

Review

Non-Destructive Evaluation for Corrosion Monitoring in Concrete: A Review and Capability of Acoustic Emission Technique

Ahmad Zaki 1 , Hwa Kian Chai 1,*, Dimitrios G. Aggelis 2 and Ninel Alver 3

- 1 Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia; E-Mail: ahmad.zaki@siswa.um.edu.my
- ² Department of Mechanics of Materials and Constructions, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, Brussels 1050, Belgium; E-Mail: Dimitrios.Aggelis@vub.ac.be
- ³ Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ege University, Bornova, Izmir 35100, Turkey; E-Mail: ninel.alver@ege.edu.tr
- ***** Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: hkchai@um.edu.my; Tel.: +60-3-7967-7652; Fax: +60-3-7967-5318.

Academic Editor: Vittorio M.N. Passaro

Received: 10 April 2015 / Accepted: 16 June 2015 / Published: 5 August 2015

Abstract: Corrosion of reinforced concrete (RC) structures has been one of the major causes of structural failure. Early detection of the corrosion process could help limit the location and the extent of necessary repairs or replacement, as well as reduce the cost associated with rehabilitation work. Non-destructive testing (NDT) methods have been found to be useful for *in-situ* evaluation of steel corrosion in RC, where the effect of steel corrosion and the integrity of the concrete structure can be assessed effectively. A complementary study of NDT methods for the investigation of corrosion is presented here. In this paper, acoustic emission (AE) effectively detects the corrosion of concrete structures at an early stage. The capability of the AE technique to detect corrosion occurring in real-time makes it a strong candidate for serving as an efficient NDT method, giving it an advantage over other NDT methods.

Keywords: reinforced concrete; corrosion; non-destructive testing; acoustic emission

1. Introduction

Corrosion of steel reinforcement is a global problem that leads to deterioration of RC structures [1]. Damage induced by steel corrosion usually requires proper repair, followed by maintenance [2,3]. It is reported that the costs of repair and maintenance of corroded structures exceed billions of dollars per year [4]. The costs vary depending on the condition of the concrete structures, including the cause of damage, degree of damage, and effect of damage on structural behavior [5]. A reliable inspection method is required at an early stage before the functionality of the RC structure is seriously damaged due to steel corrosion. The inspection method usually provides information about the current condition of the RC structures, so that their future performance can be predicted. Furthermore, the inspection method is almost a prerequisite for efficient and cost effective rehabilitation of existing RC structures. The inspection should be done without damaging the RC structures, both new and old ones.

The NDT methods are of many inspection methods for corrosion monitoring in RC structures. For new structures, the principal applications of NDT methods are likely to be quality control of the concrete conditions, while in old structures, the methods are expected to provide needed feedback on monitoring, detection, and identification of damage [6]. This paper aims to give a brief review of the NDT methods for monitoring and evaluating steel corrosion in RC structures, followed by a discussion and review of a technique based on AE developed to achieve the same objectives. Due to its principles of application, it is considered that AE has the capability of monitoring the steel corrosion in RC structures at an early stage.

2. NDT Methods for Corrosion Monitoring

Recently, various methods have been implemented for corrosion monitoring in RC structures. They are classified into six main categories as follows: visual inspection, electrochemical methods (*i.e.*, open circuit potential (OCP) monitoring, resistivity method, polarization resistance, galvanostatic pulse method (GPM), electrochemical noise (EN)), elastic wave methods (*i.e.*, ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV), acoustic emission (AE), and impact echo (IE)), electromagnetic (EM) methods (*i.e.*, ground penetrating radar (GPR)), optical sensing methods (*i.e.*, fiber Bragg grating (FBG)), and infrared thermography (IRT). Visual inspection, OCP, polarization resistance, and other electrochemical methods are more commonly used for corrosion monitoring in RC structures.

2.1. Visual Inspection

Visual inspection is a regular inspection method to assess corrosion damage on the surface of concrete structures. The appearance of the corroded area often provides valuable insight into the cause and the extent of corrosion. However, the method is very dependent on the inspector's experience. In addition, visual inspection is limited in its effectiveness to detect surface discontinuities due to steel corrosion and the unseen corrosion is difficult to spot [7–10].

2.2. Electrochemical Methods

Electrochemical methods are by far the most suitable for corrosion monitoring in RC structures. Electrochemical methods, in general, can provide fast and reliable information on the probability of corrosion, the corrosion rate of steel reinforcement, and the resistivity of the concrete structure [11–22]. Electrochemical methods are related to the interrelation of the electrical and the chemical effects. An electrochemical system measures the potential and current of oxidation and reduction reactions [23–28]. The principles of the five electrochemical methods are shown in Figure 1.

In the open circuit potential (OCP) monitoring, one of the electrochemical methods, the electrical potential (in mV or V) between a steel reinforcement and a reference electrode (*i.e*., a copper/copper sulfate cell), in contact with the concrete surface, is measured [29–32]. The principle of OCP method is shown in Figure 1a. The OCP provides information pertaining to the probability and potential level of corrosion in RC structures [7,9]. Table 1 gives the potential ranges for different corrosion conditions of steel inside the reinforced concrete. The method is often unconvincing in terms of interpretation because the measurement depends on the condition of the RC structure. Moisture levels and amount of chloride concentration can affect the potential readings and give erroneous results [7,33]. The changes in moisture content (*i.e.,* wet condition of the concrete surface) lead to a shift in potential values so they become more negative value (*i.e.,* a shift of 100 mV was found on a bridge deck measured in the dry condition and the wet condition after rainfalls). The potential gradients and the local potential do not change; differences were found only in the magnitude of potential gradients. In a structure with a high chloride concentration, there is usually low resistivity in the concrete. The readings are often mismatched when there is low resistivity in the concrete, but it has readings with high passive potential. This is due the fact that chlorides are transported more easily in lower resistivity of concrete, high contents of chlorides depassivated the steel reinforcement, and active potentials were measured [7].

On the other hand, the polarization resistance method is commonly used for measuring the corrosion rate in RC structures. The method records the current generated and consumed by anodic and cathodic reactions. The change in potential during reactions is known as polarization, which is used to evaluate the steel corrosion. However, this electrochemical method has some limitations, such as the method assumes uniform corrosion while pitting corrosion is a highly probable form of steel corrosion in concrete, which might lead to misleading results. In addition, the area of steel measured in concrete is not precisely known which creates some errors in the polarization resistance calculations. Another error in the polarization resistance method is the IR drop introduced by high resistive medium and high separation of the reference electrode from the steel reinforcement of the RC structures [34–36]. Figure 1b shows the principal of polarization resistance method.

Figure 1. *Cont.*

Figure 1. Principle of electrochemical methods: (**a**) open circuit potential monitoring (OCP) (Reproduced and modified from [7]); (**b**) polarization resistance (Reproduced and modified from [23]); (**c**) galvanostatic pulse method (GPM) (Reproduced and modified from [37]); (**d**) resistivity method (Reproduced and modified from [36]); and (**e**) electrochemical noise (EN) (Reproduced and modified from [38]).

The other practical method for measuring the corrosion rate is GPM. This generally involves impressing a small amplitude, short time anodic current pulse, to be applied galvanostatically on the steel reinforcement from the external counter electrode over the concrete surface [39,40]. The anodic current is usually in the range of 5 to 100 μA and the typical pulse duration is between 5 and 30 s [19]. The steel reinforcement is anodically polarized and there is a resulting change in the electrochemical potential. The potential is recorded by a reference electrode (usually in the center of the counter electrode) as a function of polarization response. Figure 1c shows a schematic setup for the GPM test. When the constant current I_{app} is applied to the system, the polarized potential of reinforcement (η_t) , at given time t can be expressed as [39]:

$$
\eta_{t} = Iapp[R_{ct}[1 - exp(-t/R_{ct} \times C_{dl}))] + R_{\Omega}]
$$
\n(1)

where *R*_{ct} is polarization resistance, *C*_{dl} is double layer capacitance, and *R*^Ω is ohmic resistance.

The GPM results are much closer to the corrosion rate produced by the gravimetric method than those produced by polarization resistance, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), the Tafel extrapolation method (TEM), and the harmonic analysis method (HAM) [13,14,19,41,42]. Uncertainty about the polarized area of steel reinforcement is one of the major sources of error when measuring the corrosion rate of steel reinforcement in RC structures affected by the electrical signal from the counter electrode and the non-uniform current distribution of the steel reinforcement [43].

The resistivity method is another electrochemical method, which relies upon the principle that corrosion is an electrochemical process. An ionic current must pass between the anode and cathode areas for corrosion monitoring of RC structures [44]. The resistivity is an indirect indication of active corrosion of the steel reinforcement [45–47]. The corrosion process will be slower if the resistivity of the concrete is high. The resistivity of concrete exposed to chloride indicates the risk of early corrosion damage, because low resistivity is always associated with rapid chloride penetration [48]. Concrete resistivity is generally measured using the Wenner four probe method, as illustrated in Figure 1d. However, this method has limitations due to the heterogeneities *(i.e.*, steel reinforcement, resistivity layers, cracks, and large aggregates), which would influence the shape of the electric lines in the concrete. In addition, the low distance of the electrode probe (less than a few tens of centimeters) and weather conditions (*i.e*., wet, temperature, and humidity) influence the resistivity, thus having a huge influence on the results [49,50].

On the other hand, the electrochemical noise (EN) is an emerging technique for monitoring the mechanisms and estimating the rate of corrosion in concrete structures. Electrochemical noise is used to describe fluctuations in the potential and current generated by corrosion reactions [51,52]. The phenomenon whereby the potential of the electrodes fluctuates due to current vibration is also referred to as noise [53]. The current vibration of electrodes is due to fluctuation of the oxide-reduction reaction on the surfaces of the electrodes [38]. A noise source is located within the probable corroding area. A noise signal is transformed from the time domain to the frequency domain displayed in the form of amplitude and frequency based on the fast Fourier transform (FFT). The noise resistance (*Rn*), which is believed to be similar to the polarization resistance, is given by [54–56]:

$$
Rn = \sigma V/\sigma I \tag{2}
$$

where σV is the standard deviation for the potential and σI, the standard deviation for the current obtained by statistical analysis of the noise data.

