

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Atherosclerosis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 September 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Atherosclerosis*. 2014 July ; 235(1): 176–181. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.04.030.

ABCA1 Gene Variation and Heart Disease Risk Reduction in the Elderly during Pravastatin Treatment

Hironobu Akao^{1,2,*}, Eliana Polisecki^{1,3,*}, Ernst J. Schaefer^{1,3}, Stella Trompet⁴, Michele Robertson⁵, Ian Ford⁵, J. Wouter Jukema⁴, Anton J. M. de Craen⁴, Christopher Packard⁶, Brendan M. Buckley⁷, Kouji Kajinamion², and on behalf of the PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) Investigator

¹Lipid Metabolism Laboratory, Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging at Tufts University and Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA USA ²Department of Cardiology, Kanazawa Medical University, Uchinada, Japan ³Boston Heart Diagnostics, Framingham, MA ⁴Departments of Cardiology, Gerontology, and Geriatrics, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands ⁵Robertson Centre of Biostatistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK ⁶Department of Vascular Biochemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK ⁷Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

Abstract

Aims—Our goals were to examine the relationships of a specific ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (*ABCA1*) variant, rs2230806 (R219K), on baseline lipids, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol lowering due to pravastatin, baseline heart disease, and cardiac endpoints on trial.

Methods and Results—The *ABCA1* R219K variant was assessed in 5,414 participants in PROSPER (PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk) (mean age 75.3 years), who had been randomized to pravastatin 40 mg/day or placebo and followed for a mean of 3.2 years. Of these subjects 47.6 % carried the variant, with 40.0 % carryin g one allele, and 7.6 % carrying both alleles. No effects on baseline LDL cholesterol levels were noted, but mean HDL cholesterol increased modestly according to the number of variant alleles being present (1.27 vs 1.28 vs 1.30 mmol/L, p=0.024). No relationships between the presence or absence of this variant and statin induced LDL lowering response or CHD at baseline were noted. However within trial those with the variant as compared to those without the variant, the overall adjusted hazard ratio for new cardiovascular disease (fatal CHD, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or fatal or non-fatal stroke) was 1.22 (95% CI 1.06-1.40, p=0.006), w hile for those in the pravastatin group it was 1.41

Correspondence to Kouji Kajinami, MD Department of Cardiology, Kanazawa Medical University, 1-1 Daigaku, Uchinada, Ishikawa 920-0293, Japan. kajinami@kanazawa-med.ac.jp, Phone: +81-76-286-2211, Fax: +81-76-286-3780. *Drs. Akao and Polisecki contributed equally to this manuscript.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

(1.15-1.73, p=0.001), and for those in the placebo group it was 1.08 (0.89-1.30, p=0.447) (p for interaction 0.058).

Conclusion—Our data indicate that subjects with the *ABCA1* R219K variant **may get** significantly less heart disease risk reduction from pravastatin treatment than those without the variant.

Keywords

ABCA1 Gene; Statins; Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Lowering Response; Heart Disease Risk Reduction

Introduction

Elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels independently predict the risk of developing coronary heart disease (CHD) (1,2). Statins reduce LDL-C effectively, but considerable inter-individual variation exists in treatment responses. Previous pharmacogenetic studies have explored genetic variation as determinants of statin response. We have previously documented that genetic variation at the *APOE*, low density lipoprotein receptor (*LDLR*), proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (*PCSK9*), the Niemann-Pick C1-like protein (*NPC1L1*), and the solute carrier organic anion transporter (*SLCO1B1*) and the kinesin like protein (*KIF6*) gene loci affects the degree of statin induced LDL-C lowering response in the PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PR O S PE R) (3-7). Moreover in this same study we have docu mented that genetic variation at the *APOE*, *LDLR*, *NPC1L1*, *KIF6*, and the taste receptor type 2, member 50 (*TAS2R50*) gene loci affected on trial CHD risk (3,5,7).

In prosper, there was a significant interaction (p=0.0069) between baseline HDL-C levels and treatment effect. Subjects in the lowest HDL-C tertile (with baseline HDL-C < 1.11 mmol/L or < 43 mg/dl) experienced apparently a greater benefit in terms of CHD risk reduction from pravastatin (hazards ratio 0.64) than did subjects with higher HDL-C levels (8). The ATP binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) has been found to be critical in promoting the efflux of cellular cholesterol and phospholipid onto small pre-beta 1 HDL particles and in the process converting them to larger alpha migrating HDL particles (9). Patients with significant defects in ABCA1 have marked HDL deficiency and Tangier disease, characterized by cholesterol deposition in the tonsils, liver, spleen, and neurologic tissue, as well as premature CHD (10). The most studied variant at the ABCA1 gene locus is the R219K variant which causes an amino substitution in which an arginine is replaced by a lysine at amino acid position 219 in the ABCA1 protein (11). It has been previously reported that individuals carrying the R219K variant (found in 46% of a population of 790 subjects) had significantly lower triglyceride levels, slightly higher HDL-C levels, and significantly reduced severity of CHD as compared to non-carriers (12). Moreover these authors suggested that this variant may be associated with a modest gain of ABCA1 function (11, 12). Subsequently it was reported that the presence of the R219K ABCA1 variant in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia (n=374) was associated with a markedly reduced risk of CHD (hazards ratio 0.32, p<0.001) as compared to non-carriers (13). A recent meta analysis

based on an examination of 6,597 CHD cases and 15,369 controls concluded that the presence of the R219K *ABCA1* variant was associated with a lower risk of CHD (hazards ratio 0.76, p <0.0001), and modestly higher HDL-C levels (14).

