was markedly reduced in this group, but the instability was reversed when *HOTAIR* or the E3 ligases (Mex3b or Dzip3) were silenced (Fig. 8a,b). The stability of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 in proliferating $(+$ Dex) cells was also rescued if HuR was silenced, which elevated *HOTAIR* (Supplementary Fig. S6c,d), and was restored if cells were subjected to simultaneous silencing of Dzip3 or Mex3b, respectively (Fig. 8a,b). Together, these findings provide a cellular context in which *HOTAIR* controls gene expression to modulate the protein expression program of senescent cells.

Discussion

From these results, a new function emerges for *HOTAIR* as a possible scaffold transcript that enhances E3-mediated ubiquitination of substrate proteins (Fig. 6e). Like other lncRNAs (for example, *XIST*, *ANRIL*), *HOTAIR* assists in assembling chromatin modification complexes (for example, by recruiting PRC1/2 and LSD-CoREST to repress *HOX* locus genes)^{13,24}. The enhanced ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 may be linked to the cytoplasmic presence of *HOTAIR*, as Dzip3 is localized in the cytoplasm; however, Mex3b and Snurportin-1 are both nuclear and cytoplasmic, suggesting that *HOTAIR*-facilitated ubiquitination could occur in both compartments. *HOTAIR* could also participate in other cellular processes via Mex3b, which associates with the signalling protein SMAD4 and with the homoeostasis checkpoint protein NUDT3 (refs 19,25).

The findings that HuR showed preferential binding to a *HOTAIR* segment spanning nucleotides 1,142–1,272 (fragment 12, Fig. 2d, Supplementary Fig. S2) and that this segment was also a strong region of interaction with Dzip3, Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 (Fig.

3e,f; Supplementary Fig. S2), suggests a possible feedback regulatory loop for the levels of the two substrate proteins. According to this model, when Ataxin-1 or Snurportin-1 levels are low, HuR would bind preferentially to *HOTAIR*, reducing its stability and inhibiting the processes of ubiquitination and proteolysis of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1. Conversely, when the levels of the substrate proteins rise, the binding of Ataxin-1, Snurportin-1 or Dzip3 to *HOTAIR* likely prevents the interaction of HuR with fragment 12, rendering *HOTAIR* stable and thus facilitating the ubiquitination and degradation of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1, as suggested by the finding that Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 can displace HuR from *HOTAIR* (Supplementary Fig. S5c). Full experimental support for this putative negative feedback loop awaits further study. In addition, the apparently distal binding of Mex3b, near the 5′ end (Fig. 3f), may be understood better after the three-dimensional structure assumed by *HOTAIR* is elucidated.

In the context of cellular senescence, elevated *HOTAIR* was necessary for eliciting the senescent phenotype (Fig. 7); accordingly, Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 were less abundant and less stable in senescent cells (Fig. 8), although the contribution of these proteins (and perhaps other *HOTAIR*-regulated proteins) in senescence is presently unknown. Senescence is one of the physiologic processes that robustly and permanently lower HuR levels in the cell22. However, other cellular processes such as stress responses can transiently lower HuR levels (for example, through cleavage or degradation of $HuR^{26,27}$) or HuR binding to target mRNAs (for example, through phosphorylation of $HuR^{28,29}$). Thus, besides the long-term effect of reduced HuR on *HOTAIR*-driven ubiquitination and proteolysis seen during senescence, a transient decline in HuR levels or binding to RNA could also temporarily increase a *HOTAIR*-controlled ubiquitin proteasome pathway in response to cell damage.

Our findings add to a growing body of evidence that HuR controls gene expression via a complex network of mediators that includes ncRNAs and other RBPs. In addition to its wellestablished influence on the stability and translation of mRNAs with which HuR associates directly, HuR can influence protein expression patterns indirectly by facilitating or competing with miRNA function³⁰ and by controlling the levels of lncRNAs, which in turn elicit other post-transcriptional and post-translational roles. In this regard, HuR was recently shown to downregulate lincRNA-p21, thereby permitting the translation of mRNAs translationally repressed by lincRNA-p21 (ref. 9), while our present results show that HuR downregulated *HOTAIR*, an assembly platform for E3 ubiquitin ligases bearing RNAbinding motifs, Dzip3 and Mex3b, and thus reduced their ability to interact with substrates Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1. We expect that the rich, multi-levelled post-transcriptional regulation mediated by HuR will also be carried out by other RBPs.

In conclusion, together with its scaffold function to assemble transcription regulators, *HOTAIR* can also serve as a platform to control protein levels via the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway. By acting on multiple levels of gene regulation, *HOTAIR* and likely other long and short ncRNAs, can robustly influence gene expression programs in physiologic and pathologic states³¹.

