RESEARCH PAPER



Salt-induced transcription factor *MYB74* is regulated by the RNA-directed DNA methylation pathway in *Arabidopsis*

Rui Xu^{1,2,*}, Yuhan Wang^{1,*}, Hao Zheng^{1,*}, Wei Lu¹, Changai Wu¹, Jinguang Huang¹, Kang Yan¹, Guodong Yang¹ and Chengchao Zheng^{1,†}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Crop Biology, College of Life Sciences, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian, Shandong 271018, PR China ² Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250021, PR China

* These authors contributed equally to this study.

[†] To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: cczheng@sdau.edu.cn

Received 11 March 2015; Revised 22 May 2015; Accepted 28 May 2015

Editor: Ramanjulu Sunkar

Abstract

Salt stress is one of the major abiotic stresses in agriculture worldwide that causes crop failure by interfering with the profile of gene expression and cell metabolism. Transcription factors and RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) play an important role in the regulation of gene activation under abiotic stress in plants. This work characterized *AtMYB74*, a member of the R2R3-MYB gene family, which is transcriptionally regulated mainly by RdDM as a response in salt stress in *Arabidopsis*. Bisulphite sequencing indicated that 24-nt siRNAs target a region approximately 500 bp upstream of the transcription initiation site of *AtMYB74*, which is heavily methylated. Levels of DNA methylation in this region were significantly reduced in wild type plants under salt stress, whereas no changes were found in RdDM mutants. Northern blot and quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR analysis showed that the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs was decreased in WT plants under salt stress. Further promoter deletion analysis revealed that the siRNA target region is essential for maintaining *AtMYB74* expression patterns. In addition, transgenic plants overexpressing *AtMYB74* displayed hypersensitivity to NaCl during seed germination. These results suggest that changes in the levels of the five 24-nt siRNAs regulate the *AtMYB74* transcription factor via RdDM in response to salt stress.

Key words: Arabidopsis, AtMYB74, RNA-directed DNA methylation, salt stress, siRNA, transcription, transcription factor.

Introduction

High salinity is a crucial problem affecting plant growth and crop production in many parts of the world. Recently, many salt-stress responsive genes and protein have been identified by both forward and reverse genetics approaches. The transcription factors, such as CCAAT-binding transcription factors (CBFs), NAM, ATAF1/2 CUC2 transcription factors (NACs), and WRKYs, act as key regulators in response to salt stress in plants (Singh *et al.*, 2002). The MYB proteins also function as transcription factors that play regulatory roles in the defence responses of plants (Jin and Martin, 1999; Zheng *et al.*, 2012). R2R3-MYB is the largest subfamily of the MYB family, which includes 126 members and can be further

Abbreviations: 5-azaC: 5-azacytidine; CBF: CCAAT-binding transcription factor; CMT3: chromomethylase 3; DCL3: dicer-like 3; ddc: *drm1/drm2/cmt3* triple mutant; DML: DEMETER-like protein; DRM: domains rearranged methyltransferase; GUS: β-glucuronidase; MET1: methyltransferase 1; MS: Murashige and Skoog medium; NAC: NAM, ATAF1/2, CUC2 transcription factor; NRP: nuclear RNA polymerase; OE: overexpression; qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR; rdd: *ros1/dml2/dml3* triple mutant; RdDM: RNA-directed DNA methylation; RDR2: RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 2; ROSI: repressor of silencing 1; rpm: revolutions per minute; TGS: transcriptional gene silencing; WT: wild type.

[©] The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

categorized into 22 subgroups (Stracke et al., 2001). To date, several R2R3-MYB proteins have been reported to be involved in the abiotic stress responses of Arabidopsis. Overexpression of AtMYB2 results in the enhanced expression of RD22 (a dehydration-responsive gene) and AtADH1 (alcohol dehydrogenase1), and the transgenic plants display higher sensitivity to abscisic acid (Abe *et al.*, 2003). The mutants of *AtMYB108* are hypersensitive to salt, drought, and oxidative stresses, which are possibly mediated by reactive oxygen intermediates (Mengiste et al., 2003). Subgroup 11 consists of three members, AtMYB41, AtMYB102, and AtMYB74. AtMYB41 is involved in the control of primary metabolism and negative regulation of short-term transcriptional responses to osmotic stress (Lippold et al., 2009). At MYB102 functions as a key factor in both osmotic stress and wounding signalling pathways in Arabidopsis (Denekamp and Smeekens, 2003). AtMYB74, as one of the stress-upregulated genes, has been reported in a general profile of the expression pattern of the MYB family (Kranz et al., 1998). However, the molecular mechanism of the response of AtMYB74 to abiotic stress is largely unknown.

Genome expression is mainly influenced by chromatin structure, which is governed by processes often associated with epigenetic regulation, including histone post-translational modification and DNA methylation (Bender, 2004; Zhang, 2008). In histone modification, arginine and lysine methylation is also involved in transcriptional regulation (Liu et al., 2010). Recent evidence indicates that DNA methylation and siRNA participate in the regulation of gene expression in plants in response to environmental stresses (Chinnusamy and Zhu, 2009; Zhang et al., 2013). DNA methylation occurs in the contexts of CG, CHG, and CHH (where H is adenine, cytosine, or thymine) in plants. Methyltransferase 1 (MET1), chromomethylase 3(CMT3), and domains rearranged methyltransferase (DRM) 2 have been characterized to function as DNA methyltransferases that transfer a methyl group to the cytosine bases of DNA to form 5-methylcytosine (Cao and Jacobsen, 2002; Lindroth et al., 2001; Ronemus et al., 1996). MET1 and CMT3 are mainly in charge of the maintenance of CG and CHG methylation. DRM2 is responsible for de novo DNA methylation and exhibits the most prominent role in CHH methylation (Cao *et al.*, 2003).

RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM), which was first discovered in viroid-infected tobacco, is an important regulatory phenomenon involved in repressive epigenetic modifications that can trigger transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) (Matzke and Mosher, 2014; Wassenegger et al., 1994). Many key components of the RdDM pathway, such as nuclear RNA polymerase (NRP) D1/NRPE1, RNAdependent RNA polymerase 2 (RDR2), argonaute 4/6, and dicer-like 3 (DCL3), have been identified, and its molecular mechanism has been established (He et al., 2011; Herr et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006; Wierzbicki et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2007). In plants, DNA demethylation depends on four bifunctional 5-methylcytosine glycosylases, repressor of silencing 1 (ROS1), DEMETER, DEMETER-like protein (DML) 2, and DML3 (Choi et al., 2002; Gong et al., 2002; Ortega-Galisteo et al., 2008). ROS1 has been found to counteract the robust RdDM pathway at hundreds of discrete regions across the plant genome together with DML2 and DML3 (Ortega-Galisteo *et al.*, 2008; Penterman *et al.*, 2007). Recent evidence reveals that *Arabidopsis* zinc finger DNA 3' phosphoesterase is a DNA phosphatase that interacts with ROS1 and functions downstream of ROS1 in one branch of the active DNA demethylation pathway (Martinez-Macias *et al.*, 2012).

The gain or loss of DNA methylation is correlated with a considerable decrease or increase in the corresponding amount of mRNA abundance and with the presence or absence of 24-nt siR-NAs at each silenced epiallele (Schmitz et al., 2011). Withdrawal of the inducing siRNA signal could result in active or passive demethylation, which in turn leads to the loss of TGS (Gong et al., 2002; Morales-Ruiz et al., 2006). Approximately one-third of methylated DNA loci in Arabidopsis is associated with siRNA clusters (Zhang et al., 2006), implying a primary determinant role of siRNAs in DNA methylation. In plants, the most abundant class of siRNAs includes heterochromatic siRNAs that originate from different sources, such as inverted repeats, pseudogenes, and natural cis-antisense transcript pairs. Increasing evidence has shown that the activity of siRNAs could be triggered by various environmental stimuli to affect the targeting chromatin structure. In Craterostigma plantagineum, an endogenous siRNA is induced during dehydration, which may contribute to dehydration tolerance (Furini et al., 1997). Salt stress also results in a dramatic change in the accumulation of three siRNAs in wheat seedlings (Yao et al., 2010). In Arabidopsis, the 24-nt SRO5-P5CDH natural antisense transcript siRNA is involved in the cleavage of P5CDH mRNA, which in turn increases proline accumulation under salt stress (Borsani et al., 2005). Exposure of Arabidopsis plants to salt, UVC, cold, heat, and flood stresses increases global genome methylation in the progeny, in which Dicer-like protein is involved (Boyko et al., 2010).

With the development of bisulphite sequencing, recent studies have revealed previously uncharted subsets of the epigenome and provided insights into the complex interplay between DNA methylation and transcription (Lister et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2006). Although the salt stress signal transduction pathway has been intensively studied, whether or not DNA methylation/demethylation is involved in this pathway remains unclear. Despite the fact that salinity stress alters the global DNA methylation level in plants (Ferreira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2014), the mechanism of how siRNA mediates DNA methylation by RdDM to respond to salt stress also needs to be elucidated. The present study shows that the expression of AtMYB74 is regulated by RdDM, and the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs is the major contributor to RdDM. The findings provide a new insight into salt stress regulation in plants and allow a better understanding of the role of siRNAs in controlling the RdDM pathway to regulate gene expression in response to abiotic stress.

Materials and methods

Plant growth conditions, seed germination assay, and stress treatment

Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0) was used as the wild type (WT) and the genetic background for transgenic plants in this study. Dry seeds were collected and stored in a dehumidifier cabinet for at least 2 months before the seed germination test was performed. Seeds were stratified and sown on agar plates containing 1% Suc as described previously (Abe et al., 2003) at 4 °C for 2 days and then transferred to 23 °C. Arabidopsis seedlings were grown under continuous light (70 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) at 23±1 °C. Soil-grown *Arabidopsis* and Nicotiana benthamiana plants were grown under a 16h light/8h dark photoperiod at 23±1°C. For the germination assay, at least 100 seeds of each genotype were sterilized and sown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium supplemented with or without phytohormones or chemicals. Germination was defined as the first sign of radicle tip emergence and scored daily, and the germination results were calculated based on at least three independent experiments. At 3 days post-germination, the plants of the 5-azacytidine (5-azaC) group were transferred to 50 mM 5-azaC. 14-day-old seedlings were subjected to NaCl treatments by transfer to MS liquid medium with 150 mM NaCl for durations as indicated, respectively. All these treatments were carried out under a growth condition of 16h light/8h dark at 23 °C unless otherwise mentioned.

Plasmid constructions

The binary vector pBI121 used for overexpression of AtMYB74 and the binary vector pFGC5941 used for RNAi were introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and the *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col-0) plants were transformed by floral dipping. All constructs were verified by sequencing. The transgenic plants were screened on MS medium containing 50 µg ml⁻¹ kanamycin for pBI121 and 10 µg ml⁻¹ glufosinate ammonium for pFGC5941. T1 transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants were identified by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). The corresponding T2 transgenic seedlings that segregated at a ratio of 3:1 (resistant:sensitive) were selected to propagate T3 individuals. RNAi-3 and RNAi-6 were used for further analysis.

Transient expression in N. benthamiana

Different constructs were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101. Overnight cultures were harvested and mixed at a 1:1 ratio with the different construct groups. After incubation for 3h at room temperature in 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid hydrate, pH 5.6, and 150 mM acetosyringone, the *Agrobacterium* suspension was coinfiltrated into 3-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves. Infected leaves were harvested 48 h after the infiltration. β -Glucuronidase (GUS) activity and small RNA extraction were performed as described below. Each assay was obtained from at least five independent lines and repeated three times.

Histochemical GUS staining and fluorometric GUS assay

The promoter sequence of AtMYB74 was acquired from the TAIR database (http://www.arabidopsis.org/). The promoter-GUS recombinant construct was transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 and then introduced into Arabidopsis by the floral dip method. Primers for amplifying the promoter sequence are shown in Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online. Histochemical localization of GUS activities in the transgenic seedlings or different tissues was performed after the transgenic plants had been incubated overnight at 37°C in 1 mg ml⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-glucuronic acid, 5mM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.03% Triton X-100 and 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. After incubation, the tissues were cleared with 70% ethanol. The cleaned tissues were then observed and photographs were taken by using a stereoscope. For examination of the detailed GUS staining, the tissues were observed with a bright-field microscope and photographed. These GUS staining data were representative of at least five independent transgenic lines for each construct.