However, there **are** no studies to our knowledge that have assessed whether the R219K *ABCA1* genetic variant affects responses to statin treatment in terms of lipid modification or CH D risk reduction. Our goals in this study were to determine whether the *ABCA1* variant rs2230806 or R219K in PROSPER participants would affect baseline lipids, CHD prevalence at baseline, pravastatin induced LDL-C lowering, and pravastatin mediated CHD risk reduction.

Materials and Methods

Study Subjects

The results and the methodology used in the PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) study have been previously described (8, 15). In this study 2,804 men and 3,000 women, aged 70-82 **years**, with pre-existing vascular disease (n=2,404) or at least one of three major vascular risk factors (diabetes n=575, smoking n=1,433, or hypertension n=3,360) were randomized to pravastatin 40mg/day (n=2,891) or placebo (n= 2,913) and followed for an average of 3.2 years. Over this time period, the mean LDL-C reduction in the active treatment group was 32%, and the risk of developing CHD was decreased by 19%, which was statistically significant (8).

Biochemical and DNA analysis

Total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, and triglycerides were assessed after an overnight fast, at 6 months, and at 12 months, and LDL-C was calculated by the Friedewald formula, as previously described (8). Apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I) and apolipoprotein B (apoB) were measured only at baseline as described. DNA was isolated from cells from this cohort and DNA from 5,783 subjects participating in this study were available for this study. ApoE phenotype was determined on plasma samples by Western blotting, using the method of Havekes et al. in the central laboratory of the Royal Infirmary in Glasgow, Scotland. Subjects were classified according to the presence of apoE2, apoE3, or apoE4 bands on gel blotting (16). This gel phenotyping method has been shown to have 99% concordance with genotyping (17).

For DNA analysis the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), R219K (rs2230806) of the *ABCA1* gene was genotyped using Taq Man ® SNPs genotyping assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The custom assay identification number was C_2741051_1. The end point was read after PCR amplification was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7900 HT Sequence Detection System. Genotypes with quality scores below the 95% were repeated (**n=13**) and 5% blinded replicates for genotype determinations were performed. In addition, a total of 119 subjects or 2.2% who had the apoE4/2 phenotype were excluded from these analyses, as well as 246 subjects who had missing apoE phenotypes. These exclusions were carried out because apoE phenotype or genotype can affect statin-induced LDL-C lowering response, as well as CHD risk. Subjects carrying the apoE4 allele

have the lowest statin-induced LDL-C lowering response and the highest CHD risk, while the converse is true for carriers of the apoE2 allele (18-21). The subject characteristics for these individuals representing the **5,414** subjects studied are shown in Table1.

Statistical analysis

Observed genotype frequencies were compared with those expected under Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using a χ^2 test. For data analysis, multivariate analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to detect associations between lipoprotein levels at baseline as well as changes in response to treatment with pravastatin at 6 months and with ABCA1 genotypes adjusted for gender, body mass index, age, alcohol, smoking, diabetes, apoE phenotype, baseline HDL-C levels, and country of origin, since subjects participating in PR O S P E R were either from Scotland, Ireland, or the Netherlands. Prevalence at baseline of myocardial infarction (MI) and all types of vascular disease (history of angina, claudication, MI, stroke, transient ischemic attack, peripheral arterial disease surgery, or amputation for vascular disease more than 6 months before study entry) at baseline, as well as incidence of primary endpoints (CHD death or nonfatal MI or fatal non-fatal stroke), and all cardiovascular events (primary endpoints and coronary artery bypass grafting, coronary angioplasty, and peripheral artery surgery or angioplasty), were compared between carriers of different ABCA1 SNP genotypes using multivariable logistic regression analysis in all subjects, and also with stratification by gender and treatment. All analyses were fully adjusted for age, gender, country, history of vascular disease, body mass index, history of diabetes, as well as history of hypertension, alcohol use, current smoking, apoE phenotype, and baselin e HDL-C levels. We estimated the power of the study to detect genotypic differences in the incidence of cardiovascular events by assuming an alpha-level of 0.05, a dominant inheritance model, an assumed prevalence of events of 15% and a power of 80% for a balanced case-control study (1:1) for minor allele frequency 0.30, and genetic relative risk may around 1.2, which indicated approximately 2,000-2,500 as the number of cases per group required.