Methods

Anisotropy analysis

Untagged recombinant HuR was generated in *Escherichia coli* ER2566 cells and purified using the IMPACT-CN (Intein Mediated Purification with an Affinity Chitin-binding Tag) system (New England Biolabs) according to manufacturer's protocol¹². HuR binding to $3'$ fluorescein (Fl)-tagged let7b or let7i (Integrated DNA Technologies) was evaluated by changes in the fluorescence anisotropy of the tagged let7 substrates as a function of protein concentration¹². Briefly, limiting concentrations of Fl-let7 substrates (0.2 nM) were incubated with varying concentrations of purified HuR in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 50 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM EDTA and 0.1 μ g μ l⁻¹ acetylated bovine serum albumin. Heparin (1 µg μ ⁻¹) was added to suppress non-specific binding events. Reactions were incubated at room temperature for 30 min before measuring fluorescence anisotropy using a Beacon 2000 Fluorescence Polarization System (Panvera) equipped with fluorescein excitation (490 nm) and emission (535 nm) filters. RNP complex affinity was resolved by nonlinear regression of total measured anisotropy (*A^t*) as a function of HuR concentration ([P]) using equation (1) where A_R and A_{PR} are the intrinsic anisotropy of the free Fl-let7 and HuR-Fl-let7 complex, respectively, and *K* is the apparent equilibrium association constant $(K=1/K_d)$:

$$
A_t = \frac{A_{\rm R} + A_{\rm PR} K[P]}{1 + K[P]} \quad (1)
$$

The appropriateness of the single-site binding model was evaluated by the coefficient of determination (R^2) from individual experiments and analysis of residual plot nonrandomness to detect any bias for data subsets (PRISM v3.03). Analyses of data sets using quadratic binding models incorporating ligand depletion (derived by Wilson³²) did not significantly alter resolved HuR-let7 affinity constants.

Cell culture and transfection

Human HeLa cells (ATCC), human fibroblasts IDH4 (a gift from Dr J.W. Shay, UT Southwestern) and WI-38 (Coriell Cell Repositories), and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (a gift from R. de Cabo, NIA, NIH) were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. For RNA stability assays, HeLa cells were treated with actinomycin D (2.5 μg μ l⁻¹), followed by RT-qPCR analysis to determine their half-life $(t_{1/2})$, the time needed for each transcript to reach 50% of their original abundance. For protein stability assays, cells were treated with CHX (10 μ g μ l⁻¹) for the indicated times and WB analysis followed by densitometry quantification of protein signals was used to measure their half-life $(t_{1/2})$, the time necessary for each protein to reach 50% of its original abundance. Cells were transfected (Lipofectamine 2000, Invitrogen) with siRNAs (20 mM) directed to *HOTAIR* (GAACGG GAGUACAGAGAGAdTdT), HuR (CGUAAGUUAUUUCCUUUAAdTdT), Ago2, Dzip3 and Mex3b (Santa Cruz), with Preand anti-let7i (Ambion, 10 nM), or with plasmids. Plasmids that expressed FL and partial *HOTAIR* transcripts were described previously¹³. Plasmid pCDNA1Amp-ataxin-1[30Q] (a kind gift from H.T. Orr³³) was used to overexpress Ataxin-1. Dzip3 was over-expressed

from plasmid pTR-UF-hRUL138-FLAG in mammalian cells or from pET-30a-hRUL 832-1176 in *E. coli*¹⁷. MBP-HuR was described previously³⁴. pHis-GFP-SNP was kindly provided by AG Matera. Transfected cells were generally analysed 48 h later.

IDH4 cells were cultured in the presence of 1 mM Dex to preserve the transcription of the SV40 large-T antigen, which suppresses senescence and promotes proliferation. To induce senescence, Dex was removed from the IDH4 culture media, and the regular serum was replaced with charcoal-stripped serum; cells were assessed for senescence 5 days later²². For assessment of SA-β-galactosidase activity, cells were seeded in 30-mm diameter dishes, cultured in medium without Dex for 5 days, fixed with a 3% formaldehyde solution, washed and incubated with SA-β-gal staining solution (1 mg ml⁻¹ X-Gal, 40 mM citric acid–sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0), 5 mM ferrocyanide, 5 mM ferricyanide, 150 mM NaCl and 2 mM MgCl₂) to visualize SA-β-gal activity. WI-38 cells were rendered senescent by exposure to 10 Gy ionizing radiation followed by standard culture for 10 days³⁵. Standard fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis was performed to determine the percentages of G1, S and G2/M cells.

