Annals of Oncology 26: 1481–1487, 2015 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdv196 Published online 21 April 2015

Phase I dose-escalating trial of *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase and fludarabine gene therapy for advanced solid tumors[†]

E. L. Rosenthal^{1*}, T. K. Chung¹, W. B. Parker², P. W. Allan³, L. Clemons¹, D. Lowman¹, J. Hong⁴, F. R. Hunt², J. Richman¹, R. M. Conry⁵, K. Mannion⁶, W. R. Carroll¹, L. Nabell⁵ & E. J. Sorscher²

¹Department of Surgery, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham; ²PNP Therapeutics, Inc., Birmingham; ³Southern Research Institute, Birmingham; ⁴Department of Cellular, Developmental and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham; ⁵Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham; ⁶Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

Received 20 January 2015; revised 13 March 2015 and 13 April 2015; accepted 15 April 2015

Background: The use of *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP) to activate fludarabine has demonstrated safety and antitumor activity during preclinical analysis and has been approved for clinical investigation.

Patients and methods: A first-in-human phase I clinical trial (NCT 01310179; IND 14271) was initiated to evaluate safety and efficacy of an intratumoral injection of adenoviral vector expressing *E. coli* PNP in combination with intravenous fludarabine for the treatment of solid tumors. The study was designed with escalating doses of fludarabine in the first three cohorts (15, 45, and 75 mg/m²) and escalating virus in the fourth (10^{11} – 10^{12} viral particles, VP).

Results: All 12 study subjects completed therapy without dose-limiting toxicity. Tumor size change from baseline to final measurement demonstrated a dose-dependent response, with 5 of 6 patients in cohorts 3 and 4 achieving significant tumor regression compared with 0 responsive subjects in cohorts 1 and 2. The overall adverse event rate was not dose-dependent. Most common adverse events included pain at the viral injection site (92%), drainage/itching/burning (50%), fatigue (50%), and fever/chills/influenza-like symptoms (42%). Analysis of serum confirmed the lack of systemic exposure to fluoroadenine. Antibody response to adenovirus was detected in two patients, suggesting that neutralizing immune response is not a barrier to efficacy.

Conclusions: This first-in-human clinical trial found that localized generation of fluoroadenine within tumor tissues using *E. coli* PNP and fludarabine is safe and effective. The pronounced effect on tumor volume after a single treatment cycle suggests that phase II studies are warranted.

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01310179.

Key words: purine nucleoside phosphorylase, fludarabine, gene therapy, human clinical trial

introduction

Most conventional chemotherapeutic agents derive antitumor specificity from the ability to kill dividing (as opposed to nondividing) cancer cells. Many therapy-resistant solid tumors are refractory precisely because they have a low-growth fraction, which includes the regenerative or tumor 'stem cell' compartment.

Enzyme-prodrug therapy has the potential to treat this compartment. The enzyme *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP) converts relative nontoxic purine nucleosides to very potent adenine analogs, an activity that human PNP lacks. Fludarabine monophosphate is a clinically approved chemotherapeutic that is converted by *E. coli* PNP into fluoroadenine, which is phosphorylated into its ATP analog (F-ATP) and is incorporated into RNA, a process which disrupts RNA and protein synthesis [1]. In this way, PNP and fludarabine confer cytotoxicity to cells of any proliferative stage, circumventing limitations in targeting DNA. Furthermore, fluoroadenine has the unique ability to extend its cytotoxic effect to neighboring cells (i.e. bystander killing) [2–5].

We and others have previously demonstrated robust antitumor activity following the intratumoral injection of *E. coli* PNP followed by systemic administration of fludarabine in preclinical models [6–11]. Based on these studies, a dose-escalation, phase I trial was conducted. We hypothesized that direct tumor infiltration of non-replicative adenovirus engineered to encode

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

^{*}Correspondence to: Prof. Eben L. Rosenthal, Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Stanford University, 875 Blake Wilbur Drive CC-2213, Stanford, CA 94305-5827. Tel: (650) 725-6500; Fax: (650) 725-8502, E-mail: elr@stanford.edu

[†]The results of this study have not been presented prior to manuscript submission.