To evaluate the modifying effects of genotypes and gender on the response to treatment, gene-treatment and gene-gender interaction terms were added to the regression models. There was no interaction between R219K and apoE phenotype. All analyses were performed using SAS/STAT and SAS/Genetics (SAS Version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). A two-sided p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. As for correction of multiple testing, we applied Bonferroni correction and the p-value threshold of 0.05 was divided by the number of independent tests.

Results

As summarized in Table 1, the participating subjects were elderly, with a median age of 75±3 years at baseline. Mean LDL-C levels were in the moderate-risk category (**3.36-4.14 mmol/L or 130-160 mg/dl**), as defined by the U nited States National Cholesterol Education Progra m (22). Approxim ately **half** of the men and about one third of the women reported a history of any type of vascular disease. Data on apoE phenotype distribution in

this population are also shown in Table 1. Genotype frequencies for the *ABCA1* SNP examined conformed to Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (p>0.05, data not shown).

Association with Baseline Lipid Levels

Neither baseline LDL-C or triglyceride levels differed significantly among the three genotypic groups. However mean HDL-C levels increased modestly according to the number of variant allele present (1.27 versus 1.28 versus 1.30 mmol/L, p=0.024) (Table 2). After gender separation, similar trend in terms of HDL-C levels was observed although statistical significance was no longer present (Table 2).

Associations with Lipid Response to Treatment

To determine whether the R219K variant affected lipid responses to pravastatin, the association between the R219K variant under study and 6 month and 12 month changes in TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and triglyceride levels in individuals treated with pravastatin or placebo were examined. No significant relationships between the presence or absence of this variant and statin induced LDL-C response (Table 3) or changes in other lipid parameters including triglycerides or HDL-C levels (data not shown) were noted.

Associations with History and within Trial Incidence of Cardiovascular Disease

There were no significant associations between the prevalence of various forms of vascular disease at baseline and the presence of the R219K variant (data not shown). As shown in Tables 2, 3A and 3B, the number of AA homozygotes in placebo or pravastatin group was around 100, and cases with primary endpoint were 10 to 20 in each gender. Therefore, we examined variant effects in a dominant model, not additive model, to avoid false positive or negative association. In Table 4, we show the data on the relationships between ABCA1 genotypes and cardiovascular endpoints within trial. For all subjects, there was a significantly increased risk of new cardiovascular disease (fatal CHD, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or fatal or non-fatal stroke) on trial for those who carried the ABCA1 R219K variant as compared to those who did not. The overall adjusted hazard ratio on trial for carriers versus non-carriers was 1.22 (95%CI 1.06-1.40, p=0.006). Examining two treatment arms, it was seen that for carriers in the placebo group the HR was 1.08 (0.89-1.30, p=0.447), while for carriers in the pravastatin group it was 1.41 (1.15-1.73, p=0.001). An interaction between this genetic variation and treatment benefit was very close to statistical significance (p=0.058), suggesting that the R219K variant is a novel genetic marker for pravastatin treatment benefit in the elderly. Similar findings for this variant were observed in both men and women (Table 4), but an interaction between this genetic variant and treatment benefit (primary endpoint) in both gender did not reach statistical significance, p=0.206 in men and 0.148 in women.

Discussion

We examined the association of a prevalent genetic polymorphis m, R219K, at the *ABCA1* gene locus with baseline lipids and vascular disease, pravastatin induced LDL-C lowering response, and cardiovascular endpoints on trial in PROSPER, in which participants who had been randomized to pravastatin 40mg/day or placebo and were followed for a mean of 3.2

years (8). We found that the presence of this variant was associated with modestly, but significantly greater levels of baseline HDL-C. More importantly we noted that, in this trial of pravastatin in elderly subjects, those on active treatment carrying variant allele had a significantly greater cardiovascular risk (close to that in the placebo group) than those on pravastatin without the variant.

Amino acid 219 is located in the long extracellular loop of *ABCA1*, a region of the transporter known to contain various glycosylation sites (23, 24). The substitution of an arginine by a lysine at this residue probably alters the conformation of the extracellular domain of the *ABCA1* protein, and enhances its interaction with apoAI, thereby increasing the efficiency of phospholipid and cholesterol transfer from the plasma membrane to HDL particles (25-27). These increases could therefore elevate baseline HDL-C levels. To date, the association between *ABCA1* R219K variant and increased HDL-C level remains controversial. To our knowledge, only one small study examined potential effects of the R219K variant on statin-induced lipid responses (28). These investigators reported similar LDL-C reductions among the three *ABCA1* genotypic groups after 12 weeks of treatment with pravastatin. Our study in a much larger population with a longer treatment period supports these prior observations and indicates that this polymorphism does not play a significant role as a determinant of LDL-C lowering response to pravastatin treatment.