Western blot and northern blot analysis

Whole-cell lysates, prepared in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer, were separated by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and transferred onto Nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen iBlot Stack). Primary antibodies recognizing α-Tubulin, Actin, Ubiquitin, HSP90, HuR, Snurportin-1, Dzip3, Mex3b, p16, p21, p53, TERT and Ataxin-1 were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (used at 1:1,000 dilution). Antibodies recognizing Ago2, AUF1 and Flag were from Abcam, Millipore and Sigma, respectively (used at 1:1,000 dilution). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were from GE Healthcare (used at 1:5,000 dilution).

For northern blot analysis, total RNA was prepared by miRNeasy kits (Qiagen) and separated using precast TBE-Urea gel (Invitrogen). Gels were transferred to Nylon membranes (iBlot, Invitrogen) and the membranes were crosslinked using ultraviolet light. DNA oligonucleotides complementary to let-7 or *U6* were end-labelled with radioactive ATP and used for probing the nylon membranes³⁶. Images were acquired with Typhoon Scanner (GE Healthcare).

Larger fields of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. S7.

RNP analysis

For IP of endogenous RNP complexes (RIP analysis) from whole-cell extracts^{28,36}, cells were lysed in 20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl₂$ and 0.5% NP-40 (and 10 mM *N*-Ethylmaleimide for ubiquitination assay) for 10 min on ice and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. The supernatants were incubated with protein A-Sepharose beads coated with antibodies that recognized HuR, Dzip3, Mex3b, Snurportin-1, Ataxin-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Ago2 (Abcam) or AUF1 (Millipore), or with control IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 h at 4° C. After the beads were washed with NT2 buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl₂$ and 0.05% NP-40), the

complexes were incubated with 20 units of RNase-free DNase I (15 min at 37 °C) and further incubated with 0.1% SDS/0.5 mg ml⁻¹ Proteinase K (15 min at 55 °C) to remove DNA and proteins, respectively. The RNA isolated from the IP materials was further assessed by RT-qPCR analysis using the primers listed (Supplementary Table S1). Normalization of RIP results was carried out by quantifying in parallel the relative levels of *U6* snRNA37 (for RIP analysis of small RNAs) and *GAPDH* mRNA (for RIP analysis of longer RNA) in each IP sample. These abundant RNAs are non-specific contaminants present in the IP components (microfuge tube, beads, etc.).

For mapping experiments, lysates were first digested with RNase T1 (1 units μ l⁻¹, 5 min), whereupon RIP analysis was performed using antibodies that recognized each of the five proteins (HuR, Dzip3, Ataxin-1, Mex3b and Snurportin-1). After washes and isolation of the bound RNA, the segments of *HOTAIR* bound by each RBP, and hence protected from RNase T1 digestion and immunoprecipitated, were identified by RT-qPCR analysis using primer pairs that scanned the *HOTAIR* transcript at ~150 nucleotide long, overlapping intervals (Supplementary Table S1). Biotinylated *HOTAIR* was synthesized using a *HOTAIR*-expressing plasmid¹³, whereas a biotinylated *GAPDH* 3[']UTR fragment was prepared using as template a T7 promoter-bearing PCR product that contained the *GAPDH* 3′UTR (Supplementary Table S1).

Subcellular fractionation

For preparing cytosolic and nuclear fractions, cells were lysed with a buffer containing 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM, NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂ and 40 μ g ml⁻¹ digitonin for 10 min, and the resulting lysates were centrifuged with 2,060 *g* for 10 min at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. The supernatant was used for the cytosolic fraction. The pellets were washed, incubated with RIPA buffer at 4 °C for 10 min and the nuclear fraction collected after centrifugation at 4 °C for 10 min at 21,000 *g*.

RT-qPCR analysis

Trizol (Invitrogen) was used to extract total RNA and acidic phenol (Ambion) was used to extract RNA for RIP analysis²⁸. RT was performed using random hexamers and reverse transcriptase (SSII, Invitrogen) and real-time, quantitative (q)PCR using gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table S1 and ref. 9), and SYBR green master mix (Kapa Biosystems), using an Applied Biosystems 7300 instrument. For miRNA quantitation, RNA extracted from Ago2 and IgG IPs was polyadenylated (System Biosciences, QuantiMiR kit) and hybridized with oligo-dT adaptors. After RT, cDNAs were quantitated by qPCR with miRNA-specific primers or with primers to detect the control transcript *U6*, along with a universal primer.