Tobacco transgenic plants (100 mg) were ground with a mortar with 1000 μ l GUS extraction buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mm EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sarcosyl) and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for

10 min at 4 °C. The crude extract of total protein was obtained from the supernatant. The protein concentration of the extract was determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Fluorometric GUS assays were performed as previously described (Jefferson *et al.*, 1987). GUS activity was measured with 4-methylumbelliferyl- β -D-glucuronide as substrate with a Hitachi F-4500 Fluorescence Spectrofluorometer. The standard curves were prepared with 4-methylumbelliferone. GUS activity was expressed as pmol methylumbelliferone min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein. Average GUS activity was obtained from at least five independent transformants and each assay was repeated three times.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis

For RNA isolation, leaves and roots of seedlings were harvested separately, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until use. Total RNA was isolated from different Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings (100 mg) with TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen 15596-026). Contaminated DNA was removed with RNase-free DNase I. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with 1 µg RNA using oligo(dT) primer or gene-specific primers. A Prime script RT reagent kit with gDNA Easer (Takara RR047A) was used for all reactions according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNAs were diluted 1/50 for qRT-PCR, and qRT-PCR was performed using the FastStart Essential DNA Green Master (Roche 6402712001) and a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad). The reaction volume was 15 µl and included 2×FastStart Essential DNA Green Master, 6 µl diluted cDNA, and 1.5 µl of 10 µM primers. Cycle conditions were: 95 °C for 10 min; 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 15 s, and 72 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for 20 s. Fluorescence was read following each annealing and extension phase. Melt curve analysis of real-time PCR products was performed to verify amplification of a single product. The gRT-PCR experiment was carried out at least three times under identical conditions using tubulin as an internal control. Primers for amplifying genes were designed according to the sequences from the TAIR database (http://www.arabidopsis.org/). Details of primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Gene expression was normalized by subtracting the C_T value of the control gene from the C_T value of the gene of interest. Average expression ratios were obtained from the equation $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$, according to a previously described protocol (Czechowski et al., 2004; Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Northern blot analysis and siRNA qRT-PCR

The RNA blot analysis was carried out as described previously (Yan *et al.*, 2012; Zheng *et al.*, 2007). 50 µg of each RNA was subjected to electrophoresis on a 15% TBE–urea, Criterion gel (Bio-Rad 345-0091) and electroblotted onto Hybond-N+ filter paper (Amersham RPN303B) using a TransBolt-SD apparatus (Bio-Rad 170–3940). The filter then was hybridized at 37 °C in Hybexpression buffer with a ³²P-labeled probe to detect the five 24-nt siRNAs targeting the *AtMYB74* promoter. The 300 bp probe was made by labelling a DNA template that was amplified by primers (listed in Supplementary Table S1) with the Prime-a-Gene Labeling System (Promega U1100) and ³²P. The filters were washed twice at 37 °C in buffer containing 2×SSC (0.3 M NaCl and 0.03 M sodium citrate) and 0.5% SDS.

Small RNA for siRNA qRT-PCR was isolated with the miRcute miRNA Isolation kit (TIANGEN DP501), and first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with the miRcute miRNA first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (TIANGEN KR201-02). siRNA qRT-PCR analysis was performed with 0.5 μ g small RNA and a miRcute miRNA qRCR detection kit (TIANGEN FP401). The experiments were performed at least three times under identical conditions using U6 RNA as an internal control (Schmittgen *et al.*, 2004; Yan *et al.*, 2012). Details of primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Bisulphite sequencing

Aliquots of 800 ng DNA were treated with sodium bisulphite using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (ZYMO RESEARCH D5005) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The chloroplast genome of every sample was used to calculate the conversion efficiency. Conversion efficiency was >98% for each bisulphitetreated sample. DNA was amplified by PCR with ExTaq (TaKaRa RR01CM). Primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table S1. PCR products were cloned into the pMD18-T Simple Vector (TaKaRa D103B) and the clones were sequenced. For each region, more than 20 independent top-strand clones were sequenced from each sample. Sequenced results were calculated by using CyMATE (http://www.cymate.org/).

Accession numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: *MYB74* (At4g05100), *drm1-2* (SALK_031705), *drm2-2* (SALK_150863), *cmt3-11* (SALK_148381), *rdr2-1* (SALL_1277H08), *dcl3-1* (SALK_005512).

Results

AtMYB74 is induced by salt stress and overexpression lines are hypersensitive to salt stress during seed germination

Transcriptome analysis revealed that AtMYB74 expression level in Arabidopsis was increased dramatically by NaCl treatments. To determine the biological function of AtMYB74 and to test the responses to NaCl, overexpression (OE) and RNAi transgenic Arabidopsis lines were generated. In the T3 generation, two independent OE lines and two independent RNAi lines were selected for further experiment (Fig. 1A). Overexpression of AtMYB74 also induced the expression of a set of known stress marker genes, including AtRD29B, AtRAB18, and AtRD20, all of which contain the conserved MYB recognition sites (TAACTG) in their promoter regions (Fig. 1B). These observations suggest that AtMYB74 acts as a transcription factor of the salt stress-induced marker genes involved in the salt signalling pathway. Under normal growth conditions, the germination rates of both the OE and RNAi lines were almost the same as those of WT plants, which all achieved rates of 100% at day 2 (Fig. 1C). However, after NaCl treatment, the germination of OE transgenic seeds was inhibited more severely than that of WT seeds, reaching 80% at day 7, when the germination of WT seeds reached almost 100%. The germination rates of RNAi transgenic seeds were similar to those of WT seeds (Fig. 1D). In addition, for 21-day-old seedlings, the percentage seedling survival of OE transgenic lines was much lower than that of WT and RNAi plants under salt stress conditions (Fig. 1E). The reduced germination rates and seedling survival of the OE lines further indicate that AtMYB74 is involved in the response to salt stress in plants.

AtMYB74 encodes a putative R2R3-MYB transcription factor and is differentially expressed in various tissues

The full-length cDNA corresponding to the *AtMYB74* mRNA is 975 bp in length and encodes a putative protein of 324 amino acids. The R2 and R3 MYB domains (amino acids 13–65 and 66–117, respectively) of AtMYB74 are highly

conserved with all other MYB proteins in *Arabidopsis* and in other plant species (Fig. 2A).