Our study did not provide direct evidence for the mechanism by which the R219K variant affect the statin effects in cardiovascular event reduction. Wild-type homozygotes for this variant showed significantly lower HDL-C levels at baseline. If this could be recognized as a simple representation of high-risk population, our observation might be the case that greater benefit from pravastatin treatment could be expected in the higher risk group. Another possible mechanism is that R219K variant plays a novel role in lipid metabolism. Supporting this is a recent study suggesting that the R219K variant not only affects apo A-I and HDL metabolism, but may also significant influence postprandial lipid metabolism (29). Further in vitro and in vivo study would clearly be required to establish the significance of this genetic variation as determinant for statin response. In comparison with previous studies, the present results are concordant with some and discordant with others to investigate ABCA1 polymorphism with respect to both cardiovascular diseases and statin response. As for R219K variant, a meta-analysis including 5,388 participants reported opposite genotype effects, K-variant as a protective allele, in Chinese population (30). However, authors of this report found significantly more frequent prevalence of K-variant allele in Chinese population as compared to Caucasians, and recommended the interpretation with great caution. Another meta-analysis including 42 studies for R219K variant reported a potential protective role of K-allele, but again suggested limited interpretation of results because of significant heterogeneity among enrolled studies (31). As for statin response, only one study examined potential influence of ABCA1 polymorphism (32). This pharmacogenetic study enrolled 1,686 patients with familial hypercholesterolemia without history of coronary heart disease and analyzed statin-ABCA1 C69T, not R219K, polymorphism interaction by comparing treated and untreated patients. They found that TT genotype was associated with increased disease risk in untreated patients, but that the risk was no longer significantly different between genotypes, at least partially explained by a

higher rise in HDL-C levels (despite similar pretreatment levels) during statin treatment in TT individuals. Effects of this polymorphism on *ABCA1* transcription were proposed, but further studies appear to be required to confirm this consideration. In addition, difference in study populations, familial hypercholesterolemia without coronary disease (mean age 39 years) in this study and elderly (75 years) in our study could not allow us to consider these two studies equally.

In the original analysis in PROSPER, subgroup analysis demonstrated that the benefit of pravastatin in the prevention for primary endpoint was predominantly in the lowest tertile of HDL-C with significant interaction (8). Our current observations, associations with wild allele homozygosity, lower HDL-C levels, and fewer cardiovascular events on pravastatin, could provide one possible genetic explanation for this finding. This kind of issue which could only be obtained through a well-conducted large randomized study enrolling significant number of both genders and examining genotypic effects on treatment response is the most important strength of the present study. Despite that the primary weakness of the study is its narrow focus, only one polymorphism investigated and lack of providing evidence of possible explanation for observations, further in vitro and clinical studies are required to extend our observations to more wide range of populations.

In conclusion, *ABCA1* R219K variant might be a novel genetic determinant for pravastatin treatment response in heart disease risk reduction in the elderly.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere appreciation to Dr. James Shepherd, the principal investigator of original PROSPER Study. We also thank all members of the PROSPER research team.

Funding This research was supported by grant R01 HL74753 from the National Institutes of Health (EJS), contract 53-1950-5-003 from the Agricultural Research Service of the US Department of Agriculture (EJS), by the Rotary Foundation, Evanston, Illinois (HA), and by Grant for Specially Promoted Research from Kanazawa Medical University (SR2012-02) (HA), by a Grant for C ollaborative Research from Kanazawa Medical University (C2011-3) (KK), and by Grants for Project Research from the High-Tech Research Center of Kanazawa Medical University (2010-2012, 2011-2012) (KK). Support for this research provided by the seventh framework program of the European commission (grant 223004) and by the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging grant 050-060-810) (JWJ).

Research Support: This research was supported by grant R01 HL74753 from the National Institutes of Health (EJS), and contract 53-1950-5-003 from the Agricultural Research Service of the US Department of Agriculture (EJS). Dr. Akao was supported by a Scholarship from the Rotary International, and by Grant for Specially Promoted Research from Kanazawa Medical University (SR2012-02).

Dr. Kajinami was supported by a Grant for Collaborative Research from Kanazawa Medical University (C2011-3), and by Grants for Project Research from the High-Tech Research Center of Kanazawa Medical University (2010-2012, 2011-2012).

Support for this research was provided by the seventh framework program of the European commission (grant 223004) and by the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging grant 050-060-810).

References

 Ingelsson E, Schaefer EJ, Contois JH, McNamara JR, Sullivan L, Keyes MJ, Pencina MJ, Schoonmaker C, Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS. Clinical utility of different lipid measures for prediction of coronary heart disease in men and women. JAMA. 2007; 298:776–85. [PubMed: 17699011]

- Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, Belanger AM, Silbershatz H, Kannel WB. Prediction of coronary heart disease using risk factor categories. Circulation. 1998; 97:1837–47. [PubMed: 9603539]
- 3. Polisecki E, Muallem H, Maeda N, Peter I, Robertson M, Mc Mahon AD, Ford I, Packard C, Shepherd J, Jukema JW, Westendorp RG, de Craen AJ, Buckley BM, Ordovas JM, Schaefer EJ. Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) Investigators Genetic variation at the LDL receptor and HMG-CoA reductase gene loci, lipid levels, statin response, and cardiovascular disease incidence in PROSPER. Atherosclerosis. 2008; 200:109–14. [PubMed: 18261733]
- 4. Polisecki E, Peter I, Robertson M, McMahon AD, Ford I, Packard C, Shepherd J, Juke ma JW, Blauw GJ, Westendorp RG, de Craen AJ, Trompet S, Buckley BM, Murphy MB, Ordovas JM, Schaefer EJ. PROSPER Study Group Genetic variation at the PCSK9 locus moderately lowers lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol levels but does not significantly lower vascular disease risk in an elderly population. Atherosclerosis. 2008; 200:95–101. [PubMed: 18262190]
- Polisecki E, Peter I, Simon JS, Hegele RA, Robertson M, Ford I, Shepherd J, Packard C, Jukema JW, de Craen AJ, Westendorp RG, Buckley BM, Schaefer EJ. Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) Investigators Genetic variation at the NPC1L1 gene locus, plasma lipoproteins, and heart disease risk in the elderly. J Lipid Res. 2010; 51:1201–07. [PubMed: 19752398]
- Akao H, Polisecki E, Kajinami K, Trompet S, Robertson M, Ford I, Jukema JW, de Craen AJ, Westendorp RG, Shepherd J, Packard C, Buckley BM, Schaefer EJ. Genetic variation at the SLCO1B1 gene and low density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering response to pravastatin in the elderly. Atherosclerosis. 2012; 220:413–417. [PubMed: 22189199]
- Akao H, Polisecki E, Kajinami K, Trompet S, Robertson M, Ford I, Jukema JW, de Craen AJ, Westendorp RG, Shepherd J, Packard C, Buckley BM, Schaefer EJ. KIF6, LPA, TAS2R50, and VAMP8 genetic variation, low density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering response to pravastatin, and heart disease risk reduction in the elderly. Atherosclerosis. 2012; 220:456–462. [PubMed: 22192511]
- 8. Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, Bollen EL, Buckley BM, Cobbe SM, Ford I, Gaw A, Hyland M, Jukema JW, Kamper AM, Macfarlane PW, Meinders AE, Norrie J, Packard CJ, Perry IJ, Stott DJ, Sweeney BJ, Twomey C, Westendorp RG. PROSPER study group PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk PROspective Study of Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002; 360:1623–30. [PubMed: 12457784]
- Asztalos, BF.; Brunzell, J. The kinetics and remodeling of HDL particles: lessons from inborn errors of lipid metabolism. In: Schaefer, EJ., editor. High density Lipoproteins, dyslipidemia, and coronary heart disease. New York: Springer Publishing; p. 2010p. 33-44.
- Schaefer EJ, Santos RD, Asztalos BF. Marked HDL deficiency and premature coronary heart disease. Current Opinion in Lipidology. 2010; 21:289–297. [PubMed: 20616715]
- 11. Frikke-Schmidt R. Genetic variation in the ABCA1 gene, HDL cholesterol, and risk of ischemic heart disease in the general population. Atherosclerosis. 2010; 208:305–316. [PubMed: 19596329]
- 12. Clee SM, Zwinderman AH, Engert JC, Zwarts KY, Molhuizen HO, Roomp K, Jukema JW, van Wijland M, van Dam M, Hudson TJ, Brooks-Wilson A, Genest J Jr, Kastelein JJ, Hayden MR. Common genetic variation in ABCA1 is associated with altered lipoprotein levels and a modified risk for coronary artery disease. Circulation. 2001; 103:1198–1205. [PubMed: 11238261]
- Cenarro A, Artieda M, Castillo S, Mozas P, Reyes G, Tejedor D, Alonso R, Mata P, Pocoví M, Civeira F. Spanish FH group. A common variant in the ABCA1 gene is associated with a lower risk for premature coronary heart disease in familial hypercholesterolemia. J Med Genet. 2003; 40:163–168. [PubMed: 12624133]
- Ma XY, Liu JP, Song ZY. Associations of the ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 R219K polymorphism with HDL-C level and coronary artery disease risk: a meta-analysis. Atherosclerosis. 2011; 215:428–434. [PubMed: 21310416]
- 15. Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, Cobbe SM, Bollen EL, Buckley BM, Ford I, Jukema JW, Hyland M, Gaw A, Lagaay AM, Perry IJ, Macfarlane PW, Meinders AE, Sweeney BJ, Packard CJ, Westendorp RG, Twomey C, Stott DJ. The design of a prospective study of Pravastatin in the

Elderly at Risk (PROSPER). PROSPER Study Group PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. Am J Cardiol. 1999; 84:1192–97. [PubMed: 10569329]