E. coli PNP (Ad/PNP) followed by intravenous administration of fludarabine would be safe and effective in the treatment of solid tumors (Figure 1).

methods

study design

We conducted an open-label, two-center dose-escalating phase 1 trial evaluating the safety and antitumor activity of a non-replicative (E1- and E3deleted adenovirus) engineered to encode *E. coli* PNP (Ad/PNP) in combination with systemic fludarabine phosphate (referred to here as fludarabine) administered to patients with solid tumors who failed or exhausted all other standard or approved therapies. We investigated three dose levels of intravenous fludarabine and two dose levels of intratumoral Ad/PNP. A 3 + 3dose-escalation format for fludarabine along with an additional dose-escalation arm for PNP was designed and institutional review board (IRB) approval was obtained at both study sites. The trial was registered with Clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01310179. Patient recruitment was from February 2011 to April 2014.

inclusion and exclusion criteria

Subjects were recruited at the University of Alabama at Birmingham (n = 11) and Vanderbilt University (n = 1). Each study site tumor board reviewed screening data to determine eligibility. Inclusion criteria included: biopsyconfirmed diagnosis of solid tumor, failure or exhaustion of all standard or approved treatment options that would provide substantive palliation; at least one measurable primary or metastatic tumor (>5 × 5 mm based on physical examination) accessible for direct intratumoral injection; age ≥19 years; life expectancy >12 weeks; Karnofsky performance status of at least 60%; and acceptable serum laboratory results.

Exclusion criteria included: diagnosis of leukemia; prior receipt of any gene therapy product or oncolytic viral therapy; current allopurinol treatment; radiation or chemotherapy treatment <4 weeks before initiating Ad/ PNP; significant baseline neuropathy (>grade 2 based on CTCAE v4.0); treatment with systemic antibiotics for infection within a week before Ad/ PNP; FEV1 <40% of predicted; or immunosuppressive medications.

study drug and dosing

Ad/PNP was manufactured by the biological division of Sigma-Aldrich, SAFC Pharma (Carlsbad, CA) and supplied as a liquid formulation in vials containing 2×10^{11} viral particles (VP)/100 µl in 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 25 mM NaCl, and 2.5% glycerol and stored at less than -60° C. Dilutions of Ad/ PNP in sterile normal saline USP were prepared immediately before use. Commercially available fludarabine phosphate (Fludara*) was utilized as the prodrug.

In cohorts 1–3, Ad/PNP dose was held constant at 3×10^{11} VP, whereas total fludarabine dose was increased from 15 mg/m² (cohort 1) to 45 mg/m² (cohort 2) and then to 75 mg/m² (cohort 3). Cohort 4 increased dosing of intratumoral Ad/PNP to 2.73×10^{12} VP while maintaining the highest fludarabine dose (75 mg/m²). For each subject, one target tumor was chosen for intratumoral injection while non-target tumors, if present, were only observed. Ad/PNP was administered in three intratumoral inoculations (0.5 ml each) over the first 2 days (two injections separated by 4 h on day 1 and a single injection the next day) followed by intravenous infusions of fludarabine (on days 3, 4, and 5) for each patient.

safety monitoring and other laboratory measurements

Patients were assessed by physical examination, vital signs, performance status, and chemistry and hematology panels daily on days 1–5, with subsequent clinic visits on days 8, 10, 14, 21, 28, and 56. Adverse events were monitored and graded according to the NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE v4.0). Attribution of adverse events to the study drug was carried out by the investigator according to National Cancer Institute Adverse Event Reporting Requirements [12] and was assessed for each component of treatment. Serum samples were obtained before and 15–30 min following each infusion of fludarabine. Serum samples were obtained to detect anti-viral antibodies. In cohorts 3 and 4, a tumor biopsy was



Figure 1. Mechanism of E. coli purine nucleoside phosphorylase prodrug activation using fludarabine.

obtained on day 3 using a 3-mm punchand. *E. coli* PNP activity was evaluated enzymatically as previously described [13].