To describe the temporal and spatial expression patterns of AtMYB74 in greater detail, qRT-PCR and promoter–GUS analysis were performed. The highest number of AtMYB74 transcripts was found in flowers, followed by rosette leaves and cauline leaves, with the roots, stems, and siliques exhibiting the fewest transcripts (Fig. 2B). The tissue pattern of GUS staining was consistent with the qRT-RCR analysis (Fig. 2C). These results suggest that AtMYB74 is constitutively expressed in various tissues at low abundance.

To detect the subcellular localization of the AtMYB74 protein in plant cells, the *AtMYB74* coding region was fused in the frame to the coding region for the C-terminal side of GFP under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus *35S* promoter. Onion epidermal cells transformed with an expression plasmid for the AtMYB74–GFP fusion protein exhibited GFP fluorescence in the nucleus (Fig. 2D). However, GFP fluorescence was observed in the entire region of the cell when intact GFP was expressed. These results illustrate that AtMYB74 is localized in the nucleus.

Dynamic DNA methylation results in AtMYB74 activation in response to salt stress

Nucleotide sequence analysis revealed that substantial DNA methylation and siRNA target sites exist in the AtMYB74 region (http://neomorph.salk.edu/epigenome/ promoter epigenome.html; http://bioinfo.uni-plovdiv.bg/starpro/). To investigate whether the RdDM pathway regulates AtMYB74 responses to salt stress, qRT-PCR was used to compare the expression of AtMYB74 in WT Col-0, 5-azaC (an inhibitor of DNA methylation)-treated WT, ddc (drm1/drm2/cmt3 triple mutant), dcl3 (dicer-like 3 mutant), rdr2 (RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 2 mutant), ros1-4 (ros1 mutant), and rdd (ros1/dml2/dml3 triple mutant) in response to 150mM NaCl treatment. As shown in Fig. 3A, the level of AtMYB74 transcripts increased significantly (~8-fold) in response to NaCl treatment in WT plants, indicating that AtMYB74 responds to salt stress signals at the transcriptional level. Additionally, the GUS staining assay confirmed that NaCl could enhance AtMYB74 promoter activity in all tested tissues (Fig. 2C). WT plants treated with 5-azaC, which were used as a control, exhibited a small increase in AtMYB74 expression under salt stress, indicating the effect of DNA methylation on AtMYB74 (Fig. 3A). Besides DNA methylation, this result may suggest the presence of other minor factors that may control the expression of AtMYB74. For RdDM mutants, the accumulation of AtMYB74 mRNA in methylation mutants (ddc, rdr2, and dcl3) showed much less change than that in WT plants under salt stress, whereas the deficiency of active DNA demethylation in ros1-4 and rdd mutants resulted in an obvious decrease in AtMBY74 expression after salt treatment (Fig. 3A). These results reveal that dynamic DNA methylation results in AtMYB74 activation in response to salt stress in Arabidopsis.

To further understand the mechanism by which RdDM regulates *AtMYB74* expression, the 200 bp promoter region



Fig. 1. Salt sensitivity of *35S-AtMYB74* and RNAi plants during seed germination. (A) Transcript levels of *AtMYB74* in WT, OE and RNAi transgenic lines. Error bars represent SD (n = 3). * and **** indicate statistically significant differences at P < 0.05 and P < 0.001, respectively (Student's *t*-test). (B) Expression of *AtMYB74* and stress marker genes after treatment with 150 mM NaCl for 3 h in 14-day-old OE-7 transgenic plants and WT seedlings. Error bars represent SD (n = 3). Black bars represent control OE-7 versus control WT; grey bars represent NaCl treatment WT versus control WT. (C, D) Seed germination rates measured on GM agar plates (C) under normal growth conditions and (D) containing 150 mM NaCl. Error bars represent SE for three independent experiments. At least 100 seeds per genotype were measured in each replicate. (E) 21-day-old seedlings of WT, OE and RNAi transgenic plants grown on GM agar plates containing 1% sucrose with or without 150 mM NaCl. Numbers represent the seedling survival of the different lines. * and ** indicate statistically significant differences at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively (Student's *t*-test). Scale bars = 1 cm. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

approximately 500 bp upstream of the transcription initiation site of *AtMYB74* was analysed by bisulphite sequencing. WT plants treated with NaCl exhibited a visible reduction in total 5-methylcytosine content compared with controls (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Fig. S1, Supplementary Fig. S2). Interestingly, the percentage of CHH methylation was nearly halved in the treated WT plants (Fig. 3C), whereas only ~10% reduction in CG contexts was detected (Fig. 3D). No CHG contexts were found in the 200 bp region. Moreover, fewer methylated sites in CHH contexts were observed in the RdDM mutants and pharmaceutically treated plants, whether under salt stress or not. Additionally, the three DNA demethylases might not participate in the demethylation of CHH sites in the *AtMYB74* promoter region. The reduction of *AtMYB74* expression in *ros1-4* and *rdd* mutants under salt stress might be regulated by its upstream regulators, which are affected by the demethylases (Fig. 3A, C). These findings suggest that the dynamic balance of DNA methylation and



Fig. 2. Expression of AtMYB74 and subcellular localization of AtMYB74 protein. (A) Protein sequence comparison between AtMYB74 and other plant MYB proteins. (B) gRT-PCR analysis of AtMYB74 expression in various tissues. Results were normalized to the expression of tubulin. Error bars represent SD (n = 3). R: root, S: stem, RL: rosette leaf, CL: cauline leaf, F: flower, Si: silique. (C) Tissue patterns of a 2 kb putative promoter of AtMYB74-driven GUS expression in seedlings at different ages or in different tissues: (a) 7-day-old seedling, (b) euphylla, (c) lateral root primordium, (d) 2-week-old seedling, (e) flower, (f) silique, (g) seeds, (h) 7-day-old seedling treated with 150 mM NaCl for 3 h. Scale bars = 0.5 mm. (D) Nuclear localization of AtMYB74 protein in onion epidermal cells. Images in the right column show the control plasmid expressing only GFP and those in the left column show the AtMYB74–GFP fusion protein expressed in onion epidermal cells. The cells were examined with UV fluorescence (top) and bright-field (middle) microscopy, and as a merged image (bottom) showing either the diffuse (control plasmid) or nuclear localization of the proteins. Scale bars = 20 µm. (This figure is available in colour at JXB online.)

demethylation is crucial for the transcriptional regulation of AtMYB74.