The main advantage of the EN technique is its lack of intrusiveness. It can avoid artificial disturbances to the system during measurement [57]. The EN measurement has been used to study the onset of localized corrosion [58,59]. However, only a few studies of EN for measuring corrosion in RC structures have been carried out [60,61]. Previous work using this technique on reinforcing bars has only studied the corrosion process of various metallic materials [62–65].

	Potential Level (mV) [53]	Resistivity (Ω ·m) [51]	Corrosion Rate		
Corrosion Activity			LPR $(I_{corr} (A/cm^2))$ [39]	GPM $(R_{ct} (k\Omega \cdot cm^2))$ [39]	
Very High	$\overline{}$	-	$10 - 100$	$0.25 - 2.5$	
High	≤ -350	< 100	$1.0 - 10$	$2.5 - 25$	
Moderate/Middle	-200 to -350	$100 - 500$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	
Low	>-200	500-1000	$0.1 - 1$	$25 - 250$	
Negligible/passive	$\overline{}$	>1000	< 0.1	>250	

Table 1. Interpretation of corrosion activity of electrochemical methods.

Table 1 provides a summary of the qualitative corrosion activity of the above electrochemical methods. However, there is no standardization for the evaluation of the corrosion activity by EN method. Therefore, most of the electrochemical methods require direct connection with the steel reinforcement in the RC structure as the electrode, which makes it an intrusive method [66]. It requires a localized breakdown in the concrete surface to provide the direct connection [67].

2.3. Electromagnetic (EM) Waves

Another NDT method of corrosion monitoring is ground penetrating radar (GPR), which is based on the propagation of an EM wave into an RC structure. Part of the EM wave is reflected back to the receiving antenna whenever it encounters an interface of two media with differing dielectric constants [68–71]. A GPR antenna receives direct and reflected waves, which are recorded as amplitude–time signals $(a$ -scan) by the system. The direct wave signal (S_d) represents the EM energy transmitted directly to the receiving antenna, and the reflected wave (*S*r) is the EM energy reflected from the steel reinforcement–concrete interface [70]. The propagation of EM waves depends on the corresponding dielectric permittivity of concrete, which is a quantity related to the ability of concrete to resist the flow of an electrical charge [69,72–74]. The permittivity in turn depends on the EM properties, which are influenced by temperature, moisture content, chloride content, pore structure, and deterioration (*i.e*., corrosion) [75].

Despite its capability, GPR only presents a qualitative assessment of the corrosion damage. Moreover, the presence of chloride content and corrosion products would attenuate the GPR waves [74,76–78], which decrease the amplitude of the wave and the average velocity of reflected wave [79–81] increasing the travel time of the wave [82,83]. In addition, the GPR method is carried out periodically to monitor the corrosion process in RC structures and cannot be used for real-time monitoring [66]. Figure 2a shows a 3D image of GPR data in an RC slab with four different degrees of corrosion (*i.e*., no corrosion, low corrosion, medium corrosion, and high corrosion from the left to the right side).

2.4. Infrared Thermography (IRT)

As a sub-surface inspection method, Infrared thermography (IRT) has been found to be capable of detecting corrosion in RC structures. The method is based on the resulting perturbations in the heat transfer characteristics of concrete materials [84–90]. Temperature is one of the most common indicators of the structural health of RC structures. Cracks, alkali aggregate reaction (AAR), corrosion, and other deterioration forms could cause abnormal temperature distribution [86]. With the advent of newer generations of IR cameras, IRT is becoming a more accurate, reliable, and cost effective technique for corrosion monitoring in RC structures [88]. However, like GPR method, the interpretation of IRT is also qualitative, *i.e.*, a higher degree of steel corrosion results in stronger IR thermal distribution on the concrete surface [89,91–94], which would exhibit higher peaks of IR intensity and faster rates of heating [95,96], and hence increase the temperature of the concrete materials [92]. Figure 2b shows an IRT image of the temperature distribution of a 10% degree of corrosion of the concrete cylinder.

Figure 2. (**a**) 3D image of GPR of concrete slab with different level of steel corrosion, unit (m) (with permission from [68]) and (**b**) IRT image of concrete surface of cylinder, unit (°C) (Reproduced and modified from [89]).

2.5. Optical Sensing Methods

The optical sensing technology of the NDT methods, the fiber Bragg grating (FBG) method, involves creating periodic variations in the refractive index of the core of an optical fiber [97]. When light is made to pass through the grating at a particular wavelength, called the Bragg wavelength, the light reflected by the varying zones of refractive indices would be in phase and amplified [98,99]. During corrosion, the formation of corrosion products less dense than steel increases the volume and diameter of the bars, leads to an increase in fiber strain, which is measured by a shift in the wavelength of the FBG. The extent of corrosion is quantitatively evaluated through the change in the wavelength of the FBG [100–102]. Although it has advantages such as linear reaction, small volume, high anti-erosion capability, and automatic signal transmission [103,104], the FBG method also has limitations in corrosion monitoring in RC structures. The method can only conduct localized inspection of steel corrosion in RC structures. It is expected that a distributed and long-gauge FBG technique will be developed to solve this problem; until then this method is less effective for corrosion monitoring in RC structures [105].

2.6. Elastic Wave Methods

In order to enhance reliability, elastic wave methods should be adopted to complete the assessment of steel corrosion in RC structures. Elastic wave methods are essential when estimating mechanical properties and inhomogeneous characterization due to steel corrosion in RC structures. Wave-based damage detection excites transient waves to propagate into the concrete structure using sensors since the waves are reactive to damage such as cracks, voids, and also corrosion products [106]. There are three major wave-based methods for corrosion monitoring in RC structures, *i.e*., ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV), impact echo (IE), and acoustic emission (AE).

The UPV test is an NDT method that involves measuring the speed of sound through concrete in order to detect the condition of the concrete and the presence of steel corrosion [31,107–112] in chloride and oxide environments [112–115]. The principle of the UPV method is shown in Figure 3a. Ultrasonic waves can propagate a long distance along the steel reinforcement and have been found to be sensitive to the interface conditions between the steel reinforcement and the concrete [112,116]. Cracking due to

steel corrosion results in wave attenuation and a decrease in UPV. It has been reported that the amplitude attenuation has good a correlation with the damage due to corrosion [31,117,118]. In addition, UPV as measured by the first wave peak could describe the process of steel corrosion. As the corrosion damage level increases, the relative variation for the first wave peak value of UPV first increases and then decreases [119]. This condition occurs because the corrosion products are increased during the process of steel corrosion, resulting in an increase in the delamination degree between the steel reinforcement and the concrete. As the reinforced concrete corrosion level increases, the pit on the steel becomes larger. This condition would lead to great reflection in the first wave energy, and the direct transmission wave energy would become low. The first wave peak value then decreased slowly. However, the method needs advanced study. The study could come from the use of surface and other, guided waves, with features other than longitudinal pulse velocity, and stemming from advanced signal processing techniques [120].

The stress wave method, IE, is employed to detect corrosion in structural elements using mechanical impact and then monitoring the displacement (d), detected by sensors placed on the concrete surface. The impulse is reflected by the arrival of reflections of the pulse from the crack and other internal defects under investigation [121,122], as shown in Figure 3b. In an early study by Liang and Su [123] more than a decade ago, the IE method was certainly able to detect the development of micro-cracks due to corrosion in RC blocks. In the latest studies, Samarkova *et al*. [124–127] have indicated that the dominant frequencies of the response signal are the main criteria used to detect the occurrence and position of steel corrosion in RC structures. However, only a number of limited studies have attempted to monitor steel corrosion in RC structures because the main application of the IE method is in the detection of voids and delamination. In addition, the proposed method is not as mature as electrochemical methods for corrosion monitoring.

Therefore, AE is considered a good complementary method to UPV and IE. The AE technique is a unique, non-invasive, and passive NDT method. AE is a class of phenomena whereby transient elastic waves (ultrasonic frequency range) from a localized source within a material and conversion of the waves into electrical signals through coupled piezoelectric sensors [128–132]. The sources of AE are deformation processes such as crack growth, void closure, plastic deformation, corrosion, and other material degradation. Localized energy release gives rise to elastic waves that are detected by sensors placed on the concrete surface [133,134]. The principle of AE is shown in Figure 3c. The AE technique is often capable of detecting corrosion in the early stages, so that an early warning can be given to allow for repair work before the structural RC element is seriously damaged and the functionality is lost due to steel corrosion. This study reviews comprehensively the capability of the AE technique in monitoring the corrosion activity and it discusses the distinct advantages of the AE technique in the following sections. Table 2 shows a summary of NDT methods for corrosion evaluation.

Figure 3. The principle of: (**a**) ultrasonic pulse velocity (Reproduced and modified from [120]); (**b**) impact echo (Reproduced and modified from [121]); and (**c**) acoustic emission (Reproduced and modified from [135]).

Table 2. Resume of NDT methods for corrosion evaluation.

Sensors **2015**, *15* **19079**

Sensors **2015**, *15* **19080**

3. Acoustic Emission (AE) for Corrosion Monitoring

The AE technique has been widely used in the field of civil engineering for structural health monitoring (SHM), especially the monitoring of corrosion [136–138]. The advantage of the AE technique is that can be used without intruding into any of the processes associated with the RC structures [139–142]. The first recorded application of the AE technique for corrosion monitoring was by Dunn *et al*. [143]. The AE technique was used to monitor and characterize the corrosion process in a series of controlled laboratory tests. The study illustrated the sensitivity of the AE technique to evaluate the ongoing corrosion process, suggesting its feasibility as a technique for monitoring corrosion in RC structures. There are two different approaches in analyzing AE data, one is the classical or parameter-based AE technique and the second is the quantitative or signal-based AE technique [142,144]. These approaches could be used for monitoring corrosion in RC structures, in the following sections.