- Havekes LM, de Knijff P, Beisiegel U, Havinga J, Smit M, Klasen E. A rapid micromethod for apolipoprotein E phenotyping directly in serum. J Lipid Res. 1987; 28:455–63. [PubMed: 3585179]
- Lahoz C, Osgood D, Wilson PW, Schaefer EJ, Ordovas JM. Frequency of phenotype-genotype discrepancies at the apolipoprotein E locus in a large population study. Clin Chem. 1996; 42:1817–23. [PubMed: 8906082]
- Ordovas JM, Lopez-Miranda J, Perez-Jimenez F, Rodriguez C, Park JS, Cole T, Schaefer EJ. Effect of apolipoprotein E and A-IV phenotypes on the low density lipoprotein response to HMG CoA reductase inhibitor therapy. Atherosclerosis. 1995; 113:157–66. [PubMed: 7605354]
- Pedro-Botet J, Schaefer EJ, Bakker-Arkema RG, Black DM, Stein EM, Corella D, Ordovas JM. Apolipoprotein E genotype affects plasma lipid response to atorvastatin in a gender specific manner. Atherosclerosis. 2001; 158:183–93. [PubMed: 11500190]
- Wilson PW, Schaefer EJ, Larson MG, Ordovas JM. Apolipoprotein E alleles and risk of coronary disease: a meta-analysis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 1996; 16:1250–55. [PubMed: 8857921]
- Lahoz C, Schaefer EJ, Cupples LA, Wilson PW, Levy D, Osgood D, Parpos S, Pedro-Botet J, Daly JA, Ordovas JM. Apolipoprotein E genotype and cardiovascular disease in the Framingham Heart Study. Atherosclerosis. 2001; 154:529–37. [PubMed: 11257253]
- Expert Panel. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA. 2001; 285:2486–97. [PubMed: 11368702]
- Rust S, Rosier M, Funke H, Real J, Amoura Z, Piette JC, Deleuze JF, Brewer HB, Duverger N, Denèfle P, Assmann G. Tangier disease is caused by mutations in the gene encoding ATP-binding cassette transporter 1. Nat Genet. 1999; 22:352–5. [PubMed: 10431238]
- 24. Huang W, Moriyama K, Koga T, Hua H, Ageta M, Kawabata S, Mawatari K, Imamura T, Eto T, Kawamura M, Teramoto T, Sasaki J. Novel mutations in ABCA1 gene in Japanese patients with Tangier disease and familial high density lipoprotein deficiency with coronary heart disease Biochem Biophys. Acta. 2001; 1537:71–8.
- Benton JL, Ding J, Tsai MY, Shea S, Rotter JI, Burke GL, Post W. Associations between two common polymorphisms in the ABCA1 gene and subclinical atherosclerosis: Multi-Ethnics Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Atherosclerosis. 2007; 193:352–60. [PubMed: 16879828]
- Tall AR, Costet P, Wang N. Regulation and mechanisms of macrophage cholesterol efflux. J Clin Invest. 2002; 110:899–904. [PubMed: 12370265]
- Villard EF, EI Khoury P, Frisdal E, Bruckert E, Clement K, Bonnefont-Rousselot D, Bittar R, Le Goff W, Guerin M. Genetic determination of plasma cholesterol efflux capacity is gender-specific and independent of HDL-cholesterol levels. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2013; 33:822–8. [PubMed: 23372063]
- Li J, Wang LF, Li ZQ, Pan W. Effect of R219K polymorphos m of the ABC A1 gene on the lipidlowering effect of pravastatin in chinese patients with coronary heart disease. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2009; 39:567–570. [PubMed: 19673941]
- Delgado-Lista J, Perez-Martinez P, Perez-Jimenez F, Garcia-Rios A, Fuentes F, Marin C, Gómez-Luna P, Camargo A, Parnell LD, Ordovas JM, Lopez-Miranda J. ABCA1 gene variants regulate postprandial lipid metabolism in healthy men. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2010; 30:1051– 1057. [PubMed: 20185793]
- Li YY, Zhang H, Qin XY, Lu XZ, Ynag B, Chen ML. *ATP-binding cassette transporter A1* R219K polymorphism and coronary artery disease in Chinese population: a meta-analysis of 5,388 participants. Mil Biol Rep. 2012; 39:11031–11039.
- 31. Yin YW, Li JC, Gao D, Chen YX, Li BH, Wang JZ, Liu Y, Liao AQ, Zhang MJ, Gao CY, Zhang LL. Influence of ATP-biding cassette transporter 1 R219K and M883I polymorphisms on development of atherosclerosis: a meta-analysis of 58 studies. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e86480. [PubMed: 24466114]

32. Vermissen J, Oosterveer DM, Yazdanpanah M, Mulder M, Dehghan A, Defesche JC, Kastelein JJP, Sijbrands EJG. A frequent variant in the ABCA1 gene is associate with increased coronary heart disease risk and a better response to statin treatment in familial hypercholesterolemia. Eur Heart J. 2011; 32:469–475. [PubMed: 20595220]

Highlights

The relationships of the *ABCA1* variant (R219K), with baseline lipids, LDL lowering to pravastatin, baseline heart disease, and cardiac endpoints on PROSPER trial were examined.

Baseline HDL cholesterol associated with the variant, but LDL cholesterol did not.

The variant did not associate with LDL lowering response or CHD at baseline.