Time-course analysis revealed that the level of AtMYB74 mRNA under salt stress showed a peak from 0.5h, with the highest levels at 3h, accompanied by the lowest percentage of CHH methylation. After 6h, AtMYB74 expression under

salt stress maintained a high level (~7-fold) in treated plants compared with that in the controls, and a lower percentage of CHH methylation was maintained in WT plants (Fig. 3E). These results demonstrate that the increase of AtMYB74 transcripts was correlated with the lower level of CHH methylation during the NaCl treatment, suggesting that the



Fig. 3. Analysis of *AtMYB74* expression and promoter DNA methylation. (A) Transcript levels of *AtMYB74* in 14-day-old WT, 5-azaC treated WT, *dcc*, *dcl3*, *rdr2*, *ros1*, and *rdd* mutants after 150 mM NaCl treatment for 24 h. Results were normalized to the expression of *tubulin*. Error bars represent SD (n = 3). ** and *** indicate statistically significant differences at P < 0.01 and P < 0.001, respectively (Student's *t*-test). (B–D) Bisulphite sequencing analysis of promoter methylation status of *AtMYB74* promoter in 14-day-old seedlings after 150 mM NaCl treatment for 24 h. Twenty individual clones were sequenced to determine the methylation status of a locus in each genotype. (E) Bisulphite sequencing and qRT-PCR to detect the DNA methylation and expression of *AtMYB74* was at 0, 0.5, 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 h after 150 mM NaCl treatment.

stress-increased expression of AtMYB74 resulted from a reduction in dynamic DNA methylation, mainly in the CHH context.

Decreased DNA methylation is controlled by the level of 24-nt siRNAs targeting the AtMYB74 promoter

To investigate why CHH hypomethylation occurs in WT plants under salt stress, a sequence analysis of *AtMYB74* was performed using starPRO DB v1.0 (http://bioinfo.

uni-plovdiv.bg/starpro/). Five 24-nt siRNAs (ASRP215119, ASRP41948, ASRP27256, ASRP13208, and ASRP2423) were identified to target a narrow region (-603 to -477 bp) of the 2.9 kb promoter of *AtMYB74*. These five 24-nt siRNAs were located in a cluster in the promoter region (Fig. 4A). Moreover, bisulphite sequencing analysis of individual clones showed that DNA methylation considerably changed in or near the siRNA target region (Fig. 4B). However, no obvious changes were detected in 5-azaC-treated WT or RdDM mutants (Supplementary Fig. S1), indicating that CHH



Fig. 4. Effect of salt stress on the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs. (A) Diagram of the *AtMYB74* gene structure; +1 indicates the transcription initiation site. The short lines indicate the five 24-nt siRNAs. Black squares represent CHH configurations. Scale bar = 200 bp. (B) Analysis of the cytosine methylation of a 200 bp segment spanning the *AtMYB74* promoter in WT plants. Twenty clones per DNA sample were analysed. Filled circles represent methylcytosines in CHH contexts, empty circles represent unmethylated contexts. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of the accumulation of the five 24-nt siRNAs in WT. Results was normalized to the expression of U6. Error bars represent SD (n = 3). * and ** indicate statistically significant differences at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively (Student's *t*-test). (D) Northern blot analysis of the NaCl-induced regulation of five 24-nt siRNAs targeting the *AtMYB74* promoter. miR171 and U6 RNA were probed as a control. Numbers under each lane indicate relative expression.

hypomethylation is dependent on RdDM in or near the siRNA target region.

To reveal the role of the siRNAs in RdDM, siRNA qRT-PCR and northern blot analysis were performed. The accumulation of five 24-nt siRNAs was substantially reduced under salt stress in WT plants compared with that in *dcl3* plants (Fig. 4C, D), suggesting that decreases in DNA methylation caused by the reductions in 24-nt siRNA accumulation lead to the activation of *AtMYB74* under salt stress.

Exogenous 24-nt siRNAs direct RdDM in the AtMYB74 promoter in vivo

To demonstrate whether the ectopic expression of 24-nt siRNAs affects AtMYB74 promoter activity, A. tumefaciens-mediated transient cotransformation in tobacco (N. benthamiana) leaves was performed. Considering that the siRNAs diced from the hairpin RNA could induce transcriptional silencing of the target genes, two types of expression cassettes were constructed to generate siRNAs and express the reporter gene (Fig. 5A). For siRNA expression cassettes in pFGC5941, the 300 bp AtMYB74 promoter containing the siRNA target region that harbors an inverted DNA repeat was used to generate a double-strand RNA as a silencer (R1). A 300 bp inverted cDNA sequence of AtMYB74 was employed as a control (R2). In the reporter gene cassettes of pBI121, gusA was driven by the 35S promoter (P1), AtMYB74 promoter (P2), and mutated AtMYB74 promoter with the deletion of a 200 bp region where these siRNAs are targeted (P3). As shown in Fig. 5B, GUS activities between groups P1 and P1-R1, P2 and P2-R2, and P2 and P3-R1 did not show obvious changes after transient cotransformation. The GUS activity of group P2-R1 was obviously lower than that of group P2, indicating that promoter activity is affected by the targeted siRNA. In addition, bisulphite sequencing analysis revealed that DNA methylation in the 200 bp promoter region increased by 43% due to the siRNAs yielded by the hairpin RNA (Fig. 5C, D). To confirm the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs in the infection zones of transformed leaves, siRNA northern blot analysis was carried out. The constructs R1, P1-R1, P2-R1, and P3-R1 could efficiently generate siR-NAs in the transformed tobacco (Fig. 5E). Overall, these findings demonstrate that the ectopic expression of artificial siRNAs targeting the AtMYB74 promoter also can regulate GUS expression through RdDM.