3.1. AE Parameters for Corrosion Monitoring

The parameter-based technique is useful for better characterization of the AE source [145–147]. AE parameter analysis of hits or events, signal strength, and energy demonstrate that AE is readily applicable to the detection of corrosion in steel reinforcement, in order to identify the corrosion process, *i.e*., initiation of cracks and propagation of cracks, and to locate the early stages of corrosion [148–157]. The AE sources are also classified in terms of RA value and average frequency to classify the type of failure and the *b*-value or *Ib*-value of AE amplitude distribution for assessing the damage severity [151,153,158,159]. The above AE parameters will be reviewed in the following sections. A simplified representation of an emitted signal as well as of commonly used parameters is shown in Figure 4. A summary of AE parameters and their contribution in providing information about the source event are listed in Table 3.

Figure 4. Parameters reflecting of an AE waveform.

Variables	Parameter	Description	Variables	Parameter
Time domain	Hit	Detection of an AE signal	Hit	Detection of an AE signal
	Event	Local material change, an event is a number of hits	Event	Local material change, an event is a number of hits
	Amplitude	Largest voltage peak in the waveforms	Amplitude	Largest voltage peak in the waveforms
	Rise time	Time elapsed from signal start and peak amplitude	Rise time	Time elapsed from signal start and peak amplitude
	Duration	Time between signal start and signal end	Duration	Time between signal start and signal end
	Threshold	Electronic compactor such that signals with amplitude larger than this level will be recorded	Threshold	Electronic compactor such that signals with amplitude larger than this level will be recorded
	Counts	Number of times AE signal crosses threshold	Counts	Number of times AE signal crosses threshold
	Signal Strength	Area under the positive and negative envelope of linear voltage signal	Signal Strength	Area under the positive and negative envelope of linear voltage signal
Frequency domain	Frequency spectrum	Nature of source event	Frequency domain	Frequency spectrum
Time-frequency domain	Spectrogram	Energy distribution of source event through time	Time- frequency domain	Spectrogram

Table 3. AE parameters and the applications in source events information (Reproduced and modified from [158]).

3.1.1. AE Hits

Many researchers have considered AE hits to be one of the AE parameters used to study the onset of corrosion and the nucleation of crack in RC structures [152–155]. Yoon *et al*. [152] carried out AE monitoring in RC beams subjected to four different degrees of corrosion. It was observed that AE hits increased with an increase in the degree of corrosion. This trend could provide important information for estimating the degree of corrosion. Ohtsu and Tomoda [155,156] investigated AE hits of RC specimens in sodium chloride (NaCl) concentration. Corresponding to two high AE activities, two periods of onset of corrosion and nucleation of cracks were observed. This could suggest that the AE activity observed corresponds to the corrosion loss of steel reinforcement in a marine environment observed by Melcher and Li [160], and shown in Figure 5a. At Phase 1, the onset of corrosion is initiated and the phase is dominated by the presence of oxygen and water. Then, corrosion loss decreases and stabilizes at Phase 2. The mass loss of corrosion increases again at Phases 3 and 4, where the corrosion penetrates inside and the expansion of corrosion products occurs due to anaerobic corrosion. Thus, based on the four phases of corrosion loss, two stages of corrosion activity are characterized, *i.e.*, the onset of corrosion and the growth of corrosion products (nucleation of cracks).

Figure 5. (**a**) Typical corrosion loss of steel reinforcement due to chloride immersion (Reproduced and modified from ([160]); and (**b**) cumulative AE hits and number of AE events during corrosion test (Reproduced and modified from [153]).

On the other hand, Kawasaki *et al*. [153,154] showed cumulative AE hits and number of AE events in the corrosion process in a cyclic wet–dry test. The generating process of AE hits observed is classified into two stages, which refer to the process of corrosion loss of steel reinforcement due to chloride immersion as observed by Melcher and Li [160], and previously by Ohtsu and Tomoda [155,156]. Stage 1 (onset of corrosion) corresponds to Phases 1 and 2 and Stage 2 (nucleation of crack) corresponds to Phases 3 and 4. Thus, the AE technique could detect corrosion at an early stage and AE activities from the beginning, as in Figure 5b.

3.1.2. Signal Strength (SS) and Cumulative Signal Strength (CSS)

Signal strength (SS) is one of the AE parameters; it is defined as the area under the voltage signal of AE over the duration of the waveforms. Since it provides a measure of the waveform energy released by the specimen, it is a rational damage indicator [67,161]. Velez *et al*. [161] showed the results of plots of the SS and cumulative signal strength (CSS) of corroded specimens. The SS of the prestressed specimen (P1) is attributed to the nucleation of cracks caused by the accumulation of corrosion products at the steel-concrete interface [162]. A different analysis is conducted on the SS of the non-prestressed specimen (N3), which suggests that it could be an indicator of early crack formation due to corrosion. On the other hand, the results show that the cumulative signal strength (CSS) exhibits a clear rate change before the onset of corrosion according to electrochemical methods, which suggests that the AE technique could detect the onset of corrosion.

Patil *et al.* [67] showed the curve of CSS with time of a concrete specimen in Figure 6. The curve clearly distinguishes the AE activity recorded for the concrete specimen under active corrosion. The CSS rate increases slowly in Phase 1 indicating de-passivation of the layer surrounding the steel reinforcement and the onset of corrosion. The presence of a sudden rise at the end of Phase 1 might indicate crack initiation due to steel corrosion. The rise of CSS in Phase 2 indicates corrosion activity. The sudden rise at the end of Phase 2 seems to be crack propagation leading to a macro-crack. Further phases are a repetition of Phase 2 continuing in the same manner. According to the phenomenological model of corrosion loss in a marine environment, the CSS curve obtained could also be divided into four phases, but the curve shows two sudden rises at the end of Phases 1 and 2. If these sudden rises are excluded from the CSS curve, the curve shown by the dotted line will be obtained and if connected by a smooth line, the curve would be exactly in agreement with the conventional curve (as shown in Figure 5a).

Figure 6. The variations in CSS parameter, which are similar to the curve of typical corrosion of steel reinforcement (Reproduced and modified from [68]).

3.1.3. Absolute (ABS) Energy

The feature of ABS energy is a quantifiable measurement of energy obtained for all the AE events or hits. Ing *et al.* [163] demonstrated the potential of ABS energy for identifying corrosion at an early stage before any external signs (*i.e*., cracking) of corrosion occur. The thickness of the concrete cover is found to have a significant effect on ABS energy in the early stages of steel corrosion. An exponential relationship has been established between the compressive strength and ABS energy, which shows that AE detects the sudden release of micro-fractures in the RC structure. In addition, increasing the steel diameter due to corrosion is found to increase the ABS energy of AE data.

3.1.4. RA Values and Average Frequency

The characteristics of AE signals are estimated using two parameters, RA value and average frequency. The RA value and average frequency are defined from the AE parameters, *i.e.*, rise time, maximum amplitude, counts, and duration [151,156], as shown in Equations (3) and (4).

$$
RA value = Rise Time/Amplitude
$$
 (3)

Average frequency = Counts/Duration (4)

A crack type is classified by the relationship between RA value and average frequency as shown in Figure 7. A tensile-type crack is referred to as an AE signal with high average frequency and low RA value. A shear-type crack is identified by low average frequency and high RA value. This criterion is used to classify AE data detected in the corrosion process.

Figure 7. Classification of cracks by AE indexes (Reproduced and modified from [164]).

RA value

Average frequency

The RA values and the average frequency were used by Ohtsu and Tomoda [156] to classify AE sources, in two weeks of wet and dry tests in an RC slab. At 40 days, the RA value was high and the AF was low, indicating shear cracking and later the RA value decreased and AF increased showing tensile cracking, as shown in Figure 8a. However, on the other hand, Kawasaki *et al*. [153] showed different trends for RA values and average frequency, as shown in Figure 8b. The trend lines proposed classify the onset of corrosion and nucleation of cracks in an RC beam. At Stage 1, at 14 days to 21 days, RA values drastically increase and the average frequencies become smaller. The crack could be classified as a tensile crack due to the onset of corrosion in the RC beam. At Stage 2, an increase in RA values and a decrease in average frequencies were observed. This implies that generations of nucleation of crack due to corrosion were induced in the concrete specimen. From the above references, the trends for RA value and average frequency, which were proposed by Ohtsu and Tomoda [156] for an RC slab, seem similar to the trends for RA value and average frequency proposed by Kawasaki *et al*. [153] for an RC beam at Stage 1. The RA value is low and the average frequency is fairly high.

Figure 8. Variations in RA values and average frequency (**a**) Ohtsu and Tomoda **(**Reproduced and modified from [156]) and (**b**) (Reproduced and modified from Kawasaki *et al*. [153]).

3.1.5. *b*-Value and *Ib*-Value

Gutenberg and Richter have developed the *b*-value in seismology to understand the relationship between the magnitude and frequency of earthquakes [140,165,166], as shown in Equation (5):

$$
Log10 N = a-bM
$$
 (5)

where, M is the Richter magnitude of the event, N is the incremental frequency, "*a*" is an empirical constant and *b* is the *b*-value. The value of M is proportional to the logarithm of the maximum amplitude Amax recorded in a seismic trace.

In the AE method, the same principle can be applied to determine the scaling of the amplitude distribution of the AE waves during the fracture process. In term of AE technique, the formula was modified by Colombo *et al*. [140]. Where, Amax is peak amplitude of the AE events in decibels (dB).

$$
Log10 N = a-b(Amax)
$$
 (6)

However, to evaluate the slope failure and facture process, Shiotani *et al*. [167] improved the formula to improve b-value (*Ib*-value). This formulation is more based on statistical analysis, such as mean and standard deviation, for each of the AE amplitude sets. The formula is defined as:

$$
Ib = \frac{Log N (\mu - \alpha 1 \sigma) - Log N (\mu - \alpha 2 \sigma)}{(\alpha 1 + \alpha 2) \sigma}
$$
 (7)

where, σ is the standard deviation, μ is the mean value of the amplitude distribution, α 1 is the coefficient related to the smaller amplitude, and α 2 is coefficient related to the fracture level.