treatment outcomes

Tumor size was assessed at all visits up to day 56. Tumor length and width (cm²) were obtained clinically using calipers or ruler and assessed by two clinicians. Standardized color photos were obtained for all patients except for patient 11. Primary efficacy end point was tumor size change from baseline (tumor dimensions on day 3 of treatment) versus the final measurement using the following percentage cutoffs: complete response was defined as disappearance of the target lesion; partial response as \geq 30% decrease in size. Progressive disease was reported for tumors with a \geq 20% increase in size, and stable disease as the absence of either tumor response or progressive disease. Non-target lesions were tumors identified on radiographs or examinations that were not treated with vector.

statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS Statistics for Windows version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Released 2013, Armonk, NY) and R v3.0.3 [14]. We calculated descriptive statistics for tumor volume at baseline by cohort versus linear regression to examine whether percent change from baseline to last follow-up varied by treatment cohort, with or without adjustment for baseline tumor dimensions.

results

patients' characteristics

Twelve patients who presented with recurrent disease following multi-modality treatment and had exhausted all other curative therapies were enrolled in the study (Table 1). All subjects completed the full course of therapy. Mean age of enrolled individuals was 61.5 years. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

original articles

was the most common pathologic diagnosis (n = 8), followed by adenoid cystic carcinoma (n = 2) and melanoma (n = 2). Target lesions were located in the neck (n = 6), face (2), scalp (1), oral cavity (1), oropharynx (1), and lower extremity (1). Target lesion volumes at baseline averaged 5.9 ± 5.4 cm² (median 4.7, range 1.5–20). Seven patients presented with non-target lesions amenable to concurrent serial evaluation.

drug delivery

Each patient received three direct intratumoral Ad/PNP injections over a 2-day period, followed by systemic administration of fludarabine for 3 consecutive days. Serum fluoroadenine levels were found below detection level (1 ng/ml). Serum adenovirus antibodies were obtained on days 1 and 28. Patient 3 in cohort 1 and patient 10 in cohort 3 demonstrated measurable antibody levels to the vector at day 28, while all other samples were below detection threshold. Antibody levels did not appear to correlate with response to treatment. Attempts to monitor *E. coli* PNP enzymatic activity were frustrated by difficulty preparing soluble extract from small tissue samples. One sample indicated a level of 208 nmol/mg h, but very little activity was detected in other samples. One unit of PNP activity was defined as the amount of tumor extract necessary to cleave 1 nmol of 6methylpurine-2'-deoxyriboxide per mg protein in a 1-h period.

safety

Patients tolerated the therapy well without discontinuation or delay in treatment. Although there were adverse events attributable to study drug (Figure 2), dose-limiting toxicities were not observed. Moreover, no association between escalating dose and the number or grade of adverse events was noted. There were

	No.	Age/sex	Ethnicity	Perf. status (%)	Tumor site	Histology	Recurrence	Prior chemo	Prior XRT	Prior surgery	Non-target lesions
Cohort 1 (3×10^{11})	1	54/F	Caucasian	80	Neck	SCC—mod diff.	Regional	Y	Y	Y	N
VP + 15 mg/m ²)	2	55/M	Caucasian	90	Neck	Adenoid cystic ca.	Regional	Y	Y	Y	Y
	3	59/M	Caucasian	80	Neck	SCC	Regional	Y	Y	Y	Ν
Cohort 2 (3×10^{11}	4	65/M	Caucasian	70	Cheek	SCC	Local	Y	Y	Y	Y
VP + 45 mg/m ²)	5	60/M	Caucasian	90	Hard palate	Adenoid cystic ca.	Regional	Y	Y	Y	Y
	6	65/M	Caucasian	100	Tonsil	SCC	Local	Y	Y	Y	Ν
Cohort 3 (3 × 10 ¹¹ VP + 75 mg/m ²)	7	61/F	African- American	80	Neck	SCC—poor diff.	Local	Y	Y	Y	Ν
	8	53/M	Caucasian	90	Neck	SCC—poor diff.	Local	Y	Y	Y	Y
	9	56/M	Caucasian	70	Neck	SCC	Local	Y	Ν	Y	Y
Cohort 4 (2.7×10^{12}	10	64/F	Caucasian	70	Lower lip	SCC—mod diff.	Local	Y	Y	Y	N
VP + 75 mg/m ²)	11	82/M	Caucasian	70	Scalp	Melanoma	Distant	Y	Y	Y	Y
	12	64/M	Caucasian	100	Lower ext.	Nevoid melanoma	Local	Ν	Y	Y	Y

Patients enrolled in this study are listed by cohort, age, sex, ethnicity, performance status, cancer type, site, treatment history, and presence of non-target lesions. Doses of fludarabine are listed by body surface area (mg/m²).