FISH analysis

Cells were grown in 2-well chambered coverglass (Lab-Tek), briefly washed with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min, washed with PBS and permeabilized in 70% ethanol for >1 h (or stored up to 1 week) at 4 °C; stored cells were briefly rehydrated with Wash Buffer $(2 \times SSC, 10\%$ formamide) before FISH. The Stellaris FISH Probes to *HOTAIR* (Biosearch Technologies) were resuspended in hybridization

buffer ($2 \times SSC$, 10% formamide, 10% dextran sulphate) to a final concentration of 250 nM per probe set. Hybridization was carried out in a humidified chamber at 37 °C; 16 h later, cells were washed twice (37 °C, 30 min each) with Wash Buffer. The second wash contained 4′,6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride (DAPI) for nuclear staining (5 ng ml⁻¹). The cells were then briefly washed with 2 × SSC, equilibrated with Antifade Buffer (2 × SSC, 0.4% glucose, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and mounted in freshly prepared Antifade buffer $+$ glucose oxidase $+$ catalase (Sigma) and imaged using a Nikon Eclipse-Ti microscope.

In vitro ubiquitination assay

The *in vitro* ubiquitination assay was performed with a kit from Boston Biochemistry. Briefly, E1 (50 nM), E2 UbcHs (50 nM, H6 or H10), E3 enzymes (Dzip3 obtained by IP, and recombinant Mex3b, 100 nM) were incubated with recombinant ubiquitin (200 μM) and substrates (1 µg) at 37 °C for 30 min in reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT and 2 mM ATP³⁸. Recombinant E1, E2 and ubiquitin were purchased from Boston Biochem and recombinant Ataxin-1, SNP and Mex3b from Abnova.

Additional siRNAs used

IDT oligomers (siRNA #2 in each case)

rArGrCrGrArArCrCrArCrGrCrArGrArGrArArArUrGrCrAGG (sense) and rCrCrUrGrCrArUrUrUrCrUrCrUrGrCrGrUrGrGrUrUrCrGrCrUrUrU (antisense) were directed to *HOTAIR*; rGrArArGrArArGrArGrCrCrUrUrGrUrGrUrGrArUrCrUrGTC (sense) and rGrArCrArGrArUrCrArCrArCrArArGrGrCrUrCrUrUrCrUrUrCrUrU (antisense) were directed to Dzip3, rCrCrArGrGrArUrArCrUrGrCrArGrCrUrUrUrArUrUrArAAG (sense) and rCrUrUrUrArArUrArArArGrCrUrGrCrArGrUrArUrCrCrUrGrGrUrU (antisense) were directed to Mex3b, and rGrArArCrUrArArGrGrArGrCrArGrUrGrGrCrArGrArArGGT (sense) and rArCrCrUrUrCrUrGrCrCrArCrUrGrCrUrCrCrUrUrArGrUrUrCrArG (antisense) were directed at Ago2. UCUUAAGUUUCGUAAGUUAdTdT was directed to HuR.

CLIP analysis

CLIP analysis was performed by using a shorter (partial) digestion with RNase T1 (ref. 39). Briefly, after crosslinking, total HeLa cell lysates were digested with RNase T1 (1 units μ ⁻¹, 5 min) and IP analysis was performed using anti-HuR antibody. After washes and isolation of the bound RNA, the segments of *HOTAIR* bound by HuR and protected from RNase T1 digestion were subjected to IP and identified by RT-qPCR analysis using primer pairs that scanned the *HOTAIR* transcript at ~150 nucleotide long, overlapping intervals (Supplementary Table S1).

Mapping using CLIP was not possible for *HOTAIR*-associated RBPs, primarily because of the low abundance of *HOTAIR* in HeLa cells and in fibroblasts. Only after scaling up substantially the amount of antibody (from 2 to 10 μg per lysate 1mg) and crosslinked lysate (from 3 to 15 mg) were we able to detect a slight crosslink for HuR (Supplementary Fig. S4b), but with a great deal of background (not shown). CLIP analysis for the other

recombinant proteins and *HOTAIR* did not detect signals above the high backgrounds seen with these proteins.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank G.J. Hannon, H.Y. Chang, L.E. Maquat, H.T. Orr, R.P. Wersto, S. Kang and J. Campisi for providing reagents and assistance. J.L.R. was supported by R01 ES020260-02. E.J.W. and G.M.W. were supported by NIH R01 CA102428. J.-H.Y., K.A., J.K., X.Y., J.L.M., K.T.-Y. and M.G. were supported by NIA-IRP, NIH.