In an RC structure application, the *b*-value is used as an indication and demarcation of degradation in the integrity of the RC specimen and is associated with cracks [140]. When cracks are forming, the large number of events increases causing a decrease in the *b*-value. When the distributed micro-crack occurs at an early stage of corrosion, the *b*-value is large and when the macro-crack begins to localize the *b*-value is small. Previous research has indicated that *b*-values below 1.0 correspond to the transition from micro-crack to macro-crack [168,169]. Figure 9a shows an example of *b*-value distribution in acyclic wet–dry test in an RC slab by Ohtsu and Tomoda [156]. The *b*-value becomes large in the first period and then the *b*-values keep fairly low. This result might imply the generation of small shear cracks in the first period. Then, nucleation of fairly large tensile cracks follows, leading to the second period.

Figure 9. (**a**) *b*-value analysis (Reproduced and modified from [156]); and (**b**) *Ib*-value analysis (Reproduced and modified from [153]).

For the latter, the *Ib*-value is adopted for calculation, based on cumulative distribution as proposed by Shiotani [144]. In contrast, the case where the *Ib*-values become small implies nucleation of large AE hits. The variations in the *Ib*-value are given by Kawasaki *et al*. [153], as shown in Figure 9b. Large drops are observed between 21 days and 35 days, before the first dramatic increase in *Ib*-value. This might imply that the micro-cracks are generated at the onset of corrosion on the surface of the steel

reinforcement. Due to high AE activity at Stage 2, the *Ib*-values decrease. Since the results of *Ib*-values at 56 and 84 days are comparatively lower than those of Stage 1, large-scale cracks are considered to be actively generated as corrosion-induced cracks in the RC beam. Furthermore, the fluctuations in *Ib*-values in Stage 2 are even bigger than in Stage 1. These results imply that the cracks are repeatedly generated due to corrosion products expansion.

3.1.6. Intensity Analysis

Intensity analysis (IA) is used to quantify corrosion rate and level [170–173]. Intensity analysis evaluates the structural significance of an AE event by calculating two values, called the historic index (*Hi*) and the severity index (*Sr*), from the signal strength [174–176], as shown in Equations (8) and (9). The historic index is used to estimate changes of the slope in the CSS plotted as a function of time. The severity index is the average of the large signal strength received at a sensor (*i.e*., 50 events having the highest signal strength) [177]. An increase in severity index often corresponds to structural or material damage.

$$
Hi = \frac{N}{N-K} + \left(\frac{\sum_{i=k+1}^{N} Soi}{\sum_{n=1}^{N}Soi}\right)
$$
\n(8)

$$
Sr = \frac{1}{J} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{J} Som \right) \tag{9}
$$

where, $Hi = Historic index, N = Number of hits up to time t, S_{oi} = Signal strength of the *i*th hit,$ $K =$ empirically derived constant based on material, $Sr =$ Severity index, $J =$ empirically derived constant based on material, Som = signal strength of the *m*th hit where the order of m is based on magnitude of the signal strength.

 $\sqrt{1}$

 Δ

The K and J value are related to N by the relations: K = 0, N \leq 50; K = N-30, 51 \leq N \leq 200; K = O.85N, $201 \le N \le 500$ and $J = 0$, $N \le 50$; $J = 50$, $J \ge 50$.

Velez *et al*. [161] developed IA-based criteria for assessing corrosion in prestressed concrete (PC) piles. An assessment chart divides the criteria into three areas, *i.e*., no corrosion, early corrosion, and cracking, as shown in Figure 10. The figure shows that corroding and non-corroding specimens can be distinguished. In addition, the values of *Hi* and *Sr* are consistent with the levels of corrosion.

Figure 10. Intensity analysis results (Reproduced and modified from [161]).

3.1.7. Relaxation Ratio

This refers to the ratio of average energy recorded during the unloading and loading phases of a concrete test [177]. The method is based on the effect of the cracks present in RC structures. If the RC structure contains no cracks, the energy recorded during the unloading phase is low, however, if the cracks exist, the cracks emit significant energy during the unloading phase. Therefore, the greater amount of AE energy collected during the unloading phase compared to the loading phase could be used as an indicator of corrosion, if the relaxation ratio is more than 1 [159].

3.2. Signal-Based AE for Corrosion Monitoring

The signal-based technique involved a large number of waveforms that are recorded over a sufficiently short period time [142,144]. The most prominent feature of this approach compared to parametric analysis is that it performs better in filtering signal noise, thus offering a better interpretation of the data monitoring in RC structures [158].

3.2.1. AE Source Location

AE source location is performed to monitor the onset of corrosion, crack initiation due to corrosion, crack propagation, and location of corrosion in RC structures. The AE source location is determined using the velocity of the longitudinal wave computed by the time differences among the arrival times of the first longitudinal wave detected by AE sensor [178]. Figure 11 shows the AE source location of high corrosion activity in an RC slab by Ohtsu and Tomoda [155]. It is reasonable to assume that AE sources are located around the steel reinforcement. This implies that corrosion and activities *i.e.*, cracks and the expansion of corrosion products are readily detected and located by the AE technique.

Figure 11. AE source location of corroded RC slab, unit (m) (Reproduced and modified from [155]).

3.2.2. SiGMA

In order to determine the moment tensor of an AE source, a simplified procedure has been developed and implemented as SiGMA (Simplified Green's functions for Moment tensor Analysis) by Ohtsu [179]. The analysis consists of a three-dimensional (3D) AE source location procedure and moment tensor analysis of AE sources. The location of the AE source is determined from the differences in arrival time [178]. Then, the components of the moment tensor are determined from the amplitudes of the first motions at the AE channels [153]. For this matter, SiGMA is a sophisticated method for estimating the size, orientation, crack type, location, and fracture mode of individual micro-cracking [180]. Farid Uddin and Ohtsu [181] and Kawasaki *et al*. [153] presented the results of SiGMA at corrosion test in an RC structures. By SiGMA, the cracking mechanisms due to corrosion of 594 events were identified close to steel reinforcement by [181], as shown in Figure 12. The legend of SiGMA results is shown in Figure 12b. In other hand, Thirty AE events of cracking mechanisms are detected in Stage 1 by [153]. These events are located around the steel reinforcement and at around the top side of the RC beam. This phenomenon could be related to the corrosion initiation as shear and mixed-mode cracks. In Stage 2, 19 AE events were determined close to the steel reinforcement with those of corrosion-induced crack in concrete and related to tensile cracks.

Figure 12. Results and models of SiGMA data (**a**) 594 events; and (**b**) models of tensile, mixed-mode, and shear crack (Reproduced and modified from [181]).

3.2.3. Noise Filtering

The noise of AE data can originate from external sources, *i.e*., environment, traffic, man activities, *etc*. or be due to instrumental sources. Instrumental or electrical noise is generated by fluctuations occurring in the instrumentation including thermal noise, leakage current instability, and power supply voltage fluctuation [182]. Consequently, it is necessary to distinguish between real events related to real sources (such as crack propagation or fracture phenomena) and spurious events related to noise sources. Kouroussis and Anastassopoulos [183] proposed Unsupervised Pattern Recognition (UPR) to discriminate the signal and to distinguish real events from noise. The UPR applies mathematical or clustering algorithms in order to divide the set of AE hits into groups or clusters, which are close to one another in the same data set. This algorithm can discriminate the various sources of data that are related to the noise. Thus, Calabrese *et al*. [184] carried out an investigation to detect corrosion induced cracking in PC structures. It was focused on the use of multivariate analysis with the aim of establishing a procedure that would allow differentiation between steel corrosion based signals and background noise. Figure 13 shows the scheme for clustering methodology for noise removal. The three step models were implemented using Matlab software. The results show that more than 60% of detected signals were classified as noise.

Figure 13. AE noise filtering procedure (Reproduced and modified from [183]).

4. Conclusions

A number of NDT methods for the corrosion monitoring of steel reinforcement have been reviewed in this paper. There are six major NDT methods for corrosion monitoring: *i.e*., visual inspection, electrochemical methods (*i.e*., HCP, resistivity method, LRP, and GPM), elastic wave methods (*i.e*., UPV, AE, and IE), the electromagnetic (EM) method (*i.e*., GPR), the optical sensing method (*i.e*., FBG), and the IRT method. NDT methods for corrosion evaluation are summarized in Table 2. Each technique was reviewed in relation to principles, certain applications, and limitations. However, AE is more effective for monitoring and detecting steel corrosion in RC structures at an early stage.

- 1. The early number of cumulative AE hits can detect the corrosion at early stage. If there is significant increase of cumulative AE hits, it corresponds to onset of corrosion.
- 2. Other AE parameters, like the sudden rise in cumulative signal strength (CSS) and absolute energy (ABS) might indicate crack initiation due to steel corrosion.
- 3. The distribution of RA value and average frequency (AF) are also proposed as a means of classifying the onset of corrosion and nucleation of cracks. The onset of corrosion is identified by a drastic increase in RA value and a decrease in average frequency. In addition, the nucleation of cracks is implied by an increase in RA value and a decrease in average frequency at the next stage. Based on the above parameters, the steel corrosion of RC structures can be analyzed according to two stages, *i.e.*, the onset of corrosion and the nucleation of crack due to corrosion product.
- 4. In addition, *b*-value, *Ib*-value, and intensity analysis are developed to characterize damage in RC structures. Previous studies have indicated that a *b-*value below 1.0 indicates the transition from microcracking to macrocracking. The large fluctuations in *Ib*-value imply that these cracks are generally repeated due to expansion of corrosion product. On the other hand, intensity analysis

uses several criteria to identify the condition of the RC structure (*i.e*., no corrosion, early corrosion, and cracking).

Therefore, these capabilities make AE a strong candidate to become an efficient NDT method in the detection of corrosion occurring in real-time, giving it an advantage over other NDT methods. Although more research may be required to fully exploit current methods and devices, the future performance of AE in the corrosion evaluation of RC structures seems promising.