VP, viral particles; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; Ca., carcinoma; Perf. status, Performance status; mod diff., moderately differentiated; poor diff., poorly differentiated.

	All Patients	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 3	Cohort 4
	N=12	N =3	N=3	N=3	N=3
	<i>n</i> (%) [<i>n</i> related]				
Any AE	12 (100) [12]	3 (100) [3]	3 (100) [3]	3 (100) [3]	3 (100) [3]
Patients with Serious AEs (Grade 3-5)	5 (42) [0]	2 (66) [0]	1 (33) [0]	1 (33) [0]	1 (33) [0]
Dehydration	1 (8) [0]	1 (33) [0]			
Pericardial Effusion	1 (8) [0]	1 (33) [0]			
Cardiac Tamponade	1 (8) [0]	1 (33) [0]			
Pain	1 (8) [0]		1 (33) [0]		
Nausea/Vomiting	1 (8) [0]		1 (33) [0]		
Bacteremia	1 (8) [0]			1 (33) [0]	
Partial Seizure	1 (8) [0]				1 (33) [0]
Lower Ext. Weakness	1 (8) [0]				1 (33) [0]
Chronic Wound Infection	1 (8) [0]				1 (33) [0]
AEs in 3 or more (≥25%) patients (All Grades)					
Injection site symptoms	12 (100) [10]	3 (100) [3]	3 (100) [1]	3 (100) [3]	3 (100) [3]
Fatigue	8 (66) [6]	2 (66) [2]	2 (66) [1]	2 (66) [1]	2 (66) [2]
Pain (excluding injection site)	8 (66) [4]	2 (33) [2]	2 (66) [0]	3 (100) [2]	1 (33) [0]
Nausea/Vomiting/Diarrhea	6 (50) [4]	2 (66) [2]	1 (33) [0]	3 (100) [2]	
Flu-Like Symptoms/Chills	5 (42) [5]	2 (66) [2]	1 (33) [1]		2 (66) [2]
Facial Edema/Pitting Edema	5 (42) [3]	1 (33) [1]	1 (33) [1]	2 (66) [1]	1 (33) [0]
Dizziness	5 (42) [2]	3 (100) [2]		1 (33) [0]	1 (33) [0]
Cough/Dyspnea/Wheeze/Sore Throat	5 (42) [2]	2 (66) [1]	2 (66) [0]		1 (33) [1]
Headache	4 (33) [3]	2 (66) [2]		1 (33) [0]	1 (33) [1]
Decreased Lymphocytes	4 (33) [2]	2 (66) [1]	1 (33) [1]	1 (33) [0]	
Decreased Hemoglobin	4 (33) [2]	2 (66) [2]	1 (33) [0]	1 (33) [0]	
Rash	4 (33) [0]		1 (33) [0]	3 (100) [0]	
Pruritis	3 (25) [2]	2 (66) [2]	1 (33) [0]		
Decreased Appetite	3 (25) [2]	1 (33) [1]			2 (66) [1]
Neck/Extremity Stiffness	3 (25) [3]	2 (66) [2]			1 (33) [1]
Thrush	3 (25) [0]	1 (33) [0]	1 (33) [0]		1 (33) [0]
Hypertension (or worsening)	3 (25) [0]				
Noteworthy AEs					
Increased AST/ALT	1 (8) [0]			1 (33) [0]	



Figure 2. Adverse events (AEs) by grade, relatedness, and cohort. Adverse events were tabulated on a per-patient basis (top table). All adverse events, including repeat events within patients, were graphed (bottom histogram). ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase.

two grade 3 events attributed to therapy. One subject experienced a decreased lymphocyte count, which resolved spontaneously and was considered possibly related to fludarabine. The second treatment related grade 3 event involved pain at the injection site following Ad/PNP administration. Grade 1 and 2 toxicities did not increase with escalating doses of Ad/PNP or fludarabine.