References

- 1. Chekulaeva M, Filipowicz W. Mechanisms of miRNA-mediated post-transcriptional regulation in animal cells. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2009; 21:452–460. [PubMed: 19450959]
- 2. Guo H, Ingolia NT, Weissman JS, Bartel DP. Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. Nature. 2010; 466:835–840. [PubMed: 20703300]
- 3. Djuranovic S, Nahvi A, Green R. miRNA-mediated gene silencing by translational repression followed by mRNA deadenylation and decay. Science. 2012; 336:237–240. [PubMed: 22499947]
- 4. Rinn JL, Chang HY. Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu Rev Biochem. 2012; 81:145–166. [PubMed: 22663078]
- 5. Faghihi MA, et al. Expression of a noncoding RNA is elevated in Alzheimer's disease and drives rapid feed-forward regulation of beta-secretase. Nat Med. 2008; 14:723–730. [PubMed: 18587408]
- 6. Tripathi V, et al. The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. Mol Cell. 2010; 39:925–938. [PubMed: 20797886]
- 7. Cesana M, et al. A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. Cell. 2011; 147:358–369. [PubMed: 22000014]
- 8. Gong C, Maquat L. E lncRNAs transactivate STAU1-mediated mRNA decay by duplexing with 3' UTRs via Alu elements. Nature. 2011; 470:284–288. [PubMed: 21307942]
- 9. Yoon JH, et al. LincRNA-p21 suppresses target mRNA translation. Mol Cell. 2012; 47:648–655. [PubMed: 22841487]
- 10. Mukherjee N, et al. Integrative regulatory mapping indicates that the RNA-binding protein HuR couples pre-mRNA processing and mRNA stability. Mol Cell. 2011; 43:327–339. [PubMed: 21723170]
- 11. Boyerinas B, Park SM, Hau A, Murmann AE, Peter ME. The role of let-7 in cell differentiation and cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2010; 17:F19–F36. [PubMed: 19779035]
- 12. Fialcowitz-White EJ, et al. Specific protein domains mediate cooperative assembly of HuR oligomers on AU-rich mRNA-destabilizing sequences. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:20948–20959. [PubMed: 17517897]
- 13. Tsai MC, et al. Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science. 2010; 329:689–693. [PubMed: 20616235]
- 14. Lal A, et al. Capture of microRNA-bound mRNAs identifies the tumor suppressor miR-34a as a regulator of growth factor signaling. PLoS Genet. 2011; 7:e1002363. [PubMed: 22102825]
- 15. Cano F, Miranda-Saavedra D, Lehner PJ. RNA-binding E3 ubiquitin ligases: novel players in nucleic acid regulation. Biochem Soc Trans. 2010; 38:1621–1626. [PubMed: 21118137]
- 16. Buchet-Poyau K, et al. Identification and characterization of human Mex-3 proteins a novel family of evolutionarily conserved RNA-binding proteins differentially localized to processing bodies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35:1289–1300. [PubMed: 17267406]
- 17. Kreft SG, Nassal M. hRUL138 a novel human RNA-binding RING-H2 ubiquitin-protein ligase. J Cell Sci. 2003; 116:605–616. [PubMed: 12538761]