Discussion

RdDM, which controls the expression of a number of genes in many developmental processes and abiotic stress responses, has been considered an important epigenetic pathway (Borsani *et al.*, 2005; Chan *et al.*, 2006; Henderson and Jacobsen, 2008; Saze and Kakutani, 2007). However, only a few transcription factors regulated by RdDM, such as *b1* and *C-LEC1*, have been identified (Alleman *et al.*, 2006; Shibukawa *et al.*, 2009). Although many members of the R2R3-MYB family, such as *AtMYB2*, *AtMYB108*, *AtMYB41*, and *AtMYB102*, are reported to be involved in

abiotic stress responses in plants (Abe et al., 2003; Denekamp and Smeekens, 2003; Lippold et al., 2009; Mengiste et al., 2003), little is known about whether and how RdDM participates in this response pathway. The present study characterized AtMYB74, a putative R2R3-MYB member. DNA methylation of the promoter of AtMYB74 negatively correlates with gene expression under salt stress. Moreover, when the RdDM region is deleted from the AtMYB74 promoter, transgenic plants display higher GUS activity, suggesting that RdDM as a repressive epigenetic modification affects transcription of AtMYB74 (Fig. 5). Using transgenic Arabidopsis overexpressing siRNAs (R1) further confirmed this conclusion (Fig. S3). Overall, this study demonstrates that RdDM directly controls the expression of AtMYB74, providing a novel regulatory pathway in the R2R3-MYB family. Using a gain-of-function approach, and according to the phenotypes of AtMYB74 overexpressing and RNAi transgenic plants, the findings suggest that there may be functional redundancy of the R2R3-MYB family, as reported by Millar and Gubler (2005). These results may point to the existence of a network of inter-regulated MYB genes, including AtMYB2 and other members of the subgroup of AtMYB74, that respond to abiotic stresses in plants.

Previous studies have indicated that siRNAs and long noncoding RNAs could be involved in de novo DNA methylation (Wierzbicki et al., 2008). Comparison of genome-wide methylation patterns and small RNAs in Arabidopsis reveals that ~37% of the methylated loci are related to siRNA clusters (Schmitz et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2006), suggesting a close relationship between DNA methylation and siRNAs. The present study identified a cluster of siRNAs that directly mediates DNA methylation in or near the target region of AtMYB74 in Arabidopsis. Transformation in tobacco using artificial siRNAs yielded by hairpin RNA further demonstrated the involvement of RdDM in the native siRNA-targeted region. Interestingly, artificial siRNAs can also direct DNA methylation in the 200 bp region, separately from the native siRNA-targeted region (Fig. 5), implying that any artificial siRNA may regulate promoter activity via DNA methylation in its target region. The accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs and changes in CHH DNA methylation have similar tendencies in Arabidopsis under salt stress, suggesting that the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs is the trigger of RdDM, in agreement with a previous study (Melnyk et al., 2011). In rice, it was reported that siRNAs generated by OsRDR6 regulate the post-transcriptional gene silencing of the isocitrate lyase (ICL) gene (Yang et al., 2008). Taken together, the present observations reveal that the RdDM component may affect the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs and then trigger DNA methylation of AtMYB74 under salt stress, providing strong evidence of epigenetic modification in the plant response to abiotic stress. Further work is necessary to determine the mechanisms of RdDM components that affect the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs and the biochemical function of AtMYB74 in response to salt stress.

The salt stress signal transduction pathway is far more complex than has been suggested previously. As shown in Fig 6, previous studies have shown that some R2R3-MYB



Fig. 5. RdDM regulation of the expression of *AtMYB74* in *N. benthamiana*. (A) Schematic diagram of the silencing inducer and the target transgene constructs. The target transgene contains different kinds of *AtMYB74* promoter driven GUS-coding region. The 35S promoter was used as a control. The hairpin RNA transcribed from the promoter and coding region of *AtMYB74* is diced into silencing RNAs, which induce *de novo* methylation of the target, leading to transcriptional gene silencing of the GUS reporter gene. (B) The effects of siRNAs on GUS expression in transgenic plants. Relative quantification of GUS activity (mean ± SD from three independent experiments) in 3-week-old transgenic *N. benthamiana* leaves. ** indicate statistically significant differences at *P* < 0.01 (Student's *t*-test). (C, D) Bisulphite sequencing analysis of the three groups containing the 200bp segment spanning the promoter of *AtMYB74*. (E) RNA gel blot analysis of five 24-nt siRNAs targeting the *AtMYB74* promoter in the six groups above. U6 RNA was probed as a control. Numbers under each lane indicate relative expression.

transcription factors, such as AtMYB2, AtMYB20, and AtMYB73, participate in the regulation of gene expression under salt stress (Abe *et al.*, 2003; Cui *et al.*, 2013; Kim

et al., 2013). The present study demonstrated the involvement of *AtMYB74*, a R2R3-MYB family member, which is regulated by RdDM in controlling the positive regulation



Fig. 6. Schematic model of the transcriptional regulation of salt stress signalling via MYB, showing the involvement of a putative R2R3-MYB transcription factor member, *AtMYB74*, regulated by RdDM, in controlling the positive regulation of transcriptional responses to salt stress. The purple arrow represents results obtained from previous studies, and the green arrow represents the results of the present study. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

of transcriptional responses to salt stress. Salt stress induces the RdDM pathway via repressing the accumulation of siR-NAs to activate *AtMYB74* expression, which then transduces the related signalling into downstream processes that endow resistance to such stress.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Bisulphite sequencing analysis of promoter methylation status of *AtMYB74* promoter in 14-day-old 5-azaC treated WT, *ddc*, *dcl3*, *rdr2*, *ros1-4*, and *rdd* mutants after 150 mM NaCl treatment.

Supplementary Fig. S2. A schematic of the *AtMYB74* promoter sequence with bisulphite sequencing region.

Supplementary Fig. S3. RdDM regulation of *AtMYB74* expression in transgenic *Arabidopsis*.

Supplementary Table S1. Primers and probes used in this study.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Joseph R. Ecker (The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, USA) for the kind gift of the mutant *drm1-2*, *drm2-2*, *cmt3-11* triple mutant, termed *ddc*; Dr Zhizhong Gong (China Agricultural University, China) for *ros1-4*, *rdd* mutants; and Dr James C. Carrington (Oregon State University, USA) for *dcl3-1* and *rdr2-1* mutants. This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program (Grant No. 2012CB114200), the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 31370305), and the Genetically Modified Organisms Breeding Major Projects (Grant No. 2014ZX08009-003-002) in China.