Additional adverse events attributed to treatment were grade 1 (82%) and grade 2 (15%), and included pain at the injection site (83%), drainage/itching/burning at the injection site (50%), fatigue (50%), and fever/chills/influenza-like symptomatology (42%). Hematologic events possibly related to study drug included leukopenia in two patients (16.6%) and anemia in two others (16.6%). One patient exhibited a grade 1 alanine transaminase (ALT) transient increase 1.05 times outside the normal range. This was not deemed clinically significant.

response to therapy

Tumor response using tumor volume percent cutoffs and mean tumor size change from baseline to last measurement for each cohort are illustrated in Figure 3. Indication of therapeutic benefit was achieved for each sequential cohort, with a linear response to dose escalation of fludarabine. A significantly higher proportion of responders occurred at the highest dose of fludarabine (5 of 6

Baseline to Last Size	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 3	Cohort 4
Progression (>+20%)	2	-	-	-
Stable (+20 to -30%)	1	3	-	1
Partial Response (>-30%)	-	-	3	2



Figure 3. Treatment outcome and percent change in tumor volume by cohort. Treatment outcome was defined with tumor volume percentage cutoffs (marked with dashed lines) where progression is a percentage change in tumor volume of $\geq 20\%$ increase, partial response is defined as a change of $\geq 30\%$ decrease, and stable a change between -30% and +20%. Measurements were obtained at baseline before study drug injection and each patient's last measurement for the study. The response rate in cohorts 3 and 4 was significantly higher than the rate in cohorts 1 and 2.

patients in cohorts 3 and 4) compared with lower doses (0 of 6 in cohorts 1 and 2). Although Ad/PNP was increased 10-fold from cohort 3 to 4, similar antitumor effect in the two groups suggests that expression of *E. coli* PNP is saturated at the lower dose. A single cycle of fludarabine has no known activity against these tumor types and this was evident when comparing target lesions with non-target lesions. Moreover, dose dependence of tumor response indicates specificity of combination of Ad/PNP and fludarabine, rather than either component individually.

Five of the six patients in cohort 3 and 4 demonstrated a partial response (Figure 3), and relative tumor volume measurements demonstrated a reduction for these subjects. Two patients experienced complete tumor regression of otherwise refractory, multiply treated masses (Figure 4). In these subjects (8 and 11), complete regression was achieved by days 14–21 and was present for 1 week before regrowth, which suggests that regression might be more sustainable with repeated administration. Subjects with partial or complete tumor regression exhibited healing at the treatment site without evidence of ulceration or non-target tissue necrosis (Figure 5).

discussion

We present the first-in-human study evaluating viral delivery of *E. coli* PNP followed by systemic fludarabine. Our findings demonstrate safety and efficacy in human subjects, corroborating results seen in preclinical studies. Because non-target lesions were stable or progressed, our results confirm that fludarabine has negligible efficacy against solid tumors, and antitumor activity is confined to lesions that received intratumoral injection.

No dose-limiting events or dose-related increases in toxicity were identified in this study. The lack of toxicity is consistent with the local nature of injectable treatments and extends preclinical studies, demonstrating that co-administration of E. coli PNP/fludarabine is safe [4, 5, 15]. The absence of detectable serum fluoroadenine indicates that release of the compound into systemic circulation occurs at levels below the toxic range. This absence of toxicity and evidence of dose-related tumor response in this early phase trial suggest that therapeutic efficacy might be improved with repeated treatment cycles, increasing volume of the vector, and increasing the fludarabine dose (the maximum dose of fludarabine used in our study was 60% of a standard weekly dose for the treatment of chronic leukemia). Although one may expect increased doses to confer additional toxicity associated with fludarabine, this risk must be weighed against potential gains in efficacy (Figure 3).