Acknowledgments

The Authors would like to acknowledge the Minister of Higher Education (Malaysia) for providing the financial support for this project under Grant No. UM.C/625/1/HIR/MOHE/ENG/54.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Badawi, M.; Soudki, K. Control of corrosion-induced damage in reinforced concrete beams using carbon fiber-reinforced polymer laminates. *J. Compos. Constr.* **2005**, *9*, 195–201.
- 2. Ahmad, S. Reinforcement corrosion in concrete structures, its monitoring and service life prediction—A review. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2003**, *25*, 459–471.
- 3. Yuan, Y.; Ji, Y.; Shah, S.P. Comparison of two accelerated corrosion techniques for concrete structures. *ACI Struct. J.* **2007**, *104*, 344–347.
- 4. Song, G.; Shayan, A. *Corrosion of Steel in Concrete: Causes, Detection and Prediction*; Australian Road Research Board: Vermont South, Australia, 1999.
- 5. Almusallam, A.A. Effect of degree of corrosion on the properties of reinforcing steel bars. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2001**, *15*, 361–368.
- 6. Büyüköztürk, O. Imaging of concrete structures. *NDT&E Int.* **1998**, *31*, 233–243.
- 7. Elsener, B.; Andrade, C.; Gulikers, J.; Polder, R.; Raupach, M. Half-cell potential measurements—Potential mapping on reinforced concrete structures. *Mater. Struct.* **2003**, *36*, 461–471.
- 8. Wiggenhauser, H.; Reinhardt, H.W. NDT in civil engineering: Experience and results of the for 384 research group. In Proceedings of the AIP Conference, Melbourne, Australia, 15–18 Febraury 2010.
- 9. Arndt, R.; Jalinoos, F. NDE for corrosion detection in reinforced concrete structures-benchmark approach. In Proceedings of the Non-Destructive Testing In Civil Engineering (NDTCE'09), Nantes, France, 30 June–3 July 2009.
- 10. Rhazi, J.; Dous, O.; Laurens, S. A new application of the GPR technique to reinforced concrete bridge decks. In Proceeding of the 4th Middle East NDT conference and Exhibition, Manama, Kingdom of Bahrain, 2–5 December 2007.
- 11. Rodriguez, P.; Ramirez, E.; Gonzalez, J.A. Methods for studying corrosion in reinforced concrete. *Mag. Concr. Res.* **1994**, *46*, 81–90.
- 12. Carino, N.J. Nondestructive techniques to investigate corrosion status in concrete structures. *J. Perform. Constr. Facil* **1999**, *13*, 96–106.
- 13. Vedalakshmi, R.; Balamurugan, L.; Saraswathy, V.; Kim, S.H.; Ann, K.Y. Reliability of galvanostatic pulse technique in assessing the corrosion rate of rebar in concrete structures: Laboratory vs field studies. *KSCE J. Civ. Eng.* **2010**, *14*, 867–877.
- 14. Holloway, L.; Karajayli, P.; Birbilis, N. Galvanostatic pulse corrosion rate monitoring for steel in concrete. In Proceeding of the 49th Annual Conference of the Australasian Corrosion Association: Corrosion and Prevention, Charleston, SC, USA, 13 January 2009.
- 15. Law, D.W.; Millard, S.G.; Bungey, J.H. Use of galvanostatic pulse measurements on active reinforcing steel in concrete to assess corrosion rates. *Br. Corros. J.* **2001**, *36*, 75–80.
- 16. Lu, C.; Peiyu, Y. An algorithm of galvanostatic pulse method to determine the corrosion status of reinforcement in concrete. *Corros. Sci.* **2000**, *42*, 675–686.
- 17. Xu, J.; Yao, W. Corrosion detection of steel in concrete by use of galvanostatic pulse technique. *J. Chin. Soc. Corros. Prot.* **2010**, *30*, 181–186.
- 18. Shi, J.J.; Sun, W.; Geng, G.Q. Steel corrosion in simulated concrete pore solutions using a galvanostatic pulse method. *J. Univ. Sci. Technol. Beijing* **2011**, *33*, 727–733.
- 19. Poursaee, A.; Hansson, C.M. Galvanostatic pulse technique with the current confinement guard ring: The laboratory and finite element analysis. *Corros. Sci.* **2008**, *50*, 2739–2746.
- 20. Holloway, L.; Birbilis, N.; Forsyth, M. Long term monitoring of a reinforced concrete remediation method in a marine environment. In Proceeding of the European Corrosion Conference: Long Term Prediction and Modelling of Corrosion (EUROCORR), Nice, France, 12–16 September 2004.
- 21. Gonzalez, J.A.; Miranda, J.M.; Birbilis, N.; Feliu, S. Electrochemical techniques for studying corrosion of reinforcing steel: Limitations and advantages. *Corrosion* **2005**, *61*, 37–50.
- 22. Koleva, D.A.; de Wit, J.H.W.; van Breugel, K.; Lodhi, Z.F.; van Westing, E. Investigation of corrosion and cathodic protection in reinforced concrete: I. Application of electrochemical techniques. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2007**, *154*, P52–P61.
- 23. Song, H.W.; Saraswathy, V. Corrosion monitoring of reinforced concrete structures—A review. *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* **2007**, *2*, 1–28.
- 24. Andrade, C.; Gonzalez, J.A. Quantitative measurements of corrosion rate of reinforcing steels embedded in concrete using polarization resistance measurements. *Werkst. Korros.* **1978**, *29*, 515–519.
- 25. Baronio, G.; Berra, M.; Bertolini, L.; Pastore, T. Steel corrosion monitoring in normal and total-lightweight concretes exposed to chloride and sulphate solutions Part I: Potential measurements. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1996**, *26*, 683–689.
- 26. Andrade, C.; Keddam, M.; Novoa, X.R.; Perez, M.C.; Rangel, C.M.; Takenouti, H. Electrochemical behaviour of steel rebars in concrete: Influence of environmental factors and cement chemistry. *Electrochim. Acta* **2001**, *46*, 3905–3912.
- 27. Fang, X.; Chen, L.; Pan, J. Comparative study of on-site corrosion monitoring for concrete by different methods. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2014**, *838–841*, 2066–2070.
- 28. Swarup, J.; Sharma, P.C. Electrochemical techniques for the monitoring of corrosion of reinforcement in concrete structures. *Bull. Electrochem.* **1996**, *12*, 103–108.
- 29. Ohtsu, M.; Yamamoto, T. Compensation procedure for half-cell potential measurement. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **1997**, *11*, 395–402.
- 30. Assouli, B.; Ballivy, G.; Rivard, P. Influence of environmental parameters on application of standard ASTM C876–91: Half cell potential measurements. *Corros. Eng. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *43*, 93–96.
- 31. Yeih, W.; Huang, R. Detection of the corrosion damage in reinforced concrete members by ultrasonic testing. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1998**, *28*, 1071–1083.
- 32. Sadowski, L. Methodology for assessing the probability of corrosion in concrete structures on the basis of half-cell potential and concrete resistivity measurements. *Sci. World J.* **2013**, *2013*, doi: 10.1155/2013/714501.
- 33. Ismail, M.A.; Soleymani, H.; Ohtsu, M. Early detection of corrosion activity in reinforced concrete slab by AE technique. In Proceeding of the 6th Asia-Pasific Structural Engineering and Construction Conference (APSEC 2006), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 5–6 September 2006.
- 34. Andrade, C.; Alonso, M.C.; Gonzalez, J.A. An initial effort to use corrosion rate measurements for estimating rebar durability corrosion rates of steel in concrete. *Corros. Rat. Steel Concr. ASTM STP* **1990**, *1065*, 29–37.
- 35. Clear, K.C. Measuring rate of corrosion of steel in field concrete structures. *Trans. Res. Rec.* **1989**, *1211*, 28–37.
- 36. Broomfield, J.P. Field measurement of the corrosion rate of steel in concrete using a microprocessor controlled unit with a monitored guard ring for signal confinement. *ASTM Spec. Tech. Publ.* **1996**, *1276*, 91–106.
- 37. Reichling, K.; Raupach, M.; Broomfield, J.; Gulikers, J.; Nygaard, P.V.; Schneck, U.; Sergi, G. Local detailed inspection methods regarding reinforcement corrosion of concrete structures. *Mater. Corros.* **2013**, *64*, 128–134.
- *38.* Fukuyama, T.; Nagai, H.; Noguchi, T. Corrosion monitoring of reinforcing bars by electrochemical noise measurement. In Proceeding of the 4th International Conference on Structural Health Monitoring of Intelligent Infrastructure (SHMII-4), Zurich, Switzerland, 22–24 July 2009.
- 39. Newton, C.J.; Sykes, J.M. A galvanostatic pulse technique for investigation of steel corrosion in concrete. *Corros. Sci.* **1988**, *28*, 1051–1074.
- 40. Elsener, B.; Wojtas, H.; Bohni, H. Inspection and monitoring of reinforced concrete structures—Electrochemical methods to detect corrosion. *Insight* **1994**, *36*, 502–506.
- 41. Sathiyanarayanan, S.; Natarajan, P.; Saravanan, K.; Srinivasan, S.; Venkatachari, G. Corrosion monitoring of steel in concrete by galvanostatic pulse technique. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2006**, *28*, 630–637.
- 42. Vedalakshmi, R.; Thangavel, K. Reliability of electrochemical techniques to predict the corrosion rate of steel in concrete structures. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* **2011**, *36*, 769–783.
- 43. Wojtas, H. Determination of corrosion rate of reinforcement with a modulated guard ring electrode: Analysis of errors due to lateral current distribution. *Corros. Sci.* **2004**, *46*, 1621–1632.
- 44. Bungey, J.H.; Millard, S.G. *Testing of Concrete in Structures*, 3rd ed.; Blackie Academic & Professional: New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- 45. Sadowski, L. New non-destructive method for linear polarisation resistance corrosion rate measurement. *Arch. Civ. Mech. Eng.* **2010**, *10*, 109–116.