References

Abe H, Urao T, Ito T, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2003. Arabidopsis AtMYC2 (bHLH) and AtMYB2 (MYB) function as transcriptional activators in abscisic acid signaling. *The Plant Cell* **15**, 63–78.

Alleman M, Sidorenko L, McGinnis K, Seshadri V, Dorweiler JE, White J, Sikkink K, Chandler VL. 2006. An RNA-dependent RNA polymerase is required for paramutation in maize. *Nature* **442**, 295–298.

Bender J. 2004. DNA methylation and epigenetics. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **55**, 41–68.

Borsani O, Zhu J, Verslues PE, Sunkar R, Zhu JK. 2005. Endogenous siRNAs derived from a pair of natural cis-antisense transcripts regulate salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **123**, 1279–1291.

Boyko A, Blevins T, Yao Y, Golubov A, Bilichak A, Ilnytskyy Y, Hollunder J, Meins F, Jr., Kovalchuk I. 2010. Transgenerational adaptation of *Arabidopsis* to stress requires DNA methylation and the function of Dicer-like proteins. *PLoS One* **5**, e9514.

Cao X, Aufsatz W, Zilberman D, Mette MF, Huang MS, Matzke M, Jacobsen SE. 2003. Role of the DRM and CMT3 methyltransferases in RNA-directed DNA methylation. *Current Biology* **13**, 2212–2217.

Cao X, Jacobsen SE. 2002. Locus-specific control of asymmetric and CpNpG methylation by the DRM and CMT3 methyltransferase genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **99** Suppl 4, 16491–16498.

Chan SW, Henderson IR, Zhang X, Shah G, Chien JS, Jacobsen SE. 2006. RNAi, DRD1, and histone methylation actively target developmentally important non-CG DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Genetics* **2**, e83.

Chinnusamy V, Zhu JK. 2009. Epigenetic regulation of stress responses in plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **12,** 133–139.

Choi Y, Gehring M, Johnson L, Hannon M, Harada JJ, Goldberg RB, Jacobsen SE, Fischer RL. 2002. DEMETER, a DNA glycosylase domain protein, is required for endosperm gene imprinting and seed viability in *Arabidopsis. Cell* **110**, 33–42.

Cui MH, Yoo KS, Hyoung S, Nguyen HT, Kim YY, Kim HJ, Ok SH, Yoo SD, Shin JS. 2013. An Arabidopsis R2R3-MYB transcription factor, AtMYB20, negatively regulates type 2C serine/threonine protein phosphatases to enhance salt tolerance. *FEBS Letters* **587**, 1773–1778.

Czechowski T, Bari RP, Stitt M, Scheible WR, Udvardi MK. 2004. Real-time RT-PCR profiling of over 1400 *Arabidopsis* transcription factors: unprecedented sensitivity reveals novel root- and shoot-specific genes. *The Plant Journal* **38**, 366–379.

Denekamp M, Smeekens SC. 2003. Integration of wounding and osmotic stress signals determines the expression of the *AtMYB102* transcription factor gene. *Plant Physiology* **132**, 1415–1423.

Ferreira LJ, Azevedo V, Maroco J, Oliveira MM, Santos AP. 2015. Salt tolerant and sensitive rice varieties display differential methylome flexibility under salt stress. *PLoS One* **10**, e0124060.

Furini A, Koncz C, Salamini F, Bartels D. 1997. High level transcription of a member of a repeated gene family confers dehydration tolerance to callus tissue of *Craterostigma plantagineum*. *EMBO Journal* **16**, 3599–3608.

Gong Z, Morales-Ruiz T, Ariza RR, Roldan-Arjona T, David L, Zhu JK. 2002. *ROS1*, a repressor of transcriptional gene silencing in *Arabidopsis*, encodes a DNA glycosylase/lyase. *Cell* **111**, 803–814.

He XJ, Chen T, Zhu JK. 2011. Regulation and function of DNA methylation in plants and animals. *Cell Research* **21**, 442–465.

Henderson IR, Jacobsen SE. 2008. Tandem repeats upstream of the *Arabidopsis* endogene *SDC* recruit non-CG DNA methylation and initiate siRNA spreading. *Genes & Development* **22**, 1597–1606.

Herr AJ, Jensen MB, Dalmay T, Baulcombe DC. 2005. RNA polymerase IV directs silencing of endogenous DNA. *Science* **308**, 118–120.

Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW. 1987. GUS fusions: betaglucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *EMBO Journal* **6**, 3901–3907.

Jin H, Martin C. 1999. Multifunctionality and diversity within the plant MYB-gene family. *Plant Molecular Biology* **41**, 577–585.

Kim JH, Nguyen NH, Jeong CY, Nguyen NT, Hong SW, Lee H. 2013. Loss of the R2R3 MYB, AtMyb73, causes hyper-induction of the SOS1 and SOS3 genes in response to high salinity in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **170**, 1461–1465.

Kranz HD, Denekamp M, Greco R, Jin H, Leyva A, Meissner RC, Petroni K, Urzainqui A, Bevan M, Martin C, Smeekens S, Tonelli C, Paz-Ares J, Weisshaar B. 1998. Towards functional characterisation of the members of the *R2R3-MYB* gene family from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **16**, 263–276.

Li CF, Pontes O, El-Shami M, Henderson IR, Bernatavichute YV, Chan SW, Lagrange T, Pikaard CS, Jacobsen SE. 2006. An ARGONAUTE4-containing nuclear processing center colocalized with Cajal bodies in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Cell* **126**, 93–106.

Lindroth AM, Cao X, Jackson JP, Zilberman D, McCallum CM, Henikoff S, Jacobsen SE. 2001. Requirement of *CHROMOMETHYLASE3* for maintenance of CpXpG methylation. *Science* **292**, 2077–2080.

Lippold F, Sanchez DH, Musialak M, Schlereth A, Scheible WR, Hincha DK, Udvardi MK. 2009. AtMyb41 regulates transcriptional and metabolic responses to osmotic stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **149**, 1761–1772.

Lister R, O'Malley RC, Tonti-Filippini J, Gregory BD, Berry CC, Millar AH, Ecker JR. 2008. Highly integrated single-base resolution maps of the epigenome in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **133**, 523–536.