Several published studies have compared PNP with firstgeneration suicide gene strategies such as activation of ganciclovir by herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) or 5-fluorocytosine by *E. coli* cytosine deaminase (CD), and several advantages have been established for *E. coli* PNP [16–20]. In contrast to HSV-TK or CD, *E. coli* PNP confers pronounced and reproducible *in vivo* bystander activity in preclinical studies using human tumor xenografts. The strategy is capable of eliminating at least 95% of tumor cells that do not express the



Figure 4. Serial tumor volume measurements for patients with complete tumor regression. Complete tumor volume measurements are plotted for two patients with complete tumor regression. A green box identifies the timeframe for the viral injection of purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP) and a blue box identifies the timeframe for fludarabine injection. Patient 11 was lost to follow-up for day 56.

enzyme. To our knowledge, no other prodrug activation strategy has shown bystander killing of this magnitude [21, 22]. It should also be noted that fluoroadenine is highly potent in its ability to kill cancer cells (~1000 times more active on a molar basis than 5-fluorouracil, the compound generated by CD [1]), indicating only a small amount of fluoroadenine is required to reach surrounding tumor cells (that do not express *E. coli* PNP) to be effective. Finally, inhibition of RNA and protein synthesis via fluoroadenine kills both proliferating and nonproliferating tumor cells, and may improve outcomes when used in conjunction with radiation therapy [15].

A key limitation of the treatment strategy described by this report is a requirement for intratumoral injection using multiple passes with a narrow gauge needle to ensure optimal distribution of virus within tumor tissue. Although there are techniques to improve drug diffusion after direct intratumoral injection [23, 24], Ad/PNP is limited at present to neoplasms that are amenable to local inoculation. Nevertheless, head and neck, cutaneous, breast, and many gynecologic malignancies may still benefit. Furthermore, there has been significant improvement in delivery strategies for the PNP gene which include replication-deficient retrovirus, measles vectors, vaccinia, and herpes simplex virus, among others.

In summary, the present report describes clinical validation and shows safety and clinical responses in refractory tumors



Annals of Oncology

Figure 5. Tumor response in a cohort 4 patient. Sample images from a cohort 4 patient demonstrates serial changes from baseline (top) to day 14 (bottom).

using *E. coli* PNP/fludarabine. More aggressive treatment strategies are warranted in next phase trials and could be used to treat refractory, needle accessible solid tumors with curative intent, for tumors palliation, or as a neoadjuvant (preoperative) means to 'de-bulk' needle accessible tumors (such as breast or prostate) before surgical resection.

conclusion

Successful regression of tumors without significant toxicity was demonstrated in this first-in-human study of an effective prodrug activation strategy using *E. coli* PNP. These results warrant future clinical trials with multiple cycles until the tumor mass has been eliminated or sufficiently reduced in size, so that it could be more safely and completely excised with limited morbidity.

funding

This work was supported by PNP Therapeutics, Inc. and a grant from the NIH T32CA091078.

disclosure

EJS, JH, FRH, and WBP have significant equity interests in PNP Therapeutics, Inc., which has licensed the patents concerning use of *E. coli* PNP for the treatment of cancer. EJS is also a faculty member at the University of Alabama Birmingham. WP is an employee of Southern Research Institute in Birmingham, AL. All remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