- 46. Cavalier, P.G.; Vassie, P.R. Investigation and repair of reinforcement corrosion in a bridge deck. In Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers (London) Part 1—Design & Construction, Birmingham, UK, 15–16 September 1981; pp. 461–480.
- 47. Clear, K.C. *Time to Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel in Concrete Slabs*; Federal Highway Administration: Washington, DC, USA, 1982.
- 48. Polder, R.B. Test methods for on site measurement of resistivity of concrete—A RILEM TC-154 technical recommendation. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2001**, *15*, 125–131.
- 49. Polder, R.; Andrade, C.; Elsener, B.; Vennesland, O.; Gulikers, J.; Weidert, R.; Raupach, M. Test methods for on site measurement of resistivity of concrete. *Mater. Struct.* **2000**, *33*, 603–611.
- 50. Reichling, K.; Raupach, M.; Broomfield, J.; Gulikers, J.; L'Hostis, V.; Kessler, S.; Osterminski, K.; Pepenar, I.; Schneck, U.; Sergi, G.; *et al*. Full surface inspection methods regarding reinforcement corrosion of concrete structures. *Mater. Corros.* **2013**, *64*, 116–127.
- 51. Legat, A. Monitoring of steel corrosion in concrete by electrode arrays and electrical resistance probes. *Electrochim. Acta* **2007**, *52*, 7590–7598.
- 52. Legat, A.; Govekar, E. Detection of corrosion by analysis of electrochemical noise. *Fractals* **1994**, *2*, 241–244.
- 53. Inoue, H.; Moriya, R.; Nishimura, R. Extreme-value distribution of the metastable-pit depth estimated by potential noise method. *J. Soc. Mater. Sci. Jpn* **2008**, *57*, 1097–1100.
- 54. Bertocci, U.; Huet, F. Noise analysis applied to electrochemical systems. *Corrosion* **1995**, *51*, 131–144.
- 55. Xiao, H.; Mansfeld, F. Evaluation of coating degradation with electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and electrochemical noise analysis. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1994**, *141*, 2332–2337.
- 56. Mansfeld, F.; Xiao, H. Electrochemical noise analysis of iron exposed to NaCl solutions of different corrosivity. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1993**, *140*, 2205–2209.
- 57. Yu, J.G.; Luo, J.L.; Norton, P.R. Investigation of hydrogen induced pitting active sites. *Electrochim. Acta* **2002**, *47*, 4019–4025.
- 58. Mansfeld, F.; Sun, Z.; Hsu, C.H. Electrochemical noise analysis (ENA) for active and passive systems in chloride media. *Electrochim. Acta* **2001**, *46*, 3651–3664.
- 59. Hashimoto, M.; Miyajima, S.; Murata, T. An experimental study of potential fluctuation during passive film breakdown and repair on iron. *Corros. Sci.* **1992**, *33*, 905–915.
- 60. Mariaca, L.; Bautista, A.; Rodríguez, P.; González, J.A. Use of electrochemical noise for studying the rate of corrosion of reinforcements embedded in concrete. *Mater. Struct.* **1997**, *30*, 613–617.
- 61. Legat, A.; Leban, M.; Bajt, Ž. Corrosion processes of steel in concrete characterized by means of electrochemical noise. *Electrochim. Acta* **2004**, *49*, 2741–2751.
- 62. Zhao, B.; Li, J.H.; Hu, R.G.; Du, R.G.; Lin, C.J. Study on the corrosion behavior of reinforcing steel in cement mortar by electrochemical noise measurements. *Electrochim. Acta* **2007**, *52*, 3976–3984.
- 63. Aballe, A.; Bethencourt, M.; Botana, F.J.; Marcos, M.; Sánchez-Amaya, J.M. Influence of the degree of polishing of alloy AA 5083 on its behaviour against localised alkaline corrosion. *Corros. Sci.* **2004**, *46*, 1909–1920.
- 64. Mills, D.; Mabbutt, S.; Bierwagen, G. Investigation into mechanism of protection of pigmented alkyd coatings using electrochemical and other methods. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2003**, *46*, 176–181.
- 65. Nagiub, A.; Mansfeld, F. Evaluation of corrosion inhibition of brass in chloride media using EIS and ENA. *Corros. Sci.* **2001**, *43*, 2147–2171.
- 66. Hong, S.; Lai, W.L.; Helmerich, R. Experimental monitoring of chloride-induced reinforcement corrosion and chloride contamination in concrete with ground-penetrating radar. *Struct. Infrastruct*. *Eng*. **2014**, 15–26, doi:10.1080/15732479.2013.879321.
- 67. Patil, S.; Karkare, B.; Goyal, S. Acoustic emission vis-Ã-vis electrochemical techniques for corrosion monitoring of reinforced concrete element. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2014**, *68*, 326–332.
- 68. Kabir, S.; Zaki, A. Detection and quantification of corrosion damage using ground penetrating radar (GPR). In Proceeding of the Progress in Electromagnetic Research Symposium (PIERS), Marrakesh, Marocco, 20–23 March 2011.
- 69. Halabe, U.B. Condition Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures Using Electromagnetic Waves. Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1990.
- 70. Sbartai, Z.M.; Laurens, S.; Rhazi, J.; Balayssac, J.P.; Arliguie, G. Using radar direct wave for concrete condition assessment: Correlation with electrical resistivity. *J. Appl. Geophys.* **2007**, *62*, 361–374.
- 71. Lai, W.L.; Kind, T.; Wiggenhauser, H. Frequency-dependent dispersion of high-frequency ground penetrating radar wave in concrete. *NDT&E Int.* **2011**, *44*, 267–273.
- 72. Robert, A. Dielectric permittivity of concrete between 50 MHz and 1 GHz and GPR measurements for building materials evaluation. *J. Appl. Geophys.* **1998**, *40*, 89–94.
- 73. Soutsos, M.N.; Bungey, J.H.; Millard, S.G.; Shaw, M.R.; Patterson, A. Dielectric properties of concrete and their influence on radar testing. *NDT&E Int.* **2001**, *34*, 419–425.
- 74. Sbartai, Z.M.; Laurens, S.; Balayssac, J.P.; Ballivy, G.; Arliguie, G. Effect of concrete moisture on radar signal amplitude. *ACI Mater. J.* **2006**, *103*, 419–426.
- 75. Maierhofer, C. Nondestructive evaluation of concrete infrastructure with ground penetrating radar. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* **2003**, *15*, 287–297.
- 76. Kim, W. Ground Penetrating Radar Application for Non-Destructive Testing: Bridge Deck Inspection and Dowel Bar Detection. Ph.D, Thesis, University of Missouri–Rolla, Rolla, MO, USA, 2003.
- 77. Klysz, G.; Balayssac, J.P.; Laurens, S. Spectral analysis of radar surface waves for non-destructive evaluation of cover concrete. *NDT&E Int.* **2004**, *37*, 221–227.
- 78. Lai, W.L.; Kou, S.C.; Tsang, W.F.; Poon, C.S. Characterization of concrete properties from dielectric properties using ground penetrating radar. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2009**, *39*, 687–695.
- 79. Sbartai, Z.M.; Laurens, S.; Balayssac, J.P.; Arliguie, G.; Ballivy, G. Ability of the direct wave of radar ground-coupled antenna for NDT of concrete structures. *NDT&E Int.* **2006**, *39*, 400–407.
- 80. Barnes, C.L.; Trottier, J.F.; Forgeron, D. Improved concrete bridge deck evaluation using GPR by accounting for signal depth-amplitude effects. *NDT&E Int.* **2008**, *41*, 427–433.
- 81. Kim, W.; Ismail, A.; Anderson, N.L.; Atekwana, E.A.; Buccellato, A. Non-destructive testing (NDT) for corrosion in bridge decks using GPR. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on the Application of Geophysical Methodologies and NDT to Transportation Facilities and Infrastructure, Geophysics 2003, Orlando, FL, USA, 8–12 December 2003.
- 82. Lai, W.L.; Kind, T.; Wiggenhauser, H. Detection of accelerated reinforcement corrosion in concrete by ground penetrating radar. In Proceeding of the 13th International Conference on Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Lecce, Italy, 21–25 June 2010.
- 83. Hong, S.X.; Lai, W.L.; Helmerich, R. Monitoring accelerated corrosion in chloride contaminated concrete with ground penetrating radar. In Proceeding of the 14th International Conference on Ground Penetrating Radar, Shanghai, China, 4–8 June 2012.
- 84. Manning, D.G.; Holt, F.B. Detecting delamination in concrete bridge decks. *Concr. Int.* **1980**, *2*, 34–41.
- 85. Kordatos, E.Z.; Strantza, M.; Soulioti, D.V.; Matikas, T.E.; Aggelis, D.G. Combined NDT methods for characterization of subsurface cracks in concrete. In Proceeding of the SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, San Diego, CA, USA, 6 March 2011.
- 86. Bagavathiappan, S.; Lahiri, B.B.; Saravanan, T.; Philip, J.; Jayakumar, T. Infrared thermography for condition monitoring—A review. *Infrared Phys. Technol.* **2013**, *60*, 35–55.
- 87. Washer, G.; Bolleni, N.; Fenwick, R. Thermographic imaging of subsurface deterioration in concrete bridges. *Trans. Res. Rec.* **2010**, *2201*, 27–33.
- 88. Pieper, D.; Donnell, K.M.; Ghasr, M.T.; Kinzel, E.C. Integration of microwave and thermographic NDT methods for corrosion detection. In Proceeding of AIP Conference, Canberra, Australia, 7–12 December 2014.
- 89. Kobayashi, K.; Banthia, N. Detection of reinforcement corrosion with a new non-destructive test method using induction heating. In Proceeding of the 6th International Conference on Concrete under Severe Conditions (CONSEC'10), Merida, Mexico, 7–9 June 2010.
- 90. Clark, M.R.; McCann, D.M.; Forde, M.C. Application of infrared thermography to the non-destructive testing of concrete and masonry bridges. *NDT&E Int.* **2003**, *36*, 265–275.