Liu C, Lu F, Cui X, Cao X. 2010. Histone methylation in higher plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology **61**, 395–420.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta\Delta C}_{T}$ method. *Methods* **25**, 402–408.

Martinez-Macias MI, Qian W, Miki D, Pontes O, Liu Y, Tang K, Liu R, Morales-Ruiz T, Ariza RR, Roldan-Arjona T, Zhu JK. 2012. A DNA 3' phosphatase functions in active DNA demethylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular Cell* **45**, 357–370.

Matzke MA, Mosher RA. 2014. RNA-directed DNA methylation: an epigenetic pathway of increasing complexity. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **15**, 394–408.

Melnyk CW, Molnar A, Bassett A, Baulcombe DC. 2011. Mobile 24 nt small RNAs direct transcriptional gene silencing in the root meristems of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Current Biology* **21**, 1678–1683.

Mengiste T, Chen X, Salmeron J, Dietrich R. 2003. The *BOTRYTIS SUSCEPTIBLE1* gene encodes an R2R3MYB transcription factor protein that is required for biotic and abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **15**, 2551–2565.

Millar AA, Gubler F. 2005. The Arabidopsis *GAMYB*-like genes, *MYB33* and *MYB65*, are microRNA-regulated genes that redundantly facilitate anther development. *The Plant Cell* **17**, 705–721.

Morales-Ruiz T, Ortega-Galisteo AP, Ponferrada-Marin MI, Martinez-Macias MI, Ariza RR, Roldan-Arjona T. 2006. *DEMETER* and *REPRESSOR OF SILENCING 1* encode 5-methylcytosine DNA glycosylases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**, 6853–6858.

Ortega-Galisteo AP, Morales-Ruiz T, Ariza RR, Roldan-Arjona T. 2008. Arabidopsis DEMETER-LIKE proteins DML2 and DML3 are required for appropriate distribution of DNA methylation marks. *Plant Molecular Biology* **67**, 671–681.

Penterman J, Zilberman D, Huh JH, Ballinger T, Henikoff S, Fischer RL. 2007. DNA demethylation in the *Arabidopsis* genome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104**, 6752–6757.

Ronemus MJ, Galbiati M, Ticknor C, Chen J, Dellaporta SL. 1996. Demethylation-induced developmental pleiotropy in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* **273**, 654–657.

Saze H, Kakutani T. 2007. Heritable epigenetic mutation of a transposonflanked *Arabidopsis* gene due to lack of the chromatin-remodeling factor DDM1. *EMBO Journal* **26**, 3641–3652. Schmittgen TD, Jiang J, Liu Q, Yang L. 2004. A high-throughput method to monitor the expression of microRNA precursors. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**, e43.

Schmitz RJ, Schultz MD, Lewsey MG, O'Malley RC, Urich MA, Libiger O, Schork NJ, Ecker JR. 2011. Transgenerational epigenetic instability is a source of novel methylation variants. *Science* **334**, 369–373.

Shibukawa T, Yazawa K, Kikuchi A, Kamada H. 2009. Possible involvement of DNA methylation on expression regulation of carrot *LEC1* gene in its 5'-upstream region. *Gene* **437**, 22–31.

Singh K, Foley RC, Onate-Sanchez L. 2002. Transcription factors in plant defense and stress responses. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **5**, 430–436.

Stracke R, Werber M, Weisshaar B. 2001. The *R2R3-MYB* gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **4**, 447–456.

Wang M, Qin L, Xie C, Li W, Yuan J, Kong L, Yu W, Xia G, Liu S. 2014. Induced and constitutive DNA methylation in a salinity-tolerant wheat introgression line. *Plant & Cell Physiology* **55**, 1354–1365.

Wassenegger M, Heimes S, Riedel L, Sanger HL. 1994. RNA-directed de novo methylation of genomic sequences in plants. *Cell* **76**, 567–576.

Wierzbicki AT, Haag JR, Pikaard CS. 2008. Noncoding transcription by RNA polymerase Pol IVb/Pol V mediates transcriptional silencing of overlapping and adjacent genes. *Cell* **135**, 635–648.

Xie Z, Johansen LK, Gustafson AM, Kasschau KD, Lellis AD, Zilberman D, Jacobsen SE, Carrington JC. 2004. Genetic and functional diversification of small RNA pathways in plants. *PLoS Biology* **2**, E104.

Yan K, Liu P, Wu CA, Yang GD, Xu R, Guo QH, Huang JG, Zheng CC. 2012. Stress-induced alternative splicing provides a mechanism for the regulation of microRNA processing in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular Cell* **48**, 521–531.

Yang JH, Seo HH, Han SJ, Yoon EK, Yang MS, Lee WS. 2008. Phytohormone abscisic acid control RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 6 gene expression and post-transcriptional gene silencing in rice cells. *Nucleic Acids Research* **36**, 1220–1226.

Yao Y, Ni Z, Peng H, Sun F, Xin M, Sunkar R, Zhu JK, Sun Q. 2010. Non-coding small RNAs responsive to abiotic stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Functional & Integrative Genomics* **10**, 187–190.

Zhang X. 2008. The epigenetic landscape of plants. *Science* **320**, 489–492.

Zhang X, Lii Y, Wu Z, Polishko A, Zhang H, Chinnusamy V, Lonardi S, Zhu JK, Liu R, Jin H. 2013. Mechanisms of small RNA generation from cis-NATs in response to environmental and developmental cues. *Molecular Plant* **6**, 704–715.

Zhang X, Yazaki J, Sundaresan A, Cokus S, Chan SW, Chen H, Henderson IR, Shinn P, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE, Ecker JR. 2006. Genome-wide high-resolution mapping and functional analysis of DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **126**, 1189–1201.

Zheng X, Zhu J, Kapoor A, Zhu JK. 2007. Role of *Arabidopsis* AGO6 in siRNA accumulation, DNA methylation and transcriptional gene silencing. *EMBO Journal* **26**, 1691–1701.

Zheng Y, Schumaker KS, Guo Y. 2012. Sumoylation of transcription factor MYB30 by the small ubiquitin-like modifier E3 ligase SIZ1 mediates abscisic acid response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 12822–12827.