The adjusted hazard ratio for new CHD events of the variant in the pravastatin group was 1.41 (p=0.001), while that in the placebo group was 1.08 (p=0.447).

The *ABCA1* R219K variant may get significantly less heart disease risk reduction from pravastatin treatment.

Table 1

Study Subjects (n=5,414)

Study Characteristics Mean (SD) ^a	Placebo (n=2,732)	Pravastatin (n=2,682)
Age (years)	75.3 (3.3)	75.4 (3.3)
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.9 (4.3)	26.8 (4.1)
Females, n (%)	1413 (51.7)	1382 (51.5)
History diabetes mellitus, n (%)	294 (10.8)	281 (10.5)
History hypertension, n (%)	1694 (62.0)	1664 (62.0)
History vascular disease, n (%)	1191 (43.6)	1211 (45.2)
History of MI, n (%)	374 (13.7)	356 (13.3)
Current smoking, n (%)	740 (27.1)	693 (25.8)
Alcohol consumption (units per week)	5.0 (8.6)	5.3 (9.5)
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.67 (0.88)	5.70 (0.93)
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.79 (0.78)	3.81 (0.81)
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.27 (0.34)	1.28 (0.35)
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.53 (0.69)	1.55 (0.71)
apoA-I (g/L)	1.32 (0.24)	1.33 (0.25)
apoB (g/L)	1.15 (0.22)	1.15 (0.23)
apoE 2/2+2/3 (%)	12.1	12.1
apoE 3/3 (%)	64.7	64.3
apoE 3/4+4/4 (%)	23.2	23.6
ABCA1_R219K-rs2230806	MAF A:0.28	

BMI: body mass index. MAF: minor allele frequency. MI: myocardial infarction

 a Means (S.D.) unless otherwise specified; apoE 2/4 carriers were excluded (see Materials and Methods section)

ABCA1 SNP		R219K		p^a
rs2230806	GG	GA	AA	
All (n)	2834	2167	413	
TC (mmol/L)	5.69 ± 0.91	5.68 ± 0.89	5.75 ± 0.93	0.463
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.81±0.80	3.79 ± 0.80	3.80 ± 0.80	0.655
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.27 ± 0.34	1.28 ± 0.35	1.30 ± 0.34	0.024
TG (mmol/L)	1.56 ± 0.72	1.53 ± 0.69	1.52 ± 0.64	0.140
apoA-I (g/L)	1.32±0.24	1.33±0.25	1.35 ± 0.23	0.066
apoB (g/L)	1.15 ± 0.23	1.15 ± 0.23	1.15 ± 0.22	0.222
Men (n)	1395	1040	184	
TC (mmol/L)	5.36 ± 0.80	5.36 ± 0.80	5.31±0.71	0.819
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.59 ± 0.72	3.58 ± 0.73	$3.54{\pm}0.62$	0.820
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.17 ± 0.31	1.18 ± 0.33	1.21 ± 0.30	0.133
TG (mmol/L)	1.50 ± 0.74	$1.50{\pm}0.74$	1.44 ± 0.59	0.568
apoA-I (g/L)	1.24 ± 0.21	1.25 ± 0.24	1.26 ± 0.22	0.166
apoB (g/L)	1.11 ± 0.22	$1.10{\pm}0.21$	1.09 ± 0.19	0.227
Women (n)	1439	1127	229	
TC (mmol/L)	6.00 ± 0.89	$5.97{\pm}0.88$	6.11 ± 0.93	0.110
LDL-C (mmol/L)	4.01±0.81	3.99 ± 0.81	4.07 ± 0.82	0.281
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.36±0.35	1.38±0.35	1.40 ± 0.34	0.091
TG (mmol/L)	1.61 ± 0.69	1.55±0.64	$1.60{\pm}0.68$	0.127
apoA-I (g/L)	1.39±0.25	$1.40{\pm}0.24$	1.42 ± 0.21	0.221
apoB (g/L)	1.20±0.23	1.18±0.22	1.20±0.23	0.577

 Table 2

 Adjusted Baseline Lipid Levels (mean±SD) by Gender and Genotype

a p values using the three genotypes, men and women combined; adjusted for gender, body mass index, age, alcohol, smoking, diabetes, apoE phenotype, and country. As for Bonferroni correction of multiple testing, p-value threshold divided by independent tests would be 0.05/12=0.004.