- 18. Lim J, et al. A protein-protein interaction network for human inherited ataxias and disorders of purkinje cell degeneration. Cell. 2006; 125:801–814. [PubMed: 16713569]
- 19. Vinayagam A, et al. A directed protein interaction network for investigating intracellular signal transduction. Sci Signal. 2011; 4:rs8. [PubMed: 21900206]
- 20. Hong S, Lee S, Cho SG, Kang S. UbcH6 interacts with and ubiquitinates the SCA1 gene product ataxin-1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2008; 371:256–260. [PubMed: 18439907]
- 21. Yue S, Serra HG, Zoghbi HY, Orr HT. The spinocerebellar ataxia type 1 protein ataxin-1 has RNA-binding activity that is inversely affected by the length of its polyglutamine tract. Hum Mol Genet. 2001; 10:25–30. [PubMed: 11136710]
- 22. Wang W, Yang X, Cristofalo VJ, Holbrook NJ, Gorospe M. Loss of HuR is linked to reduced expression of proliferative genes during replicative senescence. Mol Cell Biol. 2001; 21:5889– 5898. [PubMed: 11486028]
- 23. Marasa BS, et al. MicroRNA profiling in human diploid fibroblasts uncovers miR*-*519 role in replicative senescence. Aging. 2010; 2:333–343. [PubMed: 20606251]
- 24. Rinn JL, et al. Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in human HOX loci by noncoding RNAs. Cell. 2007; 129:1311–1323. [PubMed: 17604720]
- 25. Barrios-Rodiles M, et al. High-throughput mapping of a dynamic signaling network in mammalian cells. Science. 2005; 307:1621–1625. [PubMed: 15761153]
- 26. Mazroui R, et al. Caspase-mediated cleavage of HuR in the cytoplasm contributes to pp32/PHAP-I regulation of apoptosis. J Cell Biol. 2008; 180:113–127. [PubMed: 18180367]
- 27. Abdelmohsen K, et al. Ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of HuR by heat shock. EMBO J. 2009; 28:1271–1282. [PubMed: 19322201]
- 28. Abdelmohsen K, et al. Phosphorylation of HuR by Chk2 regulates SIRT1 expression. Mol Cell. 2007; 25:543–557. [PubMed: 17317627]
- 29. Masuda K, et al. Global dissociation of HuR-mRNA complexes promotes cell survival after ionizing radiation. EMBO J. 2011; 30:1040–1053. [PubMed: 21317874]
- 30. Srikantan S, Tominaga K, Gorospe M. Functional interplay between RNA-binding protein HuR and microRNAs. Curr Protein Pept Sci. 2012; 13:372–379. [PubMed: 22708488]
- 31. Wapinski O, Chang HY. Long noncoding RNAs and human disease. Trends Cell Biol. 2011; 21:354–361. [PubMed: 21550244]
- 32. Wilson, GM. Reviews in Fluorescence, Vol 2. Geddes, CD.; Lakowicz, JR., editors. Springer Science + Business Media Inc; New York, NY: 2005. p. 223-243.
- 33. Riley BE, Zoghbi HY, Orr HT. SUMOylation of the polyglutamine repeat protein ataxin-1 is dependent on a functional nuclear localization signal. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:21942–21948. [PubMed: 15824120]
- 34. Wang W, et al. AMP-activated protein kinase-regulated phosphorylation and acetylation of importin alpha1: involvement in the nuclear import of RNA-binding protein HuR. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:48376–48388. [PubMed: 15342649]
- 35. Coppé JP, et al. Senescence-associated secretory phenotypes reveal cell-nonautonomous functions of oncogenic RAS and the p53 tumor suppressor. PLoS Biol. 2008; 6:2853–2868. [PubMed: 19053174]
- 36. Yoon JH, Choi EJ, Parker R. Dcp2 phosphorylation by Ste20 modulates stress granule assembly and mRNA decay in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Cell Biol. 2010; 189:813–827. [PubMed: 20513766]
- 37. Yoon JH, Srikantan S, Gorospe M. MS2-TRAP (MS2-tagged RNA affinity purification): Tagging RNA to identify associated miRNAs. Methods. 2012; 58:81–87. [PubMed: 22813890]
- 38. Kang HC, et al. Iduna is a poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR)-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase that regulates DNA damage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011; 108:14103–14108. [PubMed: 21825151]
- 39. Hafner M, et al. Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding protein and microRNA target sites by PAR-CLIP. Cell. 2010; 141:129–241. [PubMed: 20371350]

Figure 1. HuR and let7/Ago2 cooperatively bind *HOTAIR*

(**a**,**b**) *In vitro* binding of untagged recombinant HuR to fluorescein-tagged let7b and let7i was analysed by fluorescence anisotropy. RNP complex affinity was resolved using a singlesite binding model (solid lines). (**c**) HuR interaction with endogenous mature let7 in HeLa cell lysates was assessed by RIP using anti-HuR and IgG antibodies, RNA isolation and northern blot analysis; the abundant RNA *U6* was assessed as negative control. (**d**) Fortyeight hours after transfecting HeLa cells with the siRNAs shown, protein levels, total levels of let7 and loading control *U6*, and let7b-Ago2 and let7i-Ago2 associations were assessed by WB, northern blot and RIP analyses, respectively. Graph represents the means and s.d. from three independent experiments. (**e**) Pulldown analysis using recombinant His-tagged Ago2, let7b or let7i and MBP or MBP-HuR. Let7b and let7i abundance was assessed by northern blot analysis; proteins in the reaction were visualized using Coomassie blue stain.