references

- Parker WB, Allan PW, Shaddix SC et al. Metabolism and metabolic actions of 6methylpurine and 2-fluoroadenine in human cells. Biochem Pharmacol 1998; 55: 1673–1681.
- Hughes BW, Wells AH, Bebok Z et al. Bystander killing of melanoma cells using the human tyrosinase promoter to express the *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase gene. Cancer Res 1995; 55: 3339–3345.
- Hughes BW, King SA, Allan PW et al. Cell to cell contact is not required for bystander cell killing by *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 2322–2328.
- 4. Hong JS, Waud WR, Levasseur DN et al. Excellent in vivo bystander activity of fludarabine phosphate against human glioma xenografts that express the *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase gene. Cancer Res 2004; 64: 6610–6615.
- Parker WB, Allan PW, Waud WR et al. Effect of expression of adenine phosphoribosyltransferase on the in vivo anti-tumor activity of prodrugs activated by *E. coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase. Cancer Gene Ther 2011; 18: 390–398.
- Kikuchi E, Menendez S, Ozu C et al. Delivery of replication-competent retrovirus expressing *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase increases the metabolism of the prodrug, fludarabine phosphate and suppresses the growth of bladder tumor xenografts. Cancer Gene Ther 2007; 14: 279–286.
- Ungerechts G, Springfeld C, Frenzke ME et al. Lymphoma chemovirotherapy: CD20-targeted and convertase-armed measles virus can synergize with fludarabine. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 10939–10947.
- Deharvengt S, Wack S, Uhring M et al. Suicide gene/prodrug therapy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma by *E. coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase and 6methylpurine 2'-deoxyriboside. Pancreas 2004; 28: E54–E64.
- Krohne TU, Shankara S, Geissler M et al. Mechanisms of cell death induced by suicide genes encoding purine nucleoside phosphorylase and thymidine kinase in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells in vitro. Hepatology 2001; 34: 511–518.
- 10. Mohr L, Shankara S, Yoon SK et al. Gene therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma in vitro and in vivo in nude mice by adenoviral transfer of the

original articles

Escherichia coli purine nucleoside phosphorylase gene. Hepatology 2000; 31: 606-614.

- Ungerechts G, Springfeld C, Frenzke ME et al. An immunocompetent murine model for oncolysis with an armed and targeted measles virus. Mol Ther 2007; 15: 1991–1997.
- National Cancer Institute NCI Guidelines for Investigators. Adverse Event Reporting Requirements for DCTD (CTEP and CIP) and DCP INDs and IDEs. http://ctep. cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic_applications/docs/aeguidelines.pdf (3 March 2015, date last accessed).
- Gadi VK, Alexander SD, Kudlow JE et al. In vivo sensitization of ovarian tumors to chemotherapy by expression of *E. coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase in a small fraction of cells. Gene Ther 2000; 7: 1738–1743.
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. http:// www.R-project.org (20 January 2015, date last accessed).
- Sorscher EJ, Hong JS, Allan PW et al. In vivo antitumor activity of intratumoral fludarabine phosphate in refractory tumors expressing *E. coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2012; 70: 321–329.
- Nestler U, Heinkelein M, Lucke M et al. Foamy virus vectors for suicide gene therapy. Gene Ther 1997; 4: 1270–1277.
- Lockett LJ, Molloy PL, Russell PJ, Both GW. Relative efficiency of tumor cell killing in vitro by two enzyme-prodrug systems delivered by identical adenovirus vectors. Clin Cancer Res 1997; 3: 2075–2080.
- Xie X, Guo J, Kong Y et al. Targeted expression of *Escherichia coli* purine nucleoside phosphorylase and Fludara(R) for prostate cancer therapy. J Gene Med 2011; 13: 680–691.
- Martiniello-Wilks R, Garcia-Aragon J, Daja MM et al. In vivo gene therapy for prostate cancer: preclinical evaluation of two different enzyme-directed prodrug therapy systems delivered by identical adenovirus vectors. Hum Gene Ther 1998; 9: 1617–1626.
- Puhlmann M, Gnant M, Brown CK et al. Thymidine kinase-deleted vaccinia virus expressing purine nucleoside phosphorylase as a vector for tumor-directed gene therapy. Hum Gene Ther 1999; 10: 649–657.
- Yao SY, Ng AM, Cass CE et al.Nucleobase transport by human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1). J Biol Chem 2011; 286: 32552–32562.
- Zhang J, Visser F, King KM et al. The role of nucleoside transporters in cancer chemotherapy with nucleoside drugs. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2007; 26: 85–110.
- Currier MA, Adams LC, Mahller YY, Cripe TP. Widespread intratumoral virus distribution with fractionated injection enables local control of large human rhabdomyosarcoma xenografts by oncolytic herpes simplex viruses. Cancer Gene Ther 2005; 12: 407–416.
- Bazan-Peregrino M, Carlisle RC, Purdie L, Seymour LW. Factors influencing retention of adenovirus within tumours following direct intratumoural injection. Gene Ther 2008; 15: 688–694.