A. Percent LDL-C Response to Pravastatin by Genotype

Table 3

	Genotype		Adjusted me	ean pero	ent LDI	C reduction ^a	
		u	6 months	q^d	u	12months	q^d
ABCAI							
All	GG	1346	-33.06±14.16		1318	-32.04 ± 15.70	
	GA	1010	-33.26±14.31	0.374	972	-31.72±15.65	0.767
	AA	199	-33.84±12.72		198	-31.77±15.46	
Men	GG	673	-32.37±13.82		662	-30.99±15.79	
	GA	459	-32.73±13.32	0.604	443	-30.69 ± 15.01	0.519
	AA	107	-32.57±12.78		104	-29.54±16.46	
Women	GG	673	-33.75±14.46		656	-33.10 ± 15.56	
	GA	551	-33.69±15.09	0.502	529	-32.59±16.13	0.797
	AA	92	-35.32±12.55		94	-34.24±13.95	
		=	6months	q^d	=	12months	q^d
ABCAI							
All	GG	1334	-1.70±11.89		1297	-1.11 ± 13.22	
	GA	1044	-1.42 ± 11.24	0.257	1029	-1.31 ± 13.55	0.952
	AA	193	-0.85±0.95		190	-0.78 ± 0.12	
Men	GG	652	-1.82 ± 11.43		632	-0.69±12.77	
	GA	526	-1.25 ± 12.26	0.107	525	-1.66±13.94	0.881
	AA	99	0.69 ± 8.32		68	1.34 ± 10.14	
Women	GG	682	-1.58±12.32		665	-1.51±13.63	
	GA	518	-1.60 ± 10.11	0.957	504	-0.95 ± 13.14	0.830
	4 4	177	-1.65+10.05		122	-1 34+13 37	

Author Manuscript

b values for data combining men and women, adjusted for gender, body mass index, age, alcohol, smoking, diabetes, apoE phenotype, baseline HDL-C levels, and country.

Author Manuscript

Gene	Primary Endpoints			CHD death or non-fatal MI			Cardiovascular events		
Genotype	New case/total subjects (%)	HR ^c	p^d	New case/total subjects (%)	HR ^c	p^d	New case/total subjects (%)	HR^{c}	p^d
ABCAI									
Unadjusted									
GG	386/2834 (13.6)	1		277/2834 (9.7)	1		439/2834 (15.5)	1	
GA+AA	420/2580 (16.3)	1.22 (1.06-1.40)	0.005	321/2580 (12.4)	1.30 (1.10-1.52)	0.002	256/2580 (17.7)	1.16 (1.02-1.32)	0.029
Adjusted ^a									
GG	386/2834 (13.6)	1		277/2834 (9.7)	1		439/2834 (15.5)	1	
GA+AA	420/2580 (16.3)	1.22 (1.06-1.40)	0.006	321/2580 (12.4)	1.30 (1.11-1.53)	0.001	456/2580 (17.7)	1.17 (1.02-1.33)	0.021
On placebo									
GG	216/1412 (15.3)	1		157/1412 (11.1)	1		241/1412 (17.1)	1	
GA+AA	219/1320 (16.6)	1.08 (0.89-1.30)	0.447	170/1320 (12.5)	1.16 (0.93-1.44)	0.192	242/1320 (18.3)	1.08 (0.90-1.29)	0.404
On pravastatin b									
GG	170/1422 (12.0)	1		120/1422 (8.4)	1		198/1422 (13.9)	1	
GA+AA	201/1260 (16.0)	1.41 (1.15-1.73)	0.001	151/1260 (12.9)	1.51 (1.18-1.92)	0.001	214/1260 (17.0)	1.28 (1.06-1.56)	0.013
Men on placebo									
GG	127/685 (18.5)	1		97/685 (14.2)	1		142/685 (20.7)	1	
GA+AA	128/634 (20.2)	1.08 (0.84-1.38)	0.568	106/634 (16.7)	1.17 (0.88-1.54)	0.279	146/634 (23.0)	1.11 (0.88-1.40)	0.396
Men on pravastatin									
GG	97/710 (13.7)	1		72/710(10.1)	1		116/710 (16.3)	1	
GA+AA	107/590 (18.1)	1.37 (1.04-1.80)	0.026	83/590 (14.1)	1.42 (1.04-1.95)	0.029	117/590 (19.8)	1.25 (0.97-1.62)	0.087
Women on placebo									
GG	89/727 (12.2)	1		60/727 (8.3)	1		99/727 (13.6)	1	
GA+AA	91/686 (13.3)	1.07 (0.80-1.43)	0.661	64/686 (9.3)	1.13 (0.79-1.61)	0.496	96/686 (14.0)	1.04 (0.78-1.38)	0.806
Women on pravastatin									
GG	73/712 (10.3)	1		48/712 (6.7)	1		82/712 (11.5)	1	
GA+AA	94/670 (14.0)	1.50 (1.10-2.05)	0.011	68/670 (10.1)	1.67 (1.14-2.44)	0.008	97/670 (14.5)	1.36 (1.01-1.83)	0.046

Atherosclerosis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 September 01.

Table 4

Analysis of Incidence of New Events on Trial by ABCA1 Genotype

Akao et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

a values for men and women combined; adjusted for gender, body mass index, age, alcohol, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, apoE phenotype, baseline HDL-C levels, randomized treatment, and country. No significant differences were noted when men and women were separated.

b values for men and women combined; adjusted for gender, body mass index, age, alcohol, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, apoE phenotype, baseline HDL-C levels, and country.

 c Hazards ratio (95% confidence interval).

 $d_{
m As}$ for Bonferroni correction of multiple testing, p-value threshold divided by independent tests would be 0.05/4=0.0125.