Figure 2. HuR and let7/Ago2 promote *HOTAIR* **decay**

(**a**) RIP analysis of HeLa cell lysates to measure the interaction of HuR and AUF1 with *HOTAIR* and *GAPDH* mRNA (encoding a housekeeping protein), were quantified using RTqPCR and represented as enrichment in RBP IP compared with IgG IP. (**b**) A biotinylated fragment spanning the FL of *HOTAIR* was used for pulldown analysis after incubation with MBP or MBP-HuR and was detected by staining with Coomassie blue; the interaction of MBP-HuR with a negative control transcript (biotinylated *GAPDH* 3′UTR) was included. (**c**,**d**) Schematic (**c**) of *HOTAIR* and the segments assayed (grey lines) after RNase T1 digestion; HuR IP followed by RT-qPCR amplification was used to identify RNA segments associated with HuR (**d**). (**e**,**f**) Forty-eight hours after transfecting HeLa cells with the siRNAs indicated, the levels of HuR, AUF1 and loading control HSP90 were assessed by WB analysis, the steady-state levels of *HOTAIR* and *GAPDH* mRNA by RT-qPCR (**e**), and the half-lives $(t_{1/2})$ of *HOTAIR* and *GAPDH* mRNA were quantified by measuring the rate of decline in transcript levels after adding actinomycin D (**f**). (**g**) Forty-eight hours after overexpressing HuR in HeLa cells, *HOTAIR* levels were measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to *GAPDH* mRNA levels. In **a**,**e**–**g**, the graphs represent the means and s.d. from three independent experiments; (**d**) the graph is representative from three experiments; data are the means and s.d. **P*<0.05 using Student's *t*-test.

Yoon et al. Page 17

Figure 3. E3 ubiquitin ligases and substrates associate with *HOTAIR*

(**a**) Forty-eight hours after transfecting HeLa cells with siRNAs, the levels of a nuclear control transcript (*U6*), a cytoplasmic control transcript (*GAPDH* mRNA) and *HOTAIR* were assessed by RT-qPCR analysis in nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions. (**b**) *HOTAIR* FISH analysis (Methods) in HeLa cells processed as described in **a**. Scale bar, 20 μm. (**c**,**d**) Forty-eight hours after siRNA transfection of HeLa cells, the association of *HOTAIR* with endogenous Dzip3 and ectopically expressed Ataxin-1 (**c**) as well as Mex3b and Snurportin-1 (**d**) was assessed by RIP analysis using the respective antibodies. (**e**,**f**) As explained in Fig. 2c and d, the regions of interaction of *HOTAIR* with Dzip3 and Ataxin-1,

as well as Mex3b and Snurportin-1, were mapped by partial RNase T1 digestion, followed by RIP analysis of RNA segments enriched in Dzip3 IP (**e**, top), Ataxin-1 IP (**e**, bottom), Mex3b IP (**f**, top) and Snurportin-1 IP (**f**, bottom) reactions. In **a**,**c** and **d**, the graphs reflect the means and s.d. from three independent experiments; in **e** and **f** the graphs are representative from three independent experiments and show the means and s.d. **P*<0.05 using Student's *t*-test.

Figure 4. *HOTAIR* **promotes the ubiquitination and degradation of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1** (**a**) HeLa cells were transfected with either Ctrl siRNA or HuR siRNA and 48 h later they were treated with MG132 (20 μ M) for 4 h, whereupon the levels of Ataxin-1, Snurportin-1, HuR and loading control HSP90 were assessed by WB analysis. (**b**–**d**) Forty-eight hours after overexpressing *HOTAIR* in HeLa cells (**b**), the interaction of exogenous Ataxin-1 and endogenous Dzip3 (**c**), as well as endogenous Snurportin-1 and Mex3b (**d**), was assessed by IP + WB analysis ('From IP'); total protein levels in whole-cell lysates were also measured ('From lysate'). (**e**,**f**) Forty-eight hours after transfecting HeLa cells with the siRNAs indicated and with a plasmid to express Ataxin-1 (Methods), the abundance of ubiquitinated Ataxin-1 (**e**, top) and Snurportin-1 (**f**, top) was assessed by IP using antibodies that recognized Ataxin-1 or Snurportin-1, followed by ubiquitin WB analysis (Ub); the levels of

HuR, Dzip3, Mex3b, Ataxin-1, Snurportin-1 and loading control tubulin were assessed by WB analysis (**e**,**f**, bottom). MWM, molecular weight markers. (**g**,**h**) RT-qPCR analysis of the abundance of *HOTAIR* and *GAPDH* mRNA in cells treated as in **e** and **f**. (**i**,**j**) Forty-eight hours after silencing Ago2, the levels of HuR, Dzip3, Mex3b and loading control Actin, as well as Ataxin-1 and ubiquitinated Ataxin-1 (**i**) and Snurportin-1 and ubiquitinated Snurportin-1 (**j**), were assessed as explained in panels **e** and **f**. In **b**,**g** and **h**, the graphs reflect the means and s.d. from three independent experiments. **P*<0.05 using Student's *t*test.