- 91. Chung, L.; Paik, I.K.; Roh, Y.S. Non-destructive evaluation techniques of reinforcing steel corrosion using infrared thermography. *Key Eng. Mater.* **2004**, *270*, 1592–1597.
- 92. Chung, L.; Paik, I.K.; Cho, S.H.; Roh, Y.S. Infrared thermographic technique to measure corrosion in reinforcing bar. *Key Eng. Mater.* **2006**, *321*, 821–824.
- 93. Washer, G. Advances in the use of thermographic imaging for the condition assessment of bridges. *Bridg. Struct. Assess. Des. Constr.* **2012**, *8*, 81–90.
- 94. Kobayashi, K.; Banthia, N. Corrosion detection in reinforced concrete using induction heating and infrared thermography. *J. Civ. Struct. Health Monit.* **2011**, *1*, 25–35.
- 95. Kwon, S.J.; Xue, H.; Feng, M.Q.; Baek, S. Nondestructive corrosion detection in concrete through integrated heat induction and IR thermography. In Proceeding of the SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, San Diego, CA, USA, 6 March 2011.
- 96. Baek, S.; Xue, W.; Feng, M.Q.; Kwon, S. Nondestructive corrosion detection in RC through integrated heat induction and IR thermography. *J. Nondestr. Eval.* **2012**, *31*, 181–190.
- 97. Vallee, R.; Fredrick, S.; Asatryan, K.; Fischer, M.; Galstian, T. Real-time observation of Bragg grating formation in As2S3 chalcogenide ridge waveguides. *Opt. Commun.* **2004**, *230*, 301–307.
- 98. Hassan, M.R.A.; Bakar, M.H.A.; Dambul, K.; Adikan, F.R.M. Optical-based sensors for monitoring corrosion of reinforcement rebar via an etched Cladding Bragg grating. *Sensors* **2012**, *12*, 15820–15826.
- 99. Chen, W.; Dong, X. Modification of the wavelength-strain coefficient of FBG for the prediction of steel bar corrosion embedded in concrete. *Opt. Fiber Technol.* **2012**, *18*, 47–50.
- 100. Zheng, Z.; Sun, X.; Lei, Y. Monitoring corrosion of reinforcement in concrete structures via fiber Bragg grating sensors. *Front. Mech. Eng. Chin.* **2009**, *4*, 316–319.
- 101. Lee, J.R.; Yun, C.Y.; Yoon, D.J. A structural corrosion-monitoring sensor based on a pair of prestrained fiber Bragg gratings. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *21*, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/21/1/017002.
- 102. Gao, J.; Wu, J.; Li, J.; Zhao, X. Monitoring of corrosion in reinforced concrete structure using Bragg grating sensing. *NDT&E Int.* **2011**, *44*, 202–205.
- 103. Lau, K.T. Fibre-optic sensors and smart composites for concrete applications. *Mag. Concr. Res.* **2003**, *55*, 19–34.
- 104. Geng, J.; Wu, J.; Zhao, X. Simulation of fiber Bragg grating sensor for rebar corrosion. In Proceeding of SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, Weihai, China, 8 July 2009.
- 105. Lei, Y.; Zheng, Z.P. Review of physical based monitoring techniques for condition assessment of corrosion in reinforced concrete. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2013**, *2013*, doi:10.1155/2013/953930.
- 106. Sohn, H.; Park, G.; Wait, J.R.; Limback, N.P.; Farrar, C.R. Wavelet-based active sensing for delamination detection in composite structures. *Smart Mater. Struct.* **2004**, *13*, 153–160.
- 107. ASTM. ASTM C-597–83: Standard Test Method for Pulse Velocity Through Concrete. Available online: http://www.astm.org/DATABASE.CART/HISTORICAL/C597-97.htm (accessed on 6 May 2015).
- 108. Bungey, J.H. Ultrasonic testing to identify alkali-silica reaction in concrete. *Br. J. Non-Destr. Test.* **1991**, *33*, 227–231.
- 109. John, G.; Hladky, K.; Gaydecki, P.; Dawson, J. Recent developments in inspection techniques for corrosion damaged concrete structures. *Corros. Forms Control Infrastruct.* **1992**, *1137*, 246–257.
- 110. Raad, J.; Aktan, H.; Usmen, M. Laboratory for non destructive evaluation of civil structures. In Proceeding of ASEE Annual Conference, Milwaukee, WI, USA, 15–18 June 1997.
- 111. Ervin, B.; Kuchma, D.; Bernhard, J.; Reis, H. Monitoring corrosion of rebar embedded in mortar using high-frequency guided ultrasonic waves. *J. Eng. Mech.* **2009**, *135*, 9–19.
- 112. Sharma, S.; Mukherjee, A. Longitudinal guided waves for monitoring chloride corrosion in reinforcing bars in concrete. *Struct. Health Monit.* **2010**, *9*, 555–567.
- 113. Jung, Y.-C.; Na, W.-B.; Kundu, T.; Ehsani, M. Damage detection in concrete using Lamb waves. In Proceeding of SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, Newport Beach, CA, USA, 6 March 2000; pp. 448–458.
- 114. Sharma, S.; Mukherjee, A. Monitoring corrosion in oxide and chloride environments using ultrasonic guided waves. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* **2011**, *23*, 207–211.
- 115. Sharma, S.; Mukherjee, A. Nondestructive evaluation of corrosion in varying environments using guided waves. *Res. Nondestr. Eval.* **2013**, *24*, 63–88.
- 116. Miller, T.H.; Yanagita, T.; Kundua, T.; Grill, J.; Grill, W. Nondestructive inspection of reinforced concrete structures. In Proceeding of the SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, San Diego, CA, USA, 8 March 2009.
- 117. Goueygou, M.; Piwakowski, B.; Fnine, A.; Kaczmarek, M.; Buyle-Bodin, F. NDE of two-layered mortar samples using high-frequency Rayleigh waves. *Ultrasonics* **2004**, *42*, 889–895.
- 118. Aggelis, D.G.; Kordatos, E.Z.; Soulioti, D.V.; Matikas, T.E. Combined use of thermography and ultrasound for the characterization of subsurface cracks in concrete. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2010**, *24*, 1888–1897.
- 119. Li, D.; Zhang, S.; Yang, W.; Zhang, W. Corrosion monitoring and evaluation of reinforced concrete structures utilizing the ultrasonic guided wave technique. *Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw.* **2014**, *2014*, doi:10.1155/2014/827130.
- 120. Komlos, K.; Popovics, S.; NÃrnbergerovÃ, T.; BabÃl, B.; Popovics, J.S. Ultrasonic pulse velocity test of concrete properties as specified in various standards. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **1996**, *18*, 357–364.
- 121. Sansalone, M.J.; Streett, W.B. *Impact-echo. Nondestructive Evaluation of Concrete & Masonry*. Bullbrier Press: Jersey Shore, PA, USA, 1997.
- 122. Carino, N.J.; Sansalone, M.; Hsu, N.N. Flaw detection in concrete by frequency spectrum analysis of impact-echo waveforms. *Int. Adv. Nondestruct. Test.* **1986**, *12*, 117–146.
- 123. Liang, M.T.; Su, P.J. Detection of the corrosion damage of rebar in concrete using impact-echo method. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2001**, *31*, 1427–1436.
- 124. Samarkova, K.; Chobola, Z.; Stefkova, D. The corrosion status of reinforced concrete structure monitoring by impact echo method. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2014**, *875–877*, 445–449.
- 125. Samarkova, K.; Chobola, Z.; Stefkova, D. Using of impact-echo methods to assessment of reinforced concrete structures corrosion. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2014**, *446–447*, 1400–1404.
- 126. Samarkova, K.; Chobola, Z.; Stefkova, D.; Kusak, I. Impact-echo methods to assessment corrosion of reinforced concrete structures. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2014**, *627*, 268–271.
- 127. Samarkova, K.; Stefkova, D.; Chobola, Z. Monitoring of reinforced concrete structure corrosion by using impact-echo method. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2014**, *1000*, 239–242.
- 128. Miller, R.K.; Mc Intire, P. Acoustic emission testing. In *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Polymers*; Springer New York: New York, NY, USA, 1987; pp. 12–154.
- 129. Beattie, A.G. Acoustic emission, principles and instrumentation. *J. Acoust. Emission* **1983**, *2*, 95–128.
- 130. Shiotani, T. Evaluation of long-term stability for rock slope by means of acoustic emission technique. *NDT&E Int.* **2006**, *39*, 217–228.
- 131. Alvarez, M.G.; Lapitz, P.; Ruzzante, J. Analysis of acoustic emission signals generated from SCC propagation. *Corros. Sci.* **2012**, *55*, 5–9.
- 132. Lapitz, P.; Ruzzante, J.; Alvarez, M.G. AE response of α-brass during stress corrosion crack propagation. *Corros. Sci.* **2007**, *49*, 3812–3825.
- 133. Wells, D. An acoustic apparatus to record emissions from concrete under strain. *Nucl. Eng. Des.* **1970**, *12*, 80–88.
- 134. Luo, X.; Haya, H.; Inaba, T.; Shiotani, T.; Nakanishi, Y. Experimental study on evaluation of breakage in foundations using train-induced acoustic emission. In Proceeding of the Structural Engineering World Congress, Tokyo, Japan, 13–14 December 2002.
- 135. ASTM. ASTM C-610–98A: Definitions of Terms Relating to Acoustic Emission. Available online: http://www.astm.org/DATABASE.CART/WITHDRAWN/E610.htm (accessed on 6 May 2015).
- 136. Malhotra, V.M., Carino, N.J. *CRC Handbook on Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1991.
- 137. Ohtsu, M.; Yuyama, S. Recommended practice for in-situ monitoring of concrete structures by acoustic emission. In Proceedings of the 15th International Acoustic Emission Symposium, Tokyo, Japan, 11–14 September 2000; pp. 263–268.
- 138. Carpinteri, A.; Lacidogna, G.; Niccolini, G. Damage analysis of reinforced concrete buildings by the acoustic emission technique. *Struct. Control Health Monit.* **2011**, *18*, 660–673.
- 139. Ohtsu, M. Mathematical theory of acustic emission and moment tensor solution. *J. Soc. Mater. Sci.* **1987**, *36*, 1025–1031.