Yoon et al. Page 21

Figure 5. *HOTAIR* **promotes ubiquitination** *in vitro* **and enhances Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 degradation**

(**a**,**b**) The indicated proteins, E1 (ubiquitin), E2 (UbcH6 or UbcH10) and either Ataxin-1 or Snurportin-1, were used in an *in vitro* ubiquitination reaction which also included Dzip3 obtained via IP from HeLa cell lysates (**a**) or recombinant Mex3b (**b**); reactions were also supplied with *HOTAIR* or (control) *GAPDH* RNA synthesized *in vitro*. The abundance of ubiquitinated Ataxin-1 (**a**) and Snurportin-1 (**b**) was assessed by WB analysis. (**c**) Fortyeight hours after transfecting the siRNAs shown, protein stability $(t_{1/2})$ of Ataxin-1, Snurportin-1 and loading control Tubulin was assessed by measuring the decline of protein levels after CHX treatment.

Figure 6. *HOTAIR***-enhanced ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 requires Ataxin-1 binding to the lncRNA and active Dzip3**

(**a**) Forty-eight hours after overexpressing plasmids pTRUF-hRUL138(WT) or pTRUFhRUL138(C1187S) in HeLa cells, the abundance of ubiquitinated Ataxin-1 (*top*) was assessed by IP using antibodies that recognized Ataxin-1 followed by ubiquitin WB analysis (Ub); the levels of HuR, Dzip3 (WT and mutant), Ataxin-1 and loading control Tubulin were assessed by WB analysis. MWM, molecular weight markers. (**b**) Following transfection of HeLa cells with plasmids pCDNA1Amp-ataxin-1(30Q) and pCDNA1Ampataxin-1(82Q), RIP analysis was performed to quantify the interaction of WT Ataxin (30Q)

and mutant Ataxin (82Q) with *HOTAIR*; binding was normalized to *GAPDH* mRNA levels in the IP samples. Data represent the means and s.d. from three independent experiments. (**c**) Forty-eight hours after transfecting the siRNAs shown and plasmid pCDNA1Ampataxin-1(82Q), the stability ($t_{1/2}$) of Ataxin-1(82Q) and loading control Tubulin was assessed by measuring the decline of protein levels after CHX treatment. (**d**) By 48 h after transfecting cells as explained in **c**, the levels of Dzip3, HuR, Ataxin-1(82Q) and loading control Tubulin were assessed by WB analysis. (**e**) Schematic of the proposed mechanism whereby *HOTAIR*, under negative control by HuR, promotes the ubiquitination and degradation of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 by facilitating their assembly with E3 ligases Dzip3 and Mex3b, respectively. In **a**,**c** and **d**, the data are representative from three independent experiments. **P*<0.05 using Student's *t*-test.

Figure 7. *HOTAIR* **promotes cellular senescence**

(**a**) Five days after removal of Dex from human IDH4 fibroblasts or 10 days after exposure of WI-38 fibroblasts to 10 Gy IR, *HOTAIR* and *18S* rRNA levels were measured by RTqPCR, and the levels of several senescence markers were assessed by WB analysis. 'Prolif.', proliferating. (**b**) Fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis of proliferating (+ Dex, − IR) and senescent cells (− Dex, + IR) to determine the percentage of cells in each cell cycle compartment. The graphs are representative of three independent experiments. (**c**) *HOTAIR* FISH analysis in IDH4 cells (+ Dex and − Dex) expressing normal (Ctrl siRNA) or reduced

(HuR siRNA) levels of HuR. Scale bar, 20 μm. (**d**,**e**) The levels of total Ataxin-1 (**d**) and Snurportin-1 (**e**) in proliferating and senescent IDH4 and WI-38 cells were detected by WB analysis (IP was included to detect ubiquitinated Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1, as explained in Fig. 4e,f. (**f**) Cells (%) staining positive for the senescence marker β-galactosidase were counted (top) and senescent marker proteins were assessed by WB analysis (bottom) 48 h after lowering *HOTAIR* and/or HuR by using siRNAs. (**g**) Forty-eight hours after lowering *HOTAIR* in proliferating or senescent WI-38 fibroblasts, the levels of *HOTAIR*, *ATXN1* mRNA and *SNUPN* mRNA (top), and the levels of Ataxin-1, Snurportin-1 and loading control Actin (bottom) were assessed by RT-qPCR and WB analysis, respectively. In **a**, **f** and **g**, the graphs reflect the means and s.d. from three independent experiments. **P*<0.05 using Student's *t*-test.

Yoon et al. Page 26

Figure 8. *HOTAIR* **accelerates Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 decay in a** *HOTAIR***-, E3 ligase- and senescence-dependent manner**

Five days (**a**) or 48 h (**b**) after transfecting the siRNAs shown, the stabilities of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 were assessed as explained in Fig. 5c; Actin was assessed as loading control.