- 140. Colombo, S.; Main, I.G.; Forde, M.C. Assessing damage of reinforced concrete beam using "b-value" analysis of acoustic emission signals. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* **2003**, *15*, 280–286.
- 141. Grosse, C.U.; Reinhardt, H.W.; Finck, F. Signal-based acoustic emission techniques in civil engineering. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* **2003**, *15*, 274–279.
- 142. Ohno, K.; Ohtsu, M. Crack classification in concrete based on acoustic emission. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2010**, *24*, 2339–2346.
- 143. Dunn, S.E.; Young, J.D.; Hartt, W.H.; Brown, R.P. Acoustic emission characterization of corrosion induced damage in reinforced concrete. *Corrosion* **1984**, *40*, 339–343.
- 144. Shiotani, T.; Ohtsu, M.; Ikeda, K. Detection and evaluation of AE waves due to rock deformation. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2001**, *15*, 235–246.
- 145. Titus, T.N.K.; Reddy, D.V.; Dunn, S.E.; Hartt, W.H. Acoustic emission crack monitoring and prediction of remaining life of corroding reinforced concrete beams. In Proceeding of the 4th European Conference Non-destructive Testing, London, UK, 13–17 September 1988.
- 146. Ohtsu, M. The history and development of acoustic emission in concrete engineering. *Mag. Concr. Res.* **1996**, *48*, 321–330.
- 147. Ohtsu, M. Recommendation of RILEM TC 212-ACD: Acoustic emission and related NDE techniques for crack detection and damage evaluation in concrete. *Mater. Struct.* **2010**, *43*, 1187–1189.
- 148. Ismail, M.E.; Ismail, M.; Ohtsu, M. Non-destructive evaluation of corrosion activity in reinforced concrete slab. In Proceeding of the 4th International Structural Engineering and Construction Conference (ISEC-4)—Innovations in Structural Engineering and Construction, Melbourne, Australia, 26–28 September 2008.
- 149. Calabrese, L.; Campanella, G.; Proverbio, E. Identification of corrosion mechanisms by univariate and multivariate statistical analysis during long term acoustic emission monitoring on a pre-stressed concrete beam. *Corros. Sci.* **2013**, *73*, 161–171.
- 150. Yu, A.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, L. Acoustic emission (AE) online monitoring test on corrosion in reinforced concrete. *J. Build. Mater.* **2014**, *17*, 291–297.
- 151. Ohtsu, M.; Tomoda, Y. Corrosion process in reinforced concrete identified by acoustic emission. *Mater. Trans.* **2007**, *48*, 1184–1189.
- 152. Yoon, D.J.; Weiss, W.J.; Shah, S.P. Assessing damage in corroded reinforced concrete using acoustic emission. *J. Eng. Mech.* **2000**, *126*, 273–283.
- 153. Kawasaki, Y.; Wakuda, T.; Kobarai, T.; Ohtsu, M. Corrosion mechanisms in reinforced concrete by acoustic emission. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2013**, *48*, 1240–1247.
- 154. Kawasaki, Y.; Tomoda, Y.; Ohtsu, M. AE monitoring of corrosion process in cyclic wet–dry test. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2010**, *24*, 2353–2357.
- 155. Ohtsu, M.; Tomoda, Y. Acoustic emission techniques for rebar corrosion in reinforced concrete. In *Advances in Construction Materials 2007*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 2007.
- 156. Ohtsu, M.; Tomoda, Y. Phenomenological model of corrosion process in reinforced concrete identified by acoustic emission. *ACI Mater. J.* **2008**, *105*, 194–199.
- 157. Elfergani, H.A.; Pullin, R.; Holford, K.M. Damage assessment of corrosion in prestressed concrete by acoustic emission. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2013**, *40*, 925–933.
- 158. Behnia, A.; Chai, H.K.; Shiotani, T. Advanced structural health monitoring of concrete structures with the aid of acoustic emission. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2014**, *65*, 282–302.
- 159. ElBatanouny, M.K.; Ziehl, P.H.; Larosche, A.; Mangual, J.; Matta, F.; Nanni, A. Acoustic emission monitoring for assessment of prestressed concrete beams. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2014**, *58*, 46–53.
- 160. Melchers, R.E.; Li, C.Q. Phenomenological modeling of reinforcement corrosion in marine environments. *ACI Mater. J.* **2006**, *103*, 25–32.
- 161. Velez, W.; Matta, F.; Ziehl, P. Acoustic emission intensity analysis of corrosion in prestressed concrete piles. In Proceeding of the 10th International Conference on Barkhausen Noise and Micromagnetic Noise and Micromagnetic Testing, Melville, NY, USA, 26–28 August 2014.
- 162. Velez, W.; Matta, F.; Ziehl, P. Acoustic emission characterization of early corrosion in prestressed concrete exposed to salt water. In Proceeding of the 11th International Conference on Structural Safety and Reliability (ICOSSAR), New York, NY, USA, 16–20 June 2013.
- 163. Ing, M.; Austin, S.; Lyons, R. Cover zone properties influencing acoustic emission due to corrosion. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2005**, *35*, 284–295.
- 164. JCMS-IIIB5706. Monitoring Method for Active Cracks in Concrete by Acoustic Emission. Available online: http://framcos.org/FraMCoS-7/09-01.pdf (accessed on 6 May 2015).
- 165. Rao, M.V.M.S.; Prasanna Lakshmi, K.J. Analysis of b-value and improved b-value of acoustic emissions accompanying rock fracture. *Curr. Sci.* **2005**, *89*, 1577–1582.
- 166. Gutenberg, B.; Richter, C.F. *Seismicity of the Earth and Associated Phenomena*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1954.
- 167. Shiotani, T.; Ohtsu, M. *Prediction of Slope Failure Based on AE Activity*; ASTM Special Technical Publication: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 1999; pp 156–172.
- 168. ElBatanouny, M.K.; Larosche, A.; Mazzoleni, P.; Ziehl, P.H.; Matta, F.; Zappa, E. Identification of cracking mechanisms in scaled FRP reinforced concrete beams using acoustic emission. *Exp. Mech.* **2012**, 54, 69–82.
- 169. Ono, K. Application of acoustic emission for structure diagnosis. *Konf. Naukowa* **2010**, *2*, 317–341.
- 170. Yuyama, S.; Yokoyama, K.; Niitani, K.; Ohtsu, M.; Uomoto, T. Detection and evaluation of failures in high-strength tendon of prestressed concrete bridges by acoustic emission. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2007**, *21*, 491–500.
- 171. ElBatanouny, M.K.; Mangual, J.; Velez, W.; Ziehl, P.H.; Matta, F.; Gonzalez, M. Monitoring corrosion in prestressed concrete beams using acoustic emission technique. In Proceeding of the SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, San Diego, CA, USA, 11 March 2012.
- 172. Mangual, J.; ElBatanouny, M.K.; Velez, W.; Ziehl, P.; Matta, F.; Gonzalez, M. Characterization of corrosion damage in prestressed concrete using acoustic emission. In Proceeding of the Sensors and Smart Structures Technologies for Civil, Mechanical, and Aerospace Systems, Parts 1 and 2, San Diego, CA, USA, 12–15 March 2012.
- 173. ElBatanouny, M.K.; Mangual, J.; Ziehl, P.H.; Matta, F. Early corrosion detection in prestressed concrete girders using acoustic emission. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* **2014**, *26*, 504–511.
- 174. Fowler, T.J.; Blessing, J.A.; Strauser, F.E. Intensity analysis. In Proceedinds of the 4 International Symposia Acoustic Emission from Composite Materials, Columbus, OH, USA, 27–31 July 1992; pp. 16–27.
- 175. Gostautas, R.S.; Ramirez, G.; Peterman, R.J.; Meggers, D. Acoustic emission monitoring and analysis of glass fiber-reinforced composites bridge decks. *J. Bridg. Eng.* **2005**, *10*, 713–721.
- 176. Mangual, J.; ElBatanouny, M.K.; Ziehl, P.; Matta, F. Acoustic emission-based characterization of corrosion damage in cracked concrete with prestressing strand. *ACI Mater. J.* **2013**, *110*, 89–98.
- 177. Colombo, S.; Forde, M.C.; Main, I.G.; Shigeishi, M. Predicting the ultimate bending capacity of concrete beams from the "relaxation ratio" analysis of AE signals. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2005**, *19*, 746–754.
- 178. Yun, H.D.; Choi, W.C.; Seo, S.Y. Acoustic emission activities and damage evaluation of reinforced concrete beams strengthened with CFRP sheets. *NDT&E Int.* **2010**, *43*, 615–628.
- 179. Ohtsu, M.; Okamoto, T.; Yuyama, S. Moment tensor analysis of acoustic emission for cracking mechanisms in concrete. *ACI Struct. J.* **1998**, *95*. 87–95.
- 180. Shigeishi, M.; Ohtsu, M. Acoustic emission moment tensor analysis: Development for crack identification in concrete materials. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2001**, *15*, 311–319.
- 181. Farid Uddin, A.K.M.; Ohtsu, M. Micromechanics of corrosion cracking in concrete by AE-SIGMA. *J. Acoustic Emission*. **2005**, *23*, 136–141.
- 182. Baranov, V.M.; Kapralov, E.Y.; Karasevich, V.A. Acoustic and electrochemical noise methods in extreme-condition fatigue tests. *Meas. Tech.* **2003**, *46*, 994–999.
- 183. Kouroussis, D.A.; Anastassopoulos, A.A.; Lenain, J.C.; Proust, A. Advances in Classification of Acoustic Emission Sources. *Les Journées COFREND Reims* **2001**, Available online: http://www.epandt.com/Biblio/EA2001-06A.pdf (accessed on 5 May 2015).
- 184. Calabrese, L.; Campanella, G.; Proverbio, E. Noise removal by cluster analysis after long time AE corrosion monitoring of steel reinforcement in concrete. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2012**, *34*, 362–